

# Inoculum Effect on the Efficacies of Amoxicillin-Clavulanate, Piperacillin-Tazobactam, and Imipenem against Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL)-Producing and Non-ESBL-Producing Escherichia coli in an Experimental Murine Sepsis Model

F. Docobo-Pérez, L. López-Cerero, R. López-Rojas, P. Egea, J. Domínguez-Herrera, J. Rodríguez-Baño, A. Pascual, López-Rojas, P. Egea, J. Domínguez-Herrera, J. Rodríguez-Baño, López-Rojas, A. Pascual, López-Rojas, P. Egea, J. Domínguez-Herrera, J. Rodríguez-Baño, López-Rojas, R. López-R Institute of Biomedicine of Seville (IBiS), University Hospital Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/University of Seville, Spaina; Unit of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, University Hospital Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain<sup>b</sup>; Microbiology Department, University of Seville, Seville, Spain<sup>c</sup>

Escherichia coli is commonly involved in infections with a heavy bacterial burden. Piperacillin-tazobactam and carbapenems are among the recommended empirical treatments for health care-associated complicated intra-abdominal infections. In contrast to amoxicillin-clavulanate, both have reduced in vitro activity in the presence of high concentrations of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing and non-ESBL-producing E. coli bacteria. Our goal was to compare the efficacy of these antimicrobials against different concentrations of two clinical E. coli strains, one an ESBL-producer and the other a non-ESBL-producer, in a murine sepsis model. An experimental sepsis model  $\{\sim$  5.5  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g [low inoculum concentration (LI)] or  $\sim$  7.5  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g [high inoculum concentration (HI)]} using E. coli strains ATCC 25922 (non-ESBL producer) and Ec1062 (CTX-M-14 producer), which are susceptible to the three antimicrobials, was used. Amoxicillin-clavulanate (50/12.5 mg/kg given intramuscularly [i.m.]), piperacillin-tazobactam (25/3.125 mg/kg given intraperitoneally [i.p.]), and imipenem (30 mg/kg i.m.) were used. Piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem reduced spleen ATCC 25922 strain concentrations (-2.53 and  $-2.14 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g}$  [P < 0.05, respectively]) in the HI versus LI groups, while amoxicillin-clavulanate maintained its efficacy (-1.01 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g [no statistically significant difference]). Regarding the Ec1062 strain, the antimicrobials showed lower efficacy in the HI than in the LI groups: -0.73, -1.89, and  $-1.62 \log_{10}$  CFU/g (P < 0.05, for piperacillin-tazobactam, imipenem, and amoxicillin-clavulanate, respectively, although imipenem and amoxicillin-clavulanate were more efficacious than piperacillin-tazobactam). An adapted imipenem treatment (based on the time for which the serum drug concentration remained above the MIC obtained with a HI of the ATCC 25922 strain) improved its efficacy to  $-1.67 \log_{10}$  CFU/g (P < 0.05). These results suggest that amoxicillin-clavulanate could be an alternative to imipenem treatment of infections caused by ESBL- and non-ESBL-producing E. coli strains in patients with therapeutic failure with piperacillin-tazobactam.

scherichia coli is a common etiologic agent of intra-abdominal infections (IAI) (1) associated with a heavy bacterial burden (2) and of urinary tract infections and bacteremia (3–5), among others. The recommendations for empirical antimicrobial therapy for high-risk, severe, community-acquired, extrabiliary complicated IAI and health care-associated complicated IAI include piperacillin-tazobactam or carbapenems (6). Recently, data from an observational study suggested that amoxicillin-clavulanate and piperacillin-tazobactam are as effective as carbapenems in patients with bacteremia due to extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing E. coli; however, most of the patients in that study had urinary or biliary tract infections and the number of patients with heavy bacterial loads was probably low (7). In this context, it is important to note that, in some areas, many ESBLproducing and non-ESBL-producing isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae and E. coli are susceptible in vitro to piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem, but the MICs may increase substantially if the susceptibility tests are performed with an inoculum concentration higher than the standard one (8–11). Recently, we have demonstrated, using a microdilution method and time-kill assays, that the reduction of in vitro activity at a high inoculum concentration does not occur with the amoxicillin-clavulanate combination against clinical non-ESBL-producing and ESBL-producing E. coli strains (9). Previously, when several Enterobacteriaceae species produced complex beta-lactamase patterns or ESBL (12, 13), both

