

EXPONENTIAL DECAY ESTIMATES FOR SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

CARMEN ORTIZ-CARABALLO, CARLOS PÉREZ, AND EZEQUIEL RELA

ABSTRACT. The following subexponential estimate for commutators is proved

$$|\{x \in Q : |[b, T]f(x)| > tM^2f(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\sqrt{\alpha t}\|b\|_{BMO}} |Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

where c and α are absolute constants, T is a Calderón–Zygmund operator, M is the Hardy Littlewood maximal function and f is any function supported on the cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We also obtain that

$$|\{x \in Q : |f(x) - m_f(Q)| > tM_{\lambda_n, Q}^\#(f)(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t} |Q|, \quad t > 0,$$

where $m_f(Q)$ is the median value of f on the cube Q and $M_{\lambda_n, Q}^\#$ is Strömberg’s local sharp maximal function with $\lambda_n = 2^{-n-2}$. As a consequence we derive Karagulyan’s estimate:

$$|\{x \in Q : |Tf(x)| > tMf(x)\}| \leq ce^{-ct} |Q| \quad t > 0,$$

from [21] improving Buckley’s theorem [3]. A completely different approach is used based on a combination of “Lerner’s formula” with some special weighted estimates of Coifman–Fefferman type obtained via Rubio de Francia’s algorithm. The method is flexible enough to derive similar estimates for other operators such as multilinear Calderón–Zygmund operators, dyadic and continuous square functions and vector valued extensions of both maximal functions and Calderón–Zygmund operators. In each case, M will be replaced by a suitable maximal operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical problem in Calderón–Zygmund theory is the control of a given singular operator by means of a maximal type operator. As a model example of this phenomenon, we can take the classical Coifman–Fefferman inequality involving a Calderón–Zygmund (C–Z) operator and the usual Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M (see [7]).

Theorem 1.1 (Coifman–Fefferman). *For any weight w in the Muckenhoupt class A_∞ , the following norm inequality holds:*

$$(1.1) \quad \|T^*f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \|Mf\|_{L^p(w)},$$

where $0 < p < \infty$ and $c = c_{n,w,p}$ is a positive constant depending on the dimension n , the exponent p and the weight w .

We use here the standard notation T^* for the maximal singular integral operator of T , $T^*f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |T_\varepsilon f(x)|$, where T_ε is, as usual, the truncated singular

The second author is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation grant MTM2009-08934, the second and third authors are also supported by the Junta de Andalucía, grant FQM-4745.

integral. This theorem says that the maximal operator M plays the role of a “control operator” for C–Z operators, but the dependence of the constant c on both w and p is not precise enough for some applications. The original proof was based on the good- λ technique introduced by Burkholder and Gundy in [4]. The goal is to prove that the following estimate holds

$$(1.2) \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, Mf(x) \leq \gamma\lambda\}| \leq c\gamma |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*(x) > \lambda\}|$$

for any $\lambda > 0$ and for sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$. Very roughly, the main idea to prove (1.2) is to localize the level set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*f(x) > \lambda\}$ by means of Whitney cubes. Then the problem is reduced to study a *local* estimate of the form

$$(1.3) \quad |\{x \in Q : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, Mf(x) \leq \gamma\lambda\}| \leq c\gamma|Q|,$$

where Q is a cube from the Whitney decomposition and where f is supported on Q and by standard methods, weighted norm inequalities for T and M can be derived.

In this paper we focus our attention on the growth rate of γ . In fact (1.3) is too rough since the constant $c = c_{n,p,w}$ obtained in (1.1) is not sharp neither on the A_∞ constant of the weight nor on p as shown by Bagby and Kurtz in [2].

Pursuing the sharp dependence on the A_p constant of the weight w for the operator norm of singular integrals, Buckley improved this good- λ inequality (1.3) (see [3]), obtaining a local exponential decay in γ in the following way:

$$(1.4) \quad |\{x \in Q : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, Mf(x) \leq \gamma\lambda\}| \leq ce^{-c/\gamma}|Q|.$$

Buckley proved this estimate using as a model a more classical inequality due to Hunt for the conjugate function which was inspired by a result of Carleson [5]. We mention here in passing that this optimal weighted dependence, called the A_2 conjecture, has been proved recently and by different means by T. Hytönen in [18] (see also [17], [15] and [16] for a further improvement and the recent work [22] for a very interesting simplification of the proof of the A_2 conjecture). On the other hand, this exponential decay (1.4) has been a crucial step in deriving corresponding sharp A_1 estimate in [25], [27].

Our point of view is different and has been motivated by an improved version of inequality (1.4) due to Karagulyan [21]:

$$(1.5) \quad |\{x \in Q : T^*f(x) > tMf(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t}|Q|, \quad t > 0$$

However, it is not clear that the proof can be adapted to other situations.

In the present article we present a new approach flexible enough to derive corresponding estimates for other operators. Furthermore, our approach allows to recognize and distinguish a notion of “order of singularity” for each operator. To be more precise and as a model, we consider a pair of operators T_1 and T_2 , and consider for a fixed cube Q the level set function

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi(t) := \frac{1}{|Q|} |\{x \in Q : |T_1f(x)| > t|T_2f(x)|\}|, \quad t > 0$$

where f stands for a function, an m -vector of functions or an infinite sequence, depending on the type of operators involved. In any case, all the coordinate functions are assumed to be supported on Q . We will provide sharp estimates on the decay rate for $\varphi(t)$ in different instances of T_1 and T_2 , including the case of C–Z operators, vector-valued extensions of the maximal function or C–Z operators, commutators of singular integrals with BMO functions and higher order commutators. We also provide estimates for dyadic and continuous square functions and for multilinear

C–Z operators. We summarize this different decay rates and the maximal operators involved in Table 1 below (see Section 3 for the precise definitions). Observe that each operator has its maximal operator acting as a control operator and, further, has its specific decay rate for the corresponding level set function $\varphi(t)$.

T_1	T_2	$\varphi(t)$
Vector valued maximal function $\overline{M}_q(\cdot)$, $1 < q < \infty$	$M(\cdot _q)$	$e^{-\alpha t^q}$
Dyadic square function S	M	$e^{-\alpha t^2}$
Continuous square function g_μ^* , $1 < \mu < \infty$	M	$e^{-\alpha t^2}$
C–Z operator T	M	$e^{-\alpha t}$
Multilinear C–Z operator T	Multilinear maximal \mathcal{M}	$e^{-\alpha t}$
Vector valued extension $\overline{T}_q(\cdot)$, $1 < q < \infty$	$M(\cdot _q)$	$e^{-\alpha t}$
Commutator $[b, T]$	$M^2 = M \circ M$	$e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} t}$
Iterated commutator T_b^k	$M^{k+1} = M \circ \dots \circ M$ ($k + 1$ times)	$e^{-(\alpha t)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}$

TABLE 1. Order of singularity for several operators

In this work we will present two different approaches, both based on the use of Lerner’s formula (see Theorem 3.2), which is a very powerful and succesful method as we can see in several recent situations (see [8], [9]). Roughly, the first approach allows us to derive the exponential decay whenever there is a superlinear rate, namely, in all the cases except for the commutators. This method, although it is far from being trivial, can be seen as the natural way to exploit Lerner’s formula to obtain the exponential decay. However, it fails when we consider the case of commutators. Hence, to be able to tackle this latter case, we develop a different method, which is the more original and substantial contribution of the present article: a novel approach and a different type of proof based on weighted estimates. This second approach uses Lerner’s formula to derive suitable local versions of weighted norm inequalities of Coifman–Fefferman type. This, combined with factorization arguments, gives *all* the results, including commutators of any order. In addition, we present here a sort of “template”, a general scheme that can be applied to any pair of operators fulfilling certain general hypothesis.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the precise statement of our results. In Section 3 we include some preliminary definitions and tools needed

in the sequel. In Section 4 we present our first approach and provide the proofs of the “superlinear” results. In Section 5 we present our second approach and prove *all* the results of the paper. In this final section we also include some background on weights and, in addition, some new extensions of classical results.

