



# Structural patterns of autotopisms of maximum rank quasigroups.

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, some properties of the set  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  of those quasigroups of  $n$  elements having maximum rank  $n$  are studied. Although one such a quasigroup  $Q$  must be a loop, the reciprocal is false in general. So, the existence of an unit element of  $Q$  can be used in order to study the symmetrical structure of its multiplication table, given by the autotopism group of  $Q$ . Moreover, by imposing the condition of having maximum rank, a classification of all possible structural patterns of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  can be obtained. Finally, it is given an outline about the application of all the previous results in the calculus of the character tables of the quasigroups of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and their corresponding determinant groups.

## 1 Basic definitions.

- A **quasigroup** is a nonempty set  $Q$  endowed with a product  $\cdot$ , such that if any two of the three symbols  $a, b, c$  in the equation  $a \cdot b = c$  are given as elements of  $Q$ , the third is uniquely determined as an element of  $Q$ . It is equivalent to say that  $Q$  is endowed with a left  $\backslash$  and a right  $/$  division. If there exists  $e \in Q$  such that  $a \cdot e = e \cdot a = a$ , for all  $a \in Q$ , then  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a **loop** with **unit element**  $e$ .
- Johnson and Smith [4] extended the traditional character theory for finite groups to finite quasigroups. To do it, given a quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot)$ , they defined the **conjugacy class** of a pair  $(i, j) \in Q^2$  as the orbit  $\mathfrak{o}(i, j) = \{(x \cdot i) \cdot y, (x \cdot j) \cdot y \mid x, y \in Q\} \cup \{(x \cdot (i \cdot y), x \cdot (j \cdot y)) \mid x, y \in Q\}$  of the diagonal action of the multiplication group  $G$  on  $Q^2$ . The number of conjugacy classes of a quasigroup is its **rank** and it is verified that almost all finite quasigroups have rank 2 [6].  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  denotes the set of those quasigroups of  $n$  elements having maximum rank  $n$ . The conjugacy classes of a quasigroup constitute an association scheme of  $Q^2$ , such that the linear span of the set  $\{A_1 = Id_n, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  of their incidence matrices in the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices is a commutative Bose-Mesner algebra, called the **centralizer ring**  $V(G, Q)$  of  $G$  in its multiplicity-free action on  $Q$ .

Let  $\{E_1 = J_n/n, E_2, \dots, E_m\}$  a basis of idempotent matrices of  $V(G, Q)$  obtained by diagonalizing this algebra, where  $J_n$  is the  $n \times n$  all-ones matrix. If  $|C_i| = mn_i$ ,  $tr(E_i) = f_i$  and  $A_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} E_j$ , for all  $i \in [m]$ , then the **character table** of  $(Q, \cdot)$  is the  $m \times m$  matrix  $\Psi = (\psi_{i,j})$ , such that  $\psi_{i,j} = \frac{\sqrt{f_i}}{n_j} \xi_{j,i}$ .

- The multiplication or Cayley's table of any quasigroup with  $n$  elements is a **Latin square** of order  $n$ , that is to say, an  $n \times n$  array with elements chosen from a set of  $n$  distinct symbols such that each symbol occurs precisely once in each row and once in each column. From now on, let us assume  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  as this set of symbols and let us denote the set of Latin squares of order  $n$  by  $\mathcal{LS}_n$ . Given  $L = (l_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{LS}_n$ , the **orthogonal array representation** of  $L$  is the set of  $n^2$  triples  $\{(i, j, l_{i,j}) \mid i, j \in [n]\}$ . Thus, if  $L$  is the Cayley's table of a quasigroup  $([n], \cdot)$ , then  $a \cdot b = c \in [n]$  if and only if  $(a, b, c) \in L$ . The set of Latin squares of order  $n$  associated to loops is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_n$ .

