

# STABILITY FOR NEMATIC LIQUID CRYSTALS WITH STRETCHING TERMS

B. CLIMENT-EZQUERRA, F. GUILLÉN-GONZÁLEZ, M. A. RODRÍGUEZ-BELLIDO

*Department of Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Análisis Numérico,  
Universidad de Sevilla, Apto. 1160, 41080 Sevilla, SPAIN.  
E-mail: bcliment@us.es, guillen@us.es, angeles@us.es*

February 27, 2009

Dedicated to the memory of Valery S. Melnik

We study a nematic crystal model appearing in [Liu *et al.*,2007] modeling stretching effects depending on the different shape of microscopic molecules of the material, under periodic boundary conditions. The aim of the present article is twofold: to extend the results given in [Sun & Liu, 2009], to a model with more complete stretching terms and to obtain some stability and asymptotic stability properties for this model.

**Keywords:** Nematic Liquid Crystal system, asymptotic stability, stability, stretching effects, existence, regularity.

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000):** 35B65, 35Q35, 76D03

## 1. Introduction

The Nematic Liquid Crystal system is a Navier-Stokes type model for incompressible fluids respect to the macroscopic variables, that takes into account the crystallinity of the microscopic molecules of the material. It can be obtained coupling Navier-Stokes equations with the Ginzburg-Landau equations, being its unknowns the solenoidal velocity  $\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x})$ , the pressure of the fluid  $p(t, \mathbf{x})$ , and the director field  $\mathbf{d}(t, \mathbf{x})$ , that represents the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules. Moreover, we suppose that the fluid is confined in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

We deal with an Ericksen-Leslie type formulation. A simplified model was analyzed by F. H. Lin & C. Liu in [Lin & Liu, 1995]. In fact, this model is a penalized one depending on the Ginzburg-Landau

function:

$$\mathbf{f}_\epsilon(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}|^2 - 1) \mathbf{d},$$

where  $|\mathbf{d}|$  denotes the euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is a penalization parameter. This penalization function has a potential structure, i. e. there exists the function  $F_\epsilon(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}|^2 - 1)^2$  such that  $\mathbf{f}_\epsilon(\mathbf{d}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{d}}(F_\epsilon(\mathbf{d}))$  for all  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

We denote  $Q = (0, +\infty) \times \Omega$  and  $\Sigma = (0, +\infty) \times \partial\Omega$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a smooth enough domain and  $\partial\Omega$  its boundary. We consider the EDP system appearing in [Liu *et al.*,2007; system (1.9), p. 1187], that reads as:

$$(LC) \begin{cases} D_t \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p - \lambda \nabla \cdot \sigma^e = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } Q, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ D_t \mathbf{d} + \gamma \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } Q, \end{cases}$$

being

$$D_t \mathbf{u} = \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$$

the material derivative of  $\mathbf{u}$ ,

$$\sigma^e = -(\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d} - \beta \mathbf{w} \mathbf{d}^t - (1 + \beta) \mathbf{d} \mathbf{w}^t \quad (1)$$

the elastic stress tensor ( $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and

$$\mathbf{w} = -\Delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{f}_\epsilon(\mathbf{d})$$

the Euler-Lagrange system derived from the minimization problem respect to the elastic energy

$$E_e(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}_\epsilon(\mathbf{d}). \quad (2)$$

The term

$$\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{d} = D_t \mathbf{d} + C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u})$$

describes a general derivative containing the material derivative  $D_t \mathbf{d} = \partial_t \mathbf{d} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d}$  and the quadratic term

$$C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) = \beta(\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{d} + (1 + \beta)(\nabla \mathbf{u})^t \mathbf{d}$$

modeling the so-called stretching effects, depending on the form of the molecules [Liu et al., 2007]. In fact, the constant  $\beta = -\alpha$  is associated with the aspect ratio  $r$  of the ellipsoid particles. The case of  $\alpha$  near to 1 corresponds to rod like particles (then the transport is purely covariant stretching), the case of  $\alpha$  near to 0 corresponds to disc like particles (then the transport is anti-stretching) and the case of  $\alpha$  near to 1/2 corresponds to the spherical shape (the transport is the rigid rotation of the center of the mass).

Finally,  $\nu > 0$  is the fluid viscosity,  $\lambda > 0$  is the elasticity constant and  $\gamma > 0$  is a relaxation in time constant.

