DNA Adenine Methylation Regulates Virulence Gene Expression in Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium
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Transcriptomic analyses during growth in Luria-Bertani medium were performed in strain SL1344 of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and in two isogenic derivatives lacking Dam methylase. More genes were repressed than were activated by Dam methylation (139 versus 37). Key genes that were differentially regulated by Dam methylation were verified independently. The largest classes of Dam-repressed genes included genes belonging to the SOS regulon, as previously described in Escherichia coli, and genes of the SOS-inducible Salmonella prophages ST64B, Gifsy-1, and Fels-2. Dam-dependent virulence-related genes were also identified. Invasion genes in pathogenicity island SPI-1 were activated by Dam methylation, while the fimbral operon std was repressed by Dam methylation. Certain flagellar genes were repressed by Dam methylation, and Dam− mutants of S. enterica showed reduced motility. Altered expression patterns in the absence of Dam methylation were also found for the chemotaxis genes cheR (repressed by Dam) and STM3216 (activated by Dam) and for the Braun lipoprotein gene, lppB (activated by Dam). The requirement for DNA adenine methylation in the regulation of specific virulence genes suggests that certain defects of Salmonella Dam− mutants in the mouse model may be caused by altered patterns of gene expression.

In gammaproteobacteria, 5'-GATC-3' sites serve as targets for DNA adenine methyltransferase (or Dam methylase). The genome of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium contains over 19,000 GATC sites (41), and a similar number are found in Escherichia coli (4). After DNA replication, Dam methylase introduces a methyl group at the N6 position of adenosine moieties in the newly synthesized strand, thereby generating methylated DNA (35, 37, 40, 66). Because the Dam methylase protein trails the replication machinery at a small distance, hemimethylated DNA is usually short-lived (40). At certain GATC sites, however, methylation of the newly synthesized strand is hindered by binding of proteins that protect GATC sites from Dam methylase, such as the GATC-binding protein SeqA, the leucine-responsive regulatory protein (Lrp), and the redox-sensitive regulator OxyR (20, 35, 66). Methylation protection can either cause a temporary delay in methylation or generate GATC sites that are stably hemimethylated or unmethylated (35, 66).

When a GATC site is embedded within a protein-binding sequence, its methylation state can affect protein-DNA interactions (46). For instance, the mismatch repair endonuclease MutH is active only on hemimethylated or unmethylated GATC sites, while the replication initiator DnaA binds to the chromosome replication origin only when the appropriate GATC sites are methylated (27). At certain promoters, the methylation state of specific GATC sites regulates binding of either RNA polymerase or transcription factors (66). Dam methylation can activate or repress transcription, and the regulatory GATC sites can be located in the promoter itself (51), in upstream regulatory regions (8, 24), or in an operator overlapping the coding sequence (20, 63). The classes of published Dam-regulated genes of E. coli and Salmonella can be assigned to the following categories. (i) Genes with transcription coupled to the cell cycle, such as the transposase gene (tnp) of insertion element IS10 (51), the trpR gene of E. coli (39), and the traJ gene of the Salmonella virulence plasmid (8). In these examples, Dam methylation acts as a transcriptional repressor, and transcription is activated by hemimethylation. In other cases, Dam methylation can activate transcription in a cell-cycle-coupled fashion. For instance, the p2 promoter of the E. coli dnaA gene is activated by GATC methylation and remains inactive if hemimethylated (5, 33). (ii) Genes regulated by Dam methylation patterns. Paradigms of this class are genes subjected to phase variation, such as the pap operon of uropathogenic E. coli (24), the E. coli agra43 gene (20, 63), and the pef operon of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (44). In these examples, binding of a regulatory protein to hemimethylated DNA results in methylation hindrance, and the hemimethylated GATC sites become unmethylated after two replication rounds (20, 24, 63).

