

Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 3265–3288.

Sharp weighted inequalities for the vector-valued maximal function

Carlos Pérez

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
28049 Madrid, Spain
e-mail: carlos.perez@uam.es

work partially supported by DGICYT grant PB940192, Spain

1 Motivation and description of the main results

The purpose of this paper is to obtain some sharp weighted inequalities for the vector-valued maximal function \overline{M}_q which are not within the scope of the standard A_p theory for vector-valued singular integrals as can be found in [RRT]. We start with a review of some of the classical estimates and then we shall state the main results.

1.1 Background

Let M be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function and let \overline{M}_q be the vector-valued maximal operator defined by

$$\overline{M}_q f(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (M f_i(x))^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

This nonlinear operator was introduced by C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein in [FS] as a generalization of both the (scalar) maximal function M and the classical integral of Marcinkiewicz and since then it has played an important role in the development of modern Harmonic Analysis.

We recall the two basic estimates obtained in [FS] for $1 < q < \infty$:

- Let $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p dx. \quad (1)$$

- The following weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate holds: there exists a constant c such that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \left| \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \overline{M}_q f(x) > \lambda\} \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q dx. \quad (2)$$

We are using here the notation $|f(x)|_q = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(x)|^q)^{1/q} = \|f(x)\|_{\ell^q}$.

Another fundamental generalization of the maximal theorem is due to B. Muckenhoupt [M] who gave a characterization of following “weighted norm inequality”

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx, \quad (3)$$

in terms of the A_p condition of Muckenhoupt: there exists a positive constant c such that for all cubes Q

$$A_p \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y) dy \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^{p-1} \leq c. \quad (4)$$

It is also well known that the A_p condition (4) also characterizes all the weights w for which the weighted vector-valued inequality holds

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p w(x) dx. \quad (5)$$

This result is due to K. F. Andersen and R. T. John [AJ], and to V. Kokilashvili [K]. There are by now three ways of proving (5):

- Refining the argument of Fefferman and E. M. Stein in [FS] as done in [AJ] and [K].
- Looking at \overline{M}_q as a vector-valued singular integral with operator-valued kernel satisfying a pointwise gradient condition as can be found in [RRT].
- By applying the extrapolation theory of J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia as mentioned in [GCRdF] p. 521 which yields a simple proof.

In this paper we investigate the two weight problem for the vector-valued maximal function \overline{M}_q

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p v(x) dx, \quad (6)$$

for which none of the above approaches works.

Recall that there is a characterization due to E. Sawyer [S] of the two weight problem in the scalar situation, the following weighted inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Mf(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx, \quad (7)$$

holds if and only if there exists a constant c such that for all cubes Q

$$S_p \int_Q M(v^{1-p'} \chi_Q)(y)^p w(y) dy \leq c \int_Q v(y)^{1-p'} dy. \quad (8)$$

The range $p \leq q$ for (6) is easy to handle since it coincides with the scalar situation. Indeed, if $p \leq q$ we claim that the S_p condition is necessary and sufficient for (6). It is clear that condition (8) is necessary. If we assume (8) we see that (6) is immediate for both $q = p$ and $q = \infty$. Then the case $1 < p < q < \infty$ follows by interpolation for “linearizable operators” in the vector-valued context (cf. the argument given in [GCRdF] p. 482).

Although we shall give a full characterization of (6) in Theorem 2.3 we are more interested in estimates of the form

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p Nw(x) dx, \quad (9)$$

where N is an appropriate (scalar) maximal type operator. Needless to say that the prototypical estimate that we have in mind is the Fefferman–Stein weighted inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Mf(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p Mw(x) dx, \quad (10)$$

which yields, as it is well known, the unweighted vector-valued estimate (1) when $p > q$.

One of the main observations that follows from our results is that \overline{M}_q does **not** verify a similar inequality to (10) on the range $p > q$ (cf. the first remark after Theorem 1.1).

Inequalities of the type (10) reflect how singular is the operator under study. This can be seen for instance with the following sharp inequalities for singular integrals obtained in [P2] for $p > 1$ generalizing some previous estimates obtained by M. Wilson in the range $1 < p \leq 2$ [Wil2]:

Let T be any Calderón–Zygmund operator, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |Tf(x)|^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p M^{[p]+1} w(x) dx, \quad (11)$$

with C independent of w and f . Furthermore, the estimate is sharp since it does not hold for $M^{[p]}$. Here $M^k = M \circ \dots \circ M$ $k = 1, 2, \dots$, denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M iterated k times.

Another example which stresses our point of view is related to the classical Area function. This non linear operator is defined by the integral

$$S_\varphi(f)(x) = \left(\int_{B_t(x)} |f * \varphi_t(y)|^2 \frac{dt dy}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\int \varphi = 0$ and $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n} \varphi(\frac{x}{t})$, $t > 0$. Then the Area function satisfies the following inequality:

Let $1 < p \leq 2$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} S_\varphi(f)(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p M w(x) dx. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, this inequality is false for $p > 2$.

The case $p = 2$ was first obtained by A. Chang, M. Wilson, and T. Wolff in [CWW] and for $1 < p < 2$ by S. Chanillo and R. Wheeden in [CW] as well as the counterexample for $p > 2$. See also the work by M. Wilson [Wil1]–[Wil4].

1.2 Main results

Motivated by the Theorems mentioned above we state now the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 1.1 *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$.*

a) There exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p M^{\lceil \frac{p}{q} \rceil + 1} w(x) dx, \quad (13)$$

for all locally integrable functions f , $w \geq 0$.

*b) Part a) is sharp since there exists **no** constant C such that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p M^{\lceil \frac{p}{q} \rceil} w(x) dx, \quad (14)$$

for all locally integrable functions f , $w \geq 0$. Likewise, the corresponding weak type (p, p) estimate is false.

We now make the following remarks.

(a) It follows from part b) of the Theorem that the vector-valued analogue of the Fefferman-Stein inequality (10)

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p M w(x) dx. \quad (15)$$

is **false** in general in the range $p > q$.

(b) If we look at the proof of (13) we see that we can refine such an inequality by replacing $M^{[\frac{p}{q}]+1}$ by $M_{L(\log L)^{\frac{p}{q}-1+\epsilon}}$, $\epsilon > 0$, or by M_A where A satisfies

$$\int_c^\infty \left(\frac{t}{A(t)} \right)^{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)'-1} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

See Section 3 for the appropriate definition of the maximal type function M_A .

(c) We emphasize on the fact that there is **no** assumption on w other than local integrability. In fact, if we assume that $w \in A_\infty$ then (15) holds being false in general. Indeed, by the Lebesgue differentiation Theorem we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p M w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p M w(x) dx, \quad (16)$$

where in the last inequality we have used the A_p result for \overline{M}_q (5) since $Mw \in A_1$ by standard results (see the last part of Section 4).

