

α -Tubulin of *Histriculus cavicola* (Ciliophora; Hypotrichea)

Pilar Pérez-Romero, Eduardo Villalobo, Corín Díaz-Ramos,
Purificación Calvo, Francisco Santos-Rosa, Antonio Torres*

*Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Biología,
Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, España*

Received 13 September 1996/Accepted 30 January 1997

Summary

An α -tubulin gene fragment amplified by PCR from the hypotrichous ciliate *Histriculus cavicola* has been sequenced. This fragment, 1,182 bp long, contains an in-frame “stop” codon (UAA), which in other hypotrichous species codes for a glutamine residue. The comparison of the α -tubulin genes from several ciliates classes have revealed amino acid positions which could serve to distinguish these taxonomic groups.

Key words: *Histriculus cavicola*, α -tubulin, UAA codon, phylogeny, hypotrichs

Resumen

Se ha secuenciado un fragmento de un gen de la α -tubulina, amplificado por PCR, del ciliado hipotrico *Histriculus cavicola*. Este fragmento, de 1182 pb, contiene un codón de terminación (UAA) en la fase de lectura, que en otros ciliados hipotricos codifica la glutamina. La comparación de los genes de la α -tubulina de varias clases de ciliados ha mostrado que algunas posiciones de aminoácidos pueden servir para distinguir estos grupos taxonómicos.

* *Correspondence to:* Antonio Torres. Departamento de Microbiología. Facultad de Biología. Universidad de Sevilla. Apartado 1095. 41071 Sevilla. España. Tel.: +34-5-4557115. Fax: + 34-5-4615780. E-mail: Trueda@cica.es

Introduction

Microtubules are present in all eukaryotic cells as a major component of the cytoskeleton. They are involved in many cellular functions, such as motility, cell division, location of the Golgi and endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and establishment of cell polarity (8).

The major protein of microtubules is tubulin, a heterodimer of two distinct polypeptides designated as α and β . Each subunit has a molecular mass of about 50,000 Da and consists of different isotopes which are highly conserved in eukaryotic evolution. Both are generally post-translationally modified (18).

In higher eukaryotes, α - and β -tubulins are encoded by complex gene families. Tubulin heterogeneity therefore can be attributed both to primary genetic diversity and to a variety of post-translational modifications (5, 6, 12, 13).

Ciliates have a large variety of microtubular networks with functional and morphological differences. At least 13 distinct microtubular arrays have been described in *Paramecium* (7). In spite of their microtubular diversity, both α -tubulins and β -tubulins of ciliates are encoded by a small number of strikingly similar genes. In fact, most differences in the properties of the diverse microtubular arrays can be attributed to post-translational modifications and/or to interactions with accessory proteins (4).

Genes coding for α -tubulin have been sequenced in fifteen species belonging to six classes of the phylum Ciliophora: *Stylonychia lemnae*, *Oxytricha granulifera*, *Euplotes octocarinatus*, *Euplotes vannus*, *Euplotes aediculatus* (Class Hypotrichea), *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, *Tetrahymena thermophila*, *Frontonia* sp. (Class Oligohymenophorea), *Zosterograptus* sp. (Class Nassophorea), *Stentor coeruleus*, *Condylostoma magnum* (Class Heterotrichea), *Spathidium* sp., *Epidinium* sp., *Entodinium* sp.

(Class Litostomatea) and *Loxodes striatus* (Class Karyorelictea).

We sequenced a 1,182 bp fragment of an α -tubulin gene from *Histiculus cavicola*, a hypotrichous ciliate that forms resting cysts under adverse conditions. This sequence contains a canonical in-frame "stop" codon (UAA) that instead codes for a glutamine residue. Comparison of the amino acid sequences of *Histiculus* α -tubulin with α -tubulins from other ciliates indicates that some amino acids are characteristic for each of the ciliate classes studied. In addition, our results support taxonomic relationships based on rRNA sequences.