In many (perhaps all) Dam-regulated promoters, GATC hemimethylation and unmethylation have similar phenotypic effects: for instance, the hemimethylation-activated tnp(IS/0) and traJ promoters are also active in a Dam− background (8, 51), and the hemimethylated-repressed dnaA2 promoter remains inactive in a Dam− host (5, 33). In turn, the absence of
Dam methylation abolishes phase variation in _agr_43 and _pap_ and locks both loci in the off state (20, 23). The equivalence of GATC hemimethylation and unmethylation at Dam-regulated promoters facilitates the detection of Dam-regulated loci by comparing gene expression in Dam⁺ and Dam⁻ hosts. One such genetic screen, based on the use of _MudJ_-generated _lac_ fusions, permitted the first description of a Dam-regulated locus in _S. enterica_ (60). In the last few years, classical genetic screens have been superseded by high-throughput functional genomic methods (19, 25, 58, 67). In _E. coli_, DNA microarray analysis of the transcriptome, combined with two-dimensional electrophoretic analysis of the proteome, permitted the identification of genes showing impaired expression in Dam⁺ and Dam⁻ hosts (36, 45, 50). The overall number of _E. coli_ genes regulated by Dam methylation remains controversial and ranges from 17 known genes (36) to several hundred genes, many of which have unknown functions (45, 50). Furthermore, the vastly different growth conditions chosen in these studies may explain some of the differences.

Dam⁻ mutants of _S. enterica_ serovar Typhimurium are severely attenuated in the mouse model (16, 21) and display a plethora of virulence-related defects: reduced secretion of invasion proteins, which impairs invasion of cultured epithelial cells (16); reduced cytotoxicity after infection of _M. cells_ (16); inefficient colonization of Peyers patches and mesenteric lymph nodes (21, 47); and envelope instability accompanied by leakage of proteins that may activate the host immune system (48). This study describes the first transcriptomic study of Dam methylation in _Salmonella enterica_ serovar Typhimurium and provides evidence that Dam methylation regulates the invasion genes of pathogenicity island I (SPI-1), the Braun lipoprotein gene, flagellar genes, and the fimbral operon _stdABC_. Tentative correlations can thus be established between specific alterations of gene expression and certain virulence defects of _Salmonella_ Dam⁻ mutants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids.** The strains of _Salmonella enterica_ listed in Table 1 belong to serovar Typhimurium. All strains derive from SL1344 (26) unless specified otherwise. The wild type and the mutants used for microarray analysis were derived from the same isolate of SL1344 used for other transcriptomic analyses (14, 38). Strain SV2403 carries a _MudJ_ element inserted in the coding sequence of the _dam_ gene (59). Strain JH3294 carries an in-frame deletion in the _dam_ coding sequence, constructed by the procedure of Datsenko and Wanner (12). The oligonucleotides used for this construction and the external primers (dam-E1 and dam-E2) used to verify the predicted deletion are available at http://alqametios.us.es/genbac. Transfer of transposon-tagged alleles between _Salmonella_ strains was carried out by P22 HT transduction (54). P22 HT transduction was also used for transfer of the _dam_ deletion generated by the procedure of Datsenko and Wanner (12) to a clean SL1344 background. Once transduced, the kanamycin resistance cassettes were used to integrate plasmid pCP20 (12), rendering an unmarked deletion. The _dam_ gene of _Salmonella_ was disrupted by gene targeting using the procedure of Datsenko and Wanner (12). The oligonucleotide pairs used for these constructions and the external ("E") PCR primers employed to verify the predicted deletions are available from the authors. FRT sites generated by excision of kanamycin resistance cassettes were used to integrate plasmid pCE37 (13), generating transcriptional _lac_ fusions.

**Culture media and conditions.** Unless otherwise indicated, the experiments described in this study were carried out with cultures grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium. Solid LB medium contained agar at a 1.5% final concentration. Antibiotics were used at the final concentrations described elsewhere (61). For complementation analysis using the _dam_-carrying plasmid pIZ999, IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) was used at a final concentration of 1 mM. Motility assays were carried out in LB medium prepared without yeast extract (17). Solid motility medium contained agar at a 0.25% final concentration (17).

**RNA isolation, microarray procedures, and data analysis.** To prepare cells for RNA extraction, 25 ml of fresh LB medium was inoculated at 1:100 from an overnight bacterial culture and grown in a 250-ml flask incubated with shaking at 250 rpm in a New Brunswick Innova 3100 water bath at 37°C. Three biological replicates were performed for each strain, and RNA was extracted at an optical density at 600 nm of 0.3 (mid-exponential phase). RNA was extracted using Promega's SV 96 total RNA purification kit. RNA quality was assessed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (38). Transcriptomic analyses were performed on a SALSA microarray that contained the 5,000 open reading frames (ORFs) identified from the partial sequence of _S. enterica_ serovar Typhimurium SL1344. Unpublished genome sequence data from strains SL1344, DT104, and PT4 were obtained from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/pathogens/Salmonella). Regions unique to each strain were identified by comparison with the published _S. enterica_ genome (PubMed identifier [PMID] 11677669) using MUMmer (PMID. 14759262). Putative coding sequences within these regions, as identified using Glimmer (PMID, 10556321), were used in the design of the SALSA microarray. Hybridization, microarray scanning, and data analysis were performed as described previously (32), using a false-discovery rate of 0.1.

