





BIOMASS-DERIVED CARBON MATERIALS FOR ENERGY STORAGE APPLICATIONS

PhD Thesis presented by

Aurora Gómez Martín

To obtain the Doctor's degree from the University of Seville in

Science and Technology of New Materials

Supervisors: Julián Martínez Fernández Joaquín Ramírez Rico

Department of Condensed Matter Physics University of Seville

May, 2019

"You look at science (or at least talk of it) as some sort of demoralizing invention of man, something apart from real life, and which must be cautiously guarded and kept separate from everyday existence. But science and everyday life cannot and should not be separated. Science, for me, gives a partial explanation for life." Rosalind Franklin

Acknowledgements

With the permission of the reader, I would like to write part of the personal acknowledgements in Spanish.

Quisiera con estas primeras páginas agradecer el apoyo de todas esas personas que han contribuido de alguna manera al desarrollo de este trabajo.

En primer lugar, me gustaría comenzar expresando mi más sincero agradecimiento a mis directores de tesis. Al Dr. Julián Martínez Fernández, por haber confiado en mí desde el principio y haberme ofrecido la oportunidad de comenzar mi etapa investigadora en su grupo de investigación. Al Dr. Joaquín Ramírez Rico, por su infinito apoyo, paciencia e incontables contribuciones a este trabajo. Ha sido un placer poder trabajar contigo.

Agradecer a todos los miembros del Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada y del Grupo de Materiales Biomiméticos y Multifuncionales por su amable acogida y compañerismo.

A Rafa, gracias por su amistad y cariño, por encontrar siempre tiempo para aconsejarme y apoyarme. Por hacer que este camino haya sido mucho más fácil, por todos los buenos momentos y risas, por todos los desayunos con jamón del bueno. A Desirée, por sus ánimos, generosidad y apoyo sobre todo en este último tramo. A Pilar, muchas gracias por el buen equipo que hicimos juntas, por estar siempre dispuesta a ayudar. A Antonio, por su ayuda con el trabajo experimental al principio de esta etapa. A Alfonso Bravo, por el efecto terapéutico de sus cafés.

A José Hidalgo, por estar siempre dispuesto a ayudar cuando ha sido necesario.

Al personal del Taller Mecánico de la Facultad de Física, en especial a José Luis Benjumea por ser siempre diligente y solícito.

Al personal de los Servicios Generales de Investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla. En especial a Javier Quispe y Paco Varela por estar siempre disponibles y dispuestos para poner solución a cualquier problema.

I would also like to extend my deepest grateful to Dr. Tobias Placke and Prof. Martin Winter from the University of Münster for giving me the opportunity of doing two research stays at the Münster Electrochemical Energy Technology Centre (MEET). Also, to the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for funding my time there under the programs "Short-term research grant 2017/2018 programs". A very special thanks to all the members of "Selected Materials" team: in particular to Vanessa, Mirco, Andreas, Kolja and Karina for the friendly and motivating working environment. My deepest gratitude to Mirco for all his support with the experimental work. My special thanks to Vanessa and Karina for their friendship and all their support. It was a pleasure to share those great moments

with you. A Christian, por su compañía y entusiasmo. A Nuria, por entrar a formar parte de mi vida, gracias por llamar a la puerta de mi habitación en busca urgente de una española.

Por último, y por encima de todo, quisiera agradecer el estimable e incondicional apoyo de mi familia. A mis padres, por haberme enseñado a ser quien soy, por transmitirme su bondad, fortaleza y perseverancia, por darme todo el amor y cariño que han podido y por nunca dejar de creer en mí. Estaré eternamente agradecida por todo lo que habéis hecho por nosotros. A mis hermanos, por su constante apoyo, generosidad y risas.

A Antonio, por quererme sin condiciones, por estar dispuesto a compartir tu vida conmigo. Por todo lo bueno que nos queda por vivir, ya eres parte de mi familia.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xv
Notation	xvii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Aim and objectives of the thesis	3
1.3. Outline of the thesis	4
1.4. List of publications	4
1.5. References	8
Chapter 2. Scientific background	9
2.1. Introduction	10
2.2. Carbon materials	10
2.2.1. Carbonization and graphitization	12
2.2.1.1. Biomass resources	12
2.2.1.2. Structural development	12
2.2.2. Catalytic graphitization	16
2.2.2.1. Factors influencing catalytic graphitization process	16
2.2.2.2. Graphitization mechanism	21
2.3. Energy storage systems	23
2.3.1. Current energy background	23
2.3.2. Lithium-ion batteries	25
2.3.2.1. Working principle and fundamentals	25
2.3.2.2. Carbon materials as anodes for lithium-ion batteries	29
2.3.3. Sodium-ion batteries	34
2.3.3.1. Working principle and fundamentals	34
2.3.3.2. Carbon materials as anodes for sodium-ion batteries	37
2.3.4. Supercapacitors	
2.3.4.1. Working principle and fundamentals	41
2.3.4.2. Carbon materials as electrodes for supercapacitors	44
2.4 References	47

Chapter 3. Fe-graphitized carbon from biomass resources as alternative a	
3.1. Introduction	65
3.1.1. Motivation and background	65
3.1.2. Aim of this chapter	69
3.2. Materials and methods	70
3.2.1. Materials	70
3.2.1.1. Starting biomass precursor	70
3.2.1.2. Fe-catalyzed carbon	71
3.2.1.3. Non-catalyzed carbon	72
3.2.2. Microstructural and thermal characterization	72
3.2.2.1. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) coupled with mass spectro	metry73
3.2.2.2. Electron microscopy	73
3.2.2.3. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (IC	P-OES) .73
3.2.2.4. Powder X-ray diffraction measurements (XRD)	74
3.2.2.5. Raman spectroscopy	74
3.2.3. Surface characterization	76
3.2.4. Electrochemical characterization for lithium-ion batteries applications .	78
3.2.4.1. Working electrode preparation	79
3.2.4.2. Cell assembly	81
3.2.4.3. Electrochemical measurements	82
3.3. Results and discussion	84
3.3.1. Studies on the mechanism responsible for catalytic graphitization	84
3.3.2. Microstructural and morphological characterization	90
3.3.3. Surface properties	99
3.3.4. Electrochemical properties	102
3.3.4.1. First-cycle electrochemical performance	102
3.3.4.2. Specific capacity trend	106
3.3.4.3. Fe-catalytically graphitized carbon vs. heat-treated soft/hard vs. heat-treated soft/h	
3.3.4.4. Rate performance and long-term cycling	112
3.4. Conclusions	114
3.5. References	115
Chapter 4. Biomass-derived hard carbons as anodes for sodium-ion batteries	s123
4.1. Introduction	124
4.1.1. Motivation and background	124
4.1.2. Aim of this chapter	126

4.2. Materials ar	nd methods	129
4.2.1. Mate	rials	129
4.2.1	.1. Starting biomass precursor	129
4.2.1	.2. Synthesis	130
4.2.2. Micro	ostructural, thermal and surface characterization	130
4.2.2	2.1. Total scattering X-ray analysis	131
4.2.3. Elect	rochemical characterization for sodium-ion batteries applications	133
4.3. Results and	I discussion	134
4.3.1. Micro	ostructural, thermal and surface characterization	134
4.3.2. Atom	nic pair distribution function analysis	140
4.3.3. Elect	rochemical properties as anodes for sodium-ion batteries	145
4.3.3	3.1. Specific capacity trend	145
	s.2. Correlation of sloping and plateau capacities with micro parameters	147
4.3.3	3.3. Rate capability	151
4.4. Conclusions	3	153
		154
er 5. Porous gr	raphene-like carbon materials for high-rate power appl	
er 5. Porous gr	raphene-like carbon materials for high-rate power appl	161
r 5. Porous gr		1 61 162
r 5. Porous gr 5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv		161 162 162
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim o	vation and background	161 162 162 164
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of	vation and background	161 162 162 164 165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate	vation and backgroundof this chapter	161162162164165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate	vation and background	161162164165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1	vation and background of this chapter and methods rials .1. Starting biomass precursor	161162164165165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.1	vation and background	161162164165165165165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro	vation and background	161162164165165165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2.1. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2. 5.2.2	vation and background of this chapter and methods vation and background of this chapter and methods vation and background vation and	161162164165165165165
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2.1. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.3. Elect	vation and background of this chapter and methods varials vari	161162165165165165167
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.3. Elect 5.2.3	vation and background of this chapter and methods value valu	161162164165165166167
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2.1. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.3. Elect 5.2.3 5.2.3	vation and background of this chapter and methods vation and background of this chapter vation and background of this chapter vation and background vation	161162164165165165167167167
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.3 5.2.3 5.2.3	vation and background of this chapter and methods vation and background of this chapter and methods vation and background vation and background of this chapter and methods vation and background v	161162165165165167167167167
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.3. Elect 5.2.3 5.2.3 5.2.3 5.2.4. Elect	vation and background of this chapter	161162164165165165166167167167
5.1. Introduction 5.1.1. Motiv 5.1.2. Aim of 5.2.1. Materials ar 5.2.1. Mate 5.2.1 5.2.2. Micro 5.2.2 5.2.2 5.2.3. Elect 5.2.3 5.2.3 5.2.3 5.2.4. Elect 5.3. Results and	vation and background of this chapter	161162164165165165167167167167167

