

Universality and lineability: new trends

Luis Bernal González

Departamento de Análisis Matemático
Universidad de Sevilla

VIII Encuentro de la Red de Análisis Funcional
La Manga del Mar Menor, Murcia, Spain, 19–21 April (2012)



Contenidos

1 Universality and Hypercyclicity

2 Lineability

Contenidos

1 Universality and Hypercyclicity

2 Lineability

First examples, I

Fekete, 1914

There exists a real power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ with the following property: for each continuous function $g : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(0) = 0$, there exists $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{n_k} a_n x^n \rightarrow g(x)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) **unif.**

- This is surprising, because every power series is the Taylor series of some function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
[Borel, 1895]

Birkhoff, 1929

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its translates $\{z \mapsto f(z + n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

First examples, I

Fekete, 1914

There exists a real power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ with the following property: for each continuous function $g : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(0) = 0$, there exists $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{n_k} a_n x^n \rightarrow g(x)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) **unif.**

- This is surprising, because every power series is the Taylor series of some function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
[Borel, 1895]

Birkhoff, 1929

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its translates $\{z \mapsto f(z + n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

First examples, I

Fekete, 1914

There exists a real power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ with the following property: for each continuous function $g : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(0) = 0$, there exists $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{n_k} a_n x^n \rightarrow g(x)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) **unif.**

- This is surprising, because every power series is the Taylor series of some function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
[Borel, 1895]

Birkhoff, 1929

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its translates $\{z \mapsto f(z + n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is **dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$** .

First examples, II

MacLane, 1952

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its derivatives $\{f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

¿What do these 3 examples share?

They are objects with chaotic behaviour which, after a limit process, approximate each element of a maximal class of objects.

The preceding considerations lead to the following concept.

First examples, II

MacLane, 1952

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its derivatives $\{f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

¿What do these 3 examples share?

They are objects with chaotic behaviour which, after a limit process, approximate each element of a maximal class of objects.

The preceding considerations lead to the following concept.



First examples, II

MacLane, 1952

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its derivatives $\{f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

¿What do these 3 examples share?

They are objects with **chaotic** behaviour which, after a limit process, **approximate** each element of a maximal class of objects.

The preceding considerations lead to the following concept.

First examples, II

MacLane, 1952

There exists an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence of its derivatives $\{f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

¿What do these 3 examples share?

They are objects with **chaotic** behaviour which, after a limit process, **approximate** each element of a maximal class of objects.

The preceding considerations lead to the following concept.



Concepts

Definition

Assume that X and Y are TVs and that $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ ($n \geq 1$) is a sequence of continuous mappings. We say that (T_n) is **universal** provided that there is an element $x_0 \in X$, called **universal** for (T_n) , such that $\overline{\{T_n x_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = Y$.

Definition

If X is a TVS and $T \in L(X)$, then T is called **hypercyclic** whenever the sequence of **iterates** $T^n : X \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 1$) is universal. The corresponding vectors $x_0 \in X$ with dense orbit are called **hypercyclic** for T .

Concepts

Definition

Assume that X and Y are TVs and that $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ ($n \geq 1$) is a sequence of continuous mappings. We say that (T_n) is **universal** provided that there is an element $x_0 \in X$, called **universal** for (T_n) , such that $\overline{\{T_n x_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = Y$.

Definition

If X is a TVS and $T \in L(X)$, then T is called **hypercyclic** whenever the sequence of **iterates** $T^n : X \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 1$) is universal. The corresponding vectors $x_0 \in X$ with dense orbit are called **hypercyclic** for T .

Remarks

- The word **hypercyclic** was coined by Beauzamy in 1980. It reinforces the notion of **cyclic** operator: an operator $T \in L(X)$ is called cyclic if there is a vector $x_0 \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{span}}\{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\} = X$.
- With the preceding terminology, we get that the sequence $T_n : (a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k \in (C_0[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal**.
- The **traslation** op. $f \mapsto f(\cdot + 1)$ and the **differentiation** op. $f \mapsto f'$ are **hypercyclic** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- (T_n) universal $\implies Y$ is **separable**.
- If an operator T is hypercyclic, the set $HC(T)$ of HC vectors is **dense** in X .

Remarks

- The word **hypercyclic** was coined by Beauzamy in 1980. It reinforces the notion of **cyclic** operator: an operator $T \in L(X)$ is called cyclic if there is a vector $x_0 \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{span}}\{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\} = X$.
- With the preceding terminology, we get that the sequence $T_n : (a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k \in (C_0[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal**.
- The **traslation** op. $f \mapsto f(\cdot + 1)$ and the **differentiation** op. $f \mapsto f'$ are **hypercyclic** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- (T_n) universal $\implies Y$ is **separable**.
- If an operator T is hypercyclic, the set $HC(T)$ of HC vectors is **dense** in X .

Remarks

- The word **hypercyclic** was coined by Beauzamy in 1980. It reinforces the notion of **cyclic** operator: an operator $T \in L(X)$ is called cyclic if there is a vector $x_0 \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{span}}\{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\} = X$.
- With the preceding terminology, we get that the sequence $T_n : (a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k \in (C_0[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal**.
- The **traslation** op. $f \mapsto f(\cdot + 1)$ and the **differentiation** op. $f \mapsto f'$ are **hypercyclic** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- (T_n) universal $\implies Y$ is **separable**.
- If an operator T is hypercyclic, the set $HC(T)$ of HC vectors is **dense** in X .

Remarks

- The word **hypercyclic** was coined by Beauzamy in 1980. It reinforces the notion of **cyclic** operator: an operator $T \in L(X)$ is called cyclic if there is a vector $x_0 \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{span}}\{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\} = X$.
- With the preceding terminology, we get that the sequence $T_n : (a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k \in (C_0[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal**.
- The **traslation** op. $f \mapsto f(\cdot + 1)$ and the **differentiation** op. $f \mapsto f'$ are **hypercyclic** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- (T_n) universal $\implies Y$ is **separable**.
- If an operator T is hypercyclic, the set $HC(T)$ of HC vectors is **dense** in X .

Remarks

- The word **hypercyclic** was coined by Beauzamy in 1980. It reinforces the notion of **cyclic** operator: an operator $T \in L(X)$ is called cyclic if there is a vector $x_0 \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{span}}\{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\} = X$.
- With the preceding terminology, we get that the sequence $T_n : (a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k \in (C_0[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal**.
- The **traslation** op. $f \mapsto f(\cdot + 1)$ and the **differentiation** op. $f \mapsto f'$ are **hypercyclic** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- (T_n) universal $\implies Y$ is **separable**.
- If an operator T is hypercyclic, the set **HC**(T) of HC vectors is **dense** in X .

A sufficient condition

- Relation with the **invariant subspace problem** and the **invariant subset problem**: Given $T \in L(X)$, each vector of $X \setminus \{0\}$ is **cyclic** [**hypercyclic**, resp.] $\iff X$ lacks closed T -invariant nontrivial subspaces [subsets, resp.]
Read (1988) found in ℓ_1 an operator for which any nonzero vector is HC.

Birkhoff, 1920

Let $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ ($n \geq 1$) be a sequence of continuous mappings between two TSSs, with X Baire and Y 2nd countable. TFAE:

- The subset $U((T_n))$ of universal els. is **dense** in X .
- $U((T_n))$ is **residual**.
- (T_n) is **transitive**, that is, given nonempty open sets $U \subset X$, $V \subset Y$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

A sufficient condition

- Relation with the **invariant subspace problem** and the **invariant subset problem**: Given $T \in L(X)$, each vector of $X \setminus \{0\}$ is **cyclic** [**hypercyclic**, resp.] $\iff X$ lacks closed T -invariant nontrivial subspaces [subsets, resp.]
Read (1988) found in ℓ_1 an operator for which any nonzero vector is HC.

Birkhoff, 1920

Let $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ ($n \geq 1$) be a sequence of continuous mappings between two TSs, with X Baire and Y 2nd countable. TFAE:

- The subset $U((T_n))$ of universal els. is **dense** in X .
- $U((T_n))$ is **residual**.
- (T_n) is **transitive**, that is, given nonempty open sets $U \subset X$, $V \subset Y$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

A sufficient condition

- Relation with the **invariant subspace problem** and the **invariant subset problem**: Given $T \in L(X)$, each vector of $X \setminus \{0\}$ is **cyclic** [**hypercyclic**, resp.] $\iff X$ lacks closed T -invariant nontrivial subspaces [subsets, resp.]
Read (1988) found in ℓ_1 an operator for which any nonzero vector is HC.