- The symmetric group on  $[n]$  is denoted by  $S_n$ . Every permutation  $\delta \in S_n$  can be uniquely written as a composition of pairwise disjoint cycles,  $\delta = C_1^{i_1} \circ C_2^{i_2} \circ \dots \circ C_{n_\delta}^{i_{n_\delta}}$ , such that for all  $i \in [n_\delta]$ , one has  $C_i^{i_i} = (c_{i,1}^{i_i} c_{i,2}^{i_i} \dots c_{i,i_i}^{i_i})$ . Given  $\delta \in S_n$ , the **cycle structure** of  $\delta$  is the sequence  $\mathbf{l}_\delta = (l_1^\delta, l_2^\delta, \dots, l_n^\delta)$ , where  $l_i^\delta$  is the number of cycles of length  $i$  in  $\delta$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ .

- An **isotopism** of a Latin square  $L \in \mathcal{LS}_n$  is a triple  $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I}_n = S_n^3$ , in such a way that  $L^\Theta = \{(\alpha(i), \beta(j), \gamma(l_{i,j})) \mid i, j \in [n]\}$  is also a Latin square. The **cycle structure** of  $\Theta$  is the triple  $\mathbf{l}_\Theta = (l_\alpha, l_\beta, l_\gamma)$ . It is said that two Latin squares  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{LS}_n$  are **isotopic** if there exists  $\Theta \in \mathcal{I}_n$  such that  $L_1^\Theta = L_2$ . To be isotopic is an equivalence relation and the set of Latin squares being isotopic to a given  $L \in \mathcal{LS}_n$  is its **isotopism class**, which will be denoted by  $[L]$ . The number of isotopism classes of the set  $\mathcal{LS}_n$  is known for all  $n \leq 10$  [5].

- Given  $\Theta \in \mathcal{I}_n$ , if  $L^\Theta = L$ , then  $\Theta$  is called an **autotopism** of  $L$ .  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is the set of all possible autotopisms of Latin squares of order  $n$  and the set of cycle structures of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{CS}_n$ , which was determined in [2] for  $n \leq 11$ . The stabilizer subgroup of  $L$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is its **autotopism group**  $\mathfrak{A}_L = \{\Theta \in \mathcal{I}_n \mid L^\Theta = L\}$ . Given  $L \in \mathcal{LS}_n$ ,  $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathfrak{A}_L$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_3$ , it is verified that  $\Theta^\sigma = (\pi_{\sigma(1)}(\Theta), \pi_{\sigma(2)}(\Theta), \pi_{\sigma(3)}(\Theta)) \in \mathfrak{A}_L^\sigma$ , where  $\pi_i$  gives the  $i$ th component of  $\Theta$ , for all  $i \in [3]$ . Given  $\Theta \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ , the set of all Latin squares  $L$  such that  $\Theta \in \mathfrak{A}_L$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{LS}_\Theta$  and the cardinality of  $\mathcal{LS}_\Theta$  is denoted by  $\Delta(\Theta) = |\mathcal{LS}_\Theta|$ . Given  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{CS}_n$ , it is defined the set  $\mathfrak{A}_\mathbf{l} = \{\Theta \in \mathfrak{A}_n \mid \mathbf{l}_\Theta = \mathbf{l}\}$ . If  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbf{l}$ , then  $\Delta(\Theta_1) = \Delta(\Theta_2)$ . Thus, given  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{CS}_n$ ,  $\Delta(\mathbf{l})$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mathcal{LS}_\Theta$  for all  $\Theta \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbf{l}$ . Gröbner bases were used in [1] in order to obtain the number  $\Delta(\mathbf{l})$  for autotopisms of Latin squares of order up to 7.

## 2 Cycle structures of loop autotopisms.

Let us observe that  $(Q, \cdot) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  if and only if, given  $i, j, k \in Q$ , it is verified that  $\begin{cases} (i, k) \in \mathfrak{o}(i, j) \Leftrightarrow k = j, \\ (k, j) \in \mathfrak{o}(i, j) \Leftrightarrow k = i. \end{cases}$  Since  $Q$  is a quasigroup, given  $i \in Q$ , it exists  $e, e' \in Q$  such that  $i \cdot e = i = e' \cdot i$ . But then, it must be  $j \cdot e = j = e' \cdot j$ , for all  $j \in Q$ .