5.3.3. Microstructural and surface characterization	179
5.3.4. Electrochemical properties for supercapacitor applications	184
5.3.4.1. Three-electrode set-up	184
5.3.4.2. Two-electrode set-up	186
5.3.5. Electrochemical properties for lithium-ion batteries applications	187
5.4. Conclusions	189
5.5. References	191
Chapter 6. Conclusions and future prospects	197
6.1. Summary and main conclusions	198
6.2 Future prospects	202

Abstract

Energy storage systems are an essential link in the implementation of renewable energies and in the development of electric vehicles, which are needed to reduce our dependence on fossil fuels and the emission of greenhouse gases. Various technologies have been proposed for energy storage based on different working principles, including lithium-ion batteries, emerging sodium-ion batteries and electric-double layer capacitors. Besides the quest for improving key aspects such as energy and power densities, current research efforts are devoted to foster the manufacturing of more environmentally friendly devices using sustainable materials. Carbon-based electrodes hold considerable promise in such terms due to their low cost, tailorable morphology and microstructure, and the possibility of processing them by direct carbonization of eco-friendly and naturally-available biomass resources.

The main goal of this thesis is to develop carbon materials from biomass resources and study their applications as electrode for lithium-ion batteries, sodium-ion batteries and electric-double layer capacitors. En route towards that goal, it also aims at expanding our understanding of the microstructural changes of biomass-derived carbons with varying processing conditions and their effect on the electrochemical performance for each of these technologies.

The first part of this work reports on the synthesis of graphitized carbon materials from biomass resources by means of an Fe catalyst, and the study of their electrochemical performance as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). Peak carbonization temperatures between 850 °C and 2000 °C were covered to study the effect of crystallinity, surface and microstructural parameters on the anodic behavior, focusing on the first-cycle Coulombic efficiency, reversible specific capacity and rate performance. Reversible capacities of Fe-catalyzed biomass-derived carbons were compared to non-catalyzed hard carbon and soft carbons materials heated up to 2800 °C. Moreover, *in-situ* characterization experiments were carried out to advance our understanding of the mechanisms responsible for catalytic graphitization.

The second part of this work reports a comprehensive study on the structural evolution of hard carbons from biomass resources as a function of carbonization temperature (800 - 2000 °C), and its correlation with electrochemical properties as anode materials for sodium-ion batteries (SIBs). Synchrotron X-ray total scattering experiments were performed and the associated atomic pair distribution function (PDF) extracted from the data to access quantitative information on local atomic arrangement in these amorphous materials at the nanoscale, as well as its evolution with increasing processing temperature. Then, electrochemical properties and the storage mechanisms involved on Na ions insertion into hard carbon structures at each characteristic potential regions were elucidated and correlated with microstructural properties.

Finally, the third part of this work reports on the synthesis of nanostructured porous graphene-like materials from biomass resources using an explosion-assisted activation strategy by nitrate compounds and Ni as a graphitization catalyst. The thermal behavior during carbonization as well as the resulting microstructural and surface properties were evaluated at two different processing temperatures, 300 and 1000 °C. Finally, their application as electrode materials for electric-double layer capacitors (EDLCs) and LIBs is investigated, with a view to their performance under high charge/discharge specific current densities experiments.

List of Figures

Figure 2. 1. Schematic illustration of a) crystal structure of hexagonal graphite and the unit cell.
Redrawn from (10); b) turbostratic carbon with stacking disorder. Redrawn from (11)11
Figure 2. 2. Schematic illustration of carbonization and graphitization processes depending on the
starting precursor (graphitizing and non-graphitizing carbons). Redrawn from (19) and (20)13
Figure 2. 3. Schematic representation of the structure of graphitizing and non-graphitizing carbons
proposed by R. Franklin (21)
Figure 2. 4. Dependence of d_{002} , L_c and L_a on HTT (8, 31) for graphitizing and non-graphitizing carbons.
(8, 23, 26, 31-37)
Figure 2. 5. a) X-ray diffraction patterns of petroleum coke (homogeneous graphitization)(26) and
charcoal (heterogeneous graphitization) (8); b) Relation between d_{002} , L_c and (002) reflection position
for both non-graphitizing carbons and graphitizing carbons (25)
Figure 2. 6. Active catalysts for graphitization of carbon precursors from the works (red ones) (39, 48,
50-55). References are included in red color
Figure 2. 7. Dependence of interplanar distance (d_{002}) (top panel) and Raman intensity ratio between
D and G bands (I_D/I_G ; bottom panel) with HTT for carbons treated with transition metal catalysts. The
differences between I_D/I_G ratio for the same precursor are visually shown with surrounding dotted areas are visually shown with surrounding dotted are visually shown with surrounding dotted areas are visually shown with su
to guide the eye (48, 56-60)
Figure 2. 8. a) Changes of (002) diffraction profiles of the Ni-catalyzed phenol formaldehyde carbon
(30 wt. % catalyst) (71); b) Size distributions of Ni particles as a function of temperature (71) and c)
TEM image of beech-derived carbon at 1600 °C with a Ni catalyst where it can be observed the
precipitation of three-dimensional graphite crystals (labelled as G) (70)19
Figure 2. 9. Fe-C phase diagram. Redrawn from (89)
Figure 2. 10. Ragone chart displaying current trends for electrical energy storage systems. Redrawn
from (98)
Figure 2. 11. Lithium-ion battery market from 2000 to 2016. Redrawn from (104)25
Figure 2. 12. Schematic illustration of the working principle of a lithium-ion cell (during charge).
Redrawn from (105). The cell reaction, based on graphite as negative electrode and transition metal
oxide as positive electrode, is shown in the inset
Figure 2. 13. Overview of active anode materials for LIBs represented in terms of
potential vs. Li/Li+ and the corresponding specific capacity. Redrawn from (114)28
Figure 2. 14. a) Anode commercial market for LIBs from 2006 to 2016 and b) detailed percentage data
for 2016. Data from (104)
Figure 2. 15. Schematic illustration of the LiC ₆ structure a) AA layer stacking sequence and b)
perpendicular view to the basal plane of LiC ₆ . Redrawn from (10)

Figure 2. 16. a) Schematic illustration of stage formation during electrochemical intercalation of lithium
ions into graphite structure and galvanostatic charge curve. b) Schematic voltammetry curve. Redrawn
from (125)3
Figure 2. 17. Evolution of the reversible specific capacity as a function of HTT for graphitizing and non
graphitizing carbons without catalyst (26, 133)
Figure 2. 18. Evolution of the reversible specific capacity as a function of HTT of non-graphitizing
carbon catalyzed with transition metals (61, 78, 80, 134-141). Note: Ref. (78) Fe:precursor molar ratio
1:25; Ref. (134); Ref. Fe:precursor molar ratio 12.5:87.5; (135) 10 wt. % Fe and 17 wt. % Fe; Ref. (80
Fe:carbon weight ratio 11:1; Ref. (136) Fe:carbon volume ratio 1:1; Ref.(137) 5 wt. % iron acetate
addition to precursor; (61) impregnation 10mmol iron acetylacetonate; Ref. (138) 5 wt. % Co(NO ₃)
addition to precursor; Ref. (139) and Ref.(140) Ni:precursor weight ratio 3:10; Ref. (141) 10 wt. %
Ni(NO ₃) ₂ addition to precursor34
Figure 2. 19. Number of publications on sodium-ion batteries (2000 - 2018 from Scopus database).36
Figure 2. 20. Possible anode materials for sodium-ion batteries as a function of working potential and
specific capacity. Reprinted with permission of (167)36
Figure 2. 21. a) Schematic depiction of Na ⁺ insertion into pristine graphite (PG) and expanded graphite
(EG), along with a comparison of charge/discharge potential profiles and specific capacities of PG, EG
and graphene oxide (labelled as GO). Reprinted from (176); b) Charge/discharge potential profile of
natural graphite in sodium cells in different electrolytes 1 M NaCF ₃ SO ₃ in diglyme (DGM), ii) 1 M
NaPF ₆ in DGM and iii) 1 M NaPF ₆ in EC: DEC (1:1) and iv) NaClO ₄ in EC: DEC. Reprinted from (179)
38
Figure 2.22. Constant current charge/discharge curves (2nd cycle) in a sodium half-cell of graphite
(grey), soft carbon (green) and hard carbon (black). Reprint from (19)38
Figure 2.23. Schematic representation of the a) "intercalation-adsorption" sodium storage mechanism
in hard carbon according to the house of cards model, b) "adsorption-intercalation" theory (184) and c
four stage-model proposed by Saurel et al. (19): Typical constant current chronopotentiogram of hard
carbon (left) and its derivative curve (rigth), with the three main voltage regions highlighted: initial drop
(I), sloping voltage (II), and low voltage plateau (III)
Figure 2. 24. Reversible capacities as a function of carbonization temperatures for biomass-derived
carbon from palm date seeds (36), cellulose (35), corn cob (33), corn straw (32), kelp (37) and
mangosteen shell (34), as representative carbon materials. The dashed line represents the average
value of reversible capacities, whereas the light-shaded region represents the range. The dark or green
shaded region indicates the region where the reversible capacity peaks40
Figure 2. 25. Scheme of a) conventional capacitors, b) electrostatic capacitors and c) electrochemical
double-layer capacitors (EDLCs). Redrawn from (5)
Figure 2. 26. Schematic representation of the BMD model in a solution electrode interface. Redrawn
from (203)43

