## $\ell^{p}$-type Dirichlet Spaces


#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of Banach spaces $B_{p}$ extending the classical Dirichlet space through the growth behaviour of the Taylor coefficients. We focus on the boundary behaviour of functions in $B_{p}$ and of the sequence of partial sums of their Taylor series. Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30H25, Secondary 31A15 Key words and phrases: Dirichlet-type spaces, boundary behaviour, logarithmic capacity.


## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the open unit disc, its boundary and the complex plane, respectively. We will write $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$, so that we can represent

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

Given $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, it is said to belong to the classical Dirichlet space $D$ if its Dirichlet integral is finite, that is

$$
D:=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d m_{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $d m_{2}$ denotes integration with respect to the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. From $f^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k} z^{k-1}$ it is easily seen that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d m_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}
$$

which implies, in particular, that $D$ is a subspace of the Hardy space $H^{2}$ (see [11] for Hardy spaces). The Dirichlet space turns into a Banach space by considering the norm

$$
\|f\|_{D}:=\left(|f(0)|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d m_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is induced by the scalar product $\langle f, g\rangle:=f(0) \overline{g(0)}+\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime} \overline{g^{\prime}} d m_{2}$.
The Dirichlet space has attracted much attention in the last decades. Recommended introductions are the monography [13] and the expository article [27]. It can be actually shown that $D$ is contained in all Hardy spaces $H^{r}$, for $r<\infty$, and it turns out that the situation concerning the boundary behaviour of $f \in D$ and, accordingly, of the partial sums $S_{n} f$ of the Taylor series, is significantly more favourable than in the case of the Hardy spaces: By Beurling's theorem (see e.g. [13] or [27]), the non-tangential limit function of $f$ exists quasi everywhere, that is, up to a set of vanishing (outer) logarithmic capacity, and, by Abel's theorem and Fejér's Tauberian theorem (see e.g. [22], [20, Remarks I.5.5]), the partial sums $S_{n} f$ converge exactly in the points $\zeta$ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ where the non-tangential limit exists. This implies, in particular, that the sequence $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n}$ converges to the non-tangential limit function quasi everywhere.

Let now $1<p \leq \infty$. Several ways of extending the Hilbert space case $D$ to more general $L^{p}$-type Banach spaces cases are quite natural.

On the one hand, extending the definition via the area integral leads to the analytic Besov spaces

$$
B^{p}:=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): \varphi f^{\prime} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)\right\}
$$

with $\varphi(z):=1-|z|^{2}$ and $d \tau:=\varphi^{-2} d m_{2}$, completely normed by

$$
\|f\|_{B^{p}}:=\left(|f(0)|^{p}+\left\|\varphi f^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

(see e.g. [30], [33]). It can be shown that $f \in B^{p}$ if and only if $\varphi f^{\prime \prime} \in$ $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}, \varphi^{-1} m_{2}\right)$ (see e.g. [3, Example 5, p. 18]). With that in mind,

$$
B^{1}:=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right| d m_{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

extends the family $\left(B^{p}\right)_{p>1}$ in a natural way. According to [2], the Besov spaces $B^{p}$ are increasing in $p$, with $B^{\infty}$ being the classical Bloch space.

On the other hand, extending the characterisation of the Dirichlet space via convergence of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}$ leads to considering, here for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the $\ell^{p}$-type spaces

$$
B_{p}:=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}):\left(k a_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{p}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)\right\}
$$

where $\varphi(k)=k$ and $d \nu:=\varphi^{-1} d \mu$ with $\mu$ denoting the counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$. For $1 \leq p<\infty$ we have

$$
B_{p}=\left\{f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in H(\mathbb{D}): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}<+\infty\right\}
$$

with the complete norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p}}:=\left(\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

With these notations, $D=B^{2}=B_{2}$. We also note that $B_{\infty}$ is the space of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with $a_{k}=O(1 / k)$ (normed by $\left.\|f\|_{B_{\infty}}:=\left|a_{0}\right|+\sup _{k} k\left|a_{k}\right|\right)$ and that $B_{1}$ is isomorphic to the analytic Wiener space.

For $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, let $\left(S_{n} f\right)(z):=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ denote the $n$-th partial sum of the Taylor series $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$. From the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p}}$ it follows that, for $f \in B_{p}$, the partial sums are norm-convergent to $f$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$. In particular, the polynomials are dense in $B_{p}$.

While the Besov spaces $B^{p}$ are quite well understood, less is known about the spaces $B_{p}$, for $p>1$. The aim of this paper is to study the boundary behaviour of functions $f \in B_{p}$ and of the corresponding sequences of partial sums $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ (see Sections 2 and 3 ). Before that, we investigate several basic properties of the spaces $B_{p}$.