**Lemma 1.** Every maximum rank quasigroup is a loop.

Given  $\Theta \in \mathcal{I}_n$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_\Theta = \{L \in \mathcal{L}_n : \Theta \in \mathfrak{A}_L\}$  and let  $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta)$  be the cardinality of the previous set.

**Lemma 2.**  $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta^{(01)}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta)$ , for all  $\Theta \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in S_n$  be such that  $\mathbf{l}_{\alpha_1} = \mathbf{l}_{\alpha_2}$ . There exists a bijection  $\varphi$  between the sets of autotopisms  $S_1(\alpha_1) = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathfrak{A}_n \mid \alpha = \alpha_1\}$  and  $S_1(\alpha_2) = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathfrak{A}_n \mid \alpha = \alpha_2\}$ , such that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi(\Theta)) = \Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta)$ , for all  $\Theta \in S_1(\alpha_1)$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $L = (l_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{L}_n$  be the Cayley's table of a loop  $([n], \cdot)$  with unit element  $e$  and let  $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathfrak{A}(L)$ .

- $\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(e)) = \beta(e)$  and  $\gamma(\beta^{-1}(e)) = \alpha(e)$
- Let  $m \in [n]$ . If  $e \in \text{Fix}(\alpha^m)$ , then  $\gamma^m = \beta^m$ . Analogously, if  $e \in \text{Fix}(\beta^m)$ , then  $\gamma^m = \alpha^m$ .
- Let  $m \in [n]$ . If  $e \notin \text{Fix}(\alpha^m)$ , then  $\gamma^m(a) \neq \beta^m(a), \forall a \in [n]$ . Analogously, if  $e \notin \text{Fix}(\beta^m)$ , then  $\gamma^m(a) \neq \alpha^m(a), \forall a \in [n]$ .
- Given  $t \in [n_\gamma]$  and  $w \in [n_\alpha]$ , let  $r \in [n_\alpha]$  and  $u \in [n_\alpha]$  be such that  $c_{r,u}^\alpha = c_{t,w}^\gamma$ . Let  $s \in [n_\beta]$  and  $v \in [n_\beta]$  be such that  $c_{s,v}^\beta = e$ . If there exists  $h \in [l.c.m.(\lambda_s^\beta, \lambda_t^\gamma)]$  such that  $c_{s,v+h}^\beta \pmod{\lambda_s^\beta} = c_{t,w+h}^\gamma \pmod{\lambda_t^\gamma}$ , then,  $c_{r,u+h}^\alpha \pmod{\lambda_r^\alpha} = e$ .
- Given  $t \in [n_\gamma]$  and  $w \in [n_\alpha]$ , let  $s \in [n_\beta]$  and  $v \in [n_\beta]$  be such that  $c_{s,v}^\beta = c_{t,w}^\gamma$ . Let  $r \in [n_\alpha]$  and  $u \in [n_\alpha]$  be such that  $c_{r,u}^\alpha = e$ . If there exists  $h \in [l.c.m.(\lambda_r^\alpha, \lambda_t^\gamma)]$  such that  $c_{r,u+h}^\alpha \pmod{\lambda_r^\alpha} = c_{t,w+h}^\gamma \pmod{\lambda_t^\gamma}$ , then,  $c_{s,v+h}^\beta \pmod{\lambda_s^\beta} = e$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I}_n(\mathbf{l}_\alpha, \mathbf{l}_\beta, \mathbf{l}_\gamma)$  be such that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta) > 0$ . If  $\mathbf{l}_1^\alpha = 0$ , then  $\gamma(a) \neq \beta(a)$ , for all  $a \in [n]$ . Analogously, if  $\mathbf{l}_1^\beta = 0$ , then  $\gamma(a) \neq \alpha(a)$ , for all  $a \in [n]$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{LI}_n(\mathbf{l}_\alpha, \mathbf{l}_\beta, \mathbf{l}_\gamma)$  be such that  $\mathbf{l}_1^\alpha = \mathbf{l}_1^\beta = \mathbf{l}_1^\gamma = 1$  and let us consider  $L \in \mathcal{L}(\Theta)$ . Let  $a, b, c \in [n]$  be such that  $\text{Fix}(\alpha) = \{a\}$ ,  $\text{Fix}(\beta) = \{b\}$  and  $\text{Fix}(\gamma) = \{c\}$ . If  $a = c$ , then  $b$  is the unit element of  $L$ . Analogously, if  $b = c$ , then  $a$  is the unit element of  $L$ .