Also, we may replace $M^{[\frac{p}{q}]+1}w$ by the A_1 weight $M(w^r)(x)^{1/r}$, $r > 1$, by applying again (5). However, the later class of weights (essentially the class A_1) are pointwise larger than the non A_∞ weights $M^k w$ since it may be shown using standard theory that

For each integer $k = 1, 2, \dots$, each $r > 1$ and each locally integrable function f , we have the following pointwise inequality for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$w(x) \leq M^k w(x) \leq [M(w^r)^{1/r}]_{A_1}^{k-1} M(w^r)(x)^{1/r}.$$

Here $[w]_{A_1}$ denotes the “norm” of $w \in A_1$, namely the smallest constant C such that $Mw \leq Cw$.

(d) Theorem 1.1 indicates that \overline{M}_q behaves more as a singular integral operator rather than as a maximal operator. However, we want to emphasize the fact that inequality (13) does **not** fit within the scope of the theory of vector-valued singular integrals as developed by J. L. Rubio de Francia, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Torrea in [RRT] where the pioneering work [BCP] was updated. In [RRT], the operator \overline{M}_q , as well as many other non-linear operators such as the Area function S_φ , are seen as singular integrals taking values in an appropriate Banach space. Using this point of view, it is possible to translate to this more general context the one weight scalar A_p theory at least for any vector-valued singular integral with sufficiently smooth kernel. However, this is not the case of (13) (nor of (12)) since the result for the (scalar) Hilbert transform (11) is worse than (13) indicating that the operator \overline{M}_q is less singular than H .

The proof of the positive part of Theorem 1.1 does not follow the scheme used in [P2] to treat singular integrals since we cannot dualize (13). We shall derive (13) as a consequence of a

characterization for the two weight problem given in Theorem 2.3. The condition we obtain is a blend of Sawyer's condition S_p together with Rubio de Francia's characterization of vector-valued inequalities for sublinear operators as can be found in [GCRdF] Chapter VI.

It should be mentioned that Y. Rakotondratsimba has obtained in [R] a different characterization of the two weight problem which is much closer in spirit to Sawyer's condition S_p .

1.3 Sharp sufficient conditions close to A_p

In this section we take up the two weight problem for \overline{M}_q that we write in the following more convenient form

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (w(x)\overline{M}_q f(x))^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (v(x)|f(x)|_q)^p dx. \quad (17)$$

The task is to provide sharp sufficient conditions on the weights "close" in structure to the A_p condition.

Let us briefly review some results related to the scalar situation

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (w(x)Mf(x))^p dx \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (v(x)|f(x)|)^p dx. \quad (18)$$

It is well known that the necessary A_p condition for this problem

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x)^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \leq c \quad (19)$$

is not sufficient, and that the correct necessary and sufficient condition is, as we mentioned above, Sawyer's condition which with our normalization on the weights has the following form

$$\int_Q (w(y)M(v^{-p'}\chi_Q)(y))^p dy \leq C \int_Q v(y)^{-p'} dy.$$

The drawback of this condition is that it involves the operator M itself, and it would be interesting to obtain sufficient conditions close in form to the A_p condition (19). Perhaps, the first result in that direction was obtained by C. Neugebauer in [N]. He noticed that if (w, v) is a couple of weights such that for some $r > 1$

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y)^{pr} dy \right)^{1/pr} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(y)^{-p'r} dy \right)^{1/p'r} \leq c \quad (20)$$

for all cubes Q , then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (w(y)Mf(y))^p dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (v(y)|f(y)|)^p dy. \quad (21)$$

In fact Neugebauer proves that (20) is equivalent to showing that there is an A_p weight inserted (pointwise) between w^p and v^p and the result follows trivially. This problem has been considered in [P1] where it is shown that such a strong condition is not needed. In particular it is not necessary to "bump" the left weight w and that much less than a power "bump" is required on the right weight v to get the result. We extract the following result from [P1]. Recall that for a given Young function A and a cube Q on \mathbf{R}^n we defined the A -average of a function f over Q by

$$\|f\|_{A,Q} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1\}.$$

THEOREM 1.2 [P1] *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let B be a doubling Young function such that*

$$\int_c^\infty \left(\frac{t^{p'}}{B(t)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty, \quad (22)$$

for some positive constant c . Let (w, v) be a couple of weights such that there is a positive constant K for which

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} \leq K, \quad (23)$$

for all cubes Q . Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (w(y)Mf(y))^p dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (v(y)f(y))^p dy \quad (24)$$

for all nonnegative functions f .

As we may expect we need to consider stronger conditions on the weights to get corresponding results for \bar{M}_q in the range $p > q$. In particular we need to “bump” the left weight w as well since otherwise the result is false as the counterexample (w, Mw) in (15) shows. Indeed, observe that this pair of weights satisfies (23) for any cube Q and any Young function B :

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right)^{1/p} \|(Mw)^{-1/p}\|_{B,Q} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right)^{1/p} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right)^{-1/p} \right\|_{B,Q} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right)^{-1/p} \|1\|_{B,Q} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \leq Mw(x)$ for $x \in Q$.

THEOREM 1.3 *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$, and let $r = \frac{p}{q}$. Let A, B be doubling Young functions such that both*

$$\int_c^\infty \left(\frac{t^r}{A(t)} \right)^{r'-1} \frac{dt}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_c^\infty \left(\frac{t^{q'}}{B(t)} \right)^{q-1} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad (25)$$

are finite for some positive constant c , that is $\bar{A} \in B_{r'}$ and $\bar{B} \in B_q$. Let (w, v) be a couple of weights such that there is a positive constant K for which

$$\|w^q\|_{A,Q}^{1/q} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} \leq K, \quad (26)$$

for all cubes Q . Then the two weighted vector-valued inequality

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty (w M f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty |v f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \quad (27)$$

holds for all f_i .

Some interesting examples are given by $A(t) \approx t^r (\log t)^{r-1+\delta}$ and $B(t) \approx t^{q'} (\log t)^{q'-1+\delta}$ with $\delta > 0$.

1.4 Endpoint estimates

Although the operator \overline{M}_q is, to some extent, more closely related to a singular integral this is not the case when we look at endpoint estimates such as the following.

THEOREM 1.4 *There exists a constant C such that for each weight w and for all $\lambda > 0$*

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \overline{M}_q f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q M w(x) dx. \quad (28)$$

This result reflects once again that sharp results for \overline{M}_q are independent from the theory of vector-valued singular integrals since we do not know whether the (scalar) Hilbert transform H satisfies

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |Hf(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)| M w(x) dx. \quad (29)$$

See [P2] for sharp results.

There exists an interesting relationship between (28) and a possible vector-valued version of the classical Besicovitch lemma. We shall formulate this as a conjecture. M_w^c denotes the weighted centered maximal function.

