| 1 | Effects of foliar fertilization of a biostimulant obtained from chicken feathers on maize |
|----|--|
| 2 | yield |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Manuel Tejada ^{1*} , Bruno Rodríguez-Morgado ² , Patricia Paneque ¹ , Juan Parrado ² |
| 5 | |
| 6 | ¹ Grupo de Investigación Edafología Ambiental, Departamento de Cristalografía, Mineralogía y |
| 7 | Química Agrícola, E.T.S.I.A. Universidad de Sevilla, Crta de Utrera km. 1, 41013 Sevilla, Spain |
| 8 | ² Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de |
| 9 | Sevilla, C/ Prof. García González 2, 41012 Sevilla, Spain |
| 10 | |
| 11 | * Corresponding author (E-mail address: mtmoral@us.es) |
| 12 | Tel.: +34 954486468 |
| 13 | Fax: +34 954486436 |
| 14 | |

15 Abstract

Due to the important contribution that it makes to human nutrition, maize is one of the 16 most widely-consumed cereals in the world. There is, therefore, high demand for fertilizers that 17 will maintain maize production at both high yield and quality levels. The objective of this work 18 was to study the effect of foliar fertilization using a biostimulant, obtained by enzymatic 19 hydrolysis from chicken feathers, on the productivity and quality of maize crops (Zea mays, L. cv 20 21 PR32W86 Pioneer), located in Trujillanos (Extremadura, Spain), over two consecutive seasons. Foliar biostimulant/biofertilizer was applied three times each season and at two rates (3.6 and 7.21 22 ha⁻¹). At the higher rate and for both seasons, foliar fertilization significantly increased the leaf 23 24 concentrations of macro- and micronutrients, while grain protein content and yield increased by 26% and 14%. These results suggest that the foliar use of this biostimulant could be of great 25 interest to the farmer for improving both maize crop yield and quality. 26

27

28 Keywords: biostimulant; chicken feathers; foliar fertilization; maize crop

29

30 **1. Introduction**

Foliar fertilization is currently a highly efficient agronomic crop fertilization technique since it favours the assimilation of the nutrients in the plant and consequently, the utilisation of the nutrients applied with the fertilizer, thus increasing crop yields and quality (Tejada and González, 2004; Abbas and Ali, 2011; Osman et al., 2013). Since it significantly reduces the effects of groundwater contamination caused by applying inorganic fertilizers to the soil it is, moreover, a technique that contributes to sustainable, environmentally friendly agriculture (Tejada and González, 2003a; Fernández and Eichert, 2009).

In recent years, foliar fertilization has been used to apply macronutrients, micronutrients
and humic substances. This results in a great number of positive effects in the plant, principally at

physiological level (respiration and photosynthesis), at morphological level, (root length and leaf
area index), and the yield of various crops such as rice, tomato, pepper and maize (Tejada and
Gonzalez, 2003a, 2004; Karakurt et al., 2009; Tejada et al., 2016).

The use of biostimulants (BS) obtained from various organic residues (carob germ, sewage sludge) by enzymatic hydrolysis processes via foliar fertilization is increasing. This is because these organic compounds are easily assimilated by crops and therefore improve crop nutrition, increasing both the productivity and the quality of the grain or fruit harvested (Parrado et al., 2008; Tejada et al., 2016).

Several authors have tested the effectiveness of a BS obtained from chicken feathers by enzymatic hydrolysis processes in the bioremediation of polluted soils with organic xenobiotics (Gómez et al., 2014; Rodríguez-Morgado et al., 2015a, b). However, there are no studies concerning the use of this type of organic compound via foliar fertilisation in order to increase both crop yield and quality.

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the world's major cereal crops, ranking third in importance after wheat and rice (Lashkari et al., 2011). Most of the maize produced worldwide is used for animal feed, although it is also part of the basic diet in human nutrition, as it is a good source of starch, proteins, lipids, polyphenols, carotenoids, vitamins and dietary fibre (Nuss and Tanumihardjo, 2010; Blandino et al., 2017). Consequently, studying the response of this crop to foliar fertilization of a new BS could be of great interest to the farmer.

59 The main objective of this paper is to study the effect of a BS obtained from chicken 60 feathers by enzymatic hydrolysis processes when it is applied via foliar in a corn crop, observing 61 both maize yield and grain quality.

