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#### Abstract

Let $A$ be an unbounded Arakelian set in the complex plane whose complement has infinite inscribed radius, and $\psi$ be an increasing positive function on the positive real numbers. We prove the existence of a dense linear manifold $M$ of entire functions all of whose nonzero members are Birkhoff-universal, such that each function in $M$ has overall growth faster than $\psi$ and, in addition, $\exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right) f(z) \rightarrow 0$ $(z \rightarrow \infty, z \in A)$ for all $\alpha<1 / 2$ and $f \in M$. With slightly more restrictive conditions on $A$, we get that the last property also holds for the action $T f$ of certain holomorphic operators $T$. Our results unify, extend and complete recent work by several authors.
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## 1 Introduction and known results

Several analysts have recently focussed their attention to find entire functions bearing a behavior which is "extremely wild" and "extremely tamed" simultaneously. To be more specific, it has been proved the existence of entire functions $f$ that are universal in the sense of Birkhoff and are bounded (or even tend to zero as $z \rightarrow \infty$ ) on several families of prescribed sets, such as lines, strips, angles or even bigger ones. This decay can be very rapid. Additional properties may be incorporated, for instance, the function $f$ can present an overall growth rate that is as fast as desired. Finally, the structure of the family of such functions is very rich, namely, it can contain, except for zero, a dense linear submanifold of the space of entire functions.

Let us fix some notation and terminology, and provide a short account of the related results up to date.

As usual, the symbols $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ stand for the set of positive integers, the set $\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, the real line, the complex plane and the extended complex plane, respectively. If $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r>0$, then $B(a, r)(\bar{B}(a, r))$ denotes the open (closed, respectively) ball with center $a$ and radius $r$. If $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ then $A^{0}, \bar{A}, \partial A$ will represent, respectively, the interior, the closure and the boundary of $A$ in $\mathbb{C}$. The inscribed radius of $A$ is defined by $\varrho(A):=$ $\sup \{r>0$ : there exists a ball $B$ of radius $r$ with $B \subset A\}$. If $\varepsilon>0$, we set $B(A, \varepsilon):=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: d(z, A) \leq \varepsilon\}$, where $d(z, A):=\inf \{|z-a|: a \in A\}$. A subset $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called an Arakelian set if $A$ is closed in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \backslash A$ is connected and locally connected in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. By $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ we denote the family of all strips (i.e., plane regions between two parallel straight lines) and the family of all sectors $s_{\beta}:=\{z: 0 \leq \arg z \leq \beta\}(\beta \in(0,2 \pi))$, respectively.

By $E$ we denote the space of entire functions, that is, holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$. The space $E$ is endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence in compacta, so that $E$ becomes a completely metrizable topological vector space. If $\Phi \in E$ then its exponential type is defined as $\tau(\Phi)=\inf \{B \in$ $(0,+\infty)$ : there exists $A \in(0,+\infty)$ such that $|\Phi(z)| \leq A e^{B|z|}$ for all $\left.z \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$. A function $\Phi \in E$ is said to be of exponential type whenever $\tau(\Phi)<+\infty$, that is, there are two constants $A, B \in(0,+\infty)$ such that $|\Phi(z)| \leq A e^{B|z|}$ $(z \in \mathbb{C})$. If, specially, $\tau(\Phi)=0$ then we say that $\Phi$ of subexponential type. If $\Phi(z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ is an entire function of exponential type then the
expression

$$
\Phi(D)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} D^{n}
$$

defines a (continuous, linear) operator $\Phi(D): E \rightarrow E$ (see [9]). Here $D^{0}=I$ (the identity), $D^{1}=D, D^{2}=D \circ D, \ldots$, where $D f:=f^{\prime}$ is the differentiation operator. Observe that the special cases $\Phi(z)=z, \Phi(z)=e^{a z}$ yield the respective operator $\Phi(D)=D, \Phi(D)=T_{a}$. Here $T_{a}$ denotes the translation operator with vector $a$, defined by $T_{a} f=f(\cdot+a)$. We have that an operator $T: E \rightarrow E$ commutes with the translations (i.e., $T T_{a}=T_{a} T$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ) if and only if $T=\Phi(D)$ for some $\Phi \in E$ with exponential type (see for instance [25]).

The maximum modulus function of a function $f \in E$ will be denoted by $M_{f}$, that is, $M_{f}(r):=\max \{|f(z)|:|z|=r\}=\max \{|f(z)|:|z| \leq r\}$ $(r>0)$.

