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#### Abstract

:

This paper aim is the analysis of some of the more important facts related with Spanish tourist sector in the year 2002. Number of companies and their legal condition and size, number of premises, number of workers and kind of labour relationship with the tourist firms and so on will be analysed. When possible, the analysis will be widened to the different tourist subsectors or branchs: hotels, restaurants and travel agencies and tour operators. Their figures will be compared with the same ones for the Spanish economy as a whole.
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## 1．－THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISTIC SECTOR IN SPAIN

Tourism industry is one of the more important sectors in Spanish economy． Table 1 provides information about it．The Spanish Tourism Satellite Account reveals that it amounts to as much as $11.6 \%$ of Gross Domestic Product （G．D．P．）．This includes receptive tourism and other components of tourisms， mainly homeland tourism．

TABLE 1：G．D．P．AND TOURIST
SECTOR．YEAR 2002

|  | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| Receptive Tourism | $5.8 \%$ |
| Other Tourist Elements | $5.8 \%$ |
| Total | $11.6 \%$ |

Source：Tourism Satellite Account of Spain．

It Gross Added Value for hotels and restaurants is some what smaller，just 6．9\％ （Graph 1），that is related to fact that hotels and restaurants is just part of tourist sector．
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The importance of hotels and restaurants related to employ is even smaller. Graph 2 provides information at this respect. The percentage of employment related to the whole Spanish employment is about 6.1\%.

GRAPH 2: EMPLOYEEMENT AND ECONOMIC SECTORS


## 2.- TOURIST SECTOR FIRMS: A GENERAL IDEA.

The tourist sector in Spain consisted of $270,553^{2}$ firms in the year 2002. This was $10.30 \%$ of Spanish total firms ${ }^{3}$. Table 2 provides some general figures about these companies. The mean of premises by firm is just of 1.1. The mean gross income was 209.51 thousand euros, with a gross investment of 13.41 thousand euros and the compensation per employee was 5.79 thousand euros.

TABLE2: MAIN TOURIST SECTOR FIGURES. YEAR 2002.

| Tourist Total | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| Premisess / Firm | 1.10 |
| Gross Income / Firm (000 €) | 209.51 |
| Gross Investment /Firm (000 €) | 13.41 |
| Employees Compensation /Wage <br> earners (000 €) | 5.79 |

Source: Annual Services Survey

[^1]In the year 2002, the number of new firms in the sector has been of 39,661, more than one over eight of firms created in Spain (Table 3).

This number is slightly bigger than the number of firms that closed the same year, 38,867, or one over seven of all firms that closed.

TABLE 3: DEMOGRAPHY OF THE COMPANIES. YEAR 2002

|  | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Permanence |  |  |
| Spain Total | $2,383,332$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Tourist | 245,595 | $10.30 \%$ |
| New listed |  |  |
| Spain Total | 327,068 | $100,00 \%$ |
| Tourist | 39,661 | $12.13 \%$ |
| Deslisted |  |  |
| Sapin Total | 274,365 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Tourist | 38,867 | $14.17 \%$ |

Source: DIRCE

As you can see, this firms demography is very dynamic. There are just a $10.30 \%$ of this kind of firms in Spain as a whole, versus $12.13 \%$ of new ones $14.17 \%$ of closed ones.

Tourist sector can be divided in subsectors or branchs of activity. These are show in the figure below.

Tourism $\begin{cases}\text { Hotels and Restaurants }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Hotels and Similar }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Hotels } \\ \text { Camping Sites and Similar }\end{array}\right. \\ \text { Restaurants and Similar }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Restaurants } \\ \text { Bars } \\ \text { Canteens and Catering }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.\end{cases}$
Travel Agencies, Tour Operators and Similar

There were 272,272 tourist firms in Spain in 2002, that is one in 10 of every Spanish company was a tourist one (Graph 3). Almost 187,000 of them were Bars ( $69 \%$ of tourist firms) and more than 53,000 were restaurants (almost $20 \%$ of them).