CONJECTURE 1.5

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (M_w^c f_i(x))^q\right)^{1/q} > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q w(x) dx. \quad (30)$$

One can show (cf. Section 6) that if the conjecture were true then the inequality (28) follows immediately.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to A. Vargas for several conversations concerning the problems considered in this paper.

2 A characterization of the two weight problem

The purpose of this section is to give a characterization of the two weight problem for the vector-valued maximal function \overline{M}_q . We recall that the case $1 < p \leq q$ is characterized by means of Sawyer's condition S_p . The main result is Theorem 2.3. For the proof of this Theorem it will be more efficient to work within a more general context. Let \mathcal{B} be a basis in \mathbf{R}^n , and by this we mean a collection of open sets in \mathbf{R}^n . We say that w is a weight associated to the basis \mathcal{B} if w is a non-negative measurable function in \mathbf{R}^n such that $w(B) = \int_B w(y) dy < \infty$ for each B in \mathcal{B} . $M_{\mathcal{B},w}$ is the corresponding maximal operator defined by

$$M_{\mathcal{B},w} f(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f(y)| w(y) dy$$

if $x \in \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$ and $M_{\mathcal{B},w} f(x) = 0$ otherwise. If $w \equiv 1$, we just write $M_{\mathcal{B}} f(x)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1 *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$, and let $r = \frac{p}{q}$. Suppose that*

$M_{\mathcal{B},\sigma} : L_{\ell^q}^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_{\ell^q}^p(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$.

Then the two weight vector valued inequality

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (M_{\mathcal{B}} f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(v)} \quad (31)$$

holds if and only if there exists a constant c such that for each $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we can find $G \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma \chi_{\Omega})(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x)^{1/r} G(x) dx, \quad (32)$$

for every set Ω which is a union of sets in \mathcal{B} .

Proof: We first show that condition (32) is necessary. First observe that inequality (31) is equivalent to

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (w^{1/p} M_{\mathcal{B}}(\frac{f_i}{v^{1/p}}))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Now, by Rubio de Francia's theorem (cf. [GCRdF] p. 555) this estimate is equivalent to showing that for each $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ there exists $G \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (w(y)^{1/p} M_{\mathcal{B}}(\frac{f}{v^{1/p}})(y))^q g(y) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(y)|^q G(y) dy,$$

for all f , or what is the same

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_{\mathcal{B}}(f)(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(y)|^q v(y)^{1/r} G(y) dy,$$

for all f . Testing this inequality with $f = \sigma \chi_{\Omega} = v^{1-p'} \chi_{\Omega}$ gives the necessary condition (32).

To prove the sufficiency of (32) we use that L^r and $L^{r'}$ are dual spaces. We adapt the basic ideas from [GCRdF]. If we define I as

$$I = \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (w^{1/p} M_{\mathcal{B}} f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^q,$$

then

$$I = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_{\mathcal{B}}(f_i)(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy$$

for some $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with unit norm. Fix i , and for each integer k consider the set $E_k^i = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : 2^k < M_{\mathcal{B}} f_i(y) \leq 2^{k+1}\}$. From the definition of $M_{\mathcal{B}}$, $E_k^i \subset \cup_j B_{k,j}^i$, where $B_{k,j}^i \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$2^k < \frac{1}{|B_{k,j}^i|} \int_{B_{k,j}^i} f_i(y) dy.$$

Define now $E_{k,1}^i = B_{k,1}^i \cap E_k^i$, and for $j > 1$ $E_{k,j}^i = (B_{k,j}^i \setminus \cup_{s < j} B_{k,s}^i) \cap E_k^i$. For any fixed k , each of the sets E_k^i is the disjoint union of the sets $E_{k,j}^i$. We now can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_{\mathcal{B}} f_i(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy &= \sum_k \int_{E_k^i} M_{\mathcal{B}} f_i(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy \\
&= \sum_{k,j} \int_{E_{k,j}^i} M_{\mathcal{B}} f_i(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy \leq 2^q \sum_{k,j} 2^{kq} (w^{1/r} g)(E_{k,j}^i) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k,j} (w^{1/r} g)(E_{k,j}^i) \left(\frac{1}{|B_{k,j}^i|} \int_{B_{k,j}^i} f_i(y) dy \right)^q \\
&= c \sum_{k,j} (w^{1/r} g)(E_{k,j}^i) \left(\frac{1}{|B_{k,j}^i|} \int_{B_{k,j}^i} \sigma(y) dy \right)^q \left[\frac{1}{\sigma(B_{k,j}^i)} \int_{B_{k,j}^i} \frac{f_i(y)}{\sigma(y)} \sigma(y) dy \right]^q \\
&= c \sum_{k,j} \mu_{k,j}^i (g_{k,j}^i)^q,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_{k,j}^i = (w^{1/r} g)(E_{k,j}^i) \left(\frac{1}{|B_{k,j}^i|} \int_{B_{k,j}^i} \sigma(y) dy \right)^q,$$

and

$$g_{k,j}^i = \frac{1}{\sigma(B_{k,j}^i)} \int_{B_{k,j}^i} \frac{f_i(y)}{\sigma(y)} \sigma(y) dy.$$

We view the sum $\sum_{k,j} \mu_{k,j}^i (g_{k,j}^i)^q$, as an integral on a measure space (X, μ^i) built over the set $X = \{k, j\}$, assigning to each (k, j) the measure $\mu_{k,j}^i$. For $\lambda > 0$, set

$$\Gamma^i(\lambda) = \{(k, j) : g_{k,j}^i > \lambda\},$$

$$\Omega^i(\lambda) = \cup_{(k,j) \in \Gamma^i(\lambda)} B_{k,j}^i.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k,j} (g_{k,j}^i)^q \mu_{k,j}^i = \int_0^\infty \lambda^q \mu^i(\Gamma^i(\lambda)) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

Using (32) we can estimate $\mu^i(\Gamma^i(\lambda))$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^i(\Gamma^i(\lambda)) &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Gamma^i(\lambda)} \mu_{k,j}^i \\
&\leq \sum_{(k,j) \in \Gamma^i(\lambda)} \int_{E_{k,j}^i} M_{\mathcal{B}} (\sigma \chi_{B_{k,j}^i})(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy \leq \int_{\Omega^i(\lambda)} M_{\mathcal{B}} (\sigma \chi_{\Omega^i(\lambda)})(y)^q w(y)^{1/r} g(y) dy \\
&\leq c (\sigma^{1/r} G)(\Omega^i(\lambda)) \leq c (\sigma^{1/r} G) \left(\left\{ y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{B}, \sigma} \left(\frac{f_i}{\sigma} \right)(y) > \lambda \right\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$I \leq c \sum_{i=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^q (\sigma^{1/r} G) \left(\left\{ y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{B}, \sigma} \left(\frac{f_i}{\sigma} \right)(y) > \lambda \right\} \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_{\mathcal{B},\sigma} \left(\frac{f_i}{\sigma} \right) (y)^q \sigma(y)^{1/r} G(y) dy \leq c \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_{\mathcal{B},\sigma} \left(\frac{f_i}{\sigma} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\sigma)}^q \|G\|_{L^{r'}} \\
&\leq c \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f_i}{\sigma} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\sigma)}^q \|g\|_{L^{r'}} = c \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(v)}^q
\end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof of the proposition. \square

We cannot apply immediately Proposition 2.1 to the basis of cubes \mathcal{Q} since it is not true in general that M_σ is bounded on $L_{\ell^q}^p(\sigma)$; recall that for the scalar case we must assume that σ is doubling. However, if we consider the basis of dyadic cubes \mathcal{D} we can still prove that for all $1 < p, q < \infty$

$$M_{\mathcal{D},\mu} : L_{\ell^q}^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_{\ell^q}^p(\mu)$$

for any positive Borel measure μ on \mathbf{R}^n . Indeed, this follows from

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_{\mathcal{D},\mu} f(x)^p w(x) d\mu(x) \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p M_{\mathcal{D},\mu} w(x) d\mu(x), \quad (33)$$

which is a consequence of standard methods. Using this we have the following particular case of Proposition 2.1.

PROPOSITION 2.2 *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$, $r = \frac{p}{q}$ and let $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$.*

Then the two weight vector valued inequality

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (M_{\mathcal{D}} f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(v)} \quad (34)$$

holds if and only if and only there exists a constant c such that for each $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we can find $G \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ such that

$$\int_Q M_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma \chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \leq c \int_Q \sigma(x)^{1/r} G(x) dx, \quad (35)$$

for all dyadic cubes Q .

Proof: To prove the proposition we just need to see that condition (35) extends from dyadic cubes to arbitrary open sets which are union of dyadic cubes. But to do this is simply a repetition of the argument given in [GCRdF] p. 430 and we shall omit it. \square

Finally we have all the ingredients to prove the main Theorem of this section.

THEOREM 2.3 *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$, $r = \frac{p}{q}$, and let $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$. Then the two weight vector valued inequality*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q^p v(x) dx \quad (36)$$

holds if and only if there exists a constant c such that for each $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we can find $G \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ such that

$$\int_Q M(\sigma \chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \leq c \int_Q \sigma(x)^{1/r} G(x) dx, \quad (37)$$

for all cubes Q .

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 all we have to do is to prove that (37) implies (36). Following [GCRdF] p. 432 we shall use the following pointwise estimate

For each integer k , each locally integrable function f , and each $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, there is a constant c , depending only on the dimension n such that the following pointwise inequality holds

$$M^{2^k} f(x) \leq c \frac{1}{|Q_{2^{k+2}}(0)|} \int_{Q_{2^{k+2}}(0)} (\tau_{-t} \circ M_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \tau_t) f(x) dt,$$

where $\tau_t g(x) = g(x - t)$, $Q_r(0)$ is the cube centered at the origin with side length r , and M^δ , $\delta > 0$, is the operator defined as M but with cubes having side length smaller than δ .

Now by Minkowski's inequality and Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\|Mf\| \leq \sup_{t>0} \|(\tau_{-t} \circ M_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \tau_t) f\|$$

for any norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then the boundedness of M is equivalent to the uniform boundedness of $\tau_{-t} \circ M_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \tau_t$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$, namely

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((\tau_{-t} \circ M_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \tau_t) f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(v)} \quad (38)$$

with a constant C independent of $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$. But this is equivalent to

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (M_{\mathcal{D}} f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\tau_t w)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\tau_t v)}. \quad (39)$$

Then we must show that $(\tau_t w, \tau_t v)$ satisfies condition (35) with a constant independent of t . Indeed, let $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q M_{\mathcal{D}}((\tau_t v)^{1-p'} \chi_Q)(x)^q (\tau_t w)(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \\ &= \int_{Q-t} \tau_{-t} \circ M_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \tau_t (\sigma \chi_{Q-t})(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} \tau_{-t} g(x) dx \leq \int_{Q-t} M(\sigma \chi_{Q-t})(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} \tau_{-t} g(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis there exists a function $G(x, t) = G_t(x)$ with $\|G_t\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|\tau_{-t} g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} = \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ and such that the last integral is dominated by a constant, independent of t , times

$$\int_{Q-t} \sigma(x)^{1/r} G_t(x) dx = \int_Q (\tau_t v(x))^{(1-p')/r} \tau_t G_t(x) dx.$$

Observe that $\tilde{G} = \tau_t G_t$ verifies the hypothesis since $\|\tilde{G}\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$. \square

The class of pairs of weights defined by condition (37) is contained in Sawyer's condition (8) as the following argument shows. If (w, v) satisfies (37), then there exists by the Hann-Banach theorem a function $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with unit norm such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_Q M(\sigma\chi_Q)(x)^p w(x)dx\right)^{1/r} &= \left(\int_Q (M(\sigma\chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r})^r dx\right)^{1/r} \\ &= \int_Q M(\sigma\chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

Then by (37) there exists $G \in L^{r'}$ with $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq 1$ and such that this last expression is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \int_Q \sigma(x)^{1/r} G(x)dx. \leq c \left(\int_Q \sigma(x) dx\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} G(x)^{r'} dx\right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_Q \sigma(x) dx\right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover condition (37) is **strictly** contained in S_p as the counterexample (w, Mw) in (15) shows.

3 The main tool: A generalization of the Hardy– Littlewood maximal function and its boundedness properties

The purpose of this section is to introduce a further variation of the Hardy–Littlewood function whose boundedness properties will be the key ingredient to obtain sharp weighted estimates in different situations as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (see in next section) and also in the problem treated in Section 1.3.

Let $A : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an increasing function such that $A(0) = 0$. Given a cube Q on \mathbf{R}^n we define the A –average of a function f over Q by means of the following Luxemburg type “norm”

$$\|f\|_{A,Q} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1\}.$$

We define the corresponding maximal operator M_A by

$$M_A f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f\|_{A,Q},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes containing x . When $A(t) = t^\epsilon$ we get $M_A = M_{L^\epsilon} = M_\epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, but more interesting examples are provided by Young functions like $A(t) = t \log^\epsilon(1+t)$, $\epsilon > 0$ since we are close to the case $A(t) = t$ which corresponds to the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function.

If furthermore A is a Young function, namely A is continuous, convex and increasing, there exists a complementary Young function \bar{A} that satisfies

$$t \leq A^{-1}(t)\bar{A}^{-1}(t) \leq 2t, \quad t > 0., \quad (40)$$

A key fact is the following generalized Hölder’s inequality:

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)g(y)| dy \leq \|f\|_{A,Q} \|g\|_{\bar{A},Q}. \quad (41)$$

The relevant class of Young functions is the following.

DEFINITION 3.1 Let $1 < p < \infty$. We say that a doubling Young function B satisfies the B_p condition if there is a positive constant c such that

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that this condition is equivalent to saying that

$$\int_c^\infty \left(\frac{t^{p'}}{\bar{B}(t)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

THEOREM 3.2 Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

i)

$$B \in B_p;$$

ii) there is a constant c such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_B f(y)^p dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p dy \quad (42)$$

for all nonnegative, locally integrable functions f ;

iii) there is a constant c such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_B f(y)^p w(y) dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p M w(y) dy \quad (43)$$

for all nonnegative, locally integrable functions f , and w ;

iv) there is a constant c such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M f(y)^p \frac{w(y)}{[M_{\bar{B}}(u^{1/p})(y)]^p} dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p \frac{M w(y)}{u(y)} dy, \quad (44)$$

for all nonnegative functions f , w and u ;

v) let $1 < s \leq \infty$, then

$$M_B : L_{\ell^s}^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L_{\ell^s}^p(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Proof: We give the proof of the Theorem for completeness since it will appear in [P1] except for the last equivalence which is needed for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We will show that i) \Rightarrow ii) \Rightarrow iii) \Rightarrow iv) and then that i) \Leftrightarrow v)

For the proof that i) implies ii) we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3 Suppose that B is a Young function, and that f is a nonnegative bounded function with compact support. For each $t > 0$, let $\Omega_t = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B f(y) > t\}$. Then, if Ω_t is not empty, we have

$$\Omega_t \subset \cup_j 3Q_j, \quad (45)$$

where Q_j is the family of nonoverlapping maximal dyadic cubes satisfying

$$\frac{t}{4^n} < \|f\|_{B, Q_j} \leq \frac{t}{2^n} \quad (46)$$

for each integer j .

Furthermore it follows that

$$|\Omega_t| \leq C \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : f(y) > t/2\}} B\left(\frac{f(y)}{t}\right) dy \quad (47)$$

and

$$\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B^d f(y) > \frac{t}{4^n}\} = \cup_j Q_j, \quad (48)$$

where M_B^d denotes the dyadic analogue of M_B .

We defer the proof of the lemma for the moment, and assume i). To prove ii) we shall use the classical approach (cf. for instance [GCRdF] Ch. 2.) Hence, (47) and the change of variable $t = \frac{f(y)}{s}$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_B f(y)^p dy &= p \int_0^\infty t^p |\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B f(y) > t\}| \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty t^p \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : f(y) > t/2\}} B\left(\frac{f(y)}{t}\right) dy \frac{dt}{t} = C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^{2f(y)} t^p B\left(\frac{f(y)}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t} dy \\ &= C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p dy \int_{1/2}^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} = C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p dy, \end{aligned}$$

since $B \in B_p$. This proves that i) implies ii).

For the proof that ii) implies iii) we discretize M_B as follows. We fix a constant $a > 2^n$, and for each integer k we let Ω_k , and D_k be the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_k &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B f(x) > a^k\}, \\ D_k &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B^d f(x) > \frac{a^k}{4^n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here M_B^d denotes the dyadic version of M_B . Hence, by Lemma 3.3 with $t = a^k$ there is a family of maximal nonoverlapping dyadic cubes $\{Q_{k,j}\}$ for which $\Omega_k \subset \cup_j 3Q_{k,j}$, $D_k = \cup_j Q_{k,j}$, and

$$\frac{a^k}{4^n} < \|f\|_{B, Q_{k,j}} \leq \frac{a^k}{2^n}. \quad (49)$$

We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.4 *Suppose $a > 2^n$. For all integers k, j we let $E_{k,j} = Q_{k,j} - Q_{k,j} \cap D_{k+1}$. Then $\{E_{k,j}\}$ is a disjoint family of sets which satisfy*

$$|Q_{k,j} \cap D_{k+1}| < \frac{2^n}{a} |Q_{k,j}|, \quad (50)$$

and

$$|Q_{k,j}| < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2^n}{a}} |E_{k,j}|. \quad (51)$$

We also postpone the proof of this lemma until the end of the proof of the theorem.

Now, using (49) and (51) we can estimate the left side of (43) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_B f(y)^p w(y) dy &= \sum_k \int_{\Omega_k - \Omega_{k+1}} M_B f(y)^p w(y) dy \\
&\leq a^p \sum_k a^{kp} w(\Omega_k) \leq C \sum_{k,j} a^{kp} w(3Q_{k,j}) \leq \\
&\leq C \sum_{k,j} \|f\|_{B, Q_{k,j}}^p w(3Q_{k,j}) = C \sum_{k,j} \|f\|_{B, Q_{k,j}}^p \frac{w(3Q_{k,j})}{|3Q_{k,j}|} |Q_{k,j}| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k,j} \left\| f \left(\frac{w(3Q_{k,j})}{|3Q_{k,j}|} \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{B, Q_{k,j}}^p |E_{k,j}| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k,j} \int_{E_{k,j}} M_B (f(Mw)^{1/p})(y)^p dy \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_B (f(Mw)^{1/p})(y)^p dy \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p Mw(y) dy,
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

since we are assuming ii). This proves iii).

Let us assume that iii) holds. Observe that (44) is equivalent with

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M(fg)(y)^p \frac{w(y)}{[M_B(g)(y)]^p} dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p Mw(y) dy,$$

for all nonnegative functions f , g , and w . Then iv) follows immediately from (43) after an application of the inequality

$$M(fg)(y) \leq M_B f(y) M_B g(y) \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

which is a consequence of the generalized Hölder's inequality (41).

To prove that iv) implies i) we let $w = 1$ in (44) obtaining

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Mf(y)^p \frac{1}{[M_B(u^{1/p})(y)]^p} dy \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)^p \frac{1}{u(y)} dy,$$

for all nonnegative functions f , and u . Testing this inequality with $f = u = \chi_{Q(x,r)}$, where $Q(x,r)$ denotes the cube centered at $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and with sidelength equal to r , we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Mf(y)^p \frac{1}{[M_B(f)(y)]^p} dy \leq C. \tag{53}$$

On the other hand we have for large x that

$$M_{\bar{B}}(f)(x) \approx \frac{1}{\bar{B}^{-1}(|x|^n)}.$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Mf(y)^p \frac{1}{[M_{\bar{B}}(f)(y)]^p} dy &\geq C \int_{|y|>c} \frac{1}{|y|^{np}} \frac{1}{\bar{B}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|y|^n}\right)^p} dy \\
&= C \int_c^\infty \frac{1}{r^{np}} \frac{1}{\bar{B}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{r^n}\right)^p} r^n \frac{dr}{r} \approx \int_c^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

This estimate combined with (53) shows that iv) \Rightarrow i).

To conclude the proof of the Theorem, apart from the proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we need to show that i) \Leftrightarrow v). That i) is necessary is trivial since v) implies the scalar case, namely ii). To show that i) is sufficient observe that the case $p < s$ follows by interpolation from the cases $s = p$, $s = \infty$. Now the case $p > s$ follows from the weighted inequality iii) by standard arguments. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.3: The proof is a simple adaptation of arguments in [GCRdF] Ch. 2. Since f is bounded with compact support, say $\text{supp} f \subset K$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{B,Q} &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\chi_K\|_{B,Q} \\
&= \|f\|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{B^{-1}\left(\frac{|Q|}{|Q \cap K|}\right)},
\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\|f\|_{B,Q} \rightarrow 0$$

as $Q \uparrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Hence, if there are any dyadic cubes Q with $\|f\|_{B,Q} > t$, they are contained in cubes of this type which are maximal with respect to inclusion. We let $C_t = \{P_j\}$ be the family of the dyadic maximal nonoverlapping cubes satisfying

$$t < \|f\|_{B,P_j}.$$

Let P'_j be the only dyadic cube containing P_j with sidelength twice that of P_j . Then

$$t < \|f\|_{B,P_j} \leq 2^n \|f\|_{B,P'_j}.$$

The last inequality can easily be deduced from the definition of the Luxemburg norm using the fact that $t \rightarrow \frac{B(t)}{t}$ is non decreasing. Hence by the maximality of the cubes $\{P_j\}$ we get

$$t < \|f\|_{B,P_j} \leq 2^n t. \tag{54}$$

Observe that from this discussion it is clear that

$$\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B^d f(y) > t\} = \cup_j P_j. \tag{55}$$

Let $x \in \Omega_t$. By definition, there is a cube R containing x such that

$$t < \|f\|_{B,R}. \tag{56}$$

Let k be the unique integer such that $2^{-(k+1)n} < |R| \leq 2^{-kn}$. There is some dyadic cube with side length 2^{-k} , and at most 2^n of them, $\{J_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$, meet the interior of R . It is easy to see that for one of these cubes, say J_1 ,

$$\frac{t}{2^n} < \left\| \chi_{J_1} f \right\|_{B,R}. \quad (57)$$

This can be seen as follows. If for each $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$ we had

$$\left\| \chi_{J_i} f \right\|_{B,R} \leq \frac{t}{2^n},$$

we would get since $R \subset \cup_{i=1}^{2^n} J_i$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{B,R} &= \left\| \chi_{\cup_{i=1}^{2^n} J_i} f \right\|_{B,R} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left\| \chi_{J_i} f \right\|_{B,R} \leq 2^n \frac{t}{2^n} = t, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (56). Since $|R| \leq |J_1| < 2^n |R|$ one can also show

$$\frac{t}{4^n} < \|f\|_{B,J_1}. \quad (58)$$

By letting $C_{t/(4)^n} = \{Q_j\}$, we have by (54) that

$$\frac{t}{4^n} < \|f\|_{B,Q_j} \leq \frac{t}{2^n}, \quad (59)$$

for each j , yielding (46). Equation 48) also follows since $\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B^d f(y) > \frac{t}{4^n}\} = \cup_j Q_j$. Also, we see from (58) that $J_1 \subset Q_k$, for some k , and then $R \subset 3J_1 \subset 3Q_k$. This gives

$$\Omega_t \subset \cup_j 3Q_j,$$

which is (45). Now, by the left side of the inequality (59), and the definition of $\|f\|_{B,Q}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_t| &\leq C \sum_j |Q_j| \\ &\leq C \sum_j \int_{Q_j} B\left(\frac{4^n f(y)}{t}\right) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} B\left(\frac{f(y)}{t}\right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

To obtain (47) we just use the standard idea of writing f as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1(x) = f(x)$ if $f(x) > \frac{t}{2}$, and $f_1(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $M_B f(x) \leq M_B f_1(x) + M_B f_2(x) \leq M_B f_1(x) + \frac{t}{2}$. Finally, since (60) holds for each $f \geq 0$, $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_t| &\leq |\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_B f_1(y) > \frac{t}{2}\}| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} B\left(\frac{f_1(y)}{t}\right) dy \\ &= C \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : f(y) > t/2\}} B\left(\frac{f(y)}{t}\right) dy, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

We now conclude the proof of the Theorem by proving Lemma 3.4.

Proof of Lemma 3.4: The family $E_{k,j}$ is clearly disjoint. We note that (49) and the definition of the Luxemburg norm implies that

$$1 < \frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}|} \int_{Q_{k,j}} B\left(\frac{4^n}{a^k} f(y)\right) dy,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}|} \int_{Q_{k,j}} B\left(\frac{2^n}{a^k} f(y)\right) dy \leq 1.$$

Hence by standard properties of the dyadic cubes we can estimate what portion of $Q_{k,j}$ is covered by D_{k+1} as in [GCRdF] p. 398

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|Q_{k,j} \cap D_{k+1}|}{|Q_{k,j}|} &= \sum_i \frac{|Q_{k,j} \cap Q_{k+1,i}|}{|Q_{k,j}|} = \sum_{i: Q_{k+1,i} \subset Q_{k,j}} \frac{|Q_{k+1,i}|}{|Q_{k,j}|} \\ &< \sum_{i: Q_{k+1,i} \subset Q_{k,j}} \frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}|} \int_{Q_{k+1,i}} B\left(\frac{4^n}{a^{k+1}} f(y)\right) dy \\ &\leq \frac{2^n}{a} \frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}|} \int_{Q_{k,j} \cap \cup_i Q_{k+1,i}} B\left(\frac{2^n}{a^k} f(y)\right) dy \leq \frac{2^n}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $B\left(\frac{2^n}{a} t\right) \leq \frac{2^n}{a} B(t)$, $t > 0$, since $\frac{2^n}{a} < 1$, and because $t \rightarrow \frac{B(t)}{t}$ is increasing. This gives (50). Finally

$$\frac{|E_{k,j}|}{|Q_{k,j}|} > 1 - \frac{2^n}{a} > 0,$$

completing the proof of the Lemma and hence that of Theorem 3.2. \square

4 Proof of the main Theorem

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with the proof of part a), the positive part. We apply Theorem 2.3 by verifying condition (37). The weight w is fixed and v will be chosen in a moment. Recall that $r = \frac{p}{q}$ and that $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$. We need to show that there exists a constant c such that for arbitrary $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ there is $G \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ and such that for all cubes Q

$$\int_Q M(\sigma \chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \leq c \int_Q \sigma(x)^{\frac{1}{r}} G(x) dx. \quad (61)$$

We use the Fefferman-Stein inequality (10) together with the generalized Hölder's inequality (41) to estimate the left hand side of (61) by a multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \sigma(x)^q M(w^{1/r} g)(x) dx &\leq \int_Q \sigma(x)^q M_B(w^{1/r})(x) M_{\bar{B}}(g)(x) dx \\ &= \int_Q \sigma(x)^q [(M_B(w^{1/r})(x))^r]^{1/r} M_{\bar{B}}(g)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $v = (M_B(w^{1/r}))^r$ we have that

$$\int_Q M(\sigma\chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \leq C \int_Q \sigma(x)^{1/r} M_{\bar{B}}(g)(x) dx.$$

To conclude the proof all we have to do know is to choose B such that $\bar{B} \in B_{r'}$, namely that

$$\int_c^\infty \left(\frac{t^r}{B(t)} \right)^{r'-1} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

since by the characterization in Theorem 3.2 $M_{\bar{B}} : L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If we let $\|M_{\bar{B}}\|$ be the norm of this operation we can take $G = \frac{M_{\bar{B}}(g)}{\|M_{\bar{B}}\|}$ such that $\|G\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ and we have

$$\int_Q M(\sigma\chi_Q)(x)^q w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx \leq C \|M_{\bar{B}}(g)\| \int_Q \sigma(x)^{1/r} G(x) dx.$$

Finally we are left with showing that we can pick B such that $v = (M_B(w^{1/r}))^r \leq M^{[r]+1}w$. Indeed, let $B(t) \approx t^r (\log(1+t))^{[r]}$, then $B(t^{1/r}) \approx t (\log(1+t))^{[r]}$ and $(M_B(w^{1/r}))^r = M_{L(\log L)^{[r]}}(w)$. Now, we make the following observation.

Let $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then there exists a constant $C = C_n$ such that for all bounded functions f with support contained in Q

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^k, Q} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q M^k f(y) dy.$$

Indeed, by homogeneity we can assume that the right hand side is one. Then by the definition of the Luxemburg norm it is enough to prove

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) (1 + \log^+(f(y)))^k dy \leq C,$$

which is a consequence of iterating the following well known inequality of E.M. Stein:

$$\int_Q f(y) (1 + \log^+(f(y)))^k dy \leq C \int_Q Mf(y) (1 + \log^+(Mf(y)))^{k-1} dy, \quad (62)$$

with $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Therefore we finally have that $v = M_{L(\log L)^{[r]}}(w) \leq M^{[r]+1}w$ concluding the proof of the Theorem.

This concludes the proof of the first part, for the counterexample we take $n = 1$ and we let N be a large positive integer and $r = \frac{p}{q} > 1$. Set $w = \chi_{(0,1)}$ and define

$$f_i(x) = (\log x)^{-1/q} \chi_{(e^i, e^{i+1})}(x)$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $f_i = 0$ for $i \geq N$. Then,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(M^{[r]}w)}^p = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\log x)^{-1} \chi_{(e^i, e^{i+1})}(x) \right)^r M^{[r]}w(x) dx$$

$$\approx \int_e^{e^N} (\log x)^{-r} (\log x)^{[r]-1} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

When r is an integer this is comparable to $\log N$, and when r is not an integer the integral is a constant independent of N since $[r] - r + 1 > 0$. In any case, it is less than a constant times $\log N$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Mf_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(w)}^p &= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (Mf_i(x))^q \right)^r dx \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{i} \right)^r dx \approx (\log N)^r, \end{aligned}$$

since for $0 < x < 1$ and $i = 1, \dots, N-1$,

$$Mf_i(x) \geq \frac{1}{e^{i+1}} \int_0^{e^{i+1}} (\log y)^{-1/q} \chi_{(e^i, e^{i+1})}(y) dy \approx \frac{1}{i^{1/q}}.$$

To conclude, observe that $(\log N)^r \leq C \log N$ does not make sense for large N with C independent of N . \square

We conclude the section by giving the following simple argument showing that $Mw \in A_1$ assuming that $w \in A_\infty$ which was used to prove inequality (16). Indeed since w satisfies for some $r > 1$ the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q w$$

with C independent of the cube Q . Now for fixed Q and $x \in Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q Mw &\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M(w\chi_{2Q}) + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M(w\chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2Q}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M(w\chi_{2Q})^r \right)^{1/r} + C \inf_Q M(w) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} w^r \right)^{1/r} + M(w)(x) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} w + M(w)(x) \leq C M(w)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $M(\chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2Q} w)(y) \approx M(\chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2Q} w)(z)$ for each $y, z \in Q$, [GCRdF] p. 159 and the L^r boundedness of M . This means that $Mw \in A_1$.

5 Proof of the sharp sufficient conditions

We want to point out that we do not know how to prove this theorem directly from the characterization given in Theorem 2.3. We are going to modify and combine the proof of this Theorem with the results in Theorem 3.2 which in fact contains the key estimate.

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (w M f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^q = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M f_i(y)^q w(y)^q g(y) dy \quad (63)$$

for some $g \in L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with unit norm.

Let i be fixed. For each integer k , and for any arbitrary constant $a > 2^n$ we let Ω_k^i , and D_k^i be the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_k^i &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : a^k < M f_i(x)\}, \\ D_k^i &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M^d f_i(x) > \frac{a^k}{4^n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the classical Calderón–Zygmund decomposition (cf. [GCRdF] p. 137) there exists a family of maximal nonoverlapping dyadic cubes $\{Q_{k,j}^i\}$ for which $\Omega_k^i \subset \cup_j 3Q_{k,j}^i$, $D_k^i = \cup_j Q_{k,j}^i$, and

$$\frac{a^k}{4^n} < \frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}^i|} \int_{Q_{k,j}^i} f_i(y) dy \leq \frac{a^k}{2^n}. \quad (64)$$

We can now estimate the integral in (63) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M f_i(y)^q w(y)^q g(y) dy &= \sum_k \int_{\Omega_k^i - \Omega_{k+1}^i} M f_i(y)^q w(y)^q g(y) dy \quad (65) \\ &\leq a^q \sum_k a^{kq} (w^q g)(\Omega_k^i) \leq C \sum_{k,j} a^{kq} (w^q g)(3Q_{k,j}^i) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}^i|} \int_{Q_{k,j}^i} f_i(y) dy \right)^q (w^q g)(3Q_{k,j}^i) \\ &= C \sum_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_{k,j}^i|} \int_{Q_{k,j}^i} f_i(y) v(y) v(y)^{-1} dy \right)^q (w^q g)(3Q_{k,j}^i) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{|3Q_{k,j}^i|} \int_{3Q_{k,j}^i} f_i(y) v(y) v(y)^{-1} dy \right)^q \frac{1}{|3Q_{k,j}^i|} \int_{3Q_{k,j}^i} w(y)^q g(y) dy |Q_{k,j}^i|. \end{aligned}$$