**β-Galactosidase assays.** Levels of β-galactosidase activity were assayed as described by Miller (42), using the CHCl₃-sodium dodecyl sulfate permeabilization procedure.

**Subcellular fractionation and Western analysis.** Bacteria grown statically overnight at 37°C in LB medium were spun down by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was filtered through Millipore 0.2-μm-pore-size filters, and extracellular proteins were precipitated by a 10% trichloroacetic acid/acetone washing procedure (31). To prepare membrane extracts, pellets of bacteria were resuspended in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer, pH 7.4, and disrupted by sonication. Unbroken cells were further removed by low-speed centrifugation (5,000 × g; 5 min; 4°C). The supernatant was centrifuged at high speed (200,000 × g; 15 min; 4°C); the pellet containing envelope material was suspended in PBS buffer, pH 7.4, and an appropriate volume of Laemmli buffer (60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% SDS).
2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.002% bromophenol blue) was then added. For the analysis of the bacterium-associated SipC content, the bacterial pellet was directly suspended in an appropriate volume of PBS buffer, pH 7.4, and Laemmli buffer was then added. All samples were heated (100°C; 5 min) and cleared by centrifugation (15,000 × g; 5 min; room temperature) before being loaded into gels. Proteins were resolved by SDS-Tris-Tricine electrophoresis using 10% acrylamide gels (53) and transferred onto polyvinylidene-difluoride membranes using a semidy rect electrophoresis transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). Specific proteins were detected with the following primary antibodies: polyclonal rabbit anti-StdA (28) and polyclonal rabbit anti-SipC (48). Goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated to peroxidase was used as a secondary antibody to detect specific proteins by the ECL assay (Amersham Biosciences Europe, Cerdanyola, Spain).

Motility assays. Cultures were prepared as described elsewhere (10). At the stage of mid-exponential growth, a sterile toothpick was soaked in the culture background and used to inoculate a motility agar plate. Bacterial growth halos were compared after incubation at 37°C.

RESULTS

Transcriptomic analyses of Dam methylation-dependent gene expression. Wild-type (SL1344) isogenic Dam− mutants (JH3294 and SV4203) and a JH3294 derivative carrying a dam− complementing plasmid were grown to mid-exponential phase in LB medium.

Two distinct Dam− mutants were studied: one had a deletion of the complete dam coding sequence; the other had a Mudy insertion inside the dam gene. Changes in gene expression patterns were detected by comparing each Dam− mutant with the wild type, and differences putatively caused by absence of Dam methylation were confirmed by complementation. Because overproduction of Dam methylase reproduces certain phenotypes of Dam− mutants (40, 60), complementation was achieved with a low-copy-number plasmid carrying the S. enterica serovar Typhimurium dam gene (pIZ999). Only genes which showed altered transcription in both Dam− strains after statistical filtering were taken into account. Overall, the mRNA levels of 139 genes were >2-fold higher in both Dam− mutants, and the mRNA levels of 37 genes were >2-fold lower in both Dam− mutants (data available at http://alojamientos.us.es/genbac). Higher activity in a Dam− background indicated that Dam methylation represses gene expression in the wild type. In turn, lower activity in a Dam− background indicated that Dam methylation activates gene expression in the wild type. The relevant transcriptomic data can be summarized as follows.

(i) SOS regulon genes. A number of genes belonging to the SOS response regulon (e.g., umuD, yebG, yebE, yebF, and ysdB) showed higher activity in a Dam− background, in accordance with data previously described in an E. coli transcriptomic study (36). Because SOS induction in the absence of Dam methylation is a well-known phenomenon in both E. coli and Salmonella (18, 40, 60), increased SOS gene expression in Dam− mutants provided useful confirmatory data for our transcriptomic analyses.