## GRAPH 3: PERCENTAGE OF FIRMS BY ECONOMIC SECTOR
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## 3.- TOURIST FIRMS LEGAL CONDITION

There are three main legal conditions: Public Limited Company, Limited Liability Company and Physical Persons. Facts about each one of them is provided in Table 4. There are some more legal conditions which have been grouped as Others.

TABLE 4: FIRMS AND LEGAL CONDITION. YEAR 2002

|  | Total | Public Limited <br> Companies |  | Limited Liability <br> Companies |  | Physical Persons |  | Others |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent. | Number | Percent. | Number | Percent. | Number | Percent. |
| All CNAE93 <br> Groups | $2,710,400$ | 126,529 | $4.67 \%$ | 716,542 | $26.44 \%$ | 1662,183 | $61.33 \%$ | 205,146 | $7.57 \%$ |
| Tourist | 272,272 | 6,803 | $2.50 \%$ | 50,033 | $18.38 \%$ | 191,742 | $70.42 \%$ | 23,694 | $8.70 \%$ |
| Hotels and <br> Restaurants | 265,626 | 5,086 | $1.91 \%$ | 47,343 | $17.82 \%$ | 189,644 | $71.40 \%$ | 23,553 | $8.87 \%$ |
| Hotels | 10,896 | 1,829 | $16.79 \%$ | 3,544 | $32.53 \%$ | 4,686 | $43.01 \%$ | 837 | $7.68 \%$ |
| Camping | 6,442 | 627 | $9.73 \%$ | 2,309 | $35.84 \%$ | 2,594 | $40.27 \%$ | 912 | $14.16 \%$ |


| Sites and <br> Similar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Restaurants | 53,121 | 1,589 | $2.99 \%$ | 17,783 | $33.48 \%$ | 27,759 | $52.26 \%$ | 5,990 | $11.28 \%$ |
| Bars | 186,946 | 869 | $0.46 \%$ | 21,818 | $11.67 \%$ | 149,847 | $80.16 \%$ | 14,412 | $7.71 \%$ |
| Canteens <br> and <br> Catering | 8,221 | 172 | $2.09 \%$ | 1,889 | $22.98 \%$ | 4,758 | $57.88 \%$ | 1,402 | $17.05 \%$ |
| Travel <br> Agencies <br> and Tour <br> Operators | 6,646 | 1,717 | $25.84 \%$ | 2,690 | $40.48 \%$ | 2,098 | $31.57 \%$ | 141 | $2.12 \%$ |

Source: DIRCE

Public Limited Companies figures display that the mean for all Spanish firms is $4.67 \%$ while for the tourist sector is just less than half that number. The figures for hotels are twice larger than mean and for travel agencies almost around 5.5 times.

Limited Liability Companies legal condition is more frequent in tourist sector than for the whole economy except for bars y canteens and catering. The higher figures are for travel agencies and tour operators, with 40.48\%.

Physical Persons are very common among bars, with more than $80 \%$ of them belonging to this legal condition.

## 4.- TOURIST FIRMS AND THEIR SIZE

There are a lot of ways to group firms by its size. Table 5 provides information about firms without any wage earners, with 1 or 2 , three to 5 , 6 to 9,10 top 19, 20 to 49, 50 to 99 , 100 to 1999, and 200 or more.

As you can see, the size of Spanish firms is very small. About $89 \%$ of them have less than six wage earners, and only $10.54 \%$ have more than 200 . The
same can be said about tourist firms, but with one difference. The percentage of tourists firms without any wage earner is smaller than the mean, while the number of those with 1 or two is bigger.