Birkhoff, 1920

Let $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ ($n \geq 1$) be a sequence of continuous mappings between two TSSs, with X Baire and Y 2nd countable. TFAE:

- The subset $U((T_n))$ of universal els. is **dense** in X .
- $U((T_n))$ is **residual**.
- (T_n) is **transitive**, that is, given nonempty open sets $U \subset X$, $V \subset Y$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

Necessary conditions

- Thus, if X is a separable F-space we have: $T \in L(X)$ is **HC**
 $\iff T$ is **transitive**. In such a case, $HC(T)$ es **residual**.

Rolewicz, 1969

If $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $\dim(X) = \infty$. If in addition X is locally convex, then $\sigma_P(T^*) = \emptyset$.

Kitai, 1982

If X is a complex Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then T is not compact and $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Rolewicz (1969) gave the 1st example of an HC operator on a Banach space.

Necessary conditions

- Thus, if X is a separable F-space we have: $T \in L(X)$ is **HC**
 $\iff T$ is **transitive**. In such a case, $HC(T)$ es **residual**.

Rolewicz, 1969

If $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $\dim(X) = \infty$. If in addition X is locally convex, then $\sigma_P(T^*) = \emptyset$.

Kitai, 1982

If X is a complex Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then T is not compact and $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Rolewicz (1969) gave the 1st example of an HC operator on a Banach space.

Necessary conditions

- Thus, if X is a separable F-space we have: $T \in L(X)$ is **HC**
 $\iff T$ is **transitive**. In such a case, $HC(T)$ is **residual**.

Rolewicz, 1969

If $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $\dim(X) = \infty$. If in addition X is locally convex, then $\sigma_P(T^*) = \emptyset$.

Kitai, 1982

If X is a complex Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then T is **not compact** and $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Rolewicz (1969) gave the 1st example of an HC operator on a Banach space.

Necessary conditions

- Thus, if X is a separable F-space we have: $T \in L(X)$ is **HC**
 $\iff T$ is **transitive**. In such a case, $HC(T)$ is **residual**.

Rolewicz, 1969

If $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $\dim(X) = \infty$. If in addition X is locally convex, then $\sigma_P(T^*) = \emptyset$.

Kitai, 1982

If X is a complex Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then T is **not compact** and $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Rolewicz (1969) gave the 1st example of an HC operator on a **Banach space**.

Examples of HC operators, I

Rolewicz, 1969

If $X = c_0$ or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $|\lambda| > 1$, and B denotes the backward shift operator $B : (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in X \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots) \in X$, then λB is HC.

Problem. Rolewicz, 1969

Given a separable Banach space X with $\dim(X) = \infty$, does it support a HC operator?

- The main “testing fields” for the search of HC operators are: backward shifts, differentiation operators and composition operators.

If $\varphi \in H(\Omega, G)$, the composition operator associated to φ is defined as $C_\varphi : f \in H(G) \mapsto f \circ \varphi \in H(\Omega)$.

Examples of HC operators, I

Rolewicz, 1969

If $X = c_0$ or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $|\lambda| > 1$, and B denotes the **backward shift** operator $B : (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in X \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots) \in X$, then λB is HC.

Problem. Rolewicz, 1969

Given a separable Banach space X with $\dim(X) = \infty$, does it support a HC operator?

- The main “testing fields” for the search of HC operators are: backward shifts, differentiation operators and composition operators.

If $\varphi \in H(\Omega, G)$, the **composition operator** associated to φ is defined as $C_\varphi : f \in H(G) \mapsto f \circ \varphi \in H(\Omega)$.

Examples of HC operators, I

Rolewicz, 1969

If $X = c_0$ or ℓ_p ($1 \leq \ell_p < \infty$), $|\lambda| > 1$, and B denotes the **backward shift** operator $B : (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in X \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots) \in X$, then λB is HC.

Problem. Rolewicz, 1969

Given a separable Banach space X with $\dim(X) = \infty$, does it support a HC operator?

- The main “testing fields” for the search of HC operators are: backward shifts, differentiation operators and composition operators.

If $\varphi \in H(\Omega, G)$, the **composition operator** associated to φ is defined as $C_\varphi : f \in H(G) \mapsto f \circ \varphi \in H(\Omega)$.

Examples of HC operators, II

Seidel y Walsh, 1941

The **non-euclidean translation operator** $C_\varphi : H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{D})$, where $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}$ [$a \neq 0$, $|a| < 1$] is **HC**.

Godefroy and Shapiro, 1991

If $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ is an entire function of exponential type
 [$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log M(r, \Phi) / \log r < \infty$], then the operator
 $\Phi(D) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n D^n : H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$ is **HC**.

Bourdon y Shapiro, 1993

If $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is non-elliptic, then the operator $C_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H^p$ is **HC**.

Gallardo and Montes (2004) gave a complete characterization of $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ generating HC C_φ on $S_\nu = \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 (n+1)^\nu < \infty\}$.

Examples of HC operators, II

Seidel y Walsh, 1941

The **non-euclidean translation operator** $C_\varphi : H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{D})$, where $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}$ [$a \neq 0$, $|a| < 1$] is **HC**.

Godefroy and Shapiro, 1991

If $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ is an entire function of exponential type [$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log M(r, \Phi) / \log r < \infty$], then the operator $\Phi(D) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n D^n : H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$ is **HC**.

Bourdon y Shapiro, 1993

If $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is non-elliptic, then the operator $C_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H^p$ is **HC**.

Gallardo and Montes (2004) gave a complete characterization of $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ generating HC C_φ on $S_\nu = \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 (n+1)^\nu < \infty\}$.

Examples of HC operators, II

Seidel y Walsh, 1941

The **non-euclidean translation operator** $C_\varphi : H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{D})$, where $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}$ [$a \neq 0$, $|a| < 1$] is **HC**.

Godefroy and Shapiro, 1991

If $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ is an entire function of exponential type [$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log M(r, \Phi) / \log r < \infty$], then the operator $\Phi(D) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n D^n : H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$ is **HC**.

Bourdon y Shapiro, 1993

If $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is non-elliptic, then the operator $C_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H^p$ is **HC**.

Gallardo and Montes (2004) gave a complete characterization of $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ generating HC C_φ on $S_\nu = \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 (n+1)^\nu < \infty\}$.

Examples of HC operators, II

Seidel y Walsh, 1941

The **non-euclidean translation operator** $C_\varphi : H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{D})$, where $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}$ [$a \neq 0$, $|a| < 1$] is **HC**.

Godefroy and Shapiro, 1991

If $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ is an entire function of exponential type [$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log M(r, \Phi) / \log r < \infty$], then the operator $\Phi(D) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n D^n : H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$ is **HC**.

Bourdon y Shapiro, 1993

If $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is non-elliptic, then the operator $C_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H^p$ is **HC**.

Gallardo and Montes (2004) gave a complete characterization of $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ generating HC C_φ on $S_\nu = \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 (n+1)^\nu < \infty\}$.

Examples of HC operators, III. Existence

Montes and LBG, 1995. Grosse-Erdmann and Mortini, 2009

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected or a infinitely connected domain, and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. Then: $C_{\varphi_n} : H(G) \rightarrow H(G)$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal** \iff (φ_n) is **runaway**, that is, given a compact set $K \subset G$, there is $N = N(K) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \cap \varphi_N(K) = \emptyset$.

Ansari and LBG, 1997; Bonet and Peris, 1998

If X is a **separable Fréchet space with $\dim(X) = \infty$** then there exists some **HC** operator T on X .

- T can be chosen to be onto. If X is Banach, T can be chosen to be bijective and of the form $T = I + K$, with K compact y nilpotent [$\sigma(T) = \{0\}$].

Examples of HC operators, III. Existence

Montes and LBG, 1995. Grosse-Erdmann and Mortini, 2009

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected or a infinitely connected domain, and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. Then: $C_{\varphi_n} : H(G) \rightarrow H(G)$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal** \iff (φ_n) is **runaway**, that is, given a compact set $K \subset G$, there is $N = N(K) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \cap \varphi_N(K) = \emptyset$.

Ansari and LBG, 1997; Bonet and Peris, 1998

If X is a **separable Fréchet space with $\dim(X) = \infty$** then there exists some **HC** operator T on X .

- T can be chosen to be onto. If X is Banach, T can be chosen to be bijective and of the form $T = I + K$, with K compact y nilpotent [$\sigma(T) = \{0\}$].

