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#### Abstract

The Leibniz algebras appear as a generalization of the Lie algebras 8. The classification of naturally graded $p$-filiform Lie algebras is known [3], [4], 5], 9]. In this work we deal with the classification of 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. The study of $p$-filiform Leibniz non Lie algebras is solved for $p=0$ (trivial) and $p=1[1]$. In this work we get the classification of naturally graded non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebras.


## 1. Introduction

In this work we study the naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. Since the filiform (1-filiform) Lie algebras have the maximal nilindex, Vergne studied them and obtained the classification of naturally graded [9. Many authors have studied the complete classification for low dimensions. The lists up to dimension 8 can be found in $[7$ and the classification filiform up to dimension 11 in 6 The notion of $p$-filiform Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebras can be considered as a generalization of filiform Lie algebras.

The knowledge of naturally graded algebras of a certain family offers significant information about the complete family. The classification of 2-filiform Lie algebras and $p$-filiform has been obtained [5], 4].

In the case of Leibniz algebras only the classification of 0-filiform and 1-filiform algebras is known [1], [2]. In the present paper we get the classification of naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras.

Leibniz algebras are defined by the Leibniz identity:

$$
[x,[y, z]]=[[x, y], z]-[[x, z], y]
$$

We have used the software Mathematica to study particular cases in concrete finite dimensions and later, by induction, the obtained results are generalized for arbitrary finite dimension.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Leibniz algebra, we define the following sequence:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{1}=\mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{n+1}=\left[\mathcal{L}^{n}, \mathcal{L}\right]
$$

An algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is nilpotent if $\mathcal{L}^{n}=0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$.
For any element $x$ of $\mathcal{L}$ we define $R_{x}$ the operator of right multiplication as

$$
R_{x}: \quad z \quad \rightarrow \quad[z, x], z \in \mathcal{L}
$$

[^0]Let us $x \in \mathcal{L} \backslash[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ and for the nilpotent operator $R_{x}$ of right multiplication, define the decreasing sequence $C(x)=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ that consists of the dimensions of the Jordan blocks of the $R_{x}$. Endow the set of these sequences with the lexicographic order.

The sequence $C(\mathcal{L})=\max _{x \in \mathcal{L} \backslash[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]} C(x)$ is defined to be the characteristic sequence of the algebra $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition 1.1. A Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is called $p$-filiform if $C(L)=(n-p, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p})$, where $p \geq 0$.

Note that this definition when $p>0$ agrees with the definition of $p$-filiform Lie algebras.

From now we will use the expression "graded algebra" instead of "naturally graded algebra".

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded $p$-filiform $n$-dimensional Leibniz algebra, then there exists a basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $e_{1} \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L}^{2}$ and $C\left(e_{1}\right)=(n-p, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p})$.

By definition of characteristic sequence the operator $R_{e_{1}}$ in Jordan form has one block $J_{n-p}$ of size $n-p$ and $p$ block $J_{1}$ (where $J_{1}=\{0\}$ ) of size one.

The possibilities for operator $R_{e_{1}}$ are the follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{n-p} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & J_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & J_{n-p} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{1}
\end{array}\right), \cdots, \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & J_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{n-p}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to prove that when $J_{n-p}$ is placed an a different position from the first are isomorphic cases. Thus, we have only the following possibilities of Jordan form of the matrix $R_{e_{1}}$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{n-p} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & J_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & J_{n-p} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Definition 1.2. A $p$-filiform Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is called first type (type I) if the operator $R_{e_{1}}$ has the form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{n-p} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & J_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and second type (type II) in the other case.
1.1. Naturally graded filiform and 2-filiform Lie algebras. Naturally graded $p$-filiform Lie algebras are known for all $p>0$, 4], 5], (9].

Examples of filiform Lie algebras are $\mathcal{L}_{n}, Q_{n}$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{L}_{n} & (n \geq 3):\left\{\left[X_{0}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2\right. \\
\mathcal{Q}_{n} & (n \geq 6, n \text { even }): \begin{cases}{\left[X_{0}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i+1}} & 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-1-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1} X_{n-1}} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