(ii) Prophage genes. Genes belonging to the ST64B, Gifsy-1, and Fels-1 prophages showed increased expression in a Dam− host. Increased gene expression in the absence of Dam methylation was especially remarkable in ST64B: most of the prophage genes were affected (see the data for 11 arbitrarily chosen ST64B ORFs in Fig. 1).

(iii) Conjugal-transfer genes. The transfer operon (tra) of the virulence plasmid (pSLT) showed increased expression in a Dam− host, confirming previous reports (7, 60, 61).

(iv) Virulence-related genes. A number of virulence-related genes showed differential Dam-dependent expression (Table 2). Genes that were potentially related to previously known phenotypes of Dam mutants (e.g., reduced invasion of epithelial cells and increased release of proteins [16, 48]) were chosen for further study. Additional virulence-related genes regulated by Dam methylation, not included in Table 2, were STM3216, which encodes a putative chemotaxis protein (64), and the STM3026 and STM4261 ORFs, which are required for colonization of bovine ileal loops (43). STM3216 and STM4261 are activated by Dam methylation, while STM3026 is a Dam-repressed locus (data not shown).

Regulation of std limbal genes. Among the Dam-repressed genes, stdA and stdB showed the highest levels of Dam-dependent regulation (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Significant derepression in a Dam− background was also found for stdC and to a lesser extent for the downstream genes STM3026 and STM3025 (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Dam-mediated repression of the std operon was confirmed by Western hybridization: large amounts of StdA protein were found in both the membrane and the supernatant of Dam− strains grown in LB, while little or no StdA protein was found in the wild type (Fig. 3). Repression of std by Dam methylation is not only observed in strain SL1344; iden-
TABLE 2. Dam methylation-dependent virulence genes identified by transcriptomic analyses of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein function</th>
<th>(\text{dam}^a)</th>
<th>(\text{dam}:\text{Mut}^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fltC</td>
<td>Flagellar structural protein</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fltD</td>
<td>Flagellar capping protein</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lppB</td>
<td>Braun lipoprotein</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prgH</td>
<td>SPI-1 TTSS structural protein</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prgI</td>
<td>SPI-1 TTSS structural protein</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sipB</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic cell invasion protein</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sipC</td>
<td>SPI-1 translocated effector protein</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sipD</td>
<td>SPI-1 translocated effector protein</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sica (sipE)</td>
<td>SPI-1 translocated effector protein</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pipC</td>
<td>SPI-1 protein, unknown function</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cheR</td>
<td>Response regulator for chemotaxis</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdA</td>
<td>Fimbrial protein</td>
<td>147.60</td>
<td>139.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdB</td>
<td>Outer membrane usher protein</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>17.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdC</td>
<td>Fimbrial chaperone</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM3025</td>
<td>Putative cytoplasmic protein</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM3026</td>
<td>Putative outer membrane protein</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dam methylation is an activator for genes with a relative expression value below 1.0 and a repressor for genes with values above 1.0.

The effect of Dam methylation upon the production of SipC protein was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 4B). More SipC was found in the bacterium-associated fraction of Dam\(^-\) than in Dam\(^+\) hosts, providing further evidence that Dam methylation regulates SipC synthesis.

Transcriptomic evidence that other SPI-1 genes were also activated by Dam methylation (Table 2) prompted the analysis of additional SPI-1 genes using transcriptional lac fusions. For similar types of functional redundancy have been reported for other fimbrial operons, and a current view is that alternative adhesins can replace the loss of individual fimbrial types (62). The \(stdABC\) operon is repressed in LB medium and becomes up-regulated in bovine ileal loops (28). Our observations suggest that Dam methylation may be a key factor for repression of the \(stdABC\) operon outside the intestinal milieu, and they are supported by a previous study showing that StdA is one of the most abundant protein species in a Dam\(^-\) strain (1). In silico examination of the \(std\) DNA sequence reveals the existence of a cluster of GATC motifs upstream of the putative \(std4\) promoter: three GATC motifs in a 25-bp interval, a density 10-fold higher than expected from a random distribution (data not shown). This GATC clustering is reminiscent of that of other Dam-regulated genes, in which the methylation state of critical GATC sites affects the binding of regulatory proteins (6, 20, 24, 63). Accordingly, we speculate that Dam methylation might repress \(stdABC\) transcription either by preventing the binding of a transcriptional activator or by favoring the binding of a transcriptional repressor.