Examples of HC operators, III. Existence

Montes and LBG, 1995. Grosse-Erdmann and Mortini, 2009

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected or a infinitely connected domain, and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. Then: $C_{\varphi_n} : H(G) \rightarrow H(G)$ ($n \geq 1$) is **universal** \iff (φ_n) is **runaway**, that is, given a compact set $K \subset G$, there is $N = N(K) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \cap \varphi_N(K) = \emptyset$.

Ansari and LBG, 1997; Bonet and Peris, 1998

If X is a **separable Fréchet space with $\dim(X) = \infty$** then there exists some **HC** operator T on X .

- T can be chosen to be onto. If X is Banach, T can be chosen to be bijective and of the form $T = I + K$, with K compact y nilpotent [$\sigma(T) = \{0\}$].

Existence and non-existence

Problem

Which [separable, infinite dimensional] TVSs support HC operators?

- [Bonet and Peris (1998)] $\varphi = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Grosse-Erdmann (1999)] $L^p[0, 1]$ ($0 < p < 1$) carries a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] $L^p[0, 1] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] Every normed space with countable dimension carries a HC operator.



Existence and non-existence

Problem

Which [separable, infinite dimensional] TVSs support HC operators?

- [Bonet and Peris (1998)] $\varphi = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Grosse-Erdmann (1999)] $L^p[0, 1]$ ($0 < p < 1$) carries a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] $L^p[0, 1] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] Every normed space with countable dimension carries a HC operator.



Existence and non-existence

Problem

Which [separable, infinite dimensional] TVSs support HC operators?

- [Bonet and Peris (1998)] $\varphi = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Grosse-Erdmann (1999)] $L^p[0, 1]$ ($0 < p < 1$) carries a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] $L^p[0, 1] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] Every normed space with countable dimension carries a HC operator.



Existence and non-existence

Problem

Which [separable, infinite dimensional] TVSs support HC operators?

- [Bonet and Peris (1998)] $\varphi = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Grosse-Erdmann (1999)] $L^p[0, 1]$ ($0 < p < 1$) carries a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] $L^p[0, 1] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] Every normed space with countable dimension carries a HC operator.



Existence and non-existence

Problem

Which [separable, infinite dimensional] TVSs support HC operators?

- [Bonet and Peris (1998)] $\varphi = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Grosse-Erdmann (1999)] $L^p[0, 1]$ ($0 < p < 1$) carries a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] $L^p[0, 1] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ does **not** carry a HC operator.
- [Shkarin (2010)] Every normed space with countable dimension carries a HC operator.

HC semigroups of operators

Definition

Let X be a TVS. A family $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset L(X)$ is a **strongly continuous semigroup** of operators in $L(X)$ if $T_0 = I$, $T_t T_s = T_{t+s} \forall t, s \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} T_t x = T_s x \forall s \geq 0, x \in X$. A SCS $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be **hypercyclic** if $\{T_t x : t \geq 0\}$ is dense in X for some $x \in X$, called **HC for (T_t)** .

Conejero, Müller and Peris, 2007

Let X be an F-space and $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SCS on it. Then:
 \mathcal{T} is HC \iff each $T_u [u > 0]$ is HC \iff some T_u is HC.
 In this case, $HC(T_u) = HC(\mathcal{T}) \forall u > 0$.

... Hence, at least theoretically and in the setting of F-spaces, the problems that could be posed for hypercyclicity of **semigroups** come down to problems for **single** operators.

HC semigroups of operators

Definition

Let X be a TVS. A family $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset L(X)$ is a **strongly continuous semigroup** of operators in $L(X)$ if $T_0 = I$, $T_t T_s = T_{t+s} \forall t, s \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} T_t x = T_s x \forall s \geq 0, x \in X$. A SCS $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be **hypercyclic** if $\{T_t x : t \geq 0\}$ is dense in X for some $x \in X$, called **HC for (T_t)** .

Conejero, Müller and Peris, 2007

Let X be an F-space and $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SCS on it. Then:
 \mathcal{T} is HC \iff **each** $T_u [u > 0]$ is HC \iff **some** T_u is HC.
 In this case, $HC(T_u) = HC(\mathcal{T}) \forall u > 0$.

... Hence, at least theoretically and in the setting of F-spaces, the problems that could be posed for hypercyclicity of **semigroups** come down to problems for **single** operators.

HC semigroups of operators

Definition

Let X be a TVS. A family $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset L(X)$ is a **strongly continuous semigroup** of operators in $L(X)$ if $T_0 = I$, $T_t T_s = T_{t+s} \forall t, s \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} T_t x = T_s x \forall s \geq 0, x \in X$. A SCS $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be **hypercyclic** if $\{T_t x : t \geq 0\}$ is dense in X for some $x \in X$, called **HC for (T_t)** .

Conejero, Müller and Peris, 2007

Let X be an F-space and $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SCS on it. Then:
 \mathcal{T} is HC \iff **each** $T_u [u > 0]$ is HC \iff **some** T_u is HC.
 In this case, **$HC(T_u) = HC(\mathcal{T}) \forall u > 0$** .

... Hence, at least theoretically and in the setting of F-spaces, the problems that could be posed for hypercyclicity of **semigroups** come down to problems for **single** operators.

Holomorphic monsters, I

Luh, 1985

If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a s.c. domain, a **holomorphic monster** on G is a function $f \in H(G)$ satisfying: given $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\xi \in \partial G$ and any derivative or antiderivative F of f of any order, there are sequences $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and $b_n \rightarrow \xi$ such that $a_n z + b_n \in G$ ($n \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$) and

$$F(a_n z + b_n) \rightarrow g(z) \text{ in } H(\mathbb{D}).$$

Luh, 1985. Grosse-Erdmann, 1987

There are holomorphic monsters, and in fact they form a residual set in $H(G)$.

- M.C. Calderón and LBG (2000) conceived the notion of **holomorphic T -monster**, where $T \in L(H(G))$: simply replace F above by Tf .

Holomorphic monsters, I

Luh, 1985

If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a s.c. domain, a **holomorphic monster** on G is a function $f \in H(G)$ satisfying: given $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\xi \in \partial G$ and any derivative or antiderivative F of f of any order, there are sequences $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and $b_n \rightarrow \xi$ such that $a_n z + b_n \in G$ ($n \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$) and

$$F(a_n z + b_n) \rightarrow g(z) \text{ in } H(\mathbb{D}).$$

Luh, 1985. Grosse-Erdmann, 1987

There are holomorphic monsters, and in fact they form a residual set in $H(G)$.

- M.C. Calderón and LBG (2000) conceived the notion of **holomorphic T -monster**, where $T \in L(H(G))$: simply replace F above by Tf .

Holomorphic monsters, I

Luh, 1985

If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a s.c. domain, a **holomorphic monster** on G is a function $f \in H(G)$ satisfying: given $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\xi \in \partial G$ and any derivative or antiderivative F of f of any order, there are sequences $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and $b_n \rightarrow \xi$ such that $a_n z + b_n \in G$ ($n \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$) and

$$F(a_n z + b_n) \rightarrow g(z) \text{ in } H(\mathbb{D}).$$

Luh, 1985. Grosse-Erdmann, 1987

There are holomorphic monsters, and in fact they form a residual set in $H(G)$.

- **M.C. Calderón and LBG** (2000) conceived the notion of **holomorphic T -monster**, where $T \in L(H(G))$: simply replace F above by Tf .

Holomorphic monsters, II

- By considering countable families $(T_n) \subset L(H(G))$ and the theory of universality, it is possible to extend the theory of holomorphic monsters.

Theorem

- (a) [Calderón and LBG, 2000] If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, $\Phi \neq 0$ is an entire function of exponential type and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ then there are **T -monsters** in $H(G)$ for the operators $T = \Phi(D)$ and $(Tf)(z) = \lambda f(z) + \int_a^z \Phi(z-t)f(t) dt$ [here if G is s. connected].
- (b) [Calderón and LBG, 2001] There are **no Luh-monsters** in H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). For any polynomial $P \neq 0$, there are **$P(D)$ -monsters** in H^p .
- (c) [Calderón, Grosse-E. and LBG, 2002] If $\varphi \in H(G, G)$ then there are **C_φ -monsters** in $H(G) \iff$ for every $V \in O(\partial G)$ the set $\varphi(V \cap G)$ is not relatively compact in G .

- There is residuality in all $H(G)$ -cases.

Holomorphic monsters, II

- By considering countable families $(T_n) \subset L(H(G))$ and the theory of universality, it is possible to extend the theory of holomorphic monsters.