Note that functions in $B_{1}$ extend continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. On the other hand,

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log (k)} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

belongs to $B_{p}$ for all $p>1$ and

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r) \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log (k)}=\infty
$$

In particular, $f$ is unbounded in $\mathbb{D}$, that is, $f$ does not belong to $H^{\infty}$. According to the prime number theorem, the same holds for $f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^{k} / p_{k}$, where $p_{k}$ denotes the $k$-th prime number.

Let in the sequel $q$ always denote the conjugate exponent of $p$, that is

$$
p q=p+q .
$$

As a consequence of Hölder's inequality and the Hausdorff-Young theorem we get

Proposition 1.1. If $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in B_{p}$, for some $p$, then $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell^{s}$ for all $s>1$, and $f \in \bigcap_{r<\infty} H^{r}$.

Proof. We may assume that $1<p<\infty$. For any $p^{\prime}>q$ (or, equivalently $q^{\prime}<p$ ) we have that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{q^{\prime}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{q^{\prime} / q} \frac{1}{k^{q^{\prime} / q}}\left|a_{k}\right|^{\left.\right|^{\prime}} \leq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{q^{\prime} / p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{p q^{\prime}}{q\left(p-q^{\prime}\right)}}}\right)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p}}
$$

A calculation shows that the exponent of the second series being greater than 1 is equivalent to $q^{\prime}>1$, and so we obtain the convergence of the geometric series on the right hand side. Now, $f \in B_{p}$ implies the convergence of the series on the left hand side. With that, the Hausdorff-Young theorem ([12, Theorem A, p. 76]) allows us to conclude that $f \in H^{r}$ for all $r<\infty$.

As mentioned above, the Besov spaces are increasing in $p$. In contrast, the spaces $B_{p}$ are neither increasing nor decreasing:

Remark 1.2. Let $1<p<p^{\prime} \leq \infty$. On the one hand, for $0<\alpha<\infty$, the function

$$
f_{\alpha}(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log ^{\alpha}(k)} z^{k}
$$

belongs to $B_{p}$ if and only if $\alpha>1 / p$. If we choose $1 / p^{\prime}<\alpha<1 / p$, we obtain that $f_{\alpha} \in B_{p^{\prime}}$ but $f_{\alpha} \notin B_{p}$. In particular, the spaces $B_{p}$ are not decreasing in $p$. On the other hand, let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ be so that $q^{\prime} \leq s / r<q$. A simple calculation yields that the function $f_{r, s}$ given by the lacunary series

$$
f_{r, s}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}),
$$

where $a_{k}=1 / 2^{j \cdot r}$ if $k=2^{j \cdot s}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and zero otherwise, belongs to $B_{p}$ but not to $B_{p^{\prime}}$. In particular, the spaces $B_{p}$ are neither increasing in $p$. Moreover, if $1<p<2$, by choosing $p^{\prime}=2$ it is seen that $f_{r, s}$ does not belong to $B^{t}$ for any $t<2$, since otherwise, by Theorems A and C from [32], we would have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{t}<\infty$, and thus $f_{r, s}$ would also belong to $\bigcap_{t \leq u \leq 2} B_{u}$. In particular, $B_{p}$ is not included in $\bigcup_{1<t<2} B^{t}$.

The functions $f_{\alpha}$ also show that, for $1<p \leq \infty$, the space $B_{p}$ with pointwise multiplication of functions is not an algebra: By choosing $1 / p<\alpha<(1+1 / p) / 2$ we have $\left(f_{\alpha}\right)^{2}(z)=\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$ where the coefficients $c_{k}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k} & =\sum_{j=2}^{k-2} \frac{1}{j \log ^{\alpha}(j)(k-j) \log ^{\alpha}(k-j)} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{k \log ^{\alpha}(k)} \sum_{j=2}^{k-2} \frac{1}{j \log ^{\alpha}(j)} \geq \frac{1}{k \log ^{\alpha}(k)} \cdot \frac{C}{\log ^{\alpha-1}(k)}=\frac{C}{k \log ^{2 \alpha-1}(k)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$
\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|c_{k}\right|^{p} \geq C^{p} \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log ^{p(2 \alpha-1)}(k)}>\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log (k)}=\infty
$$

For $f, g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the Hadamard product $f * g$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

With respect to the Hadamard product, $B_{p}$ becomes an algebra. Actually, more generally we have that $f * g \in B_{p}$ if $f \in B_{p}$ and $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k}$ is bounded. Moreover, from the definition it turns out that

$$
B_{2 p}=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): f * f^{\prime}=(f * f)^{\prime} \in B_{p}\right\}
$$

for $p<\infty$.
Using results of Zhu for the Besov spaces we show:
Theorem 1.3. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ the space $B^{p}$ is continuously embedded in $B_{p}$ and, conversely, for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ the space $B_{p}$ is continuously embedded in $B^{p}$.

