LINEAR SUBSETS OF NONLINEAR SETS IN TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

LUIS BERNAL-GONZÁLEZ, DANIEL PELLEGRINO, AND JUAN B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA

ABSTRACT. For the last decade there has been a generalized trend in Mathematics on the search for *large* algebraic structures (linear spaces, closed subspaces, or infinitely generated algebras) composed of mathematical objects enjoying certain *special* properties. This trend has caught the eye of many researchers and has also had a remarkable influence in Real and Complex Analysis, Operator Theory, Summability Theory, Polynomials in Banach spaces, Hypercyclicity and Chaos, and general Functional Analysis. This expository paper is devoted to providing an account on the advances and on the state of the art of this trend, nowadays known as *lineability* and *spaceability*.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction. "Strange" mathematical objects throughout history

Throughout history there have always been mathematical objects that have contradicted the intuition of the working mathematician. To cite some of these objects, let us recall the famous Weierstrass' Monster, Sierpiński's carpet, discontinuous additive functions (or Jones' functions), Peano curves, Cantor functions, or even the more modern differentiable nowhere monotone functions.

One may think that, once such an object is found, not many more like it can possibly exist. History has proven this last statement wrong. It is actually so wrong that, at the present time, the appearance of these exotic mathematical objects no longer comes as a surprise to mathematicians (for a quite complete account of some of these so-called strange objects we refer the interested reader to the monographs [161–163, 199, 264, 274]).

A classical, famous, and very powerful technique that one can use to obtain some kinds of unexpected objects is the very famous *Baire category theorem*. One version of this theorem states that, in any complete metric space E, the complement of a first category subset of E is everywhere dense in E. It usually happens that this complement consists exactly of strange (in certain sense) elements (for instance, the Baire category theorem can be applied to showing that "most" real valued C^∞ functions are nowhere analytic, as seen in Section 2.2.2). Unfortunately the Baire category theorem does not apply to many cases and sometimes one needs to employ a constructive approach when tackling certain problems.

For the last decade there has been a generalized trend in Mathematics on the search for *large* algebraic structures of special objects (and sometimes called pathological in the literature, [156, 254]). Let us introduce some terminology before carrying on. A subset M of a topological vector space X is called *lineable* (resp. *spaceable*) in X if there exists an infinite dimensional linear space (resp. an infinite dimensional *closed* linear space) $Y \subset M \cup \{0\}$. These notions of lineable and spaceable were originally coined by Vladimir I. Gurariy and they first appeared in [22, 181, 254]. Prior to the publication of these previous works, some authors (when working with infinite dimensional spaces) already found large linear structures enjoying these type of special properties (even though they did not explicitly use terms like lineability or spaceability, see e.g. [19]). One of the first results illustrating this was due to Levine and Milman:

Theorem 1.1 (Levine, Milman, 1940, [212])**.** *The subset of $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ of all continuous functions on $[0,1]$ of bounded variation is not spaceable.*

As usual, by $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ we have denoted the Banach space of continuous functions $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the supremum norm. Later, the following famous result on the set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions was proved by Gurariy.

Theorem 1.2 (Gurariy, 1966, [178])**.** *The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions on $[0,1]$ is lineable.*

Let us also recall that, although the set of everywhere differentiable functions in $\mathbb{R}$ is, in itself, an infinite dimensional vector space, in 1966 Gurariy obtained the following analogue of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3 (Gurariy, 1966, [178])**.** *The set of everywhere differentiable functions on* $[0,1]$ *is not spaceable in* $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$*. Also, there exist closed infinite dimensional subspaces of* $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ *all of whose members are differentiable on* $(0,1)$*.*

Somehow, what we are seeing is that what one could expect to be an isolated phenomenon can actually even have a *nice* algebraic structure (in the form of infinite dimensional subspaces). Unfortunately, and as we mentioned above, the Baire category theorem cannot be employed in the search for large subspaces like the ones mentioned in the previous results. Let us now provide a more formal and complete definition for the above concepts and some other ones.

Definition 1.4 (Lineability and spaceability, $[22, 181, 254]$)**.** *Let X be a topological vector space and M a subset of X. Let μ be a cardinal number.*

(1) *M is said to be μ-lineable (μ-spaceable) if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space (resp. a closed vector space) of dimension μ. At times, we shall be referring to the set M as simply* lineable *or* spaceable *if the existing subspace is infinite dimensional.*

(2) *We also let $\lambda(M)$ be the maximum cardinality (if it exists) of such a vector space.[1]*

(3) *When the above linear space can be chosen to be dense in X we shall say that M is μ-dense-lineable.*

Moreover, Bernal introduced in [69] the notion of *maximal lineable* (and those of *maximal dense-lineable* and *maximal-spaceable*), meaning that, when keeping the above notation, the dimension of the existing linear space equals $\dim(X)$. Besides asking for linear spaces one could also study other structures, such as algebrability and some related ones, which were presented in $[25, 27, 254]$.

Definition 1.5. *Given a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and two cardinal numbers α and β, we say that:*

(1) *$\mathcal{B}$ is* algebrable *if there is a subalgebra $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ so that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ and the cardinality of any system of generators of $\mathcal{C}$ is infinite.*

(2) *$\mathcal{B}$ is* dense-algebrable *if, in addition, $\mathcal{C}$ can be taken dense in $\mathcal{A}$.*

---

[1]Indeed, this $\lambda(M)$ might not exist. It is not difficult to provide natural examples of sets which are n-lineable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ but which are not infinitely lineable. For instance, let $j_1 \le k_1 < j_2 \le \cdots \le k_m < j_{m+1} \le \ldots$ be integers and let $M = \cup_m \{\sum_{i=j_m}^{k_m} a_i x^i : a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Since the sets $\{\sum_{i=j_m}^{k_m} a_i x^i : a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ $(m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are pairwise disjoint, M is finitely, but not infinitely, lineable in $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$. Depending on the choice of the sequence (j_n), M may even be closed in $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$. For instance, it is shown in [180] that if (j_n) is a lacunary sequence, then $\{x_n^{j_n}\}_{n \ge 1}$ is a basic sequence in $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$. On the other hand, no matter what sequence $j_1 \le k_1 < j_2 \le \ldots$ we take, the corresponding set of complex polynomials $M = \cup_n \{\sum_{\ell=j_n}^{k_n} a_\ell z^\ell : a_\ell \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is always closed in H^∞, the Banach space of holomorphic functions in the open unit disc of $\mathbb{C}$ endowed with the supremum norm.

(3) $\mathcal{B}$ is (α, β)-algebrable *if there is an algebra* $\mathcal{B}_1$ *so that* $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$, $dim(\mathcal{B}_1) = \alpha$, $card(S) = \beta$, *and* S *is a minimal system of generators of* $\mathcal{B}_1$.[2]

(4) *At times we shall say that* $\mathcal{B}$ *is, simply,* κ-algebrable *if there exists a* κ-generated *subalgebra* $\mathcal{C}$ *of* $\mathcal{A}$ *with* $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$.

We also say that a subset M of a linear algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is *strongly* κ-*algebrable* if there exists a κ-generated free algebra $\mathcal{A}$ contained in $M \cup \{0\}$ (see [39]). Other types of structures have also been considered, such as cones[3] or modules[4]. The links between the previous concepts are as follows:

$$\text{(STRONG) ALGEBRABILITY}$$

$$\text{SPACEABILITY} \qquad \text{MODULEABILITY} \qquad \text{CONEABILITY}$$

$$\text{LINEABILITY}$$

All the implications in the previous diagram are strict. Specifically, examples of sets that are lineable but not spaceable, coneable but not lineable, lineable but not moduleable, moduleable but not algebrable, and algebrable but not strongly algebrable, can be respectively found in [178], [2], [157], [157] and [40].

In this survey paper, we will mainly focus on subspaces and subalgebras in topological vector spaces. The paper is arranged in four main sections, dealing with lineability, spaceability and algebrability within many different frameworks. The text is organized as follows:

Section 2.- In this part, we focus on real and complex valued functions. In connection with the existence of strange objects, the classical Mathematical Analysis in real and complex variables is certainly the largest existing source.

Section 3.- The theory of hypercyclic operators has experienced an exponential development since its birth at the end of last century, and it deserves a separate section itself. The construction of infinite algebraic structures of hypercyclic vectors is still an ongoing problem nowadays studied by many authors.

Section 4.- The topic of polynomials in Banach spaces and their sets of zeros also deserves a special place in this survey since it has recently been linked to this theory of lineability and spaceability. This topic has just recently started to expand and it

---

[2]Here, by S *is a minimal set of generators of an algebra* $\mathcal{D}$ we mean that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A}(S)$ is the algebra generated by S, and for every $x_0 \in S$ $x_0 \notin \mathcal{A}(S \setminus \{x_0\})$.

[3]A set of functions in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}}$) is said to be *coneable* if it possesses a positive (or negative) cone containing an infinite linearly independent set, see [2, Definition 1.1].

[4]Let L be a subset of a Banach algebra (or a topological algebra) X. We say that L is *moduleable* if there exists an infinitely generated subalgebra M of X and an infinitely generated additive subgroup G of X such that G is a $(M, \mathbb{K})$-bimodule, G is $\mathbb{K}$-infinite dimensional and $L \cup \{0\} \supset G$. For more information on this notion we refer to [157, Definition 1.2].

has rapidly attracted the interest of many researchers in the area and there are still several ongoing works on it.

Section 5.- In the last part we shall give some remarks on lineability and several open problems in Functional Analysis. Moreover, we provide an account on all the existing techniques that can be applied to general situations in different frameworks in order to achieve lineability, or even dense-lineability and spaceability.

Throughout this survey, open problems will be given and directions will be also indicated to tackle new problems in this theory. We believe that the questions and open problems found here are of interest in many areas of Mathematics, and their answers (either in the positive or in the negative) would certainly help to develop the recently coined theory of lineability and spaceability.

Standard symbols and notation that will be used throughout the paper include the following. The symbols, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote, respectively, the set of positive integers, the set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the ring of integers, the field of rational numbers, the real line and the complex plane. The symbols $\aleph_0$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ stand for the cardinality of $\mathbb{N}$ and the cardinality of the continuum, respectively. A subset A of a topological space X is called G_δ (resp. F_σ) if A is a countable intersection of dense open subsets (resp. a countable union of closed subsets each of them with empty interior). Hence A is a G_δ set if and only if its complement is an F_σ set. Moreover, a subset $A \subset X$ is said to be residual provided that its complement $X \setminus A$ is of first category, that is, $X \setminus A$ can be written as a countable union of sets whose closures have empty interiors. Therefore, by Baire's category theorem, residual subsets are topologically large in a complete metric space.

2. Special subsets of real and complex functions

2.1. **Continuous nowhere differentiable functions (Weierstrass' Monsters).** It came as a general shock when in 1872, and during a presentation before the Berlin Academy, K. Weierstrass provided the classical example of a function that was continuous everywhere but differentiable nowhere. The particular example was defined as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \cos(b^k \pi x),$$

where $0 < a < 1$, b is any odd integer, and $ab > 1 + 3\pi/2$.

The apparent shock was a consequence of the general thought that most mathematicians shared: that a continuous function must have derivatives at a significant set of points (even A.M. Ampère attempted to give a theoretical justification for this). Although the first published example is certainly due to Weierstrass, already in 1830 the Czech mathematician B. Bolzano exhibited a continuous nowhere differentiable function. Let us make a brief overview of the appearance throughout history of "Weierstrass' monsters" (see e.g. [265] for a thorough study of the citations below):

Discoverer	Year
B. Bolzano	$\approx$1830
M.Ch. Cellérier	$\approx$1830
B. Riemann	1861
H. Hankel	1870
K. Weierstrass	1872

After 1872 many other mathematicians also constructed similar functions. Just to cite a partial list of these, we have: H.A. Schwarz (1873), M.G. Darboux (1874), U. Dini (1877), K. Hertz (1879), G. Peano (1890), D. Hilbert (1891), T. Takagi (1903), H. von Koch (1904), W. Sierpiński (1912), G.H. Hardy (1916), A.S. Besicovitch (1924), B. van der Waerden (1930), S. Mazurkiewicz (1931), S. Banach (1931), S. Saks (1932), or W. Orlicz (1947) (we would also like to refer the interested reader to [7, 191] for some recent results on this class of continuous nowhere differentiable functions).

As a nice application of the Baire category theorem, Banach obtained in 1931 that *most* continuous functions are nowhere differentiable, see e.g. [236]. Specifically, the set of all continuous but nowhere differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ is residual in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$, when endowed with the topology of uniform convergence in compacta.

Later, at the end of the XX century and nowadays, there are also authors who have, as well, constructed Weierstrass' monsters with even additional "pathologies". The lineability of this type of functions has been thoroughly studied in the last years. Although the very first result in this direction was due to V.I. Gurariy in 1966 ([178, 179] and Theorem 1.2 in Section 1), who showed that the set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$ is lineable. The lineability of this class of functions has been studied in depth, as we summarize next. V. Fonf, V. Gurariy and V. Kadeč [144], in 1999, showed that the set of *nowhere* differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$ is spaceable. But much more is true: L. Rodríguez-Piazza showed that the X in [144] can be chosen to be isometrically isomorphic to any separable Banach space [246]. Several authors have invested plenty of time on the study of this special set of functions since the ending of the 20th century. For instance, S. Hencl [184] showed in 2000 that any separable Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ whose nonzero elements are nowhere approximately differentiable (recall that if $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ then a function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is called approximately differentiable at x_0 provided that there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{x \in E : |\frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0} - \alpha| < \varepsilon\}$ has x_0 as a density point) and nowhere Hölder. And Bayart and Quarta produced the following result, that is related to the algebraic structure of this special set.

Theorem 2.1 (Bayart, Quarta, 2007, [54])**.** *The set of continuous nowhere Hölder functions on $[0, 1]$ contains (except for the null function) an infinitely generated algebra. Moreover, this algebra can be chosen to be dense in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$. In other words, the set of continuous nowhere Hölder functions on $[0, 1]$ is $\aleph_0$-dense-algebrable.*

From this last assertion one can infer that the set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$ is dense-lineable and, in particular, $\aleph_0$-lineable. Just recently, the authors of [191] provided the first constructive proof of the $\mathfrak{c}$-lineability of this set.

Finally, and in the vein of Theorem 1.3 by Gurariy, we mention that, if $\mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$ denotes the space of infinitely differentiable real functions on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ then, obviously, $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$ is not spaceable in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$. In spite of this fact, the class $\mathcal{C}^\infty((0, 1))$ is spaceable in $\mathcal{C}((0, 1))$. For this, see [68], where, in addition, the use of Müntz sequences allows to prove that the family of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ which are analytic in $(0, 1)$ is spaceable in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$. Hence $\mathcal{C}[0, 1] \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty((0, 1))$ is spaceable as well.

2.2. **Differentiable functions and related properties.**

Clearly, the set of everywhere differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ is linear and, thus, $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable since it is itself a vector space. V. I. Gurariy showed in [178] that this cannot be improved: the set of everywhere differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$ is not spaceable. In this section we shall discuss some special subsets of differentiable functions.

2.2.1. *Differentiable nowhere monotone functions.*

The existence of differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which are nowhere monotone (denoted $\mathcal{DNM}(\mathbb{R})$ from now on) is a well-known fact since the appearance of the example by Katznelson and Stromberg in 1974, [197]. Several more examples and constructions have followed since. One of the most recent construction, if not the most, of such a function can be found in [22], where the authors make use of several technical lemmas in order to achieve two main goals. Firstly, the construction of one such function and, secondly, the following result.

Theorem 2.2 (Aron, Gurariy, Seoane, 2005, [22])**.** *The set $\mathcal{DNM}(\mathbb{R})$ of differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which are nowhere monotone is $\aleph_0$-lineable in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$.*

The previous result was improved in [20], where the authors proved that the set of differentiable nowhere monotone function on any compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$ is actually dense-lineable, so showing that the vector space in [22] can be chosen to be dense.

Recall that $\dim(\mathcal{C}[0, 1])$ and $\dim(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}))$ are both equal to $\mathfrak{c}$. Thus we could also wonder whether the set $\mathcal{DNM}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable. The answer is yes, and it was obtained in [149], where the authors use approximately continuous functions and the properties of the density topology[5] to obtain the above statement by means of the following statement. Recall that if $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval then a function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be approximately continuous whenever, for every open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$, the set $f^{-1}(U)$ is Lebesgue-measurable and has Lebesgue density one at each of its points.

Theorem 2.3 (Gámez, Muñoz, Sánchez, Seoane, 2010, [149])**.** *The set of bounded approximately continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ that are positive in a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and negative in another dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable.*

---

[5]The density topology can be defined as the initial topology for the approximately continuous functions.

Next, since every bounded approximately continuous and bounded mappings is the derivative of a differentiable function (see [117, Theorem 5.5(a), p. 21]), they obtain as an easy consequence of Theorem 2.3 the following assertion.

Theorem 2.4 (Gámez, Muñoz, Sánchez, Seoane, 2010, [149])**.** *The set* $\mathcal{DNM}(\mathbb{R})$ *of differentiable functions on* $\mathbb{R}$ *that are nowhere monotone is* $\mathfrak{c}$-*lineable.*

Due to the result by Gurariy previously discussed ([178] and Theorem 1.3 in Section 1), the set $\mathcal{DNM}[0,1]$ cannot be spaceable.

2.2.2. *Nowhere analytic functions.* As it is standard, a real function is said to be real analytic if it possesses derivatives of all orders and agrees with its Taylor series in a neighborhood of every point. There exist $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions that are not analytic, as the following well-known function shows:
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$
Since $f^{(n)} = 0$ ($n \geq 0$), the above function only agrees with its Taylor series expansion at $x = 0$. Hence f belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ but it is not analytic at 0. But more is true: in 1876, du Bois Reymond constructed a function belonging to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the family of all everywhere singular functions, that is, the class of C^∞-functions on $\mathbb{R}$ that are analytic at *no* point of $\mathbb{R}$. A nice example is the following one due to Lerch [211]:
$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\cos(a^n x)}{n!},$$
where a is an odd positive integer. Other explicit examples can be seen in [188, 201].

Again, this phenomenon is topologically generic: in 1955, Salzmann and Zeller [253] established the residuality of the family $\mathcal{S}(I)$ of everywhere singular functions on I in the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$, endowed with the topology of uniform converge in compacta of functions and the derivatives of all orders, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval. We would also like to refer the reader to the nice work of Bastin, Esser, and Nikolay [42], where the authors study the genericity of functions which are nowhere analytic in a measure-theoretic sense.

Concerning lineability, García, Palmberg, and Seoane [156] demonstrated that there actually exists an uncountably infinitely generated algebra every nonzero element of which is in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and nonanalytic at $x = 0$. Cater [121] showed in 1984 that, although the set of nowhere analytic functions on $[0,1]$ is clearly not a linear space, there exists a vector space in $\mathcal{S}([0,1]) \cup \{0\}$ of dimension $\mathfrak{c}$. Recently Bernal [68] proved that there is a dense linear subspace in $\mathcal{C}^\infty[0,1]$ every nonzero element of which is nowhere analytic. Moreover, he showed [68, Theorem 3.2] that, if $\mathcal{PS}$ stands for the set of all smooth functions with a *Pringsheim singularity* at every point of $[0,1]$ (which is nonempty due to a classical result of Zahorski, [275]) then $\mathcal{PS}$ is dense-lineable. Recall that a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$ is said to have a Pringsheim singularity at a point $x_0 \in I$ whenever the radius of convergence of the Taylor series of f at x_0 is zero. Obviously, $\mathcal{PS} \subset \mathcal{S}([0,1])$, the inclusion being strict. In fact, Salzmann and Zeller had shown in [253] that $\mathcal{PS}$ is residual. In [68] it is also

proved that $\mathcal{S}([0,1])$ is maximal dense-lineable and that, if the arrival space is $\mathbb{C}$, then $\mathcal{PS}$ is maximal lineable.

If an entire function has infinitely many zeros with an accumulation point then, by the Identity Theorem, it must be the zero function (see e.g. [118], for a very accessible work on uniqueness theorems for analytic functions). For real differentiable functions this no longer hold. For instance, the differentiable function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin(\pi/x) & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

has the infinite set $Z = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{0\}$ as its set of zeros, Z has an accumulation point (0) but, obviously, $g \neq 0$. This raises the following natural questions:

> *Are there real valued C^∞ functions with infinitely many zeros, and in addition being nowhere analytic? And, how big is this set of functions? What algebraic/linear structure does this set possess?*

In [128, Theorem 2.3] the authors provide answers to the above questions by constructing an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of real valued functions enjoying, simultaneously, each of the following properties:

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is uncountably infinitely generated (that is, the cardinality of a minimal system of generators of $\mathcal{A}$ is uncountable).
(ii) Every nonzero element of $\mathcal{A}$ is nowhere analytic.
(iii) $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
(iv) Every element of $\mathcal{A}$ has infinitely many zeros in $\mathbb{R}$.
(v) For every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n-th derivative $f^{(n)}$ is also $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, nowhere analytic, and possesses infinitely many zeros in $\mathbb{R}$.

Functions with infinitely many zeros in a closed finite interval are known as *annulling functions*, see [137, Definition 2.1]. Very recently, Enflo, Gurariy, and Seoane [137, Corollary 3.8] proved that for every infinite dimensional subspace X of $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ the subset of its annulling functions contains an infinite dimensional closed subspace; see also Section 2.7.1. The question of the existence of an algebra of such functions inside of $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ is also solved in [128]. The following problems come naturally.

Problems 2.5. *1. Is the class $\mathcal{PS}$ $\mathfrak{c}$-algebrable in $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0,1])$?*
2. Can we replace the words "is nowhere analytic" in the property (ii) above by the condition "belongs to $\mathcal{PS}$"?

Remark 2.6. Within the framework of vector-valued analytic functions we refer the interested reader to the paper [214] by López-Salazar, where large vector spaces of entire functions of unbounded type are constructed.

2.3. **Continuous (and nowhere continuous) functions.**

2.3.1. *Maxima and minima of functions in $\mathbb{R}$.* In [241] it was proved that there exists a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ with a proper local maximum at each point of a dense subset of

$\mathbb{R}$. One could ask whether the set of all functions enjoying this property, denoted $CM(\mathbb{R})$, is lineable. Apparently this is not true, the problem being that the proper local maxima become proper local minima for any negative multiple of f, with $f \in CM(\mathbb{R})$. If f also had a dense set of proper local minima then this problem would not arise. Let us denote by $CMm(\mathbb{R})$ the nonempty (see [135]) set of continuous functions such that both of their sets of proper local minima *and* maxima are dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.7 (García, Grecu, Maestre, Seoane, 2010, [154])**.** *There exists an infinite dimensional Banach space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ all of whose nonzero members have the property that their sets of proper local minima and maxima, respectively, are dense subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. In other words, $CMm(\mathbb{R})$ is spaceable. Moreover, $\lambda(CMm(\mathbb{R})) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $CMm(\mathbb{R})$ is $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c})$-algebrable.*

One of the first results in the topic of lineability was due to Gurariy and Quarta [181]. They considered subsets of continuous functions attaining their maximum at exactly one point. To our surprise, sometimes one cannot achieve lineability for certain nontrivial sets, as the following result shows.