The theoretical analysis of a simplified model without stretching effects, i.e for  $C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) = 0$  and the corresponding elastic tensor  $\sigma^e = -(\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d}$ , was made in [Lin & Liu, 1995] obtaining existence of global weak solution, i. e.

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)),$$

$$\mathbf{d} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega)),$$

for all  $T > 0$ , and the existence (and uniqueness) of local strong solution, i. e.

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T_*; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_*; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)),$$

$$\mathbf{d} \in L^\infty(0, T_*; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_*; \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega)),$$

with  $T_* \leq T$  (small enough) or  $T_* = T$  (for each  $T > 0$ ) for big enough viscosity coefficient  $\nu$  or for two-dimensional domains. All these previous results are given for the time-independent Dirichlet boundary data:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{h} \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \quad (\mathbf{h} \neq \mathbf{h}(t))$$

and for the initial-value boundary problem with initial condition:

$$\mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{d}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{d}_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3)$$

When time-dependent Dirichlet data for  $\mathbf{d}$  is considered ( $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}(t)$ ), the existence of weak time-periodic solution, that is solutions obtained by changing (3) by  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}(T)$  and  $\mathbf{d}(0) = \mathbf{d}(T)$ , is obtained in [Climent-Ezquerria et al.,]. The strong regularity up to infinite time for big enough viscosity  $\nu$  jointly with the strong regularity of time-periodic solutions are obtained in [Climent-Ezquerria et al.,].

The results corresponding to the initial-value boundary problem are extended in [Lin & Liu, 2000] to a much more complete model respect to the dissipative tensor and considering the particular stretching effects for the case of spherical molecules, i.e. taking  $\beta = -1/2$  in (1).

Recently, a liquid crystal model with a stretching term for the case of rod like particles (taking  $\beta = -1$  in (1)) and periodic boundary conditions for both  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  has been studied in [Sun & Liu, 2009], obtaining global weak solution and local strong solution (which is global for large enough viscosity)

The aim of the present article is twofold: to extend the last results of [Sun & Liu, 2009] to a model with more complete stretching terms and to obtain some stability and asymptotic stability properties for this model.

## 2. General Framework.

Assume that we have the following situation, a.e.  $t \in (t_0, +\infty)$ :

$$E(t), F(t) \geq 0, \quad E'(t) + F(t) \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

Then,  $E \in C_b[t_0, +\infty)$ , is a decreasing function and there exists

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E(t) = E_\infty \geq 0.$$

On the other hand,  $F \in L^1(t_0, +\infty)$ , that is,

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} F(t) dt < +\infty.$$

In this case, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a large enough time  $t_1^* = t_1^*(\delta) \geq t_0$  such that:

$$\int_{t_1^*}^{+\infty} F(t) dt \leq \delta. \quad (5)$$

In particular, we can say that for each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a large enough time  $t_1^*(\delta) \geq t_0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} F(t) dt \leq \frac{\delta}{\tau}, \quad \forall \tau > 0, \forall t \geq t_1^*(\delta). \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $F \in L^1(t_0, +\infty)$ ,  $F \geq 0$  in  $(t_0, +\infty)$ , satisfying (6). Then,  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\forall t \geq t_1^*(\delta)$  and  $\forall \tau > 0$  there exists a time  $\bar{t} \in [t, t + \tau]$  such that:*

$$F(\bar{t}) \leq \frac{2\delta}{\tau}. \quad (7)$$

*Indeed, the set of points  $\bar{t} \in [t, t + \tau]$  satisfying (7) has measure  $\geq \tau/2$ .*

*Proof.* We focus on the proof in the interval  $[t_1^*, t_1^* + \tau]$ . The proof for another interval of length  $\tau$  contained in  $[t_1^*, +\infty)$  is similar.