Proof. Consider the linear mapping $T: B^{1}+B^{2}=B^{2} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$ given by $T f=\left(a_{k}\right)_{k}$, where $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$. From Theorem C in [30] it follows that $B^{1} \subset B_{1}$ with continuous inclusion map. Hence, $\left.T\right|_{B^{1}}$ maps $B^{1}$ continuously into $\ell^{1}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$. Since $B^{2}=B_{2}$ with norm equivalence, an application of the complex interpolation theorem (see [33, Theorem 1.32] or [5]) together with Theorem 6.12 in [33] shows that $B^{p} \subset B_{p}$ for $1<p<2$, with continuous inclusion map.

Now, if $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$, that is $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k}$ is bounded, we have

$$
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}\right| \leq \sup _{k}\left|b_{k}\right| \frac{1}{1-|z|}
$$

and so $\varphi g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)$, where $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$. Also, $g$ belongs to the Bergman space

$$
A^{2}=\left\{g \in H(\mathbb{D}): \int_{\mathbb{D}}|g|^{2} d m_{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

if and only if $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$. This shows that $T\left(\left(b_{k}\right)_{k}\right):=\varphi g$ defines a (bounded) linear mapping $T: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)+\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \nu) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)+L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)$. An application of the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem shows that $T$ maps $\ell^{p}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$ boundedly to $L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)$ for $2<p<\infty$. Now, if $f \in B_{p}$, then $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k}=\left(k a_{k}\right)_{k} \in$ $\ell^{p}(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$, and so $\varphi f^{\prime}$ belongs to $L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \tau)$, which means that $f \in B^{p}$.

## 2 Growth and boundary behaviour

Note that functions in $B_{\infty}$ belong to the Bloch space $B^{\infty}$, which means that

$$
f(z)=O\left(\log \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)\right) \quad\left(|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)
$$

for $f \in B_{\infty}$. We shall prove that for functions in $B_{p}$ the growth is restricted by $\log ^{1 / q}\left(1 /\left(1-|z|^{q}\right)\right)$ (cf. [13, Theorem 1.2.1] for the case $p=2$ ). To this aim, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$, we compute the norm of the evaluation functional $\Lambda_{w}: B_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\Lambda_{w} f:=f(w)$.

Note first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle:=a_{0} \overline{b_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k} \overline{b_{k}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in B_{p}, g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$, defines a linear-antilinear pairing for the spaces $B_{p}$ and $B_{q}$. Indeed, by the Hölder-Young inequality, and writing $k=k^{1 / p} k^{1 / q}$, we obtain that

$$
\left|a_{0} \overline{b_{0}}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k} \overline{b_{k}}\right| \leq\left(\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\left|b_{0}\right|^{q}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{q-1}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Since $\left(k z^{k-1}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal system in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, m_{2}\right)$, it is easily seen that

$$
\left\langle f-a_{0}, g-b_{0}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime} \overline{g^{\prime}} d m_{2}
$$

(cf. [8, Proposition 6.4.2], [2]).
In particular, $\phi_{g}(f):=\langle f, g\rangle$ defines a bounded linear functional on $B_{p}$, that is, $\phi_{g} \in\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}$, with $\left\|\phi_{g}\right\|_{\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}} \leq\|g\|_{B_{q}}$. Actually, every functional of $\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}$ admits such a representation:

Proposition 2.1. Let $1<p<\infty$. Then $g \mapsto \phi_{g}$ maps $B_{q}$ isometrically isomorphic to $\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}$.

Proof. According to the preliminary considerations, it suffices to show that each $\phi \in\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}$ is of the form $\phi_{g}$ and that $\|g\|_{B_{q}} \leq\|\phi\|_{\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}}$. So let $\phi \in\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}$ be given and let $g(z):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ where $b_{0}:=\phi(1)$ and $b_{k}:=\phi\left(z^{k}\right) / k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, consider the sequence $\left(c_{k}\right)_{k}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{0} & :=\left|b_{0}\right|^{q-2} \overline{b_{0}} \\
c_{k} & :=k^{q-2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q-2} \overline{b_{k}}, \quad(k \in \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have that $c_{0} b_{0}=\left|b_{0}\right|^{q}$ and $c_{k} b_{k}=k^{q-2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q}(k \in \mathbb{N})$, while on the other hand $\left|c_{0}\right|^{p}=\left|b_{0}\right|^{q}$ and $k^{p-1}\left|c_{k}\right|^{p}=k^{q-1}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q}(k \in \mathbb{N})$. If we fix an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$, from the boundedness of $\phi$ we obtain that

$$
\left|b_{0}\right|^{q}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{q-1}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q}=\phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} c_{k} z^{k}\right) \leq\|\phi\|_{\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\left|c_{0}\right|^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{p-1}\left|c_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Putting all together we obtain that

$$
\left(\left|b_{0}\right|^{q}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{q-1}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq\|\phi\|_{\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

Finally, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ gives us $\|g\|_{B_{q}} \leq\|\phi\|_{\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}}$, and from the definition of $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k}$ we have $\phi=\phi_{g}$.

