

# On Hasse–Schmidt Derivations: The Action of Substitution Maps



Luis Narváez Macarro

*Dedicated to Antonio Campillo on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

**Abstract** We study the action of substitution maps between power series rings as an additional algebraic structure on the groups of Hasse–Schmidt derivations. This structure appears as a counterpart of the module structure on classical derivations.

## 1 Introduction

For any commutative algebra  $A$  over a commutative ring  $k$ , the set  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  of  $k$ -derivations of  $A$  is an ubiquitous object in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry. It carries an  $A$ -module structure and a  $k$ -Lie algebra structure. Both structures give rise to a *Lie-Rinehart algebra* structure over  $(k, A)$ . The  $k$ -derivations of  $A$  are contained in the filtered ring of  $k$ -linear differential operators  $\mathcal{D}_{A/k}$ , whose graded ring is commutative and we obtain a canonical map of graded  $A$ -algebras

$$\tau : \text{Sym}_A \text{Der}_k(A) \longrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{A/k}.$$

If  $\mathbb{Q} \subset k$  and  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is a finitely generated projective  $A$ -module, the map  $\tau$  is an isomorphism ([9, Corollary 2.17]) and we can deduce that the ring  $\mathcal{D}_{A/k}$  is the enveloping algebra of the Lie-Rinehart algebra  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  (cf. [11, Proposition 2.1.2.11]).

---

Partially supported by MTM2016-75027-P, P12-FQM-2696 and FEDER.

L. Narváez Macarro (✉)

Departamento de Álgebra & Instituto de Matemáticas (IMUS), Facultad de Matemáticas,  
Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain

e-mail: [narvaez@us.es](mailto:narvaez@us.es)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

G.-M. Greuel et al. (eds.), *Singularities, Algebraic Geometry, Commutative Algebra, and Related Topics*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96827-8\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96827-8_10)

219

If we are not in characteristic 0, even if  $A$  is “smooth” (in some sense) over  $k$ , e.g.  $A$  is a polynomial or a power series ring with coefficients in  $k$ , the map  $\tau$  has no chance to be an isomorphism.

In [9] we have proved that, if we denote by  $\text{Ider}_k(A) \subset \text{Der}_k(A)$  the  $A$ -module of *integrable derivations* in the sense of Hasse–Schmidt (see Definition 11), then there is a canonical map of graded  $A$ -algebras

$$\vartheta : \Gamma_A \text{Ider}_k(A) \longrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{A/k},$$

where  $\Gamma_A(-)$  denotes the *divided power algebra* functor, such that:

- (i)  $\tau = \vartheta$  when  $\mathbb{Q} \subset k$  (in that case  $\text{Ider}_k(A) = \text{Der}_k(A)$  and  $\Gamma_A = \text{Sym}_A$ ).
- (ii)  $\vartheta$  is an isomorphism whenever  $\text{Ider}_k(A) = \text{Der}_k(A)$  and  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is a finitely generated projective  $A$ -module.

The above result suggests an idea: under the “smoothness” hypothesis (ii), can be the ring  $\mathcal{D}_{A/k}$  and their modules functorially reconstructed from Hasse–Schmidt derivations? To tackle it, we first need to explore the algebraic structure of Hasse–Schmidt derivations.

Hasse–Schmidt derivations of length  $m \geq 1$  form a group, non-abelian for  $m \geq 2$ , which coincides with the (abelian) additive group of usual derivations  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  for  $m = 1$ . But  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  has also an  $A$ -module structure and a natural question arises: Do Hasse–Schmidt derivations of any length have some natural structure extending the  $A$ -module structure of  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  for length = 1?

This paper is devoted to study the action of *substitution maps* (between power series rings) on Hasse–Schmidt derivations as an answer to the above question. This action plays a key role in [12].

Now let us comment on the content of the paper.

In Sect. 2 we have gathered, due to the lack of convenient references, some basic facts and constructions about rings of formal power series in an arbitrary number of variables with coefficients in a non-necessarily commutative ring. In the case of a finite number of variables many results and proofs become simpler, but we need the infinite case in order to study  $\infty$ -variate Hasse–Schmidt derivations later.

Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the study of substitution maps between power series rings and their action on power series rings with coefficients on a (bi)module.

In Sect. 5 we study multivariate (possibly  $\infty$ -variate) Hasse–Schmidt derivations. They are a natural generalization of usual Hasse–Schmidt derivations and they provide a convenient framework to deal with Hasse–Schmidt derivations.

In Sect. 6 we see how substitution maps act on Hasse–Schmidt derivations and we study some compatibilities on this action with respect to the group structure.

In Sect. 7 we show how the action of substitution maps allows us to express any HS-derivation in terms of a fixed one under some natural hypotheses. This result generalizes Theorem 2.8 in [3] and provides a conceptual proof of it.

## 2 Rings and (Bi)modules of Formal Power Series

From now on  $R$  will be a ring,  $k$  will be a commutative ring and  $A$  a commutative  $k$ -algebra. A general reference for some of the constructions and results of this section is [2, §4].

Let  $\mathbf{s}$  be a set and consider the free commutative monoid  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  of maps  $\alpha : \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that the set  $\text{supp } \alpha := \{s \in \mathbf{s} \mid \alpha(s) \neq 0\}$  is finite. If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  and  $s \in \mathbf{s}$  we will write  $\alpha_s$  instead of  $\alpha(s)$ . The elements of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{s}^t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{s}$ :  $\mathbf{s}_u^t = \delta_{tu}$  for  $t, u \in \mathbf{s}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  we have  $\alpha = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{s}} \alpha_t \mathbf{s}^t$ .

The monoid  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  is endowed with a natural partial ordering. Namely, for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , we define

$$\alpha \leq \beta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \exists \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})} \text{ such that } \beta = \alpha + \gamma \iff \alpha_s \leq \beta_s \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{s}.$$

Clearly,  $t \in \text{supp } \alpha \iff \mathbf{s}^t \leq \alpha$ . The partial ordered set  $(\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}, \leq)$  is a directed ordered set: for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \leq \alpha \vee \beta$  where  $(\alpha \vee \beta)_t := \max\{\alpha_t, \beta_t\}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{s}$ . We will write  $\alpha < \beta$  when  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

For a given  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  the set of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is finite. We define  $|\alpha| := \sum_{s \in \mathbf{s}} \alpha_s = \sum_{s \in \text{supp } \alpha} \alpha_s \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\alpha \leq \beta$  then  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ . The  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  with  $|\alpha| = 1$  are exactly the elements  $\mathbf{s}^t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{s}$ , of the canonical basis.

A *formal power series* in  $\mathbf{s}$  with coefficients in  $R$  is a formal expression  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} r_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  with  $r_\alpha \in R$  and  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha = \prod_{s \in \mathbf{s}} s^{\alpha_s} = \prod_{s \in \text{supp } \alpha} s^{\alpha_s}$ . Such a formal expression is uniquely determined by the family of coefficients  $a_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ .

If  $r = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} r_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  and  $r' = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} r'_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  are two formal power series in  $\mathbf{s}$  with coefficients in  $R$ , their sum and their product are defined in the usual way

$$r + r' := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} S_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha, \quad S_\alpha := r_\alpha + r'_\alpha,$$

$$rr' := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} P_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha, \quad P_\alpha := \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} r_\beta r'_\gamma.$$

The set of formal power series in  $\mathbf{s}$  with coefficients in  $R$  endowed with the above internal operations is a ring called the *ring of formal power series in  $\mathbf{s}$  with coefficients in  $R$*  and is denoted by  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]$ . It contains the polynomial ring  $R[\mathbf{s}]$  (and so the ring  $R$ ) and all the monomials  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  are in the center of  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]$ . There is a natural ring epimorphism, that we call the *augmentation*, given by

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} r_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in R[[\mathbf{s}]] \longmapsto r_0 \in R, \tag{1}$$

which is a retraction of the inclusion  $R \subset R[[\mathbf{s}]]$ . Clearly, the ring  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is commutative if and only if  $R$  is commutative and  $R^{\text{opp}}[[\mathbf{s}]] = R[[\mathbf{s}]]^{\text{opp}}$ .

Any ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow R'$  induces a ring homomorphism

$$\bar{f} : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{s})} r_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in R[[\mathbf{s}]] \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{s})} f(r_\alpha) \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in R'[[\mathbf{s}]], \tag{2}$$

and clearly the correspondences  $R \mapsto R[[\mathbf{s}]]$  and  $f \mapsto \bar{f}$  define a functor from the category of rings to itself. If  $\mathbf{s} = \emptyset$ , then  $R[[\mathbf{s}]] = R$  and the above functor is the identity.

**Definition 1** A  $k$ -algebra over  $A$  is a (non-necessarily commutative)  $k$ -algebra  $R$  endowed with a map of  $k$ -algebras  $\iota : A \rightarrow R$ . A map between two  $k$ -algebras  $\iota : A \rightarrow R$  and  $\iota' : A \rightarrow R'$  over  $A$  is a map  $g : R \rightarrow R'$  of  $k$ -algebras such that  $\iota' = g \circ \iota$ .

If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra (over  $A$ ), then  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is also a  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]$ -algebra (over  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]$ ).

If  $M$  is an  $(A; A)$ -bimodule, we define in a completely similar way the set of formal power series in  $\mathbf{s}$  with coefficients in  $M$ , denoted by  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$ . It carries an addition  $+$ , for which it is an abelian group, and left and right products by elements of  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]$ . With these operations  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  becomes an  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -bimodule containing the polynomial  $(A[\mathbf{s}]; A[\mathbf{s}])$ -bimodule  $M[\mathbf{s}]$ . There is also a natural augmentation  $M[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow M$  which is a section of the inclusion  $M \subset M[\mathbf{s}]$  and  $M^{\text{opp}}[[\mathbf{s}]] = M[[\mathbf{s}]]^{\text{opp}}$ . If  $\mathbf{s} = \emptyset$ , then  $M[[\mathbf{s}]] = M$ .

The support of a series  $m = \sum_{\alpha} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is  $\text{supp}(m) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{s}) \mid m_\alpha \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{s})$ . It is clear that  $m = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{supp}(m) = \emptyset$ . The order of a non-zero series  $m = \sum_{\alpha} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is  $\text{ord}(m) := \min\{|\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \text{supp}(m)\} \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $m = 0$  we define  $\text{ord}(0) = \infty$ . It is clear that for  $a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]$  and  $m, m' \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  we have  $\text{supp}(m + m') \subset \text{supp}(m) \cup \text{supp}(m')$ ,  $\text{supp}(am), \text{supp}(ma) \subset \text{supp}(m) + \text{supp}(a)$ ,  $\text{ord}(m + m') \geq \min\{\text{ord}(m), \text{ord}(m')\}$  and  $\text{ord}(am), \text{ord}(ma) \geq \text{ord}(a) + \text{ord}(m)$ . Moreover, if  $\text{ord}(m') > \text{ord}(m)$ , then  $\text{ord}(m + m') = \text{ord}(m)$ .

Any  $(A; A)$ -linear map  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  between two  $(A; A)$ -bimodules induces in an obvious way and  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -linear map

$$\bar{h} : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{s})} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]] \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{s})} h(m_\alpha) \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M'[[\mathbf{s}]], \tag{3}$$

and clearly the correspondences  $M \mapsto M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  and  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  define a functor from the category of  $(A; A)$ -bimodules to the category  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -bimodules.

For each  $\beta \in M(\mathbf{s})$ , let us denote by  $n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})$  the subset of  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  whose elements are the formal power series  $\sum m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  with  $m_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . One has  $n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s}) \subset n_\gamma^M(\mathbf{s})$  whenever  $\gamma \leq \beta$ , and  $n_{\alpha \vee \beta}^M(\mathbf{s}) \subset n_\alpha^M(\mathbf{s}) \cap n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})$ .

It is clear that the  $n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})$  are sub-bimodules of  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  and  $n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s})M[[\mathbf{s}]] \subset n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})$  and  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s}) \subset n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})$ . For  $\beta = 0$ ,  $n_0^M(\mathbf{s})$  is the kernel of the augmentation  $M[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow M$ .

In the case of a ring  $R$ , the  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s})$  are two-sided ideals of  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]]$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}_0^R(\mathfrak{s})$  is the kernel of the augmentation  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]] \rightarrow R$ .

We will consider  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  as a topological ring with  $\{\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s}), \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}\}$  as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0. We will also consider  $M[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  as a topological  $(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]; A[[\mathfrak{s}]])$ -bimodule with  $\{\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s}), \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}\}$  as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 for both, a topological left  $A[[\mathfrak{s}]]$ -module structure and a topological right  $A[[\mathfrak{s}]]$ -module structure. If  $\mathfrak{s}$  is finite, then  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s}) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{s}} s^{\beta_s+1} M[[\mathfrak{s}]] = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{s}} M[[\mathfrak{s}]] s^{\beta_s+1}$  and so the above topologies on  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]]$ , and so on  $A[[\mathfrak{s}]]$ , and on  $M[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  coincide with the  $\langle \mathfrak{s} \rangle$ -adic topologies.

Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})^c \subset M[\mathfrak{s}]$  the intersection of  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})$  with  $M[\mathfrak{s}]$ , i.e. the subset of  $M[\mathfrak{s}]$  whose elements are the finite sums  $\sum m_\alpha \mathfrak{s}^\alpha$  with  $m_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . It is clear that the natural map  $R[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s})^c \rightarrow R[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s})$  is an isomorphism of rings and the quotient  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s})$  is a finitely generated free left (and right)  $R$ -module with basis the set of the classes of monomials  $\mathfrak{s}^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

In the same vein, the  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})^c$  are sub- $(A[\mathfrak{s}]; A[\mathfrak{s}])$ -bimodules of  $M[\mathfrak{s}]$  and the natural map  $M[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})^c \rightarrow M[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})$  is an isomorphism of  $(A[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c; A[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c)$ -bimodules. Moreover, we have a commutative diagram of natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c \otimes_A M & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\varrho} & M[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})^c & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\lambda} & M \otimes_A A[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c \\
 \text{nat.} \otimes \text{Id} \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes \text{nat.} \\
 A[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s}) \otimes_A M & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\varrho'} & M[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s}) & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\lambda'} & M \otimes_A A[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

where  $\varrho$  (resp.  $\varrho'$ ) is an isomorphism of  $(A[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c; A)$ -bimodules (resp. of  $(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c; A)$ -bimodules) and  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\lambda'$ ) is an isomorphism of bimodules over  $(A; A[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c)$  (resp. over  $(A; A[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^A(\mathfrak{s})^c)$ ).

It is clear that the natural map

$$R[[\mathfrak{s}]] \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}} R[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s}) \equiv \varprojlim_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}} R[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s})^c$$

is an isomorphism of rings and so  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  is complete (hence, separated). Moreover,  $R[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  appears as the completion of the polynomial ring  $R[\mathfrak{s}]$  endowed with the topology with  $\{\mathfrak{n}_\beta^R(\mathfrak{s})^c, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}\}$  as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0.

Similarly, the natural map

$$M[[\mathfrak{s}]] \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}} M[[\mathfrak{s}]]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s}) \equiv \varprojlim_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}} M[\mathfrak{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathfrak{s})^c$$

is an isomorphism of  $(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]; A[[\mathfrak{s}]])$ -bimodules, and so  $M[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  is complete (hence, separated). Moreover,  $M[[\mathfrak{s}]]$  appears as the completion of the bimodule  $M[\mathfrak{s}]$  over

$(A[\mathbf{s}]; A[\mathbf{s}])$  endowed with the topology with  $\{n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})^c, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}\}$  as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0.

Since the subsets  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})} \mid \alpha \leq \beta\}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , are cofinal among the finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , the additive isomorphism

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]] \mapsto \{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} \in M^{\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}}$$

is a homeomorphism, where  $M^{\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}}$  is endowed with the product of discrete topologies on each copy of  $M$ . In particular, any formal power series  $\sum m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  is the limit of its finite partial sums  $\sum_{\alpha \in F} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$ , over the filter of finite subsets  $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ .

Since the quotients  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]/n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s})$  are free  $A$ -modules, we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s}) \otimes_A M \longrightarrow A[[\mathbf{s}]] \otimes_A M \longrightarrow \frac{A[[\mathbf{s}]]}{n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s})} \otimes_A M \longrightarrow 0$$

and the tensor product  $A[[\mathbf{s}]] \otimes_A M$  is a topological left  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]$ -module with  $\{n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s}) \otimes_A M, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}\}$  as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0. The natural  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A)$ -linear map

$$A[[\mathbf{s}]] \otimes_A M \longrightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]$$

is continuous and, if we denote by  $A[[\mathbf{s}]] \widehat{\otimes}_A M$  the completion of  $A[[\mathbf{s}]] \otimes_A M$ , the induced map  $A[[\mathbf{s}]] \widehat{\otimes}_A M \longrightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is an isomorphism of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A)$ -bimodules, since we have natural  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A)$ -linear isomorphisms

$$(A[[\mathbf{s}]] \otimes_A M) / (n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s}) \otimes_A M) \simeq (A[[\mathbf{s}]]/n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s})) \otimes_A M \simeq M[[\mathbf{s}]]/n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})$$

for  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , and so

$$A[[\mathbf{s}]] \widehat{\otimes}_A M = \lim_{\leftarrow \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} \left( \frac{A[[\mathbf{s}]] \otimes_A M}{n_\beta^A(\mathbf{s}) \otimes_A M} \right) \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}} \left( \frac{M[[\mathbf{s}]]}{n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s})} \right) \simeq M[[\mathbf{s}]]. \tag{5}$$

Similarly, the natural  $(A; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -linear map  $M \otimes_A A[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  induces an isomorphism  $M \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  of  $(A; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -bimodules.

If  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  is an  $(A; A)$ -linear map between two  $(A; A)$ -bimodules, the induced map  $\bar{h} : M[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow M'[[\mathbf{s}]]$  (see (3)) is clearly continuous and there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A[[\mathbf{s}]] \widehat{\otimes}_A M & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & M[[\mathbf{s}]] & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & M \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]] \\ \text{Id} \widehat{\otimes} h \downarrow & & \bar{h} \downarrow & & h \widehat{\otimes} \text{Id} \downarrow \\ A[[\mathbf{s}]] \widehat{\otimes}_A M' & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & M'[[\mathbf{s}]] & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & M' \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]. \end{array}$$

Similarly, for any ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow R'$ , the induced ring homomorphism  $\overline{f} : R[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow R'[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is also continuous.

**Definition 2** We say that a subset  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  is an *ideal* of  $\mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  (resp. a *co-ideal* of  $\mathbb{N}^{(s)}$ ) if whenever  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $\alpha \leq \alpha'$  (resp.  $\alpha' \leq \alpha$ ), then  $\alpha' \in \Delta$ .

It is clear that  $\Delta$  is an ideal if and only if its complement  $\Delta^c$  is a co-ideal, and that the union and the intersection of any family of ideals (resp. of co-ideals) of  $\mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  is again an ideal (resp. a co-ideal) of  $\mathbb{N}^{(s)}$ . Examples of ideals (resp. of co-ideals) of  $\mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  are the  $\beta + \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  (resp. the  $n_\beta(\mathbf{s}) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \mid \alpha \leq \beta\}$ ) with  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$ . The  $t_m(\mathbf{s}) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \mid |\alpha| \leq m\}$  with  $m \geq 0$  are also co-ideals. Actually, a subset  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  is an ideal (resp. a co-ideal) if and only if  $\Delta = \cup_{\beta \in \Delta} (\beta + \mathbb{N}^{(s)}) = \Delta + \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  (resp.  $\Delta = \cup_{\beta \in \Delta} n_\beta(\mathbf{s})$ ).

We say that a co-ideal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  is bounded if there is an integer  $m \geq 0$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq m$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . In other words, a co-ideal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  is bounded if and only if there is an integer  $m \geq 0$  such that  $\Delta \subset t_m(\mathbf{s})$ . Also, a co-ideal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  is non-empty if and only if  $t_0(\mathbf{s}) = n_0(\mathbf{s}) = \{0\} \subset \Delta$ .

For a co-ideal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  and an integer  $m \geq 0$ , we denote  $\Delta^m := \Delta \cap t_m(\mathbf{s})$ .

For each co-ideal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$ , we denote by  $\Delta_M$  the sub- $(A[[\mathbf{s}]; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -bimodule of  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  whose elements are the formal power series  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)}} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  such that  $m_\alpha = 0$  whenever  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . One has

$$\Delta_M = \dots = \left\{ m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]] \mid \text{supp}(m) \subset \bigcap_{\beta \in \Delta} n_\beta(\mathbf{s})^c \right\} = \bigcap_{\beta \in \Delta} \{ m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]] \mid \text{supp}(m) \subset n_\beta(\mathbf{s})^c \} = \bigcap_{\beta \in \Delta} n_\beta^M(\mathbf{s}),$$

and so  $\Delta_M$  is closed in  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$ . Let  $\Delta' \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  be another co-ideal. We have

$$\Delta_M + \Delta'_M = (\Delta \cap \Delta')_M.$$

If  $\Delta \subset \Delta'$ , then  $\Delta'_M \subset \Delta_M$ , and if  $a \in \Delta'_M$ ,  $m \in \Delta_M$  we have

$$\text{supp}(am) \subset \text{supp}(a) + \text{supp}(m) \subset (\Delta')^c + \Delta^c \subset (\Delta')^c \cap \Delta^c = (\Delta' \cup \Delta)^c,$$

and so  $\Delta'_A \Delta_M \subset (\Delta' \cup \Delta)_M$ . In a similar way we obtain  $\Delta_M \Delta'_A \subset (\Delta' \cup \Delta)_M$ .

Let us denote by  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta := M[[\mathbf{s}]]/\Delta_M$  endowed with the quotient topology. The elements in  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  are power series of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha, \quad m_\alpha \in M.$$

It is clear that  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  is a topological  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -bimodule. A fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 in  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  consist of

$$\frac{\mathfrak{n}_\beta^M(\mathbf{s}) + \Delta_M}{\Delta_M} = \frac{(\mathfrak{n}_\beta(\mathbf{s}) \cap \Delta)_M}{\Delta_M}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})},$$

and since the subsets  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta(\mathbf{s}) \cap \Delta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , are cofinal among the finite subsets of  $\Delta$ , we conclude that the additive isomorphism

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \mapsto \{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \in M^\Delta$$

is a homeomorphism, where  $M^\Delta$  is endowed with the product of discrete topologies on each copy of  $M$ .

For  $\Delta \subset \Delta'$  co-ideals of  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , we have natural continuous  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'})$ -linear projections  $\tau_{\Delta' \Delta} : M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'} \longrightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ , that we also call *truncations*,

$$\tau_{\Delta' \Delta} : \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta'} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'} \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta,$$

and continuous  $(A; A)$ -linear scissions

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}.$$

which are topological immersions.

In particular we have natural continuous  $(A; A)$ -linear topological embeddings  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \hookrightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  and we define the *support* (resp. the *order*) of any element in  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  as its support (resp. its order) as element of  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]$ .

We have a bicontinuous isomorphism of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -bimodules

$$M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ m \in \mathbb{N}}} M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta^m}.$$

For a ring  $R$ , the  $\Delta_R$  are two-sided closed ideals of  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]$ ,  $\Delta_R \Delta'_R \subset (\Delta \cup \Delta')_R$  and we have a bicontinuous ring isomorphism

$$R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ m \in \mathbb{N}}} R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta^m}.$$

When  $\mathbf{s}$  is finite,  $\mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{s})_R$  coincides with the  $(m + 1)$ -power of the two-sided ideal generated by all the variables  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ .

As in (5) one proves that  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \otimes_A M$  (resp.  $M \otimes_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ ) is endowed with a natural topology in such a way that the natural map  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \otimes_A M \rightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$



(resp.  $M \otimes_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ ) is continuous and gives rise to a  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A)$ -linear (resp. to a  $(A; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -linear) isomorphism

$$A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \widehat{\otimes}_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \quad (\text{resp. } M \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta).$$

If  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  is an  $(A; A)$ -linear map between two  $(A; A)$ -bimodules, the map  $\bar{h} : M[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow M'[[\mathbf{s}]]$  (see (3)) obviously satisfies  $\bar{h}(\Delta_M) \subset \Delta_{M'}$ , and so induces another natural  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -linear continuous map  $M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow M'[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ , that will be still denoted by  $\bar{h}$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \widehat{\otimes}_A M & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & M \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \\ \text{Id} \widehat{\otimes} h \downarrow & & \bar{h} \downarrow & & h \widehat{\otimes} \text{Id} \downarrow \\ A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \widehat{\otimes}_A M' & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & M'[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & M' \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta. \end{array}$$

*Remark 1* In the same way that the correspondences  $M \mapsto M[[\mathbf{s}]]$  and  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  define a functor from the category of  $(A; A)$ -bimodules to the category of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]; A[[\mathbf{s}]])$ -bimodules, we may consider functors  $M \mapsto M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  and  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  from the category of  $(A; A)$ -bimodules to the category of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -bimodules. We may also consider functors  $R \mapsto R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  and  $f \mapsto \bar{f}$  from the category of rings to itself. Moreover, if  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra (over  $A$ ), then  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  is a  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -algebra (over  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ ).

**Lemma 1** *Under the above hypotheses,  $\Delta_M$  is the closure of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}M}[[\mathbf{s}]]$ .*

*Proof* Any element in  $\Delta_M$  is of the form  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$ , but  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha m_\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}M}[[\mathbf{s}]]$  whenever  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and so it belongs to the closure of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}M}[[\mathbf{s}]]$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\mathbf{s}$  a set and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  a non-empty co-ideal. The units in  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  are those power series  $r = \sum r_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  such that  $r_0$  is a unit in  $R$ . Moreover, in the special case where  $r_0 = 1$ , the inverse  $r^* = \sum r_\alpha^* \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  of  $r$  is given by  $r_0^* = 1$  and*

$$r_\alpha^* = \sum_{d=1}^{|\alpha|} (-1)^d \sum_{\alpha^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, d)} r_{\alpha^1} \cdots r_{\alpha^d} \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, d)$  is the set of  $d$ -uples  $\alpha^\bullet = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)$  with  $\alpha^i \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ ,  $\alpha^i \neq 0$ , and  $\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^d = \alpha$ .

*Proof* The proof is standard and it is left to the reader.

**Notation 1** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\mathbf{s}$  a set and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  a non-empty co-ideal. We denote by  $\mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta)$  the multiplicative sub-group of the units of  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  whose 0-degree coefficient is 1. Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta)^{\text{opp}} = \mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R^{\text{opp}}; \Delta)$ . For  $\Delta \subset \Delta'$  co-ideals we have  $\tau_{\Delta', \Delta}(\mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta')) \subset \mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta)$  and the truncation map  $\tau_{\Delta', \Delta} : \mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta') \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta)$  is a group homomorphisms. Clearly, we have*

$$\mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta) = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ m \in \mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{U}^\mathbf{s}(R; \Delta^m).$$

For any ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow R'$ , the induced ring homomorphism  $\bar{f} : R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow R'[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$  sends  $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}(R; \Delta)$  into  $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}(R'; \Delta)$  and so it induces natural group homomorphisms  $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}(R; \Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}(R'; \Delta)$ .

**Definition 3** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$  sets and  $\nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}, \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  non-empty co-ideals. For each  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}, r' \in R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$ , the external product  $r \boxtimes r' \in R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta}$  is defined as

$$r \boxtimes r' := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \nabla \times \Delta} r_{\alpha} r'_{\beta} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \mathbf{t}^{\beta}.$$

Let us notice that the above definition is consistent with the existence of natural isomorphism of  $(R; R)$ -bimodules  $R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \widehat{\otimes}_R R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \simeq R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta} \simeq R[[\mathbf{t} \sqcup \mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta \times \nabla} \simeq R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \widehat{\otimes}_R R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ . Let us also notice that  $1 \boxtimes 1 = 1$  and  $r \boxtimes r' = (r \boxtimes 1)(1 \boxtimes r')$ . Moreover, if  $r \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}(R; \nabla), r' \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{t}}(R; \Delta)$ , then  $r \boxtimes r' \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}}(R; \nabla \times \Delta)$  and  $(r \boxtimes r')^* = r'^* \boxtimes r^*$ .

Let  $k \rightarrow A$  be a ring homomorphism between commutative rings,  $E, F$  two  $A$ -modules,  $\mathbf{s}$  a set and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  a non-empty co-ideal, i.e  $n_0(\mathbf{s}) = \{0\} \subset \Delta$ .

**Proposition 1** Under the above hypotheses, let  $f : E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$  be a continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ -linear map. Then, for any co-ideal  $\Delta' \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  with  $\Delta' \subset \Delta$  we have

$$f(\Delta'_E / \Delta_E) \subset \Delta'_F / \Delta_F$$

and so there is a unique continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}$ -linear map  $\bar{f} : E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'} \rightarrow F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{f} & F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \\ \text{nat.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{nat.} \\ E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}. \end{array}$$

*Proof* It is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 1.

**Notation 2** Under the above hypotheses, the set of all continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ -linear maps from  $E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$  to  $F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$  will be denoted by

$$\text{Hom}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}, F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}).$$

It is an  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta})$ -bimodule central over  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ . For any co-ideals  $\Delta' \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , Proposition 1 provides a natural  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta})$ -linear map

$$\text{Hom}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}, F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}, F[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}).$$

For  $E = F$ ,  $\text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$  is a  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -algebra over  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ .

1. For each  $r = \sum_{\beta} r_{\beta} \mathbf{s}^{\beta} \in \text{Hom}_k(E, F)[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  we define  $\tilde{r} : E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  by

$$\tilde{r} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} e_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \right) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} r_{\beta} (e_{\gamma}) \right) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha},$$

which is obviously a continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -linear map.

Let us notice that  $\tilde{r} = \sum_{\beta} \mathbf{s}^{\beta} \tilde{r}_{\beta}$ . It is clear that the map

$$r \in \text{Hom}_k(E, F)[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \mapsto \tilde{r} \in \text{Hom}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta, F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \quad (6)$$

is  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -linear.

If  $f : E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  is a continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -linear map, let us denote by  $f_{\alpha} : E \rightarrow F, \alpha \in \Delta$ , the  $k$ -linear maps defined by

$$f(e) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_{\alpha}(e) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}, \quad \forall e \in E.$$

If  $g : E \rightarrow F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  is a  $k$ -linear map, we denote by  $g^e : E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$  the unique continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -linear map extending  $g$  to  $E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta = k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \widehat{\otimes}_k E$ . It is given by

$$g^e \left( \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \right) := \sum_{\alpha} g(e_{\alpha}) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}.$$

We have a  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -bilinear and  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -balanced map

$$\langle -, - \rangle : (r, e) \in \text{Hom}_k(E, F)[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \times E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \mapsto \langle r, e \rangle := \tilde{r}(e) \in F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta.$$

**Lemma 3** *With the above hypotheses, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *The map (6) is an isomorphism of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ -bimodules. When  $E = F$  it is an isomorphism of  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ -algebras over  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ .*
- (2) *The restriction map*

$$f \in \text{Hom}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta, F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \mapsto f|_E \in \text{Hom}_k(E, F[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$$

*is an isomorphism of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta; A)$ -bimodules.*

*Proof*

- (1) One easily sees that the inverse map of  $r \mapsto \tilde{r}$  is  $f \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$ .
- (2) One easily sees that the inverse map of the restriction map  $f \mapsto f|_E$  is  $g \mapsto g^e$ .