In 1929, Birkhoff [11] proved the existence of a function $f \in E$ whose family of translates $\{f(a+\cdot): a \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is dense in $E$. Such a function is called a Birkhoff-universal function. In a more general setting, Birkhoffuniversal functions are precisely the hypercyclic vectors of $E$ with respect to the translations operators $T_{a}$ (see [26], [27] and [14]), but this generality will not be considered in the sequel. The harmonic analogue of Birkhoff's theorem -that is, $E$ is replaced by the space $H$ of harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{N_{-}}$ can be found in Armitage-Gauthier's paper [4].

An interesting problem is whether (Birkhoff-)universality is compatible to apparently opposite properties, such as boundedness or even rapid decay to zero (as $z \rightarrow \infty$ ) on large sets. A number of results have been recently produced in this vein.

Namely, Bonilla [15, Theorem 1] discovered in 2000 that, given $\alpha>0$, there exists a dense linear manifold $M \subset H$ such that every function $v \in$ $M \backslash\{0\}$ is universal, and $\lim _{\substack{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in S}}\|x\|^{\alpha} D^{\beta} v(x)=0$ for every $v \in M$, every multi-index $\beta$ and every hyperplane strip $S$.

Calderón-Moreno [17] proved in 2002 that, given an $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$, a continuous function $\varphi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ which is integrable on $(1,+\infty)$, a sequence $\mathcal{F}=\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-constant entire functions, and two sequences $\left\{\Psi_{i, n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $(i=1,2)$ of entire functions of subexponential type (with $\Psi_{2, n} \neq 0$ for all $n$ ),
there exists a dense linear manifold $M \subset E$ satisfying
(a) $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in S}} \exp \left(|z|^{3 / 2} \varphi(|z|)\right) f(z)=0 \quad\left(S \in \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}, f \in M\right)$,
(b) $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in S}} \exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right)\left(\Psi_{1, n}(D) f\right)(z)=0 \quad\left(S \in \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}, f \in M\right)$,
(c) The relative growth order $\varrho_{h_{n}}(f):=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{h_{n}}^{-1}\left(M_{f}(r)\right)}{\log r}=\infty$ $(f \in M \backslash\{0\}, n \in \mathbb{N})$, and
(d) $\Psi_{2, n}(D) f$ is Birkhoff-universal $(f \in M \backslash\{0\}, n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Independently, Costakis and Sambarino [19, Theorem 5] proved in 2004 that, given a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, there exists an entire function $f$ whose translates $z \mapsto f(z+n)(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are dense in $E$ such that $f$ tends to zero on every "translated" sector $K+\{z: \varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq 2 \pi(1-\varepsilon)\}(\varepsilon \in(0,1))$. And Gharibyan, Luh and Niess [24, Theorem 1.1] demonstrated that, given a sector $S:=\left\{z=z_{0}+r e^{i t}: r \geq 0, t \in\left[t_{0}-\tau, t_{0}+\tau\right]\right\}\left(z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}, t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}\right.$, $0<\tau<\pi)$, there is a dense subset $M \subset E$ such that every function $\varphi \in M$ is bounded on $S$ and Birkhoff-universal.

Finally, Bernal and Bonilla [10, Theorem 3.3] were able to establish that, for a prescribed closed subset $F \subset \mathbb{C}$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) There exists a Birkhoff-universal function $f$ that is bounded on $F$.
(ii) There exists an Arakelian subset $A$ of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $F \subset A$ and $\varrho(\mathbb{C} \backslash A)=+\infty$.

In this paper, we provide a rather general statement about the existence of large linear manifolds of Birkhoff-universal functions with rapid decay on certain sets, even under the action of appropriate operators. The results established in the preceding paragraphs are then obtained as consequences. Finally, it is worth noting that our findings can be expressed in terms of the recently introduced notion of dense-lineability, see the work [6] by Aron, Gurariy and Seoane. Namely, if $X$ is a topological vector space and $A \subset X$, then $A$ is said to be dense-lineable provided that there is a dense linear manifold $M \subset X$ such that $M \backslash\{0\} \subset A$.

## 2 Universal functions with rapid decay

We devote this section to state our main assertions. But, before this, we need a number of preliminary results, which are incorporated in the following six lemmas. Lemma 2.1, whose proof can be found in [20], gives an "analytic" way to generate Arakelian sets. Lemma 2.2 is a special case of [10, Theorem 3.1]. Lemma 2.3 is elementary and provides us with a topological way to construct Arakelian sets from known ones. Lemma 2.4 is a special instance of [10, Lemma 2.1]. Lemma 2.5 is an important tangential approximation result due to Arakelian (see [1] or [23, pp. 153-154]). Lemma 2.6 is the MalgrangeEhrenpreis surjectivity theorem (see [22], [28] or [9, p. 87]). Finally, Lemma 2.7 contains an elementary topological result which is frequently used in approximation theory. Since we have been unable to find an explicit reference of it, a proof will be provided.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $f$ is a Birkhoff-universal entire function that is bounded on $A$, then

