Hydrodynamics of an open vibrated granular system

J. Javier Brey, M. J. Ruiz-Montero, and F. Moreno

Física Teórica, Universidad de Sevilla, Apartado de Correos 1065, 41080 Sevilla, Spain

(Received 17 January 2001; published 21 May 2001)

Using the hydrodynamic description and molecular dynamics simulations, the steady state of a fluidized granular system in the presence of gravity is studied. For an open system, the density profile exhibits a maximum, while the temperature profile goes through a minimum at high altitude, beyond that the temperature increases with the height. The existence of the minimum is explained by the hydrodynamic equations if the presence of a collisionless boundary layer is taken into account. The energy dissipated by interparticle collisions is also computed. A good agreement is found between theory and simulation. The relationship with previous works is discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.63.061305

PACS number(s): 45.70.Mg, 81.05.Rm, 51.10.+y, 05.20.Dd

I. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to investigate the hydrodynamic description of a granular fluid in a gravitational field when energy is continuously provided to the system from below through a vibrating plate. Experiments, computer simulations, and also theoretical studies have revealed the existence of a steady fluidized state under these conditions [1-8]. One of the main conclusions of all these studies is that vibrofluidized granular media show a fluidlike behavior, in the sense that their state seems to be well characterized by the profiles of the hydrodynamic fields. Of course, a different question is whether hydrodynamic equations, derived as an extension of those for ordinary fluids, provide a quantitatively, or at least qualitatively, accurate description of what is observed.

In a previous work [9], we have analyzed a vibrated granular fluid in absence of external fields, paying special attention to the bulk behavior of the fluid, far away from the boundaries. The system was shown to exhibit a normal behavior, independent of the details of the boundaries, characterized by a closed constitutive relationship between the uniform pressure and the temperature gradient. The idea here is to extend the above study to systems submitted to a uniform external field. Such an extension is not at all trivial, since the field generates spatial gradients that couple in an intricate way to those associated with the inelasticity of the system.

Most of the experimental studies deal with open systems, i.e., formally with a system of infinite height, and that is the situation we will focus on here. It could be expected that the behavior of the system becomes simple far away from the vibrating surface at the bottom. Nevertheless, the presence of a free surface introduces some additional complications in the description of the fluid. As the density decreases, particles tend to move in a ballistic way restrained by the gravitational force. This was already noted by Haff [1], who concluded that a hydrodynamiclike description cannot account for those effects.

In 1991, Clement and Rajchenbach [2] carried out an interesting experimental study of a fluidized two-dimensional vertical granular system. They measured the hydrodynamic profiles and established a series of important observations. The point we want to emphasize is presented in Fig. 4 of their paper, where it is observed that the granular temperature increases for large enough heights. Unfortunately, the authors do not comment on the origin and relevance of this finding. The same effect has been found again in experiments and in molecular dynamics simulations by Helal et al. [10]. The temperature profile presents a minimum at a high altitude, beyond that it is found to increase. In order to explain this behavior, the authors suggest a model that involves two coupled differential equations for the packing fraction and the temperature. These equations must be numerically solved by using boundary conditions determined from the simulations. Although there is a good qualitative agreement with the experimental and simulation results, the physical origin of the rise in temperature as well as its compatibility with a hydrodynamic description of the system is not clear. In particular, the continuous approach used by Helal et al. does not include a density dependent heat flux term, that plays a relevant role in granular systems [11,12]. A detailed discussion of these questions will be one of the main points to be addressed in the following sections.

The most direct implication of inelasticity in collisions is the dissipation of energy in the system. The balance between the energy dissipated and the energy supplied through the vibrating wall is often used to derive scaling laws for vibrofluidized granular materials, as well as boundary conditions to solve the hydrodynamic equations. Warr et al. [13] modeled the vibrated granular system under gravity as an isothermal fluid, with all the particles having the same velocity. Later on, Kumaran [14], using a kinetic theory description, found that the velocity distribution function is a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution in the limit of small inelasticity. His expression for the dissipated power D only differs from the result derived by Warr et al. [13] by a constant. Extensive molecular dynamics simulations carried out by McNamara and Luding [5] showed that the prediction in Ref. [14] fitted better the simulation data than the expression in Ref. [13], although significant discrepancies were found. In particular, the simulation values of D indicated a dependence on the number of particles in the system that was not accounted for by the theory. Trying to understand this dependence is one of the goals of the present work.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the Navier-Stokes-like hydrodynamic equations for a granular gas are shortly reviewed and particularized for the steady state of a vibrated system under the influence of an homogeneous external force. By introducing an appropriate scaling for the space coordinate in the direction of the field, explicit expressions for the hydrodynamic profiles are obtained. They involve two constants that must be determined from the boundary conditions. The limit of an infinitely high open system is considered in Sec. III. This limit does not imply that any of the two constants appearing in the general expression of the temperature profile must vanish, contrary to what has been established in some previous works. This is due to the presence of a free-molecule boundary layer in the upper region of the granular gas, so that the validity of the hydrodynamic equations cannot be extrapolated up to an infinite height, for which a divergent behavior of one of the contributions to the theoretical prediction for the temperature profile would show up.

A relevant consequence of the above is that the temperature profile exhibits a minimum, becoming an increasing function for large enough heights. Moreover, by using molecular dynamics simulations, we have verified that the region of increasing temperature is accurately described by the hydrodynamic equations. In fact, the values of the minimum of the temperature and its position provide enough information to build up the hydrodynamic profiles in the bulk of the system, as discussed in the last part of Sec. III. The form of the profiles for large heights, but still in the hydrodynamic regime, is analyzed in Sec. IV.

