THE GENUS PAPAVER L. IN THE MEDITERRANEAN AREA

JOACHIM W. KADEREIT

Abstract

In the Med-Checklist area, *Papaver* is represented by 32 species with 51 subspecies. After a brief discussion of the phylogeny of this polyphyletic genus, its morphological, karyological, phytochemical and ecological differentiation is described, and patterns of geographical distribution are analyzed. *Papaver* is distributed very unevenly in the Mediterranean area, and only a minority of taxa are W Mediterranean. The consideration of the phylogeny and general distribution of the genus leads to the conclusion that *Papaver* is best regarded as part of the Turano-Anatolian genetic element of the Mediterranean flora, and up to now has failed to significantly expand to the West.

Introduction

In the countries covered by MED-CHECKLIST (GREUTER & al., 1989), the genus *Papaver* L. is represented by 32 of its altogether c. 70 species (GOLDBLATT, 1974; KADEREIT, 1986a, b, 1987a, 1988a, 1990, 1993a; KADEREIT in press; KADEREIT & al., in press) (see Appendix). These 32 species contain 51 subspecies and belong to nine of the 12 sections recognized in the genus (KADEREIT & al., in press). The three sections not represented occur in California (*P.* sect. *Californicum* Kadereit; KADEREIT 1988b), S Africa (*P.* sect. *Horrida* Elkan; KADEREIT, 1988c), and the Caucasus Mts. (*P.* sect. *Subscaposa* Wendt ined.; WENDT, 1976), and the vast majority of the species not found in the Mediterranean are the arctic representatives of the arctic-alpine sect. *Meconella* Spach.

It is the aim of the present paper to briefly summarize the phylogeny of *Papaver*, to describe its differentiation in terms of morphology, karyology, phytochemistry and ecology, and to describe and analyze its geographical distribution in the Mediterranean area.

The phylogeny of Papaver s.l.

Papaver has long been recognized to be part of subf. Papaveroideae (ERNST, 1962; KADEREIT, 1993b; KADEREIT & al., 1994) which in the family is distinguished by the possession of a polycarpellate gynoecium. Within subf. Papaveroideae, Papaver together with Meconopsis Vig., Roemeria Medik. and Stylomecon G. Taylor forms a monophyletic group of mostly Old World distribution (JORK & KADEREIT, 1995; SCHWARZBACH & KADEREIT, 1995). The analysis of these genera with various molecular characters has clearly shown that Papaver in its present circumscription is not monophyletic but rather polyphyletic (KADEREIT & SYTSMA, 1992; JORK &

KADEREIT, 1995; KADEREIT & al., in press). A monophyletic Papaver s.str. must be restricted to the perennial sects. Pseudopilosa M. Popov ex Günther and Macrantha Elkan, the biennial sect. Meconidium Spach, and the annual sects. Papaver, Carinatae Fedde and Rhoeadium Spach. Sectional limits between the latter three groups are unclear, and they probably need to be combined into one monophyletic group (KADEREIT & SYTSMA, 1992; KADEREIT & al., in press). The phylogenetic affinities of the perennial sect. Meconella are unclear, as are those of the perennial sect. Pilosa Prantl and the annual sect. Horrida. The annual sects. Argemonidium Spach and Californicum have their closest relatives in Roemeria and Stylomecon, respectively. Although no molecular data are available for sect. Subscaposa WENDT ined., the morphology of this monotypic group suggests that it is not part of Papaver s.str. It has been hypothesized that Papaver s.l. incl. Roemeria and Stylomecon arose polyphyletically from a paraphyletic Meconopsis as the result of Tertiary climatic aridification (JORK & KADEREIT, 1995; KADEREIT, & al. in press). Whereas the most primitive representatives of Meconopsis are distributed in humid forest floor habitats, and this genus of some 50 species shows a disjunction between the Himalayan area and W Europe, Papaver (except for the arctic-alpine sect. Meconella), Roemeria and Stylomecon are found in more or less arid places. Irrespective of its polyphyletic nature, I here want to consider Papaver in its traditional circumscription.