$$
A_{0}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|f(z)| \leq \sup _{A}|f|\right\}
$$

is an Arakelian subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 2.2. If $A$ is a subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and there exists a Birkhoff-universal function $f \in E$ such that $f$ is bounded on $A$, then $\varrho(\mathbb{C} \backslash A)=+\infty$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be an Arakelian subset in $\mathbb{C}$.
(a) If $V$ is a bounded connected open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ with $V \backslash A \neq \emptyset$, then $A \backslash V$ is an Arakelian subset in $\mathbb{C}$.
(b) If $\left\{S_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable family of pairwise disjoint sets with $S_{n} \cap A=\emptyset(n \in \mathbb{N})$, such that each $S_{n}$ is either a singleton or a closed ball, and the sets $S_{n}$ go to $\infty$ (in the sense that $\inf _{z \in S_{n}}|z| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$, then

$$
F:=A \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}
$$

is an Arakelian subset of $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.4. If $A$ is a subset of $\mathbb{C}$ with $\varrho(A)=+\infty$ and $B$ is any closed ball, then $\varrho(A \backslash B)=+\infty$.

If $F \subset \mathbb{C}$, then by $A(F)$ we denote the class of functions $g: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are continuous on $F$ and holomorphic on $F^{0}$.

Lemma 2.5. If $F$ is an Arakelian subset of $\mathbb{C}$, then for every $g \in A(F)$ and every continuous function $\varepsilon:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ with

$$
\int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{-3 / 2} \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon(t)}\right) d t<+\infty
$$

there is a function $f \in E$ such that

$$
|f(z)-g(z)|<\varepsilon(|z|) \quad \text { for all } z \in F
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $\Phi$ be a non-zero entire function with exponential type. Then the differential operator $\Phi(D): E \rightarrow E$ is surjective.

Recall that a topological space $X$ is called a $T_{1}$-space if each singleton $\{x\}$ is a closed set, and that $X$ is called perfect if it lacks isolated points.

Lemma 2.7. Let $X$ be a $T_{1}$, perfect topological space whose topology is defined by an increasing family $d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{n} \leq \cdots$ of pseudodistances. Assume that $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ are two countable subsets of $X$ such that $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is dense in $X$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0
$$

Then the set $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is also dense in $X$.

Proof. From the hypotheses it follows that $X$ is a metrizable space and that the expression

$$
d(x, y):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{d_{n}(x, y)}{1+d_{n}(x, y)} \quad(x, y \in X)
$$

defines a distance generating the topology of $X$.
Each finite subset $F$ is closed, because $X$ is $T_{1}$. Moreover, $F$ has empty interior. Indeed, suppose by way of contradiction that $F^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Then $F^{0}$ is
open, finite (hence closed) and has at least two points. Choose a minimal non-empty open subset $A \subset F^{0}$. Then $A$ has at least two points $a, b$. Since $X$ is $T_{1}$, there is an open set $U$ such that $a \in U$ and $b \notin U$. Denote $B=A \cap U$. Then $B$ is a nonempty open subset of $F^{0}$ and it is a proper subset of $A$, which contradicts the selection of $A$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$
d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{d_{k}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{1+d_{k}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \leq 2 d_{n}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2^{n}}
$$

Thus $d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $\alpha \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $N \in$ $\mathbb{N}$ with $d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $n>N$. If we set $F:=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$, then $F$ is a closed set with empty interior, so the set $\{x \in X: d(x, \alpha)<\varepsilon / 2\} \backslash F$ is a nonempty open set. By denseness, we derive the existence of a $m>N$ such that $x_{m}$ belongs to the last set, whence $d\left(x_{m}, \alpha\right)<\varepsilon / 2$. By the triangle inequality, $d\left(y_{m}, \alpha\right)<\varepsilon$, which proves the denseness of $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

We are now ready to establish our results about dense-lineability of families of "tamed" universal functions.

