Quality labels and institutional density in the agro-food sector: the case of Andalusia (Spain)
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Abstract

Short title: Quality labels and institutional density in the agro-food sector of Andalusia

This paper analyses the gradual increase on the use of quality labels in rural areas of Europe and the effect of Institutional Density (ID) over them within the agro-food sector. This producer’s strategy is related to three different but related processes: i) changes in the global markets, ii) new consumer patterns, iii) transformation of the rural policies. Such scenario partly explains the increase of labelling food products to add value as an economic strategy in rural areas, but not their success or failure. The contribution of this paper is to analyse representative cases of two different quality food labels: Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) and Organic Agriculture (OA) in relation to the level of ID that supported their implementation. We chose Andalusia (Spain) due to the economic centrality of its agro-food sector and for being one of the European areas with greater presence of development agencies. The data was collected during fieldwork, and quantitative and qualitative techniques were implemented.
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1. Introduction

The increasing implementation of quality food labels schemes represents a new trend in European rural areas. This tendency is partly due to the over-exposure of consumers to the unpleasant consequences of the agro-industrial model – such as the mad cow disease or water pollution – in the mass media, which has increased risk perception on a global level (Beck, 1992; Adam et al., 2000; Levenstein, 2012). This information opened up public debates on production and distribution systems as well as the control systems which guarantee the safety of the products. One of the outcomes of this new reality is that consumers are willing to buy food produced in specific ecosystems by local know-how and embedded in local and national history; these products are perceived as safer, more natural and better quality (Espeixt, 1996; Nygard and Storstad, 1998).

This increase is also part of a new economy rooted in a new set of values, which is creating a transitional movement known as “quality turn” (Ploeg et al., 2000; Goodman, 2003, 2004; Ploeg and Renting, 2004; Sonnino and Marsden, 2006; López y Aguilar, 2013), implying a slow but steady switch from mass production agriculture to quality production, and redefining the function of rural areas in Europe. The new European rural development policies facilitate these kinds of quality certification initiatives, as they offer new opportunities for producers and institutions to unfold their projects. The appearance and later unfold of these certifications is related with the territorial focus of rural development policies that started up in 1992. This approach redefined “territory as support” to “territory as a resource”, linking new initiatives with local
The proliferation of distinguishing signs with varied specifications (PDO, Fair Trade, OA, etc.) is the subject of a wide range of studies. Some scholars study the promotion of these food products with the new rural economy, related to the producers’ economic strategies. These strategies are considered feasible for reducing farming surpluses, boosting the less competitive, poorer regions socioeconomically, and providing small farms and companies with a tool to differentiate their products and compete in global markets (Knickel and Renting, 2000; Miele and Pinducciu, 2001; Banks and Marsden, 2001; Pugliese, 2001; Tregear et al., 2007; Ploeg and Marsden, 2008). The role of regional food in rural development projects has also been analysed by other scholars in order to understand its potential (Bessiere, 1998; Murdoch et al., 2000; Marsden and Smith, 2005; Lozano, 2011; López y Aguilar, 2012; López, 2014; López y Pérez, 2014). These approaches highlight the capacity this production has to activate other assets in the territory and thereby boost the economic, social and cultural benefits of these actions locally. This could lead to the emergence of “nested markets” (Costanigro et al., 2009). Other lines of work have revealed its importance in improving the relation between agriculture and the environment (Kaltoft, 1999), the positive impact it has on reducing contamination and its contribution to creating more sustainable farming systems (Rigby and Cáceres, 2001). On a more micro-social level there are other views focused on the relation between food and territory. These approaches empirically deal with a number of cases of local production systems and their relation with these strategies. They highlight the importance of “know-how” (Requier-Desjardins, et al. 2003; Muchnick et al., 2007; Aguilar et al., 2009; Bowen, 2010), and the creation of horizontal and vertical networks for internal coordination of local actors implementing these kind of strategies (Murdoch, 2000; Boucher et al., 2006; Tregear et al., 2007; Lozano y Aguilar, 2012). There is another line of work which analyses the effects of these labels in the “Global Value Chain”. In this case, the quality labels are understood as ways of governance (Ponte, 2009), and there are three main interpretations: as “driverness” (Gereffi and Korzeniewicz, 1994), as “coordination” (Gereffi et al. 2005), and as “normalisation” (Gibbon and Ponte 2005). Finally, there is the approach of consumer behaviour studies, which focus on consumers’ response to products with quality labels (Wierenga et al., 1997; Bonnet and Simoni, 2001; Laroche et al., 2001; Solomon et al., 2006; Paul and Rana, 2012).
for labelling strategies in Andalusia. We close with a summary of the findings and recommendations for further research.

