

Finding multiplies solutions for non-linear integer programming

José Manuel Jiménez Cobano²

*Instituto de Matemática
Universidad de Sevilla*

José María Ucha Enríquez^{1,3}

*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada I
Universidad de Sevilla*

Abstract

We explain how to compute all the solutions of a nonlinear integer problem using the algebraic test-sets associated to some linear subproblem. These test-sets are obtained using Gröbner bases. We compare our method with previous approaches .

Keywords: Integer non-linear optimization, multiplies solutions, test-sets, Groebner basis

¹ Second author is partially funded by MTM2016-75024-P, P12-FQM-2696, MTM2016-74983-C2-1-R and FQM-333.

² Email: josjimcob@alum.us.es

³ Email: ucha@us.es

1 Introduction

In many real-life combinatorial optimization problems is of great interest for the decision-maker to have not only one solution, but the set of *all* optimal solutions (see [10] or [7], for example). The information provided by this set can give some additional information about the solutions, and sometimes is a first step for multi-objective optimization. On the other hand, sometimes these problems requires non-linear constraints to be modeled properly.

A method for problems of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & cx^t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax^t \leq b^t \\ & x \in \Omega \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}^n \end{aligned} \quad (P)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, c \in \mathbb{Z}^n, b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and the region Ω is defined by linear and nonlinear constraints was proposed in [9]. This method makes use of the test-sets associated to the linear subproblem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & cx^t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax^t \leq b^t \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}^n \end{aligned} \quad (P_L)$$

A set $T \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a test-set associated to (P_L) if $T \subset \ker(A)$, and for any non optimal x feasible for P_L there exists a $t \in T$ such that $x - t$ is feasible and $c(x - t) < c(x)$. As a consequence, starting from a optimal point \hat{x} of (P_L) you can *recover* the set of all the feasible points, adding elements of the test-set until you eventually complete all the feasible region. In this way you can obtain the optimal points of (P) *walking back* from the linear optimal point until you reach the region Ω . Technical details can be found in [9]. There are several ways of computing test-sets, and one of the most efficient is using Gröbner bases (see for example [3]) with the software `4ti2` (see [5]).

In [1] and [6] the method of [9] is applied to real-life size problems with very competitive results. In this work: 1) we explain how to modify the walk-back method to obtain all the optimal points and 2) we compare its performance with the natural generalization of the algorithm presented in [10] using the computational system COUENNE (see [2]).

2 An algebraic algorithm to find all optimal points.

The main idea of our method is quite simple: starting from optimal point of the linear problem (P_L), we add test-set vectors until we find the points inside the non-linear region Ω . We save *all* points that has the best cost into Ω . The pseudocode is the following one:

```
INPUT:  $c, A, b; \Omega$ ; optimal point  $\beta$  of  $P_L$ ;  $T$  associated test-set of  $P_L$ .
Opt :=  $\emptyset$ ;
Leaves :=  $\{\beta + t | \forall t \in T\} \cap \mathbb{N}^n$ 
costOpt =  $\infty$ 

IF  $\beta \in \Omega$ 
THEN Opt :=  $\{\beta\}$ ;
     costOpt :=  $c\beta^t$ 

WHILE (Leaves  $\neq \emptyset$ ) DO
  FOR  $h \in \textit{Leaves}$  DO
    IF  $c(h) < \textit{costOpt}$ 
      Leaves = (Leaves  $\setminus \{h\}$ )  $\cup (\{h + t | \forall t \in T\} \cap \mathbb{N}^n)$ 
      IF  $h \in \Omega$ 
        THEN Opt =  $\{h\}$ ;
             costOpt =  $ch^t$ ;
             Leaves = (Leaves  $\setminus \{h\}$ )  $\cup (\{h + t | \forall t \in T\} \cap \mathbb{N}^n)$ 
             ‡ the list of old candidates is deleted
             ‡ and updated with a new candidate

    ELSE IF  $c(h) > \textit{costOpt}$ 
      THEN Leaves = Leaves  $\setminus \{h\}$ 
      ‡ these branches are discarded

    ELSE IF  $c(h) = \textit{costOpt}$ 
      THEN Leaves = (Leaves  $\setminus \{h\}$ )  $\cup (\{h + t | \forall t \in T\} \cap \mathbb{N}^n)$ 
           IF  $h \in \Omega$ 
             THEN Opt = Opt  $\cup \{h\}$ ;
                   ‡ a new candidate to be an optimal point has been obtained

  END WHILE

OUTPUT: Opt the set of all optimal points with cost costOpt
```

3 Computational experiments: reliability of series-parallel systems

Reliability problems are considered an important measure in the design of engineering processes, in which a series of systems is similar to a chain in which all the components must operate, since the failure of one of these components will suppose the failure of the complete system.