Theorem 2.8. Assume that $A$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Then the following properties are equivalent:
(a) There is an Arakelian subset $A_{0} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $A \subset A_{0}$ and $\varrho\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash A_{0}\right)=$ $+\infty$.
(b) Given two functions $\varphi, \psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that $\varphi$ is continuous and integrable on $[1,+\infty)$, and $\psi$ is increasing, there exists a linear submanifold $M=M(A, \varphi, \psi) \subset E$ satisfying the following:
(i) $M$ is dense in $E$.
(ii) Each non-zero function in $M$ is Birkhoff-universal.
(iii) $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} \exp \left(\varphi(|z|)|z|^{3 / 2}\right) f(z)=0 \quad$ for all $f \in M$.
(iv) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{f}(r)}{\psi(r)}=+\infty$ for all $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. Assume that (b) holds. Then, if we choose, say, $\varphi(t):=\frac{1}{1+t^{4 / 3}}$, we obtain from (i), (ii), (iii) the existence of at least a Birkhoff-universal function $f$ that is bounded on $A$. From Lemma 2.1, and with the notation of it, we get that the set $A_{0}$ is an Arakelian subset with $A \subset A_{0}$ such that $f$ is bounded on $A_{0}$. By Lemma 2.2, we get $\varrho\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash A_{0}\right)=+\infty$, which is (a).

Suppose now that (a) is true. Since $A \subset A_{0}$, we have that (iii) holds for $A$ if it does for $A_{0}$. Hence we can assume that $A$ is an Arakelian set such that $\varrho(\mathbb{C} \backslash A)=+\infty$. Fix two functions $\varphi, \psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ with $\varphi$ integrable on $(1,+\infty), \varphi$ continuous, and $\psi$ increasing.

Let $\left\{P_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a dense countable subset of $E$, for instance, the set of polynomials whose coefficients have rational real and imaginary parts. Our goal is to construct an appropriate sequence $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of universal functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{z \in B_{n}}\left|f_{n}(z)-P_{n}(z)\right|=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}:=\bar{B}(0, n)(n \in \mathbb{N})$. For if (1) were true then Lemma 2.7 applies with $X:=E$ and $d_{n}(f, g):=\sup _{z \in B_{n}}|f(z)-g(z)| \quad(f, g \in E, n \in \mathbb{N})$. Consequently, the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ would be dense. Hence the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

would be a dense linear submanifold of $E$, which is (i).
Since $\mathbb{C} \backslash A \neq \emptyset$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B_{n+1}^{0} \backslash A \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. By Lemma 2.3(a), $A \backslash B_{n+1}^{0}$ is an Arakelian set ( $n \geq n_{0}$ ). From Lemma 2.4 we can select a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed balls $\bar{B}\left(c_{p}, p+1\right)$ such that $\bar{B}\left(c_{p}, p+1\right) \cap A=\emptyset$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We split the sequence of centers $c_{p}$ into two sequences, namely, $a_{p}:=c_{2 p-1}, b_{p}:=c_{2 p}$. Let $K_{p}:=\bar{B}\left(a_{p}, p\right)$ $(p \in \mathbb{N})$. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \min _{z \in K_{p}}|z|=+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} b_{p}=\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if (3) were not true, then one could choose two sequences $\left\{p_{1}<p_{2}<\right.$ $\cdots\} \subset \mathbb{N}, z_{j} \in K_{p_{j}}(j \in \mathbb{N})$ and a positive finite constant $M$ with $\left|z_{j}\right| \leq M$
for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence some subsequence of $\left(z_{j}\right)$ would converge to a finite point, which is absurd because $\left|z_{j}-z_{k}\right| \geq 2$ for all $j, k$ with $j \neq k$. Then (3) holds. The proof of (4) is analogous.

By extracting a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $\left|b_{1}\right|<\left|b_{2}\right|<$ $\left|b_{3}\right|<\cdots$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|>2 p \quad\left(z \in K_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geq n_{0}$, denote by $J(n)$ the least $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $B_{n} \cap K_{m}=\emptyset$ and $b_{m} \notin B_{n}$. According to (3), (4) and Lemma 2.3(b), the set

$$
F_{n}:=\left(A \backslash B_{n+1}^{0}\right) \cup B_{n} \cup\left\{b_{p}: p \geq J(n)\right\} \cup \bigcup_{p=J(n)}^{\infty} K_{p}
$$

is an Arakelian subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
Now, we consider a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into infinitely many sequences $\{p(n, 1)<$ $p(n, 2)<\cdots<p(n, k)<\cdots\}(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Let us define, by induction, two sequences of functions $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n \geq n_{0}},\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \geq n_{0}}$ (after it, we can complete, if necessary, the sequences by defining $g_{j}=g_{n_{0}}$, $f_{j}=f_{n_{0}}$ for $\left.j=1, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right)$. Assuming that the functions $g_{j} \in A\left(F_{j}\right)$, $f_{j} \in E$ have been fixed for $j<n$, we define the function $g_{n}: F_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ( $n \geq n_{0}$ ) as