Theorem 2.8 (Gurariy, Quarta, 2004, [181])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}[0,1] : f$ attains its maximum at exactly one point of $[0,1]\}$.*
   (1) *$\mathcal{M}$ is a dense G_δ set in $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$. In particular, M is residual.*
   (2) *If $V \subset \mathcal{M} \cup \{0\}$ is a vector space, then $\dim(V) \leq 1$.*

In [181], the authors provided a number of partial extensions of Theorem 2.8. For instance, for both $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ (continuous functions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishing at $\pm\infty$), there is a 2-dimensional subspace every nonzero element of which attains its maximum at exactly one point of $\mathbb{R}$. In the case of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, there is no 3-dimensional subspace having this property ([181]). After all the effort invested in this class of functions, the following problem still remains open (see also [13]).

Problem 2.9. *Is there a n-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$, with $n > 2$, every nonzero element of which attains its maximum at exactly one point of $\mathbb{R}$?*

2.3.2. *Sierpiński-Zygmund functions.* As a consequence of the classic Luzin's Theorem we have that for every measurable function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, there is a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, of infinite measure, such that $f|_S$ is continuous. A natural question would be whether similar results could be obtained for arbitrary functions (not necessarily measurable). In other words, given any arbitrary function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, can we find a "large" subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ for which $f|_S$ is continuous? In 1922, Blumberg [94] provided an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 2.10 (Blumberg, 1922, [94])**.** *Let $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function. There exists a dense subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the function $f|_S$ is continuous.*

Blumberg's proof of his theorem (see e.g. [199, p. 154]) shows that the set S above is countable. We could wonder whether we can choose the subset S in Blumberg's theorem to be uncountable. A (partial) negative answer was given in [262] by Sierpiński and Zygmund.

Theorem 2.11 (Sierpiński-Zygmund, 1923, [262])**.** *There exists a function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$, the restriction $f|_Z$ is not a Borel map* (*and, in particular, not continuous*)*.*

From now on, we shall say that a function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *Sierpiński-Zygmund function* if it satisfies the condition in Sierpiński-Zygmund's Theorem, and we denote

$$\mathcal{SZ} = \{\, f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \,:\, f \text{ is a Sierpiński-Zygmund function} \,\}.$$

Let us recall some known results about the class $\mathcal{SZ}$. It is known that if the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) holds then the restriction of a function in $\mathcal{SZ}$ to any uncountable set can not be continuous (see, e.g., [199, pp. 165, 166]). Also, CH is necessary in this frame. Shinoda proved in 1973 [258] that if Martin's Axiom and the negation of CH hold then, for every $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists an uncountable set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_Z$ is continuous. The functions in $\mathcal{SZ}$ are never measurable and, although it is possible to construct them being injective, they are nowhere monotone in a very strong way: their restriction to any set of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$ is not monotone. In 1997, Balcerzak, Ciesielski, and Natkaniec showed in [32] that, assuming the set-theoretical condition $\mathrm{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$ (which is true under Martin's Axiom or CH), there exists a Darboux function that is in $\mathcal{SZ}$ as well. They prove also that there exists a model of ZFC (Zermelo-Fraenkel-Axiom of Choice) in which there are no such functions (see also [125, 126, 240]). Later, Gámez, Muñoz, Sánchez, and Seoane (2010) proved in [149, Theorems 5.6 and 5.10] that the set $\mathcal{SZ}$ is $\mathfrak{c}^+$-lineable and also $\mathfrak{c}$-algebrable. As a consequence, assuming that $\mathfrak{c}^+ = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ (which follows, for instance, from the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis or GCH), $\mathcal{SZ}$ would be $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-lineable. Also, in 2010 [150, Corollary 2.11], Gámez, Muñoz, and Seoane proved that $\mathcal{SZ}$ is actually $d_{\mathfrak{c}}$-lineable, where $d_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is a cardinal invariant defined as

$$d_{\mathfrak{c}} = \min\{\mathrm{card}\, F \,:\, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}},\, (\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}})\, (\exists f \in F)\, (\mathrm{card}(f \cap \varphi) = \mathfrak{c})\,\}.$$

This cardinal can take as value any regular cardinal between $\mathfrak{c}^+$ and $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, depending of the set-theoretical axioms assumed. Later, in [40, Theorem 2.6], Bartoszewicz, Głąb, Pellegrino, and Seoane showed that $\mathcal{SZ}$ is actually κ-strongly algebrable for some $\mathfrak{c}^+ \leq \kappa \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ if there is in $\mathfrak{c}$ an almost disjoint family of cardinality κ (see Definition 2.12 below). Assuming either Martin's Axiom, or CH, or $2^{<\mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{c}$, this κ can be chosen to be $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, so we would have that $\mathcal{SZ}$ is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-strongly algebrable.

Definition 2.12. *Let S a be set of cardinality κ. We say that a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ is an* almost disjoint family *in S if the following conditions hold:*

(1) *If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\mathrm{card}\, A = \kappa$.*
(2) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \neq B$, then $\mathrm{card}(A \cap B) < \kappa$.*

Until very recently, it was not known whether any additional set-theoretical assumptions were needed or not in order to show the $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-strongly algebrability (and the $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-lineability) of $\mathcal{SZ}$. Nevertheless, in [152] the authors showed the following:

Theorem 2.13 (Gámez, Seoane, 2012, [152])**.** *Let κ be a cardinal number such that $\mathfrak{c}^+ \leq \kappa \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathcal{SZ}$ *is κ-strongly algebrable.*
(b) $\mathcal{SZ}$ *is κ-algebrable.*
(c) $\mathcal{SZ}$ *is κ-lineable.*
(d) *There exists an additive group $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{SZ} \cup \{0\}$ of size (i.e., cardinality) κ.*
(e) *There exists in $\mathfrak{c}$ an almost disjoint family of cardinality κ.*

Now, we review a series of results on almost disjoint families, all of which can be found in [206]. On the one hand, recall that under ZFC there is an almost disjoint family of cardinality $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ in $\aleph_0$. On the other, the existence of an almost disjoint family of cardinality $2^{\aleph_1}$ in $\aleph_1$ is undecidable. Also, and under the set-theoretical assumption $2^{<\mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{c}$, there exists an almost disjoint family of cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ in $\mathfrak{c}$.

Let us point out that, from these previous results, we infer that it is consistent with ZFC that $\mathcal{SZ} \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space of dimension $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. By means of the *forcing technique*, in [152] the authors proved that the contrary is also consistent, that is:

Theorem 2.14 (Gámez, Seoane, 2012, [152])**.** *The $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-lineability (maximal lineability) of the set of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions is undecidable.*

This would be the first time in which one encounters a (highly nontrivial!) undecidable proposition in this theory of lineability and spaceability.

2.3.3. *Surjections, Darboux functions, and related properties.* The following concepts, although well-known in Real Analysis, can be found in [145, 192].

Definition 2.15. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that:*
   (1) *$f \in \text{ES}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is everywhere surjective) if $f(I) = \mathbb{R}$ for every nontrivial interval I.*
   (2) *$f \in \text{SES}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is strongly everywhere surjective) if f takes all values $\mathfrak{c}$ times on any interval.*
   (3) *$f \in \text{PES}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is perfectly everywhere surjective) if for every perfect set P, $f(P) = \mathbb{R}$.*
   (4) *$f \in \text{AC}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is almost continuous, in the sense of J. Stallings [263]) if every open set containing the graph of f contains also the graph of some continuous function.*
   (5) *If $h \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, where X is a topological space, $h \in \text{Conn}(X)$ (h is a connectivity function) if the graph of $h|_C$ is connected for every connected set $C \subset X$. (If $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$, it is equivalent to say that its graph is connected.)*
   (6) *$f \in \text{Ext}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is extendable) if there is a connectivity function $g \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,1]$ such that $f(x) = g(x,0)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*
   (7) *$f \in \text{PR}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is a perfect road function) if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a perfect set $P \subset R$ such that x is a bilateral limit point of P and $f|_P$ is continuous at x.*
   (8) *$f \in \text{PC}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is peripherally continuous) if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and pair of open sets $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(x) \in V$ there is an open neighborhood W of x with $\overline{W} \subset U$ and $f(\partial W) \subset V$.*
   (9) *$f \in \text{J}(\mathbb{R})$ (f is Jones function) if its graph intersects every closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with uncountable projection on the x-axis (see [193]).*
   (10) *$f \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{R})$ if f is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear function on $\mathbb{R}$.*

(11) $f \in Gr(\mathbb{R})$ (f *is a* dense-graph function) *if its graph* $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *is dense in* $\mathbb{R}^2$.

(12) $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ (f *is a* Darboux function) *if it has the "intermediate value property", that is, for any two values* a *and* b *in the domain of* f, *and any* y *between* $f(a)$ *and* $f(b)$, *there is some* c *between* a *and* b *with* $f(c) = y$.

In order to make all the above definitions clearer to the reader, we can picture some of them in the following diagram, which links most of the above classes (the proofs of the below implications are either trivial, or can be found in [145, 149, 150, 192]). In what follows, $A \to B$ means that the class A is a subset of B.[6]

Sacks' model / Iterated Perfect Set Model

Lebesgue [161, 163, 207] was probably the first to show a somewhat surprising example of a function in ES($\mathbb{R}$). In [22] the authors proved that the set of such *everywhere surjective* functions is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-lineable, which is the best possible result in terms of dimension. One could think that, in terms of surjectivity, these everywhere surjective functions are in some sense the most exotic. As the above diagram shows, this is very far from being true.

For the sake of completeness, and for the beauty of the construction, we provide here a proof of the existence of a function $f \in$ ES($\mathbb{R}$).

Example 2.16 (An everywhere surjective function, [149, Example 2.2]). *Let* $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *be the collection of all open intervals with rational endpoints. The interval* I_1 *contains a Cantor type set, call it* C_1. *Now,* $I_2 \setminus C_1$ *also contains a Cantor type set, call it* C_2. *Next,* $I_3 \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2)$ *contains, as well, a Cantor type set,* C_3. *Inductively, we construct a family of pairwise disjoint Cantor type sets,* $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, *such that for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_n \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} C_k) \supset C_n$. *Now, for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *take any bijection* $\phi_n : C_n \to \mathbb{R}$, *and define* $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *as*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_n(x) & \text{if } x \in C_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

---

[6]Due to the highly technical set theoretical background that it would require, we refer the interested reader to [127] for a complete and modern study of the so-called *Iterated Perfect Set Model*.

Then f is clearly everywhere surjective (and also zero almost everywhere!). Indeed, let I be any interval in $\mathbb{R}$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_k \subset I$. Thus $f(I) \supset f(I_k) \supset f(C_k) = \phi_k(C_k) = \mathbb{R}$.

Let us now provide an account on the known lineability and algebrability results of some of the above classes (under ZFC). In the table below, the letter λ stands for the maximal (known) dimension of lineability of the given class.

CLASS	λ	Ref.	CLASS	λ	Ref.
$AC(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[150]	$PC(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[22]
$Conn(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[150]	$\mathcal{DNM}(\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{c}$	[22, 149]
$Ext(\mathbb{R})$	$\geq \mathfrak{c}^{+}$	[150]	$PES(\mathbb{R}) \setminus J(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]
$AC(\mathbb{R}) \setminus Ext(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[150]	$SES(\mathbb{R}) \setminus PES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]
$PR(\mathbb{R})$	$\geq \mathfrak{c}^{+}$	[150]	$ES(\mathbb{R}) \setminus SES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]
$\mathcal{SZ}$	$d_{\mathfrak{c}}$ ("$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$")	[150, 152]	$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus ES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]
$J(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[148]	$PC(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]
$PES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]	$ES(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[159]
$SES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[149]	$Gr(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus ES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[159]
$ES(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[22]	$ES(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[159]
$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[22]	$Gr(\mathbb{R}) \setminus (ES(\mathbb{R}) \cup \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{R}))$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[159]

The quotation marks above ("$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$", next to the class $\mathcal{SZ}$) refer to Theorem 2.14. Some of the results above follow from a result involving the concept of *additivity* [150, 192], that will be presented in detail in Part 5 of this survey.

Notice that some of the above classes are themselves subsets of the set of surjective functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and all of these subsets are $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-lineable. One could think that a similar result would hold for one to one functions. In [254] a negative answer was given to this question.

Theorem 2.17 (Seoane, 2006, [149, 254]). *The set of injective functions is not lineable. Moreover, if V is a vector space, every nonzero element of which is an injective function on $\mathbb{R}$, then $\dim(V) = 1$.*

The algebrability of the above classes (or modifications of them) have also been considered by many authors. As we have mentioned already, to obtain algebrability is highly more complex than to obtain lineability. For instance, it is out of place to consider algebras of functions in $ES(\mathbb{R})$, since given any $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ we have that $f^2 \notin ES(\mathbb{R})$. The same happens for the classes $PES(\mathbb{R})$, $SES(\mathbb{R})$, $J(\mathbb{R})$, and many others. But sometimes a dual of the previous classes can be certainly constructed in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}}$. For instance, and just to cite very recent results on algebrability, let us look at the following table:

CLASS	ALGEBRABILITY DIMENSION	Ref.
ES($\mathbb{C}$), PES($\mathbb{C}$)	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[18, 27], [40]
SES($\mathbb{C}$) \ PES($\mathbb{C}$)	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[37]
$\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[37, 40]
$\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[37]
$\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$	$2^{\mathfrak{c}}$	[37]

In the table above the classes $\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ denote, respectively, the set of everywhere discontinuous Darboux function, the set of nowhere continuous functions having finitely many values, and the set of nowhere continuous functions mapping compact sets to compact sets. These last two classes were also thoroughly studied in [151] (see Theorem 2.19 from Section 2.3.4 in this survey).

Remark 2.18. Turning to surjectivity, but this time in the setting of families of functions defined on complex domains, a simple Baire-category argument shows that if X is an infinite dimensional Banach space and $f : \mathbb{D} \to X$ is continuous ($\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, the open unit disc) then f cannot be surjective. Let $H(\mathbb{D}, X) := \{f : \mathbb{D} \to X : f$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}\}$. In 1976, Glovebnik [166] and independently Rudin [249] proved that the set $\mathcal{D} := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}, X) : f(\mathbb{D})$ is dense in $X\}$ is not empty. Very recently, López-Salazar [215] has been able to demonstrate the lineability of $\mathcal{D}$.

2.3.4. *Other properties related to the lack of continuity.* It is standard that continuous functions transform compact or connected sets into compact or connected sets respectively. It is interesting to ask whether this characterizes continuity or not. In other words, if a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ transforms compact sets into compact sets and connected sets into connected sets, can we expect f to be continuous? This question was positively answered in the late 1960's by Hamlett [182] and White [272] (see also Velleman [269, Theorem 2]). Thus, the fact that f satisfies only one of the following two conditions:

(1) f transforms compact sets into compact sets, or
(2) f transforms connected sets into connected sets

might be very far away from making f continuous, which is what the authors proved in [151]:

Theorem 2.19 (Gámez, Muñoz, Seoane, 2011, [151])**.** *There exist $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-dimensional linear spaces U and V of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that:*

(a) *Every nonzero element of U is nowhere continuous and transforms connected sets into connected sets.*
(b) *Every nonzero element of V is nowhere continuous and transforms any set into a compact set.*

Next, one could also study noncontinuous functions focusing on the structure of their sets of discontinuities. To start with, the set of points at which a function is continuous is always a G_δ set, so the set of discontinuities is an F_σ set. Moreover, the set of discontinuities of a monotonic function is at most countable (Froda's theorem). In this direction, several

results have been obtained in the last years. Recall that a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a removable discontinuity of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whenever there exists $\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x)$ and is finite.

Theorem 2.20 (García-Pacheco, Palmberg, Seoane, 2007, [156])**.** *The set M of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with a dense set of points of removable discontinuity is $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c})$-algebrable and $\lambda(M) \geq \mathfrak{c}$.*

In this same direction, the following battery of results is also related to the set of discontinuities of functions in $\mathbb{R}$ (see [2, 156]).

Theorem 2.21.   (1) *Given a closed set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$, the set H of all functions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose set of points of discontinuity is F is lineable with $\lambda(H) \geq \mathfrak{c}$. Moreover, if the interior $F^{\circ} \neq \varnothing$ then $\lambda(H) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$.*
  (2) *Given any nonclosed F_{σ} set F, the set of functions whose set of points of discontinuity is F is coneable.*
  (3) *Given a closed set F of measure zero contained in an interval $[a, b]$, the set of all Riemann-integrable functions whose set of points of discontinuity is F is lineable.*
  (4) *Given any nonclosed F_{σ} set F of measure zero contained in an interval $[a, b]$, the set of all Riemann-integrable functions whose set of points of discontinuity is exactly F is coneable.*
  (5) *Let I be any nontrivial interval and consider a point $a \in I$. Let K denote the set of all functions from I to $\mathbb{R}$ having a removable discontinuity at a. Then, $\lambda(K) = 1$. If L denotes the set of all functions from I to $\mathbb{R}$ having a jump discontinuity at a, then $\lambda(L) = 1$. Also, if H denotes the set of all functions from I to $\mathbb{R}$ having either a removable or jump discontinuity at a, then $\lambda(H) = 2$.*

2.4. Measurability and integration.

2.4.1. *Non-measurable functions.* The existence of nonmeasurable functions is a direct consequence of the famous Vitali's set V, for whose construction the Axiom of Choice is used. Recall that V is defined by choosing one point in each class corresponding to the equivalence relation in $[0, 1]$ given by $x \sim y$ if and only if $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then χ_V is "our" example nonmeasurable function. Let us now move to the search for Banach spaces of nonmeasurable functions. If $\mathbb{K}$ stands for either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ then, for a given a measurable space (Ω, Σ), $N(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ will denote the set of nonmeasurable functions from Ω to $\mathbb{K}$. By the Axiom of Choice, we know that $N(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ is not empty.

In 2006, the authors of [160] obtained the best possible result –in terms of dimension and topological structure– when they provided maximal spaceability of the above set. In particular, we have the following theorem. Recall that, if X is a topological space and γ is a cardinal, then X is said to have density character γ if γ is the minimum cardinality of a dense subset of X.

Theorem 2.22 (García-Pacheco and Seoane, 2006, [160])**.** *For any cardinal γ there is a Hausdorff topological space Ω with Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ such that $N(\Omega, \mathbb{K}) \cup \{0\}$ contains a subspace isometric to $\ell_{\infty}(\gamma)$. In particular, $N(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ is spaceable, and any Banach space with density character γ is isometric to a space consisting, except for zero, of nonmeasurable functions.*

2.4.2. *L_p and ℓ_p spaces.* The search of algebraic structures inside certain subsets of spaces of either L_p or ℓ_p probably started when H. Rosenthal [248] showed in 1978 that c_0 is quasi-complemented in ℓ_∞ (a closed subspace Y of a Banach space X is said to be *quasi-complemented* if there is a closed subspace Z of X such that $Y \cap Z = \{0\}$ and $Y + Z$ is dense in X). This clearly implies that $\ell_\infty \setminus c_0$ is spaceable. Later, García-Pacheco, Martín and Seoane proved (2009, [155]) that $\ell_\infty(\Gamma) \setminus c_0(\Gamma)$ is spaceable for every infinite set Γ, although it is interesting to recall that J. Lindenstrauss (1968, [213]) proved that, if Γ is uncountable, then $c_0(\Gamma)$ is not quasi-complemented in $\ell_\infty(\Gamma)$.

In 2008, Muñoz, Palmberg, Puglisi and Seoane [232] proved that if I is a bounded interval and $q > p \geq 1$, then $L_p(I) \setminus L_q(I)$ is $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable. In this same paper it is proved that both, $\ell_p \setminus \ell_q$ and $L_p(J) \setminus L_q(J)$, are $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable for any unbounded interval J and for $p > q \geq 1$. One year later, Aron, García-Pacheco, Pérez-García and Seoane [20] showed that the linear subspaces constructed in [232] can be chosen to be dense. In 2010, Bernal [69] provided a series of conditions from which one can obtain (maximal) lineability (and dense-lineability) of the set of functions in $L_p(X, \mu)$ that are not in $L_q(X, \mu)$, where $1 \leq q \neq p < \infty$ and μ denotes a regular Borel measure on a topological space X. And García-Pacheco, Pérez-Eslava and Seoane [157, Theorem 2.6] proved that if (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure space such that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and an infinite family $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma$ of pairwise disjoint measurable sets with $\mu(A_n) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcap\limits_{p=1}^{\infty} (L_\infty(\Omega) \setminus L_p(\Omega))$ is spaceable in $L_\infty(\Omega)$.

These previous results kept evolving and, in 2011, Botelho, Diniz, Fávaro and Pellegrino [108] proved that, if X is a fixed Banach space, then for large classes of Banach (and even quasi-Banach) spaces E of X-valued sequences, the sets $E \setminus \bigcup\limits_{q \in \Gamma} \ell_q(X)$ (where $\Gamma \subset [0, \infty)$) and $E \setminus c_0(X)$ are both spaceable in E. Next, and as a consequence of a lecture delivered by V. Fávaro at an international conference held in Valencia (Spain) in 2010, R. Aron asked whether the result above [108, Corollary 1.7] would hold for L_p-spaces. This question was answered in the positive (and independently) in [84, 110]. More precisely, in [84] Bernal and Ordóñez provided a series of conditions on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) to ensure the spaceability of the sets $L_p(\Omega) \setminus \bigcup\limits_{q \in [1,p)} L_q(\Omega)$, $L_p(\Omega) \setminus \bigcup\limits_{q \in [p,\infty)} L_q(\Omega)$, and $L_p(\Omega) \setminus \bigcup\limits_{q \in [1,\infty) \setminus \{p\}} L_q(\mu, X)$ (for $p \geq 1$); whereas in [110] Botelho, Fávaro, Pellegrino, and Seoane obtained a quasi-Banach version of this result by proving that $L_p[0,1] \setminus \bigcup\limits_{q > p} L_q[0,1]$ is spaceable for every $p > 0$. In this direction it is also crucial to mention a recent paper [202], where Kitson and Timoney provided a general result from which some of the above ones (for the normed case) can be inferred. At the opposite side, a celebrated old theorem due to Grothendieck (see [251, Chap. 6]) asserts that if $0 < p < +\infty$ and μ is finite then $L_\infty(\Omega)$ is *not* spaceable in $L_p(\Omega)$.

At this point, and after all the invested effort for the past years in looking for the "optimal" results on the spaceability of the sets of the form $L_p(\Omega) \setminus L_q(\Omega)$ with $p > q$ and

$L_p(\Omega) \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq q < p} L_q(\Omega)$, this ongoing work continued and rather conclusive contributions in the form of *maximal*-spaceability have been obtained. Let again (Ω, Σ, μ) denote a measure space and $0 < p < +\infty$. Let us recall the following definitions, some of which can be found in [107].