2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we present the precise statement of the main results of this paper. We start with a general result involving a generic a function f and its *local maximal function* $M_{\lambda;Q}^{\#}f$ in a given cube Q (see Section 3 for the precise definitions).

• The key estimate: a John–Strömberg–Fefferman–Stein type inequality

Theorem 2.1. *Let Q be a cube and let $f \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq Q$. Then there are constants $\alpha, c > 0$ such that*

$$(2.1) \quad |\{x \in Q : |f(x) - m_f(Q)| > tM_{2^{-n-2};Q}^{\#}(f)(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t}|Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

Such an estimate involving a function controlled in some sense by its sharp maximal function is surely related to Fefferman–Stein inequality, but the version we present here with the *local* sharp maximal function goes back to the work of Strömberg [36] and Jawerth and Torchinsky [19].

Once we have such a general theorem, we can derive the results announced in the introduction for a wide class of singular operators. The idea is to apply the theorem to a given singular operator \mathcal{T} and then use the key tool: a pointwise estimate of the form $M_{2^{-n-2};Q}^{\#}(\mathcal{T}f)(x) \leq c\mathfrak{M}(f)(x)$, where \mathfrak{M} is an appropriate maximal operator.

More precisely, we have the following theorems:

• Calderón–Zygmund Operators.

Theorem 2.2. *Let T be a C-Z operator with maximal singular integral operator T^* . Let Q be a cube and let $f \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq Q$. Then there are constants $\alpha, c > 0$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad |\{x \in Q : |T^*f(x)| > tMf(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t}|Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

• Calderón–Zygmund Multilinear Operators.

Theorem 2.3. *Let T be a m -linear C-Z operator. Let Q be a cube and let \vec{f} be vector of m functions $f_j \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(f_j) \subseteq Q$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then there are constants $\alpha, c > 0$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad |\{x \in Q : |T\vec{f}(x)| > t\mathcal{M}\vec{f}(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t}|Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

• Vector-valued extensions.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and let \overline{T}_q be the vector-valued extension of T , where T is a C-Z operator. Then there are constants $\alpha, c > 0$ such that for any cube Q and any vector-function $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $\text{supp} f \subseteq Q$:*

$$(2.4) \quad |\{x \in Q : \overline{T}_q f(x) > tM(|f|_q)(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t}|Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and let \overline{M}_q be the vector-valued extension of M . Then there are constants $\alpha, c > 0$ such that for any cube Q and any vector-function $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq Q$:*

$$(2.5) \quad |\{x \in Q : \overline{M}_q f(x) > tM(|f|_q)(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t^q} |Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

- Littlewood-Paley square functions.

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be the dyadic square function and let g_μ^* be the continuous Littlewood-Paley square function, with $\mu > 3$. Let Q be a cube and let $f \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq Q$. Then there are constants $\alpha, c > 0$ such that*

$$(2.6) \quad |\{x \in Q : Sf(x) > tMf(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t^2} |Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad |\{x \in Q : g_\mu^*(f)(x) > tMf(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t^2} |Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

We also present here the result for commutators, although it will not follow from Theorem 2.1. We will prove this theorem following the “weighted approach” announced in the introduction.

- Commutators.

Theorem 2.7. *Let T be a Calderón–Zygmund operator and let b be in BMO . Let f be a function such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq Q$. Then there are constants, such that*

$$(2.8) \quad |\{x \in Q : |[b, T]f(x)| > tM^2 f(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\sqrt{\alpha t \|b\|_{BMO}}} |Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

Similarly, for higher commutators we have

$$(2.9) \quad |\{x \in Q : |T_b^k f(x)| > tM^{k+1} f(x)\}| \leq ce^{-(\alpha t \|b\|_{BMO})^{1/(k+1)}} |Q|,$$

for all $t > 0$.

3. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In this section we gather some well known definitions and properties which will be used along this paper. We will adopt the usual notation $f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy$ for the average over a cube Q of a function f .

3.1. Maximal Functions. Given a locally integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^n , the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* M is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing the point x . For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define:

$$M_\varepsilon f(x) = (M(|f|^\varepsilon)(x))^{1/\varepsilon}.$$

The usual sharp maximal function of Fefferman–Stein is defined as:

$$M^\#(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_c \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c| dy,$$

We will also use the following operator:

$$M_\delta^\#(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_c \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

If the supremum is restricted to the dyadic cubes, we will use respectively the following notation M^d , $M_\delta^{\#,d}$ and M_δ^d . We will also need to consider iterations of maximal functions. Let M^k be defined as

$$M^k := M \circ \dots \circ M \quad (k \text{ times}).$$

In addition, for a given cube Q , we will consider local maximal functions. For a fixed cube Q , we will denote by $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ to the family of all dyadic subcubes with respect to the cube Q . The maximal function M^Q is defined by

$$M^Q f(x) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{D}(Q), P \ni x} \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P f(y), dy.$$

Similarly, M_δ^Q , $M^{\#,Q}$ and $M_\delta^{\#,Q}$ are defined in the same way as above.

We introduce the following notation: for a given vector-valued function $f = (f_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ we denote

$$|f(x)|_q := \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j(x)|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Then, the classical *vector-valued extension of the maximal function* introduced by Fefferman and Stein in [10] can be written as follows:

$$\overline{M}_q f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (M f_j(x))^q \right)^{1/q} = |M f(x)|_q,$$

where $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a vector-valued function.

Within the multilinear setting, the appropriate maximal function \mathcal{M} for a m -vector \vec{f} of m functions $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is defined as

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_i(y_i)| dy_i.$$

Note that this operator is pointwise smaller than the m -fold product of M . This maximal operator was introduced in in [28] where it is shown that is the “correct” maximal operator controlling the multilinear C–Z operators.

3.2. Calderón–Zygmund operators. We will use standard well known definitions, see for instance [20, 12]. Let $K(x, y)$ be a locally integrable function defined of the diagonal $x = y$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, which satisfies the size estimate

$$(3.2) \quad |K(x, y)| \leq \frac{c}{|x - y|^n},$$

and for some $\varepsilon > 0$, the regularity condition

$$(3.3) \quad |K(x, y) - K(z, y)| + |K(y, x) - K(y, z)| \leq c \frac{|x - z|^\varepsilon}{|x - y|^{n+\varepsilon}},$$

whenever $2|x - z| < |x - y|$.