For each integer k, j we set $E_{k,j}^i = Q_{k,j}^i - Q_{k,j}^i \cap D_{k+1}^i$. Then $\{E_{k,j}^i\}$ is a disjoint family of sets, and by Lemma 3.3 with $B(t) = t$, there is a positive constant β such that for each k, j $|Q_{k,j}^i| < \beta |E_{k,j}^i|$. This together with the generalized Hölder's inequality (41) allows to dominate the last sum by a multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k,j} \|v f_i\|_{\bar{B}, 3Q_{k,j}^i}^q \|v^{-1}\|_{B, 3Q_{k,j}^i}^q \|w^q\|_{A, 3Q_{k,j}^i} \|g\|_{\bar{A}, 3Q_{k,j}^i} |E_{k,j}^i| \\ &\leq K^q \sum_{k,j} \int_{E_{k,j}^i} M_{\bar{B}}(v f_i)(y)^q M_{\bar{A}}(g)(y) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} M_{\bar{B}}(v f_i)(y)^q M_{\bar{A}}(g)(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

since the sets $\{E_{k,j}^i\}$ are pairwise disjoint when i is fixed. Hence, by Hölder's inequality with exponents r and r' we can estimate (63) by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (w M f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^q &\leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (M_{\bar{B}}(f_i v))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^q \|M_{\bar{A}}(g)\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (v f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^q \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (v f_i)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^q \end{aligned}$$

since $M_{\bar{B}} : L_{\ell^q}^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L_{\ell^q}^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $M_{\bar{A}} : L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by Theorem 3.2. \square

6 Endpoint estimates and the Besicovitch lemma

Proof of Theorem 1.4: It is enough to consider $f \geq 0$ in the sense that $f_i \geq 0$ for all i . Let $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M^d(|f|_q)(x) > \lambda\} = \cup Q$, where the dyadic cubes Q are maximal nonoverlapping satisfying

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)|_q dx \leq 2^n \lambda, \quad (66)$$

and $|f(x)|_q \leq \lambda$, a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Write $f = f \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega} + f \cdot \chi_{\Omega} = g + b$. Since $\overline{M}_q f \leq \overline{M}_q g + \overline{M}_q b$, it is sufficient to estimate the distribution set of $\overline{M}_q g$ and $\overline{M}_q b$ separately.

Observing that $|g(x)|_q \leq \lambda$ we get by (10)

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \overline{M}_q g(x) > \lambda/2\}) &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{M}_q g(x)^q w(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (M g_i)(x)^q w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_i(x)^q M w(x) dx \\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g(x)|_q^q M w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q M w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For b we split the distribution set of $\overline{M}_q b$ set as follows. Let $\tilde{\Omega} = \cup \tilde{Q}$, $\tilde{Q} = 3Q$. Then

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \overline{M}_q b(x) > \lambda/2\}) \leq w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega} : \overline{M}_q b(x) > \lambda/2\}) + w(\tilde{\Omega}).$$

The second term is estimated by the left hand side of (66):

$$\begin{aligned} w(\tilde{\Omega}) &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{\tilde{Q}} w(\tilde{Q}) \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(x)|_q dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{\tilde{Q}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(x)|_q M w(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q M w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term we use the argument in [FS] p. 110 which shows that $M b_i(x) \leq M(\bar{b}_i)(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$ where \bar{b}_i is the function

$$\bar{b}_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega \\ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f_i(y) dy & x \in Q \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega} : \bar{M}_q b(x) > \lambda/2\}) &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} (M b_i(x))^q w(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} (M(\bar{b}_i)(x))^q w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \bar{b}_i(x)^q M(w \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}})(x) dx \\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \sum_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f_i(y) dy \right)^q \int_Q M(w \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}})(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_Q \left[\sum_i \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f_i(y) dy \right)^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_Q M(w \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}})(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_Q \left[\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)|_q dy \right]^q \inf_Q M(w) |Q| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)|_q dy \inf_Q M(w) |Q| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_Q \int_Q |f(x)|_q M w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q M w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

As we mentioned in the introduction there exists a close connection between the weighted scalar inequality

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)| M w(x) dx \quad (67)$$

and the the Besicovitch covering lemma [dG]. Indeed, the first observation is that (67) is equivalent to

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M(f \frac{w}{M w})(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)| w(x) dx.$$

The second is that we trivially have the pointwise inequality

$$M(f \frac{w}{M w})(x) \leq c_n M_w^c f(x),$$

where M_w^c is the weighted centered maximal function

$$M_w^c f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{w(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| w(y) dy. \quad (68)$$

Therefore (67) follows from

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M_w^c f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)| w(x) dx$$

which is a consequence of the Besicovitch covering lemma.

We can repeat this argument with M replaced by the vector-valued maximal operator \overline{M}_q except for the fact that there is no vector-valued analogue of the Besicovitch lemma, namely

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (M_w^c f_i(x))^q\right)^{1/q} > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|_q w(x) dx.$$

Combining this estimate together with (68) would yield a different proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

- [AJ] K. F. Andersen and R. T. John, *Weighted inequalities for vector-valued maximal functions and singular integrals*, *Studia Math.* **69** (1980), 97–101.
- [BCP] A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón, R. Panzone, *Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **48**, (1962), 356–365.
- [CWW] S. Y. A. Chang, J. M. Wilson, and T. H. Wolff, *Some weighted norm inequalities concerning the Schrödinger operators*, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **60** (1985), 217–286.
- [CW] S. Chanillo and R. Wheeden, *Some weighted norm inequalities for the Area integral*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **36** (1987).
- [FS] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *Some maximal inequalities*, *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 107–115.
- [GCRdF] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, *North Holland Math. Studies* **116**, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1985).
- [dG] de Guzmán M., *Differentiation of Integrals in \mathbf{R}^n* , *Lect. Notes in Math.* **481**, Springer-Verlag, (1975).
- [K] V. Kokilashvili, *Maximal inequalities and multipliers in weighted Lizorkin-Triebel spaces*. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **19** (2), 272–276.
- [M] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [N] C. J. Neugebauer, *Inserting A_p -weights*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1983), 644–648.
- [P1] C. Pérez, *On sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator between weighted L^p -spaces with different weights*. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **71** (1995), 135–157.
- [P2] C. Pérez, *Weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **49** (1994), 296–308.
- [R] Y. Rakotondratsimba, *A characterization of a two weight norm weight vector-valued inequality for maximal operators*, preprint.
- [RRT] J. L. Rubio de Francia, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Torrea, *Calderón–Zygmund theory for operator-valued kernels*, *Adv. in Math.* **62**, (1986), 7–48.

- [S] E. T. Sawyer, *A characterization of a two weight norm weight inequality for maximal operators*, *Studia Math.* **75** (1982), 1–11.
- [Wil1] J. M. Wilson, *A sharp inequality for the square function*, *Duke Math. Journal.* **55** (1987), 879–887.
- [Wil2] J. M. Wilson, *Weighted norm inequalities for the continuous square functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **314** (1989), 661–692.
- [Wil3] J. M. Wilson, *L^p weighted norm inequalities for the square function, $0 < p < 2$* , *Illinois Journal of Mathematics.* **33** (1989), 361–666.
- [Wil4] J. M. Wilson, *Chanillo–Wheeden inequalities for $0 < p \leq 1$* , *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1990), 283–294.