Provided examples of 2-filiform Lie algebras.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(n, r) \quad\left(n \geq 5,3 \leq r \leq 2\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor-1, r \text { odd }\right): \\
& \begin{cases}{\left[X_{0}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i+1}} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{r-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1} Y} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{r-1}{2} .\end{cases} \\
& \mathcal{Q}(n, r) \quad(n \geq 7, n \text { odd; } 3 \leq r \leq n-4, r \text { odd }): \\
& \begin{cases}{\left[X_{0}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i+1}} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{r-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1} Y} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{r-1}{2}, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-2-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1} X_{n-2}} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2} .\end{cases} \\
& \tau(n, n-4) \quad(n \text { odd, } n \geq 7) \text { : } \\
& \begin{cases}{\left[X_{0}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i+1}} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-4-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1}\left(X_{n-4}+Y\right)} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-5}{2}, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-3-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1} \frac{(n-3-2 i)}{2} X_{n-3}} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-5}{2}, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-2-i}\right]=(-1)^{i}(i-1) \frac{(n-3-i)}{2} X_{n-2}} & 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}, \\
{\left[X_{i}, Y\right]=\frac{(5-n)}{2} X_{n-4+i}} & 1 \leq i \leq 2 .\end{cases} \\
& \tau(n, n-3) \quad(n \text { even, } n \geq 6) \text { : } \\
& \begin{cases}{\left[X_{0}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i+1}} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-3-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1}\left(X_{n-3}+Y\right)} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}, \\
{\left[X_{i}, X_{n-2-i}\right]=(-1)^{i-1} \frac{(n-2-2 i)}{2} X_{n-2}} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}, \\
{\left[X_{1}, Y\right]=\frac{(4-n)}{2} X_{n-2} .} & \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Naturally graded $p$-Filiform Leibniz algebra

It is easy to see that a Leibniz algebra of type I is not a Lie algebra.
Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an $n$-dimensional $p$-filiform Leibniz algebra. We define a natural gradation of $\mathcal{L}$ as follows. Take $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_{i}=\mathcal{L}^{i} / \mathcal{L}^{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq n-p$. It is clear that $\mathcal{L} \simeq$
${ }_{1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{n-p}$, where $\left[\mathcal{L}_{i}, \mathcal{L}_{j}\right] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{i+j}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{i+1}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{i}, \mathcal{L}_{1}\right]$ for all $i$.
Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded $p$-filiform Leibniz algebra of the first type. Then there exists a basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-p}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-p-1 \\
{\left[f_{j}, e_{1}\right]=0,} & 1 \leq j \leq p
\end{array}
$$

From this multiplication we have:

$$
<e_{1}>\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{1}, \quad<e_{2}>\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{2}, \quad<e_{3}>\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{3}, \ldots,<e_{n-p}>\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-p}
$$

but we do not know about the places of the elements $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{p}\right\}$.
Let denote by $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{p}$ the places of elements $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{p}$ in natural gradation correspondingly, that is, $f_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{r_{i}}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p$. Further the law of a Leibniz algebra of type I with the set $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{p}\right\}$ will be denoted by $\mu_{\left(I, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{p}\right)}$.

We can suppose that $1 \leq r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq \cdots \leq r_{p} \leq n-p$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded p-filiform Leibniz algebra of type $I$. Then $r_{s} \leq s$ for any $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$.

Proof:
Note $r_{1}=1$. In fact, if $r_{1}>1$, then the algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is one generated and by [[1], lemma 1] it is nul-filiform Leibniz algebra, and hence $C(\mathcal{L})=(n, 0)$, that is, we obtain contradiction with condition $C(L)=(n-p, 1,1, \ldots, 1)$.

Let us prove that $r_{2} \leq 2$. Suppose otherwise, that is, $r_{2}>2$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{1}=<e_{1}, e_{n-p+1}> \\
\mathcal{L}_{2}=<e_{2}> \\
\mathcal{L}_{r_{2}}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{r_{2}-1}, \mathcal{L}_{1}\right]=<\left[<e_{r_{2}-1}>,<e_{1}, f_{1}>\right]>=<e_{r_{2}},\left[e_{r_{2}-1}, f_{1}\right]>
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the multiplication:

$$
\left[e_{r_{2}-1}, f_{1}\right]=\left[\left[e_{r_{2}-2}, e_{1}\right], f_{1}\right]=\left[e_{r_{2}-2},\left[e_{1}, f_{1}\right]\right]+\left[\left[e_{r_{2}-2}, f_{1}\right], e_{1}\right]
$$

Since the multiplication $\left[e_{1}, f_{1}\right] \in \mathcal{L}_{2}=<e_{2}>\subseteq Z(\mathcal{L})$, then the first item is equal to zero. It is evident that the second item belongs to the linear span $<e_{r_{2}}>$. So, $f_{2} \notin \mathcal{L}_{r_{2}}$ and we obtain contradiction method, hence $r_{2} \leq 2$.