The diversification of Papaver s.l. in the Mediterranean area

Morphological diversification of *Papaver* s.l. in the Mediterranean area is most conspicuous in growth habit. Seven of the 32 species are perennials and represent all perennial sections of the genus (sects. *Meconella, Pilosa, Pseudopilosa, Macrantha*) except for sect. *Subscaposa*, the biennial sect. *Meconidium* is represented by all its four species, and the remaining 21 species are annuals representing four (sects. *Argemonidium, Papaver, Carinatae, Rhoeadium*) of the currently accepted six annual sections (see Appendix).

As regards flower morphology, sect. Macrantha is exceptional in having 3-merous instead of 2-merous flowers. Beyond that, flowers vary considerably in size and colour. Flowers can be white, yellow, pink, violet, orange or variously red, and there exists variation in the presence or absence, size, colour and shape of spots at the base of the petals. According to McNaughton & Harper (1960) and Snitzer-Pasternak & GALIL (1970) the ability of pollinators to distinguish between closely related taxa is very limited, but more distantly related taxa can be discriminated. The stamens mostly have filiform filaments, but clavate filaments can be found in sects. Macrantha, Argemonidium and in part of sect. Papaver. Filament colouration varies between light and dark (KADEREIT, 1988d). Occasionally the anthers have apical appendages (KADEREIT, 1986b, 1987a) of unknown function but apparently phylogenetic significance (KADEREIT & SYTSMA, 1992). Substantial variation can be found in capsule morphology. Within Papaver s.str. this variation is largely limited to capsule size, form and indumentum. There is also some variation in pore size, and P. macrostomum Boiss. & Huet ex Boiss. is exceptional in shedding the entire stigmatic disc at capsule maturity (KADEREIT, 1987a). Capsule morphology is very divergent in two of those sections

which are not part of *Papaver* s.str. In sect. *Argemonidium* the stigmatic disc continues into the capsule as a solid plug and is shed entirely late in the season, and in sect. *Meconella* the stigmatic disc is virtually absent and consists largely of the stigmatic rays (MARKGRAF, 1958). In an experimental comparison of different species of sects. *Rhoeadium* and *Argemonidium* it could be shown that capsule morphology and capsule shape strongly influence the pattern of seed dispersal (KADEREIT & LEINS, 1988; BLATTNER & KADEREIT, 1991). *Papaver curviscapum* Nab. of sect. *Meconidium* is most unusual by burying its capsules in crevices or holes of the vertical cliffs where it grows (KADEREIT, 1993a). Seeds in *Papaver* s.l. are uniformly reniform, and limited variation is found in seed size and surface structure (KADEREIT, 1986a; KADEREIT, 1988a).

As far as chromosome numbers are known, karyological variation by and large is limited to the annual sections. The perennial and biennial sects. *Meconella* (KADEREIT, 1990), *Pilosa* (WENDT, 1976; NOVÁK, 1983), *Pseudopilosa* (MAIRE, 1964) and *Meconidium* (FEDEROV, 1969, NOVÁK, 1982) and the monotypic annual sect. *Carinatae* (KADEREIT, 1987a) in the Mediterranean area contain only diploids. Polyploid series comprising diploids, tetraploids and hexaploids are found in sects. *Macrantha* (GOLDBLATT, 1974), *Argemonidium* (KADEREIT, 1986a), *Papaver* (KADEREIT, 1986b) and *Rhoeadium* (KADEREIT, 1988a). Dysploid reduction of the chromosome base number from x = 7 to x = 6 is known from sects. *Pseudopilosa* (MAIRE, 1964), *Meconidium* (FEDEROV, 1969) and *Argemonidium* (KADEREIT, 1986a). *Papaver somniferum* L. has been suggested to be a fertile triploid (KADEREIT, 1986c, 1987b, 1991).

It should be obvious that *Papaver* shows substantial differentiation in terms of alkaloid chemistry (SANTAVY, 1970, 1979).