Indeed, we define:

$$A = \{s \in [t_1^*, t_1^* + \tau] / F(s) \geq \frac{2\delta}{\tau}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_A F(t) dt + \int_{A^c} F(t) dt \leq \delta,$$

and thus

$$\frac{2\delta}{\tau} |A| \leq \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |A| \leq \frac{\tau}{2}.$$

That is,  $|A^c| \geq \tau/2$ . ■

Now, we assume that the following differential inequality for  $F(t)$  holds:

$$F'(t) \leq C_2(F(t)^3 + 1). \quad (8)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $F \in L^1(t_0, +\infty)$  be a function satisfying the differential inequality (8). For any  $\varepsilon < 1$ , if  $F(t_0) \leq \varepsilon/3$ , then  $F(t) \leq \varepsilon \forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + T_*(\varepsilon)]$ , where  $T_*(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{3C_2}$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction: Suppose that there exists a time  $t_1 \in [t_0, t_0 + T_*(\varepsilon)]$  such that  $F(t) < \varepsilon$  in  $[t_0, t_1]$  and  $F(t_1) = \varepsilon$ . Then, from eq. (8) we obtain that  $F' < 2C_2$  en  $[t_0, t_1]$ . Integrating in  $[t_0, t_1]$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F(t_1) &< F(t_0) + 2C_2(t_1 - t_0) \\ &\leq F(t_0) + 2C_2T_*(\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2C_2\frac{\varepsilon}{3C_2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This fact contradicts the starting hypothesis. ■

### 2.1. Asymptotic stability.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\varepsilon < 1$ , and  $F \in L^1(0, +\infty)$ ,  $F \geq 0$ , such that both (8) and inequality (6) hold for  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{36C_2}$ ,  $t_1^* = t_1^*(\delta)$  and  $\tau = \frac{T_*(\varepsilon)}{2}$ . Then,*

$$F(t) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \geq t_2^* = t_1^* + \frac{T_*(\varepsilon)}{2} = t_1^*(\delta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{6C_2}. \quad (9)$$

*Remark 2.4.* In particular,  $F \in W^{1,1}(t_2^*, +\infty) \hookrightarrow C[t_2^*, +\infty)$ .

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction: Assume that there exists a time  $\tilde{t} > t_2^*$  such that  $F(t) > \varepsilon$ . We consider the interval  $[\tilde{t} - T_*(\varepsilon)/2, \tilde{t}] \subset [t_1^*, +\infty)$ .

From Lemma 2.1 we conclude that for each interval of length  $T_*(\varepsilon)/2$  contained in  $[t_1^*, +\infty)$  and  $\forall t \geq t_1^*$  there exists a time  $\bar{t}_1 \in [\tilde{t} - T_*(\varepsilon)/2, \tilde{t}]$  such that:

$$F(\bar{t}_1) \leq \frac{2\delta}{\tau} = \frac{\varepsilon^2/(18C_2)}{\varepsilon/(6C_2)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Thus, applying Lemma 2.2, one verifies:

$$F(t) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_1 + T_*(\varepsilon)].$$

Observe that  $\tilde{t} \in [\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_1 + T_*(\varepsilon)]$ , which gives us to contradiction. ■

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $F \in L^1(t_0, +\infty)$  be a function satisfying eq. (8). Then,  $F(t)$  is a function asymptotically stable to 0, that is,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(t) = 0.$$

## 2.2. Stability until infinite time.

If we assume that:

$$(H1) \quad E(t_0) \leq \delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{36C_2},$$

then, from (4) we get:  $\forall t_1 > t_0$

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(t) dt \leq E(t_0) - E(t_1) \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$$

In fact, one has (5) for  $t_1^*(\varepsilon) = t_0$ . Then, applying Theorem 2.3, we obtain:

$$F(t) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6C_2} \left( = t_0 + \frac{T_*(\varepsilon)}{2} \right) \quad (10)$$

If, moreover,

$$(H2) \quad F(t_0) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

then applying Lemma 2.2, we get:

$$F(t) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + T_*(\varepsilon)] \quad (11)$$

In summary, assuming (H1) and (H2), one has:

$$E(t) \leq \delta(\varepsilon), \quad F(t) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