Let us suppose that the condition of the theorem is true for any value less than $s$. We prove that $r_{s} \leq s$. We shall prove it by contradiction, that is, suppose that $r_{s}>s$.

If $r_{s}>s$ we prove the following embedding:

$$
\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}}, f_{t}\right] \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>, \quad 1 \leq t \leq s-1
$$

We shall prove it by descending induction by t .
Let us prove it for $t=s-1$. Consider the multiplication:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}}, f_{s-1}\right] } & =\left[\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}-1}, e_{1}\right], f_{s-1}\right]=\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}-1},\left[e_{1}, f_{s-1}\right]\right]+ \\
& +\left[\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}-1}, f_{s-1}\right], e_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r_{s}>s$, we have $\left[e_{1}, f_{s-1}\right] \in \mathcal{L}_{r_{s-1}+1}=<e_{r_{s-1}+1}>\in Z(\mathcal{L})$, that is, $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}-1},\left[e_{1}, f_{s-1}\right]\right]=0$. From the multiplication on the right side on $e_{1}$ we have

$$
\left[\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}-1}, f_{s-1}\right], e_{1}\right] \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>
$$

hence, $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{s-1}}, f_{s-1}\right] \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>$.
Let suppose that embedding $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}}, f_{t}\right] \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>$ is true for any value greater than $t+1$. We prove it for $t$.

Consider the multiplication:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}}, f_{t}\right]=\left[\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}-1}, e_{1}\right], f_{t}\right]=\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}-1},\left[e_{1}, f_{t}\right]\right]+} \\
& +\left[\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}-1}, f_{t}\right], e_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\left[e_{1}, f_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{L}_{r_{t}+1}$, then in case $r_{t}+1=r_{t+1}$ we have $\mathcal{L}_{r_{t}+1}=\left\{e_{r_{t+1}}, f_{t+1} \vee\right.$ $\left.f_{t+2} \vee \cdots \vee f_{s-1}\right\}$. Therefore the multiplication $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}-1},\left[e_{1}, f_{t}\right]\right]$ is contained in linear span $<e_{r_{s}}>$ by induction.

If $r_{t}+1 \neq r_{t+1}$ the following equality $\left[e_{1}, f_{t}\right]=<e_{r_{t}+1}>$ is hold (because $\left.\mathcal{L}_{r_{t}+1}=<e_{r_{t}+1}>\right)$ and hence $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}-1},\left[e_{1}, f_{t}\right]\right]=0$. Evidently, the second item also is contained in the linear span $<e_{r_{s}}>$.

Thus, $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}}, f_{t}\right] \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>, 1 \leq t \leq s-1$ is proved.
Let us prove that $\mathcal{L}_{r_{s}} \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>$ supposing $r_{s}>s$. Consider the multiplication:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{r_{s}}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{r_{s}-1}, \mathcal{L}_{1}\right]=\left[<e_{r_{s}-1}>,<e_{1}, f_{1} \vee \cdots \vee f_{s-1}>\right]
$$

From $\left[e_{r_{s}-r_{t}}, f_{t}\right] \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>$, we have that $\mathcal{L}_{r_{s}} \subseteq<e_{r_{s}}>$, that is, we obtain the contradiction which completes the proof of theorem.
2.1. Naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. In this section naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras will be classified.

The classification of the null-filiform Leibniz algebras is an easy task and one for naturally graded 1-filiform Leibniz algebras is similar to the case of Lie algebras. However, when $p$, increases the difficulties also increase exponentially in the study of Leibniz algebras with respect to Lie algebras.

From [5] we observe the existence of graded 2-filiform Lie algebras in arbitrary dimension. Let us demonstrate examples of graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type I which obviously are not Lie algebras.

In this work, we use the following notation:

- $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}, e_{n}\right\}$ an adapted basis and
- $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the places of elements $e_{n-1}, e_{n}$.

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{n-2}^{0}$ be a graded nul-filiform Leibniz algebra of dimension $n-2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{1}$ be a graded filiform non Lie Leibniz algebra of dimension $n-1$ of type I. Then $\mathcal{L}_{n-2}^{0} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{1} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ are graded n-dimensional split 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type I.

The following lemma establishes that a graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type I with condition $r_{1}=r_{2}=1$ is a split algebra from the above example.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded 2 -filiform Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I, 1,1)}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a split algebra from example 1.

## Proof:

Let algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has form $\mu_{(I, 1,1)}$, then for an adapted basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ the multiplications on the right side on $e_{1}$ are the following:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{i} e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{n-1} e_{2}} & \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{n} e_{2}} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\beta_{i} e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{n-1}, e_{n}\right]=\beta_{n-1} e_{2}} & \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\beta_{n} e_{2}} & \end{cases}
$$

Using Leibniz identity it is not difficult to obtain the following restrictions:

$$
\begin{cases}\alpha_{i}=\alpha, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \beta_{i}=\beta, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \alpha_{n-1}=\alpha_{n}=0 & \\ \beta_{n-1}=\beta_{n}=0 & \end{cases}
$$

Let us rewrite the multiplications of basis elements taking into account the above restrictions:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\beta e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3\end{cases}
$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$ we take the change of basis: $e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, e_{n}^{\prime}=\alpha e_{n}-$ $\beta e_{n-1}$, we can suppose that the coefficient $\beta$ is equal to zero, that is, we have the multiplications:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-3} \\
{\left[e_{i}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\alpha=0$, then taking $e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-2, e_{n-1}^{\prime}=e_{n}, e_{n}^{\prime}=e_{n-1}$, we can also suppose that coefficient $\beta=0$. In this case it is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{n-2}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

If $\alpha \neq 0$, the change of basis $e_{n-1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\alpha} e_{n-1}$ (and $e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i}, i \neq n-1$ ) allows us to suppose $\alpha=1$ and so $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

For graded non split 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type I with condition $r_{2}=2$ the following theorem is hold.

The next results were supported by Mathematica package.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an 4-dimensional graded 2-filiform non split Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I, 1,2)}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to the following algebra:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{1}, e_{1}\right]=e_{2}} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=e_{4}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proof:

We have that the natural gradation is:

$$
<e_{1}, e_{3}>\oplus<e_{2}, e_{4}>
$$

and the multiplication is:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{1}, e_{1}\right]=e_{2}} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=\alpha_{1} e_{2}+\beta_{1} e_{4}} \\
{\left[e_{3}, e_{3}\right]=\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\beta_{2} e_{4}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\beta_{1} \neq 0$ or $\beta_{2} \neq 0$.
If we make the following change of basis $\beta_{2}^{\prime} e_{4}^{\prime}=\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\beta_{2} e_{4}$ it is possible to suppose $\alpha_{2}=0$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{1}, e_{1}\right]=e_{2}} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=\alpha_{1} e_{2}+\beta_{1} e_{4}} \\
{\left[e_{3}, e_{3}\right]=\beta_{2} e_{4}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\beta_{1} \neq 0$ or $\beta_{2} \neq 0$.
According to the characteristic sequence we have that $\operatorname{rank}\left(R_{e_{1}+A e_{3}}\right) \leq 1$, it implies that $\beta_{2}=0$ and $\beta_{1} \neq 0$. An elementary change of basis permits to prove this result.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a 5-dimensional naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I, 1,2)}$. Then, $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to the one of the following pairwise non isomorphic algebras:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=e_{2}+e_{5},} \\
{\left[e_{2}, e_{4}\right]=e_{3},}
\end{array} \quad \mu^{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=e_{5}}
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\mu^{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=i e_{2}+e_{5},} \\
{\left[e_{2}, e_{4}\right]=i e_{3},} \\
{\left[e_{5}, e_{4}\right]=e_{3} .}
\end{array} i^{2}=-1\right.
\end{gathered} \quad \mu^{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=e_{5}} \\
{\left[e_{5}, e_{4}\right]=e_{3}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Proof:

Analogously as in above we can assume that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=<e_{1}, e_{4}>, \mathcal{L}_{2}=<e_{2}, e_{5}>, \mathcal{L}_{3}=<e_{3}>, \mathcal{L}_{4}=<0>
$$

Put $\left[e_{5}, e_{4}\right]=\gamma e_{3}$. If $\gamma=0$, then we obtain algebra $L(\alpha, 0)$, otherwise not restricted of generality we obtain algebra $L(\alpha, 1)$. Since dimension of left annihilator of the algebra $L(\alpha, 0)$ is equal to $2\left(e_{4}, e_{5} \in L(\mathcal{L})\right)$ and dimension of left annihilator of the algebra $L(\alpha, 1)$ is equal to $1\left(e_{4} \in L(\mathcal{L})\right)$ there are not isomorphic.

From the above argumentation we have following algebras

$$
L(\alpha, 1):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=\alpha e_{2}+f_{2}} \\
{\left[e_{2}, e_{4}\right]=\alpha e_{3}} \\
{\left[f_{2}, e_{4}\right]=e_{3}}
\end{array} \quad L(\alpha, 0):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq 2} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=\alpha e_{2}+f_{2}} \\
{\left[e_{2}, e_{4}\right]=\alpha e_{3}}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

If we considered algebra

$$
L(\alpha, 0): \begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}} & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{4}\right]=\alpha e_{2}+f_{2}} \\ {\left[e_{2}, e_{4}\right]=\alpha e_{3}}\end{cases}
$$

We have $\alpha=1$ or 0 . And we obtain the two first algebras of proposition. By standard way it is not difficult to check that these algebras are not isomorphic.

Consider algebra $L(\alpha, 1)$, we make the general change of basis

$$
e_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1} e_{1}+a_{2} e_{4}, \quad e_{4}^{\prime}=b_{1} e_{1}+b_{2} e_{4}
$$

where $a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1} \neq 0$.
In other hand $\left[e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right]=0$ and we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{1} a_{1}+b_{1} a_{2} \alpha=0 \\
b_{1} a_{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

it implies that $b_{1}=0$. Finally we obtain

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{b_{2}\left[a_{1} \alpha+a_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\right]}{\left[\left(a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha\right)^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right]}
$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basic element we obtain restriction

$$
b_{2}^{2}=\frac{\left[\left(a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha\right)^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right]^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}}
$$

It is not difficult to check that the nullity of the following expression is invariant because:

$$
1+\alpha^{\prime 2}=\frac{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)\left(\left(a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha\right)^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)}{a_{1}^{2}}=
$$

Case 1. $\alpha^{2}+1 \neq 0$ then putting $a_{2}=-\frac{a_{1} \alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}}$ implies $\alpha^{\prime}=0$. Thus, in this case we obtain $\mu_{4}$.

Case 2. $\alpha^{2}+1=0$ (i.e $\alpha= \pm i$ ) then we have that $b_{2}= \pm \frac{\left(a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha^{2}\right)+a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}= \pm \alpha$ we obtain $\alpha^{\prime}=i$. Thus, in this case we obtain $\mu_{3}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an $n$-dimensional ( $n \geq 6$ ) graded 2 -filiform non split Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I, 1,2)}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to the one of the following pairwise non isomorphic algebras:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ [ e _ { i } , e _ { 1 } ] = e _ { i + 1 } , } & { 1 \leq i \leq n - 3 } \\
{ [ e _ { 1 } , e _ { n - 1 } ] = e _ { 2 } + e _ { n } } \\
{ [ e _ { i } , e _ { n - 1 } ] = e _ { i + 1 } , } & { 2 \leq i \leq n - 3 }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-3} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{n-1}\right]=e_{n}}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

## Proof:

According to the theorem conditions we have the following multiplications in an adapted basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{1} e_{2}+\gamma_{1} e_{n}} & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{i} e_{i+1},} & \\ {\left[e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{n-1} e_{2}+\gamma_{n-1} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha_{n} e_{3}} & 1 \leq i \leq n-4 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\beta_{i} e_{i+2},} & \\ {\left[e_{n-1}, e_{n}\right]=\beta_{n-1} e_{3}} & \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\beta_{n} e_{4}} & \end{cases}
$$

where either $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{n-1} \neq 0$.
Using Leibniz identity it is not difficult to obtain the following restrictions:

$$
\begin{cases}\alpha_{i}=\alpha, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \beta_{i} \gamma_{1}=0, & 1 \leq i \leq n-4 \\ \beta_{i} \gamma_{n-1}=0, & 1 \leq i \leq n-4 \\ \gamma_{1} \beta_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-1}=0 & \\ \alpha_{n-1}=\alpha_{n}=0 & \\ \beta_{i} \gamma_{j}=0, & i \in\{n-1, n\}, j \in\{1, n-1\}\end{cases}
$$

Since either $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{n-1} \neq 0$, we have that $\beta_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-4$ and $\beta_{n-1}=\beta_{n}=0$. Thus, the multiplications have the following form:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha e_{2}+\gamma_{1} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n-1}\right]=\alpha e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}\right]=\gamma_{n-1} e_{n}} & \end{cases}
$$

It is possible to suppose that

$$
\left.R_{e_{1}+A e_{n-1}}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll} 
& & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
(1+A \alpha) I_{n-3} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
A \gamma_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) A \gamma_{n-1} . l\right)
$$

where $I_{n-3}$ is the unit matrix of size $n-3$ and $1+A \alpha \neq 0$.
As $\operatorname{rang}\left(R_{e_{1}+A e_{n-1}}\right) \leq n-3$ (otherwise the characteristic sequence for element $e_{1}+A e_{n-1}$ would be greater than $(n-p, 1, \ldots, 1)$ ), then $(1+A \alpha)^{n-3} A \gamma_{n-1}=0$, hence $\gamma_{n-1}=0$ and $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$. By an elementary change of basis, it is possible to suppose that $\gamma_{1}=1$.