Let us call  $R = \text{End}_k(E)$ . As a consequence of the above lemma, the composition of the maps

$$R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{r \mapsto \tilde{r}} \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}) \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f|_E} \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}) \quad (7)$$

is an isomorphism of  $(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}; A)$ -bimodules, and so  $\text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta})$  inherits a natural structure of  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ -algebra over  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ . Namely, if  $g, h \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta})$  with

$$g(e) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} g_{\alpha}(e) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}, \quad h(e) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} h_{\alpha}(e) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}, \quad \forall e \in E, \quad g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E),$$

then the product  $hg \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta})$  is given by

$$(hg)(e) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} (h_{\beta} \circ g_{\gamma})(e) \right) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

**Definition 4** Let  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$  be sets and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}, \nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  non-empty co-ideals. For each  $f \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta})$  and each  $g \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla})$ , with

$$f(e) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_{\alpha}(e) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}, \quad g(e) = \sum_{\beta \in \nabla} g_{\beta}(e) \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \quad \forall e \in E,$$

we define  $f \boxtimes g \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta \times \nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta \times \nabla})$  as  $f \boxtimes g := h^e$ , with:

$$h(x) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta \times \nabla} (f_{\alpha} \circ g_{\beta})(x) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \quad \forall x \in E.$$

The proof of the following lemma is clear and it is left to the reader.

**Lemma 4** *With the above hypotheses, or each  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}, r' \in R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}$ , we have  $\widetilde{r \boxtimes r'} = \tilde{r} \boxtimes \tilde{r}'$  (see Definition 3).*

**Lemma 5** *Let us call  $R = \text{End}_k(E)$ . For any  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $r_0 = \text{Id}$ .
- (b) *The endomorphism  $\tilde{r}$  is compatible with the natural augmentation  $E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow E$ , i.e.  $\tilde{r}(e) \equiv e \pmod{\mathfrak{n}_0^E(\mathbf{s})/\Delta_E}$  for all  $e \in E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ .*

*Moreover, if the above properties hold, then  $\tilde{r} : E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$  is a bi-continuous  $k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$ -linear automorphism.*

*Proof* The equivalence of (a) and (b) is clear. For the second part,  $r$  is invertible since  $r_0 = \text{Id}$ . So  $\tilde{r}$  is invertible too and  $\tilde{r}^{-1} = r^{-1}$  is also continuous.

**Notation 3** *We denote:*

$$\text{Hom}_k^\circ(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \mid f(e) \equiv e \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^E(\mathbf{s})/\Delta_E \quad \forall e \in E\},$$

$$\text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^\circ(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) := \left\{f \in \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \mid f(e) \equiv e_0 \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^E(\mathbf{s})/\Delta_E \quad \forall e \in E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta\right\}.$$

Let us notice that a  $f \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ , given by  $f(e) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_\alpha(e) \mathbf{s}^\alpha$ , belongs to  $\text{Hom}_k^\circ(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$  if and only if  $f_0 = \text{Id}_E$ .

The isomorphism in (7) gives rise to a group isomorphism

$$r \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\text{End}_k(E); \Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{r} \in \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^\circ(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \quad (9)$$

and to a bijection

$$f \in \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta}^\circ(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} f|_E \in \text{Hom}_k^\circ(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta). \quad (10)$$

So,  $\text{Hom}_k^\circ(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$  is naturally a group with the product described in (8).

### 3 Substitution Maps

In this section we will assume that  $k$  is a commutative ring and  $A$  a commutative  $k$ -algebra. The following notation will be used extensively.

**Notation 4**

- (i) For each integer  $r \geq 0$  let us denote  $[r] := \{1, \dots, r\}$  if  $r > 0$  and  $[0] = \emptyset$ .
- (ii) Let  $\mathbf{s}$  be a set. Maps from a set  $\Lambda$  to  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  will be usually denoted as  $\alpha^\bullet : l \in \Lambda \mapsto \alpha^l \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , and its support is defined by  $\text{supp } \alpha^\bullet := \{l \in \Lambda \mid \alpha^l \neq 0\}$ .
- (iii) For each set  $\Lambda$  and for each map  $\alpha^\bullet : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  with finite support, its norm is defined by  $|\alpha^\bullet| := \sum_{l \in \text{supp } \alpha^\bullet} \alpha^l = \sum_{l \in \Lambda} \alpha^l$ . When  $\Lambda = \emptyset$ , the unique map  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  is the inclusion  $\emptyset \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  and its norm is  $0 \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ .
- (iv) If  $\Lambda$  is a set and  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \Lambda) := \{\alpha^\bullet : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})} \mid \#\text{supp } \alpha^\bullet < +\infty, |\alpha^\bullet| = e\}.$$

If  $F$  is a finite set and  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{P}(e, F) := \{\alpha : F \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_*^{(\mathbf{s})} \mid |\alpha| = e\} \subset \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, F).$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{P}(e, F) = \emptyset$  whenever  $\#F > |e|$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \emptyset) = \emptyset$  if  $e \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^\circ(0, \Lambda)$  consists of only the constant map 0 and that  $\mathcal{P}(0, \emptyset) = \mathcal{P}^\circ(0, \emptyset)$  consists of only the inclusion  $\emptyset \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}_*^{(s)}$ . If  $\#F = 1$  and  $e \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(e, F)$  also consists of only one map: the constant map with value  $e$ .

The natural map  $\coprod_{F \in \mathfrak{P}_f(\Lambda)} \mathcal{P}(e, F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \Lambda)$  is obviously a bijection.

If  $r \geq 0$  is an integer, we will denote  $\mathcal{P}(e, r) := \mathcal{P}(e, [r])$ .

- (v) Assume that  $\Lambda$  is a finite set,  $\mathbf{t}$  is an arbitrary set and  $\pi : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{t}$  is map. Then, there is a natural bijection

$$\mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \Lambda) \leftrightarrow \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)) = \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \text{supp } e^\bullet} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)).$$

Namely, to each  $\alpha^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \Lambda)$  we associate  $e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \mathbf{t})$  defined by  $e^t = \sum_{\pi(l)=t} \alpha^l$ , and  $\{\alpha^{t^\bullet}\}_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \in \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t))$  with  $\alpha^{t^\bullet} = \alpha^\bullet|_{\pi^{-1}(t)}$ . Let us notice that if for some  $t_0 \in \mathbf{t}$  one has  $\pi^{-1}(t_0) = \emptyset$  and  $e^{t_0} \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^\circ(e^{t_0}, \pi^{-1}(t_0)) = \emptyset$  and so  $\prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)) = \emptyset$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \Lambda_t) &= \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_\pi(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)) = \\ &= \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_\pi(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \text{supp } e^\bullet} \mathcal{P}^\circ(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}^\circ_\pi(e, \mathbf{t})$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \mathbf{t})$  whose elements are the  $e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(e, \mathbf{t})$  such that  $e^t = 0$  whenever  $\pi^{-1}(t) = \emptyset$  and  $|e^t| \geq \#\pi^{-1}(t)$  otherwise.

The preceding bijection induces a bijection

$$\mathcal{P}(e, \Lambda) \longleftrightarrow \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_\pi(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)) = \coprod_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_\pi(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \text{supp } e^\bullet} \mathcal{P}(e^t, \pi^{-1}(t)). \quad (11)$$

- (vi) If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ , we denote

$$[\alpha] := \{(t, r) \in \mathbf{t} \times \mathbb{N}_* \mid 1 \leq r \leq \alpha_t\}$$

endowed with the projection  $\pi : [\alpha] \rightarrow \mathbf{t}$ . It is clear that  $|\alpha| = \#[\alpha]$ , and so  $\alpha = 0 \iff [\alpha] = \emptyset$ . We denote  $\mathcal{P}(e, \alpha) := \mathcal{P}(e, [\alpha])$ . Elements in  $\mathcal{P}(e, \alpha)$  will be written as

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{\bullet\bullet} : (t, r) \in [\alpha] \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}^{tr} \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)}, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{(t,r) \in [\alpha]} \tilde{\alpha}^{tr} = e.$$

For each  $\ell^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \alpha)$  and each  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ , we denote

$$\ell^{\bullet\bullet} : r \in [\alpha_t] \mapsto \ell^{tr} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}, \quad [\ell]^{\bullet\bullet} : t \in \mathbf{t} \mapsto [\ell]^t := |\ell^{\bullet\bullet}| = \sum_{r=1}^{\alpha_t} \ell^{tr} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}.$$

Notice that  $|\ell^t| \geq \alpha_t$ ,  $[\ell]^t = 0$  whenever  $\alpha_t = 0$  and  $|\ell^{\bullet\bullet}| = e$ . The bijection (11) gives rise to a bijection

$$\mathcal{P}(e, \alpha) \longleftrightarrow \coprod_{e^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha^{\circ}(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}(e^t, \alpha_t) = \coprod_{e^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha^{\circ}(e, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{t \in \text{supp } e^{\bullet}} \mathcal{P}(e^t, \alpha_t), \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^{\circ}(e, \mathbf{t})$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}(e, \mathbf{t})$  whose elements are the  $e^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(e, \mathbf{t})$  such that  $e^t = 0$  if  $\alpha_t = 0$  and  $|e^t| \geq \alpha_t$  otherwise.

2. Let  $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}$  be sets and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})}$  a non-empty co-ideal. Let  $\varphi_0 : A[\mathbf{t}] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}$  be an  $A$ -algebra map given by:

$$\varphi_0(t) =: c^t = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ 0 < |\beta|}} c_{\beta}^t \mathbf{u}^{\beta} \in n_0^A(\mathbf{u}) / \Delta_A \subset A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}, \quad t \in \mathbf{t}.$$

Let us write down the expression of the image  $\varphi_0(a)$  of any  $a \in A[\mathbf{t}]$  in terms of the coefficients of  $a$  and the  $c^t, t \in \mathbf{t}$ . First, for each  $r \geq 0$  and for each  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  we have

$$\varphi_0(t^r) = (c^t)^r = \dots = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq r}} \left( \sum_{\beta^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, r)} \prod_{k=1}^r c_{\beta^k}^t \right) \mathbf{u}^e.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{\beta^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, r)} \prod_{k=1}^r c_{\beta^k}^t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| = r = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } |e| > r = 0. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

So, for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(\mathbf{t}^{\alpha}) &= \prod_{t \in \mathbf{t}} (c^t)^{\alpha_t} = \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} (c^t)^{\alpha_t} = \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \left( \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq \alpha_t}} \left( \sum_{\beta^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \alpha_t)} \prod_{k=1}^{\alpha_t} c_{\beta^k}^t \right) \mathbf{u}^e \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{e^t \in \Delta, t \in \text{supp } \alpha \\ |e^t| \geq \alpha_t}} \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \left( \left( \sum_{\beta^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e^t, \alpha_t)} \prod_{k=1}^{\alpha_t} c_{\beta^k}^t \right) \mathbf{u}^{e^t} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{e^t \in \Delta, t \in \text{supp } \alpha \\ |e^t| \geq \alpha_t}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\beta^t \bullet \in \mathcal{P}(e^t, \alpha_t) \\ t \in \text{supp } \alpha}} \left( \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{k=1}^{\alpha_t} c_{\beta^t k}^t \right) \right) \left( \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \mathbf{u}^{e^t} \right) = \\ & \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \left( \sum_{\substack{e^t \in \Delta, t \in \text{supp } \alpha \\ |e^t| \geq \alpha_t \\ |e^\bullet| = e}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\beta^t \bullet \in \mathcal{P}(e^t, \alpha_t) \\ t \in \text{supp } \alpha}} \left( \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{k=1}^{\alpha_t} c_{\beta^t k}^t \right) \right) \right) \mathbf{u}^e = \\ & \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \left( \sum_{e^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha^O(e, \mathbf{t})} \left( \sum_{\substack{\beta^t \bullet \in \mathcal{P}(e^t, \alpha_t) \\ t \in \text{supp } \alpha}} \left( \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{k=1}^{\alpha_t} c_{\beta^t k}^t \right) \right) \right) \mathbf{u}^e = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) \mathbf{u}^e, \end{aligned}$$

with (see (12)):

$$\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) = \sum_{\beta^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \alpha)} \mathbf{C}_{\beta^{\bullet\bullet}}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\beta^{\bullet\bullet}} = \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{r=1}^{\alpha_t} c_{\beta^t r}^t, \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq |e|. \quad (14)$$

We have  $\mathbf{C}_0(\varphi_0, 0) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, 0) = 0$  for  $e \neq 0$ . For a fixed  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})}$  the support of any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq |e|$  and  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) \neq 0$  is contained in the set

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ \beta \leq e}} \{t \in \mathbf{t} \mid c_\beta^t \neq 0\}$$

and so the set of such  $\alpha$ 's is finite provided that property (17) holds. We conclude that

$$\varphi_0 \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}} a_\alpha \mathbf{t}^\alpha \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}} a_\alpha c^\alpha = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) a_\alpha \right) \mathbf{u}^e. \quad (15)$$

Observe that for each non-zero  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  we have:

$$\text{supp}(\varphi_0(\mathbf{t}^\alpha)) = \text{supp} \left( \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} (c^t)^{\alpha_t} \right) \subset \sum_{t \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \alpha_t \cdot \text{supp}(c^t). \quad (16)$$

Let us notice that if we assign the weight  $|\beta|$  to  $c_\beta^t$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha)$  is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial in the variables  $c_\beta^t, t \in \text{supp } \alpha, |\beta| \leq |e|$ , of weight  $|e|$ .

The proof of the following lemma is easy and it is left to the reader.



**Lemma 6** *For each  $e \in \Delta$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  with  $0 < |\alpha| \leq |e|$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If  $|\alpha| = 1$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) = c_e^s$ , where  $\text{supp } \alpha = \{s\}$ , i.e.  $\alpha = \mathbf{t}^s$  ( $\mathbf{t}_t^s = \delta_{st}$ ).*
- (2) *If  $|\alpha| = |e|$ , then*

$$\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) = \sum_{\substack{e^t \in \Delta, t \in \text{supp } \alpha \\ |\alpha^t| = |\alpha_t|, |e^{e^*}| = |e|}} \left( \prod_{t \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{v \in \text{supp } e^t} (c_{\mathbf{u}^v}^t)^{e_v^t} \right).$$

**Proposition 2** *Let  $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}$  be sets and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})}$  a non-empty co-ideal. For each family*

$$c = \left\{ c^t = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ \beta \neq 0}} c_\beta^t \mathbf{u}^\beta \in \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathbf{u}) / \Delta_A \subset A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta, t \in \mathbf{t} \right\}$$

(we are assuming that  $c_0^t = 0$ ) *satisfying the following property*

$$\#\{t \in \mathbf{t} \mid c_\beta^t \neq 0\} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \Delta, \tag{17}$$

*there is a unique continuous  $A$ -algebra map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{t}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$  such that  $\varphi(t) = c^t$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ . Moreover, if  $\nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  is a non-empty co-ideal such that  $\varphi(\nabla_A) = 0$ , then  $\varphi$  induces a unique continuous  $A$ -algebra map  $A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$  sending (the class of) each  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  to  $c^t$ .*

*Proof* Let us consider the unique  $A$ -algebra map  $\varphi_0 : A[\mathbf{t}] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$  defined by  $\varphi_0(t) = c^t$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ . From (14) and (15) in 2, we know that

$$\varphi_0 \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ \text{finite}}} a_\alpha \mathbf{t}^\alpha \right) = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) a_\alpha \right) \mathbf{u}^e.$$

Since for a fixed  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})}$  the support of the  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq |e|$  and  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha) \neq 0$  is contained in the finite set

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ \beta \leq e}} \{t \in \mathbf{t} \mid c_\beta^t \neq 0\},$$

the set of such  $\alpha$ 's is always finite and we deduce that  $\varphi_0$  is continuous, and so there is a unique continuous extension  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{t}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$  such that  $\varphi(t) = c^t$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ .

The last part is clear.

*Remark 2* Let us notice that, after (16), to get the equality  $\varphi(\nabla_A) = 0$  in the above proposition it is enough to have for each  $\alpha \in \nabla^c$  (actually, it will be enough to consider the  $\alpha \in \nabla^c$  minimal with respect to the ordering  $\leq$  in  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ ):

$$\sum_{t \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \alpha_t \cdot \text{supp}(c^t) \subset \Delta^c.$$

**Definition 5** Let  $\nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ ,  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})}$  be non-empty co-ideals. An  $A$ -algebra map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}$  will be called a *substitution map* if the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\varphi$  is continuous.
- (2)  $\varphi(t) \in \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathbf{u})/\Delta_A$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ .
- (3) The family  $c = \{\varphi(t), t \in \mathbf{t}\}$  satisfies property (17).

The set of substitution maps  $A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}; \nabla, \Delta)$ . The *trivial* substitution map  $A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}$  is the one sending any  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  to 0. It will be denoted by  $\mathbf{0}$ .

*Remark 3* In the above definition, a such  $\varphi$  is uniquely determined by the family  $c = \{\varphi(t), t \in \mathbf{t}\}$ , and will be called the *substitution map associated with  $c$* . Namely, the family  $c$  can be lifted to  $A[[\mathbf{u}]]$  by means of the natural  $A$ -linear scission  $A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta} \hookrightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]$  and we may consider the unique continuous  $A$ -algebra map  $\psi : A[[\mathbf{t}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]$  such that  $\psi(s) = c^s$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is continuous, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[[\mathbf{t}]] & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A[[\mathbf{u}]] \\ \text{proj.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{proj.} \\ A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}, \end{array}$$

and so  $\psi(\nabla_A) \subset \Delta_A$ . Then, we may identify

$$\mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}; \nabla, \Delta) \equiv \left\{ \overline{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}; \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}, \Delta) \mid \overline{\psi}(\nabla_A) = 0 \right\}.$$

For  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and  $e \in \Delta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq |e|$  we will write  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) := \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi_0, \alpha)$ , where  $\varphi_0 : A[\mathbf{t}] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta}$  is the  $A$ -algebra map given by  $\varphi_0(t) = \varphi(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  (see (14) in 2).

*Example 1* For any family of integers  $\nu = \{\nu_t \geq 1, t \in \mathbf{t}\}$ , we will denote  $[\nu] : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nu\nabla}$  the substitution map determined by  $[\nu](t) = t^{\nu_t}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ , where

$$\nu\nabla := \{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \mid \exists \alpha \in \nabla, \gamma \leq \nu\alpha\}.$$

We obviously have  $[v v'] = [v] \circ [v']$ .

**Lemma 7** *The composition of two substitution maps  $A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla} \xrightarrow{\varphi} A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{\psi} A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Omega}$  is a substitution map and we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_f(\psi \circ \varphi, \alpha) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |f| \geq |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, e), \quad \forall f \in \Omega, \forall \alpha \in \nabla, |\alpha| \leq |f|.$$

Moreover, if one of the substitution maps is trivial, then the composition is trivial too.

*Proof* Properties (1) and (2) in Definition 5 are clear. Let us see property (3). For each  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  let us write:

$$\varphi(t) =: c^t = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ 0 < |\beta|}} c_{\beta}^t \mathbf{u}^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathbf{u}) / \Delta_A \subset A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta},$$

and so

$$(\psi \circ \varphi)(t) = \psi \left( \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ 0 < |\beta|}} c_{\beta}^t \mathbf{u}^{\beta} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ 0 < |\beta|}} c_{\beta}^t \left( \sum_{\substack{f \in \Omega \\ |f| \geq |\beta|}} \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, \beta) \mathbf{s}^f \right) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \Omega \\ |f| > 0}} d_f^t \mathbf{s}^f$$

with

$$d_f^t = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ 0 < |\beta| \leq |f|}} c_{\beta}^t \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, \beta)$$

and for a fixed  $f \in \Omega$  the set

$$\{t \in \mathbf{t} \mid d_f^t \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{\beta \in \nabla, |\beta| \leq |f| \\ \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, \beta) \neq 0}} \{t \in \mathbf{t} \mid c_{\beta}^t \neq 0\}$$

is finite. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{t}^{\alpha}) &= \psi \left( \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \mathbf{u}^e \right) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \left( \sum_{\substack{f \in \Omega \\ |f| \geq |e|}} \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, e) \mathbf{s}^f \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{f \in \Omega \\ |f| \geq |\alpha|}} \left( \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |f| \geq |e| \geq |\alpha|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, e) \right) \mathbf{u}^f \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$C_f(\psi \circ \varphi, \alpha) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |f| \geq |e| \geq |\alpha|}} C_e(\varphi, \alpha) C_f(\psi, e), \quad \forall f \in \Omega, \forall \alpha \in \nabla, |\alpha| \leq |f|.$$

If  $B$  is a commutative  $A$ -algebra, then any substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  induces a natural substitution map  $\varphi_B : B[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow B[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \widehat{\otimes} \varphi} & B \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \\ \text{nat.} \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \text{nat.} \\ B[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} & B[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}. \end{array}$$

3. For any substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  and for any integer  $n \geq 0$  we have  $\varphi(\nabla_A^n / \nabla_A) \subset \Delta_A^n / \Delta_A$  and so there are induced substitution maps  $\tau_n(\varphi) : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla^n} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta^n}$  making commutative the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \\ \text{nat.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{nat.} \\ A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla^n} & \xrightarrow{\tau_n(\varphi)} & A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta^n}. \end{array}$$

Moreover, if  $\varphi$  is the substitution map associated with a family  $c = \{c^s, s \in \mathbf{s}\}$ ,

$$c^s = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathbf{t}) / \Delta_A \subset A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta},$$

then  $\tau_n(\varphi)$  is the substitution map associated with the family  $\tau_n(c) = \{\tau_n(c)^s, s \in \mathbf{s}\}$ , with

$$\tau_n(c)^s := \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ |\beta| \leq n}} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathbf{t}) / \Delta_A^n \subset A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta^n}.$$

So, we have truncations  $\tau_n : \mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}; \nabla, \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}; \nabla^n, \Delta^n)$ , for  $n \geq 0$ .

We may also add two substitution maps  $\varphi, \varphi' : A[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  to obtain a new substitution map  $\varphi + \varphi' : A[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  determined by<sup>1</sup>:

$$(\varphi + \varphi')(s) = \varphi(s) + \varphi'(s), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbf{s}.$$

<sup>1</sup>Pay attention that  $(\varphi + \varphi')(r) \neq \varphi(r) + \varphi'(r)$  for arbitrary  $r \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}; \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}, \Delta)$  becomes an abelian group with the addition, the zero element being the trivial substitution map  $\mathbf{0}$ .

If  $\psi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Omega$  is another substitution map, we clearly have

$$\psi \circ (\varphi + \varphi') = \psi \circ \varphi + \psi \circ \varphi'.$$

However, if  $\psi : A[[\mathbf{u}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s}]]$  is a substitution map, we have in general

$$(\varphi + \varphi') \circ \psi \neq \varphi \circ \psi + \varphi' \circ \psi.$$

**Definition 6** We say that a substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$  has *constant coefficients* if  $c_\beta^t \in k$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  and all  $\beta \in \Delta$ , where

$$\varphi(t) = c^t = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ 0 < |\beta|}} c_\beta^t \mathbf{u}^\beta \in n_0^A(\mathbf{u})/\Delta_A \subset A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta.$$

This is equivalent to saying that  $\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \in k$  for all  $e \in \Delta$  and for all  $\alpha \in \nabla$  with  $0 < |\alpha| \leq |e|$ . Substitution maps which constant coefficients are induced by substitution maps  $k[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla \rightarrow k[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$ .

We say that a substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Delta$  is *combinatorial* if  $\varphi(t) \in \mathbf{u}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ . A combinatorial substitution map has constant coefficients and is determined by (and determines) a map  $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ , necessarily with finite fibers. If  $\iota : \mathbf{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$  is such a map, we will also denote by  $\iota : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\iota_*(\nabla)}$  the corresponding substitution map, with

$$\iota_*(\nabla) := \{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})} \mid \beta \circ \iota \in \nabla\}.$$

**4.** Let  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$  be a continuous  $A$ -linear map. It is determined by the family  $K = \{K_{e,\alpha}, e \in \Delta, \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset A$ , with  $\varphi(\mathbf{s}^\alpha) = \sum_{e \in \Delta} K_{e,\alpha} \mathbf{t}^e$ . We will assume that

- $\varphi$  is compatible with the order filtration, i.e.  $\varphi(\nabla_A^n/\nabla_A) \subset \Delta_A^n/\Delta_A$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .
- $\varphi$  is compatible with the natural augmentations  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A$  and  $A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A$ .

These properties are equivalent to the fact that  $K_{e,\alpha} = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| > |e|$  and  $K_{0,0} = 1$ .

Let  $K = \{K_{e,\alpha}, e \in \Delta, \alpha \in \nabla, |\alpha| \leq |e|\}$  be a family of elements of  $A$  with

$$\#\{\alpha \in \nabla \mid |\alpha| \leq |e|, K_{e,\alpha} \neq 0\} < +\infty, \quad \forall e \in \Delta,$$

and  $K_{0,0} = 1$ , and let  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  be the  $A$ -linear map given by

$$\varphi \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \nabla} a_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \right) = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} K_{e,\alpha} a_{\alpha} \right) \mathbf{t}^e.$$

It is clearly continuous and since  $\varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} K_{e,\alpha} \mathbf{t}^e$ , it determines the family  $K$ .

**Proposition 3** *With the above notations, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\varphi$  is a substitution map.
- (b) For each  $\mu, \nu \in \nabla$  and for each  $e \in \Delta$  with  $|\mu + \nu| \leq |e|$ , the following equality holds:

$$K_{e,\mu+\nu} = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |\mu| \leq |\beta|, |\nu| \leq |\gamma|}} K_{\beta,\mu} K_{\gamma,\nu}.$$

Moreover, if the above equality holds, then  $K_{e,0} = 0$  whenever  $|e| > 0$  and  $\varphi$  is the substitution map determined by

$$\varphi(u) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ 0 < |e|}} K_{e,s^u} \mathbf{t}^e, \quad u \in \mathbf{s}.$$

*Proof* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) If  $\varphi$  is a substitution map, there is a family

$$c^s = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \in A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}, \quad s \in \mathbf{s},$$

such that  $\varphi(s) = c^s$ . So, from (15), we deduce

$$K_{e,\alpha} = \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) = \sum_{f^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e,\alpha)} C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq |e|,$$

with  $C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} = \prod_{s \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{r=1}^{\alpha_s} C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}sr}^s$ .

For each ordered pair  $(r, s)$  of non-negative integers there are natural injective maps

$$i \in [r] \mapsto i \in [r + s], \quad i \in [s] \mapsto r + i \in [r + s]$$

inducing a natural bijection  $[r] \sqcup [s] \longleftrightarrow [r + s]$ . Consequently, for  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \times \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  there are natural injective maps  $[\mu] \hookrightarrow [\mu + \nu] \hookrightarrow [\nu]$  inducing a

natural bijection  $[\mu] \sqcup [v] \longleftrightarrow [\mu + v]$ . So, for each  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(t)}$  and each  $f^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \mu + v)$ , we can consider the restrictions  $g^{\bullet\bullet} = f^{\bullet\bullet}|_{[\mu]} \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, \mu)$ ,  $h^{\bullet\bullet} = f^{\bullet\bullet}|_{[v]} \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, v)$ , with  $\beta = |g^{\bullet\bullet}|$  and  $\gamma = |h^{\bullet\bullet}|$ ,  $\beta + \gamma = e$ . The correspondence  $f^{\bullet\bullet} \mapsto (\beta, \gamma, g^{\bullet\bullet}, h^{\bullet\bullet})$  establishes a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}(e, \mu + v)$  and the set of  $(\beta, \gamma, g^{\bullet\bullet}, h^{\bullet\bullet})$  with  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{(t)}$ ,  $g^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, \mu)$ ,  $h^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, v)$  and  $|\beta| \geq |\mu|$ ,  $|\gamma| \geq |v|$ ,  $\beta + \gamma = e$ . Moreover, under this bijection we have  $C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} = C_{g^{\bullet\bullet}} C_{h^{\bullet\bullet}}$  and we deduce

$$K_{e, \mu+v} = C_e(\varphi, \mu + v) = \sum_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |\mu|\leq|\beta| \\ |v|\leq|\gamma|}} \sum_{g^{\bullet\bullet}, h^{\bullet\bullet}} C_{g^{\bullet\bullet}} C_{h^{\bullet\bullet}} =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |\mu|\leq|\beta| \\ |v|\leq|\gamma|}} \left( \sum_{g^{\bullet\bullet}} C_{g^{\bullet\bullet}} \right) \left( \sum_{h^{\bullet\bullet}} C_{h^{\bullet\bullet}} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |\mu|\leq|\beta| \\ |v|\leq|\gamma|}} C_\beta(\varphi, \mu) C_\gamma(\varphi, v) = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |\mu|\leq|\beta| \\ |v|\leq|\gamma|}} K_{\beta, \mu} K_{\gamma, v}.$$

where  $f^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \mu + v)$ ,  $g^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, \mu)$  and  $h^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, v)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) First, one easily proves by induction on  $|e|$  that  $K_{e,0} = 0$  whenever  $|e| > 0$ , and so  $\varphi(1) = \varphi(\mathbf{s}^0) = K_{0,0} = 1$ . Let  $a = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$ ,  $b = \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$  be elements in  $A[[t]]_{\Delta}$ , and  $c = ab = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$  with  $c_{\alpha} = \sum_{\mu+v=\alpha} a_{\mu} b_v$ . We have:

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(c) = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} K_{e, \alpha} c_{\alpha} \right) \mathbf{t}^e = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\mu, v \in \nabla \\ |\mu+v| \leq |e|}} K_{e, \mu+v} a_{\mu} b_v \right) \mathbf{t}^e =$$

$$\sum_e \left( \sum_{|\mu+v| \leq |e|} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |\mu|\leq|\beta|, |v|\leq|\gamma|}} K_{\beta, \mu} K_{\gamma, v} a_{\mu} b_v \right) \mathbf{t}^e = \dots = \varphi(a)\varphi(b).$$

We conclude that  $\varphi$  is a (continuous)  $A$ -algebra map determined by the images

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{s^u}) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ 0 < |e|}} K_{e, s^u} \mathbf{t}^e, \quad u \in \mathbf{s},$$

(remember that  $\{\mathbf{s}^u\}_{u \in \mathbf{s}}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ ) and so it is a substitution map.

**Definition 7** The *tensor product* of two substitution maps  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$ ,  $\psi : A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\nabla'} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{v}]]_{\Delta'}$  is the unique substitution map

$$\varphi \otimes \psi : A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u}]]_{\nabla \times \nabla'} \longrightarrow A[[\mathbf{t} \sqcup \mathbf{v}]]_{\Delta \times \Delta'}$$

making commutative the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} & \longrightarrow & A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u}]]_{\nabla \times \nabla'} & \longleftarrow & A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\nabla'} \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \otimes \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\
 A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} & \longrightarrow & A[[\mathbf{t} \sqcup \mathbf{v}]]_{\Delta \times \Delta'} & \longleftarrow & A[[\mathbf{v}]]_{\Delta'}
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the combinatorial substitution maps induced by the inclusions  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{v} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{t} \sqcup \mathbf{v}$ <sup>2</sup>.

For all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \nabla \times \nabla' \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})} \times \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{u})} \equiv \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u})}$  we have

$$(\varphi \otimes \psi)(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \mathbf{u}^{\beta}) = \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) \psi(\mathbf{u}^{\beta}) = \dots = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta, f \in \Delta' \\ |e| \geq |\alpha| \\ |f| \geq |\beta|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, \beta) \mathbf{t}^e \mathbf{v}^f$$

and so, for all  $(e, f) \in \Delta \times \Delta'$  and all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \nabla \times \nabla'$  with  $|e| + |f| = |(\alpha, \beta)| \geq |\alpha| + |\beta|$  we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{(e,f)}(\varphi \otimes \psi, (\alpha, \beta)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \mathbf{C}_f(\psi, \beta) & \text{if } |\alpha| \leq |e| \text{ and } |\beta| \leq |f|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 4 The Action of Substitution Maps

In this section  $k$  will be a commutative ring,  $A$  a commutative  $k$ -algebra,  $M$  an  $(A; A)$ -bimodule,  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  sets and  $\nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}, \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  non-empty co-ideals.

Any  $A$ -linear continuous map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  satisfying the assumptions in 4 induces  $(A; A)$ -linear maps

$$\varphi_M := \varphi \widehat{\otimes} \text{Id}_M : M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \equiv A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \widehat{\otimes}_A M \longrightarrow M[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \equiv A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \widehat{\otimes}_A M$$

and

$${}_M \varphi := \text{Id}_M \widehat{\otimes} \varphi : M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \equiv M \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \longrightarrow M[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \equiv M \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}.$$

<sup>2</sup>Let us notice that there are canonical continuous isomorphisms of  $A$ -algebras  $A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u}]]_{\nabla \times \nabla'} \simeq A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\nabla'}, A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta \times \Delta'} \simeq A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \widehat{\otimes}_A A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Delta'}$ .



If  $\varphi$  is determined by the family  $K = \{K_{e,\alpha}, e \in \nabla, \alpha \in \Delta, |\alpha| \leq |e|\} \subset A$ , with  $\varphi(\mathbf{s}^\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |\alpha| \leq |\alpha|}} K_{e,\alpha} \mathbf{t}^e$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_M \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \nabla} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \right) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \nabla} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^\alpha) m_\alpha = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} K_{e,\alpha} m_\alpha \right) \mathbf{t}^e, \quad m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla, \\ {}_M\varphi \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \nabla} m_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \right) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \nabla} m_\alpha \varphi(\mathbf{s}^\alpha) = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} m_\alpha K_{e,\alpha} \right) \mathbf{t}^e, \quad m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varphi' : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_\Omega$  is another  $A$ -linear continuous map satisfying the assumptions in 4 and  $\varphi'' = \varphi \circ \varphi'$ , we have  $\varphi''_M = \varphi_M \circ \varphi'_M$ ,  ${}_M\varphi'' = {}_M\varphi \circ {}_M\varphi'$ .

If  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$  is a substitution map and  $m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla, a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla$ , we have

$$\varphi_M(am) = \varphi(a)\varphi_M(m), \quad {}_M\varphi(ma) = {}_M\varphi(m)\varphi(a),$$

i.e.  $\varphi_M$  is  $(\varphi; A)$ -linear and  ${}_M\varphi$  is  $(A; \varphi)$ -linear. Moreover,  $\varphi_M$  and  ${}_M\varphi$  are compatible with the augmentations, i.e.

$$\varphi_M(m) \equiv m_0, \quad {}_M\varphi(m) \equiv m_0 \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^M(\mathbf{t})/\Delta_M, \quad m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla. \quad (18)$$

If  $\varphi$  is the trivial substitution map (i.e.  $\varphi(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ ), then  $\varphi_M : M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow M[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$  and  ${}_M\varphi : M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow M[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$  are also trivial, i.e.

$$\varphi_M(m) = {}_M\varphi(m) = m_0, \quad m \in M[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla.$$

**5.** The above constructions apply in particular to the case of any  $k$ -algebra  $R$  over  $A$ , for which we have two induced continuous maps,  $\varphi_R = \varphi \widehat{\otimes} \text{Id}_R : R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow R[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$ , which is  $(A; R)$ -linear, and  ${}_R\varphi = \text{Id}_R \widehat{\otimes} \varphi : R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow R[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$ , which is  $(R; A)$ -linear.

For  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla$  we will denote

$$\varphi \bullet r := \varphi_R(r), \quad r \bullet \varphi := {}_R\varphi(r).$$

Explicitly, if  $r = \sum_\alpha r_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \nabla$ , then

$$\varphi \bullet r = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) r_\alpha \right) \mathbf{t}^e, \quad r \bullet \varphi = \sum_{e \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} r_\alpha \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) \right) \mathbf{t}^e. \quad (19)$$

From (18), we deduce that  $\varphi_R(\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}(R; \nabla)) \subset \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}(R; \Delta)$  and  ${}_R\varphi(\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}(R; \nabla)) \subset \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}(R; \Delta)$ . We also have  $\varphi \bullet 1 = 1 \bullet \varphi = 1$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a substitution map with constant coefficients, then  $\varphi_R = {}_R\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism over  $\varphi$ . In particular,  $\varphi \bullet r = r \bullet \varphi$  and  $\varphi \bullet (rr') = (\varphi \bullet r)(\varphi \bullet r')$ .

If  $\varphi = \mathbf{0} : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  is the trivial substitution map, then  $\mathbf{0} \bullet r = r \bullet \mathbf{0} = r_0$  for all  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{0} \bullet r = r \bullet \mathbf{0} = 1$  for all  $r \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}(R; \nabla)$ .

If  $\psi : R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow R[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Omega}$  is another substitution map, one has

$$\psi \bullet (\varphi \bullet r) = (\psi \circ \varphi) \bullet r, \quad (r \bullet \varphi) \bullet \psi = r \bullet (\psi \circ \varphi).$$

Since  $(R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})^{\text{opp}} = R^{\text{opp}}[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ , for any substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  we have  $(\varphi_R)^{\text{opp}} = {}_{R^{\text{opp}}}\varphi$  and  $({}_R\varphi)^{\text{opp}} = \varphi_{R^{\text{opp}}}$ .

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and it is left to the reader.

**Lemma 8** *If  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  is a substitution map, then:*

- (i)  $\varphi_R$  is left  $\varphi$ -linear, i.e.  $\varphi_R(ar) = \varphi(a)\varphi_R(r)$  for all  $a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$  and for all  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ .
- (ii)  ${}_R\varphi$  is right  $\varphi$ -linear, i.e.  ${}_R\varphi(ra) = {}_R\varphi(r)\varphi(a)$  for all  $a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$  and for all  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ .

Let us assume again that  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  is an  $A$ -linear continuous map satisfying the assumptions in 4. We define the  $(A; A)$ -linear map

$$\varphi_* : f \in \text{Hom}_k(A, A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \mapsto \varphi_*(f) = \varphi \circ f \in \text{Hom}_k(A, A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta})$$

which induces another one  $\overline{\varphi_*} : \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta})$  defined by

$$\overline{\varphi_*}(f) := (\varphi_*(f|_A))^e = (\varphi \circ f|_A)^e, \quad f \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}).$$

More generally, for a given left  $A$ -module  $E$  (which will be considered as a trivial  $(A; A)$ -bimodule) we have  $(A; A)$ -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_E)_* &: f \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \mapsto (\varphi_E)_*(f) = \varphi_E \circ f \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}), \\ \overline{(\varphi_E)_*} &: \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}), \quad \overline{(\varphi_E)_*}(f) := (\varphi_E \circ f|_A)^e. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote  $R = \text{End}_k(E)$ . For each  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$  and for each  $e \in E$  we have

$$\widetilde{\varphi_R(r)}(e) = \varphi_E(\widetilde{r}(e)),$$

or more graphically, the following diagram is commutative (see (7)):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} & \xrightarrow[\tilde{r} \mapsto \tilde{r}]{\sim} & \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) & \xrightarrow[\text{rest.}]{\sim} & \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \\
 \varphi_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{(\varphi_E)_*} & & (\varphi_E)_* \downarrow \\
 R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow[\tilde{r} \mapsto \tilde{r}]{\sim} & \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}) & \xrightarrow[\text{rest.}]{\sim} & \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}).
 \end{array}$$

In order to simplify notations, we will also write

$$\varphi \bullet f := \overline{(\varphi_E)_*}(f) \quad \forall f \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})$$

and so have  $\widehat{\varphi} \bullet r = \varphi \bullet \tilde{r}$  for all  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ . Let us notice that  $(\varphi \bullet f)(e) = (\varphi_E \circ f)(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ , i.e.

$$\boxed{(\varphi \bullet f)|_E = (\varphi_E \circ f)|_E, \text{ but in general } \varphi \bullet f \neq \varphi_E \circ f.} \quad (20)$$

If  $\varphi$  is the trivial substitution map, then  $(\varphi_E)_*$  (resp.  $\overline{(\varphi_E)_*}$ ) is also trivial in the sense that if  $f = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})$  (resp.  $f = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha} \in \text{End}_k(E)[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \equiv \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})$ ), then  $(\varphi_E)_*(f) = f_0 \in \text{End}_k(E) \subset \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})$  (resp.  $\overline{(\varphi_E)_*}(f) = f_0^e \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})$ , with  $f_0^e(\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_0(e_{\alpha}) \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$ ).

If  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}$  is a substitution map, we have

$$(\varphi_E)_*(af) = \varphi(a)(\varphi_E)_*(f) \quad \forall a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_k(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})$$

and so

$$\overline{(\varphi_E)_*}(af) = \varphi(a)\overline{(\varphi_E)_*}(f) \quad \forall a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}, \forall f \in \text{End}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\text{top}}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}).$$

Moreover, the following inclusions hold

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\varphi_E)_*(\text{Hom}_k^{\circ}(E, M[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})) &\subset \text{Hom}_k^{\circ}(E, E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}), \\
 \overline{(\varphi_E)_*}(\text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\circ}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla})) &\subset \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}}^{\circ}(E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and so we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}(R; \nabla) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{r} \mapsto \tilde{r}]{\sim} & \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}}^{\circ}(E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) & \xrightarrow[\text{rest.}]{\sim} & \text{Hom}_k^{\circ}(E, E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \\
 \varphi_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{(\varphi_E)_*} & & (\varphi_E)_* \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{t}}(R; \Delta) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{r} \mapsto \tilde{r}]{\sim} & \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}}^{\circ}(E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}) & \xrightarrow[\text{rest.}]{\sim} & \text{Hom}_k^{\circ}(E, E[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}).
 \end{array} \quad (21)$$

**Lemma 9** *With the notations above, if  $\varphi : k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  is a substitution map with constant coefficients, then*

$$\langle \varphi \bullet r, \varphi_E(e) \rangle = \varphi_E(\langle r, e \rangle), \quad \forall r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}, \forall e \in E[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}.$$

*Proof* Let us write  $r = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$ ,  $r_{\alpha} \in R = \text{End}_k(E)$  and  $e = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha}$ ,  $e_{\alpha} \in E$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \bullet r, \varphi_E(e) \rangle &= (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet r})(\varphi_E(e)) = \left( \sum_{\alpha} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) \widetilde{r}_{\alpha} \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) e_{\alpha} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) \widetilde{r}_{\alpha} (\varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\beta}) e_{\beta}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha}) \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\beta}) \widetilde{r}_{\alpha} (e_{\beta}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\alpha+\beta}) \widetilde{r}_{\alpha} (e_{\beta}) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma} \varphi(\mathbf{s}^{\gamma}) \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \widetilde{r}_{\alpha} (e_{\beta}) \right) = \varphi_E \left( \sum_{\gamma} \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \widetilde{r}_{\alpha} (e_{\beta}) \right) \mathbf{s}^{\gamma} \right) \\ &= \varphi_E(\widetilde{r}(e)) = \varphi_E(\langle r, e \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if  $\varphi : k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  is a substitution map with constant coefficients, we already pointed out that  ${}_R\varphi = \varphi_R$ , and indeed,  $\varphi \bullet r = r \bullet \varphi$  for all  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ .

**6.** Let us denote  $\iota : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta}$ ,  $\kappa : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta}$  the combinatorial substitution maps given by the inclusions  $\mathbf{s} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{t} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}$ .

Let us notice that for  $r \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$  and  $r' \in R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$ , we have (see Definition 3)  $r \boxtimes r' = (\iota \bullet r)(\kappa \bullet r') \in R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta}$ .

If  $\nabla' \subset \nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ ,  $\Delta' \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  are non-empty co-ideals, we have

$$\tau_{\nabla \times \Delta, \nabla' \times \Delta'}(r \boxtimes r') = \tau_{\nabla, \nabla'}(r) \boxtimes \tau_{\Delta, \Delta'}(r').$$

If we denote by  $\Sigma : R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla \times \nabla} \rightarrow R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$  the combinatorial substitution map given by the co-diagonal map  $\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ , it is clear that for each  $r, r' \in R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$  we have

$$rr' = \Sigma \bullet (r \boxtimes r'). \quad (22)$$

If  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Omega}$  and  $\psi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{v}]]_{\Omega'}$  are substitution maps, we have new substitution maps  $\varphi \otimes \text{Id} : A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\Omega \times \Delta}$  and

$\text{Id} \otimes \psi : A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{v}]]_{\nabla \times \Omega'}$  (see Definition 7) taking part in the following commutative diagrams of  $(A; A)$ -bimodules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \otimes_R R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_R \otimes \text{Id}} & R[[\mathbf{u}]]_{\Omega} \otimes_R R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \\ \text{can.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{can.} \\ R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta} & \xrightarrow{(\varphi \otimes \text{Id})_R} & R[[\mathbf{u} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\Omega \times \Delta} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \otimes_R R[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \psi} & R[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \otimes_R R[[\mathbf{v}]]_{\Omega'} \\ \text{can.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{can.} \\ R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta} & \xrightarrow{(\text{Id} \otimes \varphi)_R} & R[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{v}]]_{\nabla \times \Omega'}. \end{array}$$

So  $(\varphi \bullet r) \boxtimes r' = (\varphi \otimes \text{Id}) \bullet (r \boxtimes r')$  and  $r \boxtimes (r' \bullet \psi) = (r \boxtimes r') \bullet (\text{Id} \otimes \psi)$ .

## 5 Multivariate Hasse-Schmidt Derivations

In this section we study multivariate (possibly  $\infty$ -variate) Hasse–Schmidt derivations. The original reference for 1-variate Hasse–Schmidt derivations is [4]. This notion has been studied and developed in [8, §27] (see also [13] and [10]). In [6] the authors study “finite dimensional” Hasse–Schmidt derivations, which correspond in our terminology to  $p$ -variate Hasse–Schmidt derivations.

From now on  $k$  will be a commutative ring,  $A$  a commutative  $k$ -algebra,  $\mathbf{s}$  a set and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  a non-empty co-ideal.

**Definition 8** A  $(\mathbf{s}, \Delta)$ -variate Hasse-Schmidt derivation, or a  $(\mathbf{s}, \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivation for short, of  $A$  over  $k$  is a family  $D = (D_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  of  $k$ -linear maps  $D_{\alpha} : A \rightarrow A$ , satisfying the following Leibniz type identities:

$$D_0 = \text{Id}_A, \quad D_{\alpha}(xy) = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} D_{\beta}(x)D_{\gamma}(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$  and for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . We denote by  $\text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; \Delta)$  the set of all  $(\mathbf{s}, \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivations of  $A$  over  $k$  and  $\text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A) = \text{for } \Delta = \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ . In the case where  $\mathbf{s} = \{1, \dots, p\}$ , a  $(\mathbf{s}, \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivation will be simply called a  $(p, \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivation and we denote  $\text{HS}_k^p(A; \Delta) := \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; \Delta)$  and  $\text{HS}_k^p(A) := \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A)$ . For  $p = 1$ , a 1-variate HS-derivation will be simply called

a *Hasse–Schmidt derivation* (a HS-derivation for short), or a *higher derivation*<sup>3</sup>, and we will simply write  $\text{HS}_k(A; m) := \text{HS}_k^1(A; \Delta)$  for  $\Delta = \{q \in \mathbb{N} \mid q \leq m\}$ <sup>4</sup> and  $\text{HS}_k(A) := \text{HS}_k^1(A)$ .

