## Activities of ABT-773 against *Listeria monocytogenes* and Coryneform Bacteria of Clinical Interest

María del Carmen Conejo,<sup>1</sup> Luis Martínez-Martínez,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Álvaro Pascual,<sup>1,2</sup> Ana Isabel Suárez,<sup>2</sup> and Evelio J. Perea<sup>1,2</sup>

Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine,<sup>1</sup> and University Hospital Virgen Macarena,<sup>2</sup> University of Seville, Seville, Spain

Received 29 July 2002/Returned for modification 9 October 2002/Accepted 31 December 2002

The in vitro activities of ABT-773 were evaluated against 15 *Listeria monocytogenes* strains and 196 coryneform bacteria isolated from clinical samples. One hundred percent of the *L. monocytogenes* strains were inhibited by  $\leq 0.015 \ \mu g$  of ABT-773/ml. MICs of ABT-773 ( $\mu g/ml$ ) at which 50% of the isolates tested were inhibited (MIC<sub>50</sub>s) and MIC<sub>90</sub>s for other organisms were 0.125 and 0.5 (*Corynebacterium amycolatum*), 1 and >32 (*Corynebacterium jeikeium*), 0.03 and >32 (*Corynebacterium minutissimum*), >32 and >32 (*Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum* and *Corynebacterium urealyticum*), 0.125 and >32 (*Corynebacterium striatum*), and 0.03 and 0.5 (*Rhodococcus equi*), respectively.

Two relevant aspects related to corvneform bacteria have become significant during the last decade: the recognition of the medical importance of some species, including Corynebacterium jeikeium, Corynebacterium urealyticum, Corynebacterium striatum, Corynebacterium amycolatum, and Corynebacterium minutissimum, and the changes in the taxonomy of these organisms leading to the recognition of a large number of new species and the redefinition of already known organisms (1, 2, 4, 6, 8). It is critical that studies of the activities of antimicrobial agents be based on testing microorganisms identified according to the new taxonomic criteria, in order to really obtain clinically significant information and to allow the comparison of data obtained from different laboratories (3, 5, 13, 19, 24). Unfortunately, there is yet no standardized methodology for susceptibility testing of coryneform bacteria. The NCCLS has not defined breakpoints for clinical categories of antimicrobial agents against coryneform bacteria, and in the case of Listeria spp. only the category of susceptibility to ampicillin and penicillin has been suggested (16). There is scant information on the activities of antimicrobial agents against coryneform bacteria (4-6, 9). C. jeikeium, C. urealyticum, and C. amycolatum are usually multiresistant organisms, and only glycopeptides remain universally active against these species (4–6, 18, 19, 22, 23). Some reports suggest that other species may be susceptible to commonly used antimicrobial agents, but we lack reliable clinical evidence supporting these in vitro observations. It is necessary to evaluate the activities of new antimicrobial agents against coryneform bacteria of clinical importance (3, 7, 10–12, 19).

It has been shown previously that ketolides show a broader spectrum of activity than do reference macrolides, being active against macrolide-susceptible gram-positive cocci and against gram-positive organisms in which macrolide resistance is caused by active efflux or inducible production of methylase (21). In a previous study we showed that the new ketolide telithromycin was more active than were 14- and 16-membered macrolides, azithromycin, or clindamycin against many coryneform bacteria and had high in vitro activity against *Listeria monocytogenes* (10). The objective of this study was to evaluate the in vitro activities of ABT-773 in comparison with other compounds against *L. monocytogenes* and different species of coryneform bacteria isolated from clinical samples.

