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# A NEW APPROACH TO INFLUENCE ANALYSIS IN LINEAR MODELS 

By J. MUÑOZ-PICHARDO<br>J. MUÑOZ-GARCIA<br>J.L. MORENO-REBOLLO<br>and<br>R. PINO-MEJIAS<br>Universidad de Sevilla


#### Abstract

SUMMARY. We propose a new approach to the study of influence in the General Linear Model based on conditional bias. This approach enables us to apply such an analysis to all particular cases of this model. The theoretical foundation, on which this approach is based, does not presuppose a particular hypothesis on the distribution of the variables. Applying the results obtained to the Multiple Linear Regression Model, measures of influence are obtained as already proposed by other authors. Finally we carry out an application of the results on the analysis of covariance.


## 1. Introduction

Influence Analysis arises when one is faced with the need to analyze the sensitivity of the results of a statistical analysis. This need is due to the fact that the conclusions of any analysis are drawn from methods based on sample observations and on asumptions on the underlying models of an experimentation.

On the whole, in order to measure the influence that one or a set of observations has on the statistical analysis, slight disturbances are introduced into the model and the changes produced are quantified. In the literature, the most common disturbance pattern is that of the omission of the observations whose influence is to be studied.

Cook (1987) tried to unify the problem under a general formulation which is valid for the different approaches and methods carried out on it. In this formulation, the key questions are the choice of the perturbation pattern and the choice of the model of comparison of the results obtained under the postulated model and the disturbed model.

[^0]On the other hand, Influence Analysis has developed mainly in the Multiple Linear Regression Model (Belsley, Kuh, Welsch, 1980; Cook and Weisberg, 1982; Cook 1986, 1987; Chatterjee and Hadi, 1986, 1988) and, by extension, in the Multivariate Regression Model (Caroni, 1987; Barret and Ling, 1992).

In this paper, we propose a new approach to study the influence on the General Linear Model (Kshirsagar, 1983) based on conditional bias. This approach permits us to apply such an analysis to all particular cases of this model. The theoretical foundation, on which this approach is based, does not presuppose a particular hypothesis on the distribution of the variables.

As a particular case, by moving the results obtained from the General Linear Model to the Multiple Linear Regression Model, we obtain measures of influence that have been already proposed by other authors (Belsley et al. (1980); Atkinson (1982); Cook and Weisberg (1982);). Finally we carry out an application of the results on the analysis of covariance.

## 2. Conditional bias in the general linear model

2.1 The general linear model : Notation. The study of the General Linear Model is of great importance within statistics mainly due to its wide range of applications. It is used as a model for the development of other models, such as the multiple regression, experimental designs, analysis of covariance, etc.

The general linear model is defined by

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \beta+\epsilon, \quad E[\epsilon]=0, \operatorname{Var}(\epsilon)=\sigma^{2} I_{n} \quad(\mathrm{GLM})
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is a random $n$-vector; $\mathbf{X}$ is a known $n \times p$ matrix with rank $r$ ( $r \leq p<$ $n$ ); $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $p$-vector of unknown parameters and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is an $n$-vector which represents the non-observable random perturbations.

The fitted values vector is denoted by $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{V Y}$, with $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{X S}^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}=$ $\left(\left(v_{i j}\right)\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, n}$ the prediction matrix, which is symmetric, idempotent, with rank $r$ and unique for any $\mathbf{S}^{-}$generalized inverse of $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ (Kshirsagar, 1983). The residuals vector is represented by $\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{M} \epsilon$, where $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{V}$, and the least squares estimators of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are denoted by $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$, respectively. On the other hand, the estimable linear parametric functions of $\beta$ and $\Lambda \beta$, where $\Lambda$ is a $q \times p$ matrix, with rank $(\Lambda)=q$, so that $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the BLUE vector of the components of $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Finally, given a collection of subindexes $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the $m$-vector composed of the components of $\mathbf{Y}$ subindicated by $I$ is denoted by $\mathbf{Y}_{I}$. Similarly, the matrix formed by the rows of $\mathbf{X}$ corresponding to the collection $I$ is denoted by $\mathbf{X}_{I}$, and the submatrix corresponding to $\mathbf{V}$ is represented by $\mathbf{V}_{I}=\mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}$. Likewise, the omission of the observations indexed by $I$ is indicated by the subindex ( $I$ ).
2.2. Conditional bias. The Decomposition Lemma from Efron and Stein (1981) expresses a statistic $T$ defined on a simple random sample $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ as
a finite sum, whose terms are given according to the conditional expectations of the statistic $T$ given the sample observations, which can be considered either individually or together. Taking this lemma as a reference, the following definitions are given.

Definition 2.1. Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be a random sample of the random variable $Y$, let $T=T\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ be a statistic defined on the sample, and let $y_{1}, \ldots y_{n}$ be a realization of the sample. The conditional bias of $T$ given the $i$-th observations is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[y_{1} ; T\right]=E\left[T \mid Y_{i}=y_{i}\right]-E[T] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditional bias $\mathcal{J}\left[y_{i} ; T\right]$ can be interpreted as the average effect that the realization of the $i$-th sample observation has on the statistic $T$. Therefore, it can be considered as a measure of the influence that such a sample realization has on $T$.

By generalizing this, we can define the conditional bias given a set of observations.

Definition 2.2. Under the conditions of Defintion 2.1, the conditional bias of $T$ given the set of observations $\left\{y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}}\right\}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}} ; T\right]=E\left[T \mid y_{i_{1}}=y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}}=y_{i_{m}}\right]-E[T] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it is considered to be a measure of the joint influence of observations $\left\{y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}}\right\}$ on $T$.
2.3. Conditional bias of the general linear model estimators. We shall now calculate the conditional bias for the BLUE of an estimate linear function of the parameter vector and for the estimator $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$.

For $\mathbf{Y}_{I}$, the conditional bias is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}}: T\right]=\mathcal{J}\left[y_{I} ; T\right]=E\left[T \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]-E[T] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that these $m$ observations are the last observations. Thus, the following decompositions are obtained

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{(I)}  \tag{2.4}\\
\mathbf{X}_{I}
\end{array}\right] ; \mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{Y}_{(I)} \\
\mathbf{Y}_{I}
\end{array}\right] ; \mathbf{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{V}_{(I)} & \mathbf{V}_{0} \\
\mathbf{V}_{0}^{\prime} & \mathbf{V}_{I}
\end{array}\right] ; \mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{M}_{(I)} & \mathbf{M}_{0} \\
\mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} & \mathbf{M}_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{V}_{0}=\mathbf{X}_{(I)} \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}, \mathbf{M}_{(I)}=\mathbf{I}_{n-m}-\mathbf{V}_{(I)}, \mathbf{M}_{I}=\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}-\mathbf{V}_{I}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{0}=-\mathbf{V}_{0}$. We assume that $\mathbf{V}_{I}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{I}$ are non-singular and that $n>r+m$.

In the following theorem, we obtain the conditional bias for the BLUE of an estimable linear function.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$ be an estimable linear function, with $\Lambda$ being a $q \times p$ matrix with rank $(\Lambda)=q$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[\mathbf{y}_{I} ; \Lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right]=\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Proof. } & E\left[\Lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]-E[\Lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] \\
= & \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-}\left\{E\left[\mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{I} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]+E\left[\mathbf{X}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}-\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]\right\}-\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
= & \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-}\left[\mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{I}+\mathbf{X}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{(I)} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]-\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
= & \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-}\left[\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]-\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}=\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.4. For $m=1$ and $I=\{i\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[y_{i} ; \Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]=\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{y}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i} \beta\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is the $i$-th row of $\mathbf{X}$.
The next lemma is useful to obtain the conditional bias for $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$.
Lemma 2.5. Given the partitioned matrices (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{(I)}=-\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right] \mathbf{X}_{I} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}$, then $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{X}=\Theta$, with $\Theta$ being the null matrix. Therefore $\mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{(I)}=-\mathbf{M}_{l} \mathbf{X}_{l}=-\left[\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right] \mathbf{X}_{I}$

Theorem 2.6. The conditional bias of $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$ given the set of sample observations indexed by I is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[\mathbf{y}_{I} ; \widehat{\sigma}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{n-r}\left\{\left[\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\left[\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]-\sigma^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ can be expressed in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-r}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{(I)} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)}+2 \mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)}+Y_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I} Y_{I}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides
$E\left[\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I} \mathbf{Y}_{I} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]=\mathbf{y}_{I}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right] \boldsymbol{y}_{I}$,
$E\left[\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]=\mathbf{y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{(I)} \boldsymbol{\beta}=-\mathbf{y}_{I}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right] \mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{(I)} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]=E\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{(I)} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k \notin I}\left(1-v_{k k}\right) E\left[Y_{k}^{2}\right]-\sum_{k \notin I} \sum_{s \notin I} v_{k s} E\left[Y_{k} Y_{s}\right]=\sigma^{2}\left[\sum_{k \notin I}\left(1-v_{k k}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{k \notin I}\left(1-v_{k k}\right)\right) \mathbf{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{2}-\sum_{k \notin I}\left[\sum_{s \notin I_{s \neq k}} v_{k s}\left(\mathbf{x}_{s} \beta\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sigma^{2}\left\{n-r-\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\right\}+\sum_{k \notin I}\left(1-v_{k k}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{2} \\
& -\sum_{k \notin I}\left[\left(1-v_{k k}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)-\sum_{j \in I} v_{k j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left\{n-r-\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\right\}+\sum_{j \in I}\left[\sum_{k \notin I} v_{j k}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left\{n-r-\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\right\}+\sum_{j \in I}\left[\left(1-v_{j j}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)+\sum_{t \in I I_{s \neq j}} v_{j t}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t} \beta\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left\{n-r-\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\right\}+\left[\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\left[\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the following is obtained

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[\widehat{\sigma}^{2} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{I}=\mathbf{y}_{I}\right]=\sigma^{2}\left\{1-\frac{1}{n-r} \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\right\} \\
\quad+\frac{1}{n-r}\left[\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}_{m}-\mathbf{V}_{I}\right]\left[\mathbf{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

from which we can deduce the proposed result.
Corollary 2.7. For $m=1$ and $I=\{i\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left[y_{I} ; \widehat{\sigma}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{n-r}\left[1-v_{i i}\right]\left\{\left[y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right]^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.4. An estimation of the conditional BIAS. In the results previously obtained we observe that the conditional bias depends on the unknown parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\sigma^{2}$. The following theorem offers an estimation of the conditional bias of an estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}=\widehat{\mathcal{V}}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ of a vector $\theta$ of parameters.

Theorem 2.8. Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be a random sample of the random variable $Y$, whose distribution depends on an unknown parameter $\theta \in \Omega \subseteq \Re^{p}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}=\widehat{\mathcal{V}}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ be an unbiased estimator of $\theta$ and let $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ be the unbiased estimator obtained from the sample with the omission of the observations $\left\{Y_{k} \mid k \in\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\widehat{\mathcal{V}}-\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)} \mid Y_{i_{1}}=y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{i_{m}}=y_{i_{m}}\right]=\mathcal{J}\left[y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}} ; \widehat{\mathcal{V}}\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result follows directly from the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)}$ is unbiased and does not depend on $Y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{i_{m}}$. Hence, we denote $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}-\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)}$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{m}} ; \widehat{\mathcal{V}}\right]$.

In order to estimate the conditional bias either of the BLUE of an estimable linear parametric function for the model (GLM), or of $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$, we must obtain the estimators corresponding to the model in which the observations indicated by $I$ are omitted.

The resulting linear model with such observations omitted is

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}=\mathbf{X}_{(I)} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{(I)} \quad[\operatorname{GLM}(I)]
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}, \mathbf{X}_{(I)}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{(I)}$ are the corresponding subvectors or submatrices associated with the set of subindexes $I$. For the model, the least squares estimators are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}=\mathbf{S}_{(I)}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)}+\left[\mathbf{I}_{k}-\mathbf{H}_{(I)}\right] \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \Re^{p} \quad \text { arbitrary } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{S}_{(I)}=\mathbf{X}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{(I)}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{(I)}=\mathbf{S}_{(I)}^{-} \mathbf{S}_{(I)}$,
Theorem 2.9. If $\Lambda \beta$ is an estimable linear function for (GLM), it is also for the model [GLM(I)]

Proof. We consider the following decomposition

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{I} \\
\mathbf{X}_{(I)}
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{V}_{I} & \mathbf{V}_{0} \\
\mathbf{V}_{0}^{\prime} & \mathbf{V}_{(I)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

As $V \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}$, then we obtain $\mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{X}_{I}+\mathbf{V}_{0} \mathbf{X}_{(I)}=\mathbf{X}_{I}$. Likewise, if $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable for the model (GLM), there exists a $q \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$, such that

$$
\Lambda=\mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{0} \\
\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{X}_{(I)} \Rightarrow \Lambda=\mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}_{(I)} \quad \text { where } \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{0} \\
\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is an estimable linear function for the model [GLM $(I)]$.
As a consequence, if $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is an estimable linear function for (GLM), then the BLUE for $[\operatorname{GLM}(I)]$ is $\Lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}$. The following results, Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 2.11, allow us to obtain the estimation of the conditional bias of $\Lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.

Lemma 2.10. Let A be a $p \times q$ matrix and $L$ be a $m \times p$ matrix ( $m \leq p$ ), such that $L$ belongs to the row space generated by the matrix $\mathbf{A}$, and the inverse of the matrix $\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}^{-} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}\right]$ exists. Therefore, the matrices

$$
\left\{\mathbf{A}^{-}-\mathbf{A}^{-} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}^{-} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}^{-}\right\}
$$

are generalized inverses of $\left[\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}\right]$.
Proof. It suffices to base the proof on Pringle and Rayner (1971), where the equalities $\mathbf{L A} \mathbf{A}^{-} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}=\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ verify if $\mathbf{L}$ belongs to the row space of A.

Theorem 2.11. The least squares estimators of $\beta$ in $[G L M(I)]$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}=\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}+\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}-\mathbf{H}\right) \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \Re^{p} \text { arbitrary } . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.10, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{S}_{(I)}^{-}=\left(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I}\right)^{-}=\mathbf{S}^{-}+\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-}
$$

on the other hand

$$
\mathbf{H}_{(I)}=\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I}+\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{I}-\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{X}_{I}=\mathbf{H}
$$

Therefore, based on (2.12), we obtain
$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(I)}=\left(\mathbf{S}^{-}+\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{I}\right)+\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}-\mathbf{H}\right) \boldsymbol{\nu}$
$=\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}\right)+\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}-\mathbf{H}\right) \boldsymbol{\nu}$
$=\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}+\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}-\mathbf{H}\right) \boldsymbol{\nu}$
The estimation of the conditional bias of $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$ is obtained in the following. Corollary 2.12.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[\mathbf{y}_{I} ; \Lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right]=\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In relation to the unbiased estimator of the parameter $\sigma^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-r-m}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}-\mathbf{X}_{(I)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}-\mathbf{X}_{(I)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between (2.9) and (2.15) is stated below,
Theorem 2.13. In the model [GLM(I)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-r-m}\left[(n-r) \widehat{\sigma}^{2}-\mathbf{e}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}\right] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proof. }\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}-\mathbf{X}_{(I)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}-\mathbf{X}_{(I)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)}\right]=\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{(I)}-\mathbf{Y}_{(I)}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{(I)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(I)} \\
&= {\left[\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{I}\right]-\left[\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}-\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I}\right]\left[\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}+(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}) \nu\right] } \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}) \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{I}+\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}+\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I} \boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}) \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{I}+\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{I} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}-\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}) \mathbf{Y}-\left[\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{1}\right]-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1}\right] \mathbf{e}_{I} \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}) \mathbf{Y}-\left[\mathbf{Y}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1}\right] \mathbf{e}_{I}=\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}) \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{e}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \\
& \quad(n-r) \widehat{\sigma}^{2}-\mathbf{e}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we can deduce what was previously stated.
Consequently, we obtain the estimation of the conditional bias of $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$.
Corollary 2.14.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{I} ; \widehat{\sigma}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{n-r-m} \mathbf{e}_{I}^{\prime} M_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}-\frac{m}{n-r-m} \widehat{\sigma}^{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Influence measures

Corollary 2.12 and 2.14 enable us to propose the following influence measures for the estimators $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$ and $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$ in the (GLM).
3.1 Influence measures on $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$. Based on Corollary 2.12,

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{l} ; \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]=\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \in \Re^{q}
$$

can be interpreted as a measure of the influence that the set of observations, subindicated by $I$, has on $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$. And,

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{i} ; \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]=\frac{e_{i}}{1-V_{i i}} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} x_{i}^{\prime} \in \Re^{q}
$$

can be interpreted as a measure of the influence that the $i$-th observation has on $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$.

These influence measures on the BLUE of an estimable linear function belong to the $q$-dimensional real space. So, in order to characterize the influence, a metric of generalized distance type will be applied, according to the characterization given by Barnett (1976).

Hence, given a symmetric, positive definite matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ and a positive scalar $c$, we define the $(\mathbf{Q}, c)$-norm of a vector $X$ as

$$
\|X\|_{(\mathbf{Q}, c)}=(1 / c) X^{\prime} \mathbf{Q} X
$$

Considering the matrix $\mathbf{Q}=\left(\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, with $\Lambda$ a $q \times p$ matrix such that $\Lambda \beta$ is linearly estimable, and an adequate choice of the scalar $c$, we can establish the following norms.
(I) For $c_{1}=q \widehat{\sigma}^{2}$,
$D_{I}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\| \mathcal{J}\left[y_{I}: \Lambda \widehat{\beta} \|_{( }{ }_{\left(Q, c_{1}\right)}\right.$, called $D_{I}^{*}$-DISTANCE associated with the set of observations $y_{I}$.
$D_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{I}: \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]\right\|_{\left(\mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)}$, called $D_{I}$-DISTANCE associated with the set of observations $y_{I}$.

Easily, we obtain the following expressions for $D_{I}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ and $D_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{I}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{q \widehat{\sigma}^{2}}\left[y_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right]^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}\left[\boldsymbol{y}_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right]  \tag{3.1}\\
D_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{q \widehat{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}}} \mathbf{e}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{X}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, in the study of the influence of a single observation, that is to say $I=\{i\}$, the $D_{i}^{*}$-distance and $D_{i}$-distance associated with $y_{i}$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{q \widehat{\sigma}^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\left[y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i} \beta\right]^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{q\left(1-v_{i i}\right)} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} r_{i}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i}^{2}=e_{i}^{2} /\left[\hat{\sigma}^{2}\left(1-v_{i i}\right)\right]$
Thus, we propose $D_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ and $D_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ as an influence measure of $y_{I}$ and $y_{i}$ on $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$, respectively. In the multiple Regression Model, $D_{I}[\widehat{\beta}]$ coincides with Cook's distance (Cook and Weisberg (1982)).
(II) For $c_{2}=\widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}$,
$W_{i}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\left\|\mathcal{J}\left[\mathbf{y}_{I} ; \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]\right\|_{\left(\mathbf{Q}_{,}, c_{2}\right)}$, called $W_{I}^{*}$-DISTANCE associated with the set of observations $y_{I}$.
$W_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{I} ; \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]\right\|_{\left(\mathbf{Q}_{,}, c_{2}\right)}$, called $W_{I}$-DISTANCE associated with the set of observations $y_{I}$.

Easily, we obtain the following expressions for these norms :

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{I}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}}\left[y_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right]^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}\left[y_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right]  \tag{3.5}\\
W_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}} \mathbf{e}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, if $I=\{i\}$ the $W_{i}^{*}$ and $W_{i}$ distances associated with the single observation are, respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{i}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\left[y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i} \beta\right]^{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
W_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{\left(1-v_{i i}\right)} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} t_{i}^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{i}^{2}=e_{i}^{2} /\left[\widehat{\sigma}_{(i)}^{2}\left(1-v_{i i}\right)\right]$.
Likewise, we propose $W_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ and $W_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ as an influence measure of $y_{I}$ and $y_{i}$ on $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$, respectively. In the Multiple Regression Model, $W_{I}[\widehat{\beta}]$ coincides with the squared Welsch-Kuh's distance (Belsley et al. (1980)).
(III) For $c_{3}=[r /(n-r)] \widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}$,
$C_{I}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\left\|\mathcal{J}\left[y_{I} ; \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]\right\|_{\left(\mathbf{Q}_{, c_{3}}\right)}$, called $C_{I}^{*}$-DISTANCE associated with the set of observations $y_{I}$.
$C_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{J}}\left[y_{I} ; \Lambda \widehat{\beta}\right]\right\|_{\left(Q, c_{s}\right)}$ is called $C_{I}^{*}$-DISTANCE associated with the set of observations $y_{I}$.

The expressions of these norms are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{I}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{\frac{r}{n-r} \widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}}\left[y_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right]^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime}\left[y_{I}-\mathbf{X}_{I} \beta\right] \ldots \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]=\frac{1}{\frac{r}{n-r} \widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}} \mathbf{e}_{I}^{*} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{I} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{I}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in the study of the influence of a single observation, the $C_{i}^{*}$ distance and $C_{i}$-distance associated with $y_{i}$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i}^{*}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}] & =\frac{1}{\frac{r}{n-r} \widehat{\sigma}_{(I)}^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\left[y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i} \beta\right]^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
C_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}] & =\frac{n-r}{r} \frac{1}{\left(1-v_{i i}\right)} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\left[\Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} \Lambda^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-} x_{i}^{\prime} t_{i}^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise, we propose $C_{I}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ and $C_{i}[\Lambda \widehat{\beta}]$ as influence measure of $y_{I}$ and $y_{i}$ on $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$, respectively. In the Multiple Regression Model, $C_{I}[\widehat{\beta}]$ coincides with the square modified Cook's distance (Atkinson (1982)).
3.2. Influence measures on $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$. Based on Corollary 2.14 we obtain the following influence measures on the unbiased estimator of the variance $\sigma^{2}$.

1. Measure of influence that the set of observations, indexed by $I$, has on $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$

$$
S M E D_{I}=\frac{1}{n-r-m} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{I}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{I}-\frac{m}{n-r-m} \widehat{\sigma}^{2} \in \Re
$$

2. Measure of influence that the $i$-th observation has on $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$

$$
S M E D_{i}=\frac{1}{n-r-1} \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{1-v_{i i}}-\frac{1}{n-r-1} \widehat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-r-1} \widehat{\sigma}^{2}\left[r_{i}^{2}-1\right] \in \Re .
$$

## 4. Application to the analysis of covariance

In this section the results previously obtained are applied to the analysis of Covariance model with one factor and two covariates using a data set taken from BMDP STATISTICAL SOFTWARE in the file EXERCISE.DAT (Frane, Jennrich and Sampson (1988)). The data are measurements corresponding to 40 people whose pulses were taken before and after running a mile. The following variables are measured :

ID (Identification number); SEX (Male, Female); SMOKER (Yes, No)
AGE (Subject's age in years); PULSE-1 (Pre-exercise pulse rate)
PULSE-2 (Post-exercise pulse rate)
The Analysis of Covariance of the variable PULSE-2 regarding the SEX factor and the covariates AGE and PULSE-1 can be formulated by the following linear model

$$
\operatorname{PULSE}_{2_{i j}}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\gamma_{1} A G E_{i j}+\gamma_{2} P U L S E_{1 i j}+\epsilon_{i j} \cdot \epsilon_{i j}=N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

where the subindex $i j$ represents the value of the corresponding variable on the $j$-th individual of the $i$-th group (SEX). We obtain that the BLUE's vector of the estimate linear function

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \beta=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{1} \\
\gamma_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\beta^{\prime}=\left[\mu \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right]$ is $\left[\widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}-0.2639 & 0.9977\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$. The influence analysis of each observation, taken individually, on the BLUE's vector applying the influence measures obtained from the conditional bias concept leads to the results recorded in Table 1, which are represented in Figures 1-3.

Table 1. INFLUENCE MEASURES $\left[\widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2}\right]^{\prime}$

| ID | D-DIST | W-DIST | C-DIST | ID | D-DIST | W-DIST | C-DIST |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.053 | 21 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.023 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 22 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.014 |
| 3 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.023 | 23 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| 4 | 0.031 | 0.031 | 0.553 | 24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 25 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.152 |
| 6 | 1.552 | 8.013 | 144.235 | 26 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.009 |
| 7 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.045 | 27 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.040 |
| 8 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.027 | 28 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.035 |
| 9 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 29 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| 10 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.063 | 30 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.204 |
| 11 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.139 | 31 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.168 |
| 12 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 32 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 13 | 0.072 | 0.071 | 1.279 | 33 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.237 |
| 14 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 34 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.013 |
| 15 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 1.473 | 35 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.129 |
| 16 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.421 | 36 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.289 |
| 17 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.099 | 37 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.283 |
| 18 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.127 | 38 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.509 |
| 19 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 39 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.307 |
| 20 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.052 | 40 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 |

We can use that the observation number 6 has a considerable influence on the BLUE's vector of the estimable linear function under consideration, which could mask the behaviour of the other observations. For this reason, Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 represent the data from Table 1, omitting observation number 5.

In these plots we can see that observations number 13 and 15 has greater influence than the rest of the observations, and for this reason, along with number 6, they should undergo a more detailed study.


Fig. 1. Plot of D-distances


Fig. 2. Plot of W-distances


Fig. 3. Plot of C-distances


Fig. 4. Plot of D-distances (deleted case 6)


Fig. 5. Plot of W-distances (deleted case 6)


Fig. 6. Plot of C-distances (deleted case 6)


Fig. 7. Plot of SMED


Fig. 8. Plot SMED (deleted case 6)

The influence measures, $\mathrm{SMED}_{i}$, on the unbiased estimator of the variance $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$, Table 2, are represented in FIG. 7 and FIG. 8. From these we can draw the conclusion that observation number 6 has a considerable greater influence on this estimator than the rest of the observations. However, observations 13 and 15 have very little effect on this estimation, as opposed to that obtained for the influence analysis on $\left[\widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2}\right]^{\prime}$.

Table 2. INFLUENCE MEASURE ON $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}$

| 1 | -9.164 | 11 | -2.864 | 21 | -10.394 | 31 | -2.843 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | -10.605 | 12 | -10.693 | 22 | -10.252 | 32 | -10.163 |
| 3 | -9.511 | 13 | -3.650 | 23 | -10.661 | 33 | 0.514 |
| 4 | -5.167 | 14 | -10.699 | 24 | -10.454 | 34 | -10.391 |
| 5 | -10.527 | 15 | -0.535 | 25 | -7.676 | 35 | -4.702 |
| 6 | 302.158 | 16 | -7.076 | 26 | -10.130 | 36 | -4.653 |
| 7 | -8.926 | 17 | 5.536 | 27 | -8.400 | 37 | -4.614 |
| 8 | -10.505 | 18 | -4.695 | 28 | -8.062 | 38 | -6.174 |
| 9 | -10.623 | 19 | -9.614 | 29 | -10.653 | 39 | -2.303 |
| 10 | -10.231 | 20 | -9.519 | 30 | -4.703 | 40 | -10.016 |

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, in this paper, we propose :
A new theoretical approach to the study of influence, that it can be extended to different statistical models. This approach is based on conditional bias of a given statistic $T$ and does not presuppose hypothesis on the underlying distribution.

Influence measures for the statistics of interest in the general linear model. So, we propose $D_{I}$-distance $W_{I}$-distance and $C_{I}$-distance to quantify the influence of observations indexed by $I$ on $\Lambda \widehat{\beta}$. For the linear regression model, the distances coincides with Cook's distance, squared Welsch-Kuh distance and modified Cook's distance, respectively. Nevertheless, these distances can be applied to all particular cases of (GLM). Finally, we propose a measure of the influence on $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}, S M E D_{I}$.
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