$$
g_{n}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad \text { if } z \in A \backslash B_{n+1}^{0} \\
P_{n}(z) \quad \text { if } z \in B_{n} \\
1+\left|b_{p+1}\right| \Psi\left(\left|b_{p+1}\right|\right)+\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1} M_{f_{j}}\left(\left|b_{p}\right|\right) \quad \text { if } z=b_{p}(p \geq J(n)) \\
0 \quad \text { if } z \in K_{p(j, k)} \quad(j \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{n\}, k \in \mathbb{N}, p(j, k) \geq J(n)) \\
P_{k}\left(z-a_{p(n, k))} \quad \text { if } z \in K_{p(n, k)}(k \in \mathbb{N}, p(n, k) \geq J(n)),\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the sum $\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1}$ is considered as 0 for $n=n_{0}$, which fixes $g_{n_{0}}$ without ambiguity. The functions $f_{n}\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)$ are selected inductively by using the Arakelian theorem. Specifically, we consider the function $\varepsilon_{n}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow$ $(0,+\infty)$ given by

$$
\varepsilon_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{n(1+t) \exp \left(t^{1 / 4}+t^{3 / 2} \varphi(t)\right)} .
$$

It is straightforward that each of these functions satisfies

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{3 / 2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{n}(t)} d t<+\infty
$$

By Lemma 2.5, there exists an entire function $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{n}(z)-g_{n}(z)\right|<\varepsilon_{n}(|z|) \quad\left(z \in F_{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (6) and the definition of $g_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|f_{n}(z)\right|<\exp \left(-|z|^{1 / 4}-|z|^{3 / 2} \varphi(|z|)\right) \quad\left(z \in A \backslash B_{n+1}^{0}\right)  \tag{7}\\
\left|f_{n}(z)-P_{n}(z)\right|<\frac{1}{n} \quad\left(z \in B_{n}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\left|f_{n}\left(b_{p}\right)-1-\left|b_{p+1}\right| \Psi\left(\left|b_{p+1}\right|\right)-\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1} M_{f_{j}}\left(\left|b_{p}\right|\right)\right|<1  \tag{9}\\
\left|f_{n}(z)\right|<\frac{1}{1+|z|} \quad(z \geq J(n))  \tag{10}\\
\left|f_{n}(z)-P_{p(n, k)}\left(z-a_{p(n, k)}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{1+|z|} \quad(z \neq n, k \in \mathbb{N}, p(j, k) \geq J(n)) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (8) that (1) holds, so the linear manifold defined by (2) is dense in $E$. Then (i) has been proved. In order to prove (iii), it is enough to show, by linearity and by the fact that $B_{n+1}^{0}$ is bounded, that

$$
\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A \backslash B_{n+1}^{0}}} \exp \left(\varphi(|z|)|z|^{3 / 2}\right) f_{n}(z)=0 \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)
$$

This derives from (7).
As for the growth of the members of $M$, let us fix $f=\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{N} \lambda_{j} f_{j} \in M \backslash\{0\}$, where the $\lambda_{j}$ are complex constants and $\lambda_{N} \neq 0$. Note that, thanks to (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{f}\left(\left|b_{p}\right|\right) & \geq\left|f\left(b_{p}\right)\right| \\
& \geq\left|\lambda_{N} f_{N}\left(b_{p}\right)\right|-\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{N-1}\left|f_{j}\left(b_{p}\right)\right| \\
& \left.\geq\left|\lambda_{N} f_{N}\left(b_{p}\right)\right|-\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{N-1} M_{f_{j}}\left(\mid b_{p}\right) \mid\right) \\
& >\left|b_{p+1}\right| \Psi\left(\left|b_{p+1}\right|\right)^{(p \geq J(N)) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

To each $r \geq\left|b_{J(N)}\right|$ we can associate a unique $p=p(r) \geq J(N)$ such that $\left|b_{p}\right| \leq r<\left|b_{p+1}\right|$. Since $\Psi$ is increasing and (4) holds, we get

$$
\frac{M_{f}(r)}{\Psi(r)} \geq \frac{M_{f}\left(\left|b_{p}\right|\right)}{\Psi\left(\left|b_{p+1}\right|\right)}>\left|b_{p(r)+1}\right| \rightarrow+\infty \quad(r \rightarrow \infty)
$$