Figure 2. 27. Schematic illustration of catalytic graphitization process used for introducing porosity into
carbon materials
Figure 3. 1. Schematic depiction of MDF manufacturing process. Redrawn of (55)70
Figure 3. 2. Schematic depiction of impregnation process with the catalytic solution. The security flash
was used to avoid direct entry of solution into the vacuum pump7
Figure 3. 3. a) Outline of the heat-treatment set-up and b) Schematic of the carbonization procedure
vs. time72
Figure 3. 4. Raman spectra of a commercial graphite (KS44, Timcal Timrex) (top panel) and a commercial hard carbon (Carbotron PS (F), Kureha Corporation) (botton panel) depicting main excitation bands. Raman spectra was deconvoluted into different bands using a least-square method and using pseudo-Voigt line-shapes functions.
Figure 3. 5. IUPAC isotherms classification according to porous surface features. Type-I is characteristic of microporous materials, whereas type-II is reflected by macroporous solids. Type-IV is characteristic for mesoporous material with multilayer-adsorption. Type-III and V show materials which a weak interaction between absorbent and adsorbate, while type-VI is a rare structure associated to non-porous surface. Redrawn from (65)
Figure 3. 6. Illustration of nitrogen adsorption at different kinds of surface areas for graphitic carbon surfaces: a) prismatic surface areas, b) basal plane surface areas and c) defect surfaces. Redrawn from (70).
Figure 3. 7. Representative particle size distribution of MDF Fe 1600 °C sample79
Figure 3. 8. a) Lab-scale doctor-blade technique for electrode slurry coating; b) punched 12 mm in diameter electrode discs; SEM micrographs of MDF Fe 1600 °C electrode: c) top and d) side views
Figure 3. 9. a) Schematic diagram of the three electrode stainless steel Swagelok® cells considering half-cell configuration and b) pictures of lithium-ion cells assembly
Figure 3. 10. a) Schematic depiction of potential vs. time variation for the anode side during CN measurements (OCV stands for open-circuit potential, E ₁ the first vertex potential and E ₂ the second vertex potential) and b) Representative CV measurement at a sweep rate of 20 μV·s ⁻ 1 of MDF Fe 2000 °C where different cathodic and anodic peaks arises from the formation of lithium-carbon intercalation compounds (77)
Figure 3. 11. Time-dependent specific current (red line) and potential (black line) profiles for a constan
current charge/discharge cycling experiment using MDF Fe 2000 °C as representative negative
electrode material (half-cell setup with lithium metal as CE and RE; at a charge/discharge specific
current of 37.2 mAh·g ⁻¹)83
Figure 3. 12.TGA and DSC analysis along with mass spectrum of evolved gases (treatment temperature vs. mass/charge ratio) of raw MDF (a, b), FeCl ₃ powder (c, d), and MDF impregnated with

1.0 M FeCl₃ solution (e, f) heating at 10°C·min⁻¹ under inert atmosphere. Mass spectrum are
represented as a function of temperature for different mass values in terms of the logarithm of the ion
current in arbitrary units86
Figure 3. 13. Mass spectrum: ion current signal vs. treatment temperature at $m/z = 36$ u.m.a related to
evolved Cl_2 gases for a) MDF impregnated with 1.0 M FeCl $_3$ and b) FeCl $_3$ powder87
Figure 3. 14. In-situ TEM pictures during heating (from 700 to 750 $^{\circ}\text{C}$), where it can be seen the
$movement\ of\ the\ Fe-C\ droplets\ at\ temperature\ much\ lower\ than\ the\ melting\ point\ of\ Fe.\ It\ is\ observable$
the precipitation of more ordered graphitic regions behind. a) 0 s; b) 9 s; c) 18 s and d) 34 s after
reaching 700 °C
Figure 3. 15. In-situ X-ray diffraction patterns of MDF sample impregnated with FeCl ₃ solution while a)
heating and b) cooling process upon heat-treatment under a flowing atmosphere of nitrogen. Heating
rate (10°C⋅min⁻¹). Holder XRD pattern is included for comparison89
Figure 3. 16. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of carbon obtained from carbonization of MDF up
to 1000 °C without any catalyst, using secondary electrons: a) radial and b) axial directions. Axial
sections are perpendicular to the direction of fibre compaction91
Figure 3. 17. Representative electron micrographs of MDF-Fe carbon carbonized at 1600 °C: a, b)
before and c, d) after iron removal. Two different phases can be distinguished under backscattered
electrons: carbon fibres appear black while globular Fe catalyst particles are light grey91
Figure 3. 18. Representative TEM micrograph of Fe-carbons treated at 1000 °C (a) before and (b) after
iron removal, showing imperfect graphitic lattice and longer interplanar spacing than the theoretical of
graphite; c,d,e) TEM micrographs of Fe-carbons heated up to 2000 $^{\circ}$ C before (c) and after (d,e) iron
removal. Typical (c) Fe core nanoparticles encapsulated in several ordered carbon layers; d, e) hollow
ordered regions after iron removal92
Figure 3. 19. Typical SEM and TEM micrographs of Fe-carbons platelets after carbonization at
2000 °C; a, b) well-ordered graphite plate regions along with associated SAED pattern and c) detailed
(002) lattice fringes of graphite; d, e) SEM micrographs of MDF Fe 1600 $^{\circ}$ C before Fe removal, showing
the precipitation of bulk graphitic crystals surrounding catalyst particles93
Figure 3. 20. Representative TEM micrographs of MDF carbon without catalyst heat-treated up to (a)
2000 °C, (b) 2400 °C, (c) 2800 °C; d) line profile of the (002) lattice fringes of MDF 2400 and 2800 °C
samples, showing interlayer distance along the stacking direction. Numbers (1) and (2) indicate the
area considered for line profile94
Figure 3. 21. Raman spectra results of MDF-derived carbon using a) Fe as catalyst (carbonization
temperatures from 850 $^{\rm o}{\rm C}$ and 2800 $^{\rm o}{\rm C})$ and b) without catalyst (temperatures ranging between 850
and 2800 $^{\rm o}$ C). Data carbonized MDF without catalyst up to 1600 $^{\rm o}$ C from (39) is included for comparison
purposes95
Figure 3. 22. Degree of graphitization, α , estimated on the basis of Raman fitting as a function of the
treatment temperature of Fe-catalyzed MDF, non-catalyzed MDF carbon (from this work and (39)), as