Material and methods

H. cavicola was grown at a temperature of 20°C in Pringsheim's solution and fed with the green algae *Chlorogonium* sp. DNA was isolated from starved vegetative cells following conventional methods (14). *Histiculus* α -tubulin gene was amplified with Taq polymerase (Boehringer) from genomic DNA using degenerate oligonucleotides as primers. The sense and antisense primers, based on the conserved CLEHGIQ (position 25–31 respect to *Tetrahymena pyriformis* published α -tubulin, standard and referred gene in this paper) and MEEGEF (position 413–418) amino acid sequences, were:

5' TGYITKGAGCAYGGTATYCAACC 3'
5' SAGAAITCTCCTTCYTCCAT 3'

PCR amplification conditions were as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s and polymerization at 72°C for 1 min (35 cycles). The amplified product was isolated and blunt-end ligated into the *EcoRV* site of the pBluescript II KS vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). The insert was sequenced

using the DIG-Taq sequencing kit (Boehringer) and the direct blotting electrophoresis (MWG-Biotech). The sequence is in the EMBL database, with the accession number Y10035.

The amino acid sequences were aligned with the following α -tubulin sequences taken from the GenEMBL database (with their respective accession numbers): *Stylonychia lemnae* (X01746 and X12365); *Oxytricha granulifera* (Z11763); *Euplotes octocarinatus* (X69466); *Euplotes vannus* (Z11769); *Euplotes aediculatus* (Z49851); *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (X12767); *Tetrahymena thermophila* (M86723); *Zosterograptus* sp. (Z49855); *Stentor coeruleus* (Z49853); *Condylostoma magnum* (Z49850); *Spathidium* sp. (Z49848); *Epidinium* sp. (Z49856); *Entodinium* sp. (Z49849); *Loxodes striatus* (Z49852). The sequence of *Frontonia* sp. was kindly provided by Anne Baroin-Tourancheau (Orsay, France).

Computer analyses were made through the Wisconsin Sequence Analysis Package (Genetic Computer Group), version 8.1. The alignment was performed using PILEUP program.

Results and discussion

PCR reactions gave a single band of about 1.1 kb. No bands were observed in those reactions lacking template. The amplified DNA was cloned and several positive selected. Among the positive clones, one was completely sequenced in both strands. The fragment contained 1,182 nucleotides.

The nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence is presented in Fig. 1. This DNA fragment contains most (90%) of the coding region of a standard α -tubulin gene, e.g., *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, and does not contain introns.

Four putative GTP binding sites (15) were present: VPRCVFLDLEP (aa. 62–72) and RFDGAL (aa. 243–248), both involved in base

binding; GGGTGSG (aa. 142–148), implicated in ribose binding; and AVVEPYN (aa. 180–186), involved in phosphate binding. The fragment has a lysine residue located at position 40, which may serve as a substrate for a post-translational acetylation (16).

The sequence contains a UAA codon in frame (nucleotide 526–528) that, as described in other hypotrichs (2), is used as a sense codon for a glutamine residue.

The G+C content of the fragment was 49.6%. The codon usage for this gene fragment is showed in Table 1. Of the 61 existing codons, only 38 are used. Three of them are used once, and six are used twice. In addition to methionine and tryptophan, five amino acids are codified by only one codon (arg/AGA, asn/AAC, cys/TGC, phe/TTC, gln/CAA). The nucleotide third-position preference can be summarized as follows:

C(44.5%)>T(23.3%)>A(21.7%)>G(10.5%). Of the codons used, 45% have A or T in the third position.

Codon bias correlates with the level of the gene expression in a wide range of organisms (3). In general, genes coding for highly expressed proteins show a strong bias for codons complementary to the major isoacceptor tRNA species.

Sequence analyses of additional *Histiculus* genes will be required to verify whether the bias in the α -tubulin gene is representative of the codon subset used to encode highly expressed *Histiculus* proteins.