Two hundred eleven organisms isolated from clinical samples at the Department of Clinical Microbiology, University Hospital Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain, were evaluated, including the following species (number of strains): L. monocytogenes (15), C. amycolatum (40), C. jeikeium (40), C. minutissimum (14), Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum (12), C. striatum (40), C. urealyticum (40), and Rhodococcus equi (10). Microorganisms were identified according to the method of Funke et al. (4), by using API-CORYNE strips and additional phenotypic tests when necessary. After identification, organisms were maintained in tryptic soy broth-10% glycerol at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. The following reference strains were also tested: C. jeikeium ATCC 43734, C. striatum ATCC 6940, and C. urealyticum ATCC 43042. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 and Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 were used as control strains for susceptibility testing assays. The following compounds were studied: ABT-773 (Abbott), cefuroxime (Sigma), clindamycin (Sigma), co-trimoxazole (Gayoso, Madrid, Spain), erythromycin (Sigma), and vancomycin (Sigma). Solutions of antimicrobial agents were prepared on the same day as testing, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The MICs of the above-indicated antimicrobial agents were determined, as previously described, by in-house microdilution according to NC-CLS guidelines (15, 16), with the exception that, when C. *jeikeium* and *C. urealyticum* (lipophilic organisms) were tested, the broth was supplemented with 0.5% Tween 80 (Difco, Detroit, Mich.). Plates were inoculated with a suspension (approximately  $5 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml) in Mueller-Hinton broth (plus 0.5% Tween 80 in the case of C. jeikeium and C. urealyticum) prepared from bacteria grown on Columbia agar with 5% sheep blood for 24 to 48 h. Plates were incubated, after inoc-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, University of Seville, Apdo. 914, 41080 Seville, Spain. Phone: 34 95 500 8287. Fax: 34 95 437 7413. E-mail: lmartin@us.es.

ulation, at  $35^{\circ}$ C for 20 to 24 h, or (in the case of *C. jeikeium* and *C. urealyticum*) for up to 48 h, to allow bacterial growth in control (antibiotic-free) wells of the microtiter plate.

The activities of the agents herein tested have been considered in terms of MIC<sub>50</sub>s (MICs at which 50% of the isolates tested are inhibited), MIC<sub>90</sub>s, and MIC ranges (Table 1). Additionally, the numbers and percentages of strains inhibited at each concentration of ABT-773 and the related drugs erythromycin and clindamycin have also been determined (Table 2). All strains of *L*. monocytogenes were inhibited by  $\leq 0.015 \,\mu g$  of ABT-773/ml. MIC<sub>90</sub>s of ABT-773 were  $\geq$  32- and  $\geq$  128-fold lower than those of erythromycin and clindamycin, respectively. Interestingly, this value is also much lower than that recently obtained in our laboratory for telithromycin, for which the  $MIC_{50}$  and  $MIC_{90}$  were 0.125 and 0.25 µg/ml, respectively. Our results are similar to those obtained in a previous study (17), in which all 24 strains of L. monocytogenes were inhibited at 0.03  $\mu$ g/ml. This good in vitro activity of ABT-773 against L. monocytogenes contrasts with its relatively high effective dose (100.1 mg/kg of body weight/day) in an animal model of sepsis caused by L. monocytogenes (14). Vancomycin and co-trimoxazole also showed good in vitro activities against L. monocytogenes. ABT-773 inhibited higher percentages of strains of all Corynebacterium species and of R. equi evaluated than did erythromycin. At a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml (the breakpoint of susceptibility for erythromycin against Staphylococcus spp.) the percentages of inhibition by ABT-773 and erythromycin were 92.5 and 15.0% for C. amycolatum, 90.0 and 60.0% for R. equi, 62.5 and 20.0% for C. striatum, 50.0 and 37.5% for C. minutissimum, 47.5 and 2.5% for C. jeikeium, 33.3 and 25.0% for C. pseudodiphtheriticum, and 7.5 and 5.0% for C. urealyticum, respectively. Clindamycin was even less active than erythromycin against the tested strains. We have previously evaluated the activities of telithromycin against coryneform bacteria, with the same methodology used in this study (10). ABT-773 showed an activity similar to that of telithromycin against coryneform bacteria, except in the case of C. striatum, for which the  $MIC_{50}$  and  $MIC_{90}$  of telithromycin (0.03 and 0.06  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively) were lower than those of ABT-773 (0.125 and  $>32 \mu g/ml$ , respectively). The actual reasons for the difference in the activities of the two ketolides against C. striatum are presently unknown. It could well be that ABT-773 is intrinsically less active than telithromycin is against this organism, but since the isolates evaluated in this study were more recent than those in the study with telithromycin, the observed lower susceptibility of C. striatum to ABT-773 could be due to a recent increase in the level of resistance of C. striatum to ketolides, a situation already described for fluoroquinolones (11).