Theorem

- (a) [Calderón and LBG, 2000] If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, $\Phi \neq 0$ is an entire function of exponential type and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ then there are **T -monsters** in $H(G)$ for the operators $T = \Phi(D)$ and $(Tf)(z) = \lambda f(z) + \int_a^z \Phi(z-t)f(t) dt$ [here if G is s. connected].
- (b) [Calderón and LBG, 2001] There are **no Luh-monsters** in H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). For any polynomial $P \neq 0$, there are **$P(D)$ -monsters** in H^p .
- (c) [Calderón, Grosse-E. and LBG, 2002] If $\varphi \in H(G, G)$ then there are **C_φ -monsters** in $H(G) \iff$ for every $V \in O(\partial G)$ the set $\varphi(V \cap G)$ is not relatively compact in G .

- There is residuality in all $H(G)$ -cases.

Holomorphic monsters, II

- By considering countable families $(T_n) \subset L(H(G))$ and the theory of universality, it is possible to extend the theory of holomorphic monsters.

Theorem

- (a) [Calderón and LBG, 2000] If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, $\Phi \neq 0$ is an entire function of exponential type and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ then there are **T -monsters** in $H(G)$ for the operators $T = \Phi(D)$ and $(Tf)(z) = \lambda f(z) + \int_a^z \Phi(z-t)f(t) dt$ [here if G is s. connected].
- (b) [Calderón and LBG, 2001] There are **no Luh-monsters** in H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). For any polynomial $P \neq 0$, there are **$P(D)$ -monsters** in H^p .
- (c) [Calderón, Grosse-E. and LBG, 2002] If $\varphi \in H(G, G)$ then there are **C_φ -monsters** in $H(G) \iff$ for every $V \in O(\partial G)$ the set $\varphi(V \cap G)$ is not relatively compact in G .

- There is residuality in all $H(G)$ -cases.

Universal Taylor series, I

- In 1905 **Porter** discovered the phenomenon of **overconvergence**: some power series possess subsequences for their partial sums being convergent beyond the circle of convergence.

Nestoridis, 1996

There are **universal Taylor series** (UTS) in $H(\mathbb{D})$, that is, functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying that, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ with $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected and every $h \in A(K) := C(K) \cap H(K^0)$, $\exists (\lambda_n) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$S(\lambda_n, f, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_n} f_k z^k \longrightarrow h \text{ unif. on } K.$$

- Luh** (1970) and **Chui and Parnes** (1971) had proved a similar property but with $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- The set of UTSs is in fact **residual** in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Universal Taylor series, I

- In 1905 **Porter** discovered the phenomenon of **overconvergence**: some power series possess subsequences for their partial sums being convergent beyond the circle of convergence.

Nestoridis, 1996

There are **universal Taylor series** (UTS) in $H(\mathbb{D})$, that is, functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying that, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ with $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected and every $h \in A(K) := C(K) \cap H(K^0)$, $\exists (\lambda_n) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$S(\lambda_n, f, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_n} f_k z^k \longrightarrow h \text{ unif. on } K.$$

- Luh** (1970) and **Chui and Parnes** (1971) had proved a similar property but with $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- The set of UTSs is in fact **residual** in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Universal Taylor series, I

- In 1905 **Porter** discovered the phenomenon of **overconvergence**: some power series possess subsequences for their partial sums being convergent beyond the circle of convergence.

Nestoridis, 1996

There are **universal Taylor series** (UTS) in $H(\mathbb{D})$, that is, functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying that, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ with $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected and every $h \in A(K) := C(K) \cap H(K^0)$, $\exists (\lambda_n) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$S(\lambda_n, f, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_n} f_k z^k \longrightarrow h \text{ unif. on } K.$$

- Luh** (1970) and **Chui and Parnes** (1971) had proved a similar property but with $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- The set of UTSs is in fact **residual** in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Universal Taylor series, I

- In 1905 **Porter** discovered the phenomenon of **overconvergence**: some power series possess subsequences for their partial sums being convergent beyond the circle of convergence.

Nestoridis, 1996

There are **universal Taylor series** (UTS) in $H(\mathbb{D})$, that is, functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying that, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ with $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected and every $h \in A(K) := C(K) \cap H(K^0)$, $\exists (\lambda_n) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$S(\lambda_n, f, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_n} f_k z^k \longrightarrow h \text{ unif. on } K.$$

- Luh** (1970) and **Chui and Parnes** (1971) had proved a similar property but with $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- The set of UTSs is in fact **residual** in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Universal Taylor series, II

- The last result can be extended by using summability methods.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A} = [\alpha_{n\nu}]_{n,\nu=0}^{\infty}$ be an infinite matrix in \mathbb{C} . We say that \mathcal{A} is a **C-matrix** if:

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n\nu}|^{1/\nu} = 0$.
- $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n\nu} = 0$.
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n\nu} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

If \mathcal{A} is a C-matrix, a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is called a **\mathcal{A} -universal Taylor series** if it satisfies the same property as a UTS but replacing $S(n, f, z)$ by $S_{\mathcal{A}}(n, f, z) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n\nu} S(\nu, f, z)$.

Melas and Nestoridis, 2001; Calderón, Luh and LBG, 2006

Given \mathcal{A} as before, there is a **residual** subset in $H(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of **\mathcal{A} -UTSs**.



Universal Taylor series, II

- The last result can be extended by using summability methods.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A} = [\alpha_{n\nu}]_{n,\nu=0}^{\infty}$ be an infinite matrix in \mathbb{C} . We say that \mathcal{A} is a **C-matrix** if:

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n\nu}|^{1/\nu} = 0$.
- $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n\nu} = 0$.
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n\nu} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

If \mathcal{A} is a C-matrix, a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is called a **\mathcal{A} -universal Taylor series** if it satisfies the same property as a UTS but replacing $S(n, f, z)$ by $S_{\mathcal{A}}(n, f, z) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n\nu} S(\nu, f, z)$.

Melas and Nestoridis, 2001; Calderón, Luh and LBG, 2006

Given \mathcal{A} as before, there is a **residual** subset in $H(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of **\mathcal{A} -UTSs**.



Universal Taylor series, II

- The last result can be extended by using summability methods.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A} = [\alpha_{n\nu}]_{n,\nu=0}^{\infty}$ be an infinite matrix in \mathbb{C} . We say that \mathcal{A} is a **C-matrix** if:

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n\nu}|^{1/\nu} = 0.$
- $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n\nu} = 0.$
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n\nu} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$

If \mathcal{A} is a C-matrix, a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is called a **A-universal Taylor series** if it satisfies the same property as a UTS but replacing $S(n, f, z)$ by $S_{\mathcal{A}}(n, f, z) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n\nu} S(\nu, f, z).$

Melas and Nestoridis, 2001; Calderón, Luh and LBG, 2006

Given \mathcal{A} as before, there is a **residual** subset in $H(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of **A-UTSs**.



Frequent hypercyclicity, I

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

Let X be a TVS. Then an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be **frequent hypercyclic** if $\exists x \in X$ s.t., for every nonempty open set

$$U \subset X, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card} \{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : T^k x \in U\}}{n} > 0.$$

- Replacing T^n by $T_n \in L(X, Y)$ one reaches the notion of **frequent universal sequence (FU)** of mappings.
- **Connection with Ergodic Theory:** X separable F-space, $T \in L(X)$ and $\exists \mu$ Borel probability measure with $\text{supp}(\mu) = X$ s.t. T is μ -ergodic $\implies T$ is **FHC**.

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

The following ops. are **FHC**: any translation $\tau_a f = f(\cdot + a)$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$, any C_φ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ with non-elliptic $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, and any multiple λB ($|\lambda| > 1$) of the b.w.s. on c_0 or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$).

Frequent hypercyclicity, I

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

Let X be a TVS. Then an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be **frequent hypercyclic** if $\exists x \in X$ s.t., for every nonempty open set

$$U \subset X, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card} \{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : T^k x \in U\}}{n} > 0.$$

- Replacing T^n by $T_n \in L(X, Y)$ one reaches the notion of **frequent universal sequence** (FU) of mappings.
- **Connection with Ergodic Theory:** X separable F-space, $T \in L(X)$ and $\exists \mu$ Borel probability measure with $\text{supp}(\mu) = X$ s.t. T is μ -ergodic $\implies T$ is FHC.