The *Histiculus* gene fragment shows a great degree of sequence similarity with other ciliates. The nucleotide homology between this fragment (taking met-32 to gly-412) and other ciliate α -tubulins is shown in Table 2. The *Histiculus* fragment is most similar to other hypotrichous ciliate tubulin genes, e.g., *Oxytricha* (92.5%) and *Stylonychia* (93.9–93.4%). The percentage of identity with the euplotids ranges from 87.7% to 85.4%. In descending order of similarity, the

tgcttgagcaggtatccaaccTGACGGTCAAATGCCATCAGACAAGACTATTGGTGGT 132
D G Q M P S D K T I G G
 GGTGATGATGCCTTCAACACTTTCTCTCCGAAACTGGAGCTGGCAAGCACGTC 186
 G D D A F N T F F S E T G A G K H V
 CCAAGATGCGTCTTCTCGATCTCGAGCCAACCGTTATCGATGAAGTTAGAACC 240
P R C V F L D L E P T V I D E V R T
 GGTACCTACAGACAACCTCTCCACCTGAGCAACTCATCTCAGGTAAGAAGAT 294
 G T Y R Q L F H P E Q L I S G K E D
 GCTGCCAACAACCTCGCCAGAGGTCACCTACACCATCGGTAAAGAAATCGTCGAT 348
 A A N N F A R G H Y T I G K E I V D
 CTCTGCCTCGATAGAATCAGAAAGCTCGCTGATCAATGCACCTGGTCTCCAAGGT 402
 L C L D R I R K L A D Q C T G L Q G
 TTCCTCGTCTTCAACTCAGTCGGTGGTGGTACTGGATCCGGTCTCGGTTCACTC 456
 F L V F N S V G G G T G S G L G S L
 CTCTCGAAAGACTCTCCGTCGATTACGGTAAGAAGTCAAAGCTCGGTTTACC 510
 L L E R L S V D Y G K K S K L G F T
 GTCTACCCATCACCATAAGTCTCAACTGCCGTCGTTGAGCCATACAACCTCAGTG 564
 V Y P S P Q V S T A V V E P Y N S V
 CTCTCAACTCACTCACTCCTCGAACACTACTGATGTTGCTGTTATGCTCGATAAC 618
 L S T H S L L E H T D V A V M L D N
 GAAGCCGTCACGATATCTGCAGAAGAAACCTCGATATTGAGAGACCAACCTAC 672
 E A V Y D I C R R N L D I E R P T Y
 ACCAACTTGAACAGACTCATCGCTCAAGTTATCTCATCATTGACTGCCTCACTC 726
 T N L N R L I A Q V I S S L T A S L
 AGATTGATGGTGCCTTGAACGTCGATGTTACTGAATTCCAAACCAACTTGGTC 780
R F D G A L N V D V T E F Q T N L V
 CCATATCCAAGAATCCATTTTCATGTTGTCATCATACGCCCCAGTCATCTCAGCT 834
 P Y P R I H F M L S S Y A P V I S A
 GAGAAGGCTTATCACGAACAACCTCTCAGTTGCTGAAATCACCACCTCAGCTTTC 888
 E K A Y H E Q L S V A E I T N S A F
 GAGCCCGCTTCCATGATGGCQAAGGTCGACCAAGACACGGTAAATATATAGGCT 942
 E P A S M M A K V D P R H G K Y M A
 TGCTGCCTCATGTACAGAGGTGATGTCGTCGCCAAGGATGTCAACGCTGCCGTC 996
 C C L M Y R G D V V P K D V N A A V
 GCCACCATCAAGACCAAGAGAACCATCCAATTCGTCGACTGGTGCCCAACTGGC 1050
 A T I K T K R T I Q F V D W C P T G
 TTCAAGTGCAGTATCAACTATCAACCACCAACAGTCCGTCAGGTTGGTGATCTC 1104
 F K C G I N Y Q P P T V V P G G D L
 GCCAAGGTTATGAGAGCCGTCGATGATCTCCAACCTCAACTGCCATCGCTGAG 1158
 A K V M R A V C M I S N S T A I A E
 GTCTTCTCAAGAATCGATCACAAGTTCGATCTCATGTACGCCAAGAGAGCCTTC 1212
 V F S R I D H K F D L M Y A K R A F
 GTCCACTGGTACGTCGGTGAAGGTatggaggaaggagaattctg 1256
 V H W Y V G E G