well as an estimation from the results previously reported by Fromm et al.(33) for a soft carbor
reference precursor (petroleum coke) up to 2800 °C
Figure 3. 23. X-ray diffraction patterns of a) MDF-Fe catalyzed and b) non-catalyzed carbons a
different carbonization temperatures. Data of non-catalyzed MDF carbon up to 1600 °C from (39) is
included for comparison purposes97
Figure 3. 24. X-ray diffraction patterns of non-catalyzed carbons up to 2000, 2400 and 2800 °C
Structures depiction redrawn from (81). The graph (1) refers to turbostratic order whereas (2) refers to
graphitic one98
Figure 3. 25. a) Representative fit of the low angle region of the X-ray diffraction pattern of a MDF
carbon sample carbonized up to 1200 °C in the presence of the Fe-catalyst. Samples contained 10 wt
% of sieved pure Si powder which served as internal standard to correct sample displacement. by
Results of the quantitative X-ray analysis for Fe-treated carbons. The position and associated interlaye
distance of the (002) graphite peak (black symbols) and the turbostratic peak (blue symbols) are plotted
as a function of carbonization temperature. The black line represents the ideal position of graphite
(characteristic interlayer distance of 0.3354 nm), while the blue line is only included as a guide to the
eye. Error bars represent one standard deviation from two measurements99
Figure 3. 26. N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms of powder carbonaceous samples (< 45 μ m) treated
with an Fe catalyst at different peak temperatures and b) BET/DFT specific surface areas as a function
of treatment temperature100
Figure 3. 27. Pore size distributions based on DFT calculations of representative Fe-catalyzed carbons
at 850, 1400 and 2000 °C101
Figure 3. 28. Incremental surface area vs. adsorptive potential plots of Fe-graphitized carbons
Adsorptive potentials for "prismatic" (< 50 K), "basal plane" (50 to 60 K) and "defect" surface (> 60 K
areas are separated by dotted vertical lines and different coloured backgrounds; b) Evolution of "non
basal" (taking into account both prismatic and defect surface areas from DFT calculations) and "basa
plane" surface areas vs. treatment temperature for Fe-catalyzed carbons101
Figure 3. 29. a) Representative constant-current potential profile (at a specific current of 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹
and b) CV experiment (at a sweep rate of 20 $\mu V \cdot s^{-1}$) for the 1st charge/discharge cycle. The specific
capacity is also provided in terms of the stoichiometry of graphite lithium-intercalation compounds Li _x C ₆
being $x = 1$ the theoretical capacity of graphite (372 mAh·g ⁻¹). Black dotted line points out the lithiation
(charge) and de-lithiation (discharge) curves according with the half-cell set-up configuration. Red
dotted line shows the irreversible capacity between the 1st cycle charge/discharge capacities. Potentia
range: 0.02 to 1.5 V vs. Li/Li+103
Figure 3. 30. a) 1st cycle Coulombic efficiencies (CEs) along with associated irreversible capacity loss
(Q _{irr}) as a function of carbonization temperature and b) Q _{irr} (%) plotted against the "non-basal" plane
surface area from MNLDFT. The red dotted line represents a linear regression between Q _{irr} and
average values of non-basal surface areas for each temperature (correlation $r^2 = 0.96$)

Figure 3. 31. a) Evolution of CE (%) and b) Cumulative irreversible capacity (%) with respect to cycle
number (from cycle 1st to 15th) (specific currents of 37.2 mA·g-1 and 372 mA·g-1). Error bars represent
the standard deviation from the measure of three cells for each sample106
Figure 3. 32. a) Representative charge/discharge potential profiles at 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹ (34 th cycle from C-
rate test) of Fe-catalyzed carbons derived from MDF at different temperatures from 850 to 2000 °C and
b) Associated differential capacity (dQ/dV) profiles. The specific capacity is also provided in terms of
the stoichiometric factor x in Li _x C ₆ 107
Figure 3. 33. Evolution of specific capacity contributions over and below 0.2 V vs. Li/Li+ as a function
of carbonization temperature for Fe-catalyzed carbons
Figure 3. 34. Average specific discharge capacity (at a specific current of 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) of graphitized
Fe-carbons plotted against the degree of graphitization, α , estimated by fitting of Raman spectra108
Figure 3. 35. Specific discharge capacity of Fe-graphitized carbons as a function of crystal size along
the stacking direction, L_c . Dotted black line is plotted to guide the eye but does not imply any fit. Specific
current 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹ 109
Figure 3. 36. Evolution of the reversible specific capacity (at a specific current of 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) as a
function of pyrolysis temperature. Fe-graphitized MDF carbon data from this work is plotted as colored
circles, while not catalyzed samples are represented as green circles. Data for petroleum coke and oak
wood-derived carbons (33), and a review of hard and soft carbon trends from ref. (116) is also included
for comparison purposes111
Figure 3. 37. Representative comparative of charge/discharge voltage profiles at a specific current of
$37.2 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) of MDF carbon heated up to 2000 °C with and without Fe111
Figure 3. 38. a) Discharge capacities of Fe-graphitized/Non-catalyzed MDF samples during cycling at
different rates. b) Capacity retention as a function of specific charge/discharge current density. Error
bars are equal to one standard deviation. C-rate test plan \rightarrow Cycles 1-3: 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹ ; Cycles 4-30:
$372 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{\text{-1}}; \text{ Cycles } 3170\text{: specific currents of } 37.2 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{\text{-1}}, 74.4 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{\text{-1}}, 186 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{\text{-1}}, 372 \text{ mA} \cdot $
744 mA·g ⁻¹ , 1116 mA·g ⁻¹ , 1860 mA·g ⁻¹ and 3720 mA·g ⁻¹ for each rate 5 cycles; Cycle 70-onwards: $\frac{1}{2}$
372 mA·g ⁻¹ 112
Figure 3. 39. Long-term cycling performance of Fe-graphitized/Non-catalyzed MDF-derived carbon
anode materials at a specific current of 372 mA·g ⁻¹ for 200 cycles after the C-rate test113
Figure 4. 1. Illustrative scheme of the morphology of olive fruit. Redrawn from (40)129
Figure 4. 2. Picture of a) as-received olive stones as well as b) derived carbons130
Figure 4. 3. Pictures of the MSPD beam-line (51).
Figure 4. 4. a) Thermogravimetric (TGA) (left y-axis) and differential thermogravimetric analysis (DTG)
(right y-axis) of olive stones during carbonization at a heating rate of $10^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (flow rate N_2 100
ml·min-1), b and c) Representative SEM micrographs of olive stone-derived hard carbons carbonized
up to 1200 °C

Figure 4. 5. Representative HR-TEM micrographs of olive stone-derived hard carbons at a) 1000 °C,
b) 1400 °C, c) 1600 °C and d) 2000 °C along with corresponding selected area electron diffraction
(SAED) patterns shown in the inset of the micrographs. The dotted red and white circles in c) and d)
indicate the presence of mesopores and ordered regions, respectively136
Figure 4. 6. a) N ₂ at 77 K adsorption/desorption and b) CO ₂ at 273 K adsorption isotherms at different
carbonization temperatures137
Figure 4. 7. a) Evolution of measured specific surface area as a function of carbonization temperature,
according to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model; 2D-NLDFT pore size distribution assuming a
slit-shaped pore geometry for b.1) CO ₂ and b.2) N ₂ analyses
Figure 4. 8. Raman spectra of olive stone-derived carbons along with corresponding least-square
fitting. The concentration of defects, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, as a function of heat-treatment temperature is shown in the inset
of the figure. See Section 3.2.2.5 for further details of representative bands
Figure 4. 9. Qualitative analysis of PDF results: Normalized scattering factor measured at the MSPD
beamline for carbonized olive stone at different temperatures
Figure 4. 10. a) Short range region (1 - 6 Å) of the atomic PDFs for samples carbonized at 800 °C
(bottom) and 2000 °C (top), interatomic distances in graphene are marked and the main peaks in the
region are identified. b) Schematic of the atomic structure and graphene and near-neighbour
interatomic distances141
Figure 4. 11. Results of fitting the PDFs to the structural model described in the text. Examples of
observed (hollow coloured circles) and calculated values (red curve), along with the difference curves
(green ones)
Figure 4. 12. Evolution as a function of heat-treatment temperature of: b) a-spacing of the model, c)
interlayer spacing, d) crystallite size and e) displacement parameter U ₃₃ . See text for further details.
144
Figure 4. 13. Schematic illustration of interplanar spacing (d_{002}) distribution as a function of heat-
treatment temperature for representative samples: a) 800 °C, b) 1400 °C and c) 2000 °C144
Figure 4. 14. a) Representative constant-current charge/discharge potential profile vs. specific capacity
(at a specific current of 20 mA·g ⁻¹ , 11 th cycle of C-rate test, see Section 4.2.3) of hard carbons derived
from olive stone at different carbonization temperatures from 800 to 2000 °C. Potential range: 0.01 to
1.5 V vs. Na/Na ⁺ . The black dotted line (at 0. 1V) points out two different profile regions with different
storage mechanism; b) associated differential capacity (dQ/dV) profiles146
Figure 4. 15. Evolution of the reversible specific capacity as a function of heat-treatment temperature
(specific current 20 mA·g ⁻¹). Data for other biomass-derived carbons is also included for comparison
purposes (18-23). Grey-coloured area represents one standard deviation from three cells for each
sample, while green-coloured area highlights the highest capacities region147

