

COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON THE WEIGHTED BERGMAN-NEVANLINNA CLASSES

SOMDATT D. SHARMA AND AJAY K. SHARMA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we use an α -Carleson measure and a vanishing Carleson measure to characterize bounded and compact composition operators on weighted Bergman-Nevalinna spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . For each analytic self-map ϕ of \mathbb{D} , the composition operator is defined by $C_\phi f = f \circ \phi$ for all f analytic on \mathbb{D} . It is well known that C_ϕ is a bounded linear operator on the Hardy spaces H^p of the unit disk, $0 < p < \infty$, as well as on the weighted Bergman spaces A_α^p of the unit disk, $0 < p < \infty$. Compact composition operators are among the most studied composition operators on these spaces. The study of compact composition operators on H^2 of \mathbb{D} was initiated by H. J. Schwartz [S] in his unpublished thesis in the late sixties. He proved that if C_ϕ is compact, then $|\phi^*| < 1$ a.e. on the unit circle. In other words, C_ϕ is not compact whenever the set $\{|\phi^*| = 1\}$ has positive measure. Schwartz also proved that this condition is not sufficient by showing that the composition operator induced by $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{2}$ is not compact, even though the range of ϕ touches the unit disk just at one point. The complete characterization of ϕ for which C_ϕ is compact on H^2 have been given by Shapiro [Sh1-2] and McCluer [Mac].

As operators on the Nevanlinna class composition operators were first studied by Masri in his thesis [Mas], where he obtained several necessary conditions

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B33, 46E22.

Revised December 17, 2000.

Supported by C.S.I.R. grant $\sharp F.No.9/100(100)2002EMR - 1$.

and sufficient conditions on ϕ for the operator C_ϕ to be compact. The compactness of C_ϕ as an operator on the Nevanlinna classes N and N^p has been studied by Choa and Kim, see [ChK1] and [ChK2]. Our goal in the present work is to characterize those holomorphic self-maps ϕ of \mathbb{D} that induce compact composition operators on the weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna class A_α^0 . Our criterion provides a complete characterization of those ϕ for which C_ϕ is compact on weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna classes. We will show that, like in the case of Hardy spaces and weighted Bergman spaces, every analytic self-map ϕ of \mathbb{D} induces a bounded composition operator on the weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna class A_α^0 and that C_ϕ is compact on the Bergman-Nevanlinna class A_α^0 if and only if it is compact on any of the weighted Bergman space A_α^p , $0 < p < \infty$.

It is known that C_ϕ is compact on the Nevanlinna class N if and only if it is compact on H^2 [ChK1] and that for an arbitrary ϕ the compactness problem for C_ϕ on H^p spaces is quite different from the one on weighted Bergman spaces [MaS]. MacCluer and Shapiro [MaS] gave a nice example of analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , which induces a compact composition operator on weighted Bergman space, but does not induce a compact composition operator on the Hardy spaces. As a matter of fact, they established the existence of an inner function ϕ (holomorphic on \mathbb{D} with modulus ≤ 1 everywhere on \mathbb{D} and radial limits of modulus 1 almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$) such that C_ϕ is compact on A_α^p for all $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. However, it is well known that no inner function can induce a compact composition operator on any of the H^p spaces [MaS] and, therefore, the space N . In fact, they cited the following example.

Example 1.1. Let

$$\phi(z) = \exp \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{z + \zeta}{z - \zeta} d\mu(\zeta),$$

where μ is a Borel measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ that is singular with respect to linear Lebesgue measure and

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{|\zeta - \omega|^2} = \infty$$

at every $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Then ϕ is a singular inner function which induces compact composition operator on A_α^p but not on the Hardy spaces H^p .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of all holomorphic functions on the unit disk \mathbb{D} of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let $dA(z)$ be the area measure on \mathbb{D} normalized so that the area of \mathbb{D} is 1. For each $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$, we set $d\nu_\alpha(z) = (\alpha+1)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $d\nu_\alpha$ is a probability measure on \mathbb{D} . For $0 < p < \infty$ the weighted Bergman space A_α^p is defined as

$$A_\alpha^p = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty\}.$$

Note that $\|f\|_{p,\alpha}$ is a true norm only if $1 \leq p < \infty$. When $0 < p < 1$, A_α^p is an F -space with respect to the translation invariant metric defined by $d_p(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{p,\alpha}^p$. The growth restrictions of functions in the Bergman space is essential in our study. To this end, the following sharp estimate will be useful.

Lemma 2.1 [HKZ] *Let $f \in A_\alpha^p$. Then for every z in \mathbb{D} , we have*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{p,\alpha}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(2+\alpha)/2}}$$

with equality if and only if f is a constant multiple of the function

$$k_\alpha(z) = \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^2} \right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}.$$

It can be easily shown that

$$\|k_\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p \approx 1$$

with constant depending only on α and p [Sh1, p. 400]. The weighted Bergman-Nevalinna class A_α^0 is defined by

$$A_\alpha^0 = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{0,\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log^+ |f(z)| d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty\},$$

where $\log^+ x = \max(\log x, 0)$. The space A_α^0 appears in the limit as $p \rightarrow 0$ of the weighted Bergman space A_α^p , in the sense of

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^p - 1}{p} = \log^+ t, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Of course, we are abusing of the term norm since it fails to satisfy the properties of a norm, but in this case $(f, g) \rightarrow \|f - g\|_{0,\alpha}$ defines a translation invariant metric on A_α^0 and this turns A_α^0 into a complete metric space. Obviously, the inequality

$$\log^+ x \leq \log(1 + x) \leq 1 + \log^+ x, \quad x \geq 0$$

implies that $f \in A_\alpha^0$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(z)|) d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty$$

for f holomorphic on \mathbb{D} .

α -Carleson measures. For $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $0 < \delta < 2$, let $S(\delta, \zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z - \zeta| < \delta\}$. A positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is called α -Carleson measure if

$$\sup_{\delta > 0} \sup_{\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(\delta, \zeta))}{\delta^{\alpha+2}} < \infty,$$

and a vanishing Carleson measure if

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(\delta, \zeta))}{\delta^{\alpha+2}} = 0.$$

We use the sets $S(\delta, \zeta)$ as the Carleson sets along with a more convenient choice of pseudo-hyperbolic disks. We now incorporate a few lines from Axler’s paper [Ax] for the sake of a more self-contained exposition.

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, let τ_w be the function defined by

$$\tau_w(z) = \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The function τ_w is an automorphism of \mathbb{D} . For w and z in \mathbb{D} , the pseudo-hyperbolic distance d between w and z is defined by

$$d(w, z) = |\tau_w(z)|.$$

For $0 < r < 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$, denote by $D(w, r)$ the disk whose pseudo-hyperbolic center is w and whose pseudo-hyperbolic radius is r , that is,

$$D(w, r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : \left| \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right| < r \right\}.$$

Since τ_w is a linear fractional transformation, the pseudo-hyperbolic disk $D(w, r)$ is also a Euclidean disk. Except for the special case $D(0, r) = r\mathbb{D}$, the Euclidean center and Euclidean radius of $D(w, r)$ do not coincide with its pseudo-hyperbolic center and pseudo-hyperbolic radius. The Euclidean centre and Euclidean radius of $D(w, r)$ are

$$\frac{1 - r^2}{1 - r^2|w|^2}w \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - |w|^2}{1 - r^2|w|^2}r,$$

respectively. For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, it is easy to verify that τ_w is its own inverse under composition $(\tau_w \circ \tau_w)(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Another simple calculation shows that τ_w preserves pseudo-hyperbolic distances, that is,

$$d(\lambda, z) = d(\tau_w(\lambda), \tau_w(z))$$

for all $\lambda, z \in D$. Thus τ_w maps a pseudo-hyperbolic disk centered at the point λ to the pseudo-hyperbolic disk centered at $\tau_w(\lambda)$:

$$\tau_w(D(\lambda, r)) = D(\tau_w(\lambda), r)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and $r \in (0, 1)$.

By $|D(w, r)|_A$ we denote the area of $D(w, r)$.

Lemma 2.2. [Ax] *If $w \in D$ and $0 < r < 1$, then*

- (i) $|D(w, r)|_A = \pi r^2(1 - |w|^2)^2(1 - r^2|w|^2)^{-2}$.
- (ii) $\inf \left\{ \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^4} : z \in D(w, r) \right\} = \frac{(1 - r|w|)^4}{(1 - |w|^2)^2}$.
- (iii) $\sup \left\{ \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^4} : z \in D(w, r) \right\} = \frac{(1 + r|w|)^4}{(1 - |w|^2)^2}$.

We also have

$$|D(w, r)|_A \approx (1 - |w|^2)^2 \approx (1 - |z|^2)^2 \approx |D(z, r)|_A,$$

for $z \in D(w, r)$, where \approx means that the two quantities are bounded above and below by constants independent of w . Also for each $D(w, r)$, there is a $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ so that $D(w, r) \subset S(\delta, \zeta)$ for $\delta \approx 1 - |w|$ and for fixed r , $0 < r < 1$,

$$(\alpha + 1) \int_{D(w,r)} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z) \approx (1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha+2}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [Ax] For a fixed r , $0 < r < 1$, there exists a positive constant C depending upon r such that

$$|f(w)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|D(w, r)|_A} \int_{D(w,r)} |f(z)|^p dA(z)$$

for f analytic in \mathbb{D} and $w \in \mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 2.4. [Ax] Let $0 < r < 1$. Then there is a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in \mathbb{D} and a positive integer M such that $\cup_{n=1}^\infty D(a_n, r) = \mathbb{D}$ and each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ is in, at most, M of the pseudo-hyperbolic disks

$$D\left(a_1, \frac{1+r}{2}\right), D\left(a_2, \frac{1+r}{2}\right), D\left(a_3, \frac{1+r}{2}\right), \dots$$

3. BOUNDEDNESS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON A_α^0

In this section we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for boundedness of $C_\phi : A_\alpha^0 \rightarrow A_\alpha^0$ in terms of a Carleson measure condition satisfied by the pull back measure $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ on \mathbb{D} . We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If μ is an α -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} , then there is a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\mu(w) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

for any $f \in A_\alpha^0$.

Proof. Fix $0 < r < 1$. Pick a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in \mathbb{D} satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.4. There are constants C' , C'' and C''' , such that for any $f \in A_\alpha^0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\mu(w) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_n, r)} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\mu(w) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(D(a_n, r)) \sup\{\log(1 + |f(w)|) : w \in D(a_n, r)\} \\
&\leq C' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(D(a_n, r))}{|D(a_n, r)|_A} \int_{D(a_n, \frac{1+r}{2})} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu(w) \\
&\leq C' C'' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(S(1 - |a_n|, \zeta))}{(1 - |a_n|^2)^2 (1 - |a_n|^2)^\alpha} \int_{D(a_n, \frac{1+r}{2})} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w) \\
&= C' C'' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(S(1 - |a_n|, \zeta))}{(1 - |a_n|^2)^{\alpha+2}} \int_{D(a_n, \frac{1+r}{2})} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w) \\
&\leq C' C'' C''' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_n, \frac{1+r}{2})} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w) \\
&\leq CM \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w), \quad \text{where } C = C' C'' C'''.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let ϕ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then $C_\phi : A_\alpha^0 \rightarrow A_\alpha^0$ is bounded if and only if the pull back measure $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an α -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} .

Proof. Suppose C_ϕ is bounded. Assume $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Take

$$f_a(z) = \exp\left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}}\right),$$

where $a = (1 - \delta)\zeta$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_a\|_{0,\alpha} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log^+ \left| \exp\left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}}\right) \right| d\nu_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{2(\alpha+2)}} d\nu_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq \|k_a\|_{2,\alpha}^2 \\
&\approx 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since C_ϕ is bounded, there is a constant K such that

$$\|C_\phi f_a\|_{0,\alpha} \leq K \|f_a\|_{0,\alpha} \leq C.$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} C &\geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log^+ |f_a \circ \phi(z)| d\nu_\alpha(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Re \left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}\phi(z))^{2(\alpha+2)}} \right) d\nu_\alpha(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Re \left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}} \right) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}} \right) &= \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - |a|)^{2(\alpha+2)}} \Re \left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right)^{2(\alpha+2)} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - |a|)^{2(\alpha+2)}} \Re \left(1 + \frac{|a|(1 - z\bar{\zeta})}{(1 - |a|)} \right)^{-2(\alpha+2)}, \quad (\zeta = \frac{a}{|a|}) \\ &> \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - |a|)^{2(\alpha+2)}} \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(2\delta)^{\alpha+2}} \end{aligned}$$

if $\frac{|1-z\bar{\zeta}|}{1-|a|} < \gamma_0$ for some fixed $\gamma_0 > 0$, that is, if $z \in S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)$. Thus for $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$C \geq \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}} \int_{S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)} \frac{1}{\delta^{\alpha+2}} d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}} \frac{1}{\delta^{\alpha+2}} \nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)),$$

that is, $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)) \leq C\delta^{\alpha+2}$ and so $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an α -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} .