2. Material and methods

Quality labels are, from an analytical point of view, new “institutional agreements”. This means that there are new rules and requirements for the actors involved in producing, processing and consuming the labelled products. The process of setting these new rules is an exercise of (1) re-thinking and (2) re-designing the reality where the product is embedded. Quality labels, for the actors that create them also are economic strategies, which seek to market their products with a better position in the globalized economy. We seek to understand complex processes from an internal and analytical point of view; hence, we chose an actor-oriented approach to study this new tendency (Long, 2001). Our research was divided into three different phases: documents and literature review, fieldwork, and data analysis. We implemented qualitative and quantitative techniques during long periods of fieldwork in two observational units in Andalusia: Sierra de Cádiz y Sierra de Segura (Jaén). We choose these units for two different reasons: (1) their long experience in rural development policy implementation, and (2) the importance of quality labelling strategies in their agro-food industry.

One of the key aspects of our research was to choose the right categories of actors. We identified three groups: (1) technical managers and politicians, (2) local associations, and (3) rural entrepreneurs. Technical managers and politicians are those who manage and supervise the implementation of rural development projects and programmes, such as LEADER+. Their position gives them first-hand information about the resources and needs of local population, and entrepreneurs in particular. The representatives of associations and societies are involved in the implementation of these labels and work as a platform for the previous group. Rural entrepreneurs are the most important group; they are the main actors of the analysed processes. In this category we have included those who are involved in projects related to labelling strategies, those who applied for them, and those who did not participate in the project or apply for them.

A total number of 127 semi-structured interviews were carried out: 79 to rural entrepreneurs, 26 to technical managers/politicians and 22 to associations. Participant observation complemented the data collection phase and supported our later interpretation of fieldwork data. This technique gives a better insight and generated the trust needed to obtain the information during the formal interviews.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Quality agri-food products and labelling strategies

The promotion and protection of local food products through quality labelling strategies is a practice with a long tradition in the Mediterranean countries. In fact, labelling systems, such as Geographical Indications (GIs), were already regulated in southern countries in the 1920s. These early strategies aimed to protect and preserve specific products from particular areas. The regulation was first designed for the wine sector and later expanded to other products. Portugal, France, Spain and Italy were, and still are, pioneers in this kind of regulation. Indeed, it was the admission to the EU of southern countries in the eighties that increased pressure for EU regulations. In 1992, the first Quality Scheme framework came into force, and it was reformed in 2006 and 2012. PDOs are currently governed by the Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and the Council of 21 November 2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs.

It is important to point out that this strategy has gained great importance in Spain and this fact is directly related to the new alimentary context and to the role of the new rural territories. GIs are the most acknowledged quality food labels in Europe, and the products with these labels are subjected to very strict Codes of Practices. These codes seek to perversely and assure that the products incorporate the inherent qualities attributed to their specific territories and “know-how”. These qualities can be either natural (climate, ecosystem, geography) or cultural (local techniques, traditional knowledge, history). According to the EU current regulation, it is the final amount of these specific, intangible characteristics that makes a product suitable for protection.

OA is another certification with a long tradition in Europe. Spain was the third country in the EU to pass a specific law on OA in 1988. Three years later, the EU also approved a common regulation (CEE 2092/91). In 2007 the European Council of Agricultural Ministers approved the Council Regulation (EC) No. 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products.