62

63

64

65 **2. Material and methods**

66 2.1. Site and properties of the biostimulant

The study was carried out during two consecutive experimental seasons (from April to October in 2014 and 2015) at Trujillanos, (Extremadura, Spain). The climatic characteristics of the study area are detailed in the supplemental material (Table S1) (AEMET 2017). Total annual rainfall was 342.3 mm in 2015 and 458.4 mm in 2016. Average air temperature averaged 17.8 °C in 2015 and 17.5 °C in 2016.

The soil used was the same as that described in Tejada et al. (2016). The main soil characteristics (0-25 cm) are described in Table 1. The methodology used for determining each parameter is described in Tejada et al. (2016).

The BS used was obtained from chicken feathers by the enzymatic hydrolysis. The 75 obtaining process is described in Rodríguez-Morgado et al. (2014). This process was carried out in 76 77 a bioreactor under the following conditions: (a) substrate concentration: 10%; (b) solvent: water; (c) catalytic agent: subtilisin, 0.15% (v / v) (d) Enzymatic concentration: 1 ml l^{-1} substrate; (e) 78 79 temperature: 55 ° C; (f) pH: 9, controlled by the addition of 10 M NaOH; (g) time: 180 min. Finally, the hydrolysed product was centrifuged obtaining the biostimulant. The organic 80 compound's chemical composition is described in Table 2. The methodology used for determining 81 82 each parameter is described in Rodríguez-Morgado et al. (2015b).

Amino acid composition was determined by reversed-phase HPLC analysis of 6aminoquinolyl-*N*-hydroxysuccinimidyl carbamate (AQC) derivatives, with γ-aminobutyric acid as
internal standard (Table 3). The methodology used for determining each parameter of these amino
acids is described in Parrado et al. (2008).

For each experimental season, the experimental layout was a randomized complete block with three treatments and three replicates per treatment. Each plot size was $9 \text{ m} \times 7 \text{ m}$. The treatments were the following:

91

92 (1) A0 treatment, plots fertilized with 300 kg N ha⁻¹ (as urea), 80 kg P ha⁻¹ + 41.7 kg N ha⁻¹ [as 93 (NH₄)H₂PO₄] and 120 kg K ha⁻¹ (as K₂SO₄), which is common practice in the area

94 (2) A1 treatment, plots fertilized with the A0 treatment mineral fertilizers and foliar fertilized
95 with BS at a dose of 3.61 ha⁻¹

96 (3) A2 treatment plots fertilized with the A0 treatment mineral fertilizers and foliar fertilized
97 with BS at a dose of 7.2 l ha⁻¹

98

99 The doses used in the BS are those described by Tejada et al. (2016) when they applied a
100 BS obtained from sludge and hydrolytic processes. The inorganic fertilizers were incorporated on
101 April 13th 2015 and 18th April 2016, respectively, to a depth of 20-25 cm.

Similar to Tejada et al. (2016), BS was applied three times during the maize vegetative cycle and for each experimental season. In this regard, the BS was applied on July 13th, July 27th and August 17th during the 2015 season, and July 11th, July 25th and August 22nd during the 2016 season. Therefore, the total doses used in the experiment were 10.8 l ha⁻¹ or A1 and 21.6 l ha⁻¹ for A2 in each experimental season.

Maize (Zea mays cv PR32W86 Pioneer) was sown at a rate of 100000 seeds ha⁻¹ with 75cm inter-row spacing. The planting dates were April 14th 2015 and April 19th 2016, respectively. Once the harvest was collected during the first experimental season, all of the residues generated were also collected. This was done to prevent these organic residues interfering with plant nutrition. The irrigation system, irrigation time and amount of water applied to the crop were similar to that described by Tejada et al. (2016). Table 4 shows the chemical composition of the irrigation water used. Values were obtained from the arithmetic mean of 6 samples per year during each vegetative cycle of the plant.

116

117 2.3. Plant sampling and analytical determinations

In each fertilizer treatment and for each experimental season, the leaves of 10 plants 118 located in the central area of each plot were selected. Leaf samples were collected in two stages of 119 growth: (1) at tasselling [R1 physiological state according to Hanway scale (Ritchie et al., 1986)], 120 occurring on August 8th 2015 and August 5th 2016; and (2) at harvest [R6 physiological state 121 according to Hanway scale (Ritchie et al., 1986)], which took place on October 16th 2015 and 122 October 20th 2016, by selecting the spike leaves (Tejada and González, 2003a; Tejada et al., 2016). 123 Before their analysis, the leaves were subjected to a washing and drying process, described 124 in Tejada et al. (2016). Furthermore, the macro- and micronutrients in the leaves were determined 125 according to the methods described in Tejada et al. (2016). 126

For each season and fertilizer treatment, all the corncobs located in each experimental plot were collected. Number of grains per corncob and crop yield (kg ha⁻¹) was determined in samples collected from each plot on October 14th 2015 and October 20th 2016, respectively.