Section V is devoted to the study of the dissipation in collisions, again by means of the hydrodynamic description. The results are compared with previous works as well as with molecular dynamics simulations. The origin of the discrepancies between the several theories is clarified, showing, for instance, that the expression for D derived by Kumaran [14] corresponds to the low inelasticity and small system limits of the more general form derived here. Finally, the main conclusions are summarized in Sec. VI.

II. HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS

We consider a granular gas composed by smooth inelastic hard spheres (d=3) or disks (d=2) of mass m and diameter σ , in presence of a uniform external force **f**. The particles collide with a constant coefficient of normal restitution α . In the hydrodynamic description, it is assumed that the state of the system is characterized by the local number density $n(\mathbf{r},t)$, velocity flow $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r},t)$, and temperature $T(\mathbf{r},t)$ [1,15]. For a dilute gas, the time evolution of these fields is given by the equations [16,17]

$$\partial_t n + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (n \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_{t}u_{i} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla u_{i} + (mn)^{-1} \nabla_{i}p - (nm)^{-1} \nabla_{j}$$

$$\times \left[\eta \left(\nabla_{i}u_{j} + \nabla_{j}u_{i} - \frac{2}{d} \,\delta_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \right] - m^{-1}f_{i} = 0,$$
(2)

$$\partial_{t}T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}T + 2(dnk_{B})^{-1}p \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u} - 2(dnk_{B})^{-1} \nabla_{i}u_{j}$$

$$\times \left[\eta \left(\nabla_{i}u_{j} + \nabla_{j}u_{i} - \frac{2}{d} \,\delta_{ij} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \right]$$

$$- 2(dnk_{B})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla}T + \mu \boldsymbol{\nabla}n) + T\zeta^{(0)} = 0.$$
(3)

In the above equations, $p = nk_BT$ is the pressure, k_B the Boltzmann constant, η the shear viscosity coefficient, κ the heat conductivity coefficient, and μ a new transport coefficient that has no analogous in the elastic limit. Although k_B is taken as unity in most of the literature of fluidized granular systems, we will keep it here just to stress the analogy with molecular fluids. Finally, $\zeta^{(0)}$ is the cooling rate associated to the energy dissipation in collisions. These quantities have the form

$$\eta = \eta^*(\alpha) \eta_0(T), \quad \kappa = \kappa^*(\alpha) \kappa_0(T), \quad \mu = \mu^*(\alpha) \mu_0(T),$$
(4)

$$\zeta^{(0)} = \zeta^*(\alpha) \frac{p}{\eta_0},\tag{5}$$

where η_0 and κ_0 are the Boltzmann elastic values of the shear viscosity and heat conductivity, respectively, $\mu_0 = T\kappa_0/n$, and κ^* , η^* , μ^* , and ζ^* are dimensionless functions of the coefficient of normal restitution α . For $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, η^* and κ^* tend to unity, while μ^* and ζ^* vanish. The explicit expressions of these quantities are given in Appendix A. Let us note that the existence of a transport coefficient μ giving a contribution of the density gradients to the heat flux is a peculiarity of granular fluids that has been confirmed by molecular dynamics [11] and by Monte Carlo simulations of the Boltzmann equation [12].

We will consider a force of the gravitational type, namely,

$$\mathbf{f} = -mg\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z, \qquad (6)$$

with g a positive constant and \hat{e}_z the unit vector in the positive direction of the z axis. The system we will study is a granular medium of N particles contained in a box of section S and height L. The quantity S is an area for d=3 and a length for d=2. Energy is added to the system by the bottom of the box which vibrates in a given way. Since many of the results we will derive in the following are independent of the specific way in which the wall is vibrated, we delay the discussion of the details of its motion until they are needed. Moreover, we are not interested in the boundary effects associated to the side walls and, therefore, we will consider a region of the system far away from them. In fact, in the molecular dynamics simulations to be reported later on, periodic boundary conditions were used in the directions perpendicular to the external field. Then, because of symmetry considerations, in the steady state only gradients in the zdirection are expected and the hydrodynamic equations reduce to

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -nmg,\tag{7}$$

$$\frac{2}{dnk_B} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \mu \frac{\partial n}{\partial z} \right) - T\zeta^{(0)} = 0.$$
(8)

Equation (7) implies

$$\frac{T}{n}\frac{\partial n}{\partial z} = -\frac{mg}{k_B} - \frac{\partial T}{\partial z},\tag{9}$$

and use of this expression into Eq. (8) together with Eqs. (4) and (5), leads to,

$$\frac{2}{dnk_{B}} \left[\kappa^{*}(\alpha) - \mu^{*}(\alpha)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\kappa_{0}(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right] - \frac{2mg}{dnk_{B}^{2}} \mu^{*}(\alpha) \frac{\partial \kappa_{0}(T)}{\partial z} - \zeta^{*}(\alpha) \frac{nk_{B}T^{2}}{\eta_{0}} = 0.$$
(10)

In order to analyze the above equation, it is convenient to introduce a dimensionless length scale l by

$$l = \int_{z}^{L} dz' \frac{1}{\lambda(z')},\tag{11}$$

where $\lambda(z)$ is the local mean free path for hard disks or spheres,

$$\lambda(z) = [Cn\sigma^{d-1}]^{-1}, \qquad (12)$$

with $C = 2\sqrt{2}$ for d = 2 and $C = \pi\sqrt{2}$ for d = 3. The variable l measures the number of mean free paths from the wall located at z=L to the parallel plane located at height z. For z=0 it is

$$l(z=0) \equiv l_0 = C \sigma^{d-1} N_z, \tag{13}$$

 $N_z = N/S$ being the number of particles in the system per unit of section. In terms of *l*, the solution of Eq. (7) can be written as

$$p = \frac{mgl}{C\sigma^{d-1}} + p_L, \qquad (14)$$

where p_L is the pressure of the gas next to the upper wall. In particular, at z=0,

$$p(z=0) \equiv p_0 = mgN_z + p_L.$$
 (15)