Definition 2.23.   (i) $\Sigma_{fin} := \{A \in \Sigma : \mu(A) < +\infty\}$.
  (ii) *Two sets $A, B \in \Sigma_{fin}$ are equivalent, denoted $A \sim B$, if $\mu((A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)) = 0$. The elements of the quotient set $\Sigma_{fin}/\sim$ are denoted by $[B]$, for $B \in \Sigma_{fin}$.*
 (iii) *The cardinal number $\#\Sigma_{fin}/\sim$ is called the entropy of the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and is denoted by $ent(\Omega)$.*
 (iv) *Given a cardinal number ζ, the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is said to be ζ-bounded if, for every $A \in \Sigma_{fin}$ with positive measure, there are at most ζ subsets of A with positive measure belonging to different classes of $\Sigma_{fin}/\sim$.*

Theorem 2.24 (Botelho, Cariello, Fávaro, Pellegrino, Seoane, 2012, [107]). *Let $p > 1$. The set $L_p(\Omega) \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq q < p} L_q(\Omega)$ is maximal spaceable if at least one of the following conditions holds:*

  (a) *Either $L_p(\Omega) \setminus L_r(\Omega) \neq \varnothing$ for some $1 \leq r < p$ and $\aleph_0 \leq ent(\Omega) \leq \mathfrak{c}$,*
  (b) *or the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is ζ-bounded for some cardinal number ζ with $\mathfrak{c} \leq \zeta < ent(\Omega)$.*

However, the authors also showed that $L_p(\Omega) \setminus L_q(\Omega)$ may fail to be maximal spaceable for $p > q$, see [107, Theorem 4.4]. They were able to show that that there exist (quite exotic) infinite measure spaces (Ω, Σ, μ) such that the larger set $L_p(\Omega) \setminus L_q(\Omega)$, $q < p$, fails to be maximal spaceable. They did this by developing a hybridization technique allowing them to prove much more: given $1 \leq q < p$ and cardinal numbers $\kappa > \zeta \geq \mathfrak{c}$, the authors constructed an infinite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that:

  (i) $\dim(L_p(\Omega)) = \kappa$;
  (ii) ζ is the maximal dimension of a closed subspace of $L_p(\Omega)$ contained (except for the null vector) in $L_p(\Omega) \setminus L_q(\Omega)$.

Before finishing this section let us point out that (very recently) some results related to the problems treated in this section have been obtained by Barroso, Botelho, Fávaro, and Pellegrino in [36], and by Głąb, Kaufmann, and Pellegrini [164, 165]. Within the framework of Orlicz spaces, we also refer the reader to the very recent work by Akbarbaglu and Maghsoudi [4].

2.4.3. *Riemann versus Lebesgue.* Given an interval I (bounded or not) we shall denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)$ to the set of Riemann-integrable functions on I, and by $\mathcal{L}(I)$ the set of Lebesgue-integrable functions on I. It is well known the theorem by Lebesgue about Riemann-integrability that states that if I is a bounded interval and $f : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function, then f is Riemann-integrable if and only if f is almost everywhere continuous. The proof can be easily adapted to show that a Riemann-integrable function on any arbitrary interval (bounded or not) is always almost everywhere continuous.

One of the key points that led Lebesgue to his theory of integration was the existence of two examples, one given by Volterra in 1881 and another by Brodén in 1896 which showed that, at least from the point of view of Riemann integration, the process of obtaining antiderivatives of a function and the integration theory were not equivalent. In 1881 Volterra gave an example of a differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}$ whose derivative is bounded but not Riemann-integrable (see [154] for a detailed construction of this function). Also, in 1896, Brodén gave an example of a real valued function f being continuous, nonconstant, differentiable, and with f' bounded and zero in a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.25 (García, Grecu, Maestre, Seoane, [154], 2010). *There exists an infinite dimensional Banach algebra of (except for 0) Brodén-type functions. In particular, the set of Brodén-type functions is spaceable and algebrable.*

Notice that the derivative of any Brodén-type function is bounded but not Riemann-integrable. We say that a bounded function f has *property* $(\star)$ if there exists a function F such that $F'(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ but f is not Riemann-integrable on any compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, any function enjoying $(\star)$ does not satisfy the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. In [154] the authors showed the following.

Theorem 2.26 (García, Grecu, Maestre, Seoane, 2010, [154]). *Given an interval $[a,b]$, $a < b$, there exists an infinite dimensional Banach space of bounded functions which are Lebesgue integrable, have antiderivatives at every point of $[a,b]$ but (except for 0) are not Riemann-integrable on $[a,b]$.*

Following this direction of results on Lebesgue and Riemann integration, the following results were also recently obtained [155].

Theorem 2.27 (García-Pacheco, Martín, Seoane, 2009, [155]). *Let I be any arbitrary unbounded interval, then:*

   (a) *The set of all almost everywhere continuous bounded functions on I which are not Riemann-integrable contains an infinitely generated closed subalgebra (in particular this set is spaceable and algebrable) in $\mathcal{L}(I)$.*
   (b) *The set of all continuous bounded functions on I which are not Riemann-integrable is spaceable in $\mathcal{C}(I)$.*

Recall that if I is an unbounded interval, then $\mathcal{R}(I) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}(I)$; a representative and classical example is given by the function $f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This function satisfies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,dx = \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|\,dx = +\infty.$$

Conversely, on any interval I (bounded or unbounded), there is a bounded Lebesgue-integrable function which is not equivalent (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure) to any Riemann-integrable function. An easy example of this type can be found in [163, Example 8.31]. Indeed, in any interval I, take a Cantor set $A \subset I$ with positive and finite measure (see e.g. [163, Example 8.4]); then, the function $f = \chi_A$ is bounded, Lebesgue-integrable, but it is not equivalent to any Riemann-integrable function, since we can observe that

$f = 0$ in $I \setminus A$, which is dense in I and, moreover, if we change f in a null-set B of I, then $f = 0$ in the still dense subset $I \setminus (A \cup B)$, and $f = 1$ in the set of positive measure $A \setminus B$.

Within the framework of Lebesgue integration in $\mathbb{R}$, we have the following.

Theorem 2.28 (García-Pacheco, Martín, Seoane, 2009, [155])**.** *Given any unbounded interval I, the set of Riemann-integrable functions on I that are not Lebesgue-integrable is lineable. Also, given any interval I, the set of Lebesgue-integrable functions that are not Riemann-integrable is spaceable.*

Observe that the second part of the previous theorem –that is, the spaceability of $\mathcal{L}(I) \setminus \mathcal{R}(I)$– is a consequence of a stronger result ([154, §4], Theorem 2.26 above). Also, notice that it is not possible to obtain any kind of algebrability of $\mathcal{R}(I) \setminus \mathcal{L}(I)$. Indeed, for every $f \in \mathcal{R}(I)$, either $f^2 \notin \mathcal{R}(I)$ or $f^2 = |f^2| \in \mathcal{R}(I)$ and, therefore, $f^2 \in \mathcal{L}(I)$.

2.4.4. *The composite function.* Most of the examples existing (and presented in this survey) provide positive cases of *high* dimension in lineability but, sometimes, *nice* properties (such as continuity or Riemann integrability) can be lost, in a linear fashion, via composition of functions. It is well known that, if f is a continuous function on the interval $[a, b]$, g is Riemann integrable (resp. Lebesgue measurable) on the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, and $g([\alpha, \beta]) \subset [a, b]$, then $f \circ g$ is Riemann integrable (resp. measurable) on $[\alpha, \beta]$. A well-known fact, on the other hand, states that $f \circ g$ might be not Riemann integrable (resp. measurable) when f is Riemann integrable (resp. measurable) and g is continuous.

In [29] the authors proved that there exists a $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$-dimensional space V and a $\mathfrak{c}$-dimensional space W of, respectively, Riemann integrable functions and continuous functions such that, for every $f \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $g \in W \setminus \{0\}$, $f \circ g$ is not Riemann integrable. They also proved that there exists a $\mathfrak{c}$-dimensional space W of continuous functions such that for each $g \in W \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a $\mathfrak{c}$-space V of measurable functions such that $f \circ g$ is not measurable for all $f \in V \setminus \{0\}$. It would be interesting to prove an analogue of their first result for measurable functions, that is:

Problem 2.29. *Can one construct vector spaces (or even algebras!) V and W of, respectively, measurable and continuous functions such that $dim(V) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, $dim(W) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $f \circ g$ is nonmeasurable for every $f \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and every $g \in W \setminus \{0\}$?*

2.5. **Series and summability.**

2.5.1. *Fourier series.* The convergence of Fourier series has been deeply studied in the past. It came as a considerable surprise when Du Bois-Reymond produced an example of a continuous function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ whose Fourier series is divergent at one point of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ (see [204, pp. 67–73] for a modern reference). This statement can be improved by means of an example of a continuous function whose Fourier series expansion diverges on a set of measure zero ([196, p. 58]). This last result is the best possible, since by a remarkable result due to Carleson (see e.g. [204, p. 75]) the Fourier expansion of every continuous function converges almost everywhere. Moreover, by means of Baire's theorem, this exotic behavior can be shown to be generic: there exists a G_δ

dense subset $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that the set of continuous functions whose Fourier expansion diverges on this set is a G_δ dense subset of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, see [250, p. 102]. F. Bayart [44] showed in 2005 that, if $\mathcal{F}_E \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is the set of continuous functions whose Fourier series expansion diverges on a prescribed set E of measure zero, then $\mathcal{F}_E$ is dense-lineable. Later, in [25], the authors showed that this set $\mathcal{F}_E$ is actually dense-algebrable. There are functions in $L_1(\mathbb{T})$ with nowhere convergent Fourier series. In 2005, Bayart [43, Theorem 3] proved that $\mathcal{F}_E$ is, in addition, spaceable. Lineability properties in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ for Fourier series exhibiting universality phenomena have been recently shown, see [70] and Section 3.2.

2.5.2. *Dirichlet series.* Another classical family of series is that of Dirichlet series, $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. These series are defined as

$$f(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n n^{-s},$$

with convergence and boundedness of f in the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > 0\}$; $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a Banach space with the sup norm over $\mathbb{C}_+$. It is known (see [50]) that there exists Dirichlet series $f(s)$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n n^{-it}$$

diverges for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In [43] it was proved that the set of these Dirichlet series $f(s)$ such that $f(it)$ diverges for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is spaceable (for further studies on this type of series we refer the interested reader to [115, 138]).

2.5.3. *General summability. Sequence spaces.* For some of the results in summability in sequence spaces of the ℓ_p type we refer to Section 2.4.2. Let us now review some recent results surrounding subsets of scalar series. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Also, let $CC(\mathbb{K})$ denote the set of conditionally convergent series (clearly, $CC(\mathbb{K}) \cup \{0\}$ is not a vector space in $CS(\mathbb{K})$, the set of convergent series). Aizpuru, Pérez-Eslava, Seoane (2006, [3]) showed that $CS(\mathbb{K})$ contains a vector space E satisfying the following properties:

(i) Every $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ is a conditionally convergent series,
(ii) $\dim(E) = \mathfrak{c}$, and
(iii) $\mathrm{span}\{E \cup c_{00}\}$ is an algebra and its elements are either elements of c_{00} or conditionally convergent series.

Here, the symbol c_{00} denotes the set of sequences, each of which has only finitely many nonzero terms. In the same work, the authors also showed that there exists a vector space $E \subset BS(\mathbb{K})$ (the set of all series with bounded partial sums) such that:

(a) Every $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ is a divergent series,
(b) $\dim(E) = \mathfrak{c}$ and E is nonseparable, and
(c) $\mathrm{span}\{E \cup c_{00}\}$ is an algebra and every element of it is either a divergent series or is an element of c_{00}.

In the same line of results, it is also proved in [3] that there exists a vector space $E \subset \ell_\infty$ such that:

(1) $\dim(E) = \mathfrak{c}$.

(2) Every $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ is a divergent sequence.
(3) $E \oplus c_0$ is an algebra.
(4) Every element in $\overline{E} + c_0$ is either a divergent sequence or a c_0-sequence, where $\overline{E}$ is the closure of E in ℓ_∞.

Recall that if X is a Banach space and $\sum_i x_i$ is a series in X, then it is said that $\sum_i x_i$ is *unconditionally convergent* (UC) provided that, for every permutation π of $\mathbb{N}$, the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_{\pi(i)}$ converges. And we say that $\sum_i x_i$ is *weakly unconditionally Cauchy* (WUC) if $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |f(x_i)| < \infty$ for every $f \in X^*$, the dual space of X. It is known [91, 133] that if X is a Banach space, then there exists a WUC series in X which is convergent but is unconditionally convergent if and only if X contains a copy of c_0. It is a also a well-known fact that every infinite dimensional Banach space has a series $\sum_i x_i$ which is unconditionally convergent and so that $\sum_i \|x_i\| = \infty$, see [136]. By $l_1^\omega(c_0)$ it will be denoted the space of all weakly unconditionally Cauchy series in c_0.

Theorem 2.30 (Aizpuru, Pérez-Eslava, Seoane, 2006, [3])**.** *There exists a vector space $E \subset l_1^\omega(c_0)$ enjoying the following properties:*

(a) $dim(E) = \mathfrak{c}$*, and*
(b) *If $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ then $\sum_i x_i$ is not weakly convergent.*

The authors also showed in [3] that, given any Banach space X, then there exists a vector subspace E of the space $UC(X)$ of unconditionally convergent series in X such that $\dim(E) = c$, and if $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ then $\sum_i \|x_i\| = \infty$. Notice that, from Theorem 2.30, it follows that X has a copy of c_0 if and only if there exists a vector subspace E of $\ell_1^\omega(X)$ with $\dim(E) = \mathfrak{c}$, so that every nonzero element of E is a nonweakly convergent series.

Recently, in [38], the authors showed that the set of conditionally convergent real series considered with the Cauchy product is actually $(\aleph_0, 1)$-algebrable by means of a classical result due to Pringsheim (1883, [242]). We also refer the interested reader to the recent work by Bartoszewicz, Głąb, and Poreda [41], in which they study the algebrability of some of the above mentioned classes.

2.6. **Non-extendable holomorphic functions.** In 1884 Mittag-Leffler proved that each domain in $\mathbb{C}$ supports a holomorphic function that is not holomorphically continuable to any larger domain. This surprising phenomenon can be studied from the generic point of view, in both topological and algebraic aspects. We start with the precise definitions.

2.6.1. *Definitions and topological genericity.* Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the space $\mathbb{C}^N = \mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}$ (N-fold), which is a metric space under the distance $d(z, w) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N |z_k - w_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}$, where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ and $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_N)$. With respect to d, $B(z, r)$ will denote the open unit ball with center $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius r. Assume that G is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^N$, that is, G is a nonempty connected open subset of $\mathbb{C}^N$. By $H(G)$ it is denoted, as usual, the family of all holomorphic, or analytic, functions on G. The space $H(G)$ is a Fréchet space under the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of G. If $f \in H(G)$ and $\xi_0 \in \partial G$ then we say that f is holomorphically extendable through ξ_0 whenever there are $r > 0$, $g \in H(B(\xi_0, r))$ and a connected component A of $G \cap B(\xi_0, r)$ such that $f = g$ in A. Along

the pertinent literature, the fact that an $f \in H(G)$ is not holomorphically extendable through any $\xi \in \partial G$ is phrased with several synonymous sentences: f is *holomorphically nonextendable beyond* ∂G, f is *holomorphic exactly on* G, G is the *domain of holomorphy* of f, ∂G is the *natural boundary* of f. The set of these functions will be denoted by $H_e(G)$.

If $N = 1$ and $f \in H(G)$, one has that $f \in H_e(G)$ if and only if $R(f, a) = \text{dist}(a, \partial G)$ for all $z \in G$, where $R(f, z)$ stands for the radius of convergence of the Taylor series of f at z. If $f \in H_e(G)$ then f has no holomorphic extension to any domain containing G strictly, but the converse is not true (consider, for instance, $G := \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ and $f :=$ the principal branch of $\log z$). For $N = 1$, both properties are equivalent if G is a Jordan domain, in particular if $G = \mathbb{D}$. If $N > 1$, we may have $H_e(G) = \varnothing$. In fact, the Cartan–Thullen theorem asserts that $H_e(G) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if G is holomorphically convex (see for instance [198]).

In 1933, Kierst and Szpilrajn [200] showed that $H_e(G)$ is residual in $H(G)$ for every domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$. In 2000, Kahane [195] was able to extend this result to subspaces of $H(G)$. In fact, the same proof given in [195] allows to weaken the hypotheses on the subspace X, so as to obtain (see [64]) the following assertion.

Theorem 2.31. (Kahane, 2000, [195]). *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and X be a Baire topological vector space with $X \subset H(G)$ such that the next conditions hold:*

    (a) *All evaluation functionals $f \in X \mapsto f^{(k)}(a) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($a \in G$; $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$) are continuous.*
    (b) *For every $a \in G$ and every $r > \text{dist}(a, \partial G)$ there exists $f \in X$ such that $R(f, a) < r$.*
Then $X \cap H_e(G)$ is residual in X.

For instance, let $G = \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, the open unit disc. For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy space H^p and the Bergman space B^p are defined as the set $\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_p < \infty\}$, where $\|f\|_p := \sup_{0<r<1} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p}$ for $f \in H^p$, $\|f\|_p := \left(\int \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \frac{dA(z)}{\pi} \right)^{1/p}$ for $f \in B^p$ and $dA(z)$ denotes the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. They become F-spaces (i.e. completely metrizable topological vector spaces) with the distance $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_p^{\alpha(p)}$, where $\alpha(p) = 1$ if $p \geq 1$ ($= p$ if $p < 1$). In fact, they are Banach spaces if $p \geq 1$. If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, consider the space $A^\infty(G)$ of holomorphic functions on G having highly boundary-regular behavior, that is, $A^\infty(G) := \{f \in H(G) : f^{(k)}$ extends continuously to $\overline{G}$ for all $k \geq 0\}$. It is also an F-space when it is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence of functions and all their derivatives on each compact set $K \subset \overline{G}$. Then $X \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ is residual in X if $X = H^p$, B^p or $A^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ (see [64], where further subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D})$ are studied in this respect). An explicit example of a nonextendable, very well-behaved function in the boundary is given in [250, Chap. 16]: the function

$$f(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-\sqrt{n}) z^n, \text{ where } a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a power of 2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

belongs to $A^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$. More generally, let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a regular domain, that is, satisfying $G = \overline{G}^0$. In 1980, Chmielowski [123] discovered –as a consequence of an N-dimensional result, see also [261]– that $A^\infty(G) \cap H_e(\mathbb{D}) \neq \varnothing$ for every such domain. By

applying Theorem 2.31 one obtains the residuality of $A^\infty(G) \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ in $A^\infty(G)$ in this case, see [75].

2.6.2. *Vector spaces of nonextendable functions.* Plainly, the set $H_e(G)$ is not a linear space, so the study of its lineability makes sense. By using a clever, surprisingly easy approach, Aron, García and Maestre settled the problem for any space $H(G)$.

Theorem 2.32. (Aron, García, Maestre, 2000, [19]). *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a domain of holomorphy, that is, $H_e(G) \neq \varnothing$. Then $H_e(G)$ is dense-lineable, spaceable and algebrable in $H(G)$.*

In fact, the closed infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subset H_e(G) \cup \{0\}$ obtained in [19] is $Y = \{f \in H(G) : f(z_n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $(z_n) \subset G$ is an adequate sequence. Hence Y is also a closed infinitely generated algebra. Valdivia [267] shows that the dense subspace contained in $H_e(G)$ can be chosen to be nearly-Baire. Recall that a locally convex space E is called nearly-Baire if, given a sequence (A_j) of sum-absorbing balanced closed subsets covering E, there is j_0 such that A_{j_0} is a neighborhood of 0; and a subset $A \subset E$ is said to be sum-absorbing whenever there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda(A + A) \subset A$.

In [19] Aron *et al.* also considered the nonseparable Banach space $H^\infty := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : f$ is bounded on $\mathbb{D}\}$, endowed with the supremum norm. Then, with a similar idea, the authors of [19] proved that $(H^\infty \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})) \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinitely generated algebra that is nonseparable and closed in H^∞. Hence $H^\infty \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ is spaceable and algebrable. In this special domain $\mathbb{D}$, a number of additional results have recently been obtained. For this, we consider properties (a)–(b) of Theorem 2.31 (for $G = \mathbb{D}$), as well as the following ones, where X is a (topological) vector space:

(c) For every $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n \in X$, the function $\sum_{n \in Q} a_n z^n \in X$ for every $Q \subset \mathbb{N}_0$.
(d) Some denumerable subset of $H(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is a dense subset of X.
(e) $X \not\subset H(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

Here $H(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ stands for the space of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ having holomorphic extension to some open set $\Omega_f \supset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Observe that properties (c) and (e) do not require any topological or algebraic structure on X.

Theorem 2.33. (Bernal, 2005, [64]). *Assume that X is a topological vector space with $X \subset H(\mathbb{D})$. We have:*

(1) *If X is Baire metrizable and satisfies* (a), (b), (c) *and* (d), *then $X \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ is dense-lineable in X.*
(2) *If X is metrizable, X satisfies* (d) *and there is a subset of X for which* (c) *and* (e) *hold, then $X \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ is dense-lineable in X.*
(3) *If X is Baire and satisfies* (a), (b) *and* (c), *then $X \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ is spaceable in X.*
(4) *If X satisfies* (a) *and there is a subset of X for which* (c) *and* (e) *hold, then $X \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ is spaceable in X.*

Theorem 2.33, in whose proof Hadamard's lacunary theorem (see e.g. [250, Chap. 16]) happens to be a main ingredient, applies successfully to the spaces H^p, B^p and $A^\infty(\mathbb{D})$,

among others. Turning to general domains in $\mathbb{C}$, the use of the Arakelian approximation theorem (see e.g. [146]) leads us to an extension of Theorem 2.32 in which the growth of f near each boundary point is as fast as prescribed.

Theorem 2.34. (Bernal, 2006, [66]). *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $\varphi : G \to (0, +\infty)$ be a function. Then the set*

$$\left\{ f \in H_e(G) : \limsup_{z \to \xi} \frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(z)} = +\infty \ \text{ for all } \xi \in \partial G \right\}$$

is spaceable and maximal dense-lineable in $H(G)$.

Note that, in particular, $H_e(G)$ is always maximal dense-lineable. Concerning subspaces of $H(G)$, it is easy to get lineability if not much more than mere nonvacuousness is assumed; in addition, the use of the Faber transform allowed the authors of [75] to obtain large closed manifolds of nonextendable boundary-regular function if ∂G enjoys a soft structure.