A linear operator $T : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *Calderón-Zygmund operator* if it extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and there is a kernel K satisfying (3.2) and (3.3) such that

$$(3.4) \quad Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)f(y) dy,$$

for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \notin \text{supp}(f)$.

Given a C-Z operator T we define as usual the vector-valued extension \bar{T}_q as

$$\bar{T}_q f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tf_j(x)|^q \right)^{1/q} = |Tf(x)|_q,$$

where $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a vector-valued function.

We will also study the problem in the *multilinear* setting, considering multilinear C-Z operators acting on product Lebesgue spaces. Let T be an operator initially defined on the m -fold product of Schwartz spaces and taking values into the space of tempered distributions,

$$T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We say that T is an m -linear C-Z operator if, for some $1 \leq q_j < \infty$, it extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^{q_1} \times \cdots \times L^{q_m}$ to L^q , where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_m}$ and if there exists a function K defined off the diagonal $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$, satisfying

$$T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m$$

for all $x \notin \bigcap_{j=1}^m \text{supp} f_j$. We refer to [14] and [28] for a detailed treatment of these operators.

3.3. Commutators. Let T be any operator and let b be any locally integrable function. The *commutator operator* $[b, T]$ is defined by

$$[b, T]f = bT(f) - T(bf).$$

If $b \in BMO$ and T is a C-Z operators these operators were considered by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss. These operators are more singular than a C-Z operator, a fact that can be seen from the following version of the classical result of Coifman and Fefferman (1.1) for commutators proved by the second author in [32]. One of the main points of this paper is that there is an intimate connection between these commutators and iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

An important point is that these operators are not of weak type (1, 1), but we do have the following substitute inequality.

Theorem 3.1. [31] *Let b be a BMO function and let T be a C-Z operator. Defined the function $\phi(t)$ as follows $\phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$, there exists a positive constant $c = c_{\|b\|_{BMO}}$ such that for all compactly supported function f and for all $\lambda > 0$,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |[b, T]f(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx.$$

A natural generalization of the commutator $[b, T]$ is given by $T_b^k := [b, T_b^{k-1}]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and more explicitly by,

$$T_b^k f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k K(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

We call them *higher order commutators* and the case $k = 0$ recaptures the Calderón–Zygmund singular integral operator, and for $k = 1$ we get the commutator operator defined before. It is shown in [32] that for any $0 < p < \infty$ and any $w \in A_\infty$ there is a constant C such that Again, this inequality is sharp since, M^{k+1} can not be replaced by the smaller operator M^k .

3.4. Littlewood-Paley square functions. Let \mathcal{D} denote the collection of dyadic cubes in \mathbb{R}^n . Given $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, let \widehat{Q} be its dyadic parent, i.e., the unique dyadic cube containing Q such that $|\widehat{Q}| = 2^n |Q|$. The dyadic square function is the operator

$$S_d f(x) = \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} (f_Q - f_{\widehat{Q}})^2 \chi_Q(x) \right)^{1/2},$$

where as usual f_Q denotes the average of f over Q . For the properties of the dyadic square function we refer the reader to Wilson [37].

We will also use the following continuous and more classical version of the square function:

$$(3.5) \quad g_\lambda^*(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_t * f(y)|^2 \left(\frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^{n\lambda} \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\int \phi dx = 0$, $\phi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^n} \phi(\frac{x}{t})$, and $\lambda > 2$ (see [35]).

3.5. Lerner’s formula. In this subsection, we will state a result from [24] which will be fundamental in our proofs. This result is known as “Lerner’s formula”, and allows to obtain a decomposition of a function f that can be seen as a sophisticated Calderón–Zygmund decomposition of that function at all scales.

In order to state Lerner’s result, we need to introduce the main objects involved. For a given a cube Q , the median value $m_f(Q)$ of f over Q is a, possibly non-unique, number such that

$$|\{x \in Q : f(x) > m_f(Q)\}| \leq |Q|/2$$

and

$$|\{x \in Q : f(x) < m_f(Q)\}| \leq |Q|/2.$$

The mean local oscillation of a measurable function f on a cube Q is defined by the following expression

$$\omega_\lambda(f; Q) = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} ((f - c)\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|),$$

for all $0 < \lambda < 1$, and the local sharp maximal function on a fixed cube Q_0 is defined as

$$M_{\lambda; Q_0}^\# f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q \subset Q_0} \omega_\lambda(f; Q),$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q contained in Q_0 and such that $x \in Q$. Here f^* stands for the usual non-increasing rearrangement of f . We will use several

times that for any $\delta > 0$, and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$,

$$(3.6) \quad (f\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda|Q|} \int_Q |f|^\delta dx \right)^{1/\delta},$$

and, as a consequence, that

$$(3.7) \quad |m_f(Q)| \leq \left(\frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)|^\delta dx \right)^{1/\delta},$$

for any $\delta > 0$.

Recall that, for a fixed cube Q_0 , $\mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ denotes all the dyadic subcubes with respect to the cube Q_0 . As before, if $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ and $Q \neq Q_0$, \widehat{Q} will be the ancestor dyadic cube of Q , i.e., the only cube in $\mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ that contains Q and such that $|\widehat{Q}| = 2^n|Q|$. We state now Lerner's formula.

Theorem 3.2. [24] *Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n and let Q_0 be a cube. Then there exists a (possibly empty) collection of cubes $\{Q_j^k\}_{j,k} \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ such that:*

(i) *For a.e. $x \in Q_0$,*

$$(3.8) \quad |f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 4M_{1/4;Q_0}^\# f(x) + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_j \omega_{1/2^{n+2}}(f; \widehat{Q}_j^k) \chi_{Q_j^k}(x);$$

(ii) *For each fixed k the cubes Q_j^k are pairwise disjoint;*

(iii) *If $\Omega_k = \bigcup_j Q_j^k$, then $\Omega_{k+1} \subset \Omega_k$;*

(iv) $|\Omega_{k+1} \cap Q_j^k| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_j^k|$.

Let us remark that in any decomposition as in the previous theorem, if we define $E_j^k := Q_j^k \setminus \Omega_{k+1}$, then we have that $\{E_j^k\}$ is a pairwise disjoint subsets family. Moreover,

$$(3.9) \quad |Q_j^k| \leq 2|E_j^k|.$$

3.6. Pointwise inequalities. In this section we will summarize some important pointwise inequalities involving sharp maximal functions. We start with the following, which is an immediate consequence of the definitions. Given a cube Q , $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, there exists a constant $c = c_\lambda$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad M_{\lambda;Q}^\#(f\chi_Q)(x) \leq cM_\delta^\#(f\chi_Q)(x),$$

for all $x \in Q$. We will also use the following result from [30]. If $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1$, there is a constant $c = c_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad M_\delta^{\#,d}(M_\varepsilon^d(f))(x) \leq cM_\varepsilon^{\#,d}f(x).$$

The idea behind the following list of inequalities is that a sharp maximal type operator acting on several singular operators can be controlled by suitable maximal operators.