Equation (10) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 T^{1/2}}{\partial l^2} + \frac{b(\alpha)}{l+p_L^*} \frac{\partial T^{1/2}}{\partial l} - a(\alpha)T^{1/2} = 0, \qquad (16)$$

with

$$p_L^* = \frac{C\sigma^{d-1}}{mg} p_L \tag{17}$$

$$a(\alpha) = \frac{32(d-1)\pi^{d-1}}{C^2(d+2)^3\Gamma(d/2)^2} \frac{\zeta^*(\alpha)}{\kappa^*(\alpha) - \mu^*(\alpha)},$$
 (18)

$$b(\alpha) = \frac{2\kappa^*(\alpha) - \mu^*(\alpha)}{2[\kappa^*(\alpha) - \mu^*(\alpha)]}.$$
(19)

Inspection of Eq. (16) indicates that $\sqrt{a(\alpha)}$ determines the coupling between gradients and dissipation that is intrinsic in granular flows, while $b(\alpha)$ is a scaling factor of the inhomogeneities associated to the external field.

It is still possible to express Eq. (16) in a more familiar way by defining a new variable ξ by

$$\xi = \sqrt{a(\alpha)} (l + p_L^*) = C \sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)} \left[\int_z^L dz' \ n(z') + \frac{p_L}{mg} \right].$$
(20)

This variable, as well as l, is a decreasing function of the original coordinate z. Note that ξ cannot be defined in the elastic limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, in which $a(\alpha)$ vanishes. Performing the change, Eq. (16) becomes

$$\xi \frac{\partial^2 T^{1/2}}{\partial \xi^2} + b(\alpha) \frac{\partial T^{1/2}}{\partial \xi} - \xi T^{1/2} = 0, \qquad (21)$$

whose general solution is

$$T^{1/2}(\xi) = A \xi^{-\nu} I_{\nu}(\xi) + B \xi^{-\nu} K_{\nu}(\xi), \qquad (22)$$

where

$$\nu(\alpha) = \frac{\mu^*(\alpha)}{4[\kappa^*(\alpha) - \mu^*(\alpha)]} > 0, \tag{23}$$

 I_{ν} and K_{ν} are the modified Bessel functions of first and second kind, respectively, and *A* and *B* are constants that must be determined from the boundary conditions. Since the behavior of the functions $\sqrt{a(\alpha)}$ and $\nu(\alpha)$ will play an important role in the discussions in the following sections, we have plotted them in Fig. 1 for d=2. Although both quantities vanish in the elastic limit, $\sqrt{a(\alpha)}$ grows much faster than $\nu(\alpha)$ as α decreases in the vicinity of $\alpha=1$. On the other hand, when α becomes smaller, the behavior inverts and $\nu(\alpha)$ presents a much larger slope.

The pressure profile in the ξ scale follows directly from Eq. (14) and (20),

$$p(\xi) = \frac{mg\xi}{C\sigma^{d-1}\sqrt{a(\alpha)}}.$$
(24)

In this way, we have formally solved the hydrodynamic equations for the system under consideration. The expression for the density follows from Eqs. (22),(24), and the equation of state. Afterwards, the relationship between ξ and the original coordinate z is obtained by solving the equation

and



FIG. 1. Functions $\sqrt{a(\alpha)}$ (solid line) and $\nu(\alpha)$ (dashed line) defined in the main text, for d=2.

$$\frac{d\xi}{n(\xi)} = -\sqrt{a(\alpha)}C\sigma^{d-1}dz,$$
(25)

that is the differential form of the definition of ξ given in Eq. (20). Of course, the solution of this equation involves the pressure of the gas next to the upper wall p_L , which is unknown up to now.

III. OPEN SYSTEMS

In order to particularize the general results obtained in the previous section for a given physical situation, i.e., for specific forms of the walls at z=0 and z=L, the crucial and nontrivial point is the introduction of the hydrodynamic boundary conditions needed to determine the constants A and B appearing in Eq. (22), as well as the value of p_L entering in the definition of ξ , Eq. (20). We are interested in an open system, i.e., in the limit of infinite height L. In this limit, it is obvious that $p_L=0$, so that the lowest value of ξ is now $\xi = 0$, corresponding to the limit $z \rightarrow \infty$. More explicitly, Eq. (20) becomes

$$\xi = C \sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)} \int_{z}^{\infty} dz' n(z').$$
⁽²⁶⁾

The behavior of the modified Bessel functions in the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ is [18]

$$I_{\nu}(\xi) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\nu)} \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{\nu},\tag{27}$$

$$K_{\nu}(\xi) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{2} \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-\nu}.$$
 (28)

Therefore, it follows from Eq. (22) that in the same limit,

$$T^{1/2}(\xi) \sim \frac{B\Gamma(\nu)}{2} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{2}\right)^{-\nu},$$
 (29)

indicating a divergent behavior of the temperature as the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ $(z \rightarrow \infty)$ is approached. Nevertheless, it cannot be concluded from here that the constant B must identically vanish, contrary to what has been inferred in other works [1,4]. There are two main reasons for that. First, the fact that T formally diverges does not imply anything unphysical, as long as the density decreases fast enough as to guarantee that the local kinetic energy goes to zero as z goes to infinity. Second, Eq. (22) is based on a continuous hydrodynamic description of the granular flow, and such a description is not valid in the region in which ξ is very small, so that the local Knudsen number, defined as the ratio of the mean free path to the length scale of the macroscopic gradients, is very large. There, the arguments leading from a microscopic description to a continuous approach fail, and the gas has to be described as a free-molecule flow, with a so-called transition regime between the hydrodynamic region and the collisionless one [19,20]. The detailed analysis of the gas in these regimes is an interesting but very complex problem that will be addressed elsewhere. So, we will keep the constant B in Eq. (22) different from zero, although the question is still whether its contribution is relevant within the hydrodynamic region.