7. The above Leibniz identities for  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  can be written as

$$D_\alpha x = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} D_\beta(x)D_\gamma, \quad \forall x \in A, \forall \alpha \in \Delta. \tag{23}$$

Any  $(s, \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivation  $D$  of  $A$  over  $k$  can be understood as a power series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} D_\alpha s^\alpha \in \text{End}_k(A)[[s]]_\Delta$$

and so we consider  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \subset \text{End}_k(A)[[s]]_\Delta$ .

**Proposition 4** *Let  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  be a HS-derivation. Then, for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , the component  $D_\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  is a  $k$ -linear differential operator of order  $\leq |\alpha|$  vanishing on  $k$ . In particular, if  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $D_\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  is a  $k$ -derivation.*

*Proof* The proof follows by induction on  $|\alpha|$  from (23).

The map

$$D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; t_1(s)) \mapsto \{D_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=1} \in \text{Der}_k(A)^s \tag{24}$$

is clearly a bijection.

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward and it is left to the reader (see Notation 1 and 2).

**Proposition 5** *Let us denote  $R = \text{End}_k(A)$  and let  $D = \sum_\alpha D_\alpha s^\alpha \in R[[s]]_\Delta$  be a power series. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $D$  is a  $(s, \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivation of  $A$  over  $k$ .
- (b) The map  $\tilde{D} : A[[s]]_\Delta \rightarrow A[[s]]_\Delta$  is a (continuous)  $k[[s]]_\Delta$ -algebra homomorphism compatible with the natural augmentation  $A[[s]]_\Delta \rightarrow A$ .
- (c)  $D \in \mathcal{W}^s(R; \Delta)$  and for all  $a \in A[[s]]_\Delta$  we have  $Da = \tilde{D}(a)D$ .
- (d)  $D \in \mathcal{W}^s(R; \Delta)$  and for all  $a \in A$  we have  $Da = \tilde{D}(a)D$ .

Moreover, in such a case  $\tilde{D}$  is a bi-continuous  $k[[s]]_\Delta$ -algebra automorphism of  $A[[s]]_\Delta$ .

**Corollary 1** *Under the above hypotheses,  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  is a (multiplicative) subgroup of  $\mathcal{W}^s(R; \Delta)$ .*

<sup>3</sup>This terminology is used for instance in [8].

<sup>4</sup>These HS-derivations are called of length  $m$  in [10].

If  $\Delta' \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$  are non-empty co-ideals, we obviously have group homomorphisms  $\tau_{\Delta\Delta'} : \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \longrightarrow \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta')$ . Since any  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  is determined by its finite truncations, we have a natural group isomorphism

$$\text{HS}_k^s(A) = \varprojlim_{\substack{\Delta' \subset \Delta \\ \# \Delta' < \infty}} \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta').$$

In the case  $\Delta' = \Delta^1 = \Delta \cap \mathfrak{t}_1(\mathfrak{s})$ , since  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta^1) \simeq \text{Der}_k(A)^{\Delta^1}$ , we can think on  $\tau_{\Delta\Delta^1}$  as a group homomorphism  $\tau_{\Delta\Delta^1} : \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A)^{\Delta^1}$  whose kernel is the normal subgroup of  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  consisting of HS-derivations  $D$  with  $D_\alpha = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| = 1$ .

In the case  $\Delta' = \Delta^n = \Delta \cap \mathfrak{t}_n(\mathfrak{s})$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , we will simply write  $\tau_n = \tau_{\Delta, \Delta^n} : \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \longrightarrow \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta^n)$ .

*Remark 4* Since for any  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  we have  $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{A/k}^{|\alpha|}(A)$ , we may also think on  $D$  as an element in a generalized Rees ring of the ring of differential operators:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^s(\mathcal{D}_{A/k}(A); \Delta) := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} r_\alpha \mathfrak{s}^\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{A/k}(A)[[\mathfrak{s}]]_\Delta \mid r_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{A/k}^{|\alpha|}(A) \right\}.$$

The group operation in  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  is explicitly given by

$$(D, E) \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \times \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \longmapsto D \circ E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$$

with

$$(D \circ E)_\alpha = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} D_\beta \circ E_\gamma,$$

and the identity element of  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  is  $\mathbb{I}$  with  $\mathbb{I}_0 = \text{Id}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ . The inverse of a  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  will be denoted by  $D^*$ .

**Proposition 6** *Let  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$ ,  $E \in \text{HS}_k^1(A; \nabla)$  be HS-derivations. Then their external product  $D \boxtimes E$  (see Definition 3) is a  $(\mathfrak{s} \sqcup \mathfrak{t}, \nabla \times \Delta)$ -variate HS-derivation.*

*Proof* From Lemma 4 we know that  $\widetilde{D \boxtimes E} = \widetilde{D} \boxtimes \widetilde{E}$  and we conclude by Proposition 5.

**Definition 9** For each  $a \in A^s$  and for each  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$ , we define  $a \bullet D$  as

$$(a \bullet D)_\alpha := a^\alpha D_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta.$$

It is clear that  $a \bullet D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$ ,  $a' \bullet (a \bullet D) = (a'a) \bullet D$ ,  $1 \bullet D = D$  and  $0 \bullet D = \mathbb{I}$ .

If  $\Delta' \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathfrak{s})}$  are non-empty co-ideals, we have  $\tau_{\Delta\Delta'}(a \bullet D) = a \bullet \tau_{\Delta\Delta'}(D)$ . Hence, in the case  $\Delta' = \Delta^1 = \Delta \cap \mathfrak{t}_1(\mathfrak{s})$ , since  $\text{HS}_k^{\mathfrak{s}}(A; \Delta^1) \simeq \text{Der}_k(A)^{\Delta^1}$ , the image of  $\tau_{\Delta\Delta^1} : \text{HS}_k^{\mathfrak{s}}(A; \Delta) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A)^{\Delta^1}$  is an  $A$ -submodule.

The following lemma provides a dual way to express the Leibniz identity (23), 7.

**Lemma 10** *For each  $D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathfrak{s}}(A; \Delta)$  and for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , we have*

$$xD_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} D_{\beta} D_{\gamma}^*(x), \quad \forall x \in A.$$

*Proof* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} D_{\beta} D_{\gamma}^*(x) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \sum_{\mu+\nu=\beta} D_{\mu}(D_{\gamma}^*(x))D_{\nu} = \\ &= \sum_{e+\nu=\alpha} \left( \sum_{\mu+\gamma=e} D_{\mu}(D_{\gamma}^*(x)) \right) D_{\nu} = xD_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the map (24) is an isomorphism of groups (with the addition on  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  as internal operation) and so  $\text{HS}_k^{\mathfrak{s}}(A; \mathfrak{t}_1(\mathfrak{s}))$  is abelian.

**Notation 5** *Let us denote*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) &:= \\ \left\{ f \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(A, A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) \mid f(a) \equiv a \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathfrak{s})/\Delta_A \ \forall a \in A \right\}, \\ \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) &:= \\ \left\{ f \in \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}\text{-alg}}^{\text{top}}(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) \mid f(a) \equiv a_0 \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^A(\mathfrak{s})/\Delta_A \ \forall a \in A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that (see Notation 3)  $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) \subset \text{Hom}_k^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta})$  and  $\text{Aut}_{k[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) \subset \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta})$  are subgroups and we have group isomorphisms (see (10) and (9)):

$$\text{HS}_k^{\mathfrak{s}}(A; \Delta) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{D \mapsto \tilde{D}} \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{restriction}} \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}). \quad (25)$$

The composition of the above isomorphisms is given by

$$D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathfrak{s}}(A; \Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_D := \left[ a \in A \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} D_{\alpha}(a) \mathfrak{s}^{\alpha} \right] \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathfrak{s}]]_{\Delta}). \quad (26)$$



For each HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  we have

$$\tilde{D} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Phi_D(a_\alpha) \mathbf{s}^\alpha,$$

for all  $\sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha \mathbf{s}^\alpha \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta$ , and for any  $E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  we have  $\Phi_{D \circ E} = \tilde{D} \circ \Phi_E$ . If  $\Delta' \subset \Delta$  is another non-empty co-ideal and we denote by  $\pi_{\Delta\Delta'} : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'}$  the projection, one has  $\Phi_{\tau_{\Delta\Delta'}(D)} = \pi_{\Delta\Delta'} \circ \Phi_D$ .

**Definition 10** For each HS-derivation  $E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$ , we denote

$$\ell(E) := \min\{r \geq 1 \mid \exists \alpha \in \Delta, |\alpha| = r, E_\alpha \neq 0\} \geq 1$$

if  $E \neq \mathbb{I}$  and  $\ell(E) = \infty$  if  $E = \mathbb{I}$ . In other words,  $\ell(E) = \text{ord}(E - \mathbb{I})$ . Clearly, if  $\Delta$  is bounded, then  $\ell(E) > \max\{|\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \iff \ell(E) = \infty \iff E = \mathbb{I}$ .

We obviously have  $\ell(E \circ E') \geq \min\{\ell(E), \ell(E')\}$  and  $\ell(E^*) = \ell(E)$ . Moreover, if  $\ell(E') > \ell(E)$ , then  $\ell(E \circ E') = \ell(E)$ :

$$\ell(E \circ E') = \text{ord}(E \circ E' - \mathbb{I}) = \text{ord}(E \circ (E' - \mathbb{I}) + (E - \mathbb{I}))$$

and since  $\text{ord}(E \circ (E' - \mathbb{I})) \geq^5 \text{ord}(E' - \mathbb{I}) = \ell(E') > \ell(E) = \text{ord}(E - \mathbb{I})$  we obtain

$$\ell(E \circ E') = \dots = \text{ord}(E \circ (E' - \mathbb{I}) + (E - \mathbb{I})) = \text{ord}(E - \mathbb{I}) = \ell(E).$$

**Proposition 7** For each  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  we have that  $D_\alpha$  is a  $k$ -linear differential operator or order  $\leq \lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{\ell(D)} \rfloor$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . In particular,  $D_\alpha$  is a  $k$ -derivation if  $|\alpha| = \ell(D)$ , whenever  $\ell(D) < \infty$  ( $\Leftrightarrow D \neq \mathbb{I}$ ).

*Proof* We may assume  $D \neq \mathbb{I}$ . Let us call  $n := \ell(D) < \infty$  and, for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $q_\alpha := \lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{n} \rfloor$  and  $r_\alpha := |\alpha| - q_\alpha n$ ,  $0 \leq r_\alpha < n$ . We proceed by induction on  $q_\alpha$ . If  $q_\alpha = 0$ , then  $|\alpha| < n$ ,  $D_\alpha = 0$  and the result is clear. Assume that the order of  $D_\beta$  is less or equal than  $q_\beta$  whenever  $0 \leq q_\beta \leq q$ . Now take  $\alpha \in \Delta$  with  $q_\alpha = q + 1$ . For any  $a \in A$  we have

$$[D_\alpha, a] = \sum_{\substack{\gamma + \beta = \alpha \\ |\gamma| > 0}} D_\gamma(a) D_\beta = \sum_{\substack{\gamma + \beta = \alpha \\ |\gamma| \geq n}} D_\gamma(a) D_\beta,$$

but any  $\beta$  in the index set of the above sum must have norm  $\leq |\alpha| - n$  and so  $q_\beta < q_\alpha = q + 1$  and  $D_\beta$  has order  $\leq q_\beta$ . Hence  $[D_\alpha, a]$  has order  $\leq q$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $D_\alpha$  has order  $\leq q + 1 = q_\alpha$ .

---

<sup>5</sup>Actually, here an equality holds since the 0-term of  $E$  (as a series) is 1.

The following example shows that the group structure on HS-derivations takes into account the Lie bracket on usual derivations.

*Example 2* If  $D, E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$ , then we may apply the above proposition to  $[D, E] = D \circ E \circ D^* \circ E^*$  to deduce that  $[D, E]_\alpha \in \text{Der}_k(A)$  whenever  $|\alpha| = 2$ . Actually, for  $|\alpha| = 2$  we have:

$$[D, E]_\alpha = \begin{cases} [D_{s^t}, E_{s^t}] & \text{if } \alpha = 2s^t \\ [D_{s^t}, E_{s^u}] + [D_{s^u}, E_{s^t}] & \text{if } \alpha = s^t + s^u, \text{ with } t \neq u. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 8** For any  $D, E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  we have  $\ell([D, E]) \geq \ell(D) + \ell(E)$ .

*Proof* We may assume  $D, E \neq \mathbb{I}$ . Let us write  $m = \ell(D) = \ell(D^*), n = \ell(E) = \ell(E^*)$ . We have  $D_\beta = D_\beta^* = 0$  whenever  $0 < |\beta| < m$  and  $E_\gamma = E_\gamma^* = 0$  whenever  $0 < |\gamma| < n$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \Delta$  be with  $0 < |\alpha| < m + n$ . If  $|\alpha| < m$  or  $|\alpha| < n$  it is clear that  $[D, E]_\alpha = 0$ . Assume that  $m, n \leq |\alpha| < m + n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [D, E]_\alpha &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha} D_\beta \circ E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* = \sum_{\gamma+\mu=\alpha} E_\gamma E_\mu^* + \\ &\sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta+\lambda|>0}} D_\beta E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* = 0 + \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\lambda|>0}} E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta|>0}} D_\beta E_\gamma E_\mu^* + \\ &\sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta|, |\lambda|>0}} D_\beta E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* = \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\lambda|\geq m}} E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta|\geq m}} D_\beta E_\gamma E_\mu^* + \\ &\sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta|, |\lambda|\geq m}} D_\beta E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* = D_\alpha^* + \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\lambda|\geq m, |\gamma+\mu|>0}} E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* + D_\alpha + \\ &\sum_{\substack{\beta+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta|\geq m \\ |\gamma+\mu|>0}} D_\beta E_\gamma E_\mu^* + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\lambda=\alpha \\ |\beta|, |\lambda|\geq m}} D_\beta D_\lambda^* + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma+\lambda+\mu=\alpha \\ |\beta|, |\lambda|\geq m \\ |\gamma+\mu|>0}} D_\beta E_\gamma D_\lambda^* E_\mu^* = \\ &D_\alpha^* + 0 + D_\alpha + 0 + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\lambda=\alpha \\ |\beta|, |\lambda|>0}} D_\beta D_\lambda^* + 0 = \sum_{\beta+\lambda=\alpha} D_\beta D_\lambda^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\ell([D, E]) \geq \ell(D) + \ell(E)$ .

**Corollary 2** Assume that  $\Delta$  is bounded and let  $m$  be the max of  $|\alpha|$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Then, the group  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  is nilpotent of nilpotent class  $\leq m$ , where a central series is<sup>6</sup>

$$\{\mathbb{I}\} = \{E \mid \ell(E) > m\} \triangleleft \{E \mid \ell(E) \geq m\} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft \{E \mid \ell(E) \geq 1\} = \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta).$$

<sup>6</sup>Let us notice that  $\{E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \mid \ell(E) > r\} = \ker \tau_{\Delta, \Delta_r}$ .

**Proposition 9** For each  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$ , its inverse  $D^*$  is given by  $D_0^* = \text{Id}$  and

$$D_\alpha^* = \sum_{d=1}^{|\alpha|} (-1)^d \sum_{\alpha^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, d)} D_{\alpha^1} \circ \cdots \circ D_{\alpha^d}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Moreover,  $\sigma_{|\alpha|}(D_\alpha^*) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \sigma_{|\alpha|}(D_\alpha)$ .

*Proof* The first assertion is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2. For the second assertion, first we have  $D_\alpha^* = -D_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = 1$ , and if we denote by  $-\mathbf{1} \in A^s$  the constant family  $-\mathbf{1}$  and  $E = D \circ ((-\mathbf{1}) \bullet D)$ , we have  $\ell(E) > 1$ . So,  $D^* = ((-\mathbf{1}) \bullet D) \circ E^*$  and

$$D_\alpha^* = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|} D_\beta E_\gamma^* = (-1)^{|\alpha|} D_\alpha + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ |\gamma|>0}} (-1)^{|\beta|} D_\beta E_\gamma^*.$$

From Proposition 7, we know that  $E_\gamma^*$  is a differential operator of order strictly less than  $|\gamma|$  and so  $\sigma_{|\alpha|}(D_\alpha^*) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \sigma_{|\alpha|}(D_\alpha)$ .

## 6 The Action of Substitution Maps on HS-Derivations

In this section,  $k$  will be a commutative ring,  $A$  a commutative  $k$ -algebra,  $R = \text{End}_k(A)$ ,  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$  sets and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ ,  $\nabla \subset \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  non-empty co-ideals.

We are going to extend the operation  $(a, D) \in A^s \times \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \mapsto a \bullet D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta)$  (see Definition 9) by means of the constructions in section 4.

**Proposition 10** For any substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla$ , we have:

- (1)  $\varphi_* \left( \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^\circ(A, A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \right) \subset \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^\circ(A, A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla)$ ,
- (2)  $\varphi_R \left( \text{HS}_k^s(A; \Delta) \right) \subset \text{HS}_k^t(A; \nabla)$ ,
- (3)  $\overline{\varphi}_* \left( \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta\text{-alg}}^\circ(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta) \right) \subset \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla\text{-alg}}^\circ(A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla)$ .

*Proof* By using diagram (21) and (25), it is enough to prove the first inclusion, but if  $f \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^\circ(A, A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\Delta)$ , it is clear that  $\varphi_*(f) = \varphi \circ f : A \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla$  is a  $k$ -algebra map. Moreover, since  $\varphi(t_0^A(\mathbf{s})/\Delta_A) \subset t_0^A(\mathbf{t})/\nabla_A$  (see 3) and  $f(a) \equiv a \pmod{t_0^A(\mathbf{s})/\Delta_A}$  for all  $a \in A$ , we deduce that  $\varphi(f(a)) \equiv \varphi(a) \pmod{t_0^A(\mathbf{t})/\nabla_A}$  for all  $a \in A$ , but  $\varphi$  is an  $A$ -algebra map and  $\varphi(a) = a$ . So  $\varphi_*(f) \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^\circ(A, A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\nabla)$ .

As a consequence of the above proposition and diagram (21) we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{CD}
 \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}) @<\widetilde{\Phi_D \leftarrow D}<< \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; \Delta) @>\widetilde{\phantom{\Phi_D \leftarrow D}}>> \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}) \\
 @V\varphi_*VV @V\varphi_RVV @VV\overline{\varphi_*}V \\
 \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A, A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}) @<\widetilde{\Phi_D \leftarrow D}<< \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{t}}(A; \nabla) @>\widetilde{\phantom{\Phi_D \leftarrow D}}>> \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}).
 \end{CD}$$

(27)

The inclusion (2) in Proposition 10 can be rephrased by saying that for any substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla}$  and for any HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; \Delta)$  we have  $\varphi \bullet D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{t}}(A; \nabla)$  (see 5). Moreover  $\Phi_{\varphi \bullet D} = \varphi \circ \Phi_D$ .

It is clear that for any co-ideals  $\Delta' \subset \Delta$  and  $\nabla' \subset \nabla$  with  $\varphi(\Delta'/\Delta_A) \subset \nabla'_A/\nabla_A$  we have

$$\tau_{\nabla\nabla'}(\varphi \bullet D) = \varphi' \bullet \tau_{\Delta\Delta'}(D), \tag{28}$$

where  $\varphi' : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta'} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla'}$  is the substitution map induced by  $\varphi$ .

Let us notice that any  $a \in A^{\mathbf{s}}$  gives rise to a substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\Delta}$  given by  $\varphi(s) = a_s s$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ , and one has  $a \bullet D = \varphi \bullet D$ .

**8.** Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}; \nabla, \Delta)$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_A(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}; \Delta, \Omega)$  be substitution maps and  $D, D' \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; \nabla)$  HS-derivations. From 5 we deduce the following properties:

- If we denote  $E := \varphi \bullet D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{t}}(A; \Delta)$ , we have

$$E_0 = \text{Id}, \quad E_e = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \nabla \\ |\alpha| \leq |e|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, \alpha) D_{\alpha}, \quad \forall e \in \Delta. \tag{29}$$

- If  $\varphi$  has constant coefficients, then  $\varphi \bullet (D \circ D') = (\varphi \bullet D) \circ (\varphi \bullet D')$ . The general case will be treated in Proposition 11.
- If  $\varphi = \mathbf{0}$  is the trivial substitution map or if  $D = \mathbb{I}$ , then  $\varphi \bullet D = \mathbb{I}$ .
- $\psi \bullet (\varphi \bullet D) = (\psi \circ \varphi) \bullet D$ .

*Remark 5* We recall that a HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k(A)$  is called *iterative* (see [8, pg. 209]) if

$$D_i \circ D_j = \binom{i+j}{i} D_{i+j} \quad \forall i, j \geq 0.$$

This notion makes sense for  $\mathbf{s}$ -variate HS-derivations of any length. Actually, iterativity may be understood through the action of substitution maps. Namely, if we denote by  $\iota, \iota' : s \hookrightarrow s \sqcup s$  the two canonical inclusions and  $\iota + \iota' : A[[\mathbf{s}]] \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{s}]]$  is the substitution map determined by

$$(\iota + \iota')(s) = \iota(s) + \iota'(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{s},$$

then a HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A)$  is iterative if and only if

$$(\iota + \iota') \bullet D = (\iota \bullet D) \circ (\iota' \bullet D).$$

A similar remark applies for any formal group law instead of  $\iota + \iota'$  (cf. [5]).

**Proposition 11** *Let  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  be a substitution map. Then, the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *For each  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \nabla)$  there is a unique substitution map  $\varphi^D : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  such that  $(\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \varphi^D = \varphi \circ \widetilde{D}$ . Moreover,  $(\varphi \bullet D)^* = \varphi^D \bullet D^*$  and  $\varphi^{\mathbb{I}} = \varphi$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $D, E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \nabla)$ , we have  $\varphi \bullet (D \circ E) = (\varphi \bullet D) \circ (\varphi^D \bullet E)$  and  $(\varphi^D)^E = \varphi^{D \circ E}$ . In particular,  $(\varphi^D)^{D^*} = \varphi$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\psi$  is another composable substitution map, then  $(\varphi \circ \psi)^D = \varphi^{\psi \bullet D} \circ \psi^D$ .*
- (iv)  *$\tau_n(\varphi^D) = \tau_n(\varphi)^{\tau_n(D)}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .*
- (v) *If  $\varphi$  has constant coefficients then  $\varphi^D = \varphi$ .*

*Proof*

- (i) We know that

$$\widetilde{D} \in \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\varphi \bullet D} \in \text{Aut}_{k[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}\text{-alg}}^{\circ}(A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}).$$

The only thing to prove is that

$$\varphi^D := (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D})^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \widetilde{D}$$

is a substitution map  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta}$  (see Definition 5). Let start by proving that  $\varphi^D$  is an  $A$ -algebra map. Let us write  $E = \varphi \bullet D$ . For each  $a \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^D(a) &= \widetilde{E}^{-1}(\varphi(\widetilde{D}(a))) = \widetilde{E}^{-1}(\varphi(\Phi_D(a))) = \\ &= \widetilde{E}^{-1}((\varphi \circ \Phi_D)(a)) = \widetilde{E}^{-1}(\Phi_{\varphi \bullet D}(a)) = \widetilde{E}^{-1}((\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D})(a)) = a, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\varphi^D$  is  $A$ -linear. The continuity of  $\varphi^D$  is clear, since it is the composition of continuous maps. For each  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ , let us write

$$\varphi(s) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ |\beta| > 0}} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta}.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a substitution map, property (17) holds:

$$\#\{s \in \mathbf{s} \mid c_{\beta}^s \neq 0\} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \Delta.$$

We have

$$\varphi^D(s) = \widetilde{E}^*(\varphi(\widetilde{D}(s))) = \widetilde{E}^*(\varphi(s)) = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} \left( \sum_{\alpha+\gamma=\beta} E_\alpha^*(c_\gamma^s) \right) \mathbf{t}^\beta = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} d_\beta^s \mathbf{t}^\beta$$

with  $d_\beta^s = \sum_{\alpha+\gamma=\beta} E_\alpha^*(c_\gamma^s)$ . So, for each  $\beta \in \Delta$  we have

$$\{s \in \mathbf{s} \mid c_\beta^s \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \beta} \{s \in \mathbf{s} \mid c_\gamma^s \neq 0\}$$

and  $\varphi^D$  satisfies property (17) too. We conclude that  $\varphi^D$  is a substitution map, and obviously it is the only one such that  $(\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \varphi^D = \varphi \circ \widetilde{D}$ . From there, we have

$$\varphi^D \circ \widetilde{D}^* = \varphi^D \circ \widetilde{D}^{-1} = (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D})^{-1} \circ \varphi = (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D})^* \circ \varphi,$$

and taking restrictions to  $A$  we obtain  $\varphi^D \circ \Phi_{D^*} = \Phi_{(\varphi \bullet D)^*}$  and so  $\varphi^D \bullet D^* = (\varphi \bullet D)^*$ .

On the other hand, it is clear that if  $D = \mathbb{I}$ , then  $\varphi^{\mathbb{I}} = \varphi$  and if  $\varphi = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{0}^D = \mathbf{0}$ .

- (ii) In order to prove the first equality, we need to prove the equality  $\varphi \bullet (\widetilde{D \circ E}) = (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ (\widetilde{\varphi^D \bullet E})$ . For this it is enough to prove the equality after restriction to  $A$ , but

$$(\varphi \bullet (\widetilde{D \circ E}))|_A = \Phi_{\varphi \bullet (D \circ E)} = \varphi \circ \Phi_{D \circ E} = \varphi \circ \widetilde{D} \circ \Phi_E,$$

$$((\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ (\widetilde{\varphi^D \bullet E}))|_A = (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \Phi_{\varphi^D \bullet E} = (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \varphi^D \circ \Phi_E$$

and both are equal by (i). For the second equality, we have  $(\varphi^D)^{D^*} = \varphi^{\mathbb{I}} = \varphi$ .

- (iii) Since

$$\begin{aligned} ((\varphi \circ \psi) \bullet D) \circ (\varphi^{\psi \bullet D} \circ \psi^D) &= (\varphi \bullet (\widetilde{\psi \bullet D})) \circ \varphi^{\psi \bullet D} \circ \psi^D = \\ &= \varphi \circ (\widetilde{\psi \bullet D}) \circ \psi^D = \varphi \circ \psi \circ \widetilde{D}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that  $(\varphi \circ \psi)^D = \varphi^{\psi \bullet D} \circ \psi^D$  from the uniqueness in (i).

Part (iv) is also a consequence of the uniqueness property in (i).

- (v) Let us assume that  $\varphi$  has constant coefficients. We know from Lemma 9 that  $\langle \varphi \bullet D, \varphi(a) \rangle = \varphi(\langle D, a \rangle)$  for all  $a \in A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla}$ , and so  $(\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \widetilde{D}$ . Hence, by the uniqueness property in (i) we deduce that  $\varphi^D = \varphi$ .

The following proposition gives a recursive formula to obtain  $\varphi^D$  from  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 12** *With the notations of Proposition 11, we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, f + v) = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |f+g|\leq|\beta|, |v|\leq|\gamma|}} \mathbf{C}_\beta(\varphi, f + g) D_g(\mathbf{C}_\gamma(\varphi^D, v))$$

for all  $e \in \Delta$  and for all  $f, v \in \nabla$  with  $|f + v| \leq |e|$ . In particular, we have the following recursive formula

$$\mathbf{C}_e(\varphi^D, v) := \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, v) - \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=e \\ |g|\leq|\beta|, |v|\leq|\gamma|<|e|}} \mathbf{C}_\beta(\varphi, g) D_g(\mathbf{C}_\gamma(\varphi^D, v)).$$

for  $e \in \Delta$ ,  $v \in \nabla$  with  $|e| \geq 1$  and  $|v| \leq |e|$ , starting with  $\mathbf{C}_0(\varphi^D, 0) = 1$ .

*Proof* First, the case  $f = 0$  easily comes from the equality

$$\sum_{\substack{e \in \Delta \\ |v| \leq |e|}} \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi, v) \mathbf{t}^e = \varphi(\mathbf{s}^v) = (\varphi \circ \tilde{D})(\mathbf{s}^v) = \left( (\widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \varphi^D \right) (\mathbf{s}^v) \quad \forall v \in \nabla.$$

For arbitrary  $f$  one has to use Proposition 3. Details are left to the reader.

The proof of the following corollary is a consequence of Lemma 10.

**Corollary 3** *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 11, the following identity holds for each  $e \in \Delta$*

$$(\varphi \bullet D)_e^* = \sum_{|\mu+v|\leq|e|} D_\mu^* \cdot D_v \left( \mathbf{C}_e(\varphi^D, \mu + v) \right).$$

**Proposition 13** *Let  $D \in \text{HS}_k^t(A; \Delta)$  be a HS-derivation and  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$  a substitution map. Then, the following identity holds:*

$$\tilde{D} \circ \varphi = (D(\varphi) \otimes \pi) \circ (\kappa \widetilde{\varphi \bullet D}) \circ \iota,$$

where:

- $D(\varphi) : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_\nabla \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta$  is the substitution map determined by  $D(\varphi)(s) = \tilde{D}(\varphi(s))$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ .
- $\pi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A$  is the augmentation, or equivalently, the substitution map<sup>7</sup> given by  $\pi(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{t}$ .

<sup>7</sup>The map  $\pi$  can be also understood as the truncation  $\tau_{\Delta, \{0\}} : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_\Delta \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\{0\}} = A$ .

- $\iota : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\nabla} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta}$  and  $\kappa : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{\Delta} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}]]_{\nabla \times \Delta}$  are the combinatorial substitution maps determined by the inclusions  $\mathbf{s} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{t} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}$ , respectively.

*Proof* It is enough to check that both maps coincide on any  $a \in A$  and on any  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ . Details are left to the reader.

*Remark 6* Let us notice that with the notations of Propositions 11 and 13, we have  $\varphi^D = (\varphi \bullet D)^*(\varphi)$ .

The following proposition will not be used in this paper and will be stated without proof.

**Proposition 14** *For any HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; \nabla)$  and any substitution map  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}; \Delta, \Omega)$ , there exists a substitution map  $D \star \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s} \sqcup \mathbf{u}; \nabla \times \Delta, \nabla \times \Omega)$  such that for each HS-derivation  $E \in \text{HS}_k^t(A; \Delta)$  we have:*

$$D \boxtimes (\varphi \bullet E) = (D \star \varphi) \bullet (D \boxtimes E).$$

## 7 Generating HS-Derivations

In this section we show how the action of substitution maps allows us to express any HS-derivation in terms of a fixed one under some natural hypotheses. We will be concerned with  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{s}))$ -variate HS-derivations, where  $\mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{s}) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})} \mid |\alpha| \leq m\}$ . To simplify we will write  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m := A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{\mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{s})}$  and  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; m) := \text{HS}_k^s(A; \mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{s}))$  for any integer  $m \geq 1$ , and  $\text{HS}_k^s(A; \infty) := \text{HS}_k^s(A)$ . For  $m \geq n \geq 1$  we will denote  $\tau_{mn} : \text{HS}_k^s(A; m) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^s(A; n)$  the truncation map.

Assume that  $m \geq 1$  is an integer and let  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m$  be a substitution map. Let us write

$$\varphi(s) = c^s = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ 0 < |\beta| \leq m}} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_0(\mathbf{t}) / \mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{t}) \subset A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m, \quad s \in \mathbf{s}$$

and let us denote by  $\varphi_m, \varphi_{< m} : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m$  the substitution maps determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m(s) &= c_m^s := \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ |\beta| = m}} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_0(\mathbf{t}) / \mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{t}) \in A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m, \quad s \in \mathbf{s}, \\ \varphi_{< m}(s) &= c_{< m}^s := \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ 0 < |\beta| < m}} c_{\beta}^s \mathbf{t}^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_0(\mathbf{t}) / \mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{t}) \in A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m, \quad s \in \mathbf{s}. \end{aligned}$$



We have  $c^s = c_m^s + c_{<m}^s$  and so  $\varphi = \varphi_m + \varphi_{<m}$  (see 3).

**Proposition 15** *With the above notations, for any HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; m)$  the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $(\varphi_m \bullet D)_e = 0$  for  $0 < |e| < m$  and  $(\varphi_m \bullet D)_e = \sum_{t \in S} c_e^t D_{s^t}$  for  $|e| = m$ , where the  $s^t$  are the elements of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{N}^{(S)}$ .
- (2)  $\varphi \bullet D = (\varphi_m \bullet D) \circ (\varphi_{<m} \bullet D) = (\varphi_{<m} \bullet D) \circ (\varphi_m \bullet D)$ .

*Proof*

- (1) Let us denote  $E' = \varphi_m \bullet D$ . Since  $\tau_{m,m-1}(E')$  coincides with  $\tau_{m,m-1}(\varphi_m) \bullet \tau_{m,m-1}(D)$  (see (28)) and  $\tau_{m,m-1}(\varphi_m)$  is the trivial substitution map, we deduce that  $\tau_{m,m-1}(E') = \mathbb{I}$ , i.e.  $E_e = 0$  whenever  $0 < |e| < m$ .

From (29) and (14), for  $|e| > 0$  we have  $E'_e = \sum_{0 < |\alpha| \leq |e|} C_e(\varphi_m, \alpha) D_\alpha$ , with

$$C_e(\varphi_m, \alpha) = \sum_{f^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \alpha)} C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq |e|, \quad C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} = \prod_{s \in \text{supp } \alpha} \prod_{r=1}^{\alpha_s} (c_m^s)_{f^{sr}}.$$

Assume now that  $|e| = m$ ,  $1 < |\alpha| \leq m$  and let  $f^{\bullet\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(e, \alpha)$ . Since

$$\sum_{s \in \text{supp } \alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\alpha_s} f^{sr} = e,$$

we deduce that  $|f^{sr}| < |e| = m$  for all  $s, r$  and so  $(c_m^s)_{f^{sr}} = 0$  and  $C_{f^{\bullet\bullet}} = 0$ . Consequently,  $C_e(\varphi_m, \alpha) = 0$ .

If  $|\alpha| = 1$ , then  $\alpha$  must be an element  $s^t$  of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{N}^{(S)}$  and from Lemma 6, (1), we know that  $C_e(\varphi_m, s^t) = (c_m^t)_e$ . We conclude that

$$E'_e = \dots = \sum_{t \in S} C_e(\varphi_m, s^t) D_{s^t} = \sum_{t \in S} (c_m^t)_e D_{s^t} = \sum_{t \in S} c_e^t D_{s^t}.$$

- (2) Let us write  $E = \varphi \bullet D$ ,  $E' = \varphi_m \bullet D$  and  $E'' = \varphi_{<m} \bullet D$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{m,m-1}(E) &= \tau_{m,m-1}(\varphi) \bullet \tau_{m,m-1}(D) = \\ \tau_{m,m-1}(\varphi_{<m}) \bullet \tau_{m,m-1}(D) &= \tau_{m,m-1}(E''). \end{aligned}$$

By property (1), we know that  $\tau_{m,m-1}(E')$  is the identity and we deduce that  $\tau_{m,m-1}(E) = \tau_{m,m-1}(E' \circ E'') = \tau_{m,m-1}(E'' \circ E')$ . So  $E_e = (E' \circ E'')_e = (E'' \circ E')_e$  for  $|e| < m$ .

Now, let  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(t)}$  be with  $|e| = m$ . By using again that  $\tau_{m,m-1}(E')$  is the identity, we have  $(E' \circ E'')_e = \dots = E'_e + E''_e = \dots = (E'' \circ E')_e$ , and we conclude that  $E' \circ E'' = E'' \circ E'$ .

On the other hand, from Lemma 6, (1), we have that  $C_e(\varphi_{< m}, \alpha) = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| = 1$ , and one can see that  $C_e(\varphi, \alpha) = C_e(\varphi_{< m}, \alpha)$  whenever that  $2 \leq |\alpha| \leq |e|$ . So:

$$E_e = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} C_e(\varphi, \alpha) D_\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} C_e(\varphi, \alpha) D_\alpha + \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} C_e(\varphi, \alpha) D_\alpha = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{s}} c_e^t D_{s^t} + \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} C_e(\varphi_{< m}, \alpha) D_\alpha = E'_e + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} C_e(\varphi_{< m}, \alpha) D_\alpha = E'_e + E''_e$$

and  $E = E' \circ E'' = E'' \circ E'$ .

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 2.8 in [3] to the case where  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is not necessarily a finitely generated  $A$ -module. The use of substitution maps makes its proof more conceptual.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer, or  $m = \infty$ , and  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; m)$  a  $\mathbf{s}$ -variate HS-derivation of length  $m$  such that  $\{D_\alpha, |\alpha| = 1\}$  is a system of generators of the  $A$ -module  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ . Then, for each set  $\mathbf{t}$  and each HS-derivation  $G \in \text{HS}_k^t(A; m)$  there is a substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m$  such that  $G = \varphi \bullet D$ . Moreover, if  $\{D_\alpha, |\alpha| = 1\}$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ ,  $\varphi$  is uniquely determined.*

*Proof* For  $m$  finite, we will proceed by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$  the result is clear. Assume that the result is true for HS-derivations of length  $m - 1$  and consider a  $D \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; m)$  such that  $\{D_\alpha, |\alpha| = 1\}$  is a system of generators of the  $A$ -module  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  and a  $G \in \text{HS}_k^t(A; m)$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is a substitution map  $\varphi' : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_{m-1} \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_{m-1}$ , given by  $\varphi'(s) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1} c_\beta^s \mathbf{t}^\beta$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ , and such that  $\tau_{m,m-1}(G) = \varphi' \bullet \tau_{m,m-1}(D)$ . Let  $\varphi'' : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{u}]]_m$  be the substitution map lifting  $\varphi'$  (i.e.  $\tau_{m,m-1}(\varphi'') = \varphi'$ ) given by  $\varphi''(s) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1} c_\beta^s \mathbf{t}^\beta \in A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ , and consider  $F = \varphi'' \bullet D$ . We obviously have  $\tau_{m,m-1}(F) = \tau_{m,m-1}(G)$  and so, for  $H = G \circ F^*$ , the truncation  $\tau_{m,m-1}(H)$  is the identity and  $H_e = 0$  for  $0 < |e| < m$ . We deduce that each component of  $H$  of highest order,  $H_e$  with  $|e| = m$ , must be a  $k$ -derivation of  $A$  and so there is a family  $\{c_e^s, s \in \mathbf{s}\}$  of elements of  $A$  such that  $c_e^s = 0$  for all  $s$  except a finite number of indices and  $H_e = \sum_{s \in \mathbf{s}} c_e^s D_{s^s}$ , where  $\{s^s, s \in \mathbf{s}\}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ . To finish, let us consider the substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m$  given by  $\varphi(s) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} c_\beta^s \mathbf{t}^\beta$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{s}$ . From Proposition 15 we have

$$\varphi \bullet D = (\varphi_m \bullet D) \circ (\varphi_{< m} \bullet D) = H \circ (\varphi'' \bullet D) = H \circ F = G.$$

For HS-derivations of infinite length, following the above procedure we can construct  $\varphi$  as a projective limit of substitution maps  $A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .

Now assume that the set  $\{D_\alpha, |\alpha| = 1\}$  is linearly independent over  $A$  and let us prove that

$$\varphi \bullet D = \psi \bullet D \implies \varphi = \psi. \tag{30}$$

The infinite length case can be reduced to the finite case since  $\varphi = \psi$  if and only if all their finite truncations are equal. For the finite length case, we proceed by induction on the length  $m$ . Assume that the substitution maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) = c^s &:= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ 0 < |\beta| \leq m}} c_\beta^s \mathbf{t}^\beta \in \mathfrak{n}_0(\mathbf{t})/\mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{t}) \subset A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m, \quad s \in \mathbf{s} \\ \psi(s) = d^s &:= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})} \\ 0 < |\beta| \leq m}} d_\beta^s \mathbf{t}^\beta \in \mathfrak{n}_0(\mathbf{t})/\mathfrak{t}_m(\mathbf{t}) \subset A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m, \quad s \in \mathbf{s}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 1$ , then  $\varphi = \varphi_1$  and  $\psi = \psi_1$  and for each  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  with  $|e| = 1$  we have from Proposition 15

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbf{s}} c_e^s D_{s^s} = (\varphi_1 \bullet D)_e = (\varphi \bullet D)_e = (\psi \bullet D)_e = (\psi_1 \bullet D)_e = \sum_{s \in \mathbf{s}} d_e^s D_{s^s}$$

and we deduce that  $c_e^s = d_e^s$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{s}$  and so  $\varphi = \psi$ .

Now assume that (30) is true whenever the length is  $m - 1$  and take  $D, \varphi$  and  $\psi$  as before of length  $m$  with  $\varphi \bullet D = \psi \bullet D$ . By considering  $(m - 1)$ -truncations and using the induction hypothesis we deduce that  $\tau_{m,m-1}(\varphi) = \tau_{m,m-1}(\psi)$ , or equivalently  $\varphi_{<m} = \psi_{<m}$ .

From Proposition 15 we obtain first that  $\varphi_m \bullet D = \psi_m \bullet D$  and second that for each  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  with  $|e| = m$

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbf{s}} c_e^s D_{s^s} = \sum_{s \in \mathbf{s}} d_e^s D_{s^s}.$$

We conclude that  $\varphi_m = \psi_m$  and so  $\varphi = \psi$ .

Now we recall the definition of integrability.

**Definition 11 (Cf. [1, 7])** Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer or  $m = \infty$  and  $\mathbf{s}$  a set.

- (i) We say that a  $k$ -derivation  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  is  $m$ -integrable (over  $k$ ) if there is a Hasse–Schmidt derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$  such that  $D_1 = \delta$ . Any such  $D$  will be called an  $m$ -integral of  $\delta$ . The set of  $m$ -integrable  $k$ -derivations of  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Ider}_k(A; m)$ . We simply say that  $\delta$  is integrable if it is  $\infty$ -integrable and we denote  $\text{Ider}_k(A) := \text{Ider}_k(A; \infty)$ .
- (ii) We say that a  $\mathbf{s}$ -variate HS-derivation  $D' \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; n)$ , with  $1 \leq n < m$ , is  $m$ -integrable (over  $k$ ) if there is a  $\mathbf{s}$ -variate HS-derivation  $D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; m)$  such that  $\tau_{mn} D = D'$ . Any such  $D$  will be called an  $m$ -integral of  $D'$ . The set of  $m$ -integrable  $\mathbf{s}$ -variate HS-derivations of  $A$  over  $k$  of length  $n$  is denoted by  $\text{IHS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; n; m)$ . We simply say that  $D'$  is integrable if it is  $\infty$ -integrable and we denote  $\text{IHS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; n) := \text{IHS}_k^{\mathbf{s}}(A; n; \infty)$ .

**Corollary 4** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer or  $m = \infty$ . The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{Ider}_k(A; m) = \text{Der}_k(A)$ .
- (2)  $\text{IHS}_k^s(A; n; m) = \text{HS}_k^s(A; n)$  for all  $n$  with  $1 \leq n < m$  and all sets  $s$ .

*Proof* We only have to prove (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $\{\delta_t, t \in \mathbf{t}\}$  be a system of generators of the  $A$ -module  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ , and for each  $t \in \mathbf{t}$  let  $D^t \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$  be an  $m$ -integral of  $\delta_t$ . By considering some total ordering  $<$  on  $\mathbf{t}$ , we can define  $D \in \text{HS}_k^{\mathbf{t}}(A; m)$  as the external product (see Definition 3) of the ordered family  $\{D^t, t \in \mathbf{t}\}$ , i.e.  $D_0 = \text{Id}$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,

$$D_\alpha = D_{\alpha_{t_1}}^{t_1} \circ \cdots \circ D_{\alpha_{t_e}}^{t_e} \quad \text{with } \text{supp } \alpha = \{t_1 < \cdots < t_e\}.$$

Let  $n$  be an integer with  $1 \leq n < m$ ,  $s$  a set and  $E \in \text{HS}_k^s(A; n)$ . After Theorem 1, there exists a substitution map  $\varphi : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_n \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s}]]_n$  such that  $E = \varphi \bullet \tau_{mn}(D)$ . By considering any substitution map  $\varphi' : A[[\mathbf{t}]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mathbf{s}]]_m$  lifting  $\varphi$  we find that  $\varphi' \bullet D$  is an  $m$ -integral of  $E$  and so  $E \in \text{IHS}_k^s(A; n; m)$ .

## References

1. Brown, W.C.: [On the embedding of derivations of finite rank into derivations of infinite rank](#). Osaka J. Math. **15**, 381–389 (1978).
2. Bourbaki, N.: Elements of Mathematics. Algebra II, chaps. 4–7. Springer, Berlin (2003)
3. Fernández-Lebrón, M., Narváez-Macarro, L.: [Hasse-Schmidt derivations and coefficient fields in positive characteristics](#). J. Algebra **265**(1), 200–210 (2003). [arXiv:math/0206261](#)
4. Hasse, H., Schmidt, F.K.: [Noch eine Begründung der Theorie der höheren Differentialquotienten in einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper einer Unbestimmten](#). J. Reine U. Angew. Math. **177**, 223–239 (1937)
5. Hoffmann, D., Kowalski, P.: [Integrating Hasse–Schmidt derivations](#). J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219**, 875–896 (2015)
6. Hoffmann, D., Kowalski, P.: [Existentially closed fields with  \$G\$ -derivations](#). J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **93**(3), 590–618 (2016)
7. Matsumura, H.: [Integrable derivations](#). Nagoya Math. J. **87**, 227–245 (1982)
8. Matsumura, H.: Commutative Ring Theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986)
9. Narváez Macarro, L.: [Hasse–Schmidt derivations, divided powers and differential smoothness](#). Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59**(7), 2979–3014 (2009). [arXiv:0903.0246](#)
10. Narváez Macarro, L.: [On the modules of  \$m\$ -integrable derivations in non-zero characteristic](#). Adv. Math. **229**(5), 2712–2740 (2012). [arXiv:1106.1391](#)
11. Narváez Macarro, L.: [Differential Structures in Commutative Algebra](#). Mini-course at the XXIII Brazilian Algebra Meeting, 27 July–1 August 2014, Maringá, Brazil
12. Narváez Macarro, L.: [Rings of differential operators as enveloping algebras of Hasse–Schmidt derivations](#). [arXiv:1807.10193](#)
13. Vojta, P.: [Jets via Hasse–Schmidt derivations](#). In: Diophantine geometry. CRM Series, vol. 4, pp. 335–361. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa (2007). [arXiv:math/1201.3594](#)