n	$\mathbf{l}_\alpha$	$\mathbf{l}_\beta$	$\mathbf{l}_\gamma$	$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta)$
2	(0,1)	(0,1)	(2,0)	2
		(2,0)	(0,1)	
3	(0,0,1)	(0,0,1)	(0,0,1)	3
		(0,0,1)	(3,0,0)	
		(3,0,0)	(0,0,1)	
	(1,1,0)	(1,1,0)	(1,1,0)	1

Table 1: Classification of non-trivial autotopisms of loops of order up to 4.

n	$\mathbf{l}_\alpha$	$\mathbf{l}_\beta$	$\mathbf{l}_\gamma$	$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(\Theta)$
4	(0,0,0,1)	(0,0,0,1)	(0,2,0,0)	4
		(0,2,0,0)	(0,0,0,1)	
		(0,0,0,1)	(2,1,0,0)	2
		(2,1,0,0)	(0,0,0,1)	
(0,2,0,0)	(0,0,0,1)	(0,0,0,1)	(4,0,0,0)	4
		(4,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,1)	
		(0,2,0,0)	(0,2,0,0)	2
		(0,2,0,0)	(2,1,0,0)	
		(0,2,0,0)	(4,0,0,0)	4
		(4,0,0,0)	(0,2,0,0)	
	(1,0,1,0)	(1,0,1,0)	(1,0,1,0)	1
	(2,1,0,0)	(2,1,0,0)	(2,1,0,0)	2

## 3 The set $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .

Let  $(Q, \cdot) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  with unit element  $e$ . It is verified that  $(i, j \cdot i) = (e \cdot i, j \cdot i)$ ,  $(i, i \cdot j) = (i \cdot e, i \cdot j) \in \mathfrak{o}(e, j)$ , for all  $i, j \in Q$ .

**Lemma 3.** Every maximum rank quasigroup is abelian.

Let  $\mathcal{Q}_n^\Theta$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{L}_\Theta$ , whose elements are Cayley's tables of a maximum rank quasigroup and let  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(\Theta)$  be the cardinality of the previous set.

**Lemma 4.**  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(\Theta^{(01)}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(\Theta)$ , for all  $\Theta \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .

From the previous result, it is enough to study the cycle structures of those autotopisms  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  such that  $n_\alpha \leq n_\beta$ .

**Proposition 3.**  $(Q, \cdot) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  if and only if it is abelian and, given  $i \in Q$ , it is verified that, for all  $x, y \in Q$ ,  $(i \cdot x) \cdot y = i \Leftrightarrow (j \cdot x) \cdot y = j$ , for all  $j \in Q$ .

**Theorem 3.** Every abelian group has maximum rank.

Since every loop of order up to 4 is an abelian group, Table 1 shows the classification of the autotopisms of the maximum rank quasigroups of these orders. Now, given a quasigroup  $(Q, \cdot)$  with left  $\backslash$  and right  $/$  division, it is  $(i \cdot j) \cdot ((i \cdot j) \backslash j) = j$ , for all  $i, j \in Q$ .

**Theorem 4.**  $(Q, \cdot) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  if and only if it is abelian and  $(i \cdot k) \cdot ((i \cdot j) \backslash j) = k$ , for all  $i, j, k \in Q$ .

By adding the condition of Theorem 4 to those of Proposition 2, we obtain the number of maximum rank quasigroups having a given isotopism in its autotopism group. Specifically, we show in Tables 2 and 3 this number for quasigroups of order 5 and 6.