FIG. 1. Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequence (one code letter) of the amplified fragment from *Histiculus* α -tubulin. The sequence of the synthetic primers used for PCR are underlined. The in-frame UAA "stop" codon and the corresponding gln are in bold letters. The GTP-binding domains are in bold and underlined. The numbering scheme refers to the nucleotides of the published *Tetrahymena pyriformis* α -tubulin gene.

TABLE 1. Codon usage of the amplified fragment of *Histiculus* α -tubulin. Underlined codons denote that they are the most frequently or nearly equally used for a given amino acid. The numbers are the total number of occurrences of each codon. The in-frame "stop" codon is in bold letter

Codon	aa.	No.									
TTT	phe	-	TCT	ser	-	TAT	tyr	4	TGT	cys	-
<u>TTC</u>	phe	19	TCC	ser	5	<u>TAC</u>	tyr	11	<u>TGC</u>	cys	10
TTA	leu	-	<u>TCA</u>	ser	20	TAA	gln	1	TGA	OPA	-
TTG	leu	6	TCG	ser	-	TAG	AMB	-	TGG	trp	2
CTT	leu	-	CCT	pro	2	CAT	his	2	CGT	arg	-
<u>CTC</u>	leu	26	CCC	pro	2	CAC	his	9	CGC	arg	-
CTA	leu	-	<u>CCA</u>	pro	15	<u>CAA</u>	gln	11	CGA	arg	-
CTG	leu	-	CCG	pro	-	CAG	gln	-	CGG	arg	-
ATT	ile	2	<u>ACT</u>	thr	12	AAT	asn	-	AGT	ser	-
<u>ATC</u>	ile	18	<u>ACC</u>	thr	12	<u>AAC</u>	asn	15	AGC	ser	-
ATA	ile	-	ACA	thr	1	AAA	lys	3	<u>AGA</u>	arg	19
ATG	met	11	ACG	thr	-	<u>AAG</u>	lys	15	AGG	arg	-
GTT	val	9	<u>GCT</u>	ala	13	<u>GAT</u>	asp	20	<u>GGT</u>	gly	26
<u>GTC</u>	val	25	<u>GCC</u>	ala	16	GAC	asp	4	GGC	gly	2
GTA	val	-	GCA	ala	-	<u>GAA</u>	glu	13	GGA	gly	3
GTG	val	1	GCG	ala	-	GAG	glu	9	GGG	gly	-

percentage of identities are: *Tetrahymena*, 86.7–86%; *Frontonia*, 86.5%; *Stentor*, 85%; *Zosterograptus*, 81.4%; *Loxodes*, 78.8%; litostomes (*Epidinium*, *Entodinium* and *Spathidium*), 78.8–76.9%; and *Condylostoma*, 77.9%.

As expected, nucleotide sequence homology was lower than the corresponding amino acid sequence homology (Table 2). Percentages of similarity between the deduced amino acid sequence of α -tubulin of *Histiculus* and those of the ciliates listed above ranged from 99.5% (*Stylonychia*) to 93.4% (*Spathidium*).

The alignment of the *Histiculus* α -tubulin amino acid sequence with those of other ciliates reveals some interesting points (Fig. 2). Several traditional taxonomic groups differ in the identity of amino acids present at a few key positions in the α -tubulin protein sequence. Table 3 summarizes the characteristic amino acid substi-

tutions at several positions in the α -tubulin of litostomes, oligohymenophorans, pseudohypotrichs (*Euplotia*) and euhypotrichs (*Oxytricha*).