Now, for $w \in \mathbb{D}$ we consider the function $k_{w} \in H\left(|w|^{-1} \mathbb{D}\right)$ given by

$$
k_{w}(z):=1+\log \left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{w} z}\right)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{w}^{k}}{k} z^{k}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{B_{q}}^{q}=1+\log \left(\frac{1}{1-|w|^{q}}\right)=\log \left(\frac{e}{1-|w|^{q}}\right)
$$

and for $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ we have

$$
\Lambda_{w} f=a_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k} \frac{w^{k}}{k}=\left\langle f, k_{w}\right\rangle
$$

So, we can view the functions $k_{w} \in B_{q}$ as a kind of reproducing kernel in $B_{p}$. From Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$
\left\|\Lambda_{w}\right\|_{\left(B_{p}\right)^{\prime}}=\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{B_{q}}=\log ^{1 / q}\left(\frac{e}{1-|w|^{q}}\right)
$$

and as a consequence, we have:
Theorem 2.2. If $1<p<\infty$ and $f \in B_{p}$, then

$$
|f(z)| \leq \log ^{1 / q}\left(\frac{e}{1-|z|^{q}}\right)\|f\|_{B_{p}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Remark 2.3. Let $\varepsilon: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a function such that $\liminf _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \varepsilon(z)=0$. Then, there exists $f \in B_{p}$ such that

$$
f(z) \neq O\left(\varepsilon(z) \log ^{1 / q}\left(\frac{e}{1-|z|^{q}}\right)\right) \quad\left(|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)
$$

Indeed: Let $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\varepsilon\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Consider the sequence of functions $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $B_{q}$ given by

$$
g_{n}(z):=\varepsilon\left(w_{n}\right)^{-1} \log ^{-1 / q}\left(\frac{e}{1-\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}}\right) k_{w_{n}}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Since

$$
\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{B_{q}}=\varepsilon\left(w_{n}\right)^{-1} \log ^{-1 / q}\left(\frac{e}{1-\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}}\right)\left\|k_{w_{n}}\right\|_{B_{q}}=\varepsilon\left(w_{n}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n}$ is unbounded in $B_{q}$. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, there exists $f \in B_{p}$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1}\left|\left\langle f, g_{n}\right\rangle\right|=\infty$.

In the sequel we investigate the boundary functions and the behaviour of the partial sums $S_{n} f$ of $B_{p}$-functions. We start with an extension of Fejér's Tauberian theorem mentioned in the introduction. It is formulated in [20, Remark 5.5 ] with the comment that the proof follows along the same lines as the proof of Fejér's theorem. Since it is basic for our purposes, we include a proof. For $p=\infty$ the result also holds, and is the classical Littlewood's theorem (see [34, Vol I, Theorem III 1.38]).

Proposition 2.4. Let $f \in B_{p}$, where $1<p \leq \infty$. Then, the sequence of partial sums of the Taylor series $\left(S_{n} f(\zeta)\right)_{n}$ converges at every point $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ at which the radial limit of $f$ exists.

Proof. Let $p<\infty$ and $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$. We put $\varepsilon_{n}:=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}$ and take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $r_{n}:=1-\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / p} / n>0$. Then, for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} \zeta^{k}-f\left(r_{n} \zeta\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} \zeta^{k}\left(1-r_{n}^{k}\right)-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k} r_{n}^{k} \zeta^{k}\right| \\
& \leq\left(1-r_{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| r_{n}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Hölder inequality and $k=k^{1 / p} k^{1 / q}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-r_{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right| & \leq\left(1-r_{n}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq\left(1-r_{n}\right) \varepsilon_{0}^{1 / p} n=\varepsilon_{0}^{1 / p} \varepsilon_{n}^{1 / p} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| r_{n}^{k} & \leq \frac{1}{n^{1 / q}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{1 / q}\left|a_{k}\right| r_{n}^{k} \leq \frac{1}{n^{1 / q}}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{p-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} r_{n}^{k q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{1 / q}} \varepsilon_{n}^{1 / p} \frac{1}{\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{1 / q}}=\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / p^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

In combination with Abel's limit theorem, the above Tauberian result shows that, for functions in $B_{p}$, convergence of the partials sum $\left(S_{n} f\right)(\zeta)$ and existence of a radial limit of $f$ at $\zeta$ are equivalent. In order to get information about sets of convergence on $\mathbb{T}$ we relate the spaces $B_{p}\left(\right.$ and $\left.B^{p}\right)$ to other Banach spaces of holomorphic functions in the disc.