TABLE 5: TOURIST FIRMS AND SIZE. YEAR 2002.

| Employees <br> Number | Without Employees | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { From } 1 \\ \text { to } 2 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } 3 \\ \text { to } 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { From } 6 \\ \text { to } 9 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { From } \\ 10 \\ 19 \end{array}\right\|$ | From <br> 20 to <br> 49 | From <br> 50 to 99 | From <br> 100 <br> to <br> 199 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All CNAE93 Groups | 52.59\% | 26.45\% | 10.03\% | 4.86\% | 3.31\% | 1.87\% | 0.50\% | 0.23\% | 10.54\% |
| Tourist Sector | 46.00\% | 31.94\% | 12.14\% | 6.05\% | 2.11\% | 1.15\% | 0.30\% | 0.19\% | 9.61\% |
| Hotels and Restaurants | 46.84\% | 32.15\% | 11.84\% | 5.90\% | 1.80\% | 0.97\% | 0.24\% | 0.16\% | 8.91\% |
| Hotels | 28.71\% | 25.10\% | 13.35\% | 12.10\% | 7.59\% | 7.04\% | 2.53\% | 2.29\% | 29.26\% |
| Camping <br> Sites and <br> Similar | 40.58\% | 27.63\% | 12.96\% | 9.22\% | 4.92\% | 3.29\% | 0.76\% | 0.40\% | 18.19\% |
| Restaurants | 20.68\% | 35.40\% | 22.69\% | 14.25\% | 4.29\% | 2.07\% | 0.38\% | 0.16\% | 20.99\% |
| Bars | 55.42\% | 32.05\% | 8.72\% | 3.04\% | 0.59\% | 0.17\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% | 3.81\% |
| Canteens <br> and <br> Catering | 49.75\% | 26.38\% | 9.93\% | 6.18\% | 3.04\% | 2.32\% | 1.00\% | 0.63\% | 12.54\% |
| Travel Agencies and Tour Operators | 34.64\% | 29.07\% | 16.17\% | 8.17\% | 6.35\% | 3.53\% | 1.04\% | 0.55\% | 19.09\% |

Source: DIRCE

Hotels and Restaurants have greater companies. Both of them have many big firms (more than 200 employees), with almost 20 and more than 10 percentage
points over the mean respectively. On the other hand, both of then have less than half the mean of firms without any wage earner.

Bars have a smaller number of wage earners than average. Only in the percentage of firms with less than two employees is bigger than the mean of Spanish firms.

Travel Agencies and Tour Operators have greater figures for every size, except for non wage earners firms.

Information about legal condition and size of the different tourist subsectors in 2002 is provided in Table 6. The percentage of Physical Persons decreased steadily for every subsector, while the proportion of Public Limited Companies went opposite. On the other hand, the proportion of Limited Liability Companies grew at the beginning but later it began to go down. The size for the utmost of this type of companies was different for each subsector. Hotels and Similar reached the utmost for firms with 5 to 19 employees, Restaurants and Similar for 20 to 99 employees and it was just 2 to 4 size the one in which more Limited Liability Companies there was for travel Agencies and Tour Operators in 2002.

TABLE 6: TOURIST FIRMS BY SIZE AND LEGAL CONDITION . YEAR 2002.

|  | Total | Physical Persons |  | Public Limited Companies |  | Limited Liability Companies |  | Others |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| Tourism |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 270,553 | 202,194 | 74.70\% | 5,694 | 2.10\% | 41,975 | 15.50\% | 20,690 | 7.60\% |
| Less than 2 | 119,196 | 112,421 | 94.30\% | 206 | 0.20\% | 4,748 | 4.00\% | 1,821 | 1.50\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { From } \\ 2 \text { to } 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 108,616 | 76,734 | 70.60\% | 1,228 | 1.10\% | 17,661 | 16.30\% | 12,991 | 12.00\% |
| From <br> 5 to <br> 19 | 37,141 | 12,860 | 34.60\% | 2,097 | 5.60\% | 16,532 | 44.50\% | 5,653 | 15.20\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { From } \\ 20 \text { to } \\ 99 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4,992 | 177 | 3.50\% | 1,787 | 35.80\% | 2,812 | 56.30\% | 216 | 4.30\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 100 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { More } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 608 | 1 | 0.20\% | 375 | 61.70\% | 222 | 36.50\% | 9 | 1.50\% |

Hotels and Similar

| Total | 17,922 | 8,294 | $46.30 \%$ | 2,222 | $12.40 \%$ | 5,633 | $31,40 \%$ | 1,773 | $9.90 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less <br> than 2 | 5,602 | 4,717 | $84.20 \%$ | 89 | $1.60 \%$ | 567 | $10.10 \%$ | 228 | $4.10 \%$ |
| From <br> 2 to 4 | 5,605 | 2,880 | $51.40 \%$ | 249 | $4.40 \%$ | 1,773 | $31.60 \%$ | 703 | $12.50 \%$ |
| From <br> to <br> t9 | 4,276 | 663 | $15.50 \%$ | 568 | $13.30 \%$ | 2,295 | $53.70 \%$ | 750 | $17.50 \%$ |
| From <br> 20 to <br> 99 | 2,077 | 33 | $1.60 \%$ | 1,072 | $51.60 \%$ | 884 | $42.60 \%$ | 88 | $4.20 \%$ |
| 100 <br> or <br> More | 362 | 1 | $0.30 \%$ | 244 | $67.40 \%$ | 114 | $31.50 \%$ | 3 | $0.80 \%$ |

Restaurants and Similar

| Total | 245.650 | 191.144 | $77,80 \%$ | 1.874 | $0,80 \%$ | 33.815 | $13.80 \%$ | 18.817 | $7.70 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less <br> than 2 | 110,319 | 105,112 | $95,30 \%$ | 6 | $0.00 \%$ | 3,644 | $3.30 \%$ | 1,557 | $1.40 \%$ |
| From <br> 2 to 4 | 100,701 | 73,701 | $73.20 \%$ | 298 | $0.30 \%$ | 14,453 | $14.40 \%$ | 12,248 | $12.20 \%$ |
| From <br> t to <br> 19 | 31,725 | 12,186 | $38.40 \%$ | 915 | $2.90 \%$ | 13,734 | $43.30 \%$ | 4,890 | $15.40 \%$ |
| From <br> 20 to <br> 99 | 2,703 | 144 | $5.30 \%$ | 559 | $20.70 \%$ | 1,884 | $69.70 \%$ | 116 | $4.30 \%$ |
| 100 <br> or <br> More | 202 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 95 | $47.00 \%$ | 100 | $49.50 \%$ | 6 | $3.00 \%$ |

Travel Agencies and Tour Operators

| Total | 6,981 | 2,756 | $39.50 \%$ | 1,598 | $22,90 \%$ | 2,527 | $36.20 \%$ | 100 | $1.40 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less <br> than 2 | 3,275 | 2,592 | $79.10 \%$ | 111 | $3.40 \%$ | 537 | $16.40 \%$ | 36 | $1.10 \%$ |
| From <br> 2 to 4 | 2,310 | 153 | $6.60 \%$ | 681 | $29.50 \%$ | 1,435 | $62.10 \%$ | 40 | $1.70 \%$ |
| From <br> 5 to <br> 19 | 1,140 | 11 | $1.00 \%$ | 614 | $53.90 \%$ | 503 | $44.10 \%$ | 13 | $1.10 \%$ |
| From <br> 20 to <br> 99 | 212 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 156 | $73.60 \%$ | 44 | $20.80 \%$ | 12 | $5.70 \%$ |
| 100 <br> or <br> More | 44 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 36 | $81.80 \%$ | 8 | $18.20 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |

Fuente: Annual Services Survey

## 5.- TOURIST FIRMS AND PREMISES

The number of premises is another relevant information about tourists firms. In 2002 there were 312,528 tourist premises. That is, more than one in 10 premises were tourists ones. That percentage is roughly similar to the percentage of tourist firms.

## GRAPH 4: PERCENTAGE TOURIST PREMISES AND SUBSECTORS



Tourist firms had 1.15 branchs in average. The subsector with more premises by firm is the Travel Agencies and Touroperators, with a mean of 1.70, more than one and a half the average of all CNAE93 groups.

TABLE 7: TOURISTS FIRMS AND PREMISES. YEAR 2002

|  | Firms | Premises | Premises <br> /Firms |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All CNAE93 <br> Groups | $2,710,4$ <br> 00 | $2,998,9$ <br> 30 | 1.11 |
| Tourist | $2,438,1$ <br> 28 | $2,686,4$ <br> 02 | 1.10 |
| Hotels and <br> Restaurants | 272,27 <br> 2 | 312,52 <br> 8 | 1.15 |
| Hotels | 10,896 | 12,513 | 1.15 |


| Camping Sites and <br> Similar | 6,442 | 7,310 | 1.13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Restaurants | 53,121 | 57,987 | 1.09 |
| Bars | 186,94 <br> 6 | 194,61 <br> 1 | 1.04 |
| Canteens and <br> Catering | 8,221 | 9,721 | 1.18 |
| Travel Agencies <br> and Tour Operators | 6,646 | 11,320 | 1.70 |

Source: DIRCE

The number of premises by firms grows with the number of workers. The more workers, the more premises has a firm. That is true for every tourist subsector. The relation number of workers/number of premises is higher for travel Agencies and Tour Operators and smaller for Hotels. This is due to the different ratio of workers per branch between different subsectors. The information above is collected in Table 8.

TABLE 8: PREMISES PER FIRM AND SIZE. YEAR 2002

|  | Less <br> than 2 | From <br> 2to 4 | From 5 <br> to 19 | From 20 <br> to 99 | 100 or <br> More | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Tourist Sector | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 9.5 | 1.1 |
| Hotels and Similar | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 1.2 |
| Restaurants and <br> Similar | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 1.1 |
| Travel Agencies and <br> Tour Operators | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 71.0 | 1.8 |

Source: Annual Services Source

Table 9 provides information about premises and size. The column without employees does not mean there is a premise without at least one worker, there are workers which are non wage earners. The tourist firms are more common
than average between 1 and 9 employees, being their percentage inferior in every other size.

TABLE 9: TOURIST FIRMS, PREMISES AND SIZE. YEAR 2002

| Number of Employees | Without Employees | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { From } 1 \\ \text { to } 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | From 3 to 5 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { From } 6 \\ \text { to } 9 \end{array}\right\|$ | From <br> 10 to <br> 19 | From <br> 20 to <br> 49 | From <br> 50 to 99 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { From } \\ 100 \\ \text { to } \\ 199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { More } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total NACE93 groups | 49.56\% | 27.64\% | 11.25\% | 5.15\% | 3.64\% | 1.89\% | 0.51\% | 0.22\% | 0.14\% |
| Tourist | 44.88\% | 32.66\% | 13.20\% | 5.53\% | 2.05\% | 1.17\% | 0.29\% | 0.16\% | 0.06\% |
| Hotels and Restaurants | 45.41\% | 32.66\% | 12.79\% | 5.50\% | 1.96\% | 1.18\% | 0.29\% | 0.16\% | 0.06\% |
| Hotels | 27.78\% | 25.63\% | 13.48\% | 10.72\% | 8.12\% | 7.97\% | 3.18\% | 2.41\% | 0.71\% |
| Camping Sites and Other Provisions of Short-stay Accommodation | 39.63\% | 28.51\% | 13.64\% | 8.52\% | 5.13\% | 3.41\% | 0.79\% | 0.26\% | 0.11\% |
| Restaurants | 20.38\% | 35.83\% | 23.82\% | 13.02\% | 4.39\% | 2.09\% | 0.34\% | 0.10\% | 0.03\% |
| Bars | 54.24\% | 32.61\% | 9.45\% | 2.77\% | 0.63\% | 0.26\% | 0.03\% | 0.01\% | 0.00\% |
| Canteens and Catering | 44.90\% | 26.99\% | 12.31\% | 6.19\% | 3.62\% | 3.74\% | 1.20\% | 0.66\% | 0.37\% |
| Activities of Travel Agencies, Tour Operators and Other Activities | 31.79\% | 32.63\% | 23.42\% | 6.40\% | 4.36\% | 1.10\% | 0.20\% | 0.09\% | 0.01\% |

Source: DIRCE 2002

Hotels are companies bigger than average. Their percentage is higher for every size bigger than 3 employees. It is almost the same for Camping Sites and

Similar. There are higher percentages in companies between 1 ant 199 employees.