Calderón–Zygmund operators and vector valued extensions: Let T be a Calderón–Zygmund operator with maximal singular operator T^* , and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there exists a constant $c = c_\varepsilon$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad M_\varepsilon^\#(T^*f)(x) \leq cMf(x).$$

This follows essentially from [1] where T is used instead of T^* . Moreover, we know from [33] that if $1 < q < \infty$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, then there exists a constant $c = c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad M_\varepsilon^\#(\overline{T}_q f)(x) \leq c M(|f|_q)(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for any smooth vector function $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$.

Multilinear C-Z operators. [28] Let T be a Calderón-Zygmund m -linear operator and let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/m$. Then there exists a constant $c = c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad M_\varepsilon^\#(T(\vec{f}))(x) \leq c \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for any smooth vector function \vec{f} .

Commutators. [31] Let $b \in BMO$ and let $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$. Then there exists a positive constant $c = c_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ such that,

$$(3.15) \quad M_\delta^{\#,d}(T_b^k f)(x) \leq c \|b\|_{BMO} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} M_\varepsilon^d(T_b^j f)(x) + \|b\|_{BMO}^k M^{k+1} f(x),$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all smooth functions f .

Dyadic and continuous square functions. [9],[23] Let S_d be the dyadic square function operator and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then for any function f , every dyadic cube Q , and every $x \in Q$,

$$(3.16) \quad \omega_\lambda((S_d f)^2, Q) \leq \frac{c_n}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| dx \right)^2,$$

and hence

$$(3.17) \quad M_\lambda^{\#,d}(S_d(f)^2)(x) \leq c_\lambda M f(x)^2.$$

For the continuous square function g_μ^* we use the following from [23]. For $\mu > 3$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have that

$$(3.18) \quad M_\lambda^\#(g_\mu^*(f)^2)(x) \leq c_\lambda M f(x)^2.$$

The analogue for the vector-valued extension of the maximal function, from [9] is the following. Fix $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Then for any function $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, every dyadic cube Q , and every $x \in Q$,

$$(3.19) \quad \omega_\lambda \left(\left(\overline{M}_q^d f \right)^q, Q \right) \leq \frac{c_{n,q}}{\lambda^q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \|f(x)\|_{l^q} dx \right)^q$$

Finally, we include here the well known Kolmogorov's inequality in the following form. Let $0 < q < p < \infty$. Then there is a constant $c = c_{p,q}$ such that for any nonnegative measurable function f ,

$$(3.20) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x)^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(Q, \frac{dx}{|Q|})}.$$

(See for instance [13], p. 91, ex. 2.1.5).

4. FIRST APPROACH, PROOF OF LINEAR AND SUPERLINEAR ESTIMATES

We prove in this section Theorem 2.1 and the consequences. The proof is based on Lerner's formula (3.8) combined with a new way of handling the sparse cubes $\{Q_j^k\}$ by means of an exponential vector valued endpoint estimate due to Fefferman-Stein.

4.1. Proof of the key estimate. As already mentioned the proof is based on Lerner's formula from Theorem 3.2. The drawback of the method is that it is not clear if this approach allows us to derive such sharp exponential decays for the case of commutators. We remark that a slightly weaker result, involving $M_\delta^\#$ instead of the local sharp maximal function was proved by the second author in [6], Chapter 3.

of Theorem 2.1. We consider the distribution set

$$E_Q := \{x \in Q : |f(x) - m_f(Q)| > tM_{2^{-n-2},Q}^\#(f)(x)\}.$$

Then, by (3.8) and for appropriate c we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_Q| &\leq |\{x \in Q : \sum_{k,j} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x) \inf_{Q_j^k} M_{2^{-n-2},Q}^\# f > ctM_{2^{-n-2},Q}^\# f(x)\}| \\ &\leq |\{x \in Q : \sum_{k,j} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x) > ct\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{E_j^k\}$ be the family of sets from the remark after Lerner's formula satisfying (3.9). We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x) &= \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|} |Q_j^k| \right)^q \chi_{Q_j^k}(x) \\ &\leq c_n^q \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|} |E_j^k| \right)^q \chi_{Q_j^k}(x) \\ &\leq c_n^q \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|} \int_{Q_j^k} \chi_{E_j^k}(x) dx \right)^q \chi_{Q_j^k}(x) \\ &\leq c_n^q \left(\overline{M}_q \left(\left\{ \chi_{E_j^k} \right\}_{j,k} \right) (x) \right)^q \\ &\leq c_n^q \left(\overline{M}_q g(x) \right)^q, \end{aligned}$$

where $g = \left\{ \chi_{E_j^k} \right\}_{j,k}$. Now, since $\{E_j^k\}$ is a pairwise disjoint family of subsets, we have that

$$(4.1) \quad \|g(x)\|_{\ell^q} = \left(\sum_{j,k} \left(\chi_{E_j^k}(x) \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \leq 1,$$

We finish our proof recalling that if $|g|_{\ell^q} \in L^\infty$, then $(\overline{M}_q g(x))^q \in ExpL$ (see [10]). Therefore, we obtain the desired inequality (2.1):

$$|\{x \in Q : |f(x) - m_f(Q)| > tM_{2^{-n-2},Q}^\#(f)(x)\}| \leq ce^{-\alpha t}|Q|, \quad t > 0.$$

□

4.2. Proofs for Calderón–Zygmund operators, vector valued extensions and multilinear C–Z operators - First approach. We will combine Theorem 2.1, replacing f by the operator, with an appropriate pointwise inequality. We start by proving Theorem 2.2.

of Theorem 2.2. We first note the following estimate for the median value of T^*f over a cube Q . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{T^*f}(Q) &\leq \left(\frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q (T^*f)^\delta \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq c_\delta \|T^*f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q, \frac{dx}{|Q|})} \leq \frac{c}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

by Kolmogorov's inequality (3.20). It follows that

$$(4.2) \quad m_{T^*f}(Q) \leq cMf(x), \quad x \in Q.$$

This, together with inequality (3.10) and (3.12), yields

$$\left| \left\{ x \in Q : \frac{|T^*f(x)|}{Mf(x)} > t \right\} \right| \leq |\{x \in Q : |T^*f(x)| > ctM_{\lambda_n, Q}^\#(T^*(f))(x)\}|$$

for $\lambda_n = 2^{-n-2}$ for some constant $c > 0$. We can apply now our general result from Theorem 2.1 to conclude the proof. \square

For the proof of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we have all the ingredients: we use (respectively) inequalities (3.14) and (3.13) instead of (3.12) and we control the median value by using Kolmogorov's inequality and the weak type of both vector-valued extensions and multilinear C-Z operators.