It is well-known that, for $1<p<\infty$, functions in $H^{p}$ are the Cauchy integral of their boundary function belonging to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}, m_{1}\right)$, with $m_{1}$ denoting the arc length measure on $\mathbb{T}$. For $p>1$ and $0<\beta<1$, the space $H_{\beta}^{p}$ is the space of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which there exists $F \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}, m_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{F(\zeta)}{(1-z \bar{\zeta})^{1-\beta}} d m_{1}(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

The boundary behaviour of functions in the latter spaces was studied in [19] and [26]. By considering an arbitrary exponent $\alpha$ of the weight function $\varphi$, the class $B^{p}$ can be extended into the more general Dirichlet-type spaces $D_{\alpha}^{p}$, defined by

$$
D_{\alpha}^{p}:=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): \int_{\mathbb{D}} \varphi^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p} d m_{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

for $p>1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we have $B^{p}=D_{p-2}^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$. The spaces $D_{\alpha}^{p}$ were studied e.g. in [14], [28], [29]. The approach in these papers is to represent functions in $D_{\alpha}^{p}$ through the class $H_{\beta}^{p}$. Among others, Girela and Peláez ([14]) showed that the inclusion

$$
D_{\alpha}^{p} \subset H_{(p-\alpha-1) / p}^{p}
$$

holds true whenever $-1<\alpha<p-1$ and $1<p \leq 2$, and the converse inclusion was proved by Twomey (see [28]) if $p \geq 2$. So, in particular, $B^{p} \subset H_{1 / p}^{p}$ for $1<p \leq 2$. In [29] also the spaces $B_{p}$ are considered. It is shown that $B_{p} \subset H_{1 / q}^{q}$ for $1<p \leq 2$, while $B_{p} \subset H_{1 / p}^{p}$ for $p \geq 2$.

Let $C_{\alpha, p}$ denote the Bessel capacity (see [23], [1]; cf. [29]). The capacities $C_{1 / p, p}$ are ordered in the sense that $C_{1 / r, r}(E)=0$ implies $C_{1 / s, s}(E)=0$ for $1<r<s<\infty$ (see [23], cf. [29]). Moreover, $C_{1 / 2,2}$-capacity is equivalent to logarithmic capacity in the sense that $C_{1 / 2,2}(E)=0$ if and only if the logarithmic capacity of $E$ vanishes. Thus, in particular, if $C_{1 / r, r}(E)=0$ for some $1<r<2$, then the logarithmic capacity of $E$ vanishes.

Remark 2.5. As a consequence of [29, Theorem 1 and Lemma], it follows that, for $1<p \leq 2$ and for any $f \in B_{p}$, the sequence $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n}$ converges $C_{1 / q, q^{-}}$ quasi everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$, and, for any $f \in B^{p}$, convergence holds $C_{1 / p, p}$-quasi everywhere. Moreover, if $p \geq 2$, then $C_{1 / p, p}$-quasi everywhere convergence of the sequence $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n}$ holds for all $f \in B_{p}$.

From Theorem 2.4 and the fact that Cesaro summability at $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ implies the existence of the non-tangential limit at $\zeta$ (see [34, Vol I, Theorem III 1.34]) we finally obtain:

Theorem 2.6. For $1<p \leq \infty, f \in B_{p}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\left(S_{n} f(\zeta)\right)_{n}$ converges.
2. $\left(S_{n} f(\zeta)\right)_{n}$ is Cesaro summable.
3. $f$ has a non-tangential limit at $\zeta$.
4. $f$ has a radial limit at $\zeta$.

The conditions hold $C_{1 / q, q^{-}}$quasi everywhere for $1<p \leq 2$ and $C_{1 / p, p}$-quasi everywhere for $2<p<\infty$.

## 3 Sets of universality

In the last decades, universality properties of various forms have been investigated. We consider universality of the sequence of partial sums $S_{n} f$. For $f$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}, \Lambda$ an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $E$ a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$ we say that the sequence of partial sums $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n \in \Lambda}$ is universal, if $\left\{S_{n} f: n \in \Lambda\right\}$ is a dense set in $C(E)$ (here $C(E)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions on $E$ endowed with the uniform topology). For $X$ a Banach space of functions holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$, we call the closed set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ a set of universality for $X$ if for all infinite sets $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}_{0}$ a residual set of functions in $X$ exists with the property that $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n \in \Lambda}$ is universal on $E$.