Figure 4. 16. Specific sodiation (charge) capacities from the plateau (< 0.1 V vs. Na/Na+) and sloping
regions (1.5 - 0.01 V vs. Na/Na+) for all olive stone-derived carbons during the sodiation (insertion) as
a function of peak heat treatment temperature148
Figure 4. 17. Correlation between the plateau part of the sodiation capacity (< 0.1 V vs. Na/Na+) and
the interplanar spacing as measured by PDF analysis149
Figure 4. 18. a) Correlation between the sloping part of the sodiation capacity and the computed
crystallite size from PDF analysis and b) correlation between the sloping specific sodiation capacity
(referring to the sloping region in the potential profile between 1.5 - 0.1 V vs. Na/Na+) at a specific
current of 20 mA·g ⁻¹ and the β parameter determined by Raman spectroscopy. The dotted line
represents a linear fitting between both parameters (correlation r ² = 0.878)150
Figure 4. 19. Constant-current charge (sodiation) potential profile vs. specific capacity (at a specific
current of 20 mA·g ⁻¹ , bottom panels) of representative (800, 1400 and 2000 °C) biomass-derived hard
carbon and schematic representation of sodium storage mechanism (top panels) at different potentia
regions for each of these samples151
Figure 4. 20. a) Specific discharge capacity vs. cycle number using specific charge/discharge curren
between 20 mA·g ⁻¹ and 2000 mA·g ⁻¹ . Potential range: 0.01 - 1.5 V vs. Na/Na ⁺ . b) Capacity retention as
a function of specific charge/discharge current (capacity at 20 mA·g-1 was defined as 100 %). Erro
bars: standard deviation of three cells for each sample. C-rate cycling protocol: Cycles 1-3: 20 mA·g ⁻¹
Cycles 4-8: 200 mA·g ⁻¹ ; Cycles 9-48: 20 mA·g ⁻¹ , 40 mA·g ⁻¹ , 100 mA·g ⁻¹ , 200 mA·g ⁻¹ , 400 mA·g ⁻¹
600 mA·g ⁻¹ , 1000 mA·g ⁻¹ , and 2000 mA·g ⁻¹ for each rate 5 cycles; Cycles 49-59: 200 mA·g ⁻¹ 152
Figure 4. 21. Representative potential profiles of hard carbons derived from olive stone up to 1000 °C
(a), 1200 °C (b), 1400 °C (c) and 1600 °C (d) at constant current charge/discharge rates of 20 mA·g·
(black), 40 mA·g ⁻¹ (grey), 100 mA·g ⁻¹ (red), 200 mA·g ⁻¹ (green) and 400 mA·g ⁻¹ (yellow)153
Figure 5. 1. Picture of a) as-received cut MDF panels as well as b) impregnated MDF wood with water
based 3.0 M Ni (NO ₃) ₂ solution
Figure 5. 2. a) Schematic illustration and b) picture of supercapacitor working electrodes168
Figure 5. 3. Schematic illustration of three-electrode set-up
Figure 5. 4. a) Typical cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves and b) galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD
curves for the case of an ideal supercapacitor (black curves); and for the practical case of having
pseudocapacitance and curve distortion due to Faradaic reactions (red curves). Redrawn from (37)
Figure 5. 5. Schematic diagram of symmetric two-electrode Swagelok® cells. Redrawn from (39). 170
Figure 5. 6. Representative SEM micrographs: a, b) Front and side views of a representative fibre from
conventional non-treated MDF carbon carbonized at 300 °C; c, d) Front and side views of a
representative fibre of MDF Ni H ₂ O 300°C sample; e) MDF Ni H ₂ O 1000°C sample where globula

nickel nanoparticles are appreciable under light contrast before acid washing, and f) after acid washing
with HCl
Figure 5. 7. Representative TEM micrographs of MDF Ni H ₂ O 300 °C (a, b, c) and 1000 °C (d, e, f)
samples; b, c) TEM image 300 °C sample, showing the crumpled morphology along with the
homogeneous distribution of nickel nanoparticles (particle size distribution shown in the inset of the
figure c); f) Combined compositional map in STEM-HAADF images (bright field) of MDF Ni H ₂ O 1000
°C sample showing EDX elemental mapping of C and Ni elements. The green-coloured area
corresponds to Ni phase, while the red-one corresponds to C
Figure 5. 8. Representative TEM micrographs of MDF Ni H ₂ O 1000 °C sample after acid etching with
HCl at different magnifications. The letter G represents the hollow ordered graphitic structures and the
arrows show the edges and corrugations on the sheets surface174
Figure 5. 9. SEM micrographs of MDF impregnated with nickel nitrate water-based solution before
heat-treatment, showing the debonding of cellulosic fibres but no change in fibre morphology; a) low
magnification and b) high magnification SEM image174
Figure 5. 10. TGA/DSC of raw MDF, MDF impregnated with Ni (NO ₃) ₂ isopropanol-based solution (40)
and MDF impregnated with Ni (NO ₃) ₂ water-based solution: a) weight loss (left; solid lines) and
derivative weight loss (right; dotted lines) versus temperature during carbonization; b) Heat-flow curve
versus temperature175
Figure 5. 11. Representative TGA (left; solid line)/ DSC (right; dotted line) analyses of hexahydrate
nickel nitrate powder. Weight loss (left axis- solid line) and heat flow (right axis-dotted line) signals vs
temperature during heat-treatment under a nitrogen flow rate of 100 ml·min ⁻¹ (heating rate 10°C·min ⁻¹)
Different stages during thermal decomposition are illustrated as grey-scale coloured areas, according
to the work of Brockner et al. (50)
Figure 5. 12. FTIR spectra of raw MDF and MDF impregnated with water-based Ni (NO ₃) ₂ solution
176
Figure 5. 13. Schematic illustration of the wood cell wall structure, fabrication of medium density
fibreboard wood and synthesis of 3D framework of graphene-like nanosheets by previous impregnation
with water-based nickel nitrate solution
Figure 5. 14. FT-IR spectra of nitrocellulose synthetized by esterification of hydroxyl groups with nitric
acid and sulphuric acid (ratio weight 1:3) of natural cotton
Figure 5. 15. a) N ₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms and pore size distributions (inset of the figure)
calculated by applying the BJH method to the desorption data of MDF Ni H ₂ O 300 and 1000 °C samples
High-Resolution TEM images showing the porous structure of MDF Ni H ₂ O b) 300 °C and c) 1000 °C
180
Figure 5. 16. X-ray diffraction patterns of MDF Ni H ₂ O 300 and 1000 °C

Figure 5. 17. Raman spectra of MDF Ni H ₂ O a) 300 and b) 1000 °C samples. Spectra were
deconvoluted into relevant carbonaceous bands as described in the main text. The green line
corresponds to the residual, while the red one represents the fitted data181
Figure 5. 18. High-resolution TEM image and selected-area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) inset
of a MDF sample impregnated with Ni (NO ₃) ₂ solution after pyrolysis up to 300 °C182
Figure 5. 19. XPS spectra exhibiting characteristic peaks located at approximately 285, 400, 533 and
855 eV, corresponding to C_{1s} , N_{1s} , O_{1s} and Ni_{2p} contributions. a) General XPS spectra and b, c, d)
Results of C _{1s} spectra fitting of MDF Ni H ₂ O 300 and 1000 °C compared to that of MDF Ni isop 1000 °C
Figure 5. 20. a) Representative CV curves of MDF Ni H ₂ O 1000 °C carbon at sweep rates ranging from
5 to 200 mV·s ⁻¹ ; b) Typical galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of MDF Ni H ₂ O 1000°C at different
current densities185
Figure 5. 21. a) Specific capacitance as a function of current density; b) Capacitance retention of MDF
Ni H ₂ O 1000 °C over 1000 galvanostatic charge/discharge experiments at 500 mA·g ⁻¹ 185
Figure 5. 22. Summary of relevant electrochemical measurements on a symmetric two-electrode set-
up of MDF Ni H₂O 1000 °C. a) Representative CV curves at different sweep rates ranging from 5 to 200
mV·s ⁻¹ ; b) Typical galvanostatic charge/discharge curves at different current densities. c) Variation of
specific capacitance as a function of current density (from 0.02 to 50 A·g ⁻¹)186
Figure 5. 23. a) Discharge capacities of Fe-graphitized MDF / MDF Ni H ₂ O carbon samples at 1000 °C
compared to one commercial graphite (SMG A4) during cycling at different specific current densities;
b) Capacity retention as a function of specific charge/discharge current density. Error bars are equal to
one standard deviation. C-rate test plan → Cycles 1 - 3: 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹ ; Cycles 4 - 30: 372 mA·g ⁻¹ ; Cycles
31 - 70: rates 37.2, 74.4, 186, 372, 744, 1166, 1860, 3720 mA·g ⁻¹ for each rate 5 cycles; Cycle 70 -
onwards: 372 mA⋅g ⁻¹ 188
Figure 5. 24. Cycling performance of a commercial SMG A4 graphite and MDF Ni H ₂ O derived carbon
anode materials (100 0°C) at specific current of 372 mA·g ⁻¹ for 200 cycles after the C-rate test189
Figure 5. 25. a) Representative constant-current potential profile (at a specific current of 37.2 mA·g-1)
for the 1st charge/discharge cycle. Red area shows the irreversible capacity between the first cycle
charge/discharge capacities