## 3. The Nematic Liquid Crystal Model

### 3.1. Weak estimates

If we consider both  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{w}(t)$  as test functions in the  $\mathbf{u}$ -system and  $\mathbf{d}$ -system of (LC) respectively, taking into account the equality:

$$\nabla \cdot ((\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d}) = -(\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \mathbf{w} + \nabla E_e(\mathbf{d}),$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + -\lambda \int_{\Omega} [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d}] \cdot \mathbf{w} dx \\ & -\lambda \int_{\Omega} [\beta \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{d} + (1 + \beta) \mathbf{d}^t \mathbf{w}] : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + F_e(\mathbf{d})(t) \right) + \gamma \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \int_{\Omega} [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d}] \cdot \mathbf{w} dx + \int_{\Omega} C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for any boundary conditions for  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d})$  given in the Introduction (that is, Dirichlet, Neumann or periodic for  $\mathbf{d}$ ). Then, adding (12) to (13) multiplied by  $\lambda$ , the last two terms of (12) and (13) cancel and the so-called *energy equality* holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda E_e(\mathbf{d}(t)) \right] \\ & + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \gamma \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(recall that  $E_e(\mathbf{d})$  is given in (2)).

Note that, defining the time functions  $E(t)$  and  $F(t)$  as:

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda E_e(\mathbf{d}(t)), \quad (15)$$

$$F(t) = \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \gamma \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (16)$$

the inequality (4) is deduced from (14). As a consequence, we can deduce the existence of weak solutions of the problem. Moreover, by using this weak regularity and the  $\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{H}^3(\Omega)$  regularity of the elliptic problem  $-\Delta \mathbf{d} + f_e(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{w}$  with appropriate boundary conditions, we can deduce [Climent-Ezquerria *et al.*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} & \leq C (\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + 1), \\ \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{H}^3(\Omega)} & \leq C (\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the next section, we will use repeatedly these estimates.

### 3.2. Strong estimates

In this section, we only consider the periodic boundary conditions case for all variables  $(\mathbf{u}, p, \mathbf{d})$ . Taking both  $-\Delta \mathbf{u}$  and  $-\lambda \Delta \mathbf{w}$  as test functions in the  $\mathbf{u}$ -system and in the  $\mathbf{d}$ -system of (LC) respectively, one can obtain ([Sun & Liu, 2009]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq \|(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \frac{\lambda^2}{\nu} \|(\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \{ \beta \mathbf{w} \mathbf{d}^t + (1 + \beta) \mathbf{d} \mathbf{w}^t \} \nabla (\Delta \mathbf{u}) dx \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{d} : \nabla \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} + \lambda \gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d}) : \nabla \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Observe that the first term of (19) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{d} : \nabla \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta(\partial_t \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})(\partial_t \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})(\partial_t \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x}
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Now, using the  $\mathbf{d}$ -system of (LC), that is,  $\partial_t \mathbf{d} = -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d} - C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) - \gamma \mathbf{w}$ , one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})(\partial_t \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d} + C(\mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \gamma \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \left( \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\
 & + C_\varepsilon (F^3(t) + 1)
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for  $\varepsilon$  small enough.

The more nonlinear terms of the last term of (19) are manipulated as follows (here, the periodic boundary conditions are again applied):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda \int_{\Omega} (\beta \nabla(\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{d} + (1 + \beta) \nabla(\nabla \mathbf{u})^t \mathbf{d}) : \nabla \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & \leq -\lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\Delta \mathbf{u}) : \{ \beta \mathbf{w} \mathbf{d}^t + (1 + \beta) \mathbf{d} \mathbf{w}^t \} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & + C \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Note that the first term on the right-hand side of (22) cancels with the last term in (18). The remaining part of the last term of (19) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda \int_{\Omega} (\beta(\nabla \mathbf{d} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} : \nabla \mathbf{w} \\
 & + (1 + \beta)(\nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} : \nabla \mathbf{d}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & \leq C(\lambda, \beta) \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

being  $C(\lambda, \beta)$  a constant depending on  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$ .

Therefore, adding (18) to (19) and taking into account estimates (20)-(23), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\
 & + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \leq \|(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \frac{\lambda^2}{\nu} \|(\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d}) : \nabla \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
 & + C (F^3(t) + 1) \\
 & + C \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)} \\
 & + C(\lambda, \beta) \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)} \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Using Sobolev's inequalities, the estimates for the  $I_i$ -terms can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^6 \\
 I_2 & \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)}^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^6
 \end{aligned}$$

$$I_3 \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}$$

$$+ \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} = I_{31} + I_{32}$$

where term  $I_{31}$  as the same type of estimates as term  $I_6$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{32} & \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{\mathbf{L}^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^4 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$I_5 \leq \varepsilon \left( \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + C_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^6$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_6 & \leq \varepsilon \left( \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\
 & + C_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^4 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we introduce function  $G(t)$  defined as:

$$G(t) = \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \tag{25}$$

Observe that, finally, (24) can be written in the following form:

$$F'(t) + G(t) \leq C (1 + F^3(t)),$$

being  $F(t)$  and  $G(t)$  the functions defined in (16) and (25) respectively. Therefore, function  $F(t)$  satisfies (8).