which proves (iv).
It remains to prove that each function $f=\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{N} \lambda_{j} f_{j}$ as before (we may assume $\lambda_{N}=1$, for a nonzero scalar multiple of a universal function is still universal) is Birkhoff-universal. To this end, we consider the ball $B_{k}$ (with $k \geq J(N))$ and estimate for $z \in B_{k}$ the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|f\left(z+a_{p(N, k)}\right)-P_{k}(z)\right| \leq\left|f_{N}\left(z+a_{p(N, k)}\right)-P_{k}(z)\right|+\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{N-1}\left|f_{j}\left(z+a_{p(N, k)}\right)\right| \\
<\frac{N-n_{0}+1}{1+\left|z+a_{p(N, k)}\right|} \leq \frac{N-n_{0}+1}{1+k} \leq \frac{N-n_{0}+1}{1+\left|a_{p(N, k)}\right|-|z|} \\
\leq \frac{N-n_{0}+1}{1+2 p(N, k)-k} \leq \frac{N-n_{0}+1}{1+k} . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have used (10), (11) together with the fact that if $z \in B_{k}$ then $z+a_{p(N, k)} \in$ $\bar{B}\left(a_{p(N, k)}, k\right) \subset K_{p(N, k)}$. Thus

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{B_{k}}\left|f\left(\cdot+a_{p(N, k)}\right)-P_{k}\right|=0
$$

A new application of Lemma 2.7 shows us the denseness of $\left\{f\left(\cdot+a_{p(N, k)}\right)\right.$ : $k \geq 1\}$. Consequently, the translates of $f$ form a dense subset of $E$, and this is (ii).

Remarks 2.9. 1. In the last theorem, we can replace property (ii) by the following stronger one:
(ii') For each $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$ and each non-zero operator $T: E \rightarrow E$ commuting with the translations, the function $T f$ is Birkhoff-universal.

Indeed, if $T$ is as above, then $T=\Phi(D)$ for some non-zero entire function $\Phi$ with exponential type. According to Lemma 2.6, $T$ is surjective. Hence $T$ has dense range. Let $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$. By (ii), the set $\left\{T_{a} f: a \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$ is dense in $E$. Since $T$ commutes with the translations, we obtain $\left\{T_{a}(T f): a \in \mathbb{C}\right\}=$
$T\left(\left\{T_{a} f: a \in \mathbb{C}\right\}\right)$, that is dense because $T$ has dense range. Thus $T f$ is universal.
2. If in the formulation of Theorem 2.8, we replace the increasing function $\psi$ by a family $\mathcal{F}=\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-constant entire functions then we can change (iv) to

$$
\text { (iv') } \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{h_{n}}^{-1}\left(M_{f}(r)\right)}{\log r}=\infty \text { for all } f \in M \backslash\{0\} \text { and all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. }
$$

Indeed, we can construct an increasing function $\psi$ satisfying $\psi(n)=$ $\max \left\{M_{h_{j}}\left(n^{n}\right): j=1, \ldots, n\right\}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Let $M$ be the linear manifold constructed in Theorem 2.8 for this $\psi$. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$. Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{f}(r)}{\psi(r)}=+\infty$, we get an $r_{0}>0$ with $M_{f}(r)>\psi(r)$ for $r>r_{0}$. If $n>\max \left\{N, r_{0}\right\}$ one obtains $M_{f}(n)>\psi(n) \geq M_{h_{N}}\left(n^{n}\right)$. Hence $\log M_{h_{N}}^{-1}\left(M_{f}(n)\right)>n \log n$, and (iv') follows. Conversely, from the Weierstrass interpolation theorem for entire functions (see [30, Chapter 15]) it follows that if an increasing function $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is prescribed and (iv') holds then we can take $h_{n}=h$ for all $n$, where $h$ is an entire function with $h(k)=k \psi(k)(k \geq 1)$. Then (iv) is derived easily by using that $M_{f}$ and $\psi$ are increasing.
3. For any unbounded set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ and any function $g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ one has that $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} g(z)$ exists and equals $w_{0}$ if and only if $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in B}} g(z)$ exists and equals $w_{0}$ for all unbounded sets $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $B \backslash A$ bounded. If we choose $\varphi(t):=(1+t)^{-3 / 2}$ and $A:=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z=x+i y: y^{2}<x\right\}$, then for each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and each $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the set $B:=K+\{z: \varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq 2 \pi(1-\varepsilon)\}$ satisfies that $B \backslash A$ is bounded. Since the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.8 can be selected here within $\mathbb{N}$, we obtain Costakis-Sambarino's result [19, Section 5] given in Section 1. And an adequate rotation of the last set $A$ can be used to cover Gharibyan-Luh-Niess' theorem [24, Theorem 1.1] also given in the Introduction.

Theorem 2.10. Let $A$ be an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\mu \in$ $(0,+\infty)$ is such that $B(A, \mu) \subset A_{0}$ for some Arakelian set with $\varrho\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash A_{0}\right)=$ $+\infty$. Assume that $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is an increasing function.