**Regulation of pathogenicity island 1 genes.** Several genes of SPI-1 were shown to be Dam activated (Table 2). Use of a \(sipaC\)-lac transcriptional fusion (2) confirmed that expression of the \(sipaC\) gene is activated by Dam methylation (Fig. 4A). Transcription of \(sipaC\) was low in exponential cultures and increased as the culture entered the stationary phase, as previously described (56). Activation of \(sipaC\) expression by Dam methylation was also more pronounced in stationary-phase cultures: \(sipaC\) expression appeared to be insensitive to growth phase-dependent activation in a Dam\(^-\) host (Fig. 4A). This pattern of expression is typical of genes repressed by Dam hemimethylation, which does not occur in the absence of DNA replication and so is absent in stationary-phase cultures. Hence, our data suggest that \(sipaC\) expression may be repressed by Dam hemimethylation during active growth.

The effect of Dam methylation upon the production of SipC protein was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 4B). More SipC was found in the bacterium-associated fraction of Dam\(^-\) than in Dam\(^+\) hosts, providing further evidence that Dam methylation regulates SipC synthesis.

Transcriptomic evidence that other SPI-1 genes were also activated by Dam methylation (Table 2) prompted the analysis of additional SPI-1 genes using transcriptional lac fusions. For...
this purpose, hilA::lac, hilC::lac, invF::lac, sipB::lac, sicA::lac, and prgH::lac fusions were constructed. As expected (56), all fusions were expressed at low levels in exponential-phase cultures and at higher levels in stationary-phase cultures (note the different scale in the top panel of Fig. 5 than in the other two panels). Assays were also carried out under so-called “optimal conditions” for SPI-1 expression (static cultures in LB medium supplemented with 0.3 M NaCl [56]). The differences found between Dam+/H11001 and Dam−/H11002 hosts were as follows. (i) In exponential cultures, all fusions but prgH::lac were expressed at lower levels in a Dam−/H11002 background, as previously found for sipC (compare Fig. 4 and 5). (ii) All fusions, including prgH::lac, showed Dam-dependent regulation in both stationary-phase and static cultures, and the differences between Dam+/H11001 and Dam−/H11002 hosts were larger than in exponential-phase cultures (Fig. 5).

These results suggest that the hilA, hilC, invF, and sipB genes (and, to a lesser extent, sicA) may undergo Dam-dependent regulation in a manner similar to sipC (compare Fig. 4 and 5). A slightly different expression pattern was found for prgH::lac, which showed Dam-dependent regulation only in stationary-phase cultures. These observations confirm the occurrence of Dam-dependent regulation in seven genes of SPI-1 and suggest the possibility that expression of the whole island might be under Dam control, albeit with slight differences from gene to gene.

Regulation of motility and chemotaxis. We discovered that two flagellar structural genes (fliC and fliD) were more highly expressed in a Dam− background (Table 2 and data not shown), suggesting that these loci are repressed by Dam methylation. Use of a transcriptional fliC::lac fusion confirmed the occurrence of repression by Dam methylation and raised the possibility of activation by GATC hemimethylation: (i) in the wild-type, fliC expression decreased in stationary-phase cultures; (ii) a dam mutation caused derepression of fliC in both exponential- and stationary-phase cultures (Fig. 6).

The observation that certain flagellar genes were Dam re-
pressed suggested that unregulated expression of flagellar genes might impair motility in Dam− strains. Furthermore, reduced motility has been described in Dam− mutants of *E. coli* (45). Hence, the motilities of Dam+ and Dam− isogenic strains (SL1344 and SV4203, respectively) were compared. The growth rates of these strains were different: on average, the doubling time of the Dam− strain in liquid motility medium was 10 to 15% higher (data not shown). However, reduced halo formation on motility plates (an example is shown in Fig. 6) suggests that Dam− strains of *S. enterica* do have a motility defect, probably similar to that described in *E. coli* (45). At first sight, it may seem surprising that overexpression of structural flagellar genes can result in reduced motility. However, the complexity and the hierarchical structure of the flagellar regulon is known to require tight and coordinated regulation of gene expression. For instance, transcription of the filament genes *fliC* and *fliB* does not take place until a flagellar motor is available (11). It is thus conceivable that unregulated expression of flagellar genes in a Dam− background (affecting *fliC* and perhaps other loci) (Table 2 and data not shown) can interfere with the orderly process of flagellar assembly.