4.3. Proof for the square functions and for the vector-valued maximal function - First approach. For the proof of Theorem 2.6 we start with:

$$|\{x \in Q : Sf(x) > tMf(x)\}| = |\{x \in Q : (Sf(x))^2 > t^2(Mf(x))^2\}|.$$

and we use this time estimates (3.17) and (3.18) for the pointwise control. The median value of the square function is also bounded by M as in the previous cases using, again, Kolmogorov's inequality and the weak (1, 1) type of the operator. From Theorem 2.1 we will obtain, in this case, a Gaussian decay rate for the level set.

Finally, for the vector-valued extension of the Maximal function, we proceed as in the case of the square function but replacing the "2" by "q". The key estimate for the oscillation is in inequality (3.19).

5. SECOND APPROACH - WEIGHTED ESTIMATES AND THE PROOF FOR COMMUTATORS

As already mentioned the approach considered in the previous section cannot be used in the case of commutators. We introduce here a new approach, combining Lerner's formula with a variant of Rubio de Francia's algorithm. In this case, Lerner's formula is used to derive a certain sharp local weighted estimate (see Theorem 5.4). This is the first key ingredient. The second key ingredient is to apply Rubio de Francia's algorithm with a factorization argument for A_q weights and the use of Coifman-Rochberg theorem (see lemma 5.1).

This approach will allow us to derive all the results of this paper, including those proved in the previous section, and also the results for commutators. We will present the general scheme in terms of a pair of generic operators T_1 and T_2 and

then emphasize the different kind of hypothesis needed and the estimates obtained on each case.

We start with some preliminaries about weights. We include some classical well known results and some new ones.

5.1. Some extra preliminary on weights. We recall that a weight w (any non negative measurable function) satisfies the A_p condition for $1 < p < \infty$ if

$$[w]_{A_p} = \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < \infty.$$

Also we recall that w is an A_1 weight if there is a finite constant c such that $Mw \leq cw$ a.e., and where $[w]_{A_1}$ denotes the smallest of these c . Also, we recall that the A_∞ class of weights is defined by $A_\infty = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p$.

We will use that if w_1 and w_2 are A_1 weights then $w = w_1 w_2^{1-p} \in A_p$ and

$$(5.1) \quad [w]_{A_p} \leq [w_1]_{A_1} [w_2]_{A_1}^{p-1}.$$

Another key feature of the A_1 weights that we will use repeatedly is that $(M\mu)^\delta$ is an A_1 weight whenever $0 < \delta < 1$ and μ is positive Borel measure (this is due to Coifmann and Rochberg, [11, Theorem 3.4]). Furthermore we have

$$[(Mf)^\delta]_{A_1} \leq \frac{c}{1-\delta},$$

where $c = c_n$. We will need the following extension of this result for the multilinear maximal operator \mathcal{M} defined in (3.1) which may have its own interest.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\vec{\mu}$ be a vector of m positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\mathcal{M}\vec{\mu}(x) < \infty$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$(5.2) \quad (\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}))^\delta \in A_1 \quad \text{for any } 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{m}$$

Moreover,

$$(5.3) \quad [(\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}))^\delta]_{A_1} \leq \frac{c}{1-m\delta},$$

where $c = c_n$ is some dimensional constant.

Proof. The idea is the same as in the classical Coifman–Rochberg theorem, but using this time the appropriate the weak type boundedness of \mathcal{M} :

$$\mathcal{M} : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{m}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If $w = (\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}))^\delta$, the aim is to prove that, for a given cube Q ,

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx \leq \frac{c}{1-m\delta} w(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in Q.$$

Consider $\tilde{Q} := 3Q$, the dilation of Q and split the vector $\vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}^0 + \vec{\mu}^\infty$ as usual with $\vec{\mu}^0 = (\mu_1^0, \dots, \mu_m^0)$ and where $\mu_j^0 := \mu_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. We can handle $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^\infty)$ as in the $m = 1$ case, since in this case the maximal function is essentially constant. For the other part, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^0)(x))^\delta dx &= \frac{\delta}{|Q|} \int_0^\infty t^\delta |\{x \in Q : \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^0)(x)^\delta > t\}| \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq R^\delta + \frac{\delta}{|Q|} \int_R^\infty t^\delta |\{\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^0)(x)^\delta > t\}| \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

for any $R > 0$ since we trivially have that $|\{x \in Q : \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^0)(x)^\delta > t\}| \leq |Q|$. Now, we recall that \mathcal{M} is a bounded operator from $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1 \rightarrow L^{1/m, \infty}$. Therefore we can estimate the last integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{|Q|} \int_R^\infty t^\delta |\{\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^0)(x)^\delta > t\}| \frac{dt}{t} &\leq c \frac{\delta}{|Q|} \int_R^\infty t^{\delta-1-\frac{1}{m}} dt \prod_{j=1}^m \|\mu_j^0\|_{L^1}^{1/m} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{1-m\delta} \frac{R^{\delta-\frac{1}{m}}}{|Q|} \prod_{j=1}^m \|\mu_j^0\|_{L^1}^{1/m} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta < \frac{1}{m}$. We obtain that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}^0)(x))^\delta dx \leq R^\delta \left(1 + \frac{c}{1-m\delta} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \|\mu_j^0\|_{L^1}^{1/m}}{R^{\frac{1}{m}} |Q|} \right)$$

Now we choose $R = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \|\mu_j^0\|_{L^1}}{|Q|^m}$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu})(x))^\delta dx &\leq \left(\frac{c}{1-m\delta} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \|\mu_j^0\|_{L^1}}{|Q|^m} \right)^\delta \\ &\leq \frac{c3^n}{1-m\delta} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(\tilde{Q})}{|\tilde{Q}|^m} \right)^\delta \\ &\leq \frac{c_n}{1-m\delta} (\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu})(x))^\delta \end{aligned}$$

□

The following Proposition can be viewed as an integral version of the main result of the previous section, namely Theorem 2.1. It follows from Lerner's formula as well, but this *integral* version is the key to obtain the result for commutators, which cannot be obtained by means of the first approach.

Proposition 5.2. *Let f be a measure function such that $\text{supp } f \subset Q$, being Q a fixed cube. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and let $w \in A_q$. Then we have that*

$$(5.4) \quad \|f - m_f(Q)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|M_\delta^{\#, d}(f)\|_{L^1(w, Q)}$$

Proof. We start with a pointwise estimate, which follows from Lerner's formula, taking into account the definition of the oscillation and (3.6).

$$|f(x) - m_f(Q)| \leq c M_\delta^\# f(x) + c \sum_{k,j} \inf_{Q_j^k} M_\delta^\#(f) \chi_{Q_j^k}(x).$$

Then, taking norms,

$$\|f - m_f(Q)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \leq c \|M_\delta^{\#, d}(f)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} + c \sum_{k,j} \int_{Q_j^k} \inf_{Q_j^k} M_\delta^\#(f) w(x) dx.$$

Now we recall that the family $\{E_j^k\}$ satisfies (3.9) and use the following property of the A_q class of weights: let $w \in A_q$ and let Q be a cube, then for each measurable sets such that $E \subset Q$,

$$w(Q) \leq \left(\frac{|Q|}{|E|} \right)^q [w]_{A_q} w(E).$$

Since for any index (j, k) we have the property $|Q_j^k| \leq 2|E_j^k|$, it follows that

$$w(Q_j^k) \leq 2^q [w]_{A_q} w(E_j^k).$$

If we apply this on each term of the sum, we obtain

$$\int_{Q_j^k} \inf_{Q_j^k} M_\delta^\#(f) w(x) dx \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \inf_{Q_j^k} M_\delta^\#(f) w(E_j^k)$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\|f - m_f(Q)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \left\| M_\delta^\#(f) \right\|_{L^1(w, Q)},$$

since $\{E_j^k\}$ is a pairwise disjoint subsets family. □

The following lemma gives a way to produce A_1 weights with special control on the constant. It is based on the so called Rubio de Francia iteration scheme or algorithm.