The presence of the term proportional to $K_{\nu}(\xi)$ in the expression of $T^{1/2}$ implies that the temperature profile exhibits a minimum. Using the expressions of the derivatives of the modified Bessel functions [18], it is obtained that the minimum is located at a value $\xi = \xi_T$ given by the solution of the equation

$$AI_{\nu+1}(\xi_T) - BK_{\nu+1}(\xi_T) = 0, \tag{30}$$

and the temperature T_m at the minimum is

$$T_m^{1/2} = \xi_T^{-\nu} [AI_{\nu}(\xi_T) + BK_{\nu}(\xi_T)].$$
(31)

Therefore, if the hydrodynamic description is valid in the vicinity of $\xi = \xi_T$, the values of ξ_T and T_m allow us to determine the constants *A* and *B* characterizing the hydrodynamic profiles everywhere in the system, except in the boundary layers next to $\xi = \xi_0$ and $\xi = 0$, where a more microscopic description, such as that provided by kinetic theory, is needed.

As an example, in Fig. 2 we plot the temperature profile in the ξ variable obtained by molecular dynamics simulation in a two-dimensional system with $\alpha = 0.95$ and $N_z = 6$. The wall at the bottom is vibrated with a sawtooth velocity profile having a velocity $v_W = 6$. This means that all the particles colliding with the wall find it with that velocity [5,21]. Moreover, the amplitude of the wall motion is much smaller than the mean free path of the particles next to it, so that the position of the wall can be taken as fixed at z=0. Periodic boundary conditions are employed in the direction perpendicular to the field. The units are defined by m=1, and σ =1. We take $k_B = 0.5$, and the value of the external field is g=1. For the above value of α , it is $\nu \simeq 0.021$. From the simulation data it is estimated that $\xi_T \simeq 0.21$ and $T_m \simeq 162.6$, and using Eqs. (30) and (31) one gets $A \approx 12.2$ and B $\simeq 0.76$. The dashed line in the figure is the temperature pro-



FIG. 2. Temperature profile in units defined in the main text, in the ξ variable of a vibrated system with $\alpha = 0.95$, $N_z = 6$ ($\xi_0 = 1.722$) and $v_w = 6$. The solid line is from the molecular dynamics simulation, while the dashed line is the theoretical prediction discussed in the text.

file obtained with these values of the constants. A quite good agreement is observed between the constructed profile and the simulation data outside the boundary layers. In particular, the agreement extends well inside the region of small values of ξ . This confirms that the hydrodynamic regime includes the minimum of the temperature and also a part of the system where the temperature increases with *z*, i.e., *T* increases as ξ decreases.

In Fig. 3 the same temperature profile as in Fig. 2 is shown as a function of the original variable z. It is seen that the increase of the temperature with the height is not just a theoretical artifact, but in practice it is observed over a wide region in real space. Similar results have been obtained for other values of α in the interval $0.85 \le \alpha \le 0.99$. Details of the practical limitations in the molecular dynamics simulations will be given in the last section of the paper.

Since the existence of a region where the temperature increases is associated with the presence of the collisionless



FIG. 3. The same as Fig. 2, but in terms of the real space variable *z*, measured in units of σ .



FIG. 4. Comparison of the exact theoretical expression for the temperature profile [Eq. (22)] and the approximated expression [Eq. (34)] for values of the parameters corresponding to the situation of Fig. 2.

boundary layer and the transition regime, the location of the temperature minimum and, consequently, of the hydrodynamic region beyond it, are expected to correspond to small values of ξ . Therefore, to study this region we can approximate in Eq. (22) the modified Bessel functions by their expressions in the limit of small arguments. This yields

$$\frac{A}{B} \sim 2^{1-2\nu} (\nu+1) \Gamma(\nu+1)^2 \xi_T^{-2(1+\nu)}$$
(32)

and, since ξ_T is small, it follows that $A/B \ge 1$. The conclusion reached in this way is that the term involving $K_{\nu}(\xi)$ in Eq. (22) is only relevant in the region in which ξ is small and $K_{\nu}(\xi)$ is large. More precisely, a detailed asymptotic analysis of Eq. (22) indicates that the relevant increasing temperature region corresponds to $\xi^2 \le 1$ but $\xi^2 \ge \nu^2$. This range of values of ξ exists as long as ν is small enough, i.e., the system be not too inelastic. Moreover, the analysis shows that in this ξ window one can approximate

$$K_{\nu}(\xi) \sim -\ln\xi. \tag{33}$$

When ξ takes values of the order of unity, the term $B\xi^{-\nu} \ln \xi$ is negligible as compared with $A\xi^{-\nu}I_{\nu}(\xi)$. Then, we propose, as an accurate approximation to Eq. (22), the expression

$$T^{1/2}(\xi) \simeq A \,\xi^{-\nu} I_{\nu}(\xi) - B \,\xi^{-\nu} \ln \xi. \tag{34}$$

In Fig. 4 we compare Eqs. (22) and (34) for A = 12.2 and B = 0.26, which are the values of the constants found from the molecular dynamics data corresponding to the situation described in Fig. 2. The agreement is fairly good in the plotted interval $10^{-2} < \xi < 2$. The accuracy is even better for larger values of ξ , as expected from the above discussion.