In [4] it was proved that each closed set of vanishing arc length measure is a set of universality for all Hardy spaces $H^{p}$, where $p<\infty$. According to Twomey's results (Remark 2.5), this cannot be the case for any of the spaces $B_{p}$, where $p<\infty$, or $B^{p}$ with $p \leq 2$. Khrushchev ([17, Theorem 3.2]) recently showed that, for each closed $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E)=0$, where the capacity cap $_{p}$ is determined by an appropriate Besov space norm (see also [18, p. 124]), there are functions in the Besov space $B^{p}$ so that $\left(S_{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is universal on $E$. Since $\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E)=0$ if and only if the logarithmic capacity of $E$ vanishes, this shows in particular that functions in the Dirichlet space $D$ with universal Taylor series on $E$ exist.

The universality result turns out to be a consequence of a result on simultaneous approximation by polynomials. We will show that a similar approximation result holds for $B_{p}$ on appropriate small closed sets $E \subset \mathbb{T}$, and with that we also prove the existence of universal Taylor series.

Remark 3.1. If $F, G \subset \mathbb{T}$ are closed sets, then the product set $F \cdot G:=\left\{z_{1} \cdot z_{2}:\right.$ $\left.z_{1} \in F, z_{2} \in G\right\}$ is easily seen to be also closed in $\mathbb{T}$. In particular, if $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is closed, then the product set $E^{d}:=\left\{z_{1} \cdots z_{d}: z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in E\right\}(d \in \mathbb{N})$ of $E$ is
also closed in $\mathbb{T}$. On the other hand, if $F, G \subset \mathbb{T}$ are closed sets with logarithmic capacity zero, this does not imply that the product set $F \cdot G$ has also logarithmic capacity zero (see [24, Section 6]).

We write $p_{0}:=\infty$ and $p_{d}:=2 d /(2 d-1)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_{d} \leq p<p_{d-1}$. Then, each closed set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ so that $E^{d}$ has logarithmic capacity zero is a set of universality for $B_{p}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and the Tauberian theorem 2.4, we obtain the following extension of the converse of Beurling's theorem for the Dirichlet space due to Carleson (see e.g. [7], [27, Theorem 5.4], and [13, Theorem 3.4.1] for a strengthened version).
Corollary 3.3. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_{d} \leq p<p_{d-1}$. If $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is closed and so that $E^{d}$ has logarithmic capacity zero, then for a residual set of functions $f \in B_{p}$ radial limits do not exist in any point of $E$.

As formulated in [10, Lemma 2.5] (cf. also the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [4]), an application of the Universality Criterion (see [15] or [16]) shows that, for Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove the following result on simultaneous approximation by polynomials in $B_{p_{d}}$ and $C(E)$, where $C(E)$ is endowed with the uniform norm $\left.\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_{d} \leq p<p_{d-1}$. If $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is a closed set such that $E^{d}$ has logarithmic capacity zero, then for all $(f, g) \in B_{p} \times C(E)$ and all $\varepsilon>0$, there is a polynomial $P$ such that $\|f-P\|_{B_{p}}<\varepsilon$ and $\|g-P\|_{C(E)}<\varepsilon$.

Remark 3.5. For the Besov spaces $B^{p}$ a similar result on simultaneous approximation holds for sets with $\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E)=0$ (see [17, proof of Theorem 3.2]). Note, however, that, due to the lack of a corresponding Tauberian theorem, in contrast to the case of functions $B_{p}$ this does not give information on the non-existence of radial limits on sets $E$ with $\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E)=0$. For the disc algebra it turns out that $E$ is a set of universality if and only if $E$ is finite (see [6]). Note that here unrestricted limits exist in all points of $\mathbb{T}$. Also, this shows that a simultaneous approximation property as above is not necessary for having universality.

We turn to the proof of the central Theorem 3.4, and start with several notions and preliminary results.

Let $X=\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ be a Banach space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ or of continuous functions on a subset of $\mathbb{T}$ so that the polynomials are dense in $X$, and that

$$
r_{X}:=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{X}^{1 / n}<\infty
$$

with $P_{n}(z):=z^{n}$. In this case we will say that $X$ is regular. In particular, regular spaces are separable since the polynomials with (Gaußian) rational coefficients also form a dense subset. By $X^{\prime}$ we denote the norm dual of $X$,
that is, the space of bounded linear functionals on $X$, and by $H(0)$ the linear space of germs of functions holomorphic at 0 . Then, the Cauchy transform $C_{X}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow H(0)$ with respect to $X$ is defined by

$$
(C \phi)(w):=\left(C_{X} \phi\right)(w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi\left(P_{k}\right) w^{k}
$$