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

The following ops. are FHC: any translation $\tau_a f = f(\cdot + a)$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$, any C_φ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ with non-elliptic $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, and any multiple λB ($|\lambda| > 1$) of the b.w.s. on c_0 or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$).

Frequent hypercyclicity, I

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

Let X be a TVS. Then an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be **frequent hypercyclic** if $\exists x \in X$ s.t., for every nonempty open set

$$U \subset X, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card} \{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : T^k x \in U\}}{n} > 0.$$

- Replacing T^n by $T_n \in L(X, Y)$ one reaches the notion of **frequent universal sequence** (FU) of mappings.
- **Connection with Ergodic Theory:** X separable F-space, $T \in L(X)$ and $\exists \mu$ Borel probability measure with $\text{supp}(\mu) = X$ s.t. T is μ -ergodic $\implies T$ is **FHC**.

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

The following ops. are **FHC**: any translation $\tau_a f = f(\cdot + a)$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$, any C_φ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ with non-elliptic $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, and any multiple λB ($|\lambda| > 1$) of the b.w.s. on c_0 or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$).

Frequent hypercyclicity, I

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

Let X be a TVS. Then an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be **frequent hypercyclic** if $\exists x \in X$ s.t., for every nonempty open set

$$U \subset X, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card} \{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : T^k x \in U\}}{n} > 0.$$

- Replacing T^n by $T_n \in L(X, Y)$ one reaches the notion of **frequent universal sequence** (FU) of mappings.
- **Connection with Ergodic Theory:** X separable F-space, $T \in L(X)$ and $\exists \mu$ Borel probability measure with $\text{supp}(\mu) = X$ s.t. T is **μ -ergodic** $\implies T$ is **FHC**.

Bayart and Grivaux, 2006

The following ops. are **FHC**: any translation $\tau_a f = f(\cdot + a)$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$, any C_φ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ with non-elliptic $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, and any multiple λB ($|\lambda| > 1$) of the b.w.s. on c_0 or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$).

Frequent hypercyclicity, II

Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann, 2007

Assume that Φ is a nonconstant entire function of exponential type. Then $\Phi(D)$ is **FHC**.

- There is **not residuality** in these examples: $FHC(\tau_a)$, $FHC(C_\varphi)$, $FHC(\lambda B)$ and $FHC(\Phi(D))$ are of **first category**.

Theorem

(a) [Shkarin, 2009] There are Banach spaces which do not support FHC operators.

(b) [De la Rosa, Frerick, Grivaux and Peris, 2011] Every complex infinite dimensional Fréchet space with an unconditional basis supports a FHC operator.

Frequent hypercyclicity, II

Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann, 2007

Assume that Φ is a nonconstant entire function of exponential type. Then $\Phi(D)$ is **FHC**.

- There is **not residuality** in these examples: $FHC(\tau_a)$, $FHC(C_\varphi)$, $FHC(\lambda B)$ and $FHC(\Phi(D))$ are of **first category**.

Theorem

- (a) [Shkarin, 2009] There are Banach spaces which do not support FHC operators.
- (b) [De la Rosa, Frerick, Grivaux and Peris, 2011] Every complex infinite dimensional Fréchet space with an unconditional basis supports a FHC operator.

Frequent hypercyclicity, II

Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann, 2007

Assume that Φ is a nonconstant entire function of exponential type. Then $\Phi(D)$ is **FHC**.

- There is **not residuality** in these examples: $FHC(\tau_a)$, $FHC(C_\varphi)$, $FHC(\lambda B)$ and $FHC(\Phi(D))$ are of **first category**.

Theorem

- (a) [Shkarin, 2009] There are Banach spaces which do not support FHC operators.
- (b) [De la Rosa, Frerick, Grivaux and Peris, 2011] Every complex infinite dimensional Fréchet space with an unconditional basis supports a FHC operator.

Frequent hypercyclicity, III

Bonilla and LBG, 2010

Suppose that $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ is not a parabolic automorphism. We have: C_φ is **FHC** on S_ν \iff C_φ is **HC**.

LBG, 2012

If $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{n+k} - a_n| = +\infty$ then the sequence of translations (τ_{a_n}) is **frequently universal** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Problems

- What sequences $(\varphi_n(z) = a_n z + b_n) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy that (C_{φ_n}) is **FU** on $H(\mathbb{C})$? Recall [Montes and LBG, 1995] that (C_{φ_n}) is **universal** \iff $\{\min\{|b_n|, |b_n/a_n|\}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unbounded. Also, complete the parabolic case in S_ν .



Frequent hypercyclicity, III

Bonilla and LBG, 2010

Suppose that $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ is not a parabolic automorphism. We have: C_φ is **FHC** on S_ν \iff C_φ is **HC**.

LBG, 2012

If $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a sequence such that

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{n+k} - a_n| = +\infty$ then the sequence of translations (τ_{a_n}) is **frequently universal** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Problems

- What sequences $(\varphi_n(z) = a_n z + b_n) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy that (C_{φ_n}) is **FU** on $H(\mathbb{C})$? Recall [Montes and LBG, 1995] that (C_{φ_n}) is **universal** \iff $\{\min\{|b_n|, |b_n/a_n|\}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unbounded. Also, complete the parabolic case in S_ν .



Frequent hypercyclicity, III

Bonilla and LBG, 2010

Suppose that $\varphi \in LFT(\mathbb{D})$ is not a parabolic automorphism. We have: C_φ is **FHC** on S_ν \iff C_φ is **HC**.

LBG, 2012

If $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a sequence such that

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{n+k} - a_n| = +\infty$ then the sequence of translations (τ_{a_n}) is **frequently universal** on $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Problems

- What sequences $(\varphi_n(z) = a_n z + b_n) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy that (C_{φ_n}) is **FU** on $H(\mathbb{C})$? Recall [Montes and LBG, 1995] that (C_{φ_n}) is **universal** \iff $\{\min\{|b_n|, |b_n/a_n|\}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is **unbounded**. Also, complete the parabolic case in S_ν .



Some problems and size of sets

Problems

- Characterize the class of TVSs supporting FHC operators.
- Are there FHC operators such that $FHC(T)$ is residual or at least of 2nd category?

Recall that if X is an F-space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $HC(T)$ is residual, that is, topologically large.

Might it be, in some sense, algebraically large?

A handicap: $HC(T)$ is not a vector space and $0 \notin HC(T)$.

But ... is it possible to find "large" vector spaces contained, except for 0, in $HC(T)$?

This question can be put into a more general setting ...



Some problems and size of sets

Problems

- Characterize the class of TVSs supporting FHC operators.
- Are there FHC operators such that $FHC(T)$ is residual or at least of 2nd category?

Recall that if X is an F-space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $HC(T)$ is residual, that is, topologically large.

Might it be, in some sense, algebraically large?

A handicap: $HC(T)$ is not a vector space and $0 \notin HC(T)$.

But ... is it possible to find "large" vector spaces contained, except for 0, in $HC(T)$?

This question can be put into a more general setting ...



Some problems and size of sets

Problems

- Characterize the class of TVSs supporting FHC operators.
- Are there FHC operators such that $FHC(T)$ is residual or at least of 2nd category?

Recall that if X is an F-space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $HC(T)$ is residual, that is, topologically large.

Might it be, in some sense, algebraically large?

A handicap: $HC(T)$ is not a vector space and $0 \notin HC(T)$.

But ... is it possible to find "large" vector spaces contained, except for 0, in $HC(T)$?

This question can be put into a more general setting ...

Some problems and size of sets

Problems

- Characterize the class of TVSs supporting FHC operators.
- Are there FHC operators such that $FHC(T)$ is residual or at least of 2nd category?

Recall that if X is an F-space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $HC(T)$ is residual, that is, topologically large.

Might it be, in some sense, algebraically large?

A handicap: $HC(T)$ is not a vector space and $0 \notin HC(T)$.

But ... is it possible to find "large" vector spaces contained, except for 0, in $HC(T)$?

This question can be put into a more general setting ...



Some problems and size of sets

Problems

- Characterize the class of TVSs supporting FHC operators.
- Are there FHC operators such that $FHC(T)$ is residual or at least of 2nd category?

Recall that if X is an F-space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $HC(T)$ is residual, that is, topologically large.

Might it be, in some sense, algebraically large?

A handicap: $HC(T)$ is not a vector space and $0 \notin HC(T)$.

But ... is it possible to find "large" vector spaces contained, except for 0, in $HC(T)$?

This question can be put into a more general setting ...



Some problems and size of sets

Problems

- Characterize the class of TVSs supporting FHC operators.
- Are there FHC operators such that $FHC(T)$ is residual or at least of 2nd category?