Let us next analyze the density profile. Equations (24) and (34) yield



FIG. 5. Density profile in the scaled ξ variable (a), and in the real variable (b), for the same values of the parameters as in Fig. 2. The solid line is from the molecular dynamics simulation, while the dashed line is the theoretical prediction discussed in the text.

$$n(\xi) = \frac{p(\xi)}{k_B T(\xi)} = \frac{mg\xi^{1+2\nu}}{Ck_B \sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)} [AI_{\nu}(\xi) - B\ln\xi]^2}.$$
(35)

The comparison of this expression with the simulation data for the same system as in Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 5. The dashed line, corresponding to the theoretical prediction, has been plotted by using the values of A and B obtained by fitting the temperature minimum. Again, the agreement is quite good, outside the boundary layer next to the vibrating wall.

The density profile exhibits a maximum at $\xi = \xi_n$, that is approximately given by the solution of the equation

$$I_{\nu}(\xi_n) - 2\xi_n I_{\nu+1}(\xi_n) = 0, \qquad (36)$$

which can be numerically solved for each value of ν , i.e., of α . A sketch of the derivation of Eq. (36) is given in Appendix B. In Fig. 6, ξ_n is shown as a function of α for $0.5 \le \alpha \le 0.99$. Let us remark that contrary to the position of the



FIG. 6. Theoretical value of the scaled position of the density maximum as a function of the coefficient of restitution, α .

temperature minimum ξ_T , ξ_n takes values of the order of unity. Moreover, the dependence of ξ_n on α is rather weak since it grows roughly from 1.07 to 1.46 as α decreases from 0.99 to 0.5. Nevertheless, when the values of ξ_n are translated into the *l* scale by means of Eq. (20) with $p_L^*=0$, the position of the maximum of the density turns out to be strongly influenced by the inelasticity of the system, increasing very fast as α approaches unity.

One of the main implications of Eq. (36) is that the position of the density maximum, measured in the scale ξ , does not depend on the boundary conditions, i.e., on the values of the constants *A* and *B*, or on the total number of particles in the system, as measured for instance by N_z . Of course, in order to actually observe the maximum in an experiment or in a computer simulation, it must be

$$\xi_n < \xi_0 \equiv \sqrt{a(\alpha)} l_0 = C \sqrt{a(\alpha)} \sigma^{d-1} N_z.$$
(37)

Taking into account Eq. (11), the total number of particles $N_z^{(+)}$ per unit of length or area of the vibrating wall above the position of the density maximum is

$$N_{z}^{(+)} = \frac{l_{n}}{C\sigma^{d-1}}$$
(38)

where l_n denotes the position of the density maximum in the l scale. This number increases as α increases. Therefore, the more elastic the system is the larger is the number of particles needed in order to see the maximum of the density. This explains why in some molecular dynamics simulations the density profile shows an almost monotonic decay with an apparent maximum next to the vibrating wall. On the other hand, the position of the density maximum in the actual variable z does depend on the boundary conditions, since the conversion from ξ to z involves the constants A and B, as it follows from Eqs. (26) and (35). This is clearly seen, for instance, in the experimental results shown in Fig. 2(b) of Ref. [13]. The prediction of our theory is that the area bellow the density profile to the right of the maximum is the same for the several vibration amplitudes. This seems to be quali-

tatively true in the reported case, although the vibration amplitude can affect the degree of fluidization of the granular system, so that for small amplitudes the theory developed here may not apply.

IV. THE UPPER REGION OF THE GRANULAR GAS

For large z (small ξ), but still inside the region where hydrodynamics holds, the temperature and density profiles can be approximated by

$$T^{1/2}(\xi) \sim A\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\nu} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\nu)} - B\xi^{-\nu} \ln \xi, \qquad (39)$$

$$n(\xi) \sim \frac{mg\xi}{Ck_B \sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)} [A2^{-\nu} \Gamma (1+\nu)^{-1} - B\xi^{-\nu} \ln \xi]^2},$$
(40)

respectively. Note that the own structure of Eq. (39), that does not present any minimum, implies that this approximation only holds for values of ξ smaller than the position ξ_T of the temperature minimum. On the other hand, ξ should be large enough as to the hydrodynamic description be accurate. In the previous section we have discussed the existence of a relevant region verifying both conditions. Substitution of these expressions into Eq. (25) yields

$$d\xi \sim -\frac{mg\,\xi dz}{k_B \left[A\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\nu} \Gamma(1+\nu)^{-1} - B\,\xi^{-\nu} \ln\xi\right]^2} \qquad (41)$$

and by differentiation of Eq. (39) one gets

$$T^{1/2} \frac{dT}{dz} \sim \frac{2mgB}{k_B} \xi^{-\nu} (1 - \nu \ln \xi).$$
 (42)

For $\nu \ll 1$, that means not very inelastic systems, the above equation can be approximated by

$$\frac{dT^{3/2}}{dz} \sim \frac{3mgB}{k_B}.$$
(43)

This is compatible with what is seen in the molecular dynamics simulations, although due to the relatively small variation of the temperature with z in the region with positive slope, the behavior predicted by Eq. (43) is hard to discern from a simple linear in z profile. We have fitted the temperature profiles obtained by molecular dynamics simulations for large z to the behavior predicted by Eq. (43). The values of Bobtained in this way were compared with those determined from the minimum of the temperature, as discussed in Sec. III, and a good agreement was found. Since the constant B is small, a good estimation of the temperature profile in this upper region of the vibrated granular system is obtained in some cases by considering that the temperature reaches a constant plateau [13,14,22]. Also for $\nu \ll 1$, Eq. (40) leads to

$$\frac{d\ln n}{dz} \sim -\frac{mg}{k_B T}.$$
(44)

Therefore, if the temperature is approximately constant in the *z* interval considered, an apparently exponential behavior of the density can be observed. This is equivalent to saying that $n(\xi) \propto \xi$, as easily seen from Eq. (40). Again, the simulations have confirmed these predictions.