Lemma 5.3. [26] *Let M be the usual Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator and let $0 < r < \infty$. Define the operator $R : L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows. For a given $h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, consider the sum:*

$$R(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{M^k h}{\|M\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k},$$

Then R satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $h \leq R(h)$;
- (ii) $\|Rh\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\|h\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;
- (iii) For any nonnegative $h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that $Rh \in A_1$ with

$$[Rh]_{A_1} \leq 2\|M\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_n r'.$$

5.2. The model case. Consider two nonnegative operators T_1 and T_2 , where typically T_1 is the absolute value of a singular operator and T_2 is an appropriate maximal operator that will act as a control operator. As in the introduction we will be slightly vague on the use of the notation, since here f will stand for a single function, a vector or an infinite sequence of functions, depending on the operators. Assume that, for any cube Q , we have a weighted L^1 local Coifman-Fefferman type inequality. To be more precise we will assume the following:

1) There is an special positive parameter β and an index $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ for which we can find a constant c such that for any $w \in A_q$ and any cube Q ,

$$(5.5) \quad \|T_1 f\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \leq c [w]_{A_q}^\beta \|T_2 f\|_{L^1(w, Q)},$$

for appropriate functions f . The parameter β is key in the sequel.

2) Suppose that the (maximal type) operator T_2 is so that $(T_2 f)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \in A_1$ with

$$(5.6) \quad [(T_2 f)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}]_{A_1}^{q-1} \leq a$$

where a is a constant independent of f .

The general purpose is to estimate the level set function φ as in (1.6)

$$\varphi(t) := \frac{1}{|Q|} |\{x \in Q : |T_1 f(x)| > t |T_2 f(x)|\}|.$$

We start by applying Chebychev's inequality for some $p > 1$ that will be chosen later:

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in Q : |T_1(f)(x)| > t |T_2(f)(x)|\}| &\leq \frac{1}{t^p} \int_Q \left| \frac{T_1 f(x)}{T_2 f(x)} \right|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t^p} \left\| \frac{T_1 f(x)}{T_2 f(x)} \right\|_{L^p(Q)}^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^p} \left(\int_Q \frac{T_1 f(x)}{T_2 f(x)} h(x) dx \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

for some nonnegative h such that $\|h\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} = 1$. Now we apply Rubio de Francia's algorithm (Lemma 5.3) and the key hypothesis (5.5) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{T_1(f)(x)}{T_2(f)(x)} h(x) dx &\leq \int_Q T_1(f)(x) T_2(f)(x)^{-1} R(h)(x) dx \\ &\leq c [R(h)(T_2 f)^{-1}]_{A_q}^\beta \int_Q T_2(f)(x) \frac{R(h)(x)}{T_2(f)(x)} dx \\ &= c [R(h)(T_2 f)^{-1}]_{A_q}^\beta \int_Q R(h)(x) dx \\ &\leq c [R(h)(T_2 f)^{-1}]_{A_q}^\beta 2 \|h\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} |Q|^{1/p} \\ &= 2c [R(h)(T_2 f)^{-1}]_{A_q}^\beta |Q|^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R(h) \in A_1$ we can use formula (5.1)

$$[R(h)(T_2 f)^{-1}]_{A_q} \leq [R(h)]_{A_1} [(T_2 f)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}]_{A_1}^{q-1} \leq p a.$$

since by Lemma 5.3, (iii) $[R(h)]_{A_1} \leq p$ and the constant in (5.6) is uniform on f .

Then, if we choose p such that $e^{-1} = \frac{(ap)^\beta}{t}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in Q : T_1 f(x) > t T_2 f(x)\}| &\leq 2c \left(\frac{(ap)^\beta}{t} \right)^p |Q| \\ &\leq 2c e^{-\alpha t^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} |Q| \end{aligned}$$

where α depends on β and q and hence $\varphi(t) \leq 2e^{-\alpha t^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$.

Note that this model example reveals that the two hypothesis that we need to fulfill:

- (H1) A local Coifman–Fefferman inequality like (5.5) with the sharpest exponent β on the constant of the weight which controls the decay rate of the level set function $\varphi(t)$.
- (H2) An appropriate power of the maximal operator T_2 should be a A_1 weight. This is the case in all the operators we consider in this paper and follows essentially from a suitable variations of Coifman–Rochberg's theorem (Lemma 5.1).

This scheme will be followed in the proof of the main results. In each case, we will show how to derive the appropriate local Coifman–Fefferman inequality with the correct exponent and will check that the A_1 constant of the control operator is uniformly bounded.

5.3. Proofs for C–Z operators, vector valued extensions and multilinear C–Z operators - Second approach. We start by proving local C–F inequalities. The central tool is Proposition 5.2.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $w \in A_q$, with $1 \leq q < \infty$.*

- (1) *Let T be a Calderón–Zygmund integral operator. Let f be a function such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq Q$. Then, there exists a constant $c = c_n$ such that*

$$\|T^* f\|_{L^1(w,Q)} \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|Mf\|_{L^1(w,Q)}.$$

- (2) *Let \bar{T}_q the vector-valued extension of Calderón–Zygmund integral operators. Let $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a vector-valued function such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq Q$. Then, there exists a constant $c = c_n$ such that*

$$\|\bar{T}_q f\|_{L^1(w,Q)} \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|M(|f|_q)\|_{L^1(w,Q)}.$$

- (3) *Let T be a m -linear C–Z operator. Let \vec{f} is a vector of m functions such that $\text{supp } f_j \subseteq Q$. Then, there exists a constant $c = c_n$ such that*

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^1(w,Q)} \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^1(w,Q)}.$$

Proof. In all three cases we start with Proposition 5.2 and use (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) to control the sharp maximal function. It remains to prove that we can control the median value in each case. For T^* , we already have done it in (4.2). There we proved that

$$m_{T^* f}(Q) \leq \frac{c}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| dx \leq c Mf(y), \quad \text{for all } y \in Q,$$

and hence

$$w(Q) m_{T^* f}(Q) \leq c \|Mf\|_{L^1(w,Q)}.$$

The case of the vector-valued operators follows the same steps. The multilinear case also follows from Kolmogorov’s inequality and the weak type boundedness of multilinear C–Z operators. \square

At this point, we have proved Coifman–Fefferman inequalities like (5.5) with $\beta = 1$ in all the cases. It remains to check that the factorization argument can be performed. For C–Z operators and its vector-valued extension, we can use (5.5) with $q = 3$ (or any larger q). Therefore, (5.6) holds in both cases by Lemma 5.1 for $m = 1$. Note that what we have to control in both cases is $[M(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}})]_{A_1}^2$. For the multilinear case, we have that, for $q = m + 2$,

$$[\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}]_{A_1}^{q-1} \leq \left(\frac{C_n}{1 - \frac{m}{m+1}} \right)^{m+1}.$$

So we finish the proof of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3.