All species of *Papaver* appear to grow in open and variously disturbed habitats. Accordingly, ecological differentiation most probably is very limited, although *P. alpinum* L. of sect. *Meconella* is unusual in being found exclusively on mobile alpine scree slopes. Edaphic differentiation has been claimed to exist in Israel (SNITZER-PASTERNAK & GALIL, 1970), but the frequent co-occurrence both of species in their natural range and of synanthropic species in Europe (MCNAUGHTON & HARPER, 1960) testifies to their very limited ecological differentiation. There exists, however, a conspicuous altitudinal differentiation between the perennials and biennials on the one hand, and the annuals on the other hand. Whereas the perennials and biennials almost all are mountain taxa which mostly grow above 1000 m altitude, the annuals mostly are lowland taxa.

Patterns of geographical distribution

Within sections, the predominant pattern of geographical distribution of species and subspecies, with the exception of synanthropically widespread taxa, is one of parapatry or allopatry. Such distribution is found in sects. *Meconella*, *Pilosa*, *Argemonidium*, *Pseudopilosa* and *Papaver*. In sect. *Rhoeadium* some overlap occurs between more distantly related species, and overlapping distribution is also found in sects. *Macrantha* and *Meconidium*. The generally found parapatric or allopatric distribution in many cases

perhaps is best interpreted to reflect comparatively young geological age of the taxa involved. The differentiation of, e.g., *P. pilosum* Sibth. & Sm. of sect. *Pilosa* into five subspecies limited to distinct mountain ranges in W Anatolia might be the result of glacial or postglacial habitat fragmentation, and among the annual species parapatric distribution of close relatives may indicate parapatric differentiation of more widely distributed progenitors in the recent past. Examples for this are the subspecies of *P. dubium* L. and *P. argemone* L. or the complex of species related to *P. rhoeas* L.

Overlap between sections is substantial (KADEREIT, 1988d). From this conclusion the annual sects. *Papaver, Carinatae* and *Rhoeadium*, which by and large have allopatric ranges, must be excepted. As already indicated above, sectional limits in this group are unclear, and their distribution may be another indicator of their very close phylogenetic relationship.

Of all sections of *Papaver* s.l. present in the Mediterranean area, only sects. *Meconella* and *Pseudopilosa* show wide geographical disjunctions. In the case of the alpine *P. alpinum* L. of sect. *Meconella* the disjunctions between the various European mountain ranges will be the result of postglacial warming and thus of young age. The distribution of sect. *Pseudopilosa*, however, which is found in NW Africa and S Spain (*P. atlanticum* (Ball) Coss., *P. rupifragum* Boiss. & Reuter) and NE Turkey and the Caucasus Mts. (*P. lateritium* K. Koch; KADEREIT, in press), exemplies a group of Mediterranean/Irano-Turanian disjuncts recognized by DAVIS & HEDGE (1971). According to these authors, such distributional pattern is found mainly in groups centred in the Irano-Turanian area, and is likely to be of Tertiary origin. If this interpretation should be correct, it seems justified to assume that at least part of the sectional differentiation of *Papaver*, which above had been postulated to be of Tertiary origin, also is of Tertiary age.

As a whole, *Papaver* has an obvious centre of diversity in SW Asia and the E Mediterranean. Only very few taxa can be regarded as W Mediterranean. These are *P. atlanticum* and *P. rupifragum* of sect. *Pseudopilosa*, *P. somniferum* subsp. *setigerum* (DC.) Arcangeli of sect. *Papaver*, and *P. pinnatifidum* Moris of sect. *Rhoeadium*.

Two possible explanations for this pattern can be offered. 1, *Papaver* once was more evenly distributed in the Mediterranean area. The Quaternary climatic changes which affected the W Mediterranean more than the E Mediterranean and SW Asia (ZOHARY, 1973; LANG, 1994) resulted in the unbalanced diversity seen today. 2, *Papaver* basically is an Irano-Turanian genus. The truly Mediterranean representatives, mostly annuals, probably are of recent origin, and up to now have failed to expand to the West.