It is important to stress that the region in which the temperature shows a minimum followed by an apparently linear profile, and the density seems to decay exponentially, can only be explained correctly if the contribution of the term $B\xi^{-\nu}K_{\nu}(\xi)$ to the temperature profile in Eq. (22) is taken into account. Moreover, the above discussion only explains an approximately exponential decay of the density for values of *z* lying well inside the region of increasing temperature, but not where the temperature decreases with the height, due to the different variation rates of the temperature in both regions.

V. DISSIPATED POWER

An expression for the total power D dissipated in the system is directly obtained from the hydrodynamic equation for the temperature, Eq. (3),

$$D = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{dnk_B}{2} T \zeta^{(0)}$$

= $\frac{dk_B S \zeta^*(\alpha) T^{1/2}}{2C\sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)} \eta_0} \int_0^{\xi_0} d\xi p(\xi) T(\xi)^{1/2}.$ (45)

Upon writing the above expression we have taken into account that η_0 is proportional to $T^{1/2}$. Using now the expressions for the pressure and temperature profiles, Eqs. (22) and (24), respectively, one gets

$$D = \frac{dk_B Smg\,\zeta^*(\alpha)T^{1/2}}{2[C\sigma^{d-1}\sqrt{a(\alpha)}]^2\,\eta_0} \int_0^{\xi_0} d\xi\,\xi^{1-\nu} [AI_\nu(\xi) + BK_\nu(\xi)].$$
(46)

The integral on the right hand side of this expression can be easily evaluated by employing Eqs. (B2) with the result

$$D = \frac{dk_B S \zeta^*(\alpha) mg T^{1/2}}{2[C \sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)}]^2 \eta_0} \bigg\{ A \bigg[\xi_0^{1-\nu} I_{\nu-1}(\xi_0) - \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \bigg] - B[\xi_0^{1-\nu} K_{1-\nu}(\xi_0) - 2^{-\nu} \Gamma(1-\nu)] \bigg\}.$$
(47)

This expression is an exact consequence of the hydrodynamic equations for a granular gas. In particular, no assumption has been made about the values of ξ_0 , *A*, or *B*. Let us define a dimensionless quantity F by

$$F = \frac{D}{g(Nm\bar{E}\xi_0)^{1/2}},\tag{48}$$

where \overline{E} is the total kinetic energy of the system,

$$\bar{E} = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{d}{2} n k_B T = \frac{S d k_B}{2 C \sigma^{d-1} \sqrt{a(\alpha)}} \int_0^{\xi_0} d\xi \, \xi^{-2\nu} [A I_{\nu}(\xi) + B K_{\nu}(\xi)]^2.$$
(49)

Substitution of Eqs. (47) and (49) into Eq. (48) leads to an explicit expression for *F*. It is a rather complicated and not very illuminating expression. Therefore, we will not write it here explicitly, although it will be referred to in the following as F_{exact} . Let us now suppose that we neglect the part of the profiles that are responsible for the increase of the temperature, i.e., we formally take B=0. Because of the discussion in Sec. III, this could be expected *a priori* to be a good approximation as long as ξ_0 is not small. Then, using the explicit expression of the elastic shear viscosity η_0 , it is obtained

$$F_{approx} = \frac{4(2d)^{1/2} \pi^{d-1/2} \zeta^{*}(\alpha)}{(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)C\sqrt{a(\alpha)}} \frac{\xi_{0}^{1-\nu}I_{\nu-1}(\xi_{0}) - \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)}}{\int_{0}^{\xi_{0}} d\xi \, \xi^{-2\nu}I_{\nu}(\xi)^{2} \Big]^{1/2}}.$$
(50)

Although this expression is not at all simple, it only depends on the values of α and ξ_0 , but not on the boundary conditions that determine the constants *A* and *B*, then representing a scaling law prediction. In the limit of a large system, in the sense that $\xi_0 \ge 1$, the asymptotic behavior of Eq. (51) is given by

$$F_{approx} \sim \frac{8d^{1/2}\pi^{(d-1)/2}\zeta^*(\alpha)}{(d+2)C\Gamma(d/2)\sqrt{a(\alpha)}},$$
(51)

while for small ξ_0 it is

$$F_{approx} \sim \frac{2(2d)^{1/2} \pi^{(d-1)/2} \zeta^*(\alpha)}{(d+2) \Gamma(d/2) C \sqrt{a(\alpha)}} \xi_0^{1/2}.$$
 (52)

Kumaran [5,14] modeled the vibrated granular media as an isothermal fluid with a Maxwellian velocity distribution and derived an expression for the dissipated power D in a two-dimensional system. His expression leads to a value of the quantity F given by

$$F = (1 - \alpha) \left[\frac{\pi \sigma N_z}{2\sqrt{2a(\alpha)}} \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (53)

In the limit of quasielasticity, i.e., for α very close to unity, this result is equivalent to Eq. (52). Consequently, as derived here, its applicability is restricted to small inelasticity and small systems.



FIG. 7. Dimensionless quantity *F* defined in the text for $\alpha = 0.95$ as a function of the parameter ξ_0 . The continuous line is the approximated expression derived in the text [Eq. (50)], the dashed line the prediction by Kumaran [Eq. (53)], and the horizontal dotted line, the asymptotic value for $\xi_0 \rightarrow \infty$. The symbols are from molecular dynamics simulations, as discussed in the main text.

In Fig. 7 we have plotted the function $F(\xi)$ in the interval $0 \le \xi_0 \le 10$ for $\alpha = 0.95$ ($\nu \simeq 0.021$). The solid line is F_{approx} as given by Eq. (50), while the dashed line is the expression derived by Kumaran, Eq. (53). The dotted line shows the asymptotic constant value predicted by Eq. (51), $F \simeq 0.85$. Similar behaviors are obtained for other values of α . The symbols are molecular dynamics simulation results. While the circles are from simulations carried out by us, the squares are from Fig. 2 in Ref. [5] by taking into account that the quantity C_{ap} defined there is related to F by

$$C_{pp} = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \left[\frac{C \sqrt{a(\alpha)}}{\sigma N_z} \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (54)

Equation (53) predicts $C_{pp} = \sqrt{2\pi}$. All the reported simulation data in the figure correspond to the dilute fluidized regime, i.e., for high enough velocities of the vibrating wall, so that *F* has already reached a steady value that does not depend any more on v_w . For smaller values of the velocity, *F* is an increasing function of it [5]. The value of ξ_0 has been varied by modifying the number of particles N_z . It is seen that Eq. (50) reproduces fairly well the simulation results, providing a definitely better approximation than Eq. (53). In fact, the dependence of C_{pp} on the size of the system, measured by N_z , was already realized by McNamara and Luding [5]. Let us also stress that the asymptotic behavior for large systems, Eq. (51), is only accurate for quite large values of ξ_0 and, therefore, it is not very useful in practice.

We have also computed F_{exact} by using the values of A and B resulting from the fitting of the minimum of the temperature profile obtained in the simulations, as discussed in Sec. III. The results (not shown) always lie between the simulation symbols and the curve F_{approx} . The discrepancies between F_{approx} and the simulation results increase as the value of the coefficient of normal restitution α decreases.

The reason is that the energy dissipated in the region with a positive temperature slope, which is neglected in Eq. (50), becomes more relevant as the inelasticity of the system increases. This is confirmed by the fact that a much better agreement is found if the expression of F_{exact} , with A and B obtained from the simulation, is used. On the other hand, it is still true that, for large v_w , F reaches a value that only depends on ξ_0 and α , then indicating the existence of a scaling law. This scaling is not trivially seen in the expression of F_{exact} that depends on both constant A and B in a nontrivial manner. Nevertheless, it must be realized that A and B are not in fact independent. They must be determined from the same boundary conditions specifying the vibrating wall, although their calculation actually requires considering the free particle region. If there is a proportionality relationship between A and B, it is easily seen that the expression of F_{exact} turns out to be independent of them.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied a fluidized granular system submitted to an external force of the gravitational type. The general conclusion we have reached is that the hydrodynamic description provided by the (inelastic) Navier-Stokes equations is able to explain what is observed in molecular dynamics simulations and also in experiments. We have focused on open systems, and showed that the presence of a collisionless regime in the very high region of the gas must be taken into account when introducing the matching conditions between the bulk of the granular medium and the boundaries. Now we summarize the most important results.

(a) The temperature profile as a function of the height presents a minimum, increasing monotonically afterwards. The minimum lies in the hydrodynamic region, but when interpreting this result it must be realized that the hydrodynamic description is not valid when the density becomes too small.

(b) The density profile presents a maximum when the system has a large enough number of particles. This maximum is not associated, in principle, to any clustering hydrodynamic instability, but follows directly from the Navier-Stokes equations.

(c) The position of the density maximum is quite accurately only determined by the coefficient of restitution of the system, being independent of the number of particles and the way in which the system is being vibrated.

(d) An accurate description of the energy dissipated in collisions requires considering the nonuniformity of the hydrodynamic fields. The results obtained by using the exact hydrodynamic profiles derived from the Navier-Stokes equations are in better agreement with molecular dynamics simulations than those using a uniform temperature and an exponentially decreasing density.

(e) The approximations used in some previous works have been obtained as limiting approximations of the more general results obtained here. This also applies to the scaling behavior predicted by some authors. A sound justification of scaling laws can only follow from a detailed analysis of both boundary layers, the one next to the vibrating wall and that associated with the transition to the free-particle flow. Nevertheless, we have observed in the simulations that the hydrodynamic fields seem to scale with the velocity of the vibrating wall, as already found in Ref. [4]

The range of validity of the analysis we have carried out deserves some comments. We have verified that there is a reasonable good agreement between the theoretical predictions derived here and the molecular dynamics results for $\alpha > 0.9$. For smaller values of the coefficient of restitution, the discrepancies become important and they increase very rapidly as α decreases. There are two main related reasons that restrict *a priori* the applicability of our theory to the small inelasticity range. For large inelasticity, the gradients become very large and the Navier-Stokes approximation fails. Moreover, the density in the vicinity of its maximum becomes very high so that the low density hydrodynamic equations should be substituted by equations more accurate for dense granular fluids.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research has been partially supported by the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica (Spain) through Grant No. PB98-1124,

APPENDIX A

In this Appendix, the explicit expressions for the quantities appearing in Eqs. (4) and (5) are given [16,17]. The Boltzmann elastic values for the shear viscosity and thermal conductivity are

$$\eta_0 = \frac{2+d}{8} \Gamma(d/2) \, \pi^{-(d-1)/2} (mk_B T)^{1/2} \sigma^{-(d-1)}, \quad (A1)$$