Recall that if X is an F-space and $T \in L(X)$ is HC then $HC(T)$ is residual, that is, topologically large.

Might it be, in some sense, algebraically large?

A handicap: $HC(T)$ is not a vector space and $0 \notin HC(T)$.

But ... is it possible to find "large" vector spaces contained, except for 0, in $HC(T)$?

This question can be put into a more general setting ...



Lineability: definitions

Aron, Bayart, Gurariy, PérezG^a, Quarta, Seoane, LBG. 2004-10

Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- μ -lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- dense-lineable whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of X ,
- maximal dense-lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$
[$\iff \dim(M) = c$, if X a sep. inf-dim. F-space],
- spaceable whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a closed infinite dimensional vector subspace of X , and
- algebraable if X is a function space and $A \cup \{0\}$ contains some infinitely generated algebra.



Lineability: definitions

Aron, Bayart, Gurariy, PérezG^a, Quarta, Seoane, LBG. 2004-10

Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- μ -lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- dense-lineable whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of X ,
- maximal dense-lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$
[$\iff \dim(M) = c$, if X a sep. inf-dim. F-space],
- spaceable whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a closed infinite dimensional vector subspace of X , and
- algebraable if X is a function space and $A \cup \{0\}$ contains some infinitely generated algebra.



Lineability: definitions

Aron, Bayart, Gurariy, PérezG^a, Quarta, Seoane, LBG. 2004-10

Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **dense** vector subspace of X ,
- **maximal dense-lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **dense** vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$
[$\iff \dim(M) = c$, if X a sep. inf-dim. F-space],
- **spaceable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **closed infinite dimensional** vector subspace of X , and
- **algebrable** if X is a function space and $A \cup \{0\}$ contains some **infinitely generated algebra**.



Lineability: definitions

Aron, Bayart, Gurariy, PérezG^a, Quarta, Seoane, LBG. 2004-10

Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **dense** vector subspace of X ,
- **maximal dense-lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **dense** vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$
[$\Leftrightarrow \dim(M) = c$, if X a sep. inf-dim. F-space],
- **spaceable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **closed infinite dimensional** vector subspace of X , and
- **algebrable** if X is a function space and $A \cup \{0\}$ contains some **infinitely generated algebra**.



Lineability: definitions

Aron, Bayart, Gurariy, PérezG^a, Quarta, Seoane, LBG. 2004-10

Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **dense** vector subspace of X ,
- **maximal dense-lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **dense** vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$
[$\iff \dim(M) = c$, if X a sep. inf-dim. F-space],
- **spaceable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a **closed infinite dimensional** vector subspace of X , and
- **algebrable** if X is a function space and $A \cup \{0\}$ contains some **infinitely generated algebra**.



Lineability and HC vectors, I

- With this terminology, let's go back to hypercyclicity.

Herrero-Bourdon-Bès-Wengenroth, 1991-1993-1999-2003

X TVS and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is dense-lineable.

- Their construction gives a subspace M with $\dim(M) = \omega$.

LBG, 2000

X Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is maximal dense-lineable.

- Extendable to Fréchet spaces?

Montes-LBG, 1995

$G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply or infinitely connected domain and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway $\implies U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is spaceable.

Lineability and HC vectors, I

- With this terminology, let's go back to hypercyclicity.

Herrero-Bourdon-Bès-Wengenroth, 1991-1993-1999-2003

X TVS and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **dense-lineable**.

- Their construction gives a subspace M with $\dim(M) = \omega$.

LBG, 2000

X Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **maximal dense-lineable**.

- Extendable to Fréchet spaces?

Montes-LBG, 1995

$G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply or infinitely connected domain and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway $\implies U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is **spaceable**.

Lineability and HC vectors, I

- With this terminology, let's go back to hypercyclicity.

Herrero-Bourdon-Bès-Wengenroth, 1991-1993-1999-2003

X TVS and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **dense-lineable**.

- Their construction gives a subspace M with $\dim(M) = \omega$.

LBG, 2000

X Banach space and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **maximal dense-lineable**.

- Extendable to Fréchet spaces?

Montes-LBG, 1995

$G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply or infinitely connected domain and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway $\implies U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is **spaceable**.

Lineability and HC vectors, I

- With this terminology, let's go back to hypercyclicity.

Herrero-Bourdon-Bès-Wengenroth, 1991-1993-1999-2003

X TVS and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **dense-lineable**.

- Their construction gives a subspace M with $\dim(M) = \omega$.

LBG, 2000

X **Banach space** and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **maximal dense-lineable**.

- Extendable to Fréchet spaces?

Montes-LBG, 1995

$G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply or infinitely connected domain and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway $\implies U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is **spaceable**.



Lineability and HC vectors, I

- With this terminology, let's go back to hypercyclicity.

Herrero-Bourdon-Bès-Wengenroth, 1991-1993-1999-2003

X TVS and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **dense-lineable**.

- Their construction gives a subspace M with $\dim(M) = \omega$.

LBG, 2000

X **Banach space** and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **maximal dense-lineable**.

- Extendable to **Fréchet spaces?**

Montes-LBG, 1995

$G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply or infinitely connected domain and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway $\implies U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is **spaceable**.



Lineability and HC vectors, I

- With this terminology, let's go back to hypercyclicity.

Herrero-Bourdon-Bès-Wengenroth, 1991-1993-1999-2003

X TVS and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **dense-lineable**.

- Their construction gives a subspace M with $\dim(M) = \omega$.

LBG, 2000

X **Banach space** and $T \in L(X)$ HC $\implies HC(T)$ is **maximal dense-lineable**.

- Extendable to **Fréchet spaces?**

Montes-LBG, 1995

$G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply or infinitely connected domain and $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway $\implies U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ is **spaceable**.

Lineability and HC vectors, II

Montes, 1996. Bonet-MartínezG-Peris, 2004

Let X be a separable Fréchet space with a continuous norm, and $T \in L(X)$. Suppose that there are X_0, Y_0 dense in X , $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an inf-dim closed subspace $M_0 \subset X$ satisfying:

- (a) $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in X_0$,
- (b) for each $y \in Y_0$ there is $(x_k) \subset X_0$ with $x_k \rightarrow 0$ and $T^{n_k} x_k \rightarrow y$,
- (c) $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in M_0$.

Then $HC(T)$ is spaceable.

- [Montes, 1996] If B is the b.w.s. on c_0 then $HC(2B)$ is not spaceable.
- Sufficient conditions for $FHC(T)$ to be spaceable have been found by Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann (2011). They apply to τ_a and $\phi(D)$ with ϕ entire transcendental. But, is $FHC(D)$ spaceable in $H(\mathbb{C})$? [$HC(D)$ is spaceable (Shkarin, 2010)]



Lineability and HC vectors, II

Montes, 1996. Bonet-MartínezG-Peris, 2004

Let X be a separable Fréchet space with a continuous norm, and $T \in L(X)$. Suppose that there are X_0, Y_0 dense in X , $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an inf-dim closed subspace $M_0 \subset X$ satisfying:

- (a) $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in X_0$,
- (b) for each $y \in Y_0$ there is $(x_k) \subset X_0$ with $x_k \rightarrow 0$ and $T^{n_k} x_k \rightarrow y$,
- (c) $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in M_0$.

Then $HC(T)$ is spaceable.

- [Montes, 1996] If B is the b.w.s. on c_0 then $HC(2B)$ is **not** spaceable.
- Sufficient conditions for $FHC(T)$ to be spaceable have been found by Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann (2011). They apply to τ_a and $\phi(D)$ with ϕ entire transcendental. But, is $FHC(D)$ spaceable in $H(\mathbb{C})$? [$HC(D)$ is spaceable (Shkarin, 2010)]



Lineability and HC vectors, II

Montes, 1996. Bonet-MartínezG-Peris, 2004

Let X be a separable Fréchet space with a continuous norm, and $T \in L(X)$. Suppose that there are X_0, Y_0 dense in X , $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an inf-dim closed subspace $M_0 \subset X$ satisfying:

- (a) $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in X_0$,
- (b) for each $y \in Y_0$ there is $(x_k) \subset X_0$ with $x_k \rightarrow 0$ and $T^{n_k} x_k \rightarrow y$,
- (c) $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in M_0$.

Then $HC(T)$ is spaceable.