The litostomes and the euplotids contain nine and five characteristic amino acids, respectively. These amino acid changes strongly support the uniquely derived status of these clades. The euhypotrichs show two characteristic amino acids and their α -tubulin sequences contain at the position 526–528 an in-frame UAA codon which codifies for glutamine 176. The oligohymenophorans contain asparagine at position 300 and serine at position 316.

Our sequence analysis indicates that a deep split exists between pseudohypotrichs, such as *Euplotes*, and the euhypotrichs, such as *Histiculus*, *Stylonychia* and *Oxytricha*. The data agree with the results of Baroin-Tourancheau et al. (1), who reported a large divergence be-

TABLE 2. Nucleotide (a) and amino acid (b) homology (% identity) between the *Histriculus cavicola* α -tubulin and the α -tubulin from other ciliates

Ciliate	a	b
Class Hypotricha		
Subclass Oxytrichia (Euhypotrichs)		
<i>Stylonychia lemnae 1</i>	93.9	99.5
<i>Stylonychia lemnae 2</i>	93.4	98.2
<i>Oxytrichia granulifera</i>	92.5	97.0
Subclass Euplotia (Pseudohypotrichs)		
<i>Euplotes octocarinatus</i>	87.7	96.8
<i>Euplotes aediculatus</i>	87.5	95.8
<i>Euplotes vannus</i>	85.4	94.7
Class Oligohymenophorea		
<i>Tetrahymena pyriformis</i>	86.7	96.3
<i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i>	86.0	96.0
<i>Frontonia</i> sp.	86.5	95.8
Class Heterotricha		
<i>Stentor coeruleus</i>	85.0	95.0
<i>Condylostoma magnum</i>	77.9	97.4
Class Nassophorea		
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	81.4	96.0
Class Karyorelictea		
<i>Loxodes striatus</i>	78.8	95.8
Class Litostomatea		
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	78.8	93.7
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	77.6	93.5
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	76.9	93.4

tween *Euplotes* and the euhypotrichs based on rRNA sequence analysis.

Surprisingly, the single representative of nassophoreans, *Zosterograptus*, contains, as do the euhypotrichs, glutamine at position 128 and leucine at position 317. Additional α -tubulin sequences are required to test the association of the nassophoreans with the euhypotrichs.

In the heterotrichs (*Stentor*, *Condylostoma* and *Blepharisma* [data not shown]) an asparagine residue is replaced by a glutamine at position 215. The same amino acid substitution is also observed in the karyorelictid *Loxodes* and in the litostomes. Recently, both Baroin-Tourancheau

et al. (1) and Hammerschmidt et al. (10), used rRNA sequences to demonstrate that the karyorelicteans are the sister group of the heterotrichs.

To sum up, the α -tubulin genes are good markers for the inference of relationships among ciliates. The comparative analysis of α -tubulin sequences in general supports classical taxonomic groups based on ultrastructural and other morphological characters. In addition, the analysis reinforces phylogenetic relationships derived from comparisons of rRNA sequences, for example the split between euplotids and euhypotrichs, and the similarity between *Loxodes* and the heterotrichs.

	40	50	60	70	80	90
<i>H. cavicola</i>	DGQMPDK	TIGGGDAFN	TFSETGAGK	HVPRCVFLDL	EPTVIDEVRT	GTYRQLFHPE
<i>O. granulifera</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-D-	-----
<i>S. lemnae (1)</i>	-----	-----	-E-	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (2)</i>	-----	-----	-T-	-----	-----	-----
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	-----	-T-	-----	Y--M-	-----	-----
<i>E. vannus</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-LV-	-C-I-	-----
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-	-C-	-----
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-	-C-	-----
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	S-	-V-	-----
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	S-	-----	-----
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-V-	-----
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-	-----	-----
<i>T. thermophila</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-	-----	-----
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-	-----	-----
<i>C. magnum</i>	-----	-----	-----	A-	-V-	-I-
<i>L. striatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	S-	-----	-----
	100	110	120	130	140	150
<i>H. cavicola</i>	QLISGKEDAA	NNFARGHYTI	GKEIVDLCLD	RIRKLADQCT	GLQGFLVFNS	VGGGTGSGLG
<i>O. granulifera</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (1)</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (2)</i>	-----	-----	-----	G-	-----	-----
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	-----	P-	-----
<i>E. vannus</i>	-I-	-----	-V-	-----	-N-	IG-H-
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-R-	-N-	IG-H-
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-N-	IG-H-
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	-----	-Y--YC-	-I--A-	-----	-N-	A-
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	-----	-Y--YC-	-I--A-	-----	-N-	A-
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	-----	-Y--YC-	-I--A-	-----	-N-	A-
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-N-	-----
<i>T. thermophila</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-N-	-----
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	-T-	-----	-----	-----	-N-	-----
<i>C. magnum</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-N-	-----
<i>L. striatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-N-	A-
	160	170	180	190	200	210
<i>H. cavicola</i>	SLLLERLSVD	YGKSKLGFT	VYSPQVSTA	VVEPYNSVLS	THSLEHTDV	AVMLDNEAVY
<i>O. granulifera</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (1)</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (2)</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	-----	-----
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	-----	-----	I-	-----	-----	-I-
<i>E. vannus</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	-----	-----
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	-----	-T-	I-	-----	-----	-----
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	-----	-T-	I-	-----	-----	-----
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	-----	-----	I-N-	-----	I-	-----
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	-----	-----	I-N-	-----	I-	-----
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	-----	-----	I-N-	-----	I-	-----
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	I-	-----
<i>T. thermophila</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	I-	-----
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	-----	-----
<i>C. magnum</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	-----	-----
<i>L. striatus</i>	-----	-----	I-	-----	-----	-----
	220	230	240	250	260	270
<i>H. cavicola</i>	DICRRNLDIE	RPTYTNLNL	IAQVSSSLTA	SLRFDGALNV	DVTEFQTNLV	PYPRIHFMLS
<i>O. granulifera</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (1)</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>S. lemnae (2)</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	-----	-----	-S-	-----	-I-	-----
<i>E. vannus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-I-	-----
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-I-	-----
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-M-	-----
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-M-V-	-----
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-V-	-----
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-I-	-----
<i>T. thermophila</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-I-	-----
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>C. magnum</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>L. striatus</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FIG. 2. Comparison of the deduced amino acid sequence of *Histriculus* α -tubulin is shown on the upper line. Amino acid identities are dotted, while differences are denoted by the corresponding single letter, indicating the substituted amino acid. The numbering refers to the corresponding deduced amino acid sequence of the published *Tetrahymena pyriformis* α -tubulin gene. (For complete names of the genera, see text.)

The structure of ciliate phylogeny has traditionally rested on ultrastructural features of the cortex and of somatic and oral ciliature. The absence of derived morphological characters that unite groups and the difficulty in distinguishing between ancestral and derived morphologies make this kind of phylogeny assessment somewhat speculative. Recently, phylogenetic rela-

tionships within the phylum have been investigated by using 18S (9, 11,17) and 28S rRNAs (1). We believe extensive analyses of the α -tubulin sequences of ciliates should lead to the construction of convincing molecular trees. These results can be compared to rRNA trees and to phylogenies inferred from traditional morphological features. This approach will test the con-