1 These categories of local actors also answer to the new logic of rural governance (Wiskerke et al., 2003; Pérez y Aguilar, 2013), and to the requirements of the interface analysis (Long, 2001).

2 According to some scholars (Ventura et al., 2006), these new admissions also changed the CAP perspective, increasing support on Mediterranean products. Before this, the CAP used to support products which were characteristic of northern European countries, such as cereals and livestock.
products. This European framework defines the requirements which products and foodstuffs have to meet in order to be suitable for organic certification. In relation to this label, it is important to point out that quality is not defined by the link with the territory, but with a wide variety of specific momentous previous to consumption: agricultural inputs and techniques, transformation, inspection protocols, marketing, etc. This legislation mainly aims to guarantee quality and traceability of organic products and foodstuffs. The label of OA has achieved international reputation in the last decades, with Spain being an active participant in its growth. Nowadays, Spain has the 7th largest organic farming area in the world and the 1st largest organic farming area in Europe (Willer and Kilcher, 2011).

3.2. Quality food labelling strategy in Andalusia

Labelling quality food products in Andalusia is of the new set of rural development practices that have risen over the whole continent (Ploeg et al., 2002). Local Actions Groups (LAGs) and public administrations support and use them as tools for that.

Olive oil PDOs are paradigmatic examples of this institutional support. The first generation of PDOs in this sector was created at the beginning of the 80s. They were limited to mountainous areas because these certifications were conceived as a strategy to make less productive olive trees profitable (Sanz and Macias, 2005). It is important to notice that in most cases the same traits that limits olive grove productivity may increase the quality of the oil, e.g., the location of the olive trees in highlands gives them an optimum soil draining, good product exposure, which reduce the risk of plagues. A rural entrepreneur from the Sierra de Segura, the first Andalusian territory to get an olive oil PDO in 1979, told us why they opted for this strategy: “We couldn’t compete with other olive tree production areas where the average production is double or triple compared to ours and the costs are half, 50%. So, it was impossible to compete. Therefore, we had to compete in another sector, which was the quality sector”

(President of Olive Oil Cooperative, 56 years old).

This panorama began to change substantially from the year 2000. 14 new PDOs were approved by the Spanish regulations since them. This meant that in 15 years, the area registered under this label increased by five. This large increase of olive oil PDOs is related to the reorientation process of the CAP towards multi-functionality and the promotion of quality production and, to the implantation of the EC LEADER Initiatives. Since the implementation of LEADER in 1991, this programme has changed the institutional map and administrative approach to rural areas in Andalusia. Nowadays, Andalusia is divided into 52 counties or rural development areas, where 52 LAGs coordinate and promote rural development projects and initiatives. These new actors were conceived as territorial coordinators and promoters, and they have unfolded a high level of institutional thickness in rural areas (Amin and Thrift, 1995).

The importance of the agro-food sector in Andalusia and the appearance of the “quality turn” encouraged the LAGs to become interested in promoting GIs to create added value for the local economy of their territories. LAGs are involved in the establishment and later development of olive oil PDOs in different ways. On the one hand, they have financially supported Regulatory Boards and have helped them with new infrastructures, marketing, advertising and coverage. On the other hand, they have led the process in most cases, and have been able to mobilize and coordinate different local actors. In some cases, as Sanz and Macías (2005) explained, the institutional network created to support the establishment of a PDO can go even further than the LAG, including the administration of a natural protected area or a university.