On the other hand, protein concentration, macro- and micronutrients in the grain weredetermined according to the methodology described in MAPA (1986) and Tejada et al. (2016).

132

133 2.4 Statistical analysis

134 With the data obtained, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed considering the135 treatment as independent variable followed by Tukey's significant difference as a post hoc test,

considering a significance level of p < 0.05 throughout the study and using Statgraphics Plus 2.1
software package.

138

139 **3. Results**

Table 5 shows the macro- and micronutrient leaf contents during the maize cycle for eachexperimental season expressed on a dry matter basis.

Regarding N, at harvest and for both experimental season, the A2 treatment showed the highest levels of N in leaf. Compared with the A0 treatment, foliar N concentration was 14.4% and 39.1% higher in the A1 and A2 treatments for the 2015 season, whereas it was 15% and 33.3% higher in A1 and A2 treatments for the 2016 season.

In the same way, and at harvest, the A2 treatment showed the highest values of P, highlighting the effect of BS on the contents of this macronutrient in leaf. Compared with A0 treatment, leaf P concentration was 32.8% and 52.2% higher in A1 and A2 treatments for the 2015 season, whereas it was 43.5% and 51.1% higher in the A1 and A2 treatments for the 2016 season (K, Ca and Mg in leaf) are also higher in the foliar fertilized plots with A2, followed by A1, demonstrating the effect of the BS dosage on the concentration of the macronutrients analysed in maize leaf.

The micronutrients analysed in leaf show a similar evolution to the macronutrients. At harvest and for both experimental seasons, the highest values were obtained in the A2 treatment, followed by the A1 treatment. The statistical analysis showed significant differences with A2 treatment only, again highlighting the importance of the BS rate used in the experiment.

The chemical composition of the grains presented a very similar behaviour to the nutrient content in the leaves (Table 6). For both experimental seasons, there was a significant increase in macro- and micronutrients analyzed, principally when the higher BS rate was used. 160 Compared with the A0 treatment, grain protein concentration significantly increased by 161 26.5% in the A2 treatment in the first season and by 25.3% in the second (Table 7). Moreover, the 162 number of grains per corncob significantly increased by 15% in the A2 treatment in the 2015 163 season and by 15.8% in the 2016 season. Finally, the higher application rate significantly 164 increased yield by 13.4% and by 14.6% in the first and the second seasons.

165

166 **4. Discussion**

Our results suggest that there is a positive effect on the mineral nutrition of corn when a BS obtained from chicken feathers was appliedvia foliar application. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Tejada and González (2003a) and Tejada et al. (2016), who observed an increase in the plants' mineral nutrition after the application of a BS obtained from sewage sludge or from a by-product of the two-step olive oil milling process via foliar to a corn crop.

In the same way, other authors have obtained similar results after the foliar application of different organic substances and amino acids on rice, corn, tomato, pepper, cucumber, wheat, asparagus and green beans (Tejada and González, 2003b, 2004; Yildirim, 2007; Karakurt et al., 2009; Katkat et al., 2009; Abdel-Mawgoud et al., 2011; El-Nemr et al., 2012).

This improvement in the plant mineral nutrition after the foliar fertilization of humic substances and amino acids is mainly due to an improvement in the plants' uptake of nutrients (Tejada et al., 2003b, 2016). Several studies have shown that the foliar application of humic substances increases leafcuticlepermeability, favouring the entry of ions attached to these molecules within the plant cell (Fageria et al., 2009; Çelik et al., 2010).