	280	290	300	310	320	330	
H. cavicola	SYAPVISA	EAEK	AYHEQLSVAE	ITNSAFEPAS	MMKAVDPRHG	KYMACCLMYR	GDVVPKDVNA
<i>O. granulifera</i>	---	---	---	---	C	---	---
<i>S. lemnae</i> (1)	---	STP	---	---	C	---	---
<i>S. lemnae</i> (2)	---	---	---	---	C	---	---
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	---	---	---	VY	C	---	A
<i>E. vannus</i>	---	G	---	S	C	---	M-F
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	---	---	---	S	C	---	M
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	---	---	---	S	C	---	M
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	---	R-I	---	---	C	---	M
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	---	I	---	D	C	---	M
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	---	I	---	S	C	---	M
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	---	I	---	---	C	---	SM
<i>T. thermophila</i>	---	I	---	---	C	---	SM
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	---	I	---	---	C	---	M
<i>C. magnum</i>	---	I	---	T	C	---	M
<i>L. striatus</i>	---	I	---	V	S-V-C	---	M
	340	350	360	370	380	390	
H. cavicola	AVATIKIKRT	IQFVWCPTG	FKCGINYQFP	TVVPGGLAK	VMRAVCMLSN	STALAEVFSR	
<i>O. granulifera</i>	---	---	---	S	P	---	
<i>S. lemnae</i> (1)	---	---	---	S	---	---	
<i>S. lemnae</i> (2)	---	---	---	S	---	---	
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	---	N	---	A	---	---	
<i>E. vannus</i>	---	---	S	V	---	---	
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	---	---	S	---	---	---	
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	---	---	S	---	---	---	
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	S	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	S	---	---	FL	---	---	
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	---	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	SI	---	V	S	---	---	
<i>T. thermophila</i>	SI	---	V	---	---	---	
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	---	---	---	---	H	---	
<i>C. magnum</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>L. striatus</i>	S	---	---	---	---	T	
	400	412					
H. cavicola	IDHKFDLMYA	KRAFVHWYVG	EG				
<i>O. granulifera</i>	---	---	---				
<i>S. lemnae</i> (1)	---	---	---				
<i>S. lemnae</i> (2)	---	---	---				
<i>Zosterograptus</i> sp.	---	---	---				
<i>E. vannus</i>	---	---	---				
<i>E. aediculatus</i>	---	---	---				
<i>E. octocarinatus</i>	---	---	---				
<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	L	---	T	---	F	---	
<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	L	---	T	---	F	---	
<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	L	---	T	---	F	---	
<i>T. pyriformis</i>	L	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>T. thermophila</i>	L	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>S. coeruleus</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>C. magnum</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	
<i>L. striatus</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	

FIG. 2. (Continued.)

TABLE 3. Characteristic amino acids in the α -tubulin of some ciliate groups. Characteristic amino acids and their position are written in bold. Amino acids inside the parenthesis refer to those normally found outside the group

Group	Species	Marker
Class Litostomatea	<i>Entodinium</i> sp.	tyr-103 (phe); tyr-107 (his)
	<i>Epidinium</i> sp.	cys-108 (tyr); ile-115 (val)
	<i>Spathidium</i> sp.	ala-118 (cys/val); ile-177 (val) asn-179 (thr); thr-400 (ala) phe-408 (tyr)
Subclass Euplotia (Pseudohypotrachs)	<i>Euplotes aediculatus</i>	cys-75 (ile/asp); ile-136 (leu)
	<i>Euplotes vannus</i>	gly-137 (val); his-139 (asn)
	<i>Euplotes octocarinatus</i>	ser-347 (cyst)
Subclass Oxytrichia (Euhypotrachs)	<i>Oxytrichia granulifera</i>	gln-128 (asn)
	<i>Stylonychia lemnae</i>	leu-317 (met)
	<i>Histiculus cavicola</i>	
Class Oligohymenophorea	<i>Tetrahymena pyriformis</i>	asn-300 (ser)
	<i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i>	ser-316 (cys)
	<i>Frontonia</i> sp.	

gruencies/incongruencies between two molecular markers along with more classical approaches.