A mathematical model to minimize the cost of the system is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} x_{ij} \\
 & \text{s.t. } R(x) \geq R_0 \\
 (1) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^k x_{ij} \geq 1, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\
 & 0 \leq x_{ij} \leq u_{ij}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, k \\
 & x_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^+
 \end{aligned}$$

with $R(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - r_{ij})^{x_{ij}} \right)$. Where:

- n : number of subsystems
- k_i : number of different kinds of components in subsystem i .
- r_{ij} : reliability of component j in the subsystem i .
- c_{ij} : cost of component j in the subsystem i .
- l_{ij}, u_{ij} : upper and lower dimensions of the numbers of components j in the subsystem i . We can suppose that $l_{ij} = 0$.
- R_0 : Minimum reliability required return for the whole system.
- x_{ij} : numbers of components j in the subsystem i .

In our experiments, we have considered that all subsystem have equal numbers of components, that is, $k_i = k, \forall i$.

- $r_{ij} \in (0.9, 0.99), \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, k$
- $c_{ij} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}, \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, k$
- $R_0 = 0.9$
- $u_{ij} = 4, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, k$
- $n \in \{3, 4\}$

- $k \in \{2, 3\}$

We have run about 120 examples to test our algorithm coded in Python in a computer with an Intel Core i5, 3.5 Ghz, 8 Gb of RAM, under Ubuntu. The General Cut row stands for the natural generalization of the method proposed in [10], we have been used COUENNE ([2]) for the example which have been sent to `neos-server.org`.

	Number of examples	% examples
Test-set	84	70 %
General cut	36	30 %

Table 1

Reliability examples $n = 3, 4$, $k = 2, 3$ with all solutions.

We can observe that:

	Number of examples	% examples
Test-set	42	97,67 %
General cut	1	2,33 %

Table 2

Reliability examples $n = 3, 4$, $k = 2, 3$ with multiplies solutions.

	Number of examples	% examples
Test-set	42	54,55 %
General cut	35	45,45 %

Table 3

Reliability examples $n = 3, 4$, $k = 2, 3$ an unique solution.

	3 Systems		4 Systems	
	2 components	3 components	2 components	3 components
Test-set	0,07	0,19	0,37	0,51
General cut	0,43	1,23	0,84	1,50

Table 4

Average CPU times Reliability examples $n = 3, 4$, $k = 2, 3$.

- The Test-Set method and the General Cut are both exact
- The General Cut is worse in CPU time than the test-set method.

References

- [1] Castro, F. J.; Gago, J.; Hartillo, I.; Puerto, J.; Ucha, J. M. An algebraic approach to integer portfolio problems. *European J. Oper. Res.* 210 (2011), no. 3, 647–659.
- [2] Belotti, Pietro. COUENNE: a user’s manual. Document available at <https://www.coin-or.org/Couenne/>. <https://www.coin-or.org/Couenne/>
- [3] Cox, David A.; Little, John; O’Shea, Donal. Using algebraic geometry. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 185. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [4] Djerdjour M, Rekab K. A branch and bound algorithm for designing reliable systems at a minimum cost. *Appl. Math. and Computation* 118 (2001), 247–59.
- [5] 4ti2 team. 4ti2 – A software package for algebraic, geometric and combinatorial problems on linear spaces. Available at www.4ti2.de, 2013.
- [6] Gago, J.; Hartillo, I.; Puerto, J.; Ucha, J. M. Exact cost minimization of a series-parallel reliable system with multiple component choices using an algebraic method. *Comput. Oper. Res.* 40 (2013), no. 11, 2752–2759.
- [7] Leão, Aline A. S.; Cherri, Luiz H.; Arenales, Marcos N. Determining the K-best solutions of knapsack problems. *Comput. Oper. Res.* 49 (2014), 71–82.
- [8] Ruan N, Sun XL. An exact algorithm for cost minimization in series reliability systems with multiple component choices. *Appl. Math. and Computation* 181 (2006), 732–41.
- [9] Tayur, Sridhar R.; Thomas, Rekha R.; Natraj, N. R. An algebraic geometry algorithm for scheduling in presence of setups and correlated demands. *Math. Programming* 69 (1995), no. 3, Ser. A, 369–401.
- [10] Tsai, Jung-Fa; Lin, Ming-Hua; Hu, Yi-Chung Finding multiple solutions to general integer linear programs. *European J. Oper. Res.* 184 (2008), no. 2, 802–809.
- [11] Han-Lin Li, Tsai, Jung-Fa. A distributed computation algorithm for solving portfolio problems with integer variables. *European J. Oper. Res.* 186 (2008), no. 2, 882–891.