- [Montes, 1996] If B is the b.w.s. on c_0 then $HC(2B)$ is not spaceable.
- Sufficient conditions for $FHC(T)$ to be spaceable have been found by Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann (2011). They apply to τ_a and $\phi(D)$ with ϕ entire transcendental. But, is $FHC(D)$ spaceable in $H(\mathbb{C})$? [$HC(D)$ is spaceable (Shkarin, 2010)]



Lineability and universality

CalderónM-LBG, 1999/2002.

Let X and Y be TVSs and $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$.

(a) If Y is metrizable and (T_{n_k}) is universal for each $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $U((T_n))$ is **lineable**.

(b) If X, Y are metrizable and X is separable and $U((T_{n_k}))$ is dense for each $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $U((T_n))$ is **dense-lineable**.

(c) If X, Y are metrizable, X is Baire and separable and, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $(T_{n,\nu})_{n \geq 1} \subset L(X, Y)$ and $U((T_{n_k,\nu}))$ is dense for each $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $\bigcap_{\nu \geq 1} U((T_{n,\nu}))$ is **dense-lineable**.

Consequences

(a) [Calderón and LBG, 2002] The family of **Luh-monsters** is **dense-lineable**.

(b) [Bayart, 2005] The class of **universal Taylor series** is **dense-lineable**. [He also proved that it is **spaceable**].



Lineability and universality

CalderónM-LBG, 1999/2002.

Let X and Y be TVSs and $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$.

(a) If Y is metrizable and (T_{n_k}) is universal for each $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $U((T_n))$ is **lineable**.

(b) If X, Y are metrizable and X is separable and $U((T_{n_k}))$ is dense for each $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $U((T_n))$ is **dense-lineable**.

(c) If X, Y are metrizable, X is Baire and separable and, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $(T_{n,\nu})_{n \geq 1} \subset L(X, Y)$ and $U((T_{n_k,\nu}))$ is dense for each $(n_k) \uparrow \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $\bigcap_{\nu \geq 1} U((T_{n,\nu}))$ is **dense-lineable**.

Consequences

(a) [Calderón and LBG, 2002] The family of **Luh-monsters** is **dense-lineable**.

(b) [Bayart, 2005] The class of **universal** Taylor series is **dense-lineable**. [He also proved that it is **spaceable**].



Lineability in function spaces, I

- Trivially, the set of **differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$** is **dense-lineable** in $C[0, 1]$, but it is **not spaceable** [Gurariy, 1966].

Fonf-Gurariy-Kadec-LRodríguezP. 1994/9

The set of **nowhere differentiable functions** is **spaceable** in $C[0, 1]$. In fact, any separable inf-dim Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a space of nowhere differentiable functions $\cup \{0\}$.

Aron, D. García and Maestre, 2001

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a domain of holomorphy. Then the set of functions which **cannot be holomorphically continued** beyond any point of ∂G is **dense-lineable** and **spaceable** in $H(G)$.

- [LBG, 2005] Extension to some subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Lineability in function spaces, I

- Trivially, the set of **differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$** is **dense-lineable** in $C[0, 1]$, but it is **not spaceable** [Gurariy, 1966].

Fonf-Gurariy-Kadec-LRodríguezP. 1994/9

The set of **nowhere differentiable functions** is **spaceable** in $C[0, 1]$. In fact, any separable inf-dim Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a space of nowhere differentiable functions $\cup \{0\}$.

Aron, D. García and Maestre, 2001

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a domain of holomorphy. Then the set of functions which **cannot be holomorphically continued** beyond any point of ∂G is **dense-lineable** and **spaceable** in $H(G)$.

- [LBG, 2005] Extension to some subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Lineability in function spaces, I

- Trivially, the set of **differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$** is **dense-lineable** in $C[0, 1]$, but it is **not spaceable** [Gurariy, 1966].

Fonf-Gurariy-Kadec-LRodríguezP. 1994/9

The set of **nowhere differentiable functions** is **spaceable** in $C[0, 1]$. In fact, any separable inf-dim Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a space of nowhere differentiable functions $\cup \{0\}$.

Aron, D. García and Maestre, 2001

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a domain of holomorphy. Then the set of functions which **cannot be holomorphically continued** beyond any point of ∂G is **dense-lineable** and **spaceable** in $H(G)$.

- [LBG, 2005] Extension to some subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Lineability in function spaces, I

- Trivially, the set of **differentiable functions** on $[0, 1]$ is **dense-lineable** in $C[0, 1]$, but it is **not spaceable** [Gurariy, 1966].

Fonf-Gurariy-Kadec-LRodríguezP. 1994/9

The set of **nowhere differentiable** functions is **spaceable** in $C[0, 1]$. In fact, any separable inf-dim Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a space of nowhere differentiable functions $\cup \{0\}$.

Aron, D. García and Maestre, 2001

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a domain of holomorphy. Then the set of functions which **cannot be holomorphically continued** beyond any point of ∂G is **dense-lineable** and **spaceable** in $H(G)$.

- [LBG, 2005] Extension to some subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Lineability in function spaces, I

- Trivially, the set of **differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$** is **dense-lineable** in $C[0, 1]$, but it is **not spaceable** [Gurariy, 1966].

Fonf-Gurariy-Kadec-LRodríguezP. 1994/9

The set of **nowhere differentiable functions** is **spaceable** in $C[0, 1]$. In fact, any separable inf-dim Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a space of nowhere differentiable functions $\cup \{0\}$.

Aron, D. García and Maestre, 2001

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a domain of holomorphy. Then the set of functions which **cannot be holomorphically continued** beyond any point of ∂G is **dense-lineable** and **spaceable** in $H(G)$.

- [LBG, 2005] Extension to some subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Lineability in function spaces, II

CalderónM, PradoB and LBG, 2004

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a Jordan domain. Then the set of $MCS(G)$ of $f \in H(G)$ having maximal cluster set at any $\xi \in \partial G$ along any curve $\Gamma \subset G$ tending to ∂G with $\partial G \setminus \bar{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ is dense-lineable.

- Combinations: [Bonilla-CalderónM-PradoB-LBG, 2009/12] $MCS(\mathbb{D}) \cap UTS(\mathbb{D})$ and $MCS(G) \cap U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ [G Jordan domain, $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway] are spaceable and maximal dense-lineable.
- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2007) proved that $f^2 \notin HC(\tau_1)$ for any f entire and τ_1 -HC, but there are D -HC functions f with $f^k \in HC(D)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Is $HC(D)$ algebraable?

Lineability in function spaces, II

CalderónM, PradoB and LBG, 2004

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a Jordan domain. Then the set of $MCS(G)$ of $f \in H(G)$ having maximal cluster set at any $\xi \in \partial G$ along any curve $\Gamma \subset G$ tending to ∂G with $\partial G \setminus \bar{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ is dense-lineable.

- Combinations: [Bonilla-CalderónM-PradoB-LBG, 2009/12] $MCS(\mathbb{D}) \cap UTS(\mathbb{D})$ and $MCS(G) \cap U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ [G Jordan domain, $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway] are spaceable and maximal dense-lineable.

- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2007) proved that $f^2 \notin HC(\tau_1)$ for any f entire and τ_1 -HC, but there are D -HC functions f with $f^k \in HC(D)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Is $HC(D)$ algebraable?

Lineability in function spaces, II

CalderónM, PradoB and LBG, 2004

Assume that $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a Jordan domain. Then the set of $MCS(G)$ of $f \in H(G)$ having maximal cluster set at any $\xi \in \partial G$ along any curve $\Gamma \subset G$ tending to ∂G with $\partial G \setminus \bar{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ is dense-lineable.

- Combinations: [Bonilla-CalderónM-PradoB-LBG, 2009/12] $MCS(\mathbb{D}) \cap UTS(\mathbb{D})$ and $MCS(G) \cap U((C_{\varphi_n}))$ [G Jordan domain, $(\varphi_n) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ runaway] are spaceable and maximal dense-lineable.
- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2007) proved that $f^2 \notin HC(\tau_1)$ for any f entire and τ_1 -HC, but there are D -HC functions f with $f^k \in HC(D)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Is $HC(D)$ algebrable?

Algebraability in function spaces

Aron, Pérez García and Seoane, 2006

Given $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ of measure 0, the set $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \text{the Fourier series associated to } f \text{ diverges at each } t \in E\}$ is **algebraable**.
The algebra can be obtained dense in $C(\mathbb{T})$.

- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2010) have proved that the family of everywhere surjective functions $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ contains, except for 0, an **uncountable generated algebra**.

Bartoszewicz, Glab, Pellegrino and Seoane, 2011

The set $\{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \forall \text{ perfect set } P \subset \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \forall r \in \mathbb{C}, \text{card}\{z \in P : f(z) = r\} = c\}$ is **2^c -algebraable**.

Dense-lin. criterium. Aron-GarcíaPacheco-PérezG^a-Seoane

If $A, B \subset X$, with X a separable F-space, A **lineable**, B **dense-lineable** and $A \supset A + B$ then A is **dense-lineable**.



Agebrability in function spaces

Aron, Pérez García and Seoane, 2006

Given $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ of measure 0, the set $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \text{the Fourier series associated to } f \text{ diverges at each } t \in E\}$ is **algebraable**.
The algebra can be obtained dense in $C(\mathbb{T})$.

- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2010) have proved that the family of **everywhere surjective functions** $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ contains, except for 0, an **uncountable generated algebra**.

Bartoszewicz, Glab, Pellegrino and Seoane, 2011

The set $\{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \forall \text{ perfect set } P \subset \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \forall r \in \mathbb{C}, \text{card}\{z \in P : f(z) = r\} = c\}$ is **2^c -algebraable**.

Dense-lin. criterium. Aron-GarcíaPacheco-PérezG^a-Seoane

If $A, B \subset X$, with X a separable F-space, A **lineable**, B **dense-lineable** and $A \supset A + B$ then A is **dense-lineable**.



Algebraability in function spaces

Aron, Pérez García and Seoane, 2006

Given $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ of measure 0, the set $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \text{the Fourier series associated to } f \text{ diverges at each } t \in E\}$ is **algebraable**.
The algebra can be obtained dense in $C(\mathbb{T})$.

- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2010) have proved that the family of **everywhere surjective functions** $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ contains, except for 0, an **uncountable generated algebra**.

Bartoszewicz, Glab, Pellegrino and Seoane, 2011

The set $\{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \forall \text{ perfect set } P \subset \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \forall r \in \mathbb{C}, \text{card}\{z \in P : f(z) = r\} = c\}$ is **2^c -algebraable**.

Dense-lin. criterium. Aron-GarcíaPacheco-PérezG^a-Seoane
If $A, B \subset X$, with X a separable F-space, A **lineable**, B **dense-lineable** and $A \supset A + B$ then A is **dense-lineable**.



Algebraicity in function spaces

Aron, Pérez García and Seoane, 2006

Given $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ of measure 0, the set $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \text{the Fourier series associated to } f \text{ diverges at each } t \in E\}$ is **algebraable**.
The algebra can be obtained dense in $C(\mathbb{T})$.

- Aron, Conejero, Peris and Seoane (2010) have proved that the family of **everywhere surjective functions** $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ contains, except for 0, an **uncountable generated algebra**.

Bartoszewicz, Glab, Pellegrino and Seoane, 2011

The set $\{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \forall \text{ perfect set } P \subset \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \forall r \in \mathbb{C}, \text{card}\{z \in P : f(z) = r\} = c\}$ is **2^c -algebraable**.

Dense-lin. criterium. Aron-GarcíaPacheco-PérezG^a-Seoane

If $A, B \subset X$, with X a separable F-space, A **lineable**, B **dense-lineable** and $A \supset A + B$ then A is **dense-lineable**.



Lineability criteria

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **dense-lineable**.

Spaceability criteria

(a) [Kalton and Wilansky, 1975] If X is a Fréchet space and $Y \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace, with infinite codimension then $X \setminus Y$ is **spaceable**.

(b) [Ordóñez and LBG, 2012] Assume that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space of fs $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and that A is a **cone** in E satisfying:

- Convergence in E implies pointwise convergence of a subsequence.
- $\exists C \in (0, +\infty)$ s.t. $\|f + g\| \geq C\|f\| \forall f, g \in E$ with $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$.
- If $f, g \in E$ are such that $f + g \in A$ and $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ then $f, g \in A$.
- $\exists (f_n) \subset E \setminus A$ with pairwise disjoint supports.

Then $E \setminus A$ is **spaceable**.

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **spaceable**

[Botelho-Fávaro-Pellegrino-Seoane-Ordóñez-LBG, 2012].

- It would be interesting to dispose of more dense-lineability, spaceability criteria, and at least one algebraability criterium.

Lineability criteria

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **dense-lineable**.

Spaceability criteria

(a) [Kalton and Wilansky, 1975] If X is a Fréchet space and $Y \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace, with infinite codimension then $X \setminus Y$ is **spaceable**.

(b) [Ordóñez and LBG, 2012] Assume that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space of fs $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and that A is a **cone** in E satisfying:

- Convergence in E implies pointwise convergence of a subsequence.
- $\exists C \in (0, +\infty)$ s.t. $\|f + g\| \geq C\|f\| \forall f, g \in E$ with $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$.
- If $f, g \in E$ are such that $f + g \in A$ and $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ then $f, g \in A$.
- $\exists (f_n) \subset E \setminus A$ with pairwise disjoint supports.

Then $E \setminus A$ is **spaceable**.

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **spaceable**

[Botelho-Fávaro-Pellegrino-Seoane-Ordóñez-LBG, 2012].

- It would be interesting to dispose of more dense-lineability, spaceability criteria, and at least one algebraability criterium.

Lineability criteria

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **dense-lineable**.

Spaceability criteria

(a) [Kalton and Wilansky, 1975] If X is a Fréchet space and $Y \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace, with infinite codimension then $X \setminus Y$ is **spaceable**.

(b) [Ordóñez and LBG, 2012] Assume that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space of fs $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and that A is a **cone** in E satisfying:

- Convergence in E implies pointwise convergence of a subsequence.
- $\exists C \in (0, +\infty)$ s.t. $\|f + g\| \geq C\|f\| \forall f, g \in E$ with $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$.
- If $f, g \in E$ are such that $f + g \in A$ and $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ then $f, g \in A$.
- $\exists (f_n) \subset E \setminus A$ with pairwise disjoint supports.

Then $E \setminus A$ is **spaceable**.

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **spaceable** [Botelho-Fávaro-Pellegrino-Seoane-Ordóñez-LBG, 2012].

• It would be interesting to dispose of more dense-lineability, spaceability criteria, and at least one algebraability criterium.

Lineability criteria

- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **dense-lineable**.

Spaceability criteria

(a) [Kalton and Wilansky, 1975] If X is a Fréchet space and $Y \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace, with infinite codimension then $X \setminus Y$ is **spaceable**.






(b) [Ordóñez and LBG, 2012] Assume that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space of fs $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and that A is a **cone** in E satisfying:

- Convergence in E implies pointwise convergence of a subsequence.
- $\exists C \in (0, +\infty)$ s.t. $\|f + g\| \geq C\|f\| \forall f, g \in E$ with $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$.
- If $f, g \in E$ are such that $f + g \in A$ and $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ then $f, g \in A$.
- $\exists (f_n) \subset E \setminus A$ with pairwise disjoint supports.

Then $E \setminus A$ is **spaceable**.






- Example: $L^p[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{q>p} L^q[0, 1]$ is **spaceable** [Botelho-Fávaro-Pellegrino-Seoane-Ordóñez-LBG, 2012].
- It would be interesting to dispose of more dense-lineability, spaceability criteria, and at least one algebraability criterium.

Bibliography

-  [R.M. Aron, V.I. Gurariy and J.B. Seoane](#)
Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on \mathbf{R} .
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 795–803.
-  [R.M. Aron, D. Pérez-García and J.B. Seoane](#)
Algebrability of the set of non-convergent Fourier series.
Studia Math. **175** (2006), 83–90.
-  [F. Bayart and E. Matheron](#)
Dynamics of Linear Operators.
Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
-  [K.G. Grosse-Erdmann](#)
Universal families and hypercyclic operators.
Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. N. S. **36** (1999), 345–381.
-  [K.G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris](#)
Linear Chaos. Springer, New York, 2011.

THANK YOU

Bibliography

-  [R.M. Aron, V.I. Gurariy and J.B. Seoane](#)
Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on \mathbf{R} .
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 795–803.
-  [R.M. Aron, D. Pérez-García and J.B. Seoane](#)
Algebrability of the set of non-convergent Fourier series.
Studia Math. **175** (2006), 83–90.
-  [F. Bayart and E. Matheron](#)
Dynamics of Linear Operators.
Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
-  [K.G. Grosse-Erdmann](#)
Universal families and hypercyclic operators.
Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. N. S. **36** (1999), 345–381.
-  [K.G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris](#)
Linear Chaos. Springer, New York, 2011.

THANK YOU