Olive Oil PDO Sierra de Cádiz is one of the clearest examples of the close connection and commitment between LAGs and PDO Regulatory Boards. This group belongs to the first generation of LAGs in Andalusia, and their activities started up with the LEADER I Initiative. They encouraged the creation of this PDO because they understood that PDOs increase local producers’ profits by adding value through exclusive territorial monopoly. They created an association to work as a platform to apply for the PDO for the area, and brought everyone together to negotiate the conditions and characteristics the olive oil would have. Even more, they manage to get involve the municipality of Olvera in the project, which gave free access to a public building for the laboratories and office of the PDO. At the same time, the LAG has approved modernisation projects for every mill that is part of the PDO using the LEADER Initiatives. In addition, since the creation of the PDO in 2002, the LAG’s manager is also the president of the Regulatory Board. In this sense, it can be said that LAG assumed most of the “Transaction Costs” (Harris et al., 1995) of this PDO, facilitating the process for local producers. Many of the actors interviewed have made comments about this point: “It would have been impossible for us to get it

---

3 The authors are aware that a new regulation on organic farming and labelling is currently under study within the EU, however, we do not consider it because it was not enforced at the time of our research.
Without them (LAG) and the Regional Minister of Agriculture we would never have gotten our PDO” (PDO technical manager, 33 years old).

However, the current reality of the PDO Sierra de Cádiz is uncertain. On the one hand, the sector is facing strong competition as the number of PDOs increases in Andalusia and the rest of Spain. On the other hand, farmers do not feel compensated by the rise in their costs of production introduced to assure the quality of the oil. This is why there has been an increase in the number of PDO Sierra de Cádiz companies who have abandoned the Regulatory Board and returned to the conventional system.

Another clear example of the link between these actors, the PDOs and the territory development agencies are the olive oil PDOs Sierra Sur and Campiñas de Jaén. These PDOs achieved state acknowledgement in 2006 thanks to a campaign carried out by their LAGs and the interest of the producers in the area to get a PDO. We should point out that, unlike other PDOs in the province of Jaén, these were not located in mountainous regions but in the countryside, which means that the olive crops were more productive and their level of technological advancement and intensification was higher. However, the territorial names established for both PDOs Sierra Sur and Campiñas de Jaén did not previously exist or refer to any specific, historical or geographically constituted territory and both initiatives were created by their respective LAGs. However, the EU rejected the request of Olive oil PDO Sierra Sur in 2009, claiming that there was indeed dissociation between designation, territory and product. This rejection logically caused the withdrawal of the second initiative. Later on, several LAGs and the Regional Government tried to save these initiatives by unifying all the olive grove areas in Jaén under the same label –Protected Geographical Indication Aceite de Jaén– instead of the current fragmentation under different PDOs. The name of the province has been taken as a brand label, since this territory have a renowned prestige nationally and internationally, and is the largest producer in the world making up between 15 to 20% of the total production worldwide. However, this project was also abandoned since did not fulfil the regulations.

The increasing number of accepted olive oil PDO processes in Andalusia after the implementation of the LEADER Initiatives highlights the influence that this new institutional framework has on the process of quality food labelling. It is possible to talk about two different historical moments on this practice. The first moment answer to the time previous to the LAGs and the redefinition of rural development policies; the projects were led by the producers, responded to a genuine economic strategy of distinction in order to compete with other generic olive oil producers, and came from areas with a distinct historical character and a strong link between the territory and the olive oil. The second phase is characterised by the intervention and leadership of the LAGs; they led these actions and are in charge of coordinating and encouraging the farmers. The interest in having a distinctive label of territorial production led to a proliferation of these initiatives and an automatic reproduction of a model which would have needed a previous analysis of the potential of each and every case.

The situation of the PDO Sierra de Cádiz can be explained in this context. It has been the result of a local top-down initiative and a political agenda, which was facilitated by the existence of the public funding from the EU. This process shows to what extent the origin of these initiatives can be a burden to the objective of territorial development, and how dissociated can they be from their “natural” protagonist; the farmers. The process was dominated by the LAGs’ interest to get a quality label that make reference to their territorial development, and they did not take into account the williness of the farmers to assume the challenges involved in readapting their facilities to the new standard defined by the Regulatory Board on production, packaging, marketing and sales level. In this case, the high level of ID did not support the creation of a successful local quality scheme, even more; it worked as a platform for the enforcement of political agendas that was far from the real needs of the sector. Therefore, the implementation of this kind of initiatives can be overturned when the power relationship between the actors involved is not similar.