List of Tables

Table 2. 1. Comparative of lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors (100-102).
Table 2. 2. Sodium versus Lithium characteristics (147, 156).
Table 2.3. Properties and characteristics of various carbon materials as supercapacitors electrode
materials (from (210) and (222)) for aqueous electrolytes
Table 3. 1. Overview of graphitic anode materials for lithium-ion batteries by using catalytic
graphitization by means of Fe. Data were collected from previous works as indicated in the table. \dots 67
Table 3. 2. Summary of electrode preparation and cell assembly parameters. 80
Table 3. 3. Summary of most relevant microstructural of MDF-derived carbon samples, with and without
the effect of an Fe catalyst. [Note: Degree of graphitization, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, calculated from Raman spectra fitting
$d_{002}\ stands$ for the interplanar distance of the maximum point reflection of graphite peak (Average
values of two measurements); L_c indicates a stack thickness of the graphite domains along the c-axis
estimated from Scherrer equation; La refers to the crystallite size along the basal planes and was
estimated from Raman fitting]94
Table 3. 4. Summary of surface properties from BET and MNLDFT theories. "Non-basal" and "basal
plane surface areas were calculated from nitrogen adsorption/desorption curves using DFT theore
and both sum up to the DFT surface area100
$\textbf{Table 3. 5.} \ \textbf{Specific discharge/charge capacities } (Q_{\text{dis}} \text{and } Q_{\text{ch}}) \text{of all carbonaceous materials studied in the capacities } (Q_{\text{dis}} \text{and } Q_{\text{ch}}) \text{of all carbonaceous materials } (Q_{\text{dis}} \text{and } Q_{\text{ch}}) \text{of all carbonaceous materials } (Q_{\text{dis}} \text{and } Q_{\text{ch}}) \text{of all carbonaceous } (Q_{\text{dis}} \text{and } Q_{\text{ch}}) $
this work (with and without catalyst), along with respective Coulombic efficiency (CE) and irreversible
capacity (Q_{irr}) of three first galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles (specific current 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) 105 may be capacity (Q_{irr}) of three first galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles (specific current 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) 105 may be capacity (Q_{irr}) of three first galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles (specific current 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) 105 may be capacity (Q_{irr}) of three first galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles (specific current 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) 105 may be capacity (Q_{irr}) of three first galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles (specific current 37.2 mA·g ⁻¹) 105 may be capacity (Q_{irr}) of three first galvanostatic charge (Q_{irr}) of three first ga
Table 3. 6. Specific discharge capacities of all carbon anodes at specific current densities from 37.2
$mA \cdot g^{\text{-1}} \ to \ 3.72 \ A \cdot g^{\text{-1}}) \ (values \ from \ C-rate \ study, \ each \ corresponding \ to \ the \ 4^{th} \ cycle \ after \ specific \ current \ cycle \ after \ specific \ spec$
change)113
Table 4. 1. Overview of biomass-derived anode materials for sodium ion batteries
Table 4. 2. Summary of surface areas as well as pore volumes of olive stone-derived hard carbons as
a function of carbonization temperature from N ₂ at 77 K as well as CO ₂ at 273 K adsorption isotherms
analysis. True density values estimated from helium pycnometry are also included for comparison
Table 4.3 Decults from the deconvolution of Doman apartra, D./C intensity ratio, actimated degree a
Table 4. 3. Results from the deconvolution of Raman spectra: D ₁ /G intensity ratio, estimated degree of graphitization (g) and concentration of defects (R) as a function of heat treatment temperature.
graphitization (α) and concentration of defects (β) as a function of heat-treatment temperature 139 Table 4. 4. Specific reversible capacities of all carbon anodes at specific current densitied between 20
mA·g ⁻¹ and 2000 mA·g ⁻¹ (values from c-rate study, each corresponding to the 3 rd cycle after current rate
changes). Errors represent one standard deviation from three cells
onangoon Enoro reprodent one standard deviation from tilles solle, miniminiminiminiminiminiminiminiminimin

Table 5. 1. Summary of relevant microstructural parameters of MDF samples impregn	ated with nicke
nitrate solutions from N_2 adsorption/desorption measurements and Raman results fi	tting of relevan
carbonaceous bands: D_1/G and D_2/G intensity ratios, estimated degree of graphitization	ι (α) and G band
FWHM	179
Table 5. 2. XPS elemental analysis. Atomic content of C, O, Ni and N chemical group	s, estimation o
C/O and sp³ to sp² ratio from peak deconvolution	183

List of Abbreviations

AC Activated Carbon
ASA Active Surface Area

ATR Attenuated Total Teflection
BET Brunauer, Emmett And Teller

BJH Barrett-Joyner-Halenda
BMD Bockris-Müller-Devanathan

CE Counter Electrode

CMC Carboxymethyl Cellulose

CNT Carbon Nanotube
CV Cyclic Voltammetry

CVD Chemical Vapour Deposition

DEC Diethyl Carbonate

DF Dark Field

DFT Density Functional Theory

DGM Dyglime

DMC Dimethyl Carbonate

DSC Differential Scanning Calorimetry
DTG Differential Thermogravimetric

EC Ethylene Carbonate

EDLC Electric Double-Layer capacitor

EDX Energy Dispersive X-Ray

EG Expanded Graphite
EMC Ethyl Methyl Carbonate

EV Electric Vehicle

FEC Fluoroethylene Carbonate

FTIR Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

FWHM Full Width at Half Maximum

GCD Galvanostatic Charge/Discharge
GIC Graphitic Intercalation Compunds
HAADF High-Angle Annular Dark Field

HR High-Resolution

HTT Heat Treatment Temperature

ICP-OES Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy

IR Infrared Radiation

LIB Lithium Ion Battery

LTO Lithium Titanate Oxide

MDF Medium Density Fiberboard Wood

MNLDFT Modified Non-Local Density Functional

NG Natural Graphite

NMP 1-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidinone
OCV Open-Circuit Potential
PC Propylene Carbonate
PDF Pair Distribution Function

PG Pristine Graphite

PSD Pore Size Distribution

PTFE Polytetrafluoroethylene

PVDF Polyvinylidene Difluoride

RE Reference Electrode

SA Sodium Alginate

SAED Selected Area Diffraction
SEI Solid Electrolyte Interphase
SEM Scanning Electron Microscopy

SG Synthetic Graphite

SHE Standard Hydrogen Electrode

SIB Sodium Ion Battery

STEM Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy

TEM Transmission Electron Microscopy

TGA Thermogravimetric Analysis
TMO Transition Metal Oxides
VC Vinylene Carbonate
WE Working Electrode

XPS X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

XRD X-Ray Diffraction

Notation

 α Degree of graphitization β Concentration of defects

at. Atomic

CECoulombic efficiency d_{002} Interplanar distancedQ/dVDifferential capacity plot

 I_c Charge current I_d Discharge current

 I_{D1}/I_{G} Raman intensity ratio between D₁ and G bands L_{a} Crystallite size along the basal plane of graphite

L_c Crystallite size along the stacking direction of graphite

MDF Fe Medium density fibreboard-derived carbon treated with Fe

Medium density fibreboard-derived carbon treated with water-based nickel MDF Ni H_2O

nitrate solution

Medium density fibreboard-derived carbon treated with isopropanol-based MDF Ni isop

nickel nitrate solution

 P/P_0 Relative pressure P_0 Saturation pressure

Q Capacity

 Q_{ch} Charge capacity Q_{dis} Discharge Capacity Q_{irr} Irreversible capacity Q_{rev} Reversible capacity

t_c Charge time

t_d Discharge time

v/v Volume to Volume Ratio

wt. Weight

Chapter 1 Introduction



Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	
1.2. Aim and objectives of the thesis	3
1.3. Outline of the thesis	
1.4. List of publications	4
1.5. References	8

1.1. Motivation

As a result of climate change and global economic growth, the topic of energy supply and storage has emerged as one of the main issues that humanity faces and, despite their impact in global warming, fossil fuels still remain our main source of energy (1). However, due to the global concerns regarding a future shortage of fossil fuels and raising levels of environmental pollution, there is an urgent need to develop new renewable and sustainable energy sources.