piperacillin-tazobactam and carbapenem compounds showed increased MICs when inoculum concentrations higher than the standard one are used. On the other hand, some authors have raised doubts about the existence of an inoculum effect (14), but in fact, little is known about the real *in vivo* impact of this effect. Thus, the objective of this study was to compare the in vivo efficacies of amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem against different tissue bacterial concentrations of two clinical E. coli strains in an experimental murine sepsis model.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Bacterial strains. Two E. coli strains were analyzed: ATCC 25922, which lacks any resistance determinant and is a non-ESBL producer, and Ec1062 (CTX-M-14-producing strain), which was selected from a previous study (9). The MICs obtained with the standard low inoculum concentration (LI) and a high inoculum concentrations (HI) in the previous study are shown in the Table 1 (9). Beta-lactamase production by the original

Received 6 November 2012 Returned for modification 8 December 2012 Accepted 15 February 2013

Published ahead of print 25 February 2013

Address correspondence to F. Docobo-Pérez, fdocobop@yahoo.es. Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.02190-12

TABLE 1 MIC of amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem for the ATCC 25922 and Ec1062 strains using standard and high bacterial inoculum concentrations

Antibacterial and inoculum	MIC (µg/ml)			
concentration <sup>a</sup>	ATCC 25922	Ec1062 <sup>b</sup>		
Amoxicillin-clavulanate				
LI	2/1	4/2		
HI	4/2	8/4		
Piperacillin-tazobactam				
LI	2/4	2/4		
HI	>256/4	>256/4		
Imipenem				
LĪ	0.06	0.06		
HI	0.5	0.25		

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  LI, low inoculum concentration (5  $\times$  10  $^5$  CFU/ml); HI, high inoculum concentration (10  $^7$  CFU/ml).

Ec1062 strain and a transconjugant was characterized as previously described by Hernández et al., by isoelectric focusing (IEF) (15). The ESBLencoding gene was further characterized by PCR and sequenced in the original and transconjugant isolates. Only one band by IEF and one PCR product were detected. According to the results obtained, CTX-M-14 was the only beta-lactamase present in strain Ec1062.

**Animals.** Female C57BL/6 mice weighting 16 to 20 g (University of Seville Facility, Seville, Spain) were used. Animals were housed in regulation cages and given free access to food and water. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospitals Virgen del Rocío (Seville, Spain).

**Experimental sepsis model characterization.** In order to assess the *in* vivo inoculum size effect on antimicrobial activity, an experimental sepsis model was used (16). To achieve high (HI) and low (LI) spleen bacterial concentrations at the beginning of treatment, two different intraperitoneal (i.p.) challenges with the ATCC 25922 and Ec1062 strains were used. Four groups of 10 mice were i.p. inoculated with a volume of 250 µl of  $\sim 10^7$  CFU/ml as a high inoculum concentration (HI) or  $\sim 10^5$  CFU/ml as a low inoculum concentration (LI). Bacterial inocula were mixed 1:1 with 10% porcine mucin (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain). Mice were then sacrificed by i.p. administration of a lethal dose of sodium thiopental (B. Braun Medical S.A. Rubi, Barcelona, Spain) 4 h after inoculation, at the time of the first treatment point. Immediately after death, laparotomy was carried out and spleens were extracted for homogenization in 2 ml of sterile saline solution (Stomacher 80; Tekmar Co., Cincinnati, OH). Finally, 10-fold dilutions were plated on sheep blood agar (SBA) plates for quantitative cultures.

Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics. Serum antibiotic concentrations were determined in groups of 21 healthy mice after a single administration of amoxicillin-clavulanate (Laboratorios Normon, Madrid, Spain) at 50/12.5 mg/kg given intramuscularly (i.m.), piperacillin-tazobactam (Pfizer, Alcobendas, Spain) at 25/3.125 mg/kg given i.p., or imipenem (MSD, Madrid, Spain) at 30 mg/kg given i.m. Blood samples from sets of three anesthetized mice were obtained from the periorbital plexus at 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min after drug administration.