Then there exists a linear submanifold $M=M(A, \mu, \psi) \subset E$ satisfying the following:
(i) $M$ is dense in $E$.
(ii) For every $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$, $f$ is Birkhoff-universal.
(iii) $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} \exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right)(\Phi(D) f)(z)=0$ for all $f \in M$, all $\alpha<1 / 2$ and all $\Phi \in E$ with $\tau(\Phi)<\mu$.
(iv) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{f}(r)}{\psi(r)}=+\infty$ for all $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. According to the statement of Theorem 2.8 and its proof, for the function $\varphi(t):=\frac{1}{(2+t) \log ^{2}(2+t)}(t \geq 0)-$ which is continuous on $[0,+\infty)$ and integrable on $(1,+\infty)$ - there are functions $f_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that the linear manifold $M:=\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ satisfies (i), (ii), (iv) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A_{0}}} \exp \left(|z|^{3 / 2} \varphi(|z|)\right) f(z)=0 \text { for all } f \in M \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then our unique task is to show that, given $f \in E$, then (iii) holds provided that (13) is true for $f$.

By hypothesis, $\bar{B}(z, \mu) \subset A_{0}$ for all $z \in A$. Fix a real number $\alpha<1 / 2$ as well as a function $\Phi \in E$ with $\tau(\Phi)<\mu$. Choose any $\beta \in\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and any $\nu \in(\tau(\Phi), \mu)$. Let $\Phi(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ be the Taylor expansion of $\Phi$. According to [13, p. 11], we have the following expression for $\tau(\Phi)$ :

$$
\tau(\Phi)=\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left(n!\left|c_{n}\right|\right)^{1 / n}
$$

Then there is a constant $C \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq C \frac{\nu^{n}}{n!} \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{(t+\mu)^{\alpha}}{t^{3 / 2} \varphi(t)}=0
$$

In particular, there is $R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|\xi|+\mu)^{\alpha}<|\xi|^{3 / 2} \varphi(|\xi|) \quad\left(|\xi|>R_{0}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$. By (13), there exists $R_{1}>R_{0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(|\xi|^{3 / 2} \varphi(|\xi|)\right)|f(\xi)|<\frac{\varepsilon(\mu-\nu)}{C \mu} \quad\left(|\xi|>R_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z \in A$, then the counterclockwise oriented circle $\gamma(z)$ with center $z$ and radius $\mu$ is contained in $A_{0}$. By using (14), (15), (16) and the Cauchy integral formula for derivatives, we obtain the following estimates, that are valid for $|z|>R_{0}+\mu:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\exp \left(|z|^{\beta}\right)(\Phi(D) f)(z)\right|=\left|\exp \left(|z|^{\beta}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} f^{(n)}(z)\right| \\
=\left|\exp \left(|z|^{\beta}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!c_{n}}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\gamma(z)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi-z)^{n+1}} d \xi\right| \\
\leq \exp \left(|z|^{\beta}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!\left|c_{n}\right|}{2 \pi} 2 \pi \mu \cdot \frac{1}{\mu^{n+1}} \cdot \sup \{|f(\xi)|: \xi \in \bar{B}(z, \mu)\} \\
\leq \exp \left(|z|^{\beta}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^{n} \sup \{|f(\xi)|: \xi \in \bar{B}(z, \mu)\} \\
\leq \frac{C \mu}{\mu-\nu} \cdot \sup \left\{\exp \left((|\xi|+\mu)^{\alpha}\right)|f(\xi)|: \xi \in \bar{B}(z, \mu)\right\} \\
\leq \frac{C \mu}{\mu-\nu} \cdot \sup \left\{\exp \left(|\xi|^{3 / 2} \varphi(|\xi|)\right)|f(\xi)|: \xi \in \bar{B}(z, \mu)\right\}<\varepsilon .
\end{gathered}
$$

This had to be shown.
Remarks 2.11. 1. Under the hypotheses of the last theorem, we have that, in particular, the decay given by (iii) holds for every $\Phi \in E$ with subexponential type.
2. Concerning Theorem 2.10, the set $A:=\mathbb{C} \backslash[\{z=x+i y: x \geq 0,0 \leq$ $\left.y \leq \frac{1}{x+1}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{B}\left(2^{n}, n\right)$ is an Arakelian set with $\varrho(\mathbb{C} \backslash A)=+\infty$ such that, for any $\mu>0, \bar{B}(A, \mu)$ is not contained in any Arakelian set $A_{0}$ satisfying $\varrho\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash A_{0}\right)=+\infty$.
3. Under the less restrictive hypothesis on $A$ in Theorem 2.8, we get a linear manifold $M$ satisfying (i), (ii), (iv) and $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} \exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right) f(z)=0$ for all $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and all $f \in M$.