By a general change of basis the expression for the new generators is

$$
e_{1}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i} e_{i}, \quad e_{n-1}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_{i} e_{i}
$$

obtaining $\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{B_{n-1} \alpha}{A_{1}+A_{n-1} \alpha}$.
It is easy to see that if $\alpha \neq 0$ we have the first algebra of the theorem and if $\alpha=0$ the second algebra.

Consider now graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type II.
Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded $n$-dimensional $p$-filiform Leibniz algebra. Then there exists a basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-p}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{p}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ such that multiplications on the right side on element $e_{1}$ will have the form:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{1}, e_{1}\right]=0} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-p-1 \\ {\left[f_{j}, e_{1}\right]=0,} & 1 \leq j \leq p\end{cases}
$$

From these multiplications we have:

$$
<e_{1}>\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{1}, \quad<e_{i+1}>\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2
$$

But again we do not know about the position of elements $\left\{e_{2}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \ldots, f_{p}\right\}$ in natural gradation.

Let denote by $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{p}\left(r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq \cdots \leq r_{p}\right)$ the places of elements $e_{2}, f_{2}$, $f_{3}, \ldots, f_{p}$ correspondingly, that is, $e_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{r_{1}}, f_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{r_{i}}, 2 \leq i \leq p$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded 2 -filiform Leibniz algebra. Since $r_{1}=1$, further we shall denote $r_{2}$ by $r$.

For the 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type II the following lemma is hold.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an $n$-dimensional 2 -filiform Leibniz algebra. Then the following conditions are hold:
a) $\mathcal{L}$ has nilindex $n-1$;
b) or $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)=n-1-i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2$

$$
\text { or } \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
n-i, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\
n-1-i, & r+1 \leq i \leq n-2
\end{array} \quad \text { for some } r, 2 \leq r \leq n-2\right.
$$

Proof:
a) Let $x \in \mathcal{L}-[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ such that $C(x)=(n-2,1,1)$. Hence, $R_{x}^{n-2}=0$ and $R_{x}^{n-3} \neq 0$ and, consequently, there exists element $y \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $R_{x}^{n-3}(y) \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}^{n-2} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}=0$ (when $\mathcal{L}^{n-1} \neq 0$, then by [1],lemma 1, lemma 4] the algebra $\mathcal{L}$ would be either nul-filiform or filiform).
b) Let $e_{1} \in \mathcal{L}-[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ be a maximal characteristic vector of $l l$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is of type I. Then for $r=1$, that is, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}^{2}\right)=3$ we have that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)=n-1-i$, $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. For $r=2$, that is, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}^{2}\right)=2$ we get:

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)= \begin{cases}n-2, & i=2 \\ n-1-i, & 3 \leq i \leq n-2\end{cases}
$$

Let algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has the type II. For $r_{2}=1$ we obtain that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}^{2}\right)=3$, that is, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)=n-1-i, 2 \leq i \leq n-2$. For $r_{2} \in\{2,3, \ldots, n-2\}$ we get: $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}^{2}\right)=2$, that is, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)= \begin{cases}n-i, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ n-1-i, & r+1 \leq i \leq n-2\end{cases}$

Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a complex $n$-dimensional ( $n \geq 5$ ) graded 2 -filiform Leibniz algebra of type II and $r>2$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra.