**DISCUSSION**

Genes regulated by Dam methylation were first described 2 decades ago in *E. coli* and more recently in *Salmonella enterica* (37, 40, 66). The current list of Dam-regulated loci includes genes involved in DNA replication, transposition of insertion elements, metabolism, synthesis of fimbrial and nonfimbrial adhesins, and conjugal-plasmid transfer (66). When Dam− mutants of *Salmonella enterica* were found to be avirulent in the mouse model (16, 21), the possibility that Dam methylation might also regulate the expression of virulence-related genes was considered. The basis for this hypothesis was the observation that *Salmonella* Dam− mutants displayed multiple defects during animal infection, suggesting that virulence attenuation was multifactorial (16, 21). Furthermore, a relevant phenotype of *Salmonella* Dam− mutants, inefficient invasion of epithelial-cell cultures, was found to be correlated with altered secretion patterns of invasion proteins (21). Based on these antecedents, we undertook the study of transcriptional profiles in Dam+ and Dam− strains of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium in the hope of identifying *Salmonella* virulence genes regulated by Dam methylation.

Transcriptomic analyses of Dam+ and Dam− strains were carried out in LB medium. The reason for this choice was that most virulence defects so far described in *Salmonella* Dam− mutants affect the intestinal stage of infection (16, 21), and LB medium is believed to mimic (to a certain extent) the extracellular environment typical of the intestinal lumen. RNA isolation for transcriptomic analysis was performed in exponential cultures, to ensure that cells contained both methylated and hemimethylated DNA; the DNA of nondividing cells is mostly methylated. Under these conditions, transcriptomic analysis identified 176 *Salmonella* genes that showed differential expression in Dam+ and Dam− hosts. These Dam-regulated loci fell into two classes. (i) Genes that were up-regulated in Dam− mutants, indicating that Dam methylation represses their expression. This class was the most abundant (139 genes), as was observed in *E. coli* (36). Relevant examples of Dam-repressed loci are the fimbrial genes *stdA*, *stdB*, and *stdC*; the flagellar genes *fliC* and *fliD*; the chemotaxis gene *cheR*; a group of genes belonging to the SOS regulon; and an even larger number of genes of the ST64B, Gifsy-1, and Fels-1 prophages of *S. enterica* strain SL1344 (Table 2 and supplemental data available at http://alojamientos.us.es/genbac). (ii) Genes that were down-regulated in Dam− mutants, indicating that Dam methylation activates their expression. Relevant members of this class, which included 37 loci, are *sipC* and other invasion genes of pathogenicity island 1 and the Braun lipoprotein gene, *lppB* (Table 2 and data not shown).

The finding of the SOS regulon as a major subclass among Dam-repressed genes in *S. enterica* agrees with a report for *E. coli* (36). In fact, constitutive SOS induction is a well-known trait of Dam− strains in both *E. coli* and *Salmonella* (40, 60, 66). The effect of Dam methylation on SOS gene expression is, however, indirect: in the absence of Dam-directed strand discrimination, the mismatch repair MutHLS complex produces double-strand DNA breaks, and SOS induction occurs (18). An exception is the cell division gene *stdA*, whose expression is regulated directly by Dam methylation and also responds to SOS control (40).

Genes belonging to *Salmonella* prophages ST64B, Gifsy-1, and Fels-1 showed increased expression in Dam− mutants, suggesting that these prophages undergo increased rates of passage from lysogeny to the lytic cycle in the absence of Dam methylation. Spontaneous prophage induction is well known in *E. coli* Dam− mutants and seems to be an indirect consequence of SOS induction (40). Evidence exists that the increased expression of *Salmonella* ST64B, Gifsy-1, and Fels-1 prophage genes detected in a Dam− background may be caused by SOS activity: a recent study has described SOS-dependent prophage induction of the ST64B prophage (1), and similar observations have been carried out for the Gifsy-1 and Fels-1 prophages (A. Serna, L. Bossi, and J. Casadesús, unpublished data).