If in the proof of Theorem 2.8 we replace the functions $\varepsilon_{n}$ used there by the functions

$$
\varepsilon_{n}(t):=\frac{1}{n(1+t) \exp \left(t^{1 / 4}+t^{3 / 2} \varphi(t)+\frac{t^{3 / 2}}{(2+t) \log ^{2}(2+t)}\right)} \quad(n \geq 1, t \geq 0)
$$

then we can combine the formulations and the proofs of both Theorems 2.8, 2.10 to yield the following result.

Theorem 2.12. Assume that $A$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and that there exists $\mu>0$ satisfying $B(A, \mu) \subset A_{0}$ for some Arakelian set $A_{0}$ with $\varrho(\mathbb{C} \backslash$ $\left.A_{0}\right)=+\infty$. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ are functions such that $\varphi$ is continuous, $\psi$ is increasing and $\varphi$ is integrable on $(1,+\infty)$.

Then there exists a linear submanifold $M=M(\varphi, \psi, \mu) \subset E$ satisfying the following properties:
(i) $M$ is dense in $E$.
(ii) Each nonzero function in $M$ is Birkhoff-universal.
(iii) $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} \exp \left(\varphi(|z|)|z|^{3 / 2}\right) f(z)=0$ for all $f \in M$ and
$\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} \exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right)(\Phi(D) f)(z)=0$ for all $f \in M$, all $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and all $\Phi \in E$ with $\tau(\Phi)<\mu$.
(iv) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{f}(r)}{\psi(r)}=+\infty$ for all $f \in M \backslash\{0\}$.

## 3 Concluding remarks

1. If we choose $A:=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z=x+i y: x>1,-x^{1 / 2}<y<-x^{1 / 3}\right\}$ (with any $\mu>0$ ) in Theorem 2.12 then every set $B \in \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$ (see notation in Section 1) satisfies that $B \backslash A$ is bounded. From this and Remarks 2.9.1, 2.9.2, first
part of 2.9.3 and 2.11.1, the results by Calderón-Moreno [17] given in the Introduction follow. But observe that our linear manifold does not depend upon $\alpha$ (the second limit in (iii) holds for all $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ ).
2. In view of Theorems 2.10 and 2.12, it is natural to pose the following question, whose answer is unknown to us: If the condition concerning $\mu$ is satisfied by $A$ for all $\mu>0$, does it hold that

$$
\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in A}} \exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right)(T f)(z)=0
$$

for all $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$, all $f \in M$ and all operators $T: E \rightarrow E$ commuting with the translations?
3. In the harmonic setting, Bonilla's result [15, Theorem 1] stated in the Introduction can be extended, replacing the strips $S$ by a fixed set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for which there are $\mu>0$ and an Arakelian set $A_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $B(A, \mu) \subset A_{0}$ and $\varrho\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash A_{0}\right)=+\infty$ (with similar meanings as in $\mathbb{C}$ for "Arakelian set", " $B(A, \mu)$ " and " $\varrho(\cdot)$ "). To see this, just use (as in [15]) Cauchy's estimates for harmonic functions [7, p. 3] (not needed if one only wants to get $\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in A}}\|x\|^{\alpha} v(x)=$ 0 , that is, the case $\beta=(0, \ldots, 0))$ as well as the harmonic analogue of Arakelian's approximation theorem given in [5, Theorem 1.1].
4. Some restriction on $\alpha$ is necessary in Theorems 2.10, 2.12. Indeed, if $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $A$ is the sector $S_{1 / \alpha}$ then an application of Phragmén-Lindeloff's theorem (see for instance [29]) yields that $f$ is constant (so not universal) whenever $\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \vec{S}_{1 / \alpha}}} \exp \left(|z|^{\alpha}\right) f(z)=0$. We do not know whether $\alpha=1 / 2$ is possible.
5. Existence of Birkhoff-universal entire functions enjoying some kind of controlled overall growth (but not necessary bounded on prescribed sets) was obtained in the analytic case by Duios-Ruis [21], Chan and Shapiro [18], Arakelian and Hakobian [2], and in the harmonic case by Armitage [3].
6. A stronger kind of universality is the so-called frequent hypercyclicity, a concept coined by Bayart and Grivaux in which some vector "visits many times" a prescribed open set under the action of an operator (see [8] and [16] for the precise definition and properties). In the special case of translation operators on $E$, Blasco, Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann [12] have recently
proved the existence of frequent Birkhoff-universal entire functions whose growth satisfies certain restrictions.
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