In order to decide between these two alternatives, it is necessary to take into account both the extant distribution of the genus and its phylogeny as far as known. The presence of *P. atlanticum* and *P. rupifragum* of sect. *Pseudopilosa* in the W Mediterranean, this geographical range above had been interpreted to be of Tertiary age, can be taken to indicate that Quaternary survival was possible in the W Mediterranean. The phylogenetic analysis of *Papaver* s.l. (KADEREIT & al., in press) has clearly shown that within *Papaver* s.str. sect. *Pseudopilosa* occupies a basal position, and that the annual sections (*Papaver*, *Carinatae*, *Rhoeadium*) are more closely related to the perennial sect. *Macrantha* and the biennial sect. *Meconidium*. Both these latter sections are exclusively E Mediterranean/SW Asian in distribution. These two

arguments, the distribution of sect. *Pseudopilosa* and the phylogenetic affinities of the annual sections, clearly favour the latter of the above two hypotheses.

In conclusion, *Papaver* in the Mediterranean area must be regarded as part of the Turano-Anatolian genetic element (ZOHARY, 1973) of the Mediterranean flora.

Appendix

Systematic position and geographical distribution of *Papaver* in the MED-CHECKLIST area. Numbers in brackets after sectional names indicate the total number of species/species in the MED-CHECKLIST area.

Papaver s.l.

sect. Meconella Spach (c. 30/1)

P. alpinum L.

subsp. lapeyrousianum (Guterm.)Kadereit subsp. rhaeticum (Leresche)Markgraf

subsp. kerneri (Hayek)Fedde

France, Spain France, Italy Bosnia-Hercegovina,

Bulgaria, Slovenia, Yugoslavia

(Montenegro)

subsp. ernesti-mayeri Markgraf

Italy

sect. Pilosa Prantl (1/1)

P. pilosum Sibth. & Sm.

subsp. pilosum subsp. strictum (Boiss. & Balansa) Wendt

ex Kadereit

subsp. spicatum (Boiss. & Balansa) Wendt

ex Kadereit

subsp. glabrisepalum Kadereit

subsp. sparsipilosum (Boiss.)KADEREIT

Anatolia

Anatolia

Anatolia

Anatolia Anatolia

sect. Argemonidium Spach (4/3)

P. hybridum L.

P. apulum TEN.

P. argemone L.

subsp. argemone

subsp. nigrotinctum (Fedde)Kadereit

subsp. davisii Kadereit subsp. meiklei Kadereit subsp. belangeri Taht. widespread

Bosnia-Hercegovina, Greece, Italy, Sicily

France, Italy, Portugal, Spain

Aegean, Anatolia, Greece

Anatolia Cyprus

Anatolia, Israel, Jordan, Syria

Papaver s.str.

sect. Pseudopilosa M. Popov ex Günther (3/3)

P. lateritium K. Koch

subsp. lateritium

subsp. monanthum (Trautv.)Kadereit

P. rupifragum Boiss. & Reuter

P. atlanticum (Ball)Coss.

subsp. atlanticum

subsp. mesatlanticum (Maire)Kadereit

Anatolia

Anatolia

Morocco, Spain

Morocco

Morocco

sect. Macrantha Elkan (3/2)

P. orientale L.

P. pseudo-orientale (Fedde)Medw.

Anatolia

Anatolia

sect. Meconidium Spach (4/4)

P. armeniacum (L.)DC.

subsp. armeniacum

Anatolia

P. libanoticum Boiss.

subsp. libanoticum

Lebanon

subsp. polychaetum (Schott & Kotschy ex Boiss.)