The most spectacular example of repression by Dam methylation detected in our study involved the *std* fimbrial operon. In Dam− mutants, the *stdA* mRNA level was found to increase 140-fold (Table 2 and data not shown). Smaller but significant mRNA increases were also found for the downstream genes *stdB*, *stdC*, STM3026, and STM3025 (Table 2, Fig. 2, and data
not shown). The marked decrease in mRNA content detected in the distal genes of the std operon may reflect natural polarity, a phenomenon frequently observed in polycistronic units, or different stabilities of specific mRNA regions. Whatever the case, the 140-fold difference in std operon expression observed between Dam⁺ and Dam⁻ strains of S. enterica is, to our knowledge, the largest effect of Dam methylation on gene expression ever described. Western analysis of StdA protein contents in Dam⁺ and Dam⁻ strains confirmed that Std fimbrae are not produced in the wild type while large amounts are synthesized in Dam⁻ mutants (Fig. 3). Hence, Dam methylation seems to be a key factor for regulation of the std operon, which is known to be tightly repressed outside the intestinal lumen (28).

Especially relevant examples of virulence loci activated by Dam methylation are genes of pathogenicity island I (Table 2 and Fig. 4 and 5). The need for Dam methylation to activate the expression of SPI-1 genes seems to provide a straightforward explanation for the reduced secretion of SPI-1 effectors typical of Salmonella Dam⁺ mutants and for their deficient interaction with the intestinal epithelium (16). Furthermore, Dam⁻ mutants of S. enterica show reduced motility and altered expression patterns of flagellar genes (Fig. 6), as previously found in E. coli (45). Because flagella and chemotactic proteins are involved in the interaction between Salmonella and the intestinal epithelium (30, 52, 57), reduced motility might contribute to attenuation of Dam⁺ strains upon oral infection of mice. An additional factor that might cause reduced motility in the absence of Dam methylation is lowered transcription of the lppB gene (15). Decreased synthesis of the lppB gene product, Braun lipoprotein (15, 55), might also cause deficient anchoring of peptidoglycan to the outer membrane, which has been postulated as a possible cause of envelope instability in Dam⁻ mutants (48). Leakage of proteins and release of membrane vesicles may contribute to the ability of S. enterica Dam⁻ mutants to elicit strong immune responses in animals, a trait that has been successfully exploited for the design of vaccines (22).

The molecular mechanisms that regulate the virulence genes described above remain to be investigated. In the case of the stdABC operon, clustering of GATC sites upstream from the promoter suggests the possibility of transcriptional control by a trans-acting regulator sensitive to the methylation state of the clustered GATCs, a mechanism found in other Dam-regulated genes (66). The complex regulatory patterns of both SPI-1 (29, 34) and the flagellar-gene network (11) means that it is premature to suggest mechanisms for Dam-dependent regulation at this stage. Classical examples of gene regulation by Dam methylation involve control of transcription initiation (35, 37, 40, 66). However, the possibility that Dam methylation controls the elongation of certain transcripts has been considered (49). An intriguing case of postranscriptional regulation mediated by Dam methylation has been also presented (3), suggesting the existence of Dam-dependent cell functions involved in mRNA turnover, mRNA translation, or protein degradation. This view is supported by the example of FinP, a small regulatory RNA involved in translational regulation whose synthesis is regulated by Dam methylation (9).

The list of Dam-regulated genes of S. enterica identified in this study is inevitably incomplete, because the choice of conditions to compare expression in Dam⁺ and Dam⁻ hosts can be expected to influence the outcome of transcriptomic screens. Dam methylation is not a direct regulator of gene expression but a mechanism that controls DNA-protein interactions (66), and the levels of many transcriptional regulators vary depending on physiological and environmental conditions. For instance, the oxygen concentration has a significant influence on the regulation of certain E. coli genes by Dam methylation (45). Hence, additional Dam-regulated genes may be discovered when transcriptomic analyses are carried out under growth conditions other than LB-grown exponential cultures.

The finding that specific virulence defects of Dam⁻ mutants can be correlated with altered gene expression patterns does not imply that gene regulation is the only virulence-related role of Dam methylation in Salmonella. Note that Dam methylation is also required for strand discrimination by the MutHLS system, which repairs bacterial DNA damage induced by host-synthesized compounds during animal infection (47). Hence, the avirulent phenotype of Salmonella Dam⁻ mutants must be viewed as a combination of defects in both DNA repair and virulence gene expression.
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