Acknowledgements

We thank Anne Baroin-Tourancheau for providing the *Frontonia* sp. sequence used in this paper. This work was supported by the DGICYT grants No. PB910621 and No. PB941433, of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science.

References

- Baroin-Tourancheau, A., Delgado, P., Perasso, R., Adoutte, A. (1992). A broad molecular phylogeny of ciliates: Identification of major evolutionary trends and radiations within the phylum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **89**, 9764-9768.
- Baroin-Tourancheau, A., Tsao, N., Klobutcher, L. A., Pearlman, R. E., Adoutte, A. (1995). Genetic code deviations in the ciliates: Evidence for multiple and independent events. *EMBO J.* **14**, 3262-3267.
- Bennetzen, J. L., Hall, B. D. (1982). Codon selection in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **257**, 3026-3031.
- Callen, A. M., Adoutte, A., Andreu, J. M., Baroin-Tourancheau, A., Bré, M. H., Calvo Ruiz, P., Clerot, J. C., Delgado, P., Fleury, A., Wolf, R. J., Viklicky, V., Villalobo, E., Levilliers, N. (1994). Isolation and characterization of libraries of monoclonal antibodies directed against various forms of tubulin in *Paramecium*. *Biol. Cell.* **81**, 95-119.
- Cleveland, D. W. (1987). The multitubulin hypothesis revisited. What have we learned. *J. Cell Biol.* **104**, 381-383.
- Cleveland, D. W., Sullivan, R. F. (1985). Molecular biology and genetics of tubulin. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **54**, 331-365.
- Cohen, J., Beisson, J. (1988). The cytoskeleton. In Gortz, H. D. (ed.), *Paramecium*, pp. 363-392. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg.
- Dustin, P. (1984). *Microtubules*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Greenwood, S. J., Sogin, M. L., Lynn, D. H. (1991). Phylogenetic relationships within the class Oligohymenophorea, phylum Ciliophora, inferred from the complete small subunit rRNA gene se-

- quences of *Colpidium campylum*, *Glaucoma chatoni* and *Opisthonecta henneguyi*. *J. Mol. Evol.* **33**, 163–174.
10. Hammerschmidt, B., Schlegel, M., Lynn, D. H., Leipe, D. D., Sogin, M. L., Raikov, I. B. (1996). Insights into the evolution of nuclear dualism in the ciliates revealed by phylogenetic analysis of rRNA sequences. *J. Euk. Microbiol.* **43**, 225–230.
 11. Leipe, D. D., Bernhard, D., Schlegel, K. M. (1994). Evolution of 16S-like ribosomal RNA genes in the ciliophoran taxa Litostomatea and Phyllopharyngea. *Eur. J. Protistol.* **30**, 354–361.
 12. Ludueña, R. F. (1993). Are tubulin isotypes functionally significant? *Mol. Biol. Cell* **4**, 445–457.
 13. Mandelkow, E. M., Herman, M., Ruhl, U. (1985). Tubulin domains probed by limited proteolysis and subunit-specific antibodies. *J. Mol. Biol.* **185**, 311–327.
 14. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F., Sambrook, J. (1982). *Molecular cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Springs Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
 15. McRae, T. H., Langdon, C. M. (1989). Tubulin synthesis, structure, and function: what are the relationships? *Biochem. Cell Biol.* **18**, 770–790.
 16. Piperno, G., Fuller, M. T. (1985). Monoclonal antibodies specific for an acetylated form of α -tubulin recognize the antigen in cilia and flagella from a variety of organisms. *J. Cell Biol.* **101**, 2085–2094.
 17. Schlegel, M. (1991). Protist evolution and phylogeny as discerned from small subunit ribosomal RNA sequence comparisons. *Europ. J. Protistol.* **27**, 207–219.
 18. Sullivan, K. F. (1988). Structure and utilization of tubulin isotypes. *Annu. Rev. Cell Biol.* **4**, 687–716.