Anatolia

KADEREIT

P. persicum Lindl.

subsp. persicum

Anatolia

subsp. tauricolum (Boiss.)Kadereit

Anatolia

subsp. microcarpum (Boiss.)Kadereit

Anatolia

P. curviscapum Nab.

Anatolia

sect. Papaver (4/4)

P. somniferum L.

P. gracile Boiss.

subsp. somniferum

widespread as crop

and ruderal

subsp. setigerum (DC.) Arcangeli

Algeria, Balearic Islands,

Corsica, Cyprus, France, Greece, Italy, Morocco,

Sardinia, Sicily, Spain, Tunisia

Aegean, Anatolia,

Cyprus

P. glaucum Boiss. & Hausskn.

P. decaisnei Hochst. & Steudel ex Elkan

Anatolia, Syria

Egypt, Sinai

sect. Carinatae Fedde (1/1)

P. macrostomum Boiss. & Huet ex Boiss.

Anatolia

sect. Rhoeadium Spach (17/13)

P. pinnatifidum Moris

Corsica, Italy, France, Morocco, Sardinia, Sicily,

Algeria, Balearic Islands,

Spain, Tunisia

P. purpureomarginatum Kadereit Aegean, Anatolia, Cyprus,

Greece

P. dubium L.

subsp. dubium

subsp. lecoqii (Lamotte)Syme

subsp. laevigatum (M. Bieb.)Kadereit

P. arachnoideum Kadereit

P. arenarium M. Bieb.

P. commutatum Fischer & C. Meyer

subsp. euxinum Kadereit

P. guerlekense Stapf

P. stylatum Boiss. & Balansa ex Boiss.

P. clavatum Boiss. & Hausskn. ex Boiss.

P. umbonatum Boiss.

P. carmeli FEINBRUN

P. humile FEDDE

P. rhoeas L.

widespread

widespread

Anatolia, Bulgaria,

Krim

Anatolia

Anatolia

Anatolia

Aegean, Anatolia, Cyprus,

Greece

Anatolia

Anatolia

Israel, Jordan,

Lebanon, Syria

Israel

Egypt, Israel, Jordan,

Sinai

widespread

References

BLATTNER, F. & J. W. KADEREIT (1991). Patterns of seed dispersal in two species of Papaver L. under near-natural conditions. Flora 185: 55-64.

Davis, P. H. & I. C. Hedge (1971). Floristic links between N.W. Africa and S.W. Asia. Ann. Naturhistor. Mus. Wien 75: 43-57.

ERNST, W. R. (1962). A comparative morphology of the Papaveraceae. Ph. D. Thesis, Stanford University.

FEDEROV, A. (1969). Chromosome numbers of flowering plants. Leningrad.

GOLDBLATT, P. (1974). Biosystematic studies in Papaver section Oxytona. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 61: 264-296.

GREUTER, W., H. M. BURDET & LONG, G. (eds.) (1989). Med-Checklist, 4. Genève.

JORK, K. B. & J. W. KADEREIT (1995). Molecular phylogeny of the Old World representatives of Papaveraceae subfamily Papaveroideae with special emphasis on the genus Meconopsis. Pl. Syst. Evol. [Suppl.] 9: 171-180.

KADEREIT, J. W. (1986a). A revision of Papaver section Argemonidium. Notes Roy. Bot. Gard. Edinburgh 44: 25-43.

— (1986b). A revision of Papaver L. sect. Papaver (Papaveraceae). Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 108: 1-16.