5.4. Results for commutators. As before, we need to prove an appropriate Coifman–Fefferman inequality.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $w \in A_q$ be, with $1 \leq q < \infty$. Let T be a C – Z operator and $b \in BMO$. Let f be a function such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq Q$. Then, there exists a dimensional constant $c = c_n$ such that*

$$(5.7) \quad \|[b, T]f\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \leq c \|b\|_{BMO} 2^{2q} [w]_{A_q}^2 \|M^2 f\|_{L^1(w, Q)}.$$

In the higher order commutator case we get that

$$(5.8) \quad \|T_b^k f\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \leq c \|b\|_{BMO}^k 2^{(k+1)q} [w]_{A_q}^{k+1} \|M^{k+1} f\|_{L^1(w, Q)}.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 5.2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_b f\|_{L^1(w, Q)} &\leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \int_Q M_\delta^{\#, Q}(T_b f) w(x) dx + w(Q) m_{[b, T]f}(Q) \\ &= I + II \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, by (3.15) we have that

$$I \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|b\|_{BMO} \left(\|M_\varepsilon^Q(Tf)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} + \|M^2 f\|_{L^1(w, Q)} \right)$$

Now we write $L(Q) := w(Q) m_{M_\varepsilon^Q(Tf)}(Q)$ and we apply Proposition 5.2 with some $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ to the first norm to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\varepsilon^Q(Tf)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} &\leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \left\| M_\delta^{\#, Q}(M_\varepsilon^Q(Tf)) \right\|_{L^1(w, Q)} + L(Q) \\ &\leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|M_\varepsilon^{\#, Q}(Tf)\|_{L^1(w, Q)} + L(Q) \\ &\leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \|Mf\|_{L^1(w, Q)} + L(Q) \end{aligned}$$

by (3.11) and (3.12). Now we have to bound $L(Q)$. We apply property (3.7) for the median value with some $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$, Kolmogorov’s inequality twice and the weak type of both M and T :

$$\begin{aligned} m_{M_\varepsilon(\chi_Q Tf)}(Q) &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M_\varepsilon^Q(Tf)^\delta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M^Q(|Tf|^\varepsilon)^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}} \\ &\leq \|M^Q(|Tf|^\varepsilon)\|_{L^{1, \infty}(\frac{dx}{|Q|}, Q)}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |Tf|^\varepsilon dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \leq Mf(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that $w(Q) m_{M_\varepsilon(Tf)}(Q) \leq \|Mf\|_{L^1(w, Q)}$. Combining all previous estimates, we get

$$I \leq c 2^{2q} [w]_{A_q}^2 \|b\|_{BMO} \|Mf\|_{L^1(w, Q)} + c [w]_{A_q} \|b\|_{BMO} \|M^2 f\|_{L^1(w, Q)}$$

From this estimate, using that $[w]_{A_q} \geq 1$ and by dominating M by M^2 , we obtain the desired result if we can control II , involving the median value of the

commutator. To that end, we will use the weak estimate from Theorem 3.1. For $\phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+(t))$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{[b,T]f}(Q) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_b f|^\delta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_0^\infty \delta t^{\delta-1} |\{x \in Q : |T_b f| > t\}| dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\leq \left(R^\delta + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_R^\infty \delta t^{\delta-1} \int_Q \phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $R > 0$ (to be chosen). By the submultiplicativity of ϕ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{[b,T]f}(Q) &\leq \left(R^\delta + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \phi(|f(x)|) dx \int_R^\infty \delta t^{\delta-1} \phi(1/t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\leq \left(R^\delta + \frac{R^{\delta-1}}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| (1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_Q}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\leq R \left(1 + \frac{1}{R|Q|} \int_Q Mf(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following well known estimate essentially due to E. Stein [34],

$$\int_Q w \log(e + \frac{w}{w_Q}) dx \leq c_n \int_Q M(w\chi_Q) dx \quad w \geq 0.$$

If we now choose $R = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q Mf(x) dx$, then we obtain that

$$m_{[b,T]f}(Q) \leq M^2 f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in Q.$$

This clearly implies that $w(Q)m_{[b,T]f}(Q) \leq \|M^2 f\|_{L^1(w,Q)}$ and the proof of inequality (5.7) is complete. The higher order commutator bound (5.8) is technically more complicated, but it follows from the same ideas by using an induction argument. The details can be found in [29] □

Hence, we have the hypothesis (H1) of our model. We finish the proof of Theorem 2.7 by proving that we have also the second hypothesis (H2). Namely, we have M^2 acting as a control operator, so we have to prove that, for some $q > 1$,

$$[(M^2 f)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}]_{A_1}^{2(q-1)} \leq C.$$

But since $M^2(f) = M(M(f))$, this is, once again, Coifman-Rochberg theorem. We only have to pick, for instance, $q = 3$.

5.5. Proof for the square functions and for the vector-valued maximal function - Second approach. For the dyadic square function S , the statement of Theorem 2.6 and the discussion about the ‘‘model’’ of proof suggest that we need a C-F inequality with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ as exponent on the weight. This is essentially what we borrow from [9] in (3.16) for the dyadic case and from (3.18) for the continuous case. From those estimates for the oscillation and using Lerner’s formula, we can derive the following Coifman-Fefferman type inequality.

Lemma 5.6. *Let S be the dyadic square function operator S_d or the continuous square function g_μ^* and let $w \in A_q$. Then for any function f and every cube Q*

$$(5.9) \quad \int_Q (Sf(x))^2 w(x) \, dx \leq c 2^q [w]_{A_q} \int_Q (Mf(x))^2 w(x) \, dx$$

Proof. It follows directly from Lerner's formula in both cases. For the median value, we can use Kolmogorov and the weak (1, 1) type of the operator as in the previous cases. \square

Now we prove Theorem 2.6 by using our model, but with a twist.

of Theorem 2.6. Motivated by Lemma 5.6, we write the level set function $\varphi(t)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x \in Q : \frac{Sf(x)^2}{Mf(x)^2} > t^2 \right\} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{t^{2p}} \int_Q \frac{Sf(x)^{2p}}{Mf(x)^{2p}} \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{2p}} \left\| \left(\frac{Sf}{Mf} \right)^2 \right\|_{L^p(Q)}^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^{2p}} \left(\int_Q \frac{Sf(x)^2}{Mf(x)^2} h(x) \, dx \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

for some h such that $\|h\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} = 1$. Now we apply Rubio de Francia's algorithm and (5.9) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{Sf(x)^2}{Mf(x)^2} h(x) \, dx &\leq \int_Q Sf(x)^2 Mf(x)^{-2} R(h)(x) \, dx \\ &\leq [R(h)(Mf)^{-2}]_{A_q} \int_Q R(h)(x) \, dx \\ &\leq [R(h)(Mf)^{-2}]_{A_q} 2 \|h\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} |Q|^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

The same factorization argument yields

$$[Rh(Mf)^{-2}]_{A_q} \leq [(Mf)^{\frac{2}{q-1}}]_{A_1}^{(q-1)} p \leq cp$$

for $q = 5$ by Lemma 5.1.