Theorem 2.35. (Bernal, Calderón, Luh, 2008, [75]).
  (a) *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain whose boundary does not contain isolated points and X be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with $X \subset H(G)$ satisfying $X \cap H_e(G) \neq \varnothing$ and $\{\varphi f : f \in X\} \subset X$ for some nonconstant function $\varphi \in H(\overline{G})$. Then $X \cap H_e(G)$ is lineable.*
  (b) *Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a Jordan domain with analytic boundary. Then $A^\infty(G) \cap H_e(G)$ is spaceable in $A^\infty(G)$.*

In particular, $A^\infty(G) \cap H_e(G)$ is lineable if G is regular. In [75] it is shown that if, in addition, $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{G}$ is connected and there is $M \in (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $a, b \in G$ there exists a curve $\gamma \subset G$ joining a to b for which length$(\gamma) \leq M$, then $A^\infty(G) \cap H_e(G)$ is dense-lineable. But, as shown in [268], the latter conditions are not at all necessary.

Theorem 2.36. (Valdivia, 2009, [268]). *If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a regular domain, then $A^\infty(G) \cap H_e(G)$ is dense-lineable. In fact, there is a dense vector subspace E in $A^\infty(G)$ such that $E \setminus \{0\} \subset H_e(G)$ and E is nearly-Baire.*

In [268] the problem is posed as to whether "nearly-Baire" can be replaced by "Baire".

2.6.3. *A special case: strongly annular functions.* Here we will deal with the special domain $G = \mathbb{D}$. One way of being nonextendable is to grow fast near the boundary. In this vein, an interesting family in $H(\mathbb{D})$ is $\mathcal{SA}$, formed by the so-called *strongly annular functions.* By definition, a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $\mathcal{SA}$ provided that

$$\limsup_{r \to 1} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

Note that $\mathcal{SA} \subset H_e(\mathbb{D})$. In 1975, Bonar and Carroll [95] established the residuality of $\mathcal{SA}$. A lineability result is available.

Theorem 2.37. (Bernal, Bonilla, 2012, [71]). *$\mathcal{SA}$ is algebrable and maximal dense-lineable in $H(\mathbb{D})$.*

In fact, weights can be imposed on the growth of functions, see [71]. Turning to subspaces X of $H(\mathbb{D})$, recall that some criteria for lineability properties of $X \cap H_e(\mathbb{D})$ have been shown in the previous subsection. This raises the question of the lineability of the smaller set $X \cap \mathcal{SA}$. But note that $\mathcal{SA} \cap X = \varnothing$ for important spaces $X \subset H(\mathbb{D})$. For instance, it is evident that no $f \in \mathcal{SA}$ has a continuous extension to $\partial\mathbb{D}$; and $\mathcal{SA} \cap H^p = \varnothing$ $(p > 0)$ thanks to the Fatou theorem asserting the existence of finite radial limit almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ for every $f \in H^p$. Nevertheless, the following positive result was provided by Redett [245]: $B_\alpha^p \cap \mathcal{SA} \neq \varnothing$ for every $p \in (0, +\infty)$ and every $\alpha \in (-1, +\infty)$, where B_α^p denotes the α-weighted Bergman space, that is, the class of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} := \left(\int \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^\alpha \, \frac{dA(z)}{\pi} \right)^{\min\{1, 1/p\}} < +\infty.$$

It becomes a separable F-space under the F-norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,\alpha}$. Note that $B_0^p = B^p$, the classical Bergman space. By exploiting Redett's approach in [245], a lineability assertion can be obtained.

Theorem 2.38. (Bernal, Bonilla, 2012, [71]). *The set $\mathcal{SA} \cap B_\alpha^p$ is dense-lineable in B_α^p.*

Problem 2.39. *Is $X \cap \mathcal{SA}$ spaceable/maximal dense-lineable for $X = B_\alpha^p$ (or even for other spaces $X \subset H(\mathbb{D})$)?*

2.7. **Miscellaneous.**

2.7.1. *Annulling functions and sequences with finitely many zeros.* A function $f \in \mathcal{C}[0,1]$ is said to be an "annulling function" on $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ if f has infinitely many zeros in $[a, b]$ (see [137, Definition 2.1]). As we mentioned in Section 2.2.2, this set of annulling functions is spaceable (Enflo, Gurariy, and Seoane, [137, Corollary 3.8]). On a totally different framework, but related to the study of the amount of zeros of functions on a given interval, let us recall a question originally posed by Aron and Gurariy in 2003, where they asked whether there exists an infinite dimensional subspace of ℓ_∞ every nonzero element of which has only a finite number of zero coordinates. If we denote by P the set of odd prime numbers and we call $x_p = \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p^2}, \frac{1}{p^3}, \frac{1}{p^4}, \cdots \right) \in \ell_\infty$ $(p \in P)$ then it is easy to see that any nontrivial finite linear combination of $\{x_p : p \in P\}$ satisfies the desired property. Some partial answers to the original problem were recently given (for other sequence spaces) in [157] where the authors proved, among other results in this direction, the following:

Theorem 2.40 (García-Pacheco, Pérez-Eslava, Seoane, 2010, [157]). *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space with a normalized Schauder basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. There exists a linear space $V \subset X$ such that:*

(a) *If $a = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a\,[n]\,e_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ then $\operatorname{card}\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a\,[n] = 0\} < \infty$.*
(b) *If $a, b \in V$ then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a\,[n]\,b\,[n]\,e_n \in V$.*
(c) *V is dense and not barrelled.*

However, the question originally posed by Aron and Gurariy concerning spaceability for the ℓ_∞ case remains open.

2.7.2. *The Denjoy-Clarkson property.* It is well known that derivatives of functions of one real variable satisfy the Denjoy-Clarkson property: if $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is everywhere differentiable, then the counterimage through u' of any open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is either empty or has positive Lebesgue measure. Extending this result to several real variables is known as the Weil Gradient Problem [270] and, after being an open problem for almost 40 years, was finally solved (in the negative) for $\mathbb{R}^2$ by Buczolich in 2002 [119]. His example was later simplified by Deville and Matheron [131]. They constructed an everywhere differentiable function on $Q = [0,1]^n$ and extended it through $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodicity to the whole of $\mathbb{R}^n$ obtaining a bounded, everywhere differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

(1) f and ∇f vanish on the boundary of Q,
(2) $\|\nabla f\| = 1$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Thus it is clear that f fails the Denjoy-Clarkson property, since $(\nabla f)^{-1}(B(0,1))$ is a nonempty set of zero Lebesgue measure. In [154] the authors proved that for every $n \geq 2$ there exists an infinite dimensional Banach space of differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which (except for 0) fail the Denjoy-Clarkson property.

2.7.3. *Non-Lipschitz functions with bounded gradient.* A standard result from Real Analysis states that, for any interval I, a differentiable function $f : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz if and only if it has bounded derivative. One could think if the result still holds under weaker conditions. In [274] it is provided an example of a continuous nonLipschitz function, which is differentiable almost everywhere and has bounded derivative almost everywhere. Recently, Jímenez-Rodríguez, Muñoz, and Seoane [190, Theorem 2.1, 3.1] proved the following results.

(a) The set of continuous functions on $[0,1]$ which are a.e. differentiable, with a.e. bounded derivative and not Lipschitz is $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable.
(b) The set of differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ that do not enjoy the classical Mean Value Theorem is $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable.
(c) The set of differentiable functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ with bounded gradient, nonLipschitz, and therefore not satisfying the classical Mean Value Theorem is $\mathfrak{c}$-lineable ([190, Theorem 3.1]), where

$$D = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\} \setminus \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = 0 \text{ and } y > 0\},$$

which is a path connected, nonconvex set.

We remark that the above results can be improved to dense-lineability by means of [20, Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.5]. Also, just recently [189], item (a) above has been improved by showing that c_0 is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of Cantor-Lebesgue functions, that is, continuous non-Lipschitz functions $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f' = 0$ a.e. This, in particular, gives spaceability in $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ of the set defined in (a). Furthermore, Balcerzak *et al.* [31] have shown the dense strongly $\mathfrak{c}$-algebrability in $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ of the smaller set of strongly singular functions. Recall that a function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is called strongly singular if $f \in CBV[0,1]$ (the space of bounded variation continuous functions on $[0,1]$), $f' = 0$ a.e. and f is not constant on any subinterval of $[0,1]$. The spaceability of the

last set (with nonseparable subspace) in the space $CBV[0,1]$ (endowed with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{[0,1]} + \text{Variation}_{[0,1]} f$) is also shown in [31].

Problem 2.41. *Is any of the above sets from* (b) *or* (c) *algebrable?*

2.7.4. *Tamed entire functions and wild behavior near the boundary.* In 1924, K. Grandjot [170] modified Mittag-Leffler's function to get an entire function $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ receding to 0 along any algebraic curve, so along any (straight) line. This surprising result has been improved in several ways, for instance, adding boundedness to all derivatives or imposing integrability on every line, see e.g. [9, 276]. The question naturally arises as to whether this kind of "tamed" entire functions enjoys some sort of lineability. This task was started in the papers [58, 59], whose statements were improved by Armitage (2000, [11]) and Bonilla (2002, [100]). The main result can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 2.42. *Let* $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ *and let* $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ *be an increasing function. There exists a vector subspace* M *which is dense in* $H(\mathbb{C})$ *such that* $\lim\limits_{z \to \infty,\, z \in S} \exp(|z|^\alpha) f(z) = 0$ *for every strip or unbounded algebraic curve* S *and every* $f \in M$, *and*

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}}{\varphi(r)} = +\infty$$

for every $f \in M \setminus \{0\}$.

Notice that one obtains entire functions being small and big simultaneously. Additional properties enjoying lineability as well can be found in the cited works [11, 58, 59, 100]. A harmonic version is discussed in [99], where, in addition, it is proved the dense-lineability in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B})$ of the family of harmonic functions f on the euclidean unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{R}^N$ having zero nontangential limit at every point of $\partial \mathbb{B}$.

Concerning domains different from $\mathbb{C}$, Bernal, Calderón and Prado-Bassas [77] gave in 2004 a linear version of an old theorem due to Kierst and Szpilrajn [200] asserting the residuality of a family of functions holomorphic in G having wild behavior near the boundary. Here G is a Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$. Specifically, it is shown in [77] the dense-lineability in $H(G)$ of the set of holomorphic functions f in G satisfying that the cluster set $C(f, \gamma, \xi)$ of f along γ at each $\xi \in \partial G$ equals $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ for every $f \in M \setminus \{0\}$, every $\xi \in \partial G$ and every curve $\gamma \subset G$ tending to ∂G whose closure does not contain ∂G. Recall that if $A \subset G$ then $C(f, A, \xi)$ is defined as the set $\{w \in \mathbb{C}_\infty : \exists (z_n) \subset A \text{ such that } z_n \to \partial G \text{ and } f(z_n) \to w\}$. An extension of this assertion to L-analytic functions on domains in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where L is an elliptic operator, can be found in [72].

Some of the assertions above can be completed so as to include universality properties (see Section 3.2).

3. Hypercyclic manifolds

In this part, we deal with a class of operators presenting chaotic dynamical behavior. The topic has been systematically studied during the last three decades.

3.1. **Hypercyclicity and universality: examples and genericity.** Traditionally, chaotic processes had been associated to nonlinear settings. Surprisingly, in 1929 Birkhoff [92] showed the existence of an entire function $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ whose sequence of translates $\{f(\cdot + an) : n \geq 1\}$ $(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ approximates uniformly in compacta any prescribed entire function. This entails a rather wild dynamics for such a function f under the action of a continuous *linear* self-mapping of $H(\mathbb{C})$, namely, the translation map $\tau_a g := g(\cdot + a)$. In 1952, MacLane [219] demonstrated the same denseness property for the orbit $\{f^{(n)} : n \geq 1\}$ of some entire function f under the action of the derivative operator $Dg := g'$. From these prominent examples, and others that do not necessarily came from iterates of one self-mapping, many analysts have invested much effort in studying these kinds of phenomena, mostly during the last thirty years. The adequate abstract framework for these results is given in the next paragraph.

Let X and Y be two (Hausdorff) topological spaces and $T_n : X \to Y$ $(n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\})$ be a sequence of continuous mappings. Then (T_n) is said to be *universal* provided that there exists an element $x_0 \in X$, called universal for (T_n), such that the orbit $\{T_n x_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of x_0 under (T_n) is dense in Y. We denote

$$\mathcal{U}((T_n)) := \{x \in X : x \text{ is universal for } (T_n)\}.$$

It is evident that the universality of some (T_n) implies that Y is separable. If X and Y are topological vector spaces and $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y) := \{\text{continuous linear mappings } X \to Y\}$ then the words "universal" and "hypercyclic" are synonymous, although the term "hypercyclic" (coined by Beauzamy [55]) is mainly used to designate an operator (i.e. a continuous linear self-mapping $T \in L(X) := L(X, X)$) such that the sequence (T^n) of its iterates is universal. We denote $HC(T) := \{\text{hypercyclic vectors for } T\} = \mathcal{U}((T^n))$. Excellent surveys for the theory of hypercyclicity and universality are [52], [96], [173], [174], [176] and [203].

Under the last terminology, the mentioned theorems by Birkhoff and MacLane can be reformulated as follows: both translation and derivation operators are hypercyclic on the space $H(\mathbb{C})$ endowed with the compact-open topology. In 1941, Seidel and Walsh gave a noneuclidean version of Birkhoff's theorem by showing that, if $H(\mathbb{D})$ is endowed with the compact-open topology and

$$C_\varphi : f \in H(\mathbb{D}) \mapsto f \circ \varphi \in H(\mathbb{D})$$

denotes the composition operator generated by a noneuclidean translation $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}$ $(a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\})$, then C_φ is hypercyclic. And in 1991, Godefroy and Shapiro [167] unified and strengthened the theorems by Birkhoff and MacLane in the following way: any $T \in L(H(\mathbb{C}))$ that is not a scalar multiple of the identity I and that commutes with the derivative operator D is hypercyclic. In particular, $P(D)$ is hypercyclic on $H(\mathbb{C})$ for every nonconstant polynomial P with complex coefficients. In fact (see for instance [167]), an operator $T \in L(H(\mathbb{C}))$ commutes with D if and only if it commutes with translations, and if and only if $T = \Phi(D)$ for some entire function Φ with exponential type, meaning that

there are positive constants A, B such that $|\Phi(z)| \leq A e^{B|z|}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Recall that if $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $\mathbb{C}$ then $\Phi(D)f := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n f^{(n)}$ for all $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$.

In 1969, Rolewicz [247] provided the first example of a hypercyclic operator on a Banach space: if $X = c_0$ or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and

$$B : (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$$

is the backward shift on X, then any scalar multiple λB ($|\lambda| > 1$) is hypercyclic. In the same paper he proves that, in order that a topological vector space X supports a hypercyclic operator, X must be infinite dimensional. Rolewicz posed the problem of whether every separable infinite dimensional Banach space supports a hypercyclic operator. This was answered in the affirmative by Ansari [8], Bernal [60] and Bonet and Peris [98]. In [98] the authors even proved that this result was valid for Fréchet spaces. Recall that an F-space is a completely metrizable topological vector space, while a Fréchet space is a locally convex F-space. Recently, Shkarin [260] has shown that every normed space of countable algebraic dimension supports a hypercyclic operator.

Another remarkable example in the setting of Banach spaces is the following. For $p \in [1, +\infty)$ consider the Hardy space H^p on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. The automorphisms (i.e. the bijective holomorphic self-mappings) of $\mathbb{D}$ are exactly the fractional linear mappings of the form $\varphi(z) = k \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$. In Shapiro's book [256] it is proved that C_φ is hypercyclic on H^p if and only if φ lacks fixed points in $\mathbb{D}$; see [114] and [147] for extensions. Turning to sequences of operators, Bernal and Montes [82] showed that the sequence (C_{φ_n}) generated by a sequence (φ_n) of automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}$ is universal on $H(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\sup_{n \geq 1} |\varphi_n(0)| = 1$; moreover, if $\{\psi_n(z) = a_n z + b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$, then (C_{ψ_n}) is universal on $H(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if the sequence $\{\min\{|b_n|, |b_n/a_n|\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unbounded. The reader can find extensions of these results to other domains and to other kinds of self-mappings in [82], [225] and [175]. Moreover, if $(\Phi_n(D))$ is a sequence of differential operators, such that each Φ_n is an entire function with exponential type and there exist subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{C}$ each of them with at least one finite accumulation point, and satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n(z) = 0$ ($z \in A$) and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n(z) = \infty$ ($z \in B$), then $(\Phi_n(D))$ is hypercyclic on $H(\mathbb{C})$ [62].

Let us now consider the topological size of the set of universal vectors. From now on, X and Y will stand for topological vector spaces on the same field $\mathbb{K}$ ($= \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$), and T_n, T will be linear and continuous. Firstly, for a single hypercyclic operator $T \in L(X)$, since each member $T^m x_0$ of the orbit of a hypercyclic vector $x_0 \in X$ is also hypercyclic (because T has dense range), one obtains that $HC(T)$ is dense in X. Now, a sequence $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$ is said to be *densely universal* if $\mathcal{U}((T_n))$ is dense in X. If Y is metrizable and separable and (U_k) is a basis for the topology of Y then

$$\mathcal{U}((T_n)) = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T_n^{-1}(U_k),$$

showing that $\mathcal{U}((T_n))$ is a G_δ subset. Thus, if in addition X is a Baire space, we get that (T_n) is densely universal if and only if $\mathcal{U}((T_n))$ is residual. Hence $\mathcal{U}((T_n))$ has large

size in a topological sense. In particular, the property of being a hypercyclic vector is topologically generic as soon as T is a hypercyclic operator on an F-space. The sequences of composition operators (C_{φ_n}), (C_{ψ_n}) and of differential operators $(\Phi_n(D))$ considered in the preceding paragraph (under the conditions specified there) are examples of densely universal sequences.

Several criteria guaranteeing large topological size for the family of universal vectors for (T_n) –and even for subsequences of (T_n)– are known, see [176]. By (n_k) it will be denoted a strictly increasing subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$. A sequence $(T_n) \subset L(X,Y)$ is said to be *hereditarily universal* if (T_{n_k}) is universal for every $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$, and *hereditarily densely universal* if (T_{n_k}) is densely universal for every $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$. Assume now that X is an F-space and Y is metrizable and separable. Then a sequence $(T_n) \subset L(X,Y)$ is said to satisfy the *universality criterion* (UC) provided that there are respective dense sets $X_0 \subset X$, $Y_0 \subset Y$ and a sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_{n_k}x \to 0$ for all $x \in X_0$ and, for every $y \in Y_0$, there is a sequence $(u_k) \subset X$ with $u_k \to 0$ and $T_{n_k}u_k \to y$. It can be proved (see [81] and [90]) that, for separable F-spaces X and Y, the sequence (T_n) satisfies the UC if and only if some subsequence of it is hereditarily densely universal. An operator $L \in L(X)$ is said to satisfy the *hypercyclicity criterion* (HCC) if the sequence of iterates (T^n) satisfies the UC. It is elementary that T is hypercyclic if T is weakly mixing, meaning that $T \oplus T : (x,y) \in X \times X \mapsto (Tx, Ty) \in X \times X$ is hypercyclic. In 1992, Herrero [186] posed the problem of whether the reciprocal is true, and some years later León and Montes [209] raised the question of whether every hypercyclic operator satisfies the HCC. In 1999, Bès and Peris [90] proved that both problems are in fact equivalent. This question has been the "great open problem in hypercyclicity" for a long time, and has served as a primary motivation for a decade-long development of the theory. Finally, De la Rosa and Read [130] settled the problem in the negative, and several examples of hypercyclic operators T defined on classical spaces X and not satisfying the HCC have been provided by Bayart and Matheron in [51].

For instance, if (C_{φ_n}), (C_{ψ_n}), $(\Phi_n(D))$ are the sequences of operators considered in the fifth paragraph, one has that each of the first two of them is hereditarily universal if and only if it is hereditarily densely universal, and this happens if and only if, respectively, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\varphi_n(0)| = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \min\{|b_n|, |b_n/a_n|\} = +\infty$. And $(\Phi_n(D))$ is hereditarily densely universal if there are subsets A, B satisfying the conditions specified above.

3.2. Hypercyclicity and lineability.
After topological genericity has been analyzed, we study under what conditions the family of universal vectors enjoys algebraic genericity. It is evident that the set of universal vectors is never a vector space.

3.2.1. *Hypercyclity and dense-lineability.* An extreme case of lineability is that in which $HC(T) = X \setminus \{0\}$. Observe that this happens if and only if X admits no nontrivial proper closed T-invariant subset. If fact, C. Read [244] solved the invariant subset problem (analogous to the invariant subspace problem, connected with cyclic operators) for Banach spaces (it remains unsolved for Hilbert spaces) by exhibiting an operator T on the sequence space ℓ_1 for which any nonzero vector is hypercyclic. (Incidentally, in the recent paper [168]

Goliński has given examples of operators S without nontrivial proper invariant subspaces on classical non-Banach spaces X; hence the set of S-cyclic vectors is $X \setminus \{0\}$.)

But the last one is a very special operator. Let us go to a more general situation. In a chain of successive improvements, Herrero [185], Bourdon [113], Bès [87] and Wengenroth [271] demonstrated that if T is a hypercyclic operator on an arbitrary topological vector space X then $HC(T)$ is dense-lineable. In fact, they proved much more, and their results are contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If T is a hypercyclic operator on a topological vector space X, $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of all polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ and x_0 is a hypercyclic vector for T, then $M := \{P(T)x_0 : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a dense T-invariant vector subspace of X such that $M \setminus \{0\} \subset HC(T)$.*

If X is Banach then $HC(T)$ is even maximal dense-lineable [63], and the T-invariance is kept for the corresponding vector subspace. Up to date, the maximal dense-lineability of $HC(T)$ for more general topological vector spaces seems to be unknown. It is also worth mentioning an important result by Grivaux [171] asserting that if X is a Banach space and $(T_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable family of hypercyclic operators on X then $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} HC(T_j)$ is dense-lineable. She also proved in [171] that if $(T_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of operators on a separable Fréchet space such that some T_{λ_0} commutes with each T_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) then the set of common hypercyclic vectors $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} HC(T_\lambda)$ is either empty or dense-lineable. As Bayart [44] showed, ven commutativity is not needed under adequate conditions.

As for sequences of linear mappings, it should be said that the mere residuality of the set of universal vectors does not entail lineability. For instance, let $\alpha = (a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence with $\limsup_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} < +\infty$, and define the associated diagonal operator Δ_α as

$$\Delta_\alpha : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k z^k \in H(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k f_k z^k \in H(\mathbb{C}).$$

Consider a sequence $\{\Delta_{\alpha_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ of diagonal operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$, where $\alpha_n = (a_{k,n})_{k \geq 0}$. Then (see [78]) (Δ_{α_n}) is universal if and only if $\{(a_{k,n})_{k \geq 0} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, in which case $\mathcal{U}((\Delta_{\alpha_n}))$ is residual; but no linear manifold contained in $\mathcal{U}((\Delta_{\alpha_n})) \cup \{0\}$ has dimension ≥ 2.