3.3. Labelling strategies and organic agriculture

Andalusia plays an essential role in organic agriculture of Spain for two reasons: firstly, because the region accounts for 60% of the total Spanish certified area and more than 30% of the total producers, and there has been a continuous increase during the last decades in the number of hectares and producers. Secondly, the regional public administration showed an early interest in sector regulation and institutional support. Andalusia was the first Spanish region to define its own instrument for the control of organic production in 1991, Comité Territorial Andaluz de Agricultura Ecológica, and to make specific legislation in 19964 to regulate this activity in the territory. This institutional support reached its highest peak with the application of two

---

4 Order of 5th June 1996, in which regulations are passed on organic farm production and its indication in farm and food products in the Andalusian Committee of Organic Agriculture.
Instruments: the publication in 2002 of the Plan Andaluz de la Agricultura Ecológica 2002-2006 (PAAE) and the creation in 2004 of the Dirección General de Agricultura Ecológica (DGAE), registered in the Regional Ministry of Agriculture and Fishery.

In this way, Andalusia became the first Autonomous Community to be provided with a specific plan and its own independent entity to direct and promote organic agriculture in its territory. The DGAE promoted a series of initiatives to encourage a coordinated organic sector, which would establish links with the territory, promote producer-consumer relations through the development of short marketing channels and social consumption promotion, and encourage organic agriculture in protected areas.

To illustrate the impact these measures have had on the development and organization of the organic sector in Andalusia, we analyse the effect of this institutional framework in a specific territory: Sierra de Segura. This area is because it is one of the first territories in Spain to produce organic olive oil and because of its location in the largest Nature Park in Spain: the “Sierra de Cazorla Segura y Las Villas Nature Park”. The DGAE designed a specific plan to discover the problems and potential of the sector in this area. The first action carried out was the coordination of the different policy areas (agriculture, environment, employment, health, etc.) and the administrative levels working in the area. For the first time, a forum was established to encourage dialogue between the local population and representatives of the Nature Park, two traditionally antagonistic groups, and they agreed on a common strategy for the development of organic production in the protected area (Lozano, 2011). This forum also led to the creation of a sales centre in the weekly main street markets in the municipalities. Similarly, it has encouraged coordination regarding the choice of crops, in order to offer local consumers a wider range of products and a steady supply throughout the year. The second experience focused on the promotion of social consumption. In 2007, this experience began to be implemented in the area and led to the launching of menus prepared with organic food in some schools, kindergartens and in the local hospital.

All these measures have achieved an important double-purpose: to make the products visible, and to distribute them among the local population to overcome the resistance and negative stereotypes towards this production system (Lozano, 2013). This double-objective is important because encouraging consumption and increasing demand for this kind of food would solve one of the main problems of the organic sector which is marketing and selling, and could also lead conventional farmers towards conversion. From the convergence of all these projects, an association of producers and consumers has emerged in the area called “Segura-Ecológica”.

Despite its short history, the results of this Plan have been quite positive. Since its application, the internal consumption of these products has increased and the certified area has grown considerably from 2,455.31 ha in 2004 to more than 7,000 ha. There has also been a strong development in organic cattle with the incorporation of 15 stockbreeders, bringing the current number of producers to around 174. Likewise, and through this plan, a type of social fabric has been formed around this activity and coordinated actions have been established among different actors in the territory. Moreover, measures have been implemented for the first time to actively integrate the farmers in the management of the natural resources of the Nature Park (Lozano, 2011).

Therefore, it could be said that the high density for the institutional framework is adequate in this case, given that the regional administration institutions have played a leading role in starting up the process without hidden agendas. The project has been developed and a situation of institutional density has been reached, guaranteeing the future of the initiative. In this case, a large variety of actors and territorial representatives have been involved from the beginning, forming plural institutional networks to encourage organic agriculture in protected areas.