for $|w|<1 / r_{X}$ and $\phi \in X^{\prime}$. Since the polynomials form a dense set in $X$, the Hahn-Banach theorem implies that $C_{X}$ is injective. By definition, the range $R_{X}$ of $C_{X}$ is the Cauchy dual of $X$. For closed $E \subset \mathbb{T}$, the norm dual of $C(E)$ is the space of Borel measures supported on $E$ (with the total variation norm), and the Cauchy dual is the set of all restrictions to $\mathbb{D}$ of Cauchy integrals

$$
\widehat{\mu}(w):=\int \frac{1}{1-w \bar{\zeta}} d \mu(\zeta) \quad(w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash E)
$$

of a complex Borel measure with support in $E$.
The following consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem (see [18, Theorem 1.2], [10, Lemma 2.7]) is the basis for our subsequent considerations.

Lemma 3.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be regular. Then, $R_{X} \cap R_{Y}=\{0\}$ if and only if the pairs $(P, P)$, where $P$ ranges over the set of polynomials, form a dense set in the sum $X \oplus Y$.

Remark 3.7. Using Lemma 3.6, the statement on simultaneous approximation from Theorem 3.4 can be transformed into an equivalent one saying that no nonzero function in the Cauchy dual of $B_{p_{d}}$ can coincide on $\mathbb{D}$ with some Cauchy transform $\widehat{\mu}$ for a measure $\mu$ supported on $E$ (cf. [4, Lemma 2.1]).

We consider the two parameter family of spaces $B_{p, \gamma}$, for $p>1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$
B_{p, \gamma}:=\left\{f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in H(\mathbb{D}): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}<+\infty\right\}
$$

which become Banach spaces when endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, \gamma}}:=\left(\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

In particular, $B_{2,-1}$ is the classical Bergman space $A^{2}$.
Proposition 3.8. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1<p<\infty$. Then, the Cauchy dual of $B_{p, \gamma}$ equals $B_{1,-\gamma q / p}$ with $\|\phi\|_{\left(B_{p, \gamma}\right)^{\prime}}=\|C \phi\|_{B_{q,-\gamma q / p}}$ for each $\phi \in\left(B_{p, \gamma}\right)^{\prime}$. In particular, the Cauchy dual of $B_{p}$ is $B_{q,-1}$.

Proof. Given $g(w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} w^{k} \in B_{q,-\gamma q / p}$ and $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in B_{p, \gamma}$, Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k} b_{k}\right|=\left|a_{0} b_{0}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|k^{\gamma / p} a_{k} k^{-\gamma / p} b_{k}\right| \leq\|f\|_{B_{p, \gamma}}\|g\|_{B_{q,-\gamma q / p}}
$$

Hence, $\phi_{g}(f):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k}$ defines a bounded linear functional on $B_{p, \gamma}$ with $\left\|\phi_{g}\right\|_{\left(B_{p, \gamma}\right)^{\prime}} \leq\|g\|_{B_{q,-\gamma q / p}}$, and $C \phi_{g}=g$.

On the other hand, for $\phi \in\left(B_{p, \gamma}\right)^{\prime}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $g:=C \phi$ be the Cauchy transform of $\phi$, and $b_{k}:=\phi\left(P_{k}\right)$. By considering the sequence $\left(c_{k}\right)_{k}$ defined by $c_{0}:=\left|b_{0}\right|^{q-2} b_{0}$ and $c_{k}:=k^{-\gamma q / p}\left|b_{k}\right|^{q-2} b_{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 it can be shown that $\|g\|_{B_{q,-\gamma q / p}} \leq\|\phi\|_{\left(B_{p, \gamma}\right)^{\prime}}$.

If $f \in H\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E_{1}\right)$ and $g \in H\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E_{2}\right)$ with $E_{1}, E_{2}$ compact subsets of $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ the extended plane, then $E_{1} \cdot E_{2}$ is compact, and if $E_{1} \cdot E_{2} \neq \mathbb{T}$, the Hadamard multiplication theorem implies that $f * g \in H\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash\left(E_{1} \cdot E_{2}\right)\right)$ with

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{-k} b_{-k} / z^{k+1}
$$

in $\mathbb{D}_{e}=\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ if $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{-k} / z^{k+1}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{-k} / z^{k+1}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{e}$ (see [25, Theorem 2.7, Example 2.8]).