Numerous studies have shown the importance of amino acids in the plant's physiological activities, mainly at the cellular level. Since they are highlywater-soluble, the positive effects of applying amino acids might be due to their internal function within the cell as an osmo-regulator. This increases the concentration of cellular osmotic components (Abdel-Mawgoud et al., 2011), stimulating cell growth and consequently increasing the plants' chemical composition, as well as the growth, yield and quality of the harvest (Awad et al., 2007; Abdel Aziz, 2009; Thomas et al., 2009; Abd El-Aal et al., 2010). Also and due to the chelating effect of amino acids on micronutrients, when applied together with micronutrients they facilitate the absorption and transport of these micronutrients inside the plant, since they also positively affect cell membrane permeability (Ibrahimn et al., 2010).

Some authors propose different formulations of humic acids, amino acids, hydrolysed proteins, etc. as growth promoters, thus improving plant nutrition (Thomas et al., 2009). Our results confirm these judgments, since the BS, with the mixture of substances used in this experiment, favours the mineral nutrition of corn.

The increase in grain macro- and micronutrient concentrations is possibly due to the 196 improvement in the plant's mineral nutrition. These results are in agreement with those obtained 197 by Tejada et al. (2016), who found a significant increase in the concentration of macro- and 198 micronutrients in corn grain when they applied the same doses of a BS obtained from sewage 199 sludge, also composed of a mixture of humic substances, low molecular weight peptides, amino 200 acids and macro- and micronutrients. In the same way, these data are in agreement with those 201 202 obtained by other authors, who found a significant increase in macronutrients in rice and maize grains after the foliar application of different humic substances (Tejada et al., 2006; Osman et al., 203 2013). 204

The increase in micronutrients in grain is a consequence of the micronutrient-rich BS foliar fertilization. These results are in agreement with those obtained by other authors when applying different micronutrients via foliar to crops such as wheat, cowpea and rice (Simoglou and Dordas, 2006; Dordas, 2009; Zeidan et al., 2010; Mabesa et al., 2013; Manzeke et al., 2017). For many authors, foliar fertilization can be used to satisfy the essential micronutrient requirements in cropgrains, increasing yields and the quality of production (Fang et al., 2008).

The significant increase in the concentration of micronutrients in the grain after the foliar application of the experimental biostimulant is very important. This is because, in general terms, cereal crops usually present a low concentration of such micronutrients in their grain (Cakmak, 2010).

Finally, the increase in plants' nutrient uptake may be responsible for the increase in the maize yield, highlighting again the influence of the dose of the biostimulant applied to the plant.

Many authors consider N as the essential element that directly influences the number of grains per corncob, weight of grains and, consequently, in crop yield (Osborne et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2012). In our experiment, the high concentration of N that was applied to the plant from the BS in the form of N or as amino acids could be responsible for this increase in the crop yields, number corncob and grains per corncob.

222

223 **5.** Conclusions

Foliar fertilization with biostimulants obtained from chicken feathers (rich in organic 224 matter, low molecular weight peptides and amino acids) significantly increased the maize nutrition 225 and, consequently, maize yield and grain quality. This increase was higher when he said product 226 was applied three times during the maize vegetative cycle at a dose of 7.2 l ha⁻¹. It is, however, 227 necessary to continue studying the behaviour of this biostimulant on crops in order to optimise 228 both the application rates and the number of applications needed with the aim of obtainingthe 229 maximum responses from the crops when using this compound. In the same way, it is also 230 necessary to study the behaviour of this new organic compound on different soils, since the 231 different physical-chemical properties of the soils can also influence the response of the crop when 232 applying these biostimulants. 233

234

235

236 Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by Proyecto Excelencia, Junta de Andalucía P11-RNM-7887.
- 238

239 **References**

- Abbas, M.K., Ali, A.S. 2011. Effects of foliar application of NPK on some growth characters of
 two cultivars of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.). Am. J. Plant Physiol. 6, 220-227.
- Abd El-Aal, F.S., Shaheen, A.M., Ahmed, A.A., Mahmoud, A.R. 2010. The effect of foliar
 application of urea and amino acids mixtures as antioxidants on the growth and yield and

characteristics of squash. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 6, 583-588.

- Abdel Aziz, N.G. 2009. Physiological effect of phenylalanine and tryptophan on the growth and
 chemical compositions of Antirrhinum Majus plants. Ozean J. Appl. Sci. 2,399-407.
- Abdel-Mawgoud, A.M.R., El-Bassiouny, A.M., Ghoname, A., Abou-Hussein, S.D. 2011. Foliar
 application of amino acids and micronutrients enhance performance of green bean crop
 under newly reclaimed land conditions. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 5, 51-55.
- 250 AEMET. 2017. Agencia Estatal de Metereología, Spain,
- 251 http://www.aemet.es/es/serviciosclimaticos/vigilancia_clima/resumenes?w=1&k=ext.
- Awad, El-M.M., Abd El-Hameed, A.M., El-Aimin, Z.A. 2007. Effect of glycine, lysine and
 nitrogen fertilizer rates on growth, yield and chemical composition of potato. J. Agric. Sci.
 Mansoura Univ. 32, 8541-8551.
- Blandino, M., Alfieri, M., Giordano, D., Vanar, F., Redaelli, R. 2017. Distribution of bioactive
 compounds in maize fractions obtained in two different types of large scale milling
 processes. J. Cereal Sci. 77, 251-258.

- Cakmak, I. 2010. Biofortification of cereals with zinc and iron through fertilization strategy. 19th
 World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World, Brisbane, Australia.
 Publishedon DVD.
- Çelik, H., Katkat, A.V., Bülent Aşık, B., Turan, M.A. 2010. Effect of foliar-applied humic acid to
 dry weight and mineral nutrient uptake of maize under calcareous soil conditions.
- 263 Commun, Soil Sci, Plant Anal, 42, 29-38.
- Dordas, C. 2009. Foliar application of manganese increases seed yield and improves seed quality
 of cotton grown on calcareous soils. J. Plant Nutr. 32, 160-176.
- El-Nemr, M.A., El-Desuki, M., El-Bassiony, A.M., Fawzy, Z.F. 2012. Response of growth and
 yield of cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to different foliar applications of humic acid
 and bio-stimulators. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 6, 630-637.
- Fageria, N.K., Barbosa Filho, M.P., Moreira, A., Guimaraes, C.M. 2009. Foliar fertilization of
 crop plants. J. Plant Nutr. 32, 1044-1064.
- Fang, Y., Wang, L., Xin, Z., Zhao, L., Am, X., Hu, Q. 2008. Effect of foliar application of zinc,
 selenium, and iron fertilizers on nutrients concentration and yield of rice grain in China. J.
- 273 Agric. Food Chem. 56; 2079-2084.
- Fernández, V., Eichert, T. 2009. Uptake of hydrophilic solutes through plant leaves: Current state
 of knowledge and perspectives of foliar fertilization. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 28, 36-38.
- Gómez, I., Rodríguez-Morgado, B., Parrado, J., García, C., Hernández, T., Tejada, M. 2014.
 Behavior of oxyfluorfen in soils amended with different sources of organic matter. Effects
 on soil biology. J. Hazard. Mater. 273, 207-214.
- Ibrahim, M.E., Bekheta, M.A., El-Moursi, A., Gafar, N.A. 2010. Improvement of growth and seed
- 280 yield quality of Vicia faba L. plants as affected by application of some bioregulators. Aust.
- 281 J. Basic Appl. Sci. 1, 657-666.

- Jin, L., Cui, H., Li, B., Zhang, J., Dong, S., Liu, P. 2012. Effects of integrated agronomic
 management practices on yield and nitrogen efficiency of summer maize in North China.
 Field Crops Res. 134, 30-35.
- Karakurt, Y., Unlu, H., Unlu, H., Padem, H. 2009. The influence of foliar and soil fertilization of
 humic acid on yield and quality of pepper. Acta Agric. Scand. B Soil Plant Sci. 59, 233287 237.
- Katkat, A.V., Çelik, H., Turan, M.A., Aşi, B.B. 2009. Effects of soil and foliar applications of
 humic substances on dry weight and mineral nutrients uptake of wheat under calcareous
 soil conditions. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 3, 1266-1273.
- Lashkari, M., Madani, H., Ardakani, M.R., Golzardi, F., Zargari, K. 2011. Effect of plant density
 on yield and yield components of different Corn (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. J. Agric. Environ.
 Sci. 10, 450-457.
- Ma, B.L., Subedi, K.D., Liu, A. 2006. Variations in grain nitrogen removal associated with
 management practices in maize production. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 76, 67-80.
- Mabesa, R.L., Impa, S.M., Grewal, D., Johnson-Beebout, S.E. 2013. Contrasting grain-Zn
 response of biofortification rice (Oryza sativa L.) breeding lines to foliar Zn application.
 Field Crop Res. 149, 223-233.
- MAPA. 1986. Métodos oficiales de análisis. Secretaría Gral. Téc. del Ministerio deAgricultura,
 Pesca y Alimentación 1, 221-285.
- Manzeke, M.G., Mtambanengwe, F., Nezomba, H., Watts, M.J., Broadley, M.R., Mapfumo, P.
 2017. Zinc fertilization increases productivity and grain nutritional quality of cowpea
 (Vigna unguiculata [L.] Walp.) under integrated soil fertility management. Field Crop Res.
 213, 231-244.
- Nuss, E.T., Tanumihardjo, S.A. 2010. Maize: A paramount staple crop in the context of global
 nutrition. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food. Saf. 9, 417-436.