- KADEREIT, J. W. (1986c). Papaver somniferum L. (Papaveraceae): A triploid hybrid? Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 106: 221-244.
- (1987a). A revision of Papaver sect. Carinatae (Papaveraceae). Nord. J. Bot. 7: 501-504.
- (1987b). Experimental evidence on the affinities of Papaver somniferum (Papaveraceae). Pl. Syst. Evol. 156: 189-195.
- (1988a). A revision of Papaver L. section Rhoeadium Spach. Notes Roy. Bot. Gard. Edinburgh 45: 225-286.
- (1988b). Papaver L. sect. Californicum Kadereit, a new section of the genus. Rhodora 90: 7-13.
- (1988c). The affinities of the south-hemispherical Papaver aculeatum Thunb. (Papaveraceae). Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 109: 335-341.
- —— (1988d). Sectional affinities and geographical distribution in the genus Papaver L. (Papaveraceae). Beitr. Biol. Pflanzen 63: 139-156.
- (1990). Notes on the taxonomy, distribution, phylogeny and ecology of Papaver alpinum L. (Papaveraceae). *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 112: 79-97.
- (1991). A note on the genomic consequences of regular bivalent formation and continued fertility in triploids. Pl. Syst. Evol. 175: 93-99.
- (1993a). A revision of Papaver sect. Meconidium. Edinb. J. Bot. 50: 125-148.
- (1993b). Papaveraceae in: K. Kubitzki, J. G. Rohwer & V. Bittrich (eds.), The families and genera of vascular plants: 494-506. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, Budapest.
- —, BLATTNER, F. R., JORK, K. B. & SCHWARZBACH, A. (1994). Phylogenetic analysis of the Papaveraceae s.l. (incl. Fumariaceae, Hypecoaceae, and Pteridophyllum) based on morphological characters. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 116: 361-390.
- (in press). A revision of Papaver L. sects. Pilosa Prantl and Pseudopilosa M.Pop. ex.GÜNTHER. Edinb. J. Bot.
- —. & Leins, P. (1988). A wind tunnel experiment on seed dispersal in Papaver L. sects. Argemonidium Spach and Rhoeadium Spach (Papaveraceae). Flora 181: 189-203.
- —, SCHWARZBACH, A. E. & JORK, K. B. (in press). The phylogeny of Papaver s.l.: Polyphyly or monophyly ? Pl. Syst. Evol.
- & SYTSMA, J. K. (1992). Disassembling Papaver: A restriction site analysis of chloroplast DNA. Nord. J. Bot. 12: 205-217.
- LANG, G. (1994). Quartäre Vegetationsgeschichte Europas. Jena, Stuttgart, New York.
- MAIRE, R. (1964). Flore de l'Afrique du Nord 11. Paris.
- Markgraf, F. (1958). Papaveraceae in: G. Hegi (ed.), *Illustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa* IV 1/2: 15-49 (2. Aufl.). München.
- McNaughton, I. H. & J. L. Harper (1960). The comparative biology of closely related species living in the same area. 1. External breeding-barriers between Papaver species. New Phytol. 59: 15-26.
- Novák, J. (1982). K taxonomii sekce Miltantha rodu Papaver. Sborn. Vysoké Skoly Zemed. V Praze-Fakulta Agronomicka Rada A37: 13-21.
- (1983). K taxonomii sekce Pilosa Rodu Papaver. Sborn. Vysoké Skoly Zemed. V Praze-Fakulta Agronomicka Rada A38: 43-51.
- SANTAVY, F. (1970). Papaveraceae alkaloids II in: MANSKE, R. H. F. (ed.), The Alkaloids: 333-454. London.
- (1979). Papaveraceae alkaloids II in: MANSKE, R. H. F. (ed.), The Alkaloids: 385-544. London.
- SCHWARZBACH, A. E. & J. W. KADEREIT (1995). Rapid radiation of North American desert genera of the Papaveraceae: evidence from restriction site mapping of PCR-amplified chloroplast DNA fragments. Pl. Syst. Evol. [Suppl.] 9: 159-170.

- SNITZER-PASTERNAK, Y. & J. GALIL (1970). Barriers contributing to the isolation of Papaver ssp. in Israel. *Israel J. Bot.* 19: 121-136.
- Wendt, N. (1976). Beiträge zur Sippenstrukturforschung an der Gattung Papaver L., Sektion Pilosa Prantl, Sektion Pseudopilosa Popov ex Günther und Sektion Oxytona Bernh. Ph.D. Thesis, Humboldt Universität Berlin.
- ZOHARY, M. (1973). Geobotanical foundations of the Middle East, 1. Stuttgart, Amsterdam.

Address of the author:

J. W. Kadereit, Institut f
ür Spezielle Botanik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universit
ät Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany.