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ABSTRACT

Cisplatin and derivatives are commonly used as chemotherapeutic agents. Although the cytotoxic action of cisplatin on cancer cells is very efficient, clinical oncologists need to deal with two major difficulties, namely the onset of resistance to the drug and the cytotoxic effect in patients. Here, we used Caenorhabditis elegans to investigate factors influencing the response to cisplatin in multicellular organisms. In this hermaphroditic model organism, we observed that sperm failure is a major cause of cisplatin-induced infertility. RNA sequencing data indicate that cisplatin triggers a systemic stress response, in which DAF-16/FOXO and SKN-1/NRF2, two conserved transcription factors, are key regulators. We determined that inhibition of the DNA damage-induced apoptotic pathway does not confer cisplatin protection to the animal. However, mutants for the pro-apoptotic BH3-only gene ced-13 are sensitive to cisplatin, suggesting a protective role of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway. Finally, we demonstrated that our system can also be used to identify mutations providing resistance to cisplatin and therefore potential biomarkers of innate cisplatin-refractory patients. We show that mutants for the redox regulator trxr-1, ortholog of the mammalian thioredoxin reductase 1 TRXR1, display cisplatin resistance. By CRISPR/Cas9, we determined that such resistance relies on the presence of the single selenocysteine residue in TRXR-1.
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INTRODUCTION

The US Food and Drug Administration approved the use of cisplatin [CDDP, cis-diammine-dichloroplatinum(II)] as a chemotherapeutic agent in 1978. Since then, cisplatin and other platinum-based derivatives have been used successfully in cancer treatment. To illustrate their impact in the clinic, it has been estimated that approximately half of all patients undergoing chemotherapeutic treatment receive a platinum drug (Galanski, 2006). Cisplatin exerts activity against a wide spectrum of solid neoplasms, including testicular, bladder, ovarian, head and neck, gastric and lung cancers (Dasari and Bernard Tchounwou, 2014). Strikingly, testicular cancer was previously fatal, but treatment with cisplatin provided a cure for 80% of the patients (Gonzalez-Exposito et al., 2016). Despite its effectiveness, there are patients intrinsically resistant to cisplatin-based therapies, and an important fraction of tumors eventually develop chemoresistance (Amable, 2016).

Cisplatin is composed of a double-charged platinum ion surrounded by four ligands, two amines and two chlorides. Inside cells, the low chloride concentration facilitates cisplatin aquation, replacing chloride groups by water molecules. This process produces a hydrolyzed (or aquated) form of cisplatin that is a potent electrophile (attracted to electrons) that can react with any nucleophile, including nucleic acids and the sulphhydryl groups of proteins (Kelland, 2007).

Cisplatin activity has an impact in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm. In the nucleus, cisplatin produces DNA intra- and interstrand crosslinks that lead to apoptosis (Kelland, 2007). In the cytoplasm, owing to its electrophilic activity, cisplatin behaves as an oxidant (loss of electrons results in oxidation), binding to proteins, including mitochondrial proteins, and especially to thiol groups (–SH). Thus, cisplatin produces a reactive oxygen species homeostasis imbalance that leads to more oxidizing conditions, which violate normal cellular function and, ultimately, can also promote apoptosis (Wang and Lippard, 2005). As a result of its broad and unspecific mode of action, cisplatin also affects normal cells. Nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity and ototoxicity are some of the dose-limiting side effects reported upon cisplatin therapy (Kelland, 2007). Nevertheless, the major obstacle for the clinical efficacy of cisplatin as an anticancer drug is the chemoresistance developed by tumors rather than its toxicity in normal cells.

The acquisition of cisplatin resistance is multifactorial. The mechanisms by which tumor cells become resistant to the action of cisplatin have been classified into three types (Galluzzi et al., 2014): (1) pre-target mechanisms, i.e. reducing intracellular accumulation of cisplatin or increasing sequestration of cisplatin by nucleophilic scavengers as glutathione (GSH), metallothioneins and other cysteine-rich proteins; (2) on-target mechanisms, i.e. acquiring the ability to repair adducts or becoming tolerant to unrepaired DNA lesions; and (3) post-target mechanisms, i.e. hampering the execution of apoptosis in response to DNA damage (Siddik, 2003).
Caenorhabditis elegans is a well-established organism for the study of signaling pathways in response to drug exposure (Kaletta and Hengartner, 2006). Previous studies, performed in distinct biological contexts (Hemmingsson et al., 2010; Collis et al., 2006), have revealed the value of C. elegans to identify genes related to the cisplatin response (Table S1). Here, we show, for the first time, that cisplatin in C. elegans produces DNA adducts and a systemic response driven by two conserved transcription factors. We have uncovered a sperm-specific sensitivity to cisplatin and have demonstrated that resistance of the nematode to cisplatin relies on the presence of a single selenocystein of the thioredoxin reductase TRXR-1. Thus, this report is a more comprehensive study of the global response of C. elegans to cisplatin that also establishes a reliable methodology for future studies on mechanisms of resistance to cisplatin that would continue contributing to the search for new targets and markers for the benefit of cisplatin-based therapies.

RESULTS
A reliable assay to study the effect of cisplatin in C. elegans
In C. elegans nematodes, cisplatin produces a wide variety of phenotypes depending on the concentration, length of treatment and developmental stage of the treated animals (Tables S1 and S2). To implement a reliable methodology and systematically investigate the response of C. elegans to cisplatin, we established dose–response patterns during larval development, when cell divisions occur in somatic and germ cells. We exposed a synchronized population of L1 larvae on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates to different cisplatin concentrations for 96 h. We observed effects ranging from a developmental delay at 50 µg/ml to a larval arrest at 200 µg/ml (Fig. 1A). Based on this assay, we concluded that body length at 48 h post-L1, upon cisplatin exposure from 50 to 75 µg/ml, is a reliable indicator of the effect of cisplatin during C. elegans development (Fig. 1B). Thus, we established a methodology to investigate how distinct treatments or gene activities can influence the response of C. elegans to cisplatin.

Transcriptional response of C. elegans to cisplatin
To explore the global response of nematodes to cisplatin, we studied transcriptional signatures in RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) experiments. We performed this new transcriptome analysis using a mixed-stage nematode population that was treated for 24 h with 60 µg/ml of cisplatin, because this dosage of cisplatin produces some phenotypes, but does not compromise the viability of the animals. To reduce the number of false positives, we used two
biological replicates to compare the transcriptomes of treated and non-treated populations (Fig. 1C). We used the Cufflinks algorithm (Trapnell et al., 2012) to process the RNA sequencing data and study differential expression of genes. We identified a set of 83 genes upregulated and 78 genes downregulated by cisplatin in both experiments \((P \leq 0.05; \text{Table S3})\). A more restricted list of candidates, using a cut-off \(P\)-value of \(\leq 0.01\), included 28 genes upregulated and 29 downregulated upon cisplatin exposure.

To explore the functions of these 57 genes, we performed a gene ontology (GO) analysis and identified predicted protein domains (COG) (Tatusov et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2009) (Fig. 1D). Genes encoding CUB-like domains, C-type lectins and glutathione-S-transferases were found among the genes upregulated upon cisplatin exposure. In \(C.\ elegans\), the expression of such genes is associated with detoxification, redox balance, stress response and innate immune system, and it is often regulated by the transcription factors DAH-16 and SKN-1 (Singh and Aballay, 2009; Park et al., 2009; Yen et al., 2011; Tepper et al., 2013) (Table S4). We also detected an overlap with genes induced upon ionizing radiation (IR) (Gress et al., 2007; Schumacher et al., 2005a,b) (Table S4). Among those, we found genes involved in the apoptotic signaling cascade, including egl-1 and ced-13 (Gress et al., 2007). However, as described below, these two genes function in a distinct manner upon cisplatin exposure.

Of the 29 genes downregulated by cisplatin, we found that most of those were sperm-specific genes (Ortiz et al., 2014), with the Major Sperm Protein (MSP) domain as the most frequent GO candidates, using a cut-off \(P\)-value of \(\leq 0.05; \text{Table S3})\). A more restricted list of stress-induced genes strongly induced by cisplatin, and we validated this result using a GFP reporter strain, we observed that DAH-16 nuclear location increased upon cisplatin exposure in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3B), confirming the implication of the IIS pathway in the response to cisplatin. Strikingly, we found that half of the upregulated genes were previously reported as DAH-16 and/or SKN-1 targets (Fig. 3A).

The evolutionarily conserved insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS) pathway, through its main transcription factor DAH-16/FOXO, controls many different biological processes and regulates a wide variety of stresses, including starvation, oxidative stress (Honda and Honda, 1999), heavy metal toxicity (Barsyte et al., 2001) and ultraviolet radiation (Murakami and Johnson, 1996). DAH-16 is constitutively expressed and sequestered in the cytoplasm in its phosphorylated form. If the inhibitory phosphorylation is compromised, e.g. by reduced signaling of the DAH-2 receptor tyrosine kinase or stress conditions, DAH-16 will translocate to the nucleus. Using a DAH-16::GFP reporter strain, we observed that DAH-16 nuclear location increased upon cisplatin exposure in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3B), confirming the implication of the IIS pathway in the response to cisplatin.

Next, we investigated the extent to which the manipulation of the IIS activity could modify the response of the organism to cisplatin. We observed that \(daf-2(\text{e1370})\) mutants, which are animals lacking a functional DAH-2/IGF-1-like receptor that constitutively induce DAH-16 nuclear localization (Yen et al., 2011), display resistance to cisplatin during larval development (Fig. 3C). Consistently, \(dah-16(\mu 86)\) mutants were hypersensitive to cisplatin (Fig. 3C), highlighting the relevance of DAH-16 nuclear activity in the cellular response to cisplatin.

Differently from \(dah-2\) and \(dah-16\), \(skn-1\) is an essential gene hampering the use of loss-of-function mutants. To confirm the implication of SKN-1 in the response to cisplatin, we used a GFP reporter for one of its canonical targets, \(gst-4\). SKN-1 regulates the stress-induced \(gst-4\) transcription in the presence of redox active compounds, such as paraquat or heavy metals (Tawe et al., 1998; Roh et al., 2006). In our RNA-seq data, \(gst-4\) is one of the SKN-1-regulated genes strongly induced by cisplatin, and we validated this result using a \(gst-4\) transcriptional GFP reporter (Fig. 3D,E). Moreover, using \(skn-1\) RNA interference (RNAi) we confirmed that the cisplatin induction of \(gst-4\) expression was \(skn-1\)-dependent and \(dah-16\) independent (Fig. 3D,E). Thus, by studying the impact of cisplatin in the global gene expression we uncovered a systemic response of the organism that is driven by two conserved transcription factors. The fact that these transcription factors are
The BH3-only protein CED-13 protects against cisplatin

We demonstrate, for the first time, that cisplatin leads to the formation of DNA adducts in *C. elegans* (Fig. 4A) and induces the expression of two apoptosis-related genes, *egl-1* and *ced-13*, which encode BH3-only proteins, which are a subset of the Bcl-2 family that contain only a BH3 domain and promote apoptosis. *egl-1* and *ced-13* are transcriptionally induced upon DNA damage (also upon exposure to UV or IR; Stergiou et al., 2007), and this induction is CEP-1/P53 dependent (Greiss et al., 2008). *Y47G7B.2*, another cisplatin-induced gene in our RNA-seq, encodes a nematode-specific gene that is also upregulated upon DNA damage in a *cep-1*-dependent manner (Greiss et al., 2008). Thus, the effects of cisplatin on upregulation of apoptotic genes could be attributable to *cep-1*-dependent DNA damage.

*eql-1* is required for DNA damage-induced apoptosis in both somatic tissues and the germline (Gartner et al., 2000). We investigated *egl-1* induction upon cisplatin exposure in the soma using a GFP reporter strain (*egl-1*::GFP). During larval development, only 18 somatic cells of wild-type nematodes undergo apoptosis at the early L2 stage (Lettre and Hengartner, 2006). Strikingly, a 24 h cisplatin treatment in *C. elegans* (from L1 to L2) induced ectopic *egl-1*::GFP expression that was evident in somatic cells (Fig. 4B,C). Moreover, this cisplatin-induced *egl-1* ectopic expression was, at least in part, *cep-1* dependent (Fig. 4D).

In the canonical DNA damage-induced apoptotic pathway, *cep-1* is upstream of the BH3-only proteins EGL-1 and CED-13. However, *ced-13*, and its downstream gene *ced-3*, have an additional role in the intrinsic apoptotic pathway that promotes...
protective changes rather than killing damaged irreparable cells (Yee et al., 2014). Accordingly, we found that ced-13 and ced-3 mutants were sensitive to cisplatin, whereas two different cep-1 mutants were not resistant to cisplatin (Fig. 4E,F).

In summary, during C. elegans larval development, cisplatin induces the expression of egl-1 and ced-13, but their influence is distinct. Inhibition of the canonical DNA damage-induced apoptotic pathway by using cep-1 mutants does not produce animals resistant to cisplatin. On the contrary, ced-13 and ced-3 mutants are sensitive to cisplatin, underscoring a protective role of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway upon cisplatin exposure in somatic cells.

**TRXR-1 activity confers systemic sensitivity to cisplatin**

The majority of C. elegans genes related to cisplatin response published to date present cisplatin sensitivity if inactivated by RNAi or mutation (Table S1). The human orthologs of these genes are potential targets of therapies to re-sensitize cisplatin-resistant tumors. Given the clinical importance of identifying refractory patients, we wondered whether our system was also valuable for the study of genes whose inactivation confers resistance to cisplatin. In the clinic, mutations or single nucleotide polymorphisms in these genes could function as predictive markers of cisplatin response. In human cell lines, thioredoxin reductase 1 (TRXR1, also known as TXNRD1) selenocysteine amino acid is a direct target of cisplatin, producing cytotoxic and highly pro-oxidant TRXR1 forms called SecTRAPs, which lead to high redox stress resulting in cell death (Anestål et al., 2008). TRXR1 is one of the few selenoproteins [proteins that include a selenocysteine (SeCys) amino acid] in mammals, but TRXR-1 is the only selenoprotein in C. elegans (Li et al., 2012) and, in contrast to mammals, this protein is not essential...
for viability (Stenvall et al., 2011). Depletion of C. elegans trxr-1 does not produce phenotypes in terms of morphology, growth, lifespan, brood size or response to oxidative stress. It is essential only for larval molting when the glutathione reductase gene gsr-1 is inactivated in parallel (Stenvall et al., 2011). All these features make C. elegans an excellent multicellular system in which to study specifically the role of the SeCys residue in the response to cisplatin.

Fig. 4. Role of apoptotic pathways in the response of C. elegans to cisplatin. (A) Southern blot showing that 1,2-GpG-intrastrand crosslinks are raised upon cisplatin exposure of a C. elegans population. There is a dose-dependent accumulation of DNA adducts in the presence of cisplatin. NRK-52E rat cells, in which 1,2-GpG-intrastrand crosslinks are not present (Krüger et al., 2016), were incubated with cisplatin as a positive control. (B) Cisplatin induces egl-1 ectopic expression in somatic tissues during larval development. Representative images of L2 worms carrying a egl-1p::GFP reporter, untreated and treated with cisplatin (60 µg/ml for 24 h at 20°C). (C) Quantification of worms with ectopic egl-1p::GFP fluorescence in somatic cells of synchronized L1 larvae grown at the indicated concentrations of cisplatin for 24 h at 20°C. Bars represent mean and s.e.m. of three different experiments (n=50). **P<0.01 by Student’s t-test. (D) egl-1 ectopic induction in somatic cells requires cep-1/p53. Quantification of cells with ectopic egl-1p::GFP fluorescence of L1 larvae exposed to 60 µg/ml of cisplatin for 24 h at 20°C in wild-type and cep-1(gk138) mutants. Bars represent mean and s.e.m. of three different experiments (n=50). ***P<0.01 by Student’s t-test. (E,F) Inactivation of the cep-1/p53 apoptotic pathway does not increase cisplatin resistance. (E) Body length of L1 larvae of wild-type and distinct mutant alleles grown in cisplatin (60 µg/ml) for 48 h at 20°C. Bars represent mean and s.d. (n=50). This experiment was performed three times with similar results. (F) Relative values of growth delay were obtained by calculating the percentage of the difference in the body length before and after cisplatin exposure (non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. ***P<0.001; *P< 0.05; n.s., non-significant).
We tested the robustness of our model by studying the role of thioredoxin reductase 1 (trxr-1) in the C. elegans response to cisplatin. We used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to produce two endogenous TRXR-1 variants, trxr-1(cer4[U666C]) and trxr-1(cer5[U666STOP]), that change the selenocysteine for cysteine and a STOP codon, respectively (Fig. 5A). In a similar manner to the null mutant trxr-1(sv47), these missense mutations showed larval arrest, attributable to molting defects, in combination with gsr-1(RNAi) (Fig. 5B). Strikingly, both the null mutant and the point mutations without the selenocysteine produce resistance to cisplatin (Fig. 5C). Thus, both the developmental function and the capacity to promote cytotoxicity in the presence of cisplatin rely on the presence of the selenocysteine residue.

Therefore, the electrophilic activity of hydrolyzed cisplatin in the presence of selenocysteine might produce cytotoxic SecTRAP forms that are major drivers of the harmful effect of cisplatin on multicellular organisms (Fig. 5D).

We also explored the role of trxr-1 in sensitizing the animal to cisplatin. We found that trxr-1(sv47) confers resistance in germ cells and in somatic cells. Interestingly, by combining trxr-1(sv47) with daf-2 and daf-16 mutations, the IIS pathway is epistatic to the trxr-1 activity on cisplatin resistance (Fig. S1).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite three decades of clinical use and intense research, greater knowledge is necessary to understand, and ultimately control, the molecular events driving endogenous and acquired resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy (Dilruba and Kalayda, 2016). In this context, model organisms are platforms with growing interest in the study of the molecular, cellular and systemic responses to chemotherapeutic agents, but also to screen for new treatments (Nijman, 2015). Here, we reinforce the use of C. elegans to study the complex response of multicellular organisms to cisplatin. The function of C. elegans genes in the response to cisplatin has been shown in different biological contexts as adult survival or germline apoptosis (Table S1). After testing some of these contexts, we selected the body length of developmental larvae as a reliable and...
Nematodes are easy to synchronize at the L1 stage, and therefore we can study homogeneous populations during larval development, when somatic and germ cells are in active proliferation and apoptotic pathways functional.

The *C. elegans* germline is diverse in cell types, because it contains mitotic cells, meiotic cells, mature oocytes and sperm. We observed that the effect of cisplatin on fertility and cell cycle arrest in the mitotic germine is similar to that produced by other DNA-damaging insults, such as ionizing or ultraviolet C radiations (Gartner et al., 2004), suggesting a direct action of cisplatin on DNA that is supported by our southwestern blots for DNA adducts (1,2-GpG-intrastrand crosslinks). This cisplatin-induced DNA damage response (DDR) in the *C. elegans* germline has already been documented (van Haften et al., 2006; Meier et al., 2014; Honnen, 2017). In addition, however, we found that defective sperm is a major cause of the reduced *C. elegans* fertility observed upon cisplatin exposure at L4. At this stage, we hit oogenesis only partially, but our experiments with *fog-2* mutants suggest that sperm and oocytes are different in terms of resistance to cisplatin. Interestingly, cisplatin is particularly efficient for testicular tumors and causes a drastic effect on spermatogenesis and sperm in treated patients, but this harmful effect seems to affect primarily the chromatin and is reversible (Bujan et al., 2013). In females with ovarian cancer, cisplatin also affects gametogenesis, producing a reduction of the ovarian reserve (Chang et al., 2015). Detailed experiments need to be performed to gain a better understanding of the step at which gametogenesis is hampered in the presence of cisplatin. In this sense, the hermaphrodite condition of *C. elegans* is a clear advantage to study the effect of any given cisplatin dose on oogenesis and spermatogenesis in the same organism.

Our RNA-seq analyses revealed a transcriptomic signature in the presence of cisplatin. The cisplatin-responsive genes identified could be involved in cell autonomous mechanisms (cells have an individual response to cisplatin uptake) or in non-cell autonomous mechanisms (the effect in certain cells influences distant cells). Given that DNA is damaged by cisplatin, a cell-autonomous response occurs as a checkpoint mechanism to favor DNA repair (Gartner et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the vast majority of genes induced by cisplatin in our experiments are related to general stress responses and the innate immune system, which suggests a coordinated systemic response. According to the deregulated genes, this response has DAF-16 and SKN-1 as major drivers and has similarities to that obtained from nematodes exposed to IR or UV-C (Boyd et al., 2010; Greiss et al., 2008). DAF-16 activation positively regulates a wider spectrum of processes, such as stress resistance, innate immunity and metabolic adaptation (Singh and Aballay, 2009; Murphy and Hu, 2013), whereas the NRF2 *C. elegans* ortholog SKN-1 is an important factor in detoxification and response to oxidative stress, and its downregulation has been associated with hypersensitivity to different stressor agents (Blackwell et al., 2015).

Interestingly, immune and stress reactions upon DNA damage are common in mammals (Ermolaeva and Schumacher, 2013), and similar to what we observed in *C. elegans*, cisplatin induces the nuclear translocation of the DAF-16 homolog FOXO3 (Fernandez de Mattos et al., 2008). Human FOXO proteins are crucial regulators of a multitude of cellular functions (Yang and Hung, 2009), but our results encourage further exploration of the manipulation of the IIS pathway to control the cellular response to cisplatin.

The SKN-1 human ortholog NRF2 regulates the expression of stress-responsive genes, such as SOD and catalases, or phase II detoxification enzymes, such as glutathione transferases (Kaspar et al., 2009). NRF2 overexpression or hyperactivation provokes a direct effect in acquisition of resistance to a wide spectrum of anticancer drugs in many cancer types (Gañán-Gómez et al., 2013; Kaspar et al., 2009). Accordingly, increased nuclear NRF2 expression has been shown in cisplatin-resistant human bladder cancer samples (Hayden et al., 2014). On the contrary, inhibition of NRF2 sensitizes cisplatin-resistant A549 cells (Hou et al., 2015). These observations are concordant with our findings in *C. elegans*, suggesting that direct or indirect targeting of NRF2 should be explored for cisplatin-combined therapeutic interventions.

Our transcriptomic analyses uncovered the upregulation of *egl-1* and *ced-13*, which are related to apoptosis. Using an *egl-1p::GFP* reporter, we found ectopic expression in somatic cells. The apoptotic pathway in postembryonic somatic cells is not well described and might be different in each somatic cell type. In the canonical view of the DNA damage-induced apoptotic pathway, expression of the effector *egl-1* marks cells destined to die (Nehme and Conradt, 2008), and *cep-1/p53* is upstream (Schumacher et al., 2005a). However, *egl-1p::GFP* is still overexpressed in *cep-1/p53* mutants exposed to cisplatin, indicating that inhibition of the DNA damage-induced apoptotic pathway does not completely protect the animal from cisplatin toxicity. Likewise, blockade of apoptosis in adult worms through *cep-1/p53* inhibition does not have any effect on cisplatin sensitivity (Hemmingson et al., 2010). Thus, inhibition of the DNA damage-induced apoptotic pathway is not an efficient strategy for animals to hamper the global effect of cisplatin. Nonetheless, expression of *cep-1/p53* seems to be important for the full response to cisplatin, and *p53* is required for the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin in human glioblastoma cells (Park et al., 2006).

Surprisingly, we found that *ced-13* upregulation might have a protective role, because *ced-13* mutants are sensitive to cisplatin. Mutants of *ced-3*, a gene that is genetically downstream, are also sensitive to cisplatin. This result fits with the role of *ced-13* in the mitochondrial intrinsic apoptotic pathway that extends *C. elegans* longevity (Yee et al., 2014). It is possible that postmitotic cells, which are irreparable, activate *ced-13* activity to protect themselves from cisplatin. The role of *ced-13* might be dependent on the insult levels or vary in distinct cell types, because overexpression of *ced-13* in the soma induces cell death of somatic cells that normally survive (Schumacher et al., 2005a). In concordance with a protective role for *ced-13* and *ced-3*, the activity of these genes appears to protect dopaminergic neurons from the toxicity of an oxidative stress-inducing drug (Offenburger et al., 2018).

Thioredoxin system proteins are key players in many important cellular processes, including the maintenance of redox homeostasis (Arnér, 2009; Lu and Holmgren, 2014). Regarding the influence of thioredoxins in the cellular response to cisplatin, in mammalian cells it has been shown that a delicate balance exists between the cytotoxic effect of the direct interaction with cisplatin and the protective effect, maintaining the redox equilibrium (Anestål et al., 2008; Cebula et al., 2015). Studies in cell lines suggest that cisplatin cytotoxicity is promoted by a direct interaction between cisplatin and selenocysteine amino acids, leading to the consequent formation of SecTRAPs (Anestål et al., 2008). We confirmed the cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity of TRXR-1 and, generating two distinct missense mutations by CRISPR/Cas9, we demonstrated that this cytotoxicity relies on the presence of a single selenocystein (Sec) at the C-terminal of the protein. Interestingly, the presence of Cys instead of Sec in TRXR1 can be modulated by the presence of the micronutrient selenium in the diet of rats (Lu et al., 2009).
The multifactorial nature of cisplatin resistance hampers the finding of a unique solution to overcome the resistance of tumors to cisplatin. However, there are many parallels between the response of *C. elegans* and mammals to a cisplatin treatment that would facilitate the study in nematodes of particular genetic, metabolic and environmental factors influencing the resistance to cisplatin. This information would be of great help to identify predictive biomarkers and investigate new drugs to have more effective and personalized cisplatin-based therapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Nematode strains and general methods**

*Caenorhabditis elegans* strains were cultured and maintained using standard procedures (Porta-de-la-Riva et al., 2012; Sternermalle, 2006). The N2 (Bristol) strain was used as wild-type in all experiments, and the following alleles and transgenic strains were used in this study: BS553, fog-2(oz40) V; XF132, polh-1(f531) III; CB1370, daf-2(e1370) III; TJ1, cep-1(gk138) I; CE1255, cep-1(ep347) I; FX536, ced-13(tm536) V; MD792, ced-13(sv32) X; VB1414, trx-1(sv47) IV; CER170, trx-1(1er4[U666C]) IV; CER171, trx-1(1[er5[U666stop]) IV; CF1038, daf-16(mu86) I; TJ356, zhs356 [daf-16p::GFP + rol-6(su1006)] IV; WS1973, ols58 [egl-1p::2xNLS::GFP]; CER192, cep-1(gk138) I, ols56(egl-1p::GFP); and CL2166, dvl19 [pAF15(egr-4p::GFP::NLS)] III.

**CRISPR/Cas9**

To generate the *trx-1(1er4[U666C])* and *trx-1(1[er5[U666stop])* point mutation alleles, we targeted a site near the *seleniumc* gene with CRISPR/Cas9. To make the sgRNA expression plasmid, we cloned the annealed oligonucleotides into *Bsa*I-digested U6::sgRNA pMB70 (Waaijers et al., 2013). Repair templates containing desired modifications were designed by Gibson Assembly Cloning Kit (New England BioLabs) and cloned into the pBSK plasmid (Addgene). Oligonucleotide sequences used to generate the 5′ and 3′ 1.5 kb overlapping fragments are available on request. Both sgRNA and repair template were verified by sequencing using T4 and M13 primers, respectively. Young adult wild-type animals were injected with 30 ng/μl of sgRNA, 100 ng/μl of repair template, 30 ng/μl of Pef-3::Cas9 vector (Friedland et al., 2013) and 2.5 ng/μl of *Pmyo-2::tdTomato*. Single fluorescent progeny were isolated and screened for the presence of the mutation by PCR. We finally established and validated homozygous mutant lines by PCR and sequencing.

**RNA-sequencing analyses**

A mixed population of worms representing all stages and grown in standard conditions was exposed to 60 μg/ml of cisplatin. After 24 h at 20°C, cisplatin-treated worms and untreated control animals were washed with M9 buffer to remove bacteria, and total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol reagent (Ambion) followed by poly-A capture. Library construction and Illumina’s HiSeq 2000 technology sequencing was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions. Approximately 12 million reads (100 bp length) for each sample were processed and aligned using TopHat software to the *C. elegans* reference genome, version WBCe2235.74, to produce BAM files [Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database reference GSE11654]. These BAM files were analyzed with the SeqSolve NGS software (Integromics, S.L.), using a false discovery rate of 0.05 and filtering reads displaying multiple mapping sites. SeqSolve uses Cufflinks and Cuffdiff (Trapnell et al., 2012) programs to perform differential gene expression analyses between samples (*P*<0.005). Expression values were normalized in FPKM (fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped). Datasets of up- and downregulated genes were generated, comparing the differential gene expression analyses of two independent replicates (*P*<0.01 and *P*<0.05).

**Cisplatin assay during larval development**

A synchronized population of L1-arrested larvae was cultured on NGM plates containing fresh OP50 and 60 μg/ml of cisplatin (Sigma). The body length of ≥50 worms for each condition was measured at 48, 72 and 96 h at 20°C at the stereomicroscope using NIS-Elements 3.2 imaging system. The subsequent analyses were performed with 60 μg/ml of cisplatin in the same conditions except for assays containing *daf-2(e1370) strain*, which were performed at 15°C, measuring the body length after 4 days of incubation to avoid temperature-related developmental delay. Each assay was done in duplicate, and at least two biological replicates were performed. Nonparametric Student’s *t*-test (GraphPad Prism 5) was used to determine the significance of differences in the mean.

**Analysis of the effect of cisplatin on the germline**

To analyze the effect of cisplatin on the germline, a synchronized population of L4-stage animals grown in standard conditions (39 h at 20°C) was transferred onto NGM plates containing 60 μg/ml cisplatin. After 24 h of incubation at 20°C, worms were washed in PBS and germlines dissected in worms anesthetized with 3 mM of levamisole in PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with DAPI (0.6 μg/ml). These stained gonads were photographed using a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti-S inverted microscope. For germ cell quantification in the proliferative region, the total number of cells in a single z-stack within 50 μm of the distal end of the gonad was counted. At least 15 germlines were counted for each experiment.

**Brood size and unfertilized oocytes**

To perform the self-fertilization assays, a synchronized population of L3-stage worms was exposed to NGM plates, with addition of fresh OP50 and 0, 60 or 100 μg/ml of cisplatin for 24 h. A total of 12 worms from each condition were selected onto fresh OP50 plates, counting the progeny and the number of oocytes laid after 3 days. A similar protocol was performed for crossing assays, where we used either a male-enriched population in the case of N2, or the male–female population of the *fog-2(oz40)* allele. After 24 h of exposure to cisplatin, a total of 12 genetic crosses were performed for each condition, placing one hermaphrodite, or female in the case of *fog-2(oz40)*, and four males on fresh OP50 plates, letting them mate and lay progeny for 3 days. This experiment was performed in duplicate. A nonparametric Student’s *t*-test was used to determine the significance of differences in the mean.

**In vivo intracellular localization of DAF-16**

To determine the subcellular localization of DAF-16, a synchronized L2-stage population of worms carrying the DAF-16::GFP transgene (TJ356) was transferred to M9 buffer containing 0, 90 or 180 μg/ml of cisplatin for 5 h at 20°C. Then, the worms were washed in M9 and mounted on a microscope slide containing a 2% agar pad, using a drop of 3 mM levamisole to anesthetize them. We quantified the DAF-16 subcellular localization by considering as ‘nuclear’ worms showing a mainly nuclear GFP accumulation along the whole body, as shown in Fig. 3B. A total of 50 worms were observed in each one of three independent replicates.

**egl-1p::GFP expression assays**

To determine ectopic *egl-1* expression, a synchronized L1-stage population of *egl-1p::GFP (WS1793)* transgenic worms were grown on NGM plates containing fresh OP50 and 60 μg/ml cisplatin plates for 24 h at 20°C. For *in vivo* observation, worms were recovered with M9 buffer, washed and mounted on a microscope slide containing a 2% agar pad, using a drop of 3 mM levamisole to anesthetize them. We used a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti-S inverted microscope to quantify the number of cells showing an ectopic GFP signal. These experiments were performed three independent times.

**RNAi and in vivo gst-4 expression assay**

*daf-16* and *skn-1* RNAi clones used in this study were obtained from the ORFeome library (Rual et al., 2004). RNAi by feeding was performed in standard conditions, using NGM plates supplemented with 50 μg/ml ampicillin, 12.5 μg/ml tetracycline and 3 mM IPTG. To analyze *gst-4* induction, a synchronized L1-stage population of *gst-4p::GFP* reporter strain was grown on plates containing the corresponding RNAi clone for 24 h at 20°C. Then, worms were transferred to new RNAi plates including 0 or 60 μg/ml cisplatin for 24 h. Then, worms were recovered with M9 buffer, washed and mounted on a microscope slide containing a 2% agar pad, using a drop of 3 mM levamisole to anesthetize them. A Nikon ECLIPSE Ti-S inverted microscope was used for *in vivo* observation, and image analysis
was performed using ImageJ software. Average pixel intensity was calculated by sampling 30 worms in each assay.

**Southwestern blot analysis for Pt-DNA adducts**

The southwestern blot analysis was performed using DNA extracted from a synchronized population of *C. elegans* after 24 h incubation with or without cisplatin. Genomic DNA (0.5 μg each sample) from *C. elegans* and from NRK-52E rat cells (positive control) was isolated using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen), denatured by heating (10 min, 95°C) and cooled on ice. After adding 100 μl ice-cold ammonium acetate (2 M), the DNA was transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, which was previously soaked in 1 M ammonium acetate. After washing (1 M ammonium acetate and water), the membrane was baked for 2 h at 80°C before being blocked in 5% non-fat milk in TBS/0.1% Tween 20 overnight at 4°C. Incubation with the primary antibody directed against Pt-DNA adducts (1:2000; Abcam; ab103261) was conducted for 1 h at room temperature. Visualization of the antibody signal was done by chemiluminescence (Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System). Additionally, the membrane was stained with Methylene Blue (MP Biomedicals) to ensure equal DNA loading.

**Genetic interaction with gsr-1 assay**

This procedure was performed as described previously (Stennell et al., 2011). Five L4 worms of the corresponding genotype were transferred to plates containing gsr-1(RNAi) bacteria for 24 h at 20°C. Then worms were transferred to new fresh gsr-1(RNAi) plates, allowed to lay eggs for 12 h and then removed. After 3 days at 20°C, larval arrest was analyzed only on day 2 plates.
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