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$. We write $f^{* d}$ for the $d$-times iterated Hadamard product

$$
f^{* d}(z):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}^{d} z^{k}
$$

With that we have

$$
B_{2 d,-1}=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): f^{* d} \in A^{2}\right\} .
$$

So far we have worked with the spaces $B_{p, \gamma}$ on the unit disc. We need to take into consideration the analogous spaces on the complement of the closed unit disc with respect to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1<p<\infty$. We write $\mathbb{D}_{e}=\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and define $B_{p, \gamma, e}$ as the space of all functions $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} / z^{k+1} \in H\left(\mathbb{D}_{e}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, \gamma, e}}^{p}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right|^{p}<\infty .
$$

Moreover, for closed subsets $E$ of $\mathbb{T}$ we write

$$
B_{p, \gamma}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E\right):=\left\{f \in H\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E\right):\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{D}_{e}} \in B_{p, \gamma, e},\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \in B_{p, \gamma}\right\}
$$

Remark 3.9. A classical theorem on removable singularities for functions in Bergman spaces (see, e.g. [13, p. 178] or [9]) says that $B_{2,-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E\right)$ reduces to the zero space if $E$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$ of vanishing logarithmic capacity. Now, if $d \in \mathbb{N}$, according to the Hadamard multiplication theorem, for $f \in$ $B_{2 d,-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E\right)$ we have $f^{* d} \in B_{2,-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E^{* d}\right)$. So, if $E$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$ so that $E^{d}$ is of logarithmic capacity zero, then

$$
B_{2 d,-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E\right)=\{0\}
$$

We finally highlight a remarkable result of Khrushchev and Peller (Remark after Corollary 3.8 in [18]; see also [21] for a very nice and simple proof).

Lemma 3.10. Let $\mu$ be a complex measure supported on $\mathbb{T}$ and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left.\widehat{\mu}\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \in B_{2 d,-1}$ implies $\widehat{\mu} \in B_{2 d,-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash \mathbb{T}\right)$

With that we are in a position to give the proof of Theorem 3.4, and with that in particular of Theorem 3.2:

Proof of Theorem 3.4. For $p_{d} \leq p<p_{d-1}$ we have $2 d-2<q \leq 2 d$. Let

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in B_{q,-1}
$$

be so that $f=\widehat{\mu}$ for some complex measure $\mu$ supported on $E$. Then,

$$
a_{k}=\int \bar{\zeta}^{k} d \mu(\zeta)
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and with that $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq|\mu|(F)$ for all $k$. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{q} /(k+1)<\infty$, the boundedness of $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k}$ implies that also $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2 d} /(k+1)<\infty$. Now, Lemma 3.10 shows that $\widehat{\mu}$ belongs to $B_{2 d,-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash E\right)$. But then Remark 3.9 implies that $f=0$. As an application of Lemma 3.6 with $X=B_{p}$ and $Y=$ $C(E)$, the statement of Theorem 3.4 holds.

Remark 3.11. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be closed set having positive logarithmic capacity. Then Beurling's Theorem implies that simultaneous approximation as in Theorem 3.4 does not hold for $D=B_{2}$, and thus Lemma 3.6 implies the existence of a non-zero function $f \in A^{2}$ that coincides with the Cauchy transform $\widehat{\mu}$ of some complex measure $\mu$ supported on $E$. The proof of Theorem 3.4 yields then that $f$ also belongs to $B_{q,-1}$, for all $q \geq 2$. Lemma 3.6 now shows that simultaneous approximation as in Theorem 3.4 does not hold for any of the spaces $B_{p}$, where $1<p \leq 2$.

Let $A(\mathbb{D})$ denote the disc algebra, and let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be closed. The RudinCarleson theorem states that for every $f \in C(E)$ there exists $g \in A(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f=g$ on $E$ if $E$ has arc length measure zero. Khrushchev and Peller
proved that a similar result holds for $A(\mathbb{D}) \cap D$ if the logarithmic capacity of $E$ vanishes and, more generally, for $A(\mathbb{D}) \cap B^{p}$ if $\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E)=0$ (see [18, Theorem 3.17], [21], cf. [13, Section 4.3]). According to results of Wallin and Sjödin, the corresponding conditions turn out to be also necessary (see [18], [21]).

The main ingredient for the proof of the Khrushchev-Peller theorem is Theorem 3.8 from [18], which has Lemma 3.10 as corollary. A second important fact is that for complex measures on $\mathbb{T}$ with finite $p$-energy and closed sets $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathrm{p}}(E)=0$ the measure $\mu$ vanishes on all closed subsets of $E$ (see [18, Lemma 3.7], cf. [21, Lemma 1]). By observing that $\mu$ vanishes on all closed subsets $F$ of $E$ if the $d$-fold convolution $\mu^{* d}$ vanishes on all $F^{d}$, and by following and adapting the proof of [18, Theorem 3.17] (or again [21]) one can deduce:
Theorem 3.12. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a closed set such that $E^{d}$ has logarithmic capacity zero. Then, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the restrictions to $E^{d}$ of the functions in $A(\mathbb{D}) \cap B_{p_{d}}$ fill out $C(E)$.
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