Fortunately, lineability properties hold for the families of universal vectors of sequences of mappings under not too strong restrictions on the spaces and the mappings. In the following theorem a number of related results, starting from 1999, due to Bernal, Calderón and Prado-Tendero [61, 74, 85] are collected.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that X, Y and Y_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) are topological vector spaces. We have:*

(a) *If Y is metrizable and $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$ is hereditarily universal then $\mathcal{U}((T_n))$ is lineable. If, in addition, X is metrizable and separable and (T_n) is hereditarily densely universal then $\mathcal{U}((T_n))$ is dense-lineable.*

(b) *Suppose that X and the Y_j's are metrizable and separable, X is Baire, $(T_{j,n})_{n\geq 1} \subset L(X, Y_j)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and each sequence $(T_{j,n})_{n\geq 1}$ is hereditarily densely universal. Then the set $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}((T_{j,n})_{n\geq 1})$ of common universal vectors is dense-lineable.*

Observe that the conclusions of (a) hold if there is a subsequence of (T_n) that is hereditarily (densely) universal. Analogously, the conclusion of (b) remains valid if each $(T_{j,n})_{n\geq 1}$ admits a subsequence (which may depend on j) being hereditarily densely universal.

For instance, the sets of functions which are respectively universal for the sequences (C_{φ_n}), (C_{ψ_n}), $(\Phi_n(D))$ considered in Section 3.1 –under the restrictions imposed there– are dense-lineable (see also [85] for combinations of composition operators with other kinds of operators). In particular, $\mathcal{U}((\tau_{a_n}))$ is dense-lineable provided that (a_n) is an unbounded sequence in $\mathbb{C}$. With more sophisticated techniques it can be proved that for a fixed large set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ (belonging to a wide class) the family of Birkhoff-universal entire functions presenting rapid decay along A is dense-lineable, see [76] for details. In turn, the results of [76] complete some due to Calderón [120] about universality and vanishing on strips. Hence rapid decay (see Subsection 2.7.4) is compatible with Birkhoff-universality in order to generate lineability. Armitage [10] gives a direct proof of the existence of a dense vector subspace M in the space $\mathcal{H}_N$ of all harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ –endowed with the compact-open topology– such that each function $f \in M$ satisfies a prescribed growth condition and, if $f \neq 0$, it is universal with respect to the sequence of partial derivations of all orders. An explicit construction of a common dense vector space of hypercyclic vectors for a countable family of weighted backward shifts acting on a Banach space supporting a Schauder basis is given by Seoane in [255]. As an application of part (b) of Theorem 3.2 we have (see [74]) that the family of holomorphic monsters created by Luh [218] in 1985 and investigated by Grosse-Erdmann (who proved its residuality, see [172]) is dense-lineable. If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, then a function $f \in H(G)$ is said to be a holomorphic monster whenever, for each derivative or antiderivative F of f of any order, each $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and each $\xi \in \partial G$ there exists a sequence (τ_n) of affine linear transformations with $\tau_n(z) \to \xi$ uniformly on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\tau_n(\mathbb{D}) \subset G$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ and $f(\tau_n(z)) \to g(z)$ compactly in $\mathbb{D}$.

Another outstanding example to which methods close to Theorem 3.2 can be applied is that of universal series. During the seventies, Chui and Parnes [124] and Luh [217] provided a holomorphic function in the unit disc which is universal with respect to overconvergence. More precisely, they constructed a function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying that, given a compact set K with connected complement and $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}} = \varnothing$, a function g continuous on K and holomorphic in its interior K°, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k - g(z) \right| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } z \in K.$$

The topological generic nature of this property was shown in 1996 by Nestoridis [235] who, in fact, proved that K can be allowed to meet $\partial \mathbb{D}$ (i.e. $K \cap \mathbb{D} = \varnothing$). In 2005, Bayart [44] established the dense-lineability in $H(\mathbb{D})$ of the class of these functions f, which are known as *universal Taylor series*. Since 1996, many extensions of these results, for other

domains and more restrictive classes of functions, have been performed (see the recent papers [30, 49, 53, 132, 229] and references therein). They can be put into a more general context. Let X be a metrizable topological vector space over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset X$ be a fixed sequence, $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ and A be a subspace of $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ carrying a complete metrizable vector space topology. Assume that the coordinate projections $a = (a_n) \in A \mapsto a_m \in \mathbb{K}$ are continuous for any m and that the set $\{a = (a_n) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}_0} : \{n : a_n \neq 0\}$ is finite$\}$ is a dense subset of A. Then a sequence $a \in A$ is said to belong to the set $\mathcal{U}_A$ of *restricted universal series in A* with respect to (x_n) provided that, for every $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $(k_n) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k_n} a_j x_j \to x \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k_n} a_j e_j \to a \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

In [49], Bayart, Grosse-Erdmann, Nestoridis and Papadimitropoulos characterized (and applied to a great deal of function spaces) the nonemptyness of $\mathcal{U}_A$, and proved that this is equivalent to its dense-lineability. Koumandos, Nestoridis, Smyrlis and Stefanopoulos [205] have recently investigated under what conditions dense-lineability holds when $A = \bigcap_{p>1} \ell_p$, ℓ_q $(1 < q < \infty)$, c_0 or $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, endowed with their natural topologies; applications to trigonometric series in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and Dirichlet series are furnished.

One can obtain dense-lineable sets of universal Taylor series satisfying, simultaneously, other universality properties. In particular, some kind of wild behavior near the boundary (see Subsection 2.7.4) is compatible with the property of being a universal Taylor series. For instance, Bernal, Bonilla, Calderón and Prado-Bassas [73] showed in 2009 that the family of universal Taylor series f having maximal (i.e. equal to $\mathbb{C}_\infty$) cluster set $C(f, \gamma, \xi)$ at each $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ along any curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{D}$ tending to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ whose closure does not contain $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is dense-lineable in $H(\mathbb{D})$. Incidentally, in [80] it has been shown the maximal dense-lineability in $H(G)$ of the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{U}((C_{\varphi_n}))$ satisfying that boundary property, where G is a Jordan domain and (C_{φ_n}) is the sequence of composition operators generated by adequate holomorphic self-mappings $\varphi_n : G \to G$.

3.2.2. *Hypercyclicity and spaceability.* Regarding to large closed subspaces, the following assertion (see [83]) seems to be the first result in the setting of universality (if one disregards the aforementioned "extreme" example by Read [244]). Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain that is not conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose also that (φ_n) is a sequence of automorphisms of G that is runaway, in the sense that, given a compact subset $K \subset G$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \cap \varphi_n(K) = \varnothing$. Then, if the space of holomorphic functions $H(G)$ is endowed with the compact-open topology, the sequence (C_{φ_n}) of composition operators defined on $H(G)$ satisfies that $HC((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is spaceable.

Montes stated the following criterium on existence of closed subspaces within the set of hypercyclic vectors. The proof is based on the construction of appropriate basic sequences. He proved his result in the setting of Banach spaces. For the universality criterion (UC), we refer the reader to the end of Section 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. (Montes, 1996, [224]). *If X is a separable Banach space, T is an operator on X such that (T^n) satisfies the UC for some $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and there is an infinite dimensional closed vector subspace M of X for which*

$$T^{n_k} x \to 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ x \in M,$$

then $HC(T)$ is spaceable.

For instance, if $1 \le p < \infty$ and φ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ without fixed points then $H(C_\varphi)$ is spaceable in H^p [224]. Also, consider a weight, that is, a bounded sequence $w = (w_n) \subset \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, as well as its associated weighted backward shift $B_w : x = (x_n) \in \ell_p \mapsto (w_n x_{n+1}) \in \ell_p$. Then $HC(B_w)$ is spaceable provided that $\sup_{n \ge 1} \prod_{k=1}^n |w_k| = \infty$ and $\sup_{n \ge 1} \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{\nu=1}^n |w_{\nu+k}| < \infty$ [176, Chap. 10]. As a negative result, in [224] it is shown that the set $HC(T)$ for the Rolewicz operator $T = \lambda B$ $(|\lambda| > 1)$ is not spaceable, although (T^n) satisfies the UC. León and Montes [208] studied the spaceability of $HC(B_w)$ on ℓ_2, and recently Menet [223] has characterized it on ℓ_p and c_0: $HC(B_w)$ is spaceable if and only if $\sup_{n \ge 1} \inf_{k \ge 1} \prod_{\nu=1}^n |w_{\nu+k}| < \infty$.

González, León and Montes characterized the spaceability of $HC(T)$ for Banach space operators satisfying the UC. Their findings can be summarized as follows. Recall that an operator S is called Fredholm provided that S has finite-dimensional kernel and cofinite-dimensional closed range.

Theorem 3.4. (González, León, Montes, 2000, [169]). *Let X be a complex separable Banach space and T be an operator on X such that (T^n) satisfies the UC. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *$HC(T)$ is spaceable.*
(b) *There exists an increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite dimensional closed subspace M_0 of X such that $T^{n_k} x \to 0$ for all $x \in M_0$.*
(c) *There exists an increasing sequence $(m_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite dimensional closed subspace M_1 of X such that $\sup_{k \ge 1} \|T^{m_k}|_{M_1}\| < +\infty$.*
(d) *The essential spectrum $\sigma_e(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T$ is not Fredholm$\}$ meets the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.*

Complementary criteria of spaceability and non-spaceability of $HC(T)$ and $HC((T_n))$ were provided by León and Müller [210] in 2006 for Banach spaces, and recently by Ménet [223] in the setting of Fréchet spaces. Once it has been proved that a given topological vector space supports hypercyclic operators, the question of whether $HC(T)$ is spaceable for some T among them arises naturally. In 1997, León and Montes [208] gave a positive answer for every (separable, infinite dimensional) Banach space. In 2006, Petersson [238] and Bernal [65] independently solved the question in the affirmative for Fréchet spaces admitting a continuous norm. But nonexistence of continuous norms may be allowed: Bès and Conejero [89] constructed an operator T on $\omega := \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for which $HC(T)$ is spaceable. Finally, Menet [222] has been able to prove that the assertion holds for every separable infinite dimensional Fréchet space.

Theorem 3.3 has been improved and extended in several directions, for instance:

- The sequence of powers (T^n) is replaced by a sequence of continuous linear mappings (T_n).
- The arrival space is allowed to be different from X, that is, $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$.
- The space X may be a Fréchet space, or even just an F-space.
- It is possible to obtain spaceability for the set of common universal vectors of a countable family $\{(T_{j,n})_{n \geq 1} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of sequences of continuous linear mappings.
- The condition $T_{n_k} \to 0$ pointwise on M can be replaced by the mere convergence.

These extensions can be found in the papers by Bonet, Martínez-Giménez and Peris [97], Aron, Bès, León and Peris [14], León and Müller [210], Petersson [238], Bernal [65] and Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann [104]. Specifically, by combining the results and the approaches of the proofs in these papers (for this action, Sections 10.1, 10.2, 10.5 and 11.4 of the book [176], as well of the mentioned equivalence for (T_n) of satisfying the UC and of being densely hereditarily hypercyclic for some $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$, will reveal very useful), we obtain the following assertion. Note that for sequences (T_n) there is no need to introduce a subsequence (n_k) since one may always pass to subsequences.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a separable F-space with a continuous norm, Y_j $(j \in \mathbb{N})$ be separable metrizable topological vector spaces, and let $(T_{j,n})_{n \geq 1} \subset L(X, Y_j)$ $(j \in \mathbb{N})$. Suppose that the following holds:*

(i) *For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $(T_{j,n})_{n \geq 1}$ is hereditarily densely universal.*
(ii) *There exists an infinite dimensional closed vector subspace M of X such that the sequence $(T_{j,n}x)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in Y_j for every $x \in M$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Then $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}((T_{j,n})_{n \geq 1})$ is spaceable.

We furnish some examples, which may be interesting even in the case of a single operator or of one sequence of operators. In 2010, Shkarin [259] proved for the derivative operator D that $HC(D)$ is spaceable in $H(\mathbb{D})$ (he also notes that the spectrum $\sigma(D) = \varnothing$, so Theorem 3.4 breaks down for Fréchet spaces). His proof does not rely on Theorem 3.5, but it can be extracted from this theorem (see [176, Example 10.13]). By the first result given in this subsection, the Birkhoff operator τ_a $(a \neq 0)$ also enjoys spaceability for its family of hypercyclic functions; see [101] for a corresponding assertion in the space of harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$. More generally, Petersson [238] showed in 2006 the spaceability of $HC(\Phi(D))$ in $H(\mathbb{C})$, where Φ is an entire function of exponential type that is not a polynomial. Recently, Menet [223] has completed the Shkarin–Petersson results by proving that $HC(P(D))$ is also spaceable if P is a nonconstant polynomial. If Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and φ, ψ are two automorphisms of Ω such that C_φ, C_ψ are hypercyclic on $H(\Omega)$ then $HC(C_\varphi) \cap HC(\psi)$ is spaceable [176, Chap. 11]. By using Theorem 3.5, it is demonstrated in [70] the following result, which complements the corresponding "generic" one stated by Müller [228] in 2009: given a countable set $E \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$, the set of continuous functions f on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ whose sequence $\{S_n f|_E\}_{n \geq 1}$ of partial Fourier sums restricted to E is dense in $\mathbb{C}^E$ is spaceable in the space $C(\partial \mathbb{D})$ of complex continuous functions on the unit circle; its maximal dense-lineability is also shown.

There exist pairs of operators with spaceable sets of hypercyclic vectors, such that the set of their common hypercyclic vectors is not spaceable. For instance, consider the weights $w = (\frac{n+1}{n})$ and $v = (2, 2, \ldots)$, the associated weighted backward shifts B_w, B_v, and the product maps $T_1 := B_w \oplus B_v : (x, y) \in \ell_2 \oplus \ell_2 \mapsto (B_w x, B_v y) \in \ell_2 \oplus \ell_2$, $T_2 := B_v \oplus B_w$. In [14] it is shown that $HC(T_1)$ and $HC(T_2)$ are spaceable, but $HC(T_1) \cap HC(T_2)$ is not. In the opposite side, Bayart [45] (see also [176, Chap. 11]) furnished in 2005 some criteria guaranteeing the spaceability of the set of common hypercyclic vectors of an uncountable family of operators on a Fréchet space. A corresponding result for dense-lineability of an uncountable family of sequences of operators on a Banach space, under rather strong assumptions, was obtained by the same author in [44].

Turning to the universal Taylor series described at the end of the preceding subsection, Bayart [43] established that the set of universal Taylor series (as described at the end of the preceding section) is spaceable in $H(\mathbb{D})$. A generalization of this result to simply connected domains has been stated by Charpentier [122] in 2010. In fact, in [122] the Bayart *et al.* result [49] asserting the dense-lineability of $\mathcal{U}_A$ as soon as $\mathcal{U}_A \neq \varnothing$ is completed by showing that if X is a Banach space then $\mathcal{U}_A$ is spaceable as soon as $\mathcal{U}_A \neq \varnothing$. In 2011, Menet [221] has proved the assertion if X is a Fréchet space admitting a continuous norm, and the same author [222] has recently shown the same result for Fréchet spaces admitting a continuous seminorm p with $\mathrm{codim}(\ker p) = \infty$. In [73] and [80], respectively, the combined properties of maximality of cluster sets in each boundary point with either universality of Taylor series or compositional universality in $H(G)$ (where G is a Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$) considered there are proved to give spaceability. As a related result, in [79] it is provided a large family of classical operators (for instance, differential or composition operators) $T : H(G) \to H(G)$ (with G a domain in $\mathbb{C}$) satisfying that, for any subset $A \subset G$ which is not relatively compact in G, the set $\{f \in H(G) : \overline{(Tf)(A)} = \mathbb{C}\}$ is spaceable. Nevertheless, nothing seems to be known about the spaceability of the family of holomorphic monsters in G considered in the preceding subsection.

3.2.3. *Hypercyclicity and algebrability.* In contrast with dense-lineability or spaceability, not much is known about algebrability in the framework of hypercyclicity. The derivative operator belongs to the short list of lucky operators.

Theorem 3.6. (Aron, Conejero, Peris, Seoane, 2007, [16] and [17]). *Consider the derivative operator $D : H(\mathbb{C}) \to H(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a residual subset M of $H(\mathbb{C})$ such that, for each $f \in M$, the algebra generated by f is contained in $HC(D) \cup \{0\}$.*

Nevertheless, the algebrability of $HC(D)$ is still unknown. For composition operators, the situation is even worse: the translation operator τ_a does not admit algebras contained, except for zero, in $HC(\tau_a)$ [17]. In fact, the same argument given in [17] shows that, for any domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ and any sequence (φ_n) of holomorphic selfmappings of G, the set $HC((C_{\varphi_n})) \cup \{0\}$ does not contain any algebra.

In the positive side, Bayart and Matheron [52, Chap. 8] made the following observation, which is extracted from the approach of [17]. Let X be an F-algebra and $T \in L(X)$. Assume that, for every pair (U, V) of nonempty open sets in X, any open neighborhood

W of 0 in X and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find $u \in U$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^q(u^j) \in W$ for all $j < m$ and $T^q(u^m) \in V$. Then there is a residual subset M of X such that, for each $f \in M$, the algebra generated by f is contained in $HC(T) \cup \{0\}$. This can be applied, for instance, to the Rolewicz operator $T := 2B$ acting on $X := \ell_1$ if X is endowed with the convolution product $(a_n) * (b_n) = \{\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}\}_{n \geq 1}$.

3.3. **Other kinds of linear chaos.** Here we briefly focus our attention on weaker and stronger kinds of hypercyclicity, as well as on a related form of chaos.

3.3.1. *Supercyclicity and frequent hypercyclicity.* In 1974, Hilden and Wallen [187] introduced the notion of supercyclicity. Let X be a Hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be *supercyclic* provided that there is a vector $x_0 \in X$, called supercyclic for T, such that the projective orbit $\{\lambda T^n x_0 : n \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}\}$ of x_0 under T is dense in X. Again, the separability of X is necessary for supercyclicity. It is plain that every hypercyclic operator is also supercyclic. The backward shift B on ℓ_2 is an example of a supercyclic operator that is not hypercyclic. As in the hypercyclic case, it is immediate that the set $SC(T)$ of supercyclic vectors for T is dense as soon as T is supercyclic. If X is a separable F-space and (U_k) is an open basis for X then

$$SC(T) = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}}} (T^n)^{-1}(\lambda U_k),$$

showing that if T is supercyclic then $SC(T)$ is a dense G_δ subset. Hence we have topological genericity in this case and the question of the algebraic size of $SC(T)$ arises naturally. In contrast to the hypercyclic case, dense invariant supercyclic linear manifolds are not always available.

Theorem 3.7. (Herrero, 1991, [185]). *Let X be a complex Banach space and T be a supercyclic operator on X. Then $SC(T) \cup \{0\}$ contains a T-invariant dense vector subspace if and only if the set of isolated points in $\sigma(T)$ that are not in $\sigma_e(T)$ is empty.*

Moreover, with the same approach of [113] it can be proved that if T is supercyclic and the adjoint T^* lacks eigenvalues then $SC(T) \cup \{0\}$ contains a T-invariant dense vector subspace. As for large closed subspaces within $SC(T)$, there have been remarkable contributions due to Salas [252] and Montes and Salas [226] (see also [227]). The next two results keep some similarity to Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.8. (Salas, 1999, [252]). *Let T be an operator on a complex separable Banach space X satisfying the following:*

(i) *There exist an increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$, dense subsets Y, Z of X, and a mapping $S : Z \to Z$ such that $TS = $ identity on Z in such a way that $\|T^{n_k} y\| \, \|S^{n_k} z\| \to 0$ for each $(y, z) \in Y \times Z$.*

(ii) $0 \in \sigma_e(T)$.

Then $SC(T)$ is spaceable.

Theorem 3.9. (Montes, Salas, 2001, [226]). *Let T be an operator on a complex separable Banach space X satisfying the following:*

(i) *There exist a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$, a sequence $(\lambda_k) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, dense subsets Y, Z of X, and a mapping $S : Z \to Z$ such that $TS = $ identity on Z in such a way that $\lambda_k T^{n_k} y \to 0$ for every $y \in Y$ and $\lambda_k^{-1} S^{n_k} z \to 0$ for every $z \in Z$.*

(ii) *The sequence $(\lambda_k \alpha^{n_k})$ is bounded for some $\alpha \in \sigma_e(T)$.*

Then $SC(T)$ is spaceable.

As a prominent example, a characterization of spaceability of $SC(B_w)$ in ℓ_p $(1 \le p < \infty)$ in terms of the weights w_n is provided in [226]: $SC(B_w)$ is spaceable if and only if there exist a strictly increasing sequence $(m_j) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $(q(n)) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \Big(\limsup_{m_j > n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} w_{m_j - n + i}}{\min_{q \le q(n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} w_{q+i}} \Big) = 0.$$

In particular, for the unweighted backward shift B, the set $SC(B)$ is not spaceable. The last property is also true on c_0 (see the survey [227], in which one can find further examples).

In 2006, Bayart and Grivaux [47] introduced the following notion as a quantified, stronger form of hypercyclicity, connected to ergodic theory. An operator T on a topological vector space X is said to be *frequently hypercyclic* provided there exists a vector $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$\underline{\mathrm{dens}}\, \{n \in \mathbb{N} : T^n x_0 \in U\} > 0 \ \text{ for every nonempty open subset } U \text{ of } X.$$

In this case, x_0 is called a frequently hypercyclic vector for T, and the set of these vectors will be denoted by $FHC(T)$. Recall that if $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ then the lower density and the upper density of A are respectively defined as $\underline{\mathrm{dens}}\,(A) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} (1/n)\,\mathrm{card}(A \cap \{1, \ldots, n\})$ and $\overline{\mathrm{dens}}\,(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} (1/n)\,\mathrm{card}(A \cap \{1, \ldots, n\})$, where $\mathrm{card}\,(B)$ denotes the cardinality of the set B. It turns out that wide families of operators that were hypercyclic (including, as seen in Section 3.1, composition operators C_φ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ with φ an automorphism without fixed points, nonscalar differential operators $\Phi(D)$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$, and the Rolewicz operator λB with $|\lambda| > 1$ on c_0 or ℓ_p, $1 \le p < \infty$, among others) are also frequently hypercyclic, see [47], [48], [102] and [103]. But there are hypercyclic operators that are not frequently hyperyclic. An example of this is the weighted backward shift B_w on ℓ_2 with $w = ((1 + n^{-1})^{1/2})$, see [47].

Let us consider now the topological size of $FHC(T)$. Even on F-spaces, there is not a result analogous to the hypercyclic case. In fact, the more popular operators (derivative operators, weighted backward shifts, composition operators) satisfy that their respective sets of frequent hypercyclic vectors are *not* residual, see [47] and [103]. Nevertheless, by following the approach of Bourdon [113], we get that dense-lineability is kept for $FHC(T)$.