Serum amoxicillin, clavulanate, and imipenem concentrations were determined by using an agar diffusion bioassay. *Kocuria rhizophila* ATCC 9341 was the control strain for amoxicillin and imipenem, and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 29665 was the control strain for clavulanate (17, 18). Serum piperacillin-tazobactam levels were quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography as previously described (19). A protein binding value of 20% for piperacillin and tazobactam was used (20). Because the method used for the determination of serum amoxicillin, clavulanate, and imi-

penem concentrations was a microbiological bioassay based on antimicrobial activity, specifically, the active fraction present in serum, we assumed that the entire antibiotic measured by this technique is free of protein binding.

Antibiotic assays were performed in triplicate. The maximum serum drug concentration ( $C_{\rm max}$  in µg/ml) and elimination half-life ( $t_{\rm 1/2}$  in hours) were obtained by a computer-assisted method (PK functions for Microsoft Excel; J. L. Usansky, A. Desai, and D. Tang-Liu, Department of Pharmacokinetics and Drug Metabolism, Allergan, Irvine, CA [http://www.boomer.org/pkin/soft.html]). The time ( $f\Gamma_{\rm MIC}$ ) hours) and the percentage of time ( $\%f\Gamma_{\rm MIC}$ ) for which the serum drug concentration remained above the MIC between two doses were extrapolated from the regression line of the serum drug concentration. The intraday and interday variations of the assays were also calculated.

The dosages of amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem were those needed to obtain the optimal  $\%fT_{MIC}$  of 20 to 40% established for  $\beta$ -lactams (21).

Therapeutic experimental sepsis model groups. Groups of 15 mice infected with a HI or LI of strain ATCC 25922 or Ec1062 were randomly designated controls (no treatment) or assigned to amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem treatment groups. Animals received a total daily amoxicillin-clavulanate dose of 400/100 mg/kg i.m. in eight doses, a total daily piperacillin-tazobactam dose of 200/25 mg/kg i.p. in eight doses, or a total daily imipenem dose of 120 mg/kg i.m. in four doses. Mice were observed for death for 24 h, and the surviving animals were sacrificed 3 h (amoxicillin-clavulanate and piperacillin-tazobactam groups) and 6 h (imipenem groups) after the last dose by the i.p. administration of a lethal dose of sodium thiopental. Immediately after death, spleens were extracted and processed as previously described. Through cardiac puncture, blood samples were taken and 100  $\mu$ l was plated on SBA for qualitative cultures.

To assess the benefits of a correction in a treatment based on the different MICs obtained with the different inocula, the  $\%fT_{\rm MIC}$  of imipenem was recalculated by using the MIC obtained with a HI of ATCC 25922. The dosage of imipenem was then adjusted to obtain a  $\%fT_{\rm MIC}$  of 30 to 40% in the interval between doses, and an additional experimental group was infected with a HI of strain ATCC 25922 and treated with a total daily imipenem dosage of 180 mg/kg i.m. (administered in six doses).

**Statistical analysis.** The variables analyzed were percent mortality, spleen bacterial concentration (mean  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g of tissue  $\pm$  standard deviation), and percent positive blood cultures. The two-tailed Fisher test, analysis of variance, and Dunnett and Tukey *post hoc* tests were used. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered significant.

## **RESULTS**

**Experimental model characterization.** The spleen bacterial concentrations obtained 4 h after HI and LI bacterial challenges were as follows: ATCC 25922 HI,  $7.5 \pm 0.44 \log_{10}$  CFU/g; ATCC 25922 LI,  $5.5 \pm 0.3 \log_{10}$  CFU/g; Ec1062 HI,  $7.4 \pm 0.57$ ; Ec1062 LI,  $5.4 \pm 0.21$ . The spleen bacterial burdens were similar in both groups, showing a difference of  $\sim$ 100-fold between the LI and HI groups, similar to that used for the *in vitro* experiments.

**Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics.** The mouse serum pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of each antimicrobial are shown in Table 2. The intraday and interday variations of the assays were  $3.01\% \pm 3.21\%$  and  $1.89\% \pm 4.22\%$  for amoxicillin,  $1.33\% \pm 1.44\%$  and  $1.09\% \pm 2.23\%$  for clavulanate,  $3.7\% \pm 0.42\%$  and  $4.35\% \pm 0.49\%$  for piperacillin,  $4\% \pm 1.7\%$  and  $3.6\% \pm 1.41\%$  for tazobactam, and  $2.62\% \pm 2.44\%$  and  $3.22\% \pm 1.91\%$  for imipenem. The linearities ( $r^2$ ) of the assays were  $0.98 \pm 0.01$  (amoxicillin),  $0.99 \pm 0.01$  (clavulanate, piperacillin, and tazobactam), and  $0.97 \pm 0.02$  (imipenem). The lower limits of detection were  $0.01~\mu g/ml$  (amoxicillin),  $0.12~\mu g/ml$  (clavulanate),  $1~\mu g/ml$  (piperacillin),  $1~\mu g/ml$  (tazobactam), and 0.01

 $<sup>^</sup>b$  CTX-M-14 producer.

TABLE 2 Serum pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters of amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem in mice

Drug <sup>a</sup>	Doses (mg/kg)	$C_{\rm max} (\mu {\rm g/ml})$	$t_{1/2}(h)$	$MIC (\mu g/ml)$	$f\Gamma_{\mathrm{MIC}}(\mathbf{h})$	$\%fT_{ m MIC}$	Time between doses (h)
AMC	50/12.5	17.9/5.2	0.40/0.22	2	1.13	37.7	3
				4	0.84	33.6	3
TZP	25/3.125	26.19/5.48	0.46/0.41	2	1.13	37.6	3
IPM	30	23.25	0.26	0.06	2.5	41.7	6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> AMC, amoxicillin-clavulanate; TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; IMP, imipenem.

 $\mu$ g/ml (imipenem). On the basis of the pharmacokinetic results, the antimicrobial dosages for the efficacy studies were adjusted to maintain concentrations above the MIC during 20 to 40% of the intervals between doses.

Therapeutic experimental sepsis model groups. The spleen bacterial concentrations, percentages of positive blood cultures, and mortality percentages obtained are shown in Table 3.

(i) LI infection groups. All of the antimicrobials reduced the spleen ATCC 25922 or Ec1062 bacterial concentrations below those of the controls (P < 0.05). Also, the percentages of mortality and positive blood cultures were reduced by all of the treatments with respect to those of the controls (P < 0.05), with the exception of the positive blood culture percentage in mice infected with the Ec1062 strain and treated with piperacillin-tazobactam. No significant differences were found in the spleen bacterial concentrations among the treatments in the groups of mice infected with the ATCC 25922 strain. It must be noted that in the Ec1062-infected groups, amoxicillin-clavulanate and imipenem were better than piperacillin-tazobactam (P < 0.05) and imipenem was better than amoxicillin-clavulanate in reducing the bacterial burden in the spleen (P < 0.05). In relation to the other variables, imipenem produced a lower percentage of positive blood cultures (P < 0.05) than piperacillin-tazobactam did in the groups infected with the Ec1062 strain, and amoxicillin-clavulanate and imipenem produced lower percentages of mortality than did piperacillin-tazobactam in the groups infected with the ATCC 25922 strain.

(ii) HI infection groups. On the other hand, all of the treat-

ments reduced the concentrations of both bacterial strains in the HI groups in relation to those of the control groups (P < 0.05). It is important to note that amoxicillin-clavulanate and imipenem were more efficacious than piperacillin-tazobactam (P < 0.05) in reducing the spleen bacterial concentrations of both strains and the mortality rate in the groups infected with the ATCC 25922 strain. Moreover, in the groups infected with the ATCC 25922 strain, the efficacy of amoxicillin-clavulanate in reducing the spleen bacterial concentrations was higher than that observed with imipenem (P < 0.05).

(iii) LI versus HI groups. Comparing the efficacies of the antimicrobials in the LI and HI groups with respect to spleen bacterial concentrations, in the ATCC 25922 strain-infected groups, piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem showed diminished efficacy when a HI was used. Amoxicillin-clavulanate maintained its efficacy in both the HI and LI groups (3.89  $\pm$  1.71 versus 2.88  $\pm$  1.21 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g [no statistically significant difference]).

Regarding ESBL-producing strain Ec1062, all of the antimicrobials had lower efficacy in the HI than in the LI group in relation to the spleen bacterial concentrations, with significant reductions of  $-0.73 \log_{10}$  CFU/g for piperacillin-tazobactam,  $-1.89 \log_{10}$  CFU/g for imipenem, and  $-1.62 \log_{10}$  CFU/g for amoxicillinclavulanate.

Finally, when the imipenem treatment was adapted according to the MIC obtained with the HI of the ATCC 25922 strain (180 mg/kg i.m. administered in six doses), the efficacy of imipenem improved, decreasing the spleen bacterial concentration from

TABLE 3 *In vivo* spleen bacterial concentrations, percentages of positive blood cultures, and mortality rates in controls and after treatment with amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem in mice infected with a high or low concentration of strain ATCC 25922 or Ec1062 bacteria

	Low inoculum concentratio			High inoculum concentration	on	
Strain and treatment <sup>f</sup>	Bacterial concn in spleen $(\log_{10} \text{CFU/g})$	% of blood cultures positive	% Mortality	Bacterial concn in spleen (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)	% of blood cultures positive	% Mortality
ATCC 25922						
Control	$8.68 \pm 0.35$	100	100	$8.02 \pm 0.16$	100	100
AMC	$2.88 \pm 1.21^{a}$	$40^a$	$0^{a,b}$	$3.89 \pm 1.71^{a,b,c}$	$60^{a}$	$0^{a,b}$
TZP	$4.1 \pm 2.69^{a,e}$	$60^{a}$	$53.3^{a,e}$	$6.63 \pm 0.36^a$	85.7	100
IPM	$3.46 \pm 1.46^{a,e}$	$33.3^{a}$	$0^{a,b}$	$5.6 \pm 1.1^{a,b}$	73.3	$6.7^{a,b}$
Ec1062						
Control	$8.57 \pm 0.33$	100	$68.8^{e}$	$8.19 \pm 0.87$	100	100
AMC	$4.49 \pm 0.18^{a,b,e}$	66.7 <sup>a</sup>	$0^a$	$6.11 \pm 0.2^{a,b}$	73.3	$0^a$
TZP	$6.26 \pm 0.84^{a,e}$	86.7	$6.7^{a}$	$6.99 \pm 0.99^a$	80	$26.7^{a}$
IPM	$4.08\pm0.37^{a,b,d,e}$	$26.7^{a,b}$	$0^a$	$5.97 \pm 0.16^{a,b}$	66.7 <sup>a</sup>	$0^a$

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$  P < 0.05 versus control.

 $<sup>^{</sup>b}$  P < 0.05 versus piperacillin-tazobactam.

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  P < 0.05 versus imipenem.

 $<sup>^</sup>d$  P < 0.05 versus amoxicillin-clavulanate.

 $<sup>^{</sup>e}$  P < 0.05 versus high inoculum concentration.

f AMC, amoxicillin-clavulanate; TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; IMP, imipenem.

TABLE 4 *In vivo* results of treatment with an imipenem dosage adjusted to the MIC obtained with a high inoculum concentration of strain ATCC 25922

		Low inoculum		High inoculum		
Treatment (no. of doses)	Time between doses (h)	Mean spleen bacterial concn ± SD (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)	$\%f \Gamma_{ m MIC}$	Mean spleen bacterial concn ± SD (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)	$\%f\Gamma_{ m MIC}$	
Control Imipenem (6) Imipenem (4)		$8.68 \pm 0.35$ $ND^d$ $3.46 \pm 1.46^{a,c}$	ND 41.7	$8.02 \pm 0.16$ $3.93 \pm 2.17^{a,b}$ $5.6 \pm 1.1^{a}$	32.5 21.67	

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  P < 0.05 versus control.

 $5.6 \pm 1.1$  to  $3.93 \pm 2.17 \log_{10}$  CFU/g (P < 0.05). These results were similar to those obtained with animals infected with the LI and treated with 120 mg/kg i.m. administered in four doses,  $3.93 \pm 2.17$  versus  $3.46 \pm 1.46 \log_{10}$  CFU/g (no statistically significant difference) (Table 4).

### **DISCUSSION**

There is increased interest in the potential utility of amoxicillin-clavulanate and piperacillin-tazobactam as alternatives to carbapenems in the treatment of invasive infections due to susceptible ESBL-producing *E. coli* (7). However, clinical data on the efficacy of these compounds in infections with heavy bacterial loads are still scarce. The present work analyzed the inoculum size effect on the *in vivo* efficacies of two beta-lactam—beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations (amoxicillin-clavulanate and piperacillin-tazobactam) and a carbapenem (imipenem) in an experimental murine model of sepsis caused by two *E. coli* strains, one a non-ESBL producer and the other an ESBL producer.

Regarding the groups infected with the non-ESBL-producing ATCC 25922 strain, it is noteworthy that the efficacy of amoxicil-lin-clavulanate, in terms of the bacterial burden in the spleen, was the same independently of the initial tissue bacterial concentration; on the contrary, an increase in the initial bacterial burden in the spleen led to a failure of piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem to reduce the spleen bacterial concentration. It is important to note that treatment with imipenem at a dosage that took into account the MIC obtained with the HI of the ATCC 25922 strain to calculate a favorable  $\%f\Gamma_{\rm MIC}$  improved its efficacy significantly.

Moreover, in the groups infected with the ESBL-producing Ec1062 strain, all three antimicrobials had lower efficacies against an infection with a high tissue bacterial concentration than in that with a lower bacterial concentration, although imipenem and amoxicillin-clavulanate were more efficacious than piperacillintazobactam in the high inoculum concentration infection.

Many *in vitro* studies have shown the inoculum effect of piperacillin-tazobactam, amoxicillin-clavulanate, or carbapenems (8, 9, 12, 22), but limited data exist about the *in vivo* role of the inoculum size effect in the treatment of infections due to Gramnegative bacilli and on how optimized dosing may overcome the impact of this phenomenon.

With respect to the activity of piperacillin-tazobactam, there seems to be a good correlation between the previous *in vitro* and

the present *in vivo* results with diminished efficacy against the heavier bacterial burden (9). This lack of efficacy was also observed by Vimont et al. in an experimental model of peritonitis caused by *E. coli* producing plasmid-mediated AmpC-type beta-lactamases, where the 50% effective doses (ED<sub>50</sub>) of piperacillintazobactam ranged from 8.53 to 624 mg/kg, underscoring the lack of efficacy of this combination in this mouse model of infection (23). Whether the inoculum effect translates into clinical failure is controversial; even though failure of piperacillintazobactam in patients with intra-abdominal infections caused by susceptible isolates seems to be rare, the impact of the inoculum effect might be underestimated since surgery rapidly and drastically reduces the bacterial burden in such infections.

In accordance with our results with imipenem, Mizunaga et al. also observed an increase in the  $ED_{50}$  in a murine systemic infection model, showing a reduction in the efficacy of carbapenems (imipenem, panipenem, and meropenem) against a clinical *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain associated with a rise in the inoculum concentration from  $10^5$  to  $10^7$  CFU (24).

DeRyke et al., in a neutropenic mouse model of thigh infections caused by three clinical ESBL-producing  $E.\ coli$  strains, observed approximately 2- to 3.5-log<sub>10</sub> decreases in thigh bacterial concentrations with meropenem and ertapenem, respectively, using a  $10^7$ -CFU/ml inoculum (25). This increase in the bacterial load, from  $10^5$  to  $10^7$  CFU/ml, led to a change in the pharmacodynamic parameter % $fT_{\rm MIC}$  for the three strains from 85 to 93% to 30 to 45% for meropenem and from 77 to 90% to 20 to 30% for ertapenem. Our results are in agreement with that observation, because we obtained a spleen bacterial count reduction of approximately 2.5 to 4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g with a % $fT_{\rm MIC}$  of 21 to 32.5%, depending on the imipenem dosage used.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that the in vivo inoculum size effect on amoxicillin-clavulanate has been assessed. Our previous in vitro studies showed that the bactericidal activity of amoxicillin-clavulanate against ESBL and non-ESBL producing strains is not modified by the presence of a heavy bacterial burden, in contrast to that of piperacillin-tazobactam (9). The present in vivo results are in agreement with the in vitro data in relation to the non-ESBL-producing ATCC 25922 strain (9), where amoxicillinclavulanate maintained its efficacy, in terms of bacterial concentrations in the spleen, in the LI and HI groups. However, the presence of the beta-lactamase CTX-M-14 reduced the efficacy of amoxicillin-clavulanate in infections with a high bacterial concentration in tissues, in relation to those with a lower bacterial concentration. With similar  $C_{\text{max}}$ s and  $t_{1/2}$ s of amoxicillin (17.9 µg/ml and 0.4 h) and piperacillin (26.19 µg/ml and 0.46 h), this discrepancy could be explained by the shorter  $t_{1/2}$  of clavulanate (0.22 h) than of tazobactam (0.41 h), which permits greater hydrolysis of amoxicillin than of piperacillin and consequently a little regrowth of the Ec1062 strain.

In summary, the results of this study support the hypothesis that the inoculum effect might be the basis for the reported clinical failures of piperacillin-tazobactam in spite of its good *in vitro* activity against ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* when a high bacterial concentration is present (26, 27). In addition, the results suggest that amoxicillin-clavulanate could be an alternative to imipenem treatment of infections caused by ESBL- and non-ESBL-producing *E. coli* strains in patients with therapeutic failure with piperacillin-tazobactam. Clinical studies need to be designed to validate these results in clinical practice.

 $<sup>^</sup>b$  P < 0.05 versus four doses of imipenem.

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  P < 0.05 versus high inoculum concentration.

d ND, not done.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (10/01955, 10/02021) and by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, cofinanced by European Development Regional Fund A Way to Achieve Europe ERDF, Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI RD12/0015). P. Egea was supported by research contract Río Hortega CM11/00297 from ISCIII.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Rossi F, Baquero F, Hsueh PR, Paterson DL, Bochicchio GV, Snyder TA, Satishchandran V, McCarroll K, DiNubile MJ, Chow JW. 2006. In vitro susceptibilities of aerobic and facultatively anaerobic Gram-negative bacilli isolated from patients with intra-abdominal infections worldwide: 2004 results from SMART (Study for Monitoring Antimicrobial Resistance Trends). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 58:205–210.
- 2. König C, Simmen HP, Blaser J. 1998. Bacterial concentrations in pus and infected peritoneal fluid—implications for bactericidal activity of antibiotics. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 42:227–232.
- Laupland KB, Ross T, Pitout JD, Church DL, Gregson DB. 2007. Community-onset urinary tract infections: a population-based assessment. Infection 35:150–153.
- 4. Schlackow I, Stoesser N, Walker AS, Crook DW, Peto TE, Wyllie DH. 2012. Increasing incidence of *Escherichia coli* bacteraemia is driven by an increase in antibiotic-resistant isolates: electronic database study in Oxfordshire 1999-2011. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67:1514–1524.
- Skogberg K, Lyytikainen O, Ollgren J, Nuorti JP, Ruutu P. 2012. Population-based burden of bloodstream infections in Finland. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 18:E170–E176.
- 6. Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJ, Baron EJ, O'Neill PJ, Chow AW, Dellinger EP, Eachempati SR, Gorbach S, Hilfiker M, May AK, Nathens AB, Sawyer RG, Bartlett JG. 2010. Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin. Infect. Dis. 50:133–164.
- 7. Rodríguez-Baño J, Navarro MD, Retamar P, Picon E, Pascual A. 2012. Beta-lactam/beta-lactam inhibitor combinations for the treatment of bacteremia due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*: a post hoc analysis of prospective cohorts. Clin. Infect. Dis. 54:167–174.
- 8. Thomson KS, Moland ES. 2001. Cefepime, piperacillin-tazobactam, and the inoculum effect in tests with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:3548–3554.
- 9. López-Cerero L, Picon E, Morillo C, Hernández JR, Docobo F, Pachón J, Rodríguez-Baño J, Pascual A. 2010. Comparative assessment of inoculum effects on the antimicrobial activity of amoxycillin-clavulanate and piperacillin-tazobactam with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-nonproducing *Escherichia coli* isolates. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16:132–136.
- 10. Goldstein EJ, Citron DM, Cherubin CE. 1991. Comparison of the inoculum effects of members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* on cefoxitin and other cephalosporins, beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations, and the penicillin-derived components of these combinations. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:560–566.
- 11. Kang CI, Pai H, Kim SH, Kim HB, Kim EC, Oh MD, Choe KW. 2004. Cefepime and the inoculum effect in tests with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing plasmid-mediated AmpC-type beta-lactamase. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 54:1130–1133.
- 12. Betriu C, Salso S, Sanchez A, Culebras E, Gomez M, Rodriguez-Avial I,

- Picazo JJ. 2006. Comparative *in vitro* activity and the inoculum effect of ertapenem against *Enterobacteriaceae* resistant to extended-spectrum cephalosporins. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 28:1–5.
- 13. Segatore B, Setacci D, Perilli M, Franchino L, Franceschini N, Agnifili A, Rossolini GM, Amicosante G. 2004. Antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* producing complex beta-lactamase patterns including extended-spectrum enzymes. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 23:480–486.
- 14. Craig WA, Bhavnani SM, Ambrose PG. 2004. The inoculum effect: fact or artifact? Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 50:229–230.
- Hernández JR, Martinez-Martinez L, Canton R, Coque TM, Pascual A. 2005. Nationwide study of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Spain. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49:2122–2125.
- 16. Frimodt-Møller N, Knudsen JD, Espersen F. 1999. The mouse peritonitis/sepsis model, p 127–136. *In* Oto Z, Merle AS (ed.), Handbook of animal models of infection. Academic Press, Ltd., London, United Kingdom.
- 17. Klassen M, Edberg S. 1996. Measurement of antibiotic in human body fluids: techniques and significance, p 230–295. *In* Lorian V (ed), Antibiotics in laboratory medicine, 4th ed. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD.
- 18. Löwdin E, Cars O, Odenholt I. 2002. Pharmacodynamics of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid against *Haemophilus influenzae* in an *in vitro* kinetic model: a comparison of different dosage regimens including a pharmacokinetically enhanced formulation. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 8:646–653.
- Li C, Xuan D, Ye M, Nightingale CH, Nicolau DP. 2005. Simultaneous analysis of piperacillin and tazobactam in rabbits: application to pharmacokinetic study. Biomed. Chromatogr. 19:99–106.
- 20. Bulik CC, Tessier PR, Keel RA, Sutherland CA, Nicolau DP. 2012. *In vivo* comparison of CXA-101 (FR264205) with and without tazobactam versus piperacillin-tazobactam using human simulated exposures against phenotypically diverse gram-negative organisms. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56:544–549.
- Drusano GL. 2003. Prevention of resistance: a goal for dose selection for antimicrobial agents. Clin. Infect. Dis. 36:S42–50.
- Tam VH, Ledesma KR, Chang KT, Wang TY, Quinn JP. 2009. Killing of *Escherichia coli* by beta-lactams at different inocula. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 64:166–171.
- Vimont S, Aubert D, Mazoit JX, Poirel L, Nordmann P. 2007. Broadspectrum beta-lactams for treating experimental peritonitis in mice due to *Escherichia coli* producing plasmid-encoded cephalosporinases. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 60:1045–1050.
- 24. Mizunaga S, Kamiyama T, Fukuda Y, Takahata M, Mitsuyama J. 2005. Influence of inoculum size of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on *in vitro* activities and *in vivo* efficacy of fluoroquinolones and carbapenems. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 56:91–96.
- 25. DeRyke CA, Banevicius MA, Fan HW, Nicolau DP. 2007. Bactericidal activities of meropenem and ertapenem against extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a neutropenic mouse thigh model. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51:1481–1486.
- 26. Paterson DL, Ko WC, Von Gottberg A, Mohapatra S, Casellas JM, Goossens H, Mulazimoglu L, Trenholme G, Klugman KP, Bonomo RA, Rice LB, Wagener MM, McCormack JG, Yu VL. 2004. Antibiotic therapy for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteremia: implications of production of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Clin. Infect. Dis. 39:31–37.
- Paterson DL, Singh N, Gayowski T, Marino IR. 1999. Fatal infection due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*: implications for antibiotic choice for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 28:683–684.