Bars are the only tourist subsector which in 2002 had a bigger percentage of firms without employees than the mean in Spanish firms. There were almost no great enterprises.

Travel Agencies and tour operators size was concentrated between 1 and 19 wage earners.

## 6.- TOURIST FIRMS AND LABOUR RELATIONS

There are different kinds of workers by their labour relationship with a company:
$\begin{cases}\begin{array}{cl}\text { Wage Earners or } \\ \text { Employees }\end{array} & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Indefinite } \\ \text { Temporary }\end{array}\right. \\ \text { Non Wage Earners } & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Self-employed } \\ \text { Employer } \\ \text { Member of a Cooperative } \\ \text { Family Assitance }\end{array}\right.\end{cases}$

In 2002 there were 1,167,873 workers in the service sector. The $75.65 \%$ of them wage earners. The percentage of Wage earners is much smaller among bars workers. It is the only subsector whose proportion is lower than mean.

Most of them worked in Restaurants and Bars (388 and 383 thousands respectively), and only 47,000 worked in Travel Agencies and tour Operators.

|  | on <br> 09-30-02 | on 09- <br> 0. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total Tourist Sector | $1,167,873$ | 883,229 | $75.6 \%$ |
| Hotels and Similar | 232,839 | 224,056 | $96.2 \%$ |
| Camping Sites and Other Provisions of Short-stay Accommodation | 43,264 | 37,383 | $86.4 \%$ |
| Restaurants | 388,197 | 323,705 | $83.4 \%$ |
| Cars | 383,703 | 189,100 | $49.3 \%$ |
| Activities of Travel Agencies,Tour Operators and OtherActivities | 72,870 | 66,184 | $90.8 \%$ |

Graph 5 provides information about the professional situation or type of labourer relationship of tourist sector workers and Spanish workers. The proportion of Wage earners among tourist workers is smaller than among all Spanish workers ( $24.4 \%$ and $19.2 \%$ respectively). The situation of tourist wage earners is quite instable in comparison with other workers, as the percentage of temporary workers is as high as $29.2 \%$.

## GRAPH 5: WORKERS AND PROFESSIONAL SITUATION



The case for the different tourist subsectors is shown in Graph 6. The percentage of wage earners is very high for Hotels and travel Agencies. Less than $90 \%$ of their workers are non wage earners.

## GRAPH 6: WORKERS PROFESSIONAL SITUATION AND TOURIST SUBSECTORS



| WMm | Wage Earners | Temporary Workers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | No Wage Earner $\square$ | Indefinite Workers |

The percentage of workers with an indefinite work contract is similar to the mean for Hotels and Restaurants, but it is higher for Travel Agencies and Tour

Operators ( $74 \%$ while the mean is $64 \%$ for tourist sector as a whole and 55.8 for Spain).

Gender is another important information about workers. Table 11 provides facts about this matter. There were more males than females working in the tourist sector, even thought the number of women wage earners was higher then the number of men. Related with this, the proportion of wage earners among females was higher than among males ( $84.4 \%$ y $71.3 \%$ respectively). That was true for every tourist subsector, except in Hotels and Similar case. For them, the percentage of wage earners is similar for male and female.

On the other hand, the proportion of males employees with indefinite labour relation is higher than females by 7.68 percentage points. This proportion is even higher in Hotels and Similar subsector, with almost 10 percentage points difference.

TABLE 11: TOURIST WORKERS, LABOUR RELATION AND GENDER. YEAR 2002

|  |  | Total Tourist Sector |  | Hotels and Similar |  | Restaurants and Similar |  | Travel Agencies and Tour Operators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workers | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1,167 \\ , 874 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 276,1 \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 844,7 \\ 70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & \text { s } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 612,4 \\ 58 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,8 \\ 94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 465,0 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16,50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ |
|  | Fema les | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 555,4 \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145,2 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 379,7 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 30,49 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ |
| Wage Earners | Total | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 883,2 \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.63 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 261,4 \\ 39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.69 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 578,9 \\ 89 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.54 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 42,80 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 91.07 $\%$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 436,7 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.31 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,0 \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.74 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 298,2 \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.12 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $14,51$ | 87.91 <br> $\%$ |
|  | Fema les | $\begin{array}{r} 446,5 \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.39 \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 137,4 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.65 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 280,7 \\ 76 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.94 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,29 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.78 \\ \% \end{array}$ |
| Indefinite | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 542,1 \\ 92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.39 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154,2 \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.98 \\ \hline \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 356,3 \\ 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.55 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 31,65 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}73.95 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Male } \\ \text { s } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 285,0 \\ 45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.27 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79,41 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.04 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 194,2 \\ 61 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.14 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11,37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.38 \\ \% \end{array}$ |
|  | Fema les | $\begin{array}{r} 257,1 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.59 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74,79 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.42 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 162,0 \\ 78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.73 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 20,27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.68 \\ \% \end{array}$ |


| Temporary | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 341,0 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.61 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107,2 \\ 37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.02 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 222,6 \\ 50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.45 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,14 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.05 \\ \% \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Male } \\ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 151,6 \\ 82 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.73 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,59 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.96 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.86 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3,138 | $\begin{array}{r} 21.62 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Fema les | $\begin{array}{r} 189,3 \\ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.41 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 62,64 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.58 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118,6 \\ 98 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.27 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8,012 | $\begin{array}{r} 28.32 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Non Wage Earners | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 284,6 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.37 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 14,66 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.31 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 265,7 \\ 82 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.46 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4,199 | 8.93 $\%$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175,7 \\ 31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.69 \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6,891 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.26 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166,8 \\ 46 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.88 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,996 | 12.09 $\%$ |
|  | Fema les | $\begin{array}{r} 108,9 \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.61 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7774 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.35 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 98,93 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.06 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2,203 | 7.22 $\%$ |

Source: Annual Services Survey

## 7.- WORKERS WITHOUT LABOUR RELATIONSHIP WITH THE TOURIST FIRMS.

There are to types of workers whose workforce is employed by firms belonging to the tourist sector but have no labour relationship with the firms. This situation happens when there is a temporary recruitment or when there is a commercial contract between the firm and the worker.

TABLE 12: TOURIST WORKERS WITHOUT LABOUR CONTRACT RELATIONSHIP. YEAR 2002

|  |  | Tourism | Hotels and <br> Similar | Restaurant <br> s and <br> Similar | Travel <br> agencies <br> and Tour <br> Operators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workers <br> with Labour <br> Contract | Number | $1,087,4$ <br> 80 | 24,3481 | 799,033 | 44,966 |
| E.T.T. $^{4}$ | Number | 13,453 | 6,562 | 6,794 | 97 |
|  | Percenta <br> ge | $1.24 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ |
| S.C.L. |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]|  | Percenta <br> ge | $0.44 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: Annual Services Survey

Table 12 provides information about these two types of workers in the tourist sector. Their number is small relative to the number of workers. $1.24 \%$ for E.T.T. and $0.44 \%$ for S.C.L. Recourse to E.T.T. workers is far more common of Hotels and Similar than of any other branch of services, while recourse to S.C.L. is more common of Travel Agencies and Tour Operators.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Francisco Javier Quirós Tomás. Departamento de Administración de Empresas y Marketing. Universidad de Sevilla. Tel: 3495475 27. E Mail: quiros@us.es

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Source: Encuesta Anual de Servicios 2000, INE.
    ${ }^{3}$ Source: Directorio Central de Empresas (DIRCE), year 2000.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ E.T.T.: Empresas de Trabajo Temporal. Firms whose work is to provide temporary staff.
    ${ }^{5}$ S.C.L.: Workers which have a non labour contract with a firm.