- 307 Osborne, L; Scheppers, S; Francis, D; Schlemmer, R. 2002. Use of spectral radiance to in-season
 308 biomass and grain yield in nitrogen and water-stressed corn. Crop. Sci. 42, 165-171.
- 309 Osman, E.A.M., EL-Masry, A.A., Khatab, K.A. 2013. Effect of nitrogen fertilizersources and
 310 foliar spray of humic and/or fulvic acids on yield and quality ofrice plants. Adv. Appl. Sci.
 311 Res. 4, 174-183.
- Parrado, J., Bautista, J., Romero, E.J., García-Martínez, A.M., Friaza, V., Tejada, M. 2008.
 Production of a carob enzymatic extract: potential use as a biofertilizer. Biores. Technol.
 99, 2312-2318.
- Ritchie, S.W., Hanway, J.J., Benson, G.O. 1986. How a corn plant develops. Rev. ed. Iowa State
 Univ. Coop. Ext. Serv. Spec. Rep. 48.
- Rodríguez-Morgado, B., Gómez, I., Parrado, J., Tejada, M. 2014. Behaviour of oxyfluorfen in
 soils amended with edaphic biostimulants/biofertilizers obtained from sewage sludge and
 chicken feathers. Effects on soil biological properties. Environ. Sci. Poll. Res. 21, 1102711035.
- Rodríguez-Morgado, B., Gómez, I., Parrado, J., Tejada, M. 2015a. Accelerated degradation of
 PAHs using edaphic biostimulants obtained from sewage sludge and chicken feathers. J.
 Hazard. Mater. 300, 235-242.
- Rodríguez-Morgado, B., Gómez, I., Parrado, J., Tejada, M. 2015b. Obtaining edaphic
 biostimulants/biofertilizers from different sewage sludge. Effects on soil biological
 properties. Environ. Technol. 36, 2217-2226.
- 327 Simoglou, K.B., Dordas, C. 2006. Effect of foliar applied boron, manganese and zinc on tan spot
 328 in winter durum wheat. Crop. Prot. 25, 657-663.
- Tejada, M., González, J.L. 2003a. Effects of foliar application of a byproduct of thetwo-step olive
 oil mill process on maize yield. Agronomie 23, 617-623.

| 331 | Tejada, M., Gonzalez, J.L. 2003b. Influence of foliar fertilization with amino acids and humic |
|-----|--|
| 332 | acids on productivity and quality of asparagus. Biol. Agric. Hortic. 21, 277-291. |
| 333 | Tejada, M., Gonzalez, J.L. 2004. Effects of foliar application of a byproduct of thetwo-step olive |

- oil mill process on rice yield. Eur. J. Agron. 21, 31-40.
- Tejada, M., Gonzalez, J.L. 2006. Effects of foliar application of beet vinasse on maize yield. Biol.
 Agric. Hortic. 24, 197-214.
- Tejada, M., Rodríguez-Morgado, B., Gómez, I., Franco-Andreu, L., Benítez, C., Parrado, J. 2016.
 Use of biofertilizers obtained from sewage sludges on maize yield. Eur. J. Agron. 78, 1319.
- Thomas, J., Mandal, A.K.A., Raj Kumar, R., Chordia, A. 2009. Role of biologically active amino
 acid formulations on quality and crop productivity of tea (Camellia sp.). Int. J. Agric Res.
 4, 228-236.
- Yildirim, E. 2007. Foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid affect productivity and quality of
 tomato. Acta Agric. Scand. B Soil Plant Sci. 57, 182-186.
- Zeidan, M.S., Mohamed, M.F., Hamouda, H.A. 2010. Effect of foliar fertilization of Fe, Mn and
- Zn on wheat yield and quality in low sandy soils fertility. World J. Agric. Sci. 6, 696-699.