The differences in susceptibilities to ABT-773 and to erythromycin in the tested strains indicate that macrolide-resistant coryneform bacteria are still inhibited by ketolides. The mechanisms underlying this observation remain undefined, since the mechanisms of resistance to both macrolides and ketolides in coryneform bacteria are poorly known. The *ermC* gene has been reported to be present in most *C. striatum* strains resistant to erythromycin (20). The existence of bimodal populations among *C. minutissimum*, *C. pseudodiphtheriticum*, *C. striatum*, and *C. urealyticum* suggests that these species may

TABLE 1. Ranges, MIC<sub>50</sub>s, and MIC<sub>50</sub>s of antimicrobial agents for *L. monocytogenes* and coryneform bacteria

Bacterium (no. of isolates) and	MIC (µg/ml)								
antimicrobial agent	Range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>						
Listeria monocytogenes $(15)^a$									
ABT-773	≤0.015	≤0.015	≤0.015						
Erythromycin	0.125-0.5	0.25	0.5						
Clindamycin	0.5-2	2	2						
Co-trimoxazole	0.015-0.03	0.015	0.03						
Vancomycin	0.5–1	1	1						
C. amycolatum (40)									
ABT-773	≤0.015-2	0.125	0.5						
Erythromycin	≤0.06->128	16	128						
Clindamycin	0.25->64	>64	>64						
Cefuroxime	$\leq 0.03 - > 128$	0.25	0.5						
Co-trimoxazole	$0.25 \rightarrow 16$	>16	>16						
Vancomycin	0.25-1	0.5	0.5						
C. jeikeium (40)	-0.015 > 22	1	> 22						
ABT-773	$\leq 0.015 -> 32$ $\leq 0.06 -> 128$	1	>32 >128						
Erythromycin		>128							
Clindamycin Cefuroxime	0.25 > 64 0.06 > 64	>64 >64	>64 >64						
Co-trimoxazole	0.00 = > 04 0.125 = > 16	>16	>04 >16						
Vancomycin	0.125=>10	0.5	/10						
2	0.5-1	0.5	1						
C. minutissimum (14)	-0.015 > 22	0.02	> 22						
ABT-773	$\leq 0.015 -> 32$	0.03 32	>32						
Erythromycin	$\leq 0.06 -> 128$ 0.06 -> 64	>64	>128 >64						
Clindamycin Cefuroxime	0.06 > 64	>04 0.5	32						
Co-trimoxazole	0.00 = >04 0.06 = >16	2	>16						
Vancomycin	0.125–1	0.25	0.5						
C. pseudodiphtheriticum (12)									
(12) ABT-773	≤0.015->32	>32	>32						
Erythromycin	$\leq 0.06 - > 128$	>128	>128						
Clindamycin	$\leq 0.03 -> 64$	>64	>64						
Cefuroxime	≤0.03-1	0.125	0.5						
Co-trimoxazole	0.5->16	4	>16						
Vancomycin	0.25-0.5	0.25	0.25						
C. striatum (40)									
ABT-773	≤0.015->32	0.125	>32						
Erythromycin	≤0.06->128	8	>128						
Clindamycin	1->64	>64	>64						
Cefuroxime	0.5->64	2	4						
Co-trimoxazole	0.5-16	4	8						
Vancomycin	0.125-0.5	0.25	0.25						
C. urealyticum (40)									
ABT-773	$\leq 0.015 -> 32$	>32	>32						
Erythromycin	$\leq 0.06 -> 128$	>128	>128						
Clindamycin	0.125->64	>64	>64						
Cefuroxime	>64	>64	>64						
Co-trimoxazole	>16	>16	>16						
Vancomycin	0.125-1	0.5	0.5						
Rhodococcus equi (10)	0.015	C							
ABT-773	≤0.015-4	0.03	0.5						
Erythromycin	$\leq 0.06 - > 128$	0.5	>128						
Clindamycin	0.125 > 64	4	>64						
Cefuroxime	0.5 > 64	8	>64						
Co-trimoxazole	0.25->16	16	>16						
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	0.5						

<sup>a</sup> Following the NCCLS suggestion (16), MICs of cefuroxime against *L. mono-cytogenes* are not detailed.

Bacterium (no. of isolates)	A (* * 1 * 1	% of strains inhibited at concn ( $\mu$ g/ml):													
	Antimicrobial agent	≤0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	>64
C. amycolatum (40)	ABT-773 Erythromycin	30.0	35.0	45.0 15.0	75.0	85.0	92.5	95.0	100	30.0	35.5	55.0	80.0	87.5	100
	Clindamycin					10	15.0							17.5	100
C. jeikeium (40)	ABT-773 Erythromycin	7.5	12.5	30 2.5	42.5	45.0	47.5	60.0	62.5 5.0	7.5	65.0		15.0	100 20.0	100
	Clindamycin					2.5									100
]	ABT-773	42.9		20.0		25.7		57.2					64.3	100	100
	Erythromycin Clindamycin			28.6 7.2	14.3	35.7		42.9 28.6	42.9				50.0	57.2	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$
C. pseudodiphtheriticum (12)	ABT-773	33.3											41.7	100	
	Erythromycin		0.2	16.7	25.0	25.0									100
	Clindamycin		8.3		25.0										100
C. striatum (40)	ABT-773 Erythromycin	27.5	30	47.5 20	57.5	60.0	62.5		25.0	47.5	55.0	60.0		100 62.5	100
	Clindamycin			20			2.5	12.5	20.0	17.5	55.0	00.0		02.5	100
C. urealyticum (40)	ABT-773 Erythromycin	5.0		50			7.5	10.0						100	100
	Clindamycin			30	5.0										100
E	ABT-773	100				70.0	100								
	Erythromycin Clindamycin				6.7	73.3	100 6.7	40.0	100						
Er	ABT-773	40.0	60.0	70.0		80.0	90.0			100					400
	Erythromycin Clindamycin	Erythromycin Clindamycin		20.0	20.0	30.0	60.0 30.0	40.0	70.0	70.0					100 100

TABLE 2. Percentages of strains of *L. monocytogenes* and coryneform bacteria inhibited at the indicated concentrations of ABT-773, erythromycin, and clindamycin

express a similar determinant of resistance, but further studies are obviously needed in this area.

All coryneform bacteria evaluated were inhibited by 1 µg of vancomycin/ml, in agreement with previous studies (10, 19, 23). On the other hand, co-trimoxazole was poorly active against coryneform bacteria, and for this agent all  $MIC_{50}$ s against C. amycolatum, C. jeikeium, and C. urealyticum were  $>16 \mu g/ml$ .  $MIC_{50}$ s of co-trimoxazole were higher than 2/38 µg/ml (the breakpoint for staphylococci that may be considered as a reference indicator) for C. pseudodiphtheriticum, C. striatum, and R. equi. Cefuroxime was also poorly active in vitro against most coryneform bacteria. Cefuroxime showed good in vitro activities against C. amycolatum (MIC90, 0.5 µg/ml), C. pseudodiphtheriticum (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.5 µg/ml), and to a lesser extent C. striatum (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 4  $\mu$ g/ml). Although the same percentages of C. amycolatum and C. striatum strains were inhibited by 8 µg of cefuroxime/ml (100%), this compound was more active against the former species, because the percentages of inhibition at 0.5 µg/ml were 92.5% for C. amycolatum and 5% for C. striatum.

In conclusion, ABT-773 shows very good in vitro activity against *L. monocytogenes* and also inhibits a significant number of coryneform bacteria of clinical relevance. ABT-773 shows good in vitro activities against *C. amycolatum* and *R. equi* and moderate activities against *C. minutissimum* and *C. striatum* and the multiresistant species *C. jeikeium*. ABT-773 is poorly active against *C. pseudodiphtheriticum* and *C. urealyticum*.

This study was supported by a grant from Abbott Laboratories.

## REFERENCES

- Collins, M. D., R. A. Burton, and D. Jones. 1988. Corynebacterium amycolatum sp. nov., a new mycolic acid-less Corynebacterium species from human skin. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 49:349–352.
- Funke, G., P. A. Lawson, K. A. Bernard, and M. D. Collins. 1996. Most Corynebacterium xerosis strains identified in the routine clinical laboratory correspond to Corynebacterium amycolatum. J. Clin. Microbiol. 34:1124– 1128.
- Funke, G., V. Pünter, and A. von Graevenitz. 1996. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of some recently established coryneform bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40:2874–2878.
- Funke, G., A. von Graevenitz, J. E. Clarridge, and K. A. Bernard. 1997. Clinical significance of coryneform bacteria. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 10:125– 159.
- García-Rodríguez, J. A., J. E. García Sánchez, J. L. Muñoz Bellido, T. Nebreda Mayoral, E. García Sánchez, and I. García García. 1991. In vitro activity of 79 antimicrobial agents against *Corynebacterium* group D2. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:2140–2143.
- Martínez-Martínez, L. 1998. Clinical significance of newly recognized coryneform bacteria. Rev. Med. Microbiol. 9:55–68.
- Martínez-Martínez, L., P. Joyanes, A. I. Suárez, and E. J. Perea. 2001. Activities of gemifloxacin and five other antimicrobial agents against *Listeria* monocytogenes and coryneform bacteria isolated from clinical samples. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2390–2392.
- Martínez-Martínez, L., A. Pascual, K. Bernard, and A. I. Suárez. 1996. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Corynebacterium striatum*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40:2671–2672.
- Martínez-Martínez, L., M. C. Ortega, and A. I. Suárez. 1995. Comparison of E-test with broth microdilution and disk diffusion for susceptibility testing of coryneform bacteria. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:1318–1321.
- Martínez-Martínez, L., A. Pascual, A. I. Suárez, and E. J. Perea. 1998. In vitro activities of ketolide HMR 3647, macrolides, and clindamycin against coryneform bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42:3290–3292.

- Martínez-Martínez, L., A. Pascual, A. I. Suárez, and E. J. Perea. 1999. In vitro activity of levofloxacin, ofloxacin and D-ofloxacin against coryneform bacteria of clinical interest. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 43(Suppl. C):27–32.
- Martínez-Martínez, L., A. I. Suárez, M. C. Ortega, and E. J. Perea. 1994. Comparative in vitro activities of new quinolones against coryneform bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 38:1439–1441.
- Martínez-Martínez, L., A. I. Suárez, J. Winstanley, M. C. Ortega, and K. Bernard. 1995. Phenotypic characteristics of 31 strains of *Corynebacterium* striatum isolated from clinical samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:2458–2461.
- Mitten, M. J., J. Meulbroek, M. Nukkala, L. Paige, K. Jarvis, A. Oleksijew, A. Tovcimak, L. Hernandez, J. D. Alder, P. Ewing, Y. S. Or, Z. Ma, A. M. Nilius, K. Mollison, and R. K. Flamm. 2001. Efficacies of ABT-773, a new ketolide, against experimental bacterial infections. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2585–2593.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1997. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 4th ed. Approved standard. NCCLS document M/-A4. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 2002. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 12th informational supplement. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- Nilius, A. M., M. H. Bui, L. Almer, D. Hensey-Rudloff, J. Beyer, Z. Ma, Y. S. Or, and R. K. Flamm. 2001. Comparative in vitro activity of ABT-773, a novel antibacterial ketolide. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2163–2168.

- Philippon, P., and E. Bimet. 1990. In vitro susceptibility of *Corynebacterium* group D2 and *Corynebacterium jeikeium* to twelve antibiotics. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 9:892–895.
- Riegel, P., R. Ruimy, R. Christen, and H. Monteil. 1996. Species identities and antimicrobial susceptibilities of corynebacteria isolated from various clinical sources. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 15:657–662.
- Roberts, M. C., R. B. Leonard, R. B. Briselden, F. D. Schoenknecht, and M. B. Coyle. 1992. Characterization of antibiotic resistant *Corynebacterium* striatum strains. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 30:463–474.
- Shortridge, V. D., P. Zhong, Z. Cao, J. M. Beyer, L. S. Almer, N. C. Ramer, S. Z. Doktor, and R. K. Flamm. 2002. Comparison of in vitro activities of ABT-773 and telithromycin against macrolide-susceptible and -resistant streptococci and staphylococci. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46:783–786.
- Soriano, F., C. Ponte, A. Torres, and R. Fernández-Roblas. 1987. Susceptibility of urinary isolates of *Corynebacterium* group D2 to fifteen antimicrobials and acetohydroxamic acid. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 20:349–355.
- Soriano, F., R. Fernández-Roblas, J. Zapardiel, J. L. Rodríguez-Tudela, P. Avilés, and M. Romero. 1989. Increasing incidence of *Corynebacterium* group D2 strains resistant to norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 8:117–118.
- Soriano, F., J. Zapardiel, and N. Nieto. 1995. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Corynebacterium* species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli to 18 antimicrobial agents. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:208–214.