Renewable energy sources, such as solar or wind, have been steadily increasing in recent years (2), but still fall short on supplying energy in a stable and continuous manner due to their strong dependence on atmospheric conditions, which means that peak renewable production normally occurs during periods of low energy demand. Thus, one of the current topics of study is the implementation of large-scale energy storage systems next to power plants to offset the problem of continuity of supply and endow such technologies with future prospects (3). In addition, the growing market of electric vehicles with lower CO₂ emissions and portable electronic devices has also prompted the development of energy storage technologies (4, 5).

The development and improvement of energy storage systems constitutes one of the greatest challenges of present times and has become a primary focus in the scientific and industrial communities (6). Among the variety of systems based on either chemical or physical processes that are capable of storing electrical energy, two major technologies are nowadays in the front line: rechargeable batteries and supercapacitors (7). Lithium-ion rechargeable batteries represent the state-of-the-art technology for portable and electric vehicles applications due to their high gravimetric and volumetric energy densities, despite their poor power density (8). Alternatively, sodium-ion batteries have been proposed as alternative devices to lithium-ion batteries because of the wider availability of source materials and lower manufacturing costs. Meanwhile, supercapacitors deliver excellent cyclic stability and power density, but have rather low energy densities.

Besides the quest for higher energy and power densities, there is interest in developing more environmentally-friendly processes for the manufacturing of these devices, as currently state-of-the-art systems rely on scarce resources, the extraction of which has a tremendous environmental impact (9,

10). Despite intensive effort devoted to find alternative active materials for electrode formulation, carbon materials are still at the forefront of research due to their interesting intrinsic physicochemical properties such as good electrical conductivity, high chemical stability, tailorable surface properties and ease of processing. Carbon materials can be easily obtained from carbonization of naturally available biomass resources, making their synthesis eco-friendly and cost-effective in a circular economy framework (11). However, further efforts are needed to optimize the electrochemical performance of biomass-derived carbon electrodes. Our understanding of how the carbon microstructure and surface properties evolve with processing conditions and their effect on the electrochemical properties is still lacking.

1.2. Aim and objectives of the thesis

The main goal of this thesis is to develop sustainable carbon materials from biomass resources, for their use as electrodes in energy storage systems such as lithium-ion batteries, sodium-ion batteries and supercapacitors. To achieve this goal, we need to establish a correlation between electrochemical properties and structural aspects of the materials, and understand how they are influenced by processing conditions. Accordingly, the specific objectives addressed along the thesis are as follows:

- Explore routes to obtain highly crystalline graphitic materials from biomass resources, by using Fe as a catalyst to induce graphitization at low temperatures (850 2000 °C), as well as to contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms responsible for catalytic graphitization.
- Evaluate the electrochemical properties of Fe-graphitized carbons as anodes for lithium-ion batteries and investigate the influence of processing, microstructural and surface parameters on the anodic electrochemical properties.
- Study the effect of processing parameters on the microstructural and textural characteristics of biomass-derived hard carbon materials (800 - 2000 °C). Carry out a comprehensive study on their structural evolution and local range atomic order as a function of target processing temperature by alternative approaches.
- Evaluate the electrochemical properties of hard carbons from biomass resources as anodes for sodium-ion batteries. Obtain further insight into the storage mechanisms involved at characteristic potential regions and study the dependence of the structural evolution and microstructural features on the anodic electrochemical properties.
- Synthesis and microstructural characterization of nanostructured porous graphene-like carbon materials derived from biomass resources, using an explosion-assisted activation strategy by nitrate compounds and Ni as graphitization catalyst. Evaluation of their electrochemical properties as electrodes in supercapacitors as well as lithium-ion batteries.

1.3. Outline of the thesis

This thesis is structured in six chapters. This first chapter states the goals of this thesis, followed by an outline of each of the following chapters.

The second chapter reviews the classification of carbon materials in terms of their microstructure and the phenomena of catalytic graphitization process by transition metals. Then, the chapter gives an overview of current energy framework, energy storage devices technologies, and state-of-the-art carbonaceous electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries, sodium-ion batteries and electric double-layer capacitors.

The third chapter presents the main results of the graphitization of biomass resources by using Fe as a catalyst and the related microstructural and surface characterization as a function of treatment temperature. Then, the chapter focuses on the electrochemical study of these materials as anodes for lithium-ion batteries to discuss the correlation between microstructural features and anodic electrochemical properties.

The fourth chapter reports on the synthesis of hard carbons from biomass resources and their application as anode materials for sodium-ion batteries, in order to understand the relationship between microstructure and anodic electrochemical properties. This chapter gives significant insights into the sodium storage mechanisms at each characteristic potential regions, contributing to the current debate regarding storage mechanisms of sodium ions into hard carbon structures.

The fifth chapter presents the results of the thermal and microstructural characterization of porous graphene-like carbon materials synthetized by an explosion-assisted activation strategy using highly concentrated nickel nitrate solution as the activating agent. Then, the electrochemical performance of these materials as electrodes for supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries is reported.

Third, fourth and fifth chapters include each a brief scientific background of previous works on specific topics to better understand the main motivation of performing such investigations.

Finally, the sixth chapter outlines the main contributions of this thesis to each of the topics covered and the main conclusions. In addition, some possible future research lines are presented.

1.4. List of publications

The following works are original and fully carried-out by the author and co-authors during the thesis period. The author has express authorization for using their content as parts of the thesis.

Papers in indexed journals directly related to this thesis:

1. A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández, M. Ruttert, M. Winter, T. Placke and J. Ramírez-Rico.

- "New insights into the correlation of structure and performance of hard carbons as anodes for sodium ion batteries" (under review).
- 2. A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Porous graphene-like carbon from fast catalytic decomposition of biomass" (under review).
- A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández, M. Ruttert, A. Heckhmann, M. Winter, T. Placke and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Fe-catalyzed graphitized carbons from biomass resources as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries". Chemsuschem 11, 2776 2787 (2018).
- 4. A. Gómez-Martín, R. Chacartegui, J. Ramírez-Rico and J. Martínez-Fernández. "Performance improvement in olive stone's combustion from a previous carbonization transformation". Fuel 228, 254–262 (2018).

Contributions to national and international conferences directly related to this thesis:

- A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández, M. Ruttert, T. Placke, M. Winter and J. Ramírez-Rico. "New insights into the correlation of microstructure and electrochemical performance of hard carbons for sodium-ion batteries". E-MRS 2019 Spring Meeting. Oral presentation. Nice (France). May, 2019.
- 2. A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández, M. Ruttert, T. Placke and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Hard carbon derived from olive stone as anode for sodium-ion batteries: Further insights into the microstructure on Na+ storage mechanisms". 43rd International Conference & Exposition on Advanced Ceramics and Composites. Invited oral presentation. Daytona Beach (Florida). January, 2019.
- 3. A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Porous graphene-like nanosheets from biomass resources as electrodes for energy storage applications". 43rd International Conference & Exposition on Advanced Ceramics and Composites. Oral presentation. Daytona Beach (Florida). January, 2019.
- 4. A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Nanostructured carbon materials from biomass resources as electrode for energy storage applications". Multifunctional Materials (MultiMat) 2018 National Conference. Oral presentation. Granada (Spain). September, 2018.
- A. Gómez-Martín, M. Ruttert, T. Placke, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Fecatalyzed graphitized carbons from biomass resources as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries".
 Worldwide Carbon 2018 Conference. Oral presentation. Madrid (Spain). July, 2018.
- 6. J. Ramirez-Rico, A. Gómez Martín, J. M. Fernandez, M. Ruttert and T. Placke. "Graphitized carbon materials from biomass resources as electrodes for energy storage systems". 42nd International Conference & Exposition on Advanced Ceramics and Composites. Oral presentation. Daytona Beach (Florida). January, 2018.

7. A. Gutiérrez-Pardo, A. Gómez-Martín, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Graphitization of cellulosic materials by means of an iron catalyst". E-MRS 2016 Fall Meeting. Oral presentation. Warsaw (Poland). September, 2016.

Papers in indexed journals not directly related to this thesis:

- A. Gómez-Martín, A. Gutiérrez-Pardo, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Purification of wood-derived monolithic graphitized carbons for binder-free supercapacitor electrodes". Submitted to Journal of Alloys and Compounds.
- 2. M. P. Orihuela, A. Gómez-Martín, J. A. Becerra, J. Serrano-Reyes, F. Jiménez-Espadafor and R.Chacartegui. "Preliminary study on the performance of biomorphic Silicon Carbide as substrate for Diesel Particulate Filters". Thermal Science 22 (5), 2053-2064 (2018).
- 3. M. P. Orihuela, A. Gómez-Martín, P. Miceli, J. A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui and D. Fino. "Experimental measurement of the filtration efficiency and pressure drop of wall-flow Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF) made of biomorphic Silicon Carbide using laboratory generated particles". Applied Thermal Engineering 131, 41-53 (2017).
- **4.** M. P. Orihuela, **A. Gómez-Martín**, J. A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui and J. Ramírez-Rico. "*Performance of biomorphic silicon carbide as particulate filter in diesel boilers*". Journal of Environmental Management **203 3**, 907-919 (2017).
- A. Gómez-Martín, M. P. Orihuela, J. Ramírez-Rico, R. Chacartegui and J. Martínez-Fernández. "Thermal conductivity of porous biomorphic SiC derived from wood precursors". Ceramics International 42, 16220-16229 (2016).
- **6. A. Gómez-Martín**, M. P. Orihuela, J. A. Becerra, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Permeability and mechanical integrity of porous biomorphic SiC ceramics for application as hot-gas filters". Materials and Design **107**, 450-460 (2016).
- 7. M. J. López-Robledo, A. Gómez-Martín, J. Ramírez-Rico and J. Martínez-Fernández, "Sliding wear resistance of porous biomorphic SiC ceramics". International Journal of Refractory Metals and Hard Materials 59, 26-31 (2016).

Contributions to national and international conferences not directly related to this thesis:

- J. A. Becerra, A. Castillo, H. Cifuentes, A. Gómez-Martín and R. Chacartegui. "Densification of olive pit in pellet form". 26th European Biomass Conference & Exhibition (EUBCE 2018). Poster presentation. Copenhague (Denmark). May, 2018.
- 2. J. Ramirez-Rico, J. M. Fernandez, A. Gomez Martin, P. Orihuela Espina, R. C. Martin and J. Becerra-Villanueva. "Ceria-based catalytic regeneration of wall-flow Diesel Particulate Filters made of biomorphic Silicon Carbide". 42nd International Conference & Exposition on Advanced Ceramics and Composites. Oral presentation. Daytona Beach (Florida). January, 2018.
- 3. P. Orihuela Espina, A. Gomez Martin, J. Ramirez-Rico, J. M. Fernandez, R. Chacartegui-Ramirez

- and M. Singh. "Bioceramic Substrates for Engineering Applications: Particles Filtration in Diesel Engines". 42nd International Conference & Exposition on Advanced Ceramics and Composites. Oral presentation. Daytona Beach (Florida). January, 2018.
- 4. M.P. Orihuela, A. Gómez-Martín, J.A. Becerra-Villanueva, J. Serrano-Reyes, F. Jiménez-Espadafor and R. Chacartegui. "Performance of biomorphic Silicon Carbide as substrate for DPF in an automotive diesel engine". 12th Conference on Sustainable Development of Energy, Water, and Environment Systems (SDEWES 2017). Oral presentation. Dubrovnik (Croatia). October, 2017
- 5. A. Gómez-Martín, M.P. Orihuela, J. Martínez-Fernández, J. A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Assessment of the suitability of biomorphic silicon carbide for filtering applications at high temperatures". LV National Congress of the Spanish Society of Ceramics and Glass (SECV). Invited oral presentation. Sevilla (Spain). October, 2016.
- 6. M.P. Orihuela, A. Gómez-Martín, J. A. Becerra Villanueva, J. Ramírez-Rico and J. Martínez-Fernández. "Performance of biomorphic SiC as particulate filter in diesel engines". LV National Congress of the Spanish Society of Ceramics and Glass (SECV). Oral presentation. Sevilla (Spain). October, 2016.
- 7. M.P. Orihuela, A. Gómez-Martín, J.A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui-Ramirez and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Performance of biomorphic silicon carbide as particulate filter in a diesel boiler". 11th Conference of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment systems (SDEWES 2016). Oral presentation. Lisbon (Portugal). September, 2016.
- 8. A. Gómez-Martín, M.P. Orihuela, J. Martínez-Fernández, J. A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Thermal conductivity and permeability simulations based on X-ray tomography of porous biomorphic SiC". E-MRS 2016 Fall Meeting. Poster presentation. Warsaw (Poland), September, 2016.
- M.P. Orihuela, A. Gómez-Martín, J. Ramírez-Rico, J. Martínez-Fernández and R. Chacartegui-Ramirez. "Assessment of the suitability of bioSiC as substrate of DPFs". Junior EUROMAT 2016. Lausanne (Switzerland). Oral presentation. July, 2016.
- 10. A. Gómez-Martín, M.P. Orihuela, J. Martínez-Fernández, J. A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui, J.Ramírez-Rico. "Assessment of the suitability of biomorphic silicon carbide for filtering applications at high temperatures". V Conference of Young Researchers of the Institute of Ceramics and Glass (ICV). Oral presentation. Madrid (Spain). July, 2016.

Awards in national and international conferences:

1. Award for best 2nd oral presentation: **A. Gómez-Martín**, M. Ruttert, T. Placke, J. Martínez-Fernández and J. Ramírez-Rico. "Fe-catalyzed graphitized carbons from biomass resources as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries". Worldwide Carbon 2018 Conference. Madrid (Spain). July, 2018.

2. Award for best 2nd oral presentation: A. Gómez-Martín, M.P. Orihuela, J. Martínez-Fernández, J. A. Becerra, R. Chacartegui, J.Ramírez-Rico. "Assessment of the suitability of biomorphic silicon carbide for filtering applications at high temperatures". V Conference of Young Researchers of the Institute of Ceramics and Glass (ICV). Madrid (Spain). July 5th, 2016.

1.5. References

- 1. I. Dincer, C. Zamfirescu, in *Advanced Power Generation Systems*. (Elsevier, Boston, 2014), pp. 95-141.
- 2. https://yearbook.enerdata.net/renewables/wind-solar-share-electricity-production.html.
- 3. C. Zhang, Y.-L. Wei, P.-F. Cao, M.-C. Lin, Energy storage system: Current studies on batteries and power condition system. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **82**, 3091-3106 (2018).
- 4. O. Gröger, H. A. Gasteiger, J.-P. Suchsland, Review—Electromobility: Batteries or Fuel Cells? *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **162**, A2605-A2622 (2015).
- R. Schmuch, R. Wagner, G. Hörpel, T. Placke, M. Winter, Performance and cost of materials for lithium-based rechargeable automotive batteries. *Nature Energy* 3, 267-278 (2018).
- A. S. Arico, P. Bruce, B. Scrosati, J.-M. Tarascon, W. van Schalkwijk, Nanostructured materials for advanced energy conversion and storage devices. *Nature Materials* 4, 366-377 (2005).
- 7. M. Winter, R. J. Brodd, What Are Batteries, Fuel Cells, and Supercapacitors? *Chemical Reviews* **104**, 4245-4270 (2004).
- 8. T. Placke, R. Kloepsch, S. Dühnen, M. Winter, Lithium ion, lithium metal, and alternative rechargeable battery technologies: the odyssey for high energy density. *Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry* **21**, 1939-1964 (2017).
- D. Larcher, J. M. Tarascon, Towards greener and more sustainable batteries for electrical energy storage. *Nature Chemistry* 7, 19-29 (2015).
- 10. B. Lee, Y. Ko, G. Kwon, S. Lee, K. Ku, J. Kim, K. Kang, Exploiting Biological Systems: Toward Eco-Friendly and High-Efficiency Rechargeable Batteries. *Joule* **2**, 61-75 (2018).
- 11. W. Long, B. Fang, A. Ignaszak, Z. Wu, Y.-J. Wang, D. Wilkinson, Biomass-derived nanostructured carbons and their composites as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. *Chemical Society Reviews* **46**, 7176-7190 (2017).