Theorem 3.10. (Bayart, Grivaux, 2006, [47]). *Let T be a frequently hypercyclic operator on a separable F-space X. There is a dense T-invariant linear manifold M of X, every nonzero vector of which is frequently hypercyclic for T.*

In [47] it is raised the question of whether –analogously to the case of simple hypercyclicity– the set $\bigcap_k FHC(T_k)$ is dense-lineable, where all T_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) are frequently hypercyclic operators on the same Banach space X.

Similarly to the mere hypercyclicity, the existence of large closed subspaces of frequently hypercyclic vectors calls for additional assumptions. According to Bayart and Grivaux [47] –and introducing a modified version due to Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann [103]– we say that an operator T on a separable F-space X satisfies the *frequent hypercyclicity criterion* (FHCC) if there is a dense subset X_0 of X and a map $S : X_0 \to X_0$ such that, for any $x \in X_0$, $TSx = x$ and both series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n x$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n x$ converge unconditionally. Recall that a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in an F-space X converges unconditionally if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\| \sum_{n \in F} x_n \right\| < \varepsilon$ for every finite subset $F \subset \{N + 1, N + 2, \dots\}$. Here $\| \cdot \|$ is an F-norm on X. If T satisfies the FHCC then T is frequent hypercyclic, and in fact the FHCC is a powerful tool to check the property for the main kinds of operators.

Theorem 3.11. (Bonilla, Grosse-Erdmann, 2012, [104]). *Let $T \in L(X)$, where X is a separable F-space with a continuous norm. Suppose that*

(i) *T satisfies the FHCC, and*
(ii) *there exists an infinite dimensional closed subspace M_0 of X such that $T^n x \to 0$ for all $x \in M_0$.*

Then the set $FHC(T)$ is spaceable.

As a nice application, Bès [88] has proved that, if $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $\varphi : G \to G$ is a univalent holomorphic function, then the set $FHC(C_\varphi)$ is spaceable.

3.3.2. *Distributional chaos.* Finally, we deal with a related notion of chaos presenting some similarities with that of hypercyclicity, but revealing deep differences at the same time. The notion was introduced in 1994 by Schweizer and Smítal [257]. According to [257], if X is a metric space with distance d, a continuous map $T : X \to X$ is said to be *distributionally chaotic* if there exist an uncountable set $\Gamma \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\tau > 0$ and each pair of distinct points $x, y \in \Gamma$, we have that $\overline{\mathrm{dens}} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(T^n x, T^n y) < \tau\} = 1$ and $\underline{\mathrm{dens}} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(T^n x, T^n y) < \varepsilon\} = 0$. Inspired by this concept and by the notion of irregular vector coined by Beauzamy [56] (if X is a Banach space and $x_0 \in X$, an operator $T \in L(X)$ is called *irregular* provided that the sequence $(T^n x_0)$ is unbounded but it has a subsequence tending to 0), the authors of [57] presented the following concept. If X is a Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ then a vector $x_0 \in X$ is said to be *distributionally irregular* if there are increasing sequences $A = (n_k)$, $B = (m_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\overline{\mathrm{dens}}\,(A) = \overline{\mathrm{dens}}(B) = 1, \ \ \lim_k \|T^{n_k} x_0\| = 0 \ \ \text{and} \ \ \lim_k \|T^{m_k} x_0\| = \infty.$$

We denote by $DI(T)$ the set of distributionally irregular vectors for T. If $DI(T) \neq \varnothing$ then T is distributionally chaotic [57], but the truth of the converse is unknown up to date. A number of lineability results have been obtained in [57]. The next theorem gathers a selection of them.

Theorem 3.12. (Bermúdez, Bonilla, Martínez-Giménez, Peris, 2011, [57]).

(a) *Assume that X is a Banach space, that $T \in L(X)$ and that there exists a dense subset $X_0 \subset X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x = 0$. Then $DI(T)$ is dense-lineable if at least one of the following conditions hold:*

    (i) *$DI(T) \neq \varnothing$.*

    (ii) *There exist an increasing sequence $A = (n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and a vector $y \in X$ satisfying $\overline{\text{dens}}\,(A) = 1$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k} y\| = \infty$.*

    (iii) *There exists an increasing sequence $A = (n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{\text{dens}}\,(A) = 1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\|T_n\|} < \infty$.*

(b) *If X is infinite dimensional and separable, there exists a hypercyclic and distributionally chaotic operator T such that $DI(T)$ is dense-lineable.*

Recently, the authors of [220] have constructed a hypercyclic operator T and a non-hyperyciclic operator S such that *every* nonzero vector is distributionally irregular for each of them. Concerning Beauzamy's irregular vectors, in [86] Bernardes *et al.* have proved, among other results, that if X is a Fréchet space, $T \in L(X)$ and T admits an irregular vector, then the set of irregular vectors is dense-lineable.

Several corresponding results for strongly continuous semigroups (also called C_0-semi-groups) $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ –to which the notions of distributionally irregular vectors and distributional chaos can be extended in a natural way, as well as the notions of hypercyclicity and frequent hypercyclicity– are provided in [6] by Albanese, Barrachina, Mangino and Peris. The study of lineability for the set of hypercyclic vectors for a C_0-semigroup is virtually closed since Conejero, Müller and Peris [129] proved in 2007 that, if X is an F-space, then $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is hypercyclic if and only if each T_{t_0} $(t_0 > 0)$ is hypercyclic, and if and only if some T_{t_0} $(t_0 > 0)$ is hypercyclic. In this case, the set of hypercyclic vectors for (T_t) equals $HC(T_{t_0})$ for any $t_0 > 0$. The assertion holds if one replaces hypercyclicity by frequent hypercyclicity. Turning to distributional chaos, it seems that reasonable criteria for the spaceability of $DI(T)$ have not been furnished up to date.

4. Zeros of polynomials in Banach spaces

First of all, let us recall the definition of polynomial on a Banach space X. By B_X we denote the open unit ball X.

Definition 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $P : X \to \mathbb{K}$ is said to be an n-homogeneous polynomial if there is a continuous n-linear mapping $A : X \times \cdots \times X \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $P(x) = A(x, \ldots, x)$ for all $x \in X$. A polynomial on X is a finite sum of homogeneous polynomials. A polynomial is a finite sum of homogeneous polynomials.*

Note that we will only deal with continuous n-homogeneous polynomials. The usual notation for the space of n-homogeneous polynomials on X is $\mathcal{P}(^n X)$, while $\mathcal{L}(^n X)$ denotes the space of continuous n-linear functionals on X. Both spaces are Banach spaces when endowed with the respective natural norms

$$\|P\| = \sup_{x \in B_X} |P(x)|, \quad \|A\| = \sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in B_X} |A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|.$$

To each n-homogeneous polynomial P there corresponds a *unique symmetric n-linear map-ping A*. Let $\mathcal{L}_s(^nX)$ denote the space of such symmetric n-linear functionals. By the po-larization formula, given an n-homogeneous polynomial P, one can recover the associated $A \in \mathcal{L}_s(^nX)$:

$$A(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{n^n}{n!} \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1, j=1, \ldots, n} P(\sum_j \varepsilon_j x_j).$$

Moreover, $\|P\| \leq \|A\| \leq \frac{n^n}{n!}\|P\|$. We refer the interested reader to [12, 134, 230, 231] for a full explanation of these results, as well as many others on polynomials defined on infinite dimensional spaces.

The study of the zeros of polynomials on complex spaces, due its fundamental nature, has an ancient origin dating at least from the 1950's (see e.g. [24] for some references to earlier work). Nowadays, this investigation can be approached with tools of Algebraic Geometry, Complex Analysis and Functional Analysis ([177, 183, 239]). The case of polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^n$ has been widely investigated but the case of polynomials on infinite-dimensional Banach spaces seems to be an even richer source of challenging questions. The following well-known result of Plichko and Zagorodnyuk can be viewed as a starting point for in the infinite-dimensional setting:

Theorem 4.2 (Plichko, Zagorodnyuk, 1998, [239])**.** *If X is an infinite-dimensional com-plex Banach space and P is an n-homogeneous polynomial on X, then $P^{-1}(0)$ contains an infinite-dimensional subspace Y.*

However for real scalars the situation is radically different as the polynomial $P : \ell_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j^2$$

shows. Even in the finite-dimensional case, the field, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, makes a big difference. Indeed, for the 2-homogeneous polynomial $P : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}, P(z) = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$, we have that $P^{-1}(0)$ contains a vector space of dimension $[\frac{n}{2}]$, since the span of $\{e_1 + ie_2, e_3 + ie_4, \ldots\} \subset P^{-1}(0)$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$ and so on. The reader can observe that nothing *interesting* can be said for $P^{-1}(0)$ when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. As the following theorem reveals, this example is, in fact, illustrative of the general situation in the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. For works related to the study of zeros of real polynomials we refer to [15, 21, 142, 143] and the references therein.

Theorem 4.3 (Plichko, Zagorodnyuk, 1998, [239])**.** *Let X be a complex Banach space. Given positive integers n and k, there is an integer $m(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, whenever $\dim(X) = k$ and $P : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is an n-homogeneous polynomial, the set $P^{-1}(0)$ contains a subspace of dimension at least $m(n, k)$. Moreover, $m(n, k) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.*

To give an idea of how things work in the subject of polynomials in Banach spaces, we shall now provide a proof (obtained from [26] and from its generalization by Lourenço and Tocha in [216]) that follows exactly the same lines as that of [239], and which is done by

induction on the homogeneity n. We shall exhibit the situation for $n = 1, 2$ and 3. Clearly, $m(1, k) = k - 1$. The general case, on the other hand, will require the following well-known fact from the theory of several complex variables: *if $f : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic (complex analytic) function in $k \geq 2$ variables, then $f^{-1}(0)$ is either empty or an unbounded set.*

$\underline{n = 2}$ Let us now focus on 2-homogeneous polynomials. Let $P : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a 2-homogeneous polynomial, with corresponding symmetric bilinear form $A : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$. As we saw previously, there exists $x_1 \neq 0$ such that $P(x_1) = 0$. Consider $S = \{x \in X : A(x, x_1) = 0\}$. Then S is a subspace of X having dimension at least $k - 1$. Since $x_1 \in S$, we can write $S = S_1 \bigoplus [x_1]$. Provided that $\dim(S_1) \geq 2$, we can find $x_2 \in S_1 \setminus \{0\}$ with $P(x_2) = 0$. For any $x \in \operatorname{span}\{x_1, x_2\}$,

$$P(x) = P(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2) = A(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2, a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2)$$
$$= a_1^2 P(x_1) + 2a_1 a_2 A(x_1, x_2) + a_2^2 P(x_2) = 0,$$

using the fact that A is symmetric and that $x_2 \in S_1 \subset S$. Thus, provided $\dim(X) \geq 4$, every complex-valued 2-homogeneous polynomial on X vanishes on a 2-dimensional subspace. It is clear that the argument continues, giving that every 2-homogeneous polynomial vanishes on a $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$-dimensional subspace of X.

$\underline{n = 3}$ For the case of 3-homogeneous polynomials P, first take $x_1 \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P(x_1) = 0$. Let $A : X \times X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ be the associated symmetric 3-linear form. Thus, we can write $X = [x_1] \bigoplus Y_{k-1}$, where $\dim(Y_{k-1}) = k - 1$. Now, consider the subspace $S(x_1, x_1) = \{x \in Y_{k-1} : A(x_1, x_1, x) = 0\}$, which is a $(k-2)$-dimensional subspace. We can now write $X = [x_1] \bigoplus S(x_1, x_1) \bigoplus [y_1]$, where $y_1 \in Y_{k-1} \setminus S(x_1, x_1)$. By the above $n = 2$ case, there is an $\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor$-dimensional subspace $S(x_1)$ of $S(x_1, x_1)$ on which the 2-homogeneous polynomial $x \mapsto A(x_1, x, x)$ vanishes. If it happens that $\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor \geq 2$, i.e. if $k \geq 6$ then $\dim(S(x_1)) \geq 2$, and so there is a nonzero vector $x_2 \in S(x_1)$ such that $P(x_2) = 0$. It is a routine verification that $[x_1, x_2]$ is then contained in $P^{-1}(0)$. By now, the method of proof should be reasonably evident, and in fact one gets $m(3, k) \geq \max\{n : k \geq 2^{n-1}(n+1)\}$.

$\underline{n > 3}$ Clearly, the same argument can be applied in order to extend the above constructions to all homogeneities n of P.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $P : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary (not necessarily homogeneous) polynomial of degree n. Then there is a subspace $V \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, whose dimension depends only on k, such that $\dim(V) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, satisfying the condition $P|_V \equiv P(0)$.*

Having in mind the polynomials of the form $\sum x_j^2$ the case of real polynomials needs a special approach where odd-homogeneous polynomials and even-homogeneous polynomials are investigated by different fronts.

For the case of odd-homogeneous polynomials we present here a result by R. Aron and P. Hájek.

Theorem 4.5 (Aron, Hájek, 2006, [24])**.** *Let $P : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be an n-homogeneous polynomial, where n is odd. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a subspace $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $\dim X = k$, such that*

$P|_X \equiv 0$ *provided N is so big that*

$$N > k!(\log_2 N)^k \begin{pmatrix} k+n-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Regarding the case of 2-homogeneous polynomial on a real Banach space X, let us recall that a 2-homogeneous polynomial $P : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *positive definite* if $P(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$ and $P(x) = 0$ only for $x = 0$. It is known (see [139]) that the following statements are equivalent:

(i) X admits a positive definite 2-homogeneous polynomial.
(ii) There is a continuous injection of X into a Hilbert space.
(iii) There is a 2-homogeneous polynomial P on X whose set of zeros is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of X.

In particular, there are real positive definite 2-homogeneous on X whenever X is separable or a $\mathcal{C}(K)$ space for some compact, separable K. On the other hand, $c_0(\Gamma)$ and $\ell_p(\Gamma), p > 2$, do not admit positive definite 2-homogeneous polynomials. The following result is proved via an interesting use of Zorn's Lemma:

Theorem 4.6 (Aron, Boyd, Ryan, Zalduendo, 2003, [15])**.** *Let X be a real Banach space which does not admit a positive definite 2-homogeneous polynomial. Then, for every 2-homogeneous polynomial $P : X \to \mathbb{R}$, there is an infinite dimensional subspace of X on which it is identically zero.*

Theorem 4.5 shows that given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any odd positive integer n, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any n-homogeneous polynomial $P : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, there is a k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^N$ on which P is identically 0. From this, it seems a reasonable question to wonder whether something "better" happens if we replace $\mathbb{R}^N$ by an infinite dimensional real Banach space X:

> Given any odd n and any n-homogeneous polynomial $P : X \to \mathbb{R}$, is there an infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$ such that $P|_Y \equiv 0$?

The answer is actually *no*. In fact, one has the following:

Theorem 4.7 (Aron, Hájek, 2007, [23])**.** *Given any real, separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X and any odd $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an n-homogeneous polynomial $P : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $P^{-1}(0)$ does not contain an infinite dimensional subspace.*

From Theorem 4.2 a natural step forward is: how "big" is Y? In view of the previous results, the following questions arise naturally:

(Q1) Suppose that the complex Banach space X is *nonseparable*. Does it follow that there is a *nonseparable* subspace contained in $P^{-1}(0)$?
(Q2) For a real Banach space X and an n-homogeneous polynomial $P : X \to \mathbb{R}$, are there situations where $P^{-1}(0)$ does contain an infinite dimensional subspace?
(Q3) Let $P : X \to \mathbb{K}$ be an n-homogeneous polynomial, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and let $M, N \subset X$ be two *maximal* subspaces of $P^{-1}(0)$. What can be said about the relation between M and N? ($M \subset X$ is a *maximal* subspace of $P^{-1}(0)$ means

that M is a vector subspace of $P^{-1}(0)$ that is not strictly contained in any larger subspace $P^{-1}(0)$.)

Let us now provide some answers to each of these questions. Below, (Aj) denotes the answer for the (Qj) above.

(A1) The answer is affirmative for ℓ_∞. To be more precise, we have:

Theorem 4.8 (Fernández-Unzueta, 2006, [140]). *Let E be a complex Banach space containing ℓ_∞. For every n, every n-homogeneous $P : E \to \mathbb{C}$ vanishes on a non-separable subspace of E.*

In addition, in [140] is also shown that in the case of real ℓ_∞, if $P : \ell_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishes on a copy of c_0, then $P \equiv 0$ on a nonseparable subspace.

On the other hand, and responding to a question of [34] (see also [15]), Avilés and Todorcevic [28] have shown that the general answer to question (a) is *no*.

Theorem 4.9 (Avilés, Todorcevic, 2009, [28]). *There is a 2-homogeneous polynomial $P : \ell_1(\aleph_1) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $P^{-1}(0)$ contains no nonseparable subspace.*

(A2) Concerning the second question, partial information has already been noted in Theorems 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7. Let us assume that $P : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a 2-homogeneous polynomial on a nonseparable Banach space X. In this case, there is some additional, albeit partial, information available.

Theorem 4.10 (Aron, Boyd, Ryan, Zalduendo, 2003, [15]). (a) *Let X be a real Banach space which does not admit a positive definite 4-homogeneous polynomial. Then for every 2-homogeneous polynomial P on X, there is a nonseparable subspace of X on which P is identically zero.*

(b) *Let X be a real Banach space of type 2. Then either X admits a positive definite 2-homogeneous polynomial or every $P \in \mathcal{P}\left(^2X\right)$ has an nonseparable subspace on which it is identically zero.*

It is worth noticing the connection with the geometry of the domain X. The requirement of type 2 in the above result is necessary for the use of the Extension Theorem of Maurey.

Still regarding to results related to positive definite polynomials, for the case of a compact topological Hausdorff space K, the following dichotomy holds:

Theorem 4.11 (Férrer, 2007, [141]). *The space $C(K)$ satisfies the following dichotomy. Either*
 (i) *It admits a positive definite continuous 2-homogeneous real-valued polynomial, or*
(ii) *every continuous 2-homogeneous real-valued polynomial vanishes in a nonseparable closed linear subspace.*

When $X = c_0\left(\Gamma\right)$ the following result holds for general polynomials (non necessarily homogeneous):

Theorem 4.12 (Férrer, 2007, [141])**.** *Let* Γ *be an uncountable set. If* $P : c_0\left(\Gamma\right) \to$ $\mathbb{R}$ *is a continuous polynomial, then there is a closed linear subspace* E *of* $c_0\left(\Gamma\right)$ *such that* $E \subset P^{-1}\left(0\right)$ *and* E *is isometric to* $c_0\left(\Gamma\right).$

(A3) For the last question we actually have a negative answer, as the following result shows.

Theorem 4.13 (Avilés, Todorcevic, 2009, [28])**.** *There exists a* 2-*homogeneous polynomial* $P : \ell_1(\mathfrak{c}) \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $P^{-1}(0)$ *contains both separable and nonseparable maximal subspaces.*

Also [28] provides new perspectives on the investigation of zeros of complex polynomials, including new techniques and, specially, connections with results from [266] related to the existence of certain partitions with special properties.

As a final remark to this section, we would like to emphasize that this particular topic of study (subsets and subspaces of zeros of polynomials) has just recently started to develop. Thus, although it has rapidly caught the eye of many researchers in the field, there is still plenty of ongoing work on it. We believe that, in the years to come, this topic will certainly experience a large growth.

5. Some remarks and conclusions. General techniques

This last section will be devoted to provide several remarks on very particular results (not treated earlier) about lineability, operator theory, and the classical problem of the lineability of the set of norm-attaining functionals. Later, a number of heuristic comments will be given and, finally, some general techniques will be considered.

Remark 5.1. Besides all the examples shown in the preceding sections, together with the different areas in which the topic of lineability has had its influence, the theory of *absolutely –and nonabsolutely– summing operators* has also attracted the attention of some authors when working on lineability. We refer the interested reader to [109, 111, 112, 202, 243]. Also, and more particularly, Pellegrino and Teixeira [237] provided some results within the framework of norm-attaining operators, results that were later generalized in 2011 by Botelho, Diniz, Fávaro, and Pellegrino [108].

Remark 5.2. Regarding a classical problem on *norm-attaining functionals,* a well-known theorem by E. Bishop and R. Phelps (1961, [93]) states that for any Banach space X, the set $\mathcal{NA}(X) := \{\varphi \in X^* : \text{attains the norm}\}$ is a dense subset of X^*. It is natural to ask about the *lineability* of the set $\mathcal{NA}(X)$. For many specific spaces X, the answer is routine. For example,

$$\mathcal{NA}(c_0) = \{x = (x_n) \in \ell_1 : x_n = 0 \text{ for all sufficiently large } n\}.$$

In this case, $\mathcal{NA}(c_0)$ is itself a dense vector subspace of $c_0^* = \ell_1$. However, note that $\mathcal{NA}(c_0)$ contains no infinite dimensional closed subspace, so here we have lineability without spaceability. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{NA}(\ell_1) = \{y = (y_n) \in \ell_\infty : \|y\|_\infty = \max_n |y_n|\}$$

is not a subspace. Indeed, both $y = (-1, 0, 0, \ldots)$ and $y' = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \ldots, \frac{n}{n+1}, \ldots)$ are in $\mathcal{NA}(\ell_1)$ although $y + y' \notin \mathcal{NA}(\ell_1)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{NA}(\ell_1)$ contains both dense vector subspaces and infinite dimensional closed subspaces. This raises the questions:

When is $\mathcal{NA}(X)$ a vector space? When is $\mathcal{NA}(X)$ spaceable?

These questions have been deeply studied in [35] by Bandyopadhyay and Godefroy, who provided, among other results, conditions that ensure that $\mathcal{NA}(X)$ is not spaceable; see also the recent works [1, 153] for more results on the linear structure of $\mathcal{NA}(X)$. Very recently, García-Pacheco and Puglisi [158] showed that every Banach space admitting an infinite dimensional separable quotient can be equivalently renormed in such a way that the set of its norm attaining functionals contains an infinite dimensional linear subspace. Nevertheless, the general problem of the 2-lineability of the set of norm-attaining functional in any Banach space is still open.

After the previous remarks, let us make some considerations related to the Baire category theorem and lineability. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. A subset $E \subset X$ is said to be *porous* if there exist a constant $a \in (0, 1)$ and a radius $r_0 > 0$ such that for every $x \in X$ and every $r \in (0 < r < r_0)$ there is $y \in X$ with $B(y, ar) \subset B(x, r) \setminus E$. The set E is said to be *σ-porous* if E can be written as a countable union of porous subsets of X. If E is porous, then it is nowhere dense. Hence σ-porous sets are of first category. The converse is false. Thus, it is in general a stronger statement to say that a set has σ-porous complement than to say that it is residual. There is some recent work on this topic. For instance, J. Borwein and X. Wang [105] show that if X is a separable Banach space, then the set of all nonexpansive Lipschitz functions with maximal Clarke subdifferentials is not only residual but has σ-porous complement. In [105] the authors do not mention lineability, but our guess is that this property is lineable. Let us point out that, just recently, more examples of cases relating porosity and strong algebrability (in the case of continuous functions on locally compact groups) were provided in [5].

So far, we have seen many cases in which a set of functions having an *exotic* property is residual, so that its complement is first category. We wonder in how many cases it is true that the complement is σ-porous. For instance, Bayart [46] demonstrated that the set $HC(C_\varphi)$ of hypercyclic functions with respect to the composition operator C_φ generated by a nonelliptic automorphism φ (recall that this set is residual, dense-lineable and spaceable, see Section 3) has not σ-porous complement. Also, and as we saw in Theorem 2.8, although being a residual set is "symptomatic" of containing large subspaces, there is clearly no immediate implication: recall, for instance, the example of the set $\mathcal{U}((\Delta_{\alpha_n}))$ given in Subsection 3.2.1. Also, in [33], there is another example in this direction: for any $x \in \ell_1$ define $E(x) = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : \exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \sum_{n \in A} x(n) = a\}$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all $x \in \ell_1$ for which $E(x)$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is residual, strongly $\mathfrak{c}$-algebrable, but not spaceable.

Certainly, and after what we have been seeing throughout this paper, one could think that *almost* everything is lineable, dense-lineable, or spaceable. This is certainly not the

case as we have already seen in, for instance, Theorems 2.8 and 2.17. In spite of this, and in a much more general frame, the following has been established.

Theorem 5.3. (Aron, García-Pacheco, Pérez-García, Seoane, 2009 [20]).

(a) *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. There exists a subset $M \subset X$ such that M is spaceable and dense, although it is not dense-lineable.*

(b) *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. There exists a subset $M \subset X$ which is lineable and dense, but which is not spaceable. If X is separable, then M can also be chosen to be dense-lineable.*

One conclusion that we can infer from what we have been presenting in this survey is that a very common (and possibly the only!) strategy to obtain lineability is the following: once a mathematical object enjoying a particular property is found, one tries to modify it in order to construct a basis for the *potential* candidate to linear space. Thus, almost all techniques are, up to date, constructive. Only a handful of *existence techniques* (although not very general ones) have been obtained in the recent years. Here, and to conclude with this survey, we will give a brief account on them. It was not until very recently that some *existence results* to guarantee the lineability of certain sets were given. These mentioned results, although not giving the *specific* linear space, supply the positive answer we look for in this area. Due to the lack of existence results in this topic, we believe that it is interesting to have this kind of *machine-proving result* that, right away, guarantees the lineability of a given family of functions. In order to do that, we relate the notion of lineability with that of *additivity,* introduced by T. Natkaniec in [233, 234] and thoroughly studied by F.E. Jordan in his Ph.D. Dissertation [192].

Definition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. The* additivity *of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as the following cardinal number:*

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) = \min\big(\{\, \mathrm{card}\, F : F \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}},\ \varphi + F \not\subset \mathcal{F},\ \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \,\} \cup \{(2^{\mathfrak{c}})^{+}\}\big),$$

where $(2^{\mathfrak{c}})^{+}$ stands for the successor cardinal of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

The above definition gives us, roughly, the *biggest* cardinal number κ for which every family $\mathcal{G}$, with $\mathrm{card}\,\mathcal{G} < \kappa$, can be translated into $\mathcal{F}$. Let us remark that for some families the additivity is relatively "easy" to compute, whereas lineability is, in general, "hard" to calculate.

Additivity and lineability have not been related until now. Although it may seem like this concept has nothing to do with the concept of lineability, it actually has a lot to do with it:

Theorem 5.5 (Gámez, Muñoz, Seoane, 2010, [150])**.** *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ star-like, that is, $\alpha\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\mathfrak{c} \leq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$-lineable.*

Theorem 5.5 can be applied to many classes of functions, such as those presented in Definition 2.15. The result provides a technique that can only be used or applied within the framework of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. It would be very interesting if a dual result of Theorem 5.5 above

could also be found for algebrability, that is: is there any cardinal invariant that relates to the concept of algebrability as the additivity does with lineability?

On the other hand, a few criteria telling us how to get dense-lineability from the mere lineability have appeared recently. The idea which is in the core of these results is to obtain the desired dense subspace by adding small vectors coming from a known lineable set to the vectors of a dense subset. Such criteria are contained in the next two theorems. According to [20], if A and B are subsets of a vector space X, then A is said to be *stronger than* B provided that $A + B \subset A$.

Theorem 5.6. (Aron, García, Pérez, Seoane, 2009, [20]). *Assume that X is a metrizable separable topological vector space. If A and B are subsets of X such that A is lineable, B is dense-lineable and A is stronger than B, then A is dense-lineable.*

Theorem 5.7. (Bernal, 2008–2010, [67, 69]). *Assume that X is a metrizable separable topological vector space. Suppose that Γ is a family of vector subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X. We have:*

(a) *If μ is an infinite cardinal number such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma}(X \setminus S)$ is μ-lineable, then it contains a dense vector subspace of dimension μ.*

(b) *In particular, if $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma}(X \setminus S)$ is lineable then it is dense-lineable. And if $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma}(X \setminus S)$ is maximal lineable then it is maximal dense-lineable.*

Some of the lineability results mentioned in the present paper –concerning nowhere differentiable functions, nowhere analytic functions, dense hypercyclic manifolds, strict-order integrable functions, nowhere monotone functions, functions with everywhere unbounded derivatives and strongly annular functions, among others– and which are contained in [20, 67–69, 71], can be deduced by using Theorems 5.6 or 5.7.

Concerning closed subspaces, it should be said that there are not many explicit spaceability general criteria in the related literature. A nice one in a rather abstract context is due to Wilansky and Kalton (see [202, 273]): if X is a Fréchet space and $Y \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace, then the complement $X \setminus Y$ is spaceable if and only if Y has infinite codimension. Kitson and Timoney exploited it to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8 (Kitson, Timoney, 2011, [202]). *Let Z_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be Banach spaces and X a Fréchet space. Let $T_n : Z_n \to X$ be continuous linear mappings and Y the linear span of $\bigcup_n T_n(Z_n)$. If Y is not closed in X then the complement $X \setminus Y$ is spaceable.*

This result can be used, for instance, to prove spaceability of the non-absolutely convergent power series in the disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ and of the non-absolutely p-summing operators between certain pairs of Banach spaces. Also, some assertions in Subsection 2.4.2 may be inferred of Theorem 5.8. As the authors of [202] suggest, it would be interesting to know if this theorem can be extended to allow Z_n to be Fréchet spaces. In the less restrictive setting of function spaces, we have the following statement. Recall that the support of a function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{K}$ is the set $\sigma(f) = \{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$.

Theorem 5.9 (Bernal, Ordóñez, 2012, [84])**.** *Let Ω be a nonempty set. Assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space of $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions on Ω and that S is a nonempty subset of X satisfying the following properties:*

(i) *If $(g_n) \subset X$ satisfies $g_n \to g$ in E then there is a subsequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $x \in \Omega$, $g_{n_k}(x) \to g(x)$.*

(ii) *There is a constant $C \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\|f + g\| \geq C\|f\|$ for all $f, g \in X$ with $\sigma(f) \cap \sigma(g) = \varnothing$.*

(iii) *$\alpha f \in S$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $f \in S$.*

(iv) *If $f, g \in X$ are such that $f + g \in S$ and $\sigma(f) \cap \sigma(g) = \varnothing$, then $f, g \in S$.*

(v) *There is a sequence of functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ with pairwise disjoint supports such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n \in X \setminus S$.*

Then $X \setminus S$ is spaceable.

With this statement in hand, one can derive, for instance, some assertions about Lebesgue spaces, see Subsection 2.4.2. Theorem 5.9 also applies (see [84]) to the space $X = CBV[0,1]$ of bounded variation continuous functions on $[0,1]$, endowed with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{[0,1]} + \text{Variation}_{[0,1]} f$, yielding that the set $\{f \in CBV[0,1] : f$ is not absolutely continuous in $[0,1]\}$ is spaceable.

Just recently, it has been developed a new technique, that can be applied to the framework of sequence spaces, see [106]. The authors actually obtain maximal-spaceability by providing a series of conditions that, once fulfilled, guarantee the existence of a closed infinite dimensional subspace inside sets of certain topological vector sequence spaces. Their general result [106, Theorem 3.1], which is obtained by making use of the notion of "sequence functor" (see [106, Definition 2.1]), applies to a large amount of cases, most of them never studied before, such as the sets $c_0(X) \setminus \cup_{p>0} \ell_p^w(X)$, $\ell_p(X) \setminus \cup_{0<q<p} \ell_q^w(X)$, or $\ell_p^u(X) \setminus \cup_{0<q<p} \ell_q^w(X)$ (among many others), where X is any infinite dimensional Banach space, $\ell_p^w(X)$ denotes the Banach (p-Banach if $0 < p < \infty$) space of all X-valued weakly p-summable sequences, and $\ell_p^u(X)$ stands for the closed subspace of $\ell_p^w(X)$ formed by all unconditionally p-summable sequences, see [106, Corollaries 3.2–3.7].

There is no need in saying that, due to the complexity of many of these *lineability problems*, a Baire category theorem-type result –or any general existence technique for that matter– would be extremely valuable in this recently coined theory.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

... ...

References

[1] M. D. Acosta, A. Aizpuru, R. M. Aron, and F. J. García-Pacheco, *Functionals that do not attain their norm*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14** (2007), no. 3, 407–418.

[2] A. Aizpuru, C. Pérez-Eslava, F. J. García-Pacheco, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability and coneability of discontinuous functions on* $\mathbb{R}$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **72** (2008), no. 1-2, 129–139.

[3] A. Aizpuru, C. Pérez-Eslava, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Linear structure of sets of divergent sequences and series*, Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), no. 2-3, 595–598.

[4] I. Akbarbaglu and S. Maghsoudi, *Large structures in certain subsets of Orlicz spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), no. 11, 4363–4373.

[5] I. Akbarbaglu, S. Maghsoudi, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Porous sets and lineability of continuous functions on locally compact groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., accepted for publication.

[6] A. Albanese, X. Barrachina, E. M. Mangino, and A. Peris, *Distributional chaos for strongly continuous semigroups of operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Analysis **12** (2013), no. 5, 2069–2082.

[7] P. C. Allaart and K. Kawamura, *The improper infinite derivatives of Takagi's nowhere-differentiable function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **372** (2010), no. 2, 656–665.

[8] A. I. Ansari, *Existence of hypercyclic operators on topological vector spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **148** (1997), no. 2, 384–390.

[9] D. H. Armitage, *A non-constant entire function on the plane whose integral on every line is zero*, Amer. Math. Monthly **101** (1994), no. 9, 892–894.

[10] ———, *Dense vector spaces of universal harmonic functions*, Advances in multivariate approximation (Witten-Bemmerholz, 1998), 33–42, Math. Res. **107**, Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 1999.

[11] ———, *Entire functions decaying rapidly on strips*, Quaestiones Math. **23** (2000), 417–424.

[12] R. M. Aron, *An introduction to polynomials on Banach spaces*, Extracta Math. **17** (2002), no. 3, 303–329. IV Course on Banach Spaces and Operators (Spanish) (Laredo, 2001).

[13] ———, *Linearity in nonlinear situations*, Advanced courses of mathematical analysis. II, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp. 1–15.

[14] R. M. Aron, J. Bès, F. León, and A. Peris, *Operators with common hypercyclic subspaces*, J. Operator Theory **54** (2005), 251–260.

[15] R. M. Aron, C. Boyd, R. A. Ryan, and I. Zalduendo, *Zeros of polynomials on Banach spaces: the real story*, Positivity **7** (2003), no. 4, 285–295.

[16] R. M. Aron, J. A. Conejero, A. Peris, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Sums and products of bad functions*, Function spaces, Contemp. Math., vol. 435, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 47–52.

[17] ———, *Powers of hypercyclic functions for some classical hypercyclic operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **58** (2007), no. 4, 591–596.

[18] ———, *Uncountably generated algebras of everywhere surjective functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **17** (2010), no. 3, 571–575.

[19] R. Aron, D. García, and M. Maestre, *Linearity in nonlinear problems*, RACSAM. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. **95** (2001), no. 1, 7–12 (English, with English and Spanish summaries).

[20] R. M. Aron, F. J. García-Pacheco, D. Pérez-García, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *On dense-lineability of sets of functions on* $\mathbb{R}$, Topology **48** (2009), no. 2–4, 149–156.

[21] R. Aron, R. Gonzalo, and A. Zagorodnyuk, *Zeros of real polynomials*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **48** (2000), no. 2, 107–115.

[22] R. M. Aron, V. I. Gurariy, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on* $\mathbb{R}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 3, 795–803.

[23] R. M. Aron and P. Hájek, *Odd degree polynomials on real Banach spaces*, Positivity **11** (2007), no. 1, 143–153.

[24] ———, *Zero sets of polynomials in several variables*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **86** (2006), no. 6, 561–568.

[25] R. M. Aron, D. Pérez-García, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Algebrability of the set of nonconvergent Fourier series*, Studia Math. **175** (2006), no. 1, 83–90.

[26] R. M. Aron and M. P. Rueda, *A problem concerning zero-subspaces of homogeneous polynomials*, Linear Topol. Spaces Complex Anal. **3** (1997), 20–23. Dedicated to Professor Vyacheslav Pavlovich Zahariuta.

[27] R. M. Aron and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Algebrability of the set of everywhere surjective functions on* $\mathbb{C}$, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14** (2007), no. 1, 25–31.

[28] A. Avilés and S. Todorcevic, *Zero subspaces of polynomials on* $l_1(\Gamma)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **350** (2009), no. 2, 427–435.

[29] D. Azagra, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, V. M. Sánchez, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Riemann integrability and Lebesgue measurability of the composite function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **354** (2009), no. 1, 229–233.

[30] A. G. Bacharoglu, *Universal Taylor series on doubly connected domains*, Results in Maths. **53** (2009), no. 1-2, 9–18.

[31] M. Balcerzak, A. Bartoszewicz, and M. Filipczac, *Nonseparable spaceability and strong algebrability of sets of continuous singular functions*, Preprint (2013).

[32] M. Balcerzak, K. Ciesielski, and T. Natkaniec, *Sierpiński-Zygmund functions that are Darboux, almost continuous, or have a perfect road*, Arch. Math. Logic **37** (1997), no. 1, 29–35.

[33] T. Banakh, A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, and E. Szymonik, *Algebrability, lineability and the subsums of series*, Colloq. Math. **129** (2012), no. 3, 75–85.

[34] T. Banakh, A. Plichko, and A. Zagorodnyuk, *Zeros of quadratic functionals on nonseparable spaces*, Colloq. Math. **100** (2004), no. 1, 141–147.

[35] P. Bandyopadhyay and G. Godefroy, *Linear structures in the set of norm-attaining functionals on a Banach space*, J. Convex Anal. **13** (2006), no. 3-4, 489–497.

[36] C. S. Barroso, G. Botelho, V. V. Fávaro, and D. Pellegrino, *Lineability and spaceability for the weak form of Peano's theorem and vector-valued sequence spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 6, 1913–1923.

[37] A. Bartoszewicz, M. Bienias, and S. Głąb, *Independent Bernstein sets and algebraic constructions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **393** (2012), no. 1, 138–143.

[38] A. Bartoszewicz and S. Głąb, *Algebrability of conditionally convergent series with Cauchy product*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **385** (2012), no. 2, 693–697.

[39] _____, *Strong algebrability of sets of sequences of functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 827–835.

[40] A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Algebrability, nonlinear properties, and special functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press.

[41] A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, and T. Poreda, *On algebrability of nonabsolutely convergent series*, Linear Algebra Appl. **435** (2011), no. 5, 1025–1028.

[42] F. Bastin, C. Esser, and S. Nikolay, *Prevalence of "nowhere analyticity"*, Studia Math. **210** (2012), no. 3, 239–246.

[43] F. Bayart, *Linearity of sets of strange functions*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005), no. 2, 291–303.

[44] _____, *Topological and algebraic genericity of divergence and universality*, Studia Math. **167** (2005), no. 2, 161–181.

[45] _____, *Common hypercyclic subspaces*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **53** (2005), no. 4, 467–476.

[46] _____, *Porosity and hypercyclic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 11, 3309–3316.

[47] F. Bayart and S. Grivaux, *Frequently hypercyclic operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 11, 5083–5117.

[48] _____, *Invariant gaussian measures for operators on Banach spaces and linear dynamics*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **94** (2007), no. 1, 181–210.

[49] F. Bayart, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, V. Nestoridis, and C. Papadimitropoulos, *Abstract series of universal series and applications*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **96** (2008), no. 2, 417–463.

[50] F. Bayart, S. V. Konyagin, and H. Queffélec, *Convergence almost everywhere and divergence everywhere of Taylor and Dirichlet series*, Real Anal. Exchange **29** (2003/04), no. 2, 557–587.

[51] F. Bayart and E. Matheron, *Hypercyclic operators failing the Hypercyclic Criterion on classical Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **250** (2007), no. 2, 426–441.

[52] ———, *Dynamics of Linear Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 2009. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics.

[53] F. Bayart and V. Nestoridis, *Universal Taylor series have a strong form of universality*, J. Anal. Math. **104** (2008), no. 1, 69–82.

[54] F. Bayart and L. Quarta, *Algebras in sets of queer functions*, Israel J. Math. **158** (2007), no. 1, 285–296.

[55] B. Beauzamy, *Un opérateur, sur l'espace de Hilbert, dont tous les polinômes sont hypercycliques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **303** (1986), no. 18, Série I, 923–925.

[56] ———, *Introduction to Operator Theory and Invariant Subspaces*, Cambridge University Press, Amsterdam, 1988.

[57] T. Bermúdez, A. Bonilla, F. Martínez-Giménez, and A. Peris, *Li-Yorke and distributionally chaotic operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **373** (2011), no. 1, 83–93.

[58] L. Bernal-González, *A lot of "counterexamples" to Liouville's theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **201** (1996), no. 3, 1002–1009.

[59] ———, *Small entire functions with extremely fast growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **207** (1997), no. 2, 541–548.

[60] ———, *On hypercyclic operators on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 4, 1003–1010.

[61] ———, *Densely hereditarily hypercyclic sequences and large hypercyclic manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 11, 3279–3285.

[62] ———, *Hypercyclic sequences of differential and antidifferential operators*, J. Approx. Theory **96** (1999), no. 2, 323–337.

[63] ———, *Universal images of universal elements*, Studia Math. **138** (2000), no. 3, 241–250.

[64] ———, *Linear Kierst-Szpilrajn theorems*, Studia Math. **166** (2005), no. 1, 55–69.

[65] ———, *Hypercyclic subspaces in Fréchet spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 7, 1955–1961.

[66] ———, *Linear structure of weighted holomorphic nonextendibility*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **73** (2006), no. 3, 335–344.

[67] ———, *Dense-lineability in spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 9, 3163–3169.

[68] ———, *Lineability of sets of nowhere analytic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), no. 2, 1284–1295.

[69] ———, *Algebraic genericity of strict-order integrability*, Studia Math. **199** (2010), no. 3, 279–293.

[70] ———, *Lineability of universal divergence of Fourier series*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **74** (2012), no. 2, 271–279.

[71] L. Bernal-González and A. Bonilla, *Families of strongly annular functions: linear structure*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **26** (2013), 283–297.

[72] L. Bernal-González, A. Bonilla, M. C. Calderón-Moreno, and J. A. Prado-Bassas, *Maximal cluster sets of L-analytic functions on arbitrary curves*, Constr. Approx. **25** (2007), no. 2, 211–219.

[73] ———, *Universal Taylor series with maximal cluster sets*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **25** (2009), no. 2, 757–780.

[74] L. Bernal-González and M. C. Calderón-Moreno, *Dense linear manifolds of monsters*, J. Approx. Theory **119** (2002), no. 2, 156–180.

[75] L. Bernal-González, M. C. Calderón-Moreno, and W. Luh, *Large linear manifolds of noncontinuable boundary-regular holomorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), no. 1, 337–345.

[76] ———, *Dense-lineability of sets of Birkhoff-universal functions with rapid decay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **363** (2010), no. 1, 327–335.

[77] L. Bernal-González, M. C. Calderón-Moreno, and J. A. Prado-Bassas, *Maximal cluster sets along arbitrary curves*, J. Approx. Theory **129** (2004), no. 2, 207–216.

[78] _____, *Cyclicity of coefficient multipliers: linear structure*, Acta Math. Hungar. **114** (2007), no. 4, 287–300.

[79] _____, *Holomorphic operators generating dense images*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **60** (2008), no. 1, 1–11.

[80] _____, *Large subspaces of compositionally universal functions with maximal cluster sets*, J. Approx. Theory **164** (2012), no. 2, 253-267.

[81] L. Bernal-González and K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *The Hypercyclicity Criterion for sequences of operators*, Studia Math. **157** (2003), no. 1, 17–32.

[82] L. Bernal-González and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Universal functions for composition operators*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **27** (1995), no. 1, 47–56.

[83] _____, *Non-finite dimensional closed vector spaces of universal functions for composition operators*, J. Approx. Theory **82** (1995), no. 3, 375–391.

[84] L. Bernal-González and M. Ordóñez Cabrera, *Spaceability of strict order integrability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **385** (2012), no. 1, 303–309.

[85] L. Bernal-González and J. A. Prado-Tendero, *𝒰-operators*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **78** (2005), no. 1, 59–89.

[86] N. Bernardes, A. Bonilla, V. Müller, and A. Peris, *Li-Yorke chaos in Linear Dynamics*, Preprint (2012).

[87] J. P. Bès, *Invariant manifolds of hypercyclic vectors for the real scalar case*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 6, 1801–1804.

[88] _____, *Dynamics of composition operators with holomorphic symbol*, Rev. Real Acad. Cien. Ser. A Mat., in press.

[89] J. P. Bès and J. A. Conejero, *Hypercyclic subspaces in omega*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **316** (2006), no. 1, 16–23.

[90] J. P. Bès and A. Peris, *Hereditarily hypercyclic operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **167** (1999), no. 1, 94–113.

[91] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **17** (1958), 151–164.

[92] G. D. Birkhoff, *Démonstration d'un théorème élémentaire sur les fonctions entières*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **189** (1929), 473–475.

[93] E. Bishop and R. R. Phelps, *A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1961), 97–98.

[94] H. Blumberg, *New properties of all real functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1922), 53–61.

[95] D. D. Bonar and F. Carroll, *Annular functions form a residual set*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **272** (1975), 23–24.

[96] J. Bonet, F. Martínez-Giménez, and A. Peris, *Linear chaos on Fréchet spaces*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **13** (2003), no. 7, 1649–1655.

[97] _____, *Universal and chaotic multipliers on spaces of operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **297** (2004), no. 2, 599–611.

[98] J. Bonet and A. Peris, *Hypercyclic operators on nonnormable Fréchet spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), 587–596.

[99] A. Bonilla, *"Counterexamples" to harmonic Liouville's theorem and harmonic functions with zero nontangential limits*, Colloq. Math. **83** (2000), no. 2, 155–160.

[100] _____, *Small entire functions with infinite growth index*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **267** (2002), no. 1, 400–404.

[101] _____, *Universal harmonic functions*, Quaestiones Math. **25** (2002), 527–530.

[102] A. Bonilla and K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *On a theorem of Godefroy and Shapiro*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **56** (2006), no. 2, 151–162.

[103] _____, *Frequently hypercyclic operators and vectors*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **27** (2007), no. 2, 383–404.

[104] _____, *Frequently hypercyclic subspaces*, Monatsh. Math. **168** (2012), no. 3-4, 305–320.

[105] J. M. Borwein and X. Wang, *Lipschitz functions with maximal Clarke subdifferentials are staunch*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **72** (2005), no. 3, 491–496.

[106] G. Botelho, D. Cariello, V. V. Fávaro, and D. Pellegrino, *Maximal spaceability in sequence spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), no. 12, 2978–2985.

[107] G. Botelho, D. Cariello, V. V. Fávaro, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Distinguished subspaces of L_p of maximal dimension*, arXiv:1204.2170v2 [math.FA], Preprint (2012).

[108] G. Botelho, D. Diniz, V. V. Fávaro, and D. Pellegrino, *Spaceability in Banach and quasi-Banach sequence spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **434** (2011), no. 5, 1255–1260.

[109] G. Botelho, D. Diniz, and D. Pellegrino, *Lineability of the set of bounded linear nonabsolutely summing operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **357** (2009), no. 1, 171–175.

[110] G. Botelho, V. V. Fávaro, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, $L_p[0,1] - \cup_{q>p} L_q[0,1]$ *is spaceable for every $p > 0$*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), no. 9, 2963–2965.

[111] G. Botelho, M. Matos, and D. Pellegrino, *Lineability of summing sets of homogeneous polynomials*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **58** (2010), no. 1-2, 61–74.

[112] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino, and P. Rueda, *Cotype and absolutely summing linear operators*, Math. Z. **267** (2011), no. 1-2, 1–7.

[113] P. S. Bourdon, *Invariant manifolds of hypercyclic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), no. 3, 845–847.

[114] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, *The role of the spectrum in the cyclic behavior of composition operators*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 596, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1997.

[115] J. Bourgain, *On the distribution of Dirichlet sums*, J. Anal. Math. **60** (1993), 21–32.

[116] P. du Bois Reymond, *Über den Gültigkeitsbereich der Taylorschen Reihenentwicklung*, Math. Ann. **21** (1876), 109–117.

[117] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 659, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

[118] D. Burdick and F. D. Lesley, *Some uniqueness theorems for analytic functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **82** (1975), no. 2, 152–155.

[119] Z. Buczolich, *Solution to the gradient problem of C. E. Weil*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **21** (2005), no. 3, 889–910.

[120] M. C. Calderón-Moreno, *Universal functions with small derivatives and extremely fast growth*, Analysis **22** (2002), 57–66.

[121] F. S. Cater, *Differentiable, nowhere analytic functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **91** (1984), no. 10, 618–624.

[122] S. Charpentier, *On the closed subspaces of universal series in Banach spaces and Fréchet spaces*, Studia Math. **198** (2010), no. 2, 121–145.

[123] J. Chmielowski, *Domains of holomorphy of type A^k*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **80-A** (1980), 97–101.

[124] C. Chui and M. N. Parnes, *Approximation by overconvergence of power series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **36** (1971), 693–696.

[125] K. Ciesielski and T. Natkaniec, *Algebraic properties of the class of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions*, Topology Appl. **79** (1997), no. 1, 75–99.

[126] _____, *On Sierpiński-Zygmund bijections and their inverses*, Topology Proc. **22** (1997), no. Spring, 155–164.

[127] K. Ciesielski and J. Pawlikowski, *The covering property axiom, CPA*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 164, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. A combinatorial core of the iterated perfect set model.

[128] J. A. Conejero, P. Jiménez-Rodríguez, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *When the Identity Theorem "seems" to fail*, Amer. Math. Monthly, accepted for publication.

[129] J. A. Conejero, V. Müller, and A. Peris, *Hypercyclic behaviour of operators in an hypercyclic C_0-semigroup*, J. Funct. Anal. **244** (2007), no. 1, 342–348.

[130] M. M. De La Rosa and C. Read, *A hypercyclic operator whose direct sum $T \oplus T$ is not hypercyclic*, J. Operator Theory **61** (2009), no. 2, 369–380.

[131] R. Deville and É. Matheron, *Infinite games, Banach space geometry and the eikonal equation*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **95** (2007), no. 1, 49–68.

[132] E. Diamantopoulos, C. Kariofillis, and C. Mouratides, *Universal Laurent series in finitely connected domains*, Arch. Math. **91** (2008), no. 2, 145–154.

[133] J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 92, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[134] S. Dineen, *Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 1999.

[135] V. Drobot and M. Morayne, *Continuous functions with a dense set of proper local maxima*, Amer. Math. Monthly **92** (1985), no. 3, 209–211.

[136] A. Dvoretzky and C. A. Rogers, *Absolute and unconditional convergence in normed linear spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **36** (1950), 192–197.

[137] P. H. Enflo, V. I. Gurariy, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Some Results and Open Questions on Spaceability in Function Spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., in press.

[138] _____, *On Montgomery's conjecture and the distribution of Dirichlet sums*, Preprint (2012).

[139] M. Fabián, D. Preiss, J. H. M. Whitfield, and V. E. Zizler, *Separating polynomials on Banach spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **40** (1989), no. 160, 409–422.

[140] M. Fernández-Unzueta, *Zeroes of polynomials on l_∞*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324** (2006), no. 2, 1115–1124.

[141] J. Ferrer, *Zeroes of real polynomials on $C(K)$ spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **336** (2007), no. 2, 788–796.

[142] _____, *On the zero-set of real polynomials in non-separable Banach spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43** (2007), no. 3, 685–697.

[143] _____, *A note on zeroes of real polynomials on $C(K)$ spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 2, 573–577.

[144] V. P. Fonf, V. I. Gurariy, and M. I. Kadets, *An infinite dimensional subspace of $C[0,1]$ consisting of nowhere differentiable functions*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **52** (1999), no. 11-12, 13–16.

[145] J. Foran, *Fundamentals of real analysis*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 144, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1991.

[146] D. Gaier, *Lectures on complex aproximation*, Birkhäuser, Basel-London-Stutgart, 1987.

[147] E. A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *The role of the spectrum in the cyclic behavior of composition operators*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 791, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2004.

[148] J. L. Gámez-Merino, *Large algebraic structures inside the set of surjective functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **18** (2011), no. 2, 297–300.

[149] J. L. Gámez-Merino, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, V. M. Sánchez, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Sierpiński-Zygmund functions and other problems on lineability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 11, 3863–3876.

[150] J. L. Gámez-Merino, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability and additivity in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369** (2010), no. 1, 265–272.

[151] J. L. Gámez-Merino, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *A characterization of continuity revisited*, Amer. Math. Monthly **118** (2011), no. 2, 167–170.

[152] J. L. Gámez-Merino and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *An undecidable case of lineability in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **401** (2013), no. 2, 959–962.

[153] F. J. García-Pacheco, *Vector subspaces of the set of nonnorm-attaining functionals*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **77** (2008), no. 3, 425–432.

[154] D. García, B. C. Grecu, M. Maestre, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Infinite dimensional Banach spaces of functions with nonlinear properties*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), no. 5, 712–720.

[155] F. J. García-Pacheco, M. Martín, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability, spaceability, and algebrability of certain subsets of function spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **13** (2009), no. 4, 1257–1269.

[156] F. J. García-Pacheco, N. Palmberg, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability and algebrability of pathological phenomena in analysis*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), no. 2, 929–939.

[157] F. J. García-Pacheco, C. Pérez-Eslava, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Moduleability, algebraic structures, and nonlinear properties*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **370** (2010), no. 1, 159–167.

[158] F. J. García-Pacheco and D. Puglisi, *Lineability of functionals and operators*, Studia Math. **201** (2010), no. 1, 37–47.

[159] F. J. García-Pacheco, F. Rambla-Barreno, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, **Q**-*linear functions, functions with dense graph, and everywhere surjectivity*, Math. Scand. **102** (2008), no. 1, 156–160.

[160] F. J. García-Pacheco and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Vector spaces of nonmeasurable functions*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **22** (2006), no. 6, 1805–1808.

[161] B. R. Gelbaum and J. M. H. Olmsted, *Counterexamples in analysis*, The Mathesis Series, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, Calif., 1964.

[162] _____, *Theorems and counterexamples in mathematics*, Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[163] _____, *Counterexamples in analysis*, Dover Publications Inc., Mineola, NY, 2003. Corrected reprint of the second (1965) edition.

[164] S. Głąb, P. Kaufmann, and L. Pellegrini, *Large structures made of nowhere L^p functions*, arXiv:1207.3818v1 [math.FA].

[165] _____, *Spaceability and algebrability of sets of nowhere integrable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 6, 2025–2037.

[166] J. Glovebnik, *The range of vector-valued analytic functions*, Ark. Mat. **14** (1976), 113–118.

[167] G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro, *Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vectors manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **98** (1991), no. 2, 229–269.

[168] M. Goliński, *Invariant subspace problem for classical spaces of functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 3, 1251–1273.

[169] M. González, F. León-Saavedra, and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Semi-Fredholm Theory: hypercyclic and supercyclic subspaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **81** (2000), no. 3, 169–189.

[170] K. Grandjot, *Über Grenzwerte ganzer transzendenter Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **91** (1924), no. 3-4, 316–320.

[171] S. Grivaux, *Construction of operators with a prescribed behaviour*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), no. 3, 291–299.

[172] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Holomorphe Monster und universelle Funktionen*, Vol. 176, Mit. Math. Sem. Giessen, 1987.

[173] _____, *Universal families and hypercyclic operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1999), no. 3, 345–381.

[174] _____, *Recent developments in hypercyclicity*, Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. **97** (2003), 273–286.

[175] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and R. Mortini, *Universal functions for composition operators with nonautomorphic symbol*, J. Anal. Math. **107** (2009), no. 1, 355–376.

[176] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, *Linear Chaos*, Springer, London, 2011.

[177] R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2009. Reprint of the 1965 original.

[178] V. I. Gurariĭ, *Subspaces and bases in spaces of continuous functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **167** (1966), 971–973 (Russian).

[179] _____, *Linear spaces composed of everywhere nondifferentiable functions*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **44** (1991), no. 5, 13–16 (Russian).

[180] V. I. Gurariy and W. Lusky, *Geometry of Müntz spaces and related questions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1870, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[181] V. I. Gurariy and L. Quarta, *On lineability of sets of continuous functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **294** (2004), no. 1, 62–72.

[182] T. R. Hamlett, *Compact maps, connected maps and continuity*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **10** (1975), 25–26.

[183] J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry. A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.

[184] S. Hencl, *Isometrical embeddings of separable Banach spaces into the set of nowhere approximatively differentiable and nowhere Hölder functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 12, 3505–3511.

[185] D. Herrero, *Limits of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **99** (1991), no. 1, 179–190.

[186] _____, *Hypercyclic operators and chaos*, J. Operator Theory **28** (1992), 93–103.

[187] H. M. Hilden and L. J. Wallen, *Some cyclic and noncyclic vectors of certain operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. **23** (1974), 557–565.

[188] R. A. Horn, *Editor's Endnotes*, Amer. Math. Monthly **107** (2000), no. 10, 968–969.

[189] P. Jiménez-Rodríguez, c_0 *is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of Cantor–Lebesgue functions*, Preprint (2012).

[190] P. Jiménez-Rodríguez, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Non-Lipschitz functions with bounded gradient and related problems*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), no. 4, 1174–1181.

[191] _____, *On Weierstrass' Monsters and lineability*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, in press.

[192] F. E. Jordan, *Cardinal numbers connected with adding Darboux-like functions*, Ph. D. dissertation, West Virginia University, USA, 1998.

[193] F. B. Jones, *Connected and disconnected plane sets and the functional equation $f(x)+f(y) = f(x+y)$*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 115–120.

[194] F. E. Jordan, *Cardinal numbers connected with adding Darboux-like functions*, Ph. D. dissertation, West Virginia University, USA, 1998.

[195] J. P. Kahane, *Baire's category theorem and trigonometric series*, J. Analyse Math. **80** (2000), no. 1, 143–182.

[196] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

[197] Y. Katznelson and K. Stromberg, *Everywhere differentiable, nowhere monotone, functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **81** (1974), no. 4, 349–354.

[198] L. Kaup and K. Kaup, *Holomorphic functions of several variables*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 1983.

[199] A. B. Kharazishvili, *Strange functions in real analysis*, 2nd ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 272, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2006.

[200] St. St. Kierst and D. Szpilrajn, *Sur certaines singularités des fonctions analytiques uniformes*, Fundamenta Math. **21** (1933), 276–294.

[201] S. S. Kim and K. H. Kwon, *Smooth (C^∞) but nowhere analytic functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **107** (2000), no. 3, 264–266.

[202] D. Kitson and R. M. Timoney, *Operator ranges and spaceability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **378** (2011), no. 2, 680–686.

[203] S. Kolyada and L. Snoha, *Some aspects of topological transitivity – A survey*, Grazer Math. Ber., Bericht Nr. **334** (1997), 3–35.

[204] T. W. Körner, *Fourier analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[205] S. Koumandos, V. Nestoridis, Y. S. Smyrlis, and V. Stefanopoulos, *Universal series in $\bigcap_{p>1} l^p$*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **42** (2010), no. 1, 119–129.

[206] K. Kunen, *Set theory: An introduction to independence proofs*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 102, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1983. Reprint of the 1980 original.

[207] H. Lebesgue, *Leçons sur l'intégration et la recherche des fonctions primitives*, Gauthier-Willars, 1904.

[208] F. León-Saavedra and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Linear structure of hypercyclic vectors*, J. Funct. Anal. **148** (1997), no. 2, 524–545.

[209] _____, *Spectral theory and hypercyclic subspaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2000), no. 1, 247–267.

[210] F. León-Saavedra and V. Müller, *Hypercyclic sequences of operators*, Studia Math. **175** (2006), no. 1, 1–18.

[211] M. Lerch, *Ueber die Nichtdifferentiirbarkeit bewisser Funktionen*, J. Reine angew. Math. **103** (1888), 126–138.

[212] B. Levine and D. Milman, *On linear sets in space C consisting of functions of bounded variation*, Comm. Inst. Sci. Math. Méc. Univ. Kharkoff [Zapiski Inst. Mat. Mech.] (4) **16** (1940), 102–105 (Russian, with English summary).

[213] J. Lindenstrauss, *On subspaces of Banach spaces without quasi-complements*, Israel J. Math. **6** (1968), no. 1, 36–38.

[214] J. López-Salazar, *Vector spaces of entire functions of unbounded type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 4, 1347–1360.

[215] _____, *Lineability of the set of holomorphic mappings with dense range*, Studia Math. **210** (2012), 177–188.

[216] M. L. Lourenço and N. N. Tocha, *Zeros of complex homogeneous polynomials*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **55** (2007), no. 5, 463–469.

[217] W. Luh, *Approximation analytischer Funktionen durch überkonvergente Potenzreihen und deren Matrix-Transformierten*, Vol. 88, Mit. Math. Sem. Giessen, 1970.

[218] _____, *Holomorphic monsters*, J. Approx. Theory **53** (1988), no. 2, 128–144.

[219] G. R. MacLane, *Sequences of derivatives and normal families*, J. Anal. Math. **2** (1952), no. 1, 72–87.

[220] F. Martínez-Giménez, P. Oprocha, and A. Peris, *Distributional chaos for operators with full scrambled sets*, Math. Z., in press.

[221] Q. Menet, *Sous-espaces fermés de séries universelles sur un espace de Fréchet*, Studia Math. **207** (2011), no. 2, 181–195.

[222] _____, *Hypercyclic subspaces on Fréchet spaces without continuous norm*, arXiv:1302.6447v1 [math.DS], Preprint (2013).

[223] _____, *Hypercyclic subspaces and weighted shifts*, arXiv:1208.4963v1 [math.DS], Preprint (2013).

[224] A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Banach spaces of hypercyclic vectors*, Michigan Math. J. **43** (1996), no. 3, 419–436.

[225] _____, *A Birkhoff theorem for Riemann surfaces*, Rocky Mount. J. Math. **28** (1998), no. 2, 663–693.

[226] A. Montes-Rodríguez and H. N. Salas, *Supercyclic subspaces: spectral theory and weighted shifts*, Adv. Math. **163** (2001), no. 1, 74–134.

[227] _____, *Supercyclic subspaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 6, 721–737.

[228] J. Müller, *Continuous functions with universally divergent Fourier series on small subsets of the circle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I **348** (2010), no. 21-22, 1155–1158.

[229] J. Müller, V. Vlachou, and A. Yavrian, *Overconvergent series of rational functions and universal Laurent series*, J. Anal. Math. **104** (2008), no. 1, 235-245.

[230] J. Mujica, *Complex analysis in Banach spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 120, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986. Holomorphic functions and domains of holomorphy in finite and infinite dimensions. Mathematical Notes, 107.

[231] _____, *Complex analysis in Banach spaces*, Dover Publ. Inc., New York, 2010.

[232] G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, N. Palmberg, D. Puglisi, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability in subsets of measure and function spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), no. 11-12, 2805–2812.

[233] T. Natkaniec, *Almost continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange **17** (1991/92), no. 2, 462–520.

[234] _____, *New cardinal invariants in real analysis*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **44** (1996), no. 2, 251–256.

[235] V. Nestoridis, *Universal Taylor series*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **46** (1996), no. 5, 1293–1306.

[236] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980. 2nd edition.

[237] D. Pellegrino and E. V. Teixeira, *Norm optimization problem for linear operators in classical Banach spaces*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **40** (2009), no. 3, 417–431.

[238] H. Petersson, *Hypercyclic subspaces for Fréchet spaces operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **319** (2006), no. 2, 764–782.

[239] A. Plichko and A. Zagorodnyuk, *On automatic continuity and three problems of The Scottish book concerning the boundedness of polynomial functionals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220** (1998), no. 2, 477–494.

[240] K. Płotka, *Sum of Sierpiński-Zygmund and Darboux like functions*, Topology Appl. **122** (2002), no. 3, 547–564.

[241] E. E. Posey and J. E. Vaughan, *Functions with a proper local maximum in each interval*, Amer. Math. Monthly **90** (1983), no. 4, 281–282.

[242] A. Pringsheim, *Ueber die Multiplication bedingt convergenter Reihen*, Math. Ann. **21** (1883), no. 3, 327–378 (German).

[243] D. Puglisi and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Bounded linear nonabsolutely summing operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), no. 1, 292–298.

[244] C. J. Read, *The invariant subspace problem for a class of Banach spaces, 2: hypercyclic operators*, Israel J. Math. **63** (1988), no. 1, 1–40.

[245] D. Redett, *Strongly annular functions in Bergman space*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **7** (2007), no. 2, 429–432.

[246] L. Rodríguez-Piazza, *Every separable Banach space is isometric to a space of continuous nowhere differentiable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 12, 3649–3654.

[247] S. Rolewicz, *On orbits of elements*, Studia Math. **32** (1969), 17–22.

[248] H. P. Rosenthal, *On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **59** (1968), 361–364.

[249] W. Rudin, *Holomorphic maps of discs into F-spaces*, in: Complex Analysis (Lexington, KY, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math. 599, Springer, 1977, 104–108.

[250] _____, *Real and complex analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.

[251] _____, *Functional analysis*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1991.

[252] H. N. Salas, *Supercyclicity and weighted shifts*, Studia Math. **135** (1999), no. 1, 55–74.

[253] H. Salzmann and K. Zeller, *Singularitäten unendlicht oft differenzierbarer Funktionen*, Math. Z. **62** (1955), 354–367.

[254] J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Chaos and lineability of pathological phenomena in analysis*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Kent State University.

[255] J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Explicit constructions of dense common hypercyclic subspaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43** (2007), no. 2, 373–384.

[256] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[257] B. Schweizer and J. Smítal, *Measures of chaos and a spectral decomposition of dynamical systems on the interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **344** (1994), no. 2, 737–754.

[258] J. Shinoda, *Some consequences of Martin's axiom and the negation of the continuum hypothesis*, Nagoya Math. J. **49** (1973), 117–125.

[259] S. Shkarin, *On the set of hypercyclic vectors for the differentiation operators*, Israel J. Math. **180** (2010), no. 1, 271–283.

[260] _____, *Hypercyclic operators on topological vector spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **86** (2012), no. 1, 195–213.

[261] J. Siciak, *Highly noncontinuable functions on polynomially convex sets*, Lecture Notes Math. **1094** (1983), 173–178.

[262] W. Sierpiński and A. Zygmund, *Sur une fonction qui est discontinue sur tout ensemble de puissance du continu*, Fund. Math. **4** (1923), 316–318.

[263] J. Stallings, *Fixed point theorems for connectivity maps*, Fund. Math. **47** (1959), 249–263.

[264] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach, *Counterexamples in Topology,* 2nd. ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[265] J. Thim, *Continuous nowhere differentiable functions*, Luleå University of Technology, 2003. Master Thesis.

[266] S. Todorčević, *Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals*, Acta Math. **159** (1987), no. 3-4, 261–294.

[267] M. Valdivia, *The space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega, (z_j))$ of holomorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), no. 2, 821–839.

[268] ———, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in regular domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **350** (2009), no. 2, 651–662.

[269] D. J. Velleman, *Characterizing continuity*, Amer. Math. Monthly **104** (1997), no. 4, 318–322.

[270] C. E. Weil, *On properties of derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 363–376.

[271] J. Wengenroth, *Hypercyclic operators on nonlocally convex spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 6, 1759–1761.

[272] D. J. White, *Functions preserving compactness and connectedness are continuous*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 714–716.

[273] A. Wilansky, *SemiFredholm maps in FK spaces*, Math. Z. **144** (1975), 9–12.

[274] G. L. Wise and E. B. Hall, *Counterexamples in probability and real analysis*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.

[275] Z. Zahorski, *Supplément au mémoire "Sur l'ensemble des points singuliers d'une fonction d'une variable réelle admettant les dérivées de tous les ordres"*, Fund. Math. **36** (1949), 319–320 (French).

[276] L. Zalcman, *Uniqueness and nonuniqueness for the Radon transform*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), 241–245.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático,
Facultad de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Sevilla,
Apdo. 1160, Avenida Reina Mercedes,
Sevilla, 41080, Spain.
E-mail address: `lbernal@us.es`

Departamento de Matemática,
Universidade Federal da Paraíba,
58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: `pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br` and `dmpellegrino@gmail.com`

Departamento de Análisis Matemático,
Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas,
Plaza de Ciencias 3,
Universidad Complutense de Madrid,
Madrid, 28040, Spain.
E-mail address: `jseoane@mat.ucm.es`