The main factors that block the commercial development of organic production are the limited offer of organic products, the limited distribution, and the problems found by consumers in markets.
(administration, regional institutions, LAG, the Nature Park, producer and consumer associations, etc.). In order to do this, the former existence of an important social capital in the territory has been essential and has activated the development of the initiative (Lozano, 2012). In fact, the choice of this area by the administration for the process start-up was not a coincidence. It was chosen precisely because its critical mass and social capital were also capable of creating the necessary synergies between the different sectors of the institutional network (Wiskerke and Ploeg, 2004). This is, therefore, the first factor linked to institutional density.

The fact that the relations between the different actors were developed through coordinated actions, making the nucleus share the decision-making, and especially including the producers in the processes of territorial management in the Nature Park, indicates a clear participatory strategy. The process therefore acquires legitimacy, and this, in turn, strengthens trust between the actors. This line of actions enables the development of coordinated actions and synergies between actors of the network, meaning that the second requirement, necessary for the existence of the institutional density mentioned above, is met. On the other hand, it must be pointed out that the guidelines and lines of action promoted by this initiative towards the economic sector have been clear and accepted by all the network actors from the beginning. In this sense, they have set up a single development strategic plan, a common plan in which both local actors and the regional administration have been able to coordinate their interests, expectations and motivations. This is the third element sustaining institutional density.

Finally, we must point out that these actions have retrieved a tradition which already existed in the area, fostering the local know-how and thereby promoting environmentally-friendly economic activities. These matters reflect the existence of a series of shared rules and values, such as farm production and traditional stockbreeding, respect for the environment, the creation of feasible economic initiatives to encourage the rooting of the population in the area, the territorial identity of the inhabitants who support the conservation of these lands and life-styles to, in turn, conserve specific cultural features. This makes up a “corpus” of shared rules and values (soft institutions according to DI rhetoric) within this initiative which has increased its positive results. The fourth factor is therefore achieved, enabling institutional density.

The explanation for the success and ambition of this territorial development proposal, based on the organic agriculture label, can be found precisely in the combination of all the factors we have mentioned: the diversity of representative actors, the creation of networks of actors and synergies between them, the existence of a common project and the fact that they have certain shared rules and values. All these circumstances certainly make this case a paradigmatic case of institutional density, in the sense that we have mentioned at the beginning of this text (Amin and Thrift, 1993).

3.4. The economic strategy of the distinction and the institutional support

The institutional support on quality label strategies has led to the proliferation of these certifications in many European regions. This process has been especially present in the Objective 1 regions of the Common Agricultural Policy, which have been supported preferably by programmes and specific financing on behalf of European development policies. This has meant that the competitive advantages of the first PDOs have become more widespread and there is an increase in the competition between the PDOs of the same product in the same region, as is the case of olive oil in Andalusia. The “distinction” therefore becomes a trivialization, because the creation model of a PDO is exported and “copied”, without carrying out a clear analysis of the potential and specific features of the territories where it is applied. This also creates false expectations among the groups involved and ends up producing mistrust towards the administration and their interference in local development strategies. The spread of these olive oil PDOs has especially affected the PDOs located in mountain areas, facing socioeconomic and demographic problems. They are doomed to compete on equal terms with other PDOs in areas which are far more productive and have higher profitability, which is what was happening to the PDOs Sierra Sur and Campiñas de Jaén.