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{d(d+2)^2}{16(d-1)} \Gamma(d/2) \, \pi^{-(d-1)/2} k_B \left(\frac{k_B T}{m}\right)^{1/2} \sigma^{-(d-1)},$$
(A2)

while the dimensionless functions have the form

$$\eta^*(\alpha) = \left[\nu_1^*(\alpha) - \frac{\zeta^*(\alpha)}{2}\right]^{-1},\tag{A3}$$

$$\kappa^{*}(\alpha) = \left[\nu_{2}^{*}(\alpha) - \frac{2d}{d-1}\zeta^{*}(\alpha)\right]^{-1} [1 + c^{*}(\alpha)], \quad (A4)$$

$$\mu^{*}(\alpha) = 2\zeta^{*}(\alpha) \left[\kappa^{*}(\alpha) + \frac{(d-1)c^{*}(\alpha)}{2d\zeta^{*}(\alpha)} \right]$$
$$\times \left[\frac{2(d-1)}{d} \nu_{2}^{*}(\alpha) - 3\zeta^{*}(\alpha) \right]^{-1}, \quad (A5)$$

$$\zeta^{*}(\alpha) = \frac{2+d}{4d} (1-\alpha^{2}) \bigg[1 + \frac{3}{32} c^{*}(\alpha) \bigg].$$
 (A6)

J. JAVIER BREY, M. J. RUIZ-MONTERO, AND F. MORENO

Here we have introduced

$$\nu_{1}^{*}(\alpha) = \frac{(3-3\alpha+2d)(1+\alpha)}{4d} \left[1 - \frac{1}{64}c^{*}(\alpha) \right], \quad (A7)$$
$$\nu_{2}^{*}(\alpha) = \frac{1+\alpha}{d-1} \left[\frac{d-1}{2} + \frac{3(d+8)(1-\alpha)}{16} + \frac{4+5d-3(4-d)\alpha}{1024}c^{*}(\alpha) \right], \quad (A8)$$

$$c^{*}(\alpha) = \frac{32(1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha^{2})}{9+24d+(8d-41)\alpha+30\alpha^{2}(1-\alpha)}.$$
 (A9)

APPENDIX B

To determine the position ξ_n of the maximum of the density profile, we suppose it is of the order of unity. Of course, this is to be verified at posteriori. Then, the term *B* ln ξ in the denominator on the right hand of Eq. (35) can be neglected in the calculation, and the equation determining ξ_n reads

- [1] P. K. Haff, J. Fluid Mech. 134, 401 (1983).
- [2] E. Clement and J. Rajchenbach, Europhys. Lett. 16, 133 (1991).
- [3] J. A. C. Gallas, H. J. Herrmann, and S. Sokołowski, Physica A 189, 437 (1992).
- [4] J. Lee, Physica A 219, 305 (1995).
- [5] S. McNamara and S. Luding, Phys. Rev. E 58, 813 (1998).
- [6] P. Sunthar and V. Kumaran, Phys. Rev. E 60, 1951 (1999).
- [7] R. D. Wildman, J. M. Huntley, J.-P. Hansen, D. J. Parker, and D. A. Allen, Phys. Rev. E 62, 3826 (2000).
- [8] A. Baldassarri, U. Marini Bettolo Marconi, A. Puglisi, and A. Vulpiani, e-print cm/0009103 (2000).
- [9] J. J. Brey, M. J. Ruiz-Montero, and F. Moreno, Phys. Rev. E 62, 5339 (2000).
- [10] K. Helal, T. Biben, and J.P. Hansen, Physica A 240, 361 (1997).
- [11] R. Soto and M. Mareschal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 5003 (1999).
- [12] J. J. Brey and M. J. Ruiz-Montero, in Modeling Complex Sys-

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \frac{\xi^{1+2\nu}}{I_{\nu}(\xi)^2} \bigg|_{\xi=\xi_n} = 0, \tag{B1}$$

that using [18]

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} [\xi^{-\nu} I_{\nu}(\xi)] = \xi^{-\nu} I_{\nu+1}(\xi),$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} [\xi^{-\nu} K_{\nu}(\xi)] = -\xi^{-\nu} K_{\nu+1}(\xi),$$
(B2)

leads directly to Eq. (36). Since $I_{\nu}(\xi) > 0$ and $K_{\nu}(\xi) > 0$, this equation has a solution for $\xi > 0$. When the equation is numerically solved, see Fig. 6, it turns out that ξ_n is of the order of unity, consistently with our assumption above.

Let us point out that if the term $B \ln \xi$ in Eq. (35) is kept in the calculations to determine ξ_n , a wrong result is obtained. The reason is that its contribution to the derivative of $n(\xi)$ becomes very important in the vicinity of ξ_n , and higher order contributions coming from $K_{\nu}(\xi)$ have to be considered for a consistent calculation.

tems, edited by P.L. Garrido and J. Marro, AIP Conf. Proc. (AIP, Melville, NY, in press).

- [13] S. Warr, J. M. Huntley, and G. T. H. Jacques, Phys. Rev. E 52, 5583 (1995).
- [14] V. Kumaran, Phys. Rev. E 57, 5660 (1998).
- [15] C. S. Campbell, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22, 57 (1990).
- [16] J. J. Brey, J. W. Dufty, C. S. Kim, and A. Santos, Phys. Rev. E 58, 4638 (1998).
- [17] J. J. Brey and D. Cubero, in *Granular Gases*, edited by T. Pöschel and S. Luding, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2001), p. 59.
- [18] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1965).
- [19] C. Cercignani, *Theory and Application of the Boltzmann Equation* (Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh, 1975).
- [20] G. A. Bird, Molecular Gas Dynamics and the Direct Simulation of Gas Flows (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995).
- [21] S. McNamara and J-L. Barrat, Phys. Rev. E 55, 7767 (1997).
- [22] J. Eggers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5322 (1999).