Proof:
Let (1) be the family of laws of $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$
(1) \begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{1, i} e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq r \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{r}\right]=\alpha_{1, r} e_{r+1}+\gamma_{1} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{1, n} e_{r+2}} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=\alpha_{i, j} e_{i+j-1},} & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, i+j \neq r+2 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{r+2-i}\right]=\alpha_{i, r+2-i} e_{r+1}+\gamma_{i} e_{n},} & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{n, i} e_{i+r},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{i, n} e_{i+r},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{n, n} e_{2 r+1},} & r \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\end{cases}
$$

where omitted products are zero and $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right) \neq(0,0, \ldots, 0)$.
Using Leibniz identity we get the following restrictions:

$$
\begin{cases}\alpha_{1, i}=\alpha, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \gamma_{1}=0 & \\ \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)=0 & r \leq n-4 \\ \alpha_{1, n}=0, & r \leq \frac{n-3}{2} \\ \alpha_{n, n}=0, & \end{cases}
$$

It is necessary to consider separately the cases $r=n-3, r=n-2$ and $r=\frac{n-2}{2}$ ( $n$ even).

Case 1. $\alpha=0$. Then (1) will have the following form:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=\alpha_{i, j} e_{i+j-1},} & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, i+j \neq r+2 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{r+2-i}\right]=\alpha_{i, r+2-i} e_{r+1}+\gamma_{i} e_{n},} & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{n, i} e_{i+r},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{i, n} e_{i+r},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1\end{cases}
$$

Using Leibniz identity for elements $\left\{e_{i}, e_{r+1-i}, e_{1}\right\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$ and $\left\{e_{i}, e_{1}, e_{r+1-i}\right\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{i},\left[e_{r+1-i}, e_{1}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{i}, e_{r+1-i}\right], e_{1}\right]-\left[\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right], e_{r+1-i}\right]} \\
& {\left[e_{i},\left[e_{1}, e_{r+1-i}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right], e_{r+1-i}\right]-\left[\left[e_{i}, e_{r+1-i}\right], e_{1}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain that $\gamma_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$. Hence $e_{n} \notin \mathcal{L}^{2}$ and $r=1$ we have the contradiction to the condition of the lemma.

Case 2. $\alpha=-1$. Then the multiplications (1) will have the form:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right]=-e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=\alpha_{i, j} e_{i+j-1},} & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, \quad i+j \leq n \quad i+j \neq r+2 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{r+2-i}\right]=\alpha_{i, r+2-i} e_{r+1}+\gamma_{i} e_{n},} & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{n, i} e_{i+r},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{i, n} e_{i+r},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1\end{cases}
$$

where $\left(\gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$.
Using Leibniz identity it is not difficult to get that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{n, i}=\alpha_{n}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\
\alpha_{i, n}=\overline{\alpha_{n}}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\
\alpha_{n}=-\overline{\alpha_{n}} &
\end{array}
$$

From equality $\left[e_{1},\left[e_{i}, e_{i}\right]\right]=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$, we have $\alpha_{i, i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. When $i=\frac{n}{2}$ (when $n$ is even), we consider the following equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}}\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{1}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}}, e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right], e_{1}\right]-\left[\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}}, e_{1}\right], e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right]}  \tag{2}\\
& {\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{1}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right], e_{1}\right]-\left[\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{1}\right], e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right]}  \tag{3}\\
& {\left[e_{1},\left[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{\frac{n}{2}}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right], e_{\frac{n}{2}}\right]-\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{\frac{n}{2}}\right], e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right]} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

From equalities (2) up to (4) we obtain the restrictions:

$$
(5)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}=\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1}-\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}-1} \\
\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1}=-\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}} \\
\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}=\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}-1}+\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (5) we have that $\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}=0$.
Thus, we prove that $\left[e_{i}, e_{i}\right]=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
From the following chain of equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right] } & =\left[e_{i},\left[e_{j-1}, e_{1}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{i}, e_{j-1}\right], e_{1}\right]-\left[\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right], e_{j-1}\right]= \\
& =-\left[e_{1},\left[e_{i}, e_{j-1}\right]\right]+\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right], e_{j-1}\right]= \\
& =-\left(\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right], e_{j-1}\right]-\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{j-1}\right], e_{i}\right]\right)+\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right], e_{j-1}\right]=\left[\left[e_{1}, e_{j-1}\right], e_{i}\right]= \\
& =-\left[e_{j}, e_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain that $\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=-\left[e_{j}, e_{i}\right]$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, that is, is a Lie algebra.
The cases $r=n-3, r=n-2$ and $r=\frac{n-2}{2}$ (when $n$ is even) are proved analogously.

Next, we will see some examples of graded filiform Leibniz algebras of type II.
Example 2. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded filiform Leibniz algebra of type II. Then $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is graded 2 -filiform Leibniz algebra of type II.

And now, we prove some lemmas for a graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II.

Lemma 2.8. There exits no a graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II and $r=1$.

## Proof:

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Leibniz algebra which satisfies the condition of the lemma. Then the table of multiplication is

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{1, i} e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{1, n} e_{3}} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=\alpha_{i, j} e_{i+j-1},} & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, \quad i+j \leq n \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{n, i} e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{i, n} e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{n, n} e_{3}} & \end{cases}
$$

From Leibniz identity we have the following restrictions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1, i}=\alpha, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)=0 \\
\alpha_{1, n}=\alpha_{n, n}=0
\end{array}
$$

Case 1. $\alpha=0$. Using Leibniz identity we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{i, j}=\alpha_{j}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
\alpha_{j}=0, & 3 \leq j \leq n-2 \\
\alpha_{i, n}=\alpha_{n} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
\alpha_{n, i}=0 & 2 \leq i \leq n-2
\end{array}
$$

and taking a change of basis: $e_{2}^{\prime}=e_{2}-\alpha_{2} e_{1}, e_{n}^{\prime}=e_{n}-\alpha_{n} e_{1}, e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i}$ for $i \neq 2, n$, we have that $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{n}=0$, that is, $\mathcal{L}$ is split.

Case 2. $\alpha=-1$. Analogous to lemma 2.7 we get a Lie algebra.

Lemma 2.9. There exits no a graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type $I I$ and $r=2$.

## Proof:

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Leibniz algebra satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Then, there exists an adapted basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ such that the multiplications will be the following:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=\alpha_{1,2} e_{3}+\gamma_{1} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{1, i} e_{i+1},} & 3 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{1, n} e_{4}} & \\ {\left[e_{2}, e_{2}\right]=\alpha_{2,2} e_{3}+\gamma_{2} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=\alpha_{i, j} e_{i+j-1},} & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, \quad(i, j) \neq(2,2) \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{n, i} e_{i+2},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{i, n} e_{i+2},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{n, n} e_{5},} & \end{cases}
$$

where either $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{2} \neq 0$.

As in the above two lemmas we obtain:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1, i}=\alpha, \\
\alpha(1+\alpha)=0 \\
\alpha_{1, n}=\alpha_{n, n}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us consider two possible cases for parameter $\alpha$.
Case 1. $\alpha=0$. Then, the multiplications in $\mathcal{L}$ have the form:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ {\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=\gamma_{1} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{2}, e_{2}\right]=\alpha_{2,2} e_{3}+\gamma_{2} e_{n}} & \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=\alpha_{i, j} e_{i+j-1},} & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, \quad(i, j) \neq(2,2) \\ {\left[e_{n}, e_{i}\right]=\alpha_{n, i} e_{i+2},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ {\left[e_{i}, e_{n}\right]=\alpha_{i, n} e_{i+2},} & 2 \leq i \leq n-3\end{cases}
$$

where either $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{2} \neq 0$.
Using Leibniz identity leads us to the following restrictions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{i, j}=\alpha_{j}, & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2 \\
\alpha_{j}=0, & 3 \leq j \leq n-2 \\
\alpha_{i, n}=\alpha_{n}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\
\alpha_{n, i}=0, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\
\alpha_{n} \gamma_{2}=0 & \\
\alpha_{n} \gamma_{1}=0 &
\end{array}
$$

Either $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{2} \neq 0$ (otherwise algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is split), then $\alpha_{n}=0$.
The change of basis given by $e_{2}^{\prime}=e_{2}-\alpha_{2} e_{1}, e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i}, i \neq 2$, allows to suppose $\alpha_{2}=0$.

Consider the operator of right multiplication $R_{e_{1}+A e_{n-1}}$, where $0 \neq A \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e_{1}+A e_{n-1} \neq 0 . \gamma_{2}=0$ and hence $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ may be proved in much the same way as the proof of theorem 2.5. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\gamma=1$.

Thus, we have the following multiplications in algebra $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=e_{n}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking the change of basis in form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}^{\prime}=e_{1}+e_{2}, \quad e_{2}^{\prime}=e_{3}+e_{n} \\
& e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i+1}, \quad 3 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
& e_{n-1}^{\prime}=e_{1}, \quad e_{n}^{\prime}=e_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain the algebra of type I.
Case 2. $\alpha=-1$. As in above cases, we get a Lie algebra.

From lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9 we can conclude that there exist no graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type II.

Thus, according to theorem 2.5 we have the classification of non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. Summing the classification of non split graded 2-filiform Lie algebras [5] and the result of theorem 2.5 we have completed the classification of graded non split 2-filiform Leibniz algebras.
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