In addition, this kind of actions has been unable to change the lack of control this region has on the marketing and sales of its olive oil. This structural deficiency is rather paradoxical if we take into account that it is the first production area worldwide, and it has historically left world marketing in the hands of the Italians. The PDO quality commitment has hardly had any influence in this field, because, as Langreo (2004) points out, the amount of protected olive oil in any of the existing PDOs in Spain is around 48,000 tons. Out of these, only 18,000 tons are marketed under this label, which means a mere 37% of the total amount. That is to say, the proliferation of these labels has focused on the differentiation of each territory with its own label and on reaching an advantageous position with regard to the neighbouring areas and products, neglecting a basic aspect for the sector which is the need to correct the structural dissociation between
Agriculture highlights that, unlike in the previous cases, the “institutional frameworks” have brought about trust and collective actions, and have known how to give legitimacy to the process by playing a positive mediation role among the actors (Ploeg and Marsden, 2008). This case also makes it clear that this public administration initiative is not a replica of other successful models in other territories, but is a specifically designed project, based on dialogue with the local actors to define the potential and performance strategies for this territory, taking into account their resources and social-cultural elements, as propounded by the perspective of institutional economy (Amin, 1998). The territorial context serves as a framework for a local production tradition, which has ended up creating a specific production method in an area with less farming potential but with unquestionable environmental values. These values are now incorporated as an added quality element in this project. This proposal has undoubtedly managed to stimulate the business and enterprising capacity of the local actors, as key factors for sustainable economic growth.

4. Conclusions

This paper uses the concepts of institutional frameworks and ID to analyse the process of creation and implementation of local quality schemes for food products in Andalusia. Two labels have been studied in order to do this: the olive oil PDOs and OA and the configuration that both have adopted in two mountain territories, the Sierra de Cádiz and the Sierra de Segura, with structural problems both on economic and demographic levels (ageing, masculinisation, depopulation, difficulties to intensify farming activity). Firstly, we show how one of the factors which affects the success of these initiatives is whether there are specific institutional frameworks supporting them. This element is basic for understanding other factors, such as the coherence of these projects and the synergies created between producers, sectors and the administration, since the presence or lack of these institutional frameworks can either help or hinder these actions. We pointed out that the existence of a think ID may not be so interesting for all the local actors involved in the process. The case of the PDO Sierra de Cádiz is a good indicator of how institutional frameworks can be an obstacle for the coherence of production differentiation projects led from their LAGs. On the other hand, the case of the specialization of OA in Sierra de Segura is a clear exponent of how institutional frameworks, synergies between actors, sectors and administration have achieved the institutional density necessary to generate positive expectations of the project with an eye towards the future, despite the recent disappearance of some of these institutional networks, which is slowing down the project as a whole.

Secondly, what both cases show us is that the link between institutional intervention in the planning of territory development strategies and the expectations of local actors is essential for achieving success in a labelling strategy. When the development agencies are not the vehicle but rather lead the actions, regardless of the production sustainability and profitability, as is the case of the Sierra de Cádiz, this kind of initiatives end up being marginalized by the markets themselves. Similarly, as the local actors were not included in the design of these initiatives, they did not know the impact that being in a PDO would have on their way of approaching olive oil production. Neither did they know the challenges this would mean when dealing with the marketing of the quality product. Both factors make them abandon the project as soon as it fails to come up to their short-term economic expectations.

Thirdly, we would like to highlight that the situation of institutional dependence which many of these projects are subjected to, means a risk for the feasibility of these initiatives. In many cases, their continuity is subject to political changes and the public financing available for these programmes. This situation creates a high degree of uncertainty in many projects which are not given enough time or financing to become established. Likewise, sustained institutional dependence ends up choking the proposed enterprising spirit that the European policies are trying to promote in these territories. This is why the producers only consider new economic policies when there are programmes with specific financing. In this paper, we have presented two cases which shared a high level of institutional dependence. In the case of the PDO Sierra de Cádiz olive oil, as well as other PDOs which have been analysed, this situation is very clear because the initiative to get the quality label was promoted from the local development agencies themselves. In the Sierra de Segura, there has also been strong institutional support from the regional government, although the design of the organic...
farming actions has mostly been made by actors from the territory, according to their needs.

This situation is connected with the last idea we have put forward. Public policies are trying to achieve complex objectives for rural areas, but it is also true that institutional “overprotectiveness” is not the right path. Paradoxically, the use of financial support may also transform their entrepreneurial spirit, creating institutional dependency. In order to make this new model of production and consumption more widespread, we think that the institutions have to work on taking the whole network.
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