#### 4. Applications of the General Framework to Nematic Liquid Crystal.

##### 4.1. Asymptotic stability.

Let  $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{d}_0) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \times \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$  be two given functions and  $(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{d}(t))$  a weak solution of system (LC) with periodic boundary conditions and initial data  $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{d}_0)$ .

Since (4) and (8) hold, applying the results from Section 2, one has:

$$\begin{cases} E(t) \downarrow E_\infty (\geq 0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \quad t \uparrow +\infty \\ F(t) \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \quad t \uparrow +\infty \end{cases}$$

hence:

$$\begin{cases} E'(t) \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \quad t \uparrow +\infty \\ \mathbf{u}(t) \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) \quad t \uparrow +\infty \\ \mathbf{w}(t) \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) \quad t \uparrow +\infty \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for each subsequence  $t_j \uparrow +\infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $(t_{j_k}) \subset (t_j)$  such that:

$$\mathbf{d}(t_{j_k}) \rightharpoonup \bar{\mathbf{d}} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)\text{-weak} \quad \text{for } k \uparrow +\infty.$$

being  $\bar{\mathbf{d}}$  a critical point of the elastic energy  $E_e(\mathbf{d})$ , that is, a solution for the stationary problem:

$$-\Delta \bar{\mathbf{d}} + f_\varepsilon(\bar{\mathbf{d}}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with periodic boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ . Note that,

$$E_\infty = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( |\nabla \bar{\mathbf{d}}(t)|_2^2 + 2 \int_\Omega F(\bar{\mathbf{d}}(t)) \right) = \lambda E_e(\bar{\mathbf{d}})$$

that is, every possible limit of the director field  $\bar{\mathbf{d}}$  when  $t \uparrow +\infty$  is a critical point of the elastic energy and all these possible limits have the same elastic energy  $E_\infty$ .

##### 4.2. Stability for constant director fields.

If  $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{d}_0)$  are such that:

$$(H1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \int_\Omega F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}_0) \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$$

(in particular,  $\|\mathbf{d}_{cte} - \mathbf{d}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^2$  for a constant vector  $\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{cte}$  with  $|\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{cte}| = 1$ ) and

$$(H2) \quad \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma \lambda \|\mathbf{w}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_0 = -\Delta \mathbf{d}_0 + \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}_0)$  then for each  $t \geq t_0$ , applying the results from Section 2 one has:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \int_\Omega F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}(t)) \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma \lambda \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

#### Acknowledgements

The authors have been partially supported by Projects MTM2006-07932 and P06-FQM-02373.

#### References

- Climent-Ezquerria, B., Guillén-González, F. & Rojas-Medar, M.A. [2006] ‘‘Reproductivity for a nematic liquid crystal model,’’ *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **576**, No. 6, 984-998.
- Climent-Ezquerria, B., Guillén-González, F. & Moreno-Iraberte, M. J. [] ‘‘Regularity and Time-periodicity for a Nematic Liquid Crystal model,’’ *Accepted in Nonlinear Analysis*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2008.10.092>.
- Lin, F. H. & Liu, C. [1995] ‘‘Nonparabolic Dissipative Systems Modeling the Flow of Liquid Crystals,’’ *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**, 501-537.
- Lin, F. H. & Liu, C. [2000] ‘‘Existence of Solutions for the Ericksen-Leslie System,’’ *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **154**, 135-156.
- Liu, C., Shen, J. & Yang, X. [2007] ‘‘Dynamics of Defect Motion in Nematic Liquid Crystal Flow: Modeling and Numerical Simulation,’’ *Commun. Comput. Phys.* **2**-No. 6, 1184-1198.
- Sun, H. & Liu, C. [2009] ‘‘On Energetic Variational Approaches in Modeling the Nematic Liquid Crystal Flows,’’ *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **23**-No.1&2, 455-475.