$\mathbf{l}_\alpha$	$\mathbf{l}_\beta$	$\mathbf{l}_\gamma$	$\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(\Theta)$
(0,0,0,0,1)	(0,0,0,0,1)	(0,0,0,0,1)	5
	(5,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,1)	
(1,0,0,1,0)	(1,0,0,1,0)	(1,0,0,1,0)	1, 2
(1,2,0,0,0)	(1,2,0,0,0)	(1,2,0,0,0)	1, 2
(2,0,1,0,0)	(2,0,1,0,0)	(2,0,1,0,0)	2, 4, 32

Table 2: Classification of non-trivial autotopisms of maximum rank quasigroups of order 5.

$\mathbf{l}_\alpha$	$\mathbf{l}_\beta$	$\mathbf{l}_\gamma$	$\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(\Theta)$
(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	2, 6
	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	
	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(1,1,1,0,0,0)	1
	(1,1,1,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	1, 3
	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(2,2,0,0,0,0)	3
	(2,2,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	2
	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(3,0,1,0,0,0)	
	(3,0,1,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	1, 3
	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(4,1,0,0,0,0)	3
	(4,1,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	1, 3
	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	(6,0,0,0,0,0)	6
	(6,0,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,0,0,0,1)	
(0,0,2,0,0,0)	(0,3,0,0,0,0)	3, 6	
(0,3,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	1, 2	
(0,3,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	12	
(0,0,2,0,0,0)	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	2, 18
	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	(6,0,0,0,0,0)	18, 36
	(6,0,0,0,0,0)	(0,0,2,0,0,0)	72
(1,0,0,0,1,0)	(1,0,0,0,1,0)	(1,0,0,0,1,0)	1, 3
(0,3,0,0,0,0)	(0,3,0,0,0,0)	(6,0,0,0,0,0)	64, 96
(0,3,0,0,0,0)	(6,0,0,0,0,0)	(0,3,0,0,0,0)	240, 144

Table 3: Classification of non-trivial autotopisms of maximum rank quasigroups of order 6.

It is easy to prove that the incidence matrices corresponding to any quasigroup of orders 2 or 3 are, respectively:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, the Bose-Mesner algebra and, therefore, the character table of these quasigroups are univocally determined. Although it is not true for higher orders, we can restrict the general case to a particular one. Specifically, every  $(Q, \cdot) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  is isotopic to a maximum rank quasigroup such that the incidence matrices of their conjugacy classes are the  $n$  circulant matrices with ones in their secondary diagonals. It is enough to study the Bose-Mesner algebra related to these quasigroups because of the following: Given  $L = (l_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{LS}_n$ , it is defined its **associated matrix**  $X_L$ , which is obtained by replacing each element  $l_{i,j}$  by the variable  $x_{i,j}$ . The **determinant**  $\det(L)$  of  $L$  is the homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $n$  variables  $\det(X_L)$ . The factors of these polynomials determine the character table of the corresponding quasigroup [4]. Two polynomials  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  are said to be **similar**, if there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that  $p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \pm p_2(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ . Thus, it is verified that isotopic and transposed Latin squares have similar determinants and therefore, their character tables have the same structure.

## References

- [1] Falcón, R. M. and Martín-Morales, J. *Gröbner bases and the number of Latin squares related to autotopisms of order  $\leq 7$* . Journal of Symbolic Computation, **42** (2007), 1142–1154.
- [2] Falcón, R. M. *Cycle structures of autotopisms of the Latin squares of order up to 11*. Ars Combinatoria. To appear. (<http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.2973>)
- [3] Falcón, R. M. *Incidence structures based on Latin squares autotopisms of a given cycle structure*. Under preparation.
- [4] Johnson, K. W. and Smith, J. D. H., *Characters of finite quasigroups*. Eur. J. Comb., **5** (1984), 43–50.
- [5] McKay, B. D., Meynert, A. and Myrvold, W. *Small Latin Squares, Quasigroups and Loops*. Journal of Combinatorial Designs **15** (2007) 98–119.
- [6] Smith, J. D. H. *An introduction to quasigroups and their representations*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.