We now choose $e^{-1} = \frac{cp}{t^2}$ to finally obtain that

$$|\{x \in Q : Sf(x) > tMf(x)\}| \leq \left(\frac{cp}{t^2} \right)^p |Q| \leq e^{-\alpha t^2} |Q|$$

\square

Finally, the proof for the vector valued extension of the maximal function follows the same steps.

of Theorem 2.5. The proof can be carried by replacing the “2” by “ q ” in case of the square function. The key estimate for the oscillation is inequality (3.19). \square

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation grant MTM2009-08934, the second and third authors are also supported by the Junta de Andalucía, grant FQM-4745.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alvarez and C. Pérez. Estimates with A_∞ weights for various singular integral operators. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7)*, **8**(1), (1994) 123–133. [10](#)
- [2] Richard J. Bagby and Douglas S. Kurtz. A rearranged good λ inequality. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **293**(1), (1986) 71–81. [2](#)
- [3] Stephen M. Buckley. Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **340**(1), (1993) 253–272. [1](#), [2](#)
- [4] D. L. Burkholder and R. F. Gundy. Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi-linear operators on martingales. *Acta Math.*, **124**, (1970) 249–304. [2](#)
- [5] Lennart Carleson. On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series. *Acta Math.*, **116**, (1966) 135–157. [2](#)
- [6] G. Citti, L. Grafakos, C. Prez, A. Sarti, and X. Zhong. *Harmonic and Geometric Analysis*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2013. [11](#)
- [7] Ronald R. Coifman and C. Fefferman. Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals. *Studia Math.*, **51**, (1974) 241–250. [1](#)
- [8] David Cruz-Uribe, José María Martell, and Carlos Pérez. Sharp weighted estimates for approximating dyadic operators. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.*, **17** (2010) 12–19. [3](#)
- [9] David Cruz-Uribe, José María Martell, and Carlos Pérez. Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators. *Adv. Math.*, **229**, (2012) 408 – 441. [3](#), [10](#), [19](#)
- [10] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. Some maximal inequalities. *Amer. J. Math.*, **93**, (1971) 107–115. [6](#), [11](#)
- [11] José García-Cuerva and José L. Rubio de Francia. *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, volume 116 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. [13](#)
- [12] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical and modern Fourier analysis*. Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004. [6](#)
- [13] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2008. [10](#)
- [14] Loukas Grafakos and Rodolfo H. Torres. Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.*, **165**(1) (2002) 124–164. [7](#)
- [15] Tuomas Hytönen and Michael T. Lacey. The $A_p - A_\infty$ inequality for general calderon-zygmund operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* (To appear). Preprint arXiv:1106.4797. [2](#)
- [16] Tuomas Hytönen, Michael T. Lacey, and Carlos Pérez. Non-probabilistic proof of the A_2 theorem, and sharp weighted bounds for the q -variation of singular integrals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* (to appear), doi:10.1112/blms/bds114 [2](#)
- [17] Tuomas Hytönen and Carlos Pérez. Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞ . *Anal. PDE.* (to appear). [2](#)
- [18] Tuomas P. Hytönen. The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **175**(3), (2012) 1473–1506. [2](#)
- [19] B. Jawerth and A. Torchinsky. Local sharp maximal functions. *J. Approx. Theory*, **43**(3) (1985) 231–270. [4](#)
- [20] Jean-Lin Journé. *Calderón-Zygmund operators, pseudodifferential operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón*, volume 994 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. [6](#)
- [21] G. A. Karagulyan. Exponential estimates for the Calderón-Zygmund operator and related problems of Fourier series. *Mat. Zametki*, **71**(3), (2002) 398–411. [1](#), [2](#)
- [22] Andrei K. Lerner. A simple proof of the A_2 conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, doi:10.1093/imrn/rns145 [2](#)
- [23] Andrei K. Lerner. On some sharp weighted norm inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **232**(2), (2006) 477–494. [10](#)

- [24] Andrei K. Lerner. A pointwise estimate for the local sharp maximal function with applications to singular integrals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **42**(5), (2010) 843–856. [8](#), [9](#)
- [25] Andrei K. Lerner, Sheldy Ombrosi, and Carlos Pérez. Sharp A_1 bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators and the relationship with a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):Art. ID rnm161, 11, 2008. [2](#)
- [26] Andrei K. Lerner, Sheldy Ombrosi, and Carlos Pérez. A_1 bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators related to a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden. *Math. Res. Lett.*, **16**(1), (2009) 149–156. [15](#)
- [27] Andrei K. Lerner, Sheldy Ombrosi, and Carlos Pérez. Weak type estimates for singular integrals related to a dual problem of Muckenhoupt-Wheeden. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **15**(3), (2009) 394–403. [2](#)
- [28] Andrei K. Lerner, Sheldy Ombrosi, Carlos Pérez, Rodolfo H. Torres, and Rodrigo Trujillo-González. New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.*, **220**(4), (2009) 1222–1264. [6](#), [7](#), [10](#)
- [29] Carmen Ortiz Caraballo. Conmutadores de integrales singulares y pesos A_1 , PhD. Dissertation. 2011. Universidad de Sevilla. [19](#)
- [30] Carmen Ortiz-Caraballo. Quadratic A_1 bounds for commutators of singular integrals with bmo functions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **60**(6), (2011) 2107–2130. [9](#)
- [31] Carlos Pérez. Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **128**(1), (1995) 163–185. [7](#), [10](#)
- [32] Carlos Pérez. Sharp estimates for commutators of singular integrals via iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **3**(6), (1997) 743–756. [7](#), [8](#)
- [33] Carlos Pérez and Rodrigo Trujillo-González. Sharp weighted estimates for vector-valued singular integral operators and commutators. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, **55**(1), (2003) 109–129. [10](#)
- [34] E. M. Stein. Note on the class $L \log L$. *Studia Math.*, **32**, (1969) 305–310. [19](#)
- [35] Elias M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. [8](#)
- [36] Jan-Olov Strömberg. Bounded mean oscillation with Orlicz norms and duality of Hardy spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **28**(3), (1979) 511–544. [4](#)
- [37] Michael Wilson. *Weighted Littlewood-Paley theory and exponential-square integrability*, volume 1924 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2008. [8](#)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA,
AVDA. UNIVERSIDAD, s/N, 10003 CÁCERES, SPAIN
E-mail address: carortiz@unex.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE
SEVILLA, 41080 SEVILLA, SPAIN
E-mail address: carlosperez@us.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE
SEVILLA, 41080 SEVILLA, SPAIN
E-mail address: ereia@us.es