Conversely, suppose $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an α -Carleson measure. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have, for each $f \in A_\alpha^0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi f\|_{0,\alpha} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |(f \circ \phi)(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(w) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f(w)|) d\nu_\alpha(w) \\ &= C\|f\|_{0,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark. Theorem 3.2 above and Theorem 4.3 of MacCluer and Shapiro [MaS] assert that C_ϕ is bounded on A_α^p as well as on A_α^0 if and only if $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an α -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} . But in view of Theorem 3.4 of MacCluer and Shapiro [MaS], every analytic self-map ϕ of \mathbb{D} induces a bounded composition

operator on A_α^p for all $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. Hence we conclude that every analytic self-map ϕ of \mathbb{D} induces a bounded composition operator on A_α^0 .

4. COMPACTNESS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON A_α^0

Before proving the main result of this section, we recall that C_ϕ is compact on A_α^0 if and only if for every sequence $\{f_n\}$ which is bounded in A_α^0 and converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , we have $\|C_\phi f_n\|_{0,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$.

We now characterize compact composition operators on A_α^0 .

Theorem 4.1. *Let ϕ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then $C_\phi : A_\alpha^0 \rightarrow A_\alpha^0$ is compact if and only if the measure $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a vanishing Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} .*

Proof. Suppose C_ϕ is compact. Let $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. Consider the family of functions

$$f_a(z) = (1 - |a|)^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}}\right),$$

where $a = (1 - \delta)\zeta$ for some $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Clearly $f_a \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|f_a\|_{0,\alpha} \leq C.$$

Again as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, there exist $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that if $z \in S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)$, then

$$\Re\left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}\delta^{\alpha+2}}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \log^+ |f_a(z)| &\geq \log^+ \left((1 - |a|)^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(\Re\left(\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{2(\alpha+2)}}\right)\right) \right) \\ &\geq \log^+ \left(\delta^{\alpha+2} \exp\frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}\delta^{\alpha+2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have for any $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \log^+ \left(\delta^{\alpha+2} \exp\frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}\delta^{\alpha+2}} \right) \nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)) &\leq \int_{S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)} \log^+ |f_a(z)| d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log^+ |f_a \circ \phi(z)| d\nu_\alpha(z) \\ &= \|C_\phi f_a\|_{0,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

But compactness of C_ϕ forces $\|C_\phi f_a\|_{0,\alpha}$ to tend to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, which implies that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left(\log^+ \left(\delta^{\alpha+2} \exp\frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}\delta^{\alpha+2}} \right) \nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(S(\gamma_0\delta, \zeta)) \right) = 0$$

uniformly on $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{\alpha+2} \left(\log^+ \delta^{\alpha+2} \exp \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2} \delta^{\alpha+2}} \right) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+2}} \left(\frac{t^{\alpha+2}}{2^{\alpha+2}} - (\alpha+2) \log t \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+2}} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(S(\gamma_0 \delta, \zeta))}{\delta^{\alpha+2}} = 0 \text{ uniformly on } \zeta.$$

Thus $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a vanishing Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} .

Conversely, suppose that $\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a vanishing Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} . Then

$$\frac{\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(S(\gamma_0 \delta, \zeta))}{\delta^{\alpha+2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } \zeta \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(D(w, r))}{(1 - |w|)^{\alpha+2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly as } |w| \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\phi^{-1}(D(w, r)) < \varepsilon(1 - |w|)^{\alpha+2} \text{ for all } w \in D \text{ for } |w| > r_0.$$

Suppose $\{f_m\}$ converges to zero weakly in A_α^0 . Let $\{w_n\}$ be a sequence as in Lemma 2.4 such that $|w_1| < |w_2| < \dots$. Then

$$\phi^{-1}(D(w_n, r)) < \varepsilon(1 - |w_n|)^{\alpha+2} \text{ for all } w_n \in \mathbb{D} \text{ such that } |w_n| > r_0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi f_m\|_{0,\alpha} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |(f_m \circ \phi)(z)|) d\nu_\alpha(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |f_m(z)|) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z) \\ &= \int_{|z| \leq r_0} \log(1 + |f_m(z)|) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{|z| > r_0} \log(1 + |f_m(z)|) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{f_m\}$ converges to zero on each compact subset of \mathbb{D} ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|z| \leq r_0} \log(1 + |f_m(z)|) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z) = 0,$$

whereas the second term in the above expression is bounded by

$$\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}(w_n, r)} \log(1 + |f_m(z)|) d\nu_\alpha \circ \phi^{-1}(z) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \nu_{\alpha} \phi^{-1}(D(w_n, r)) \sup\{\log(1 + |f_m(z)|) : z \in D(w_n, r)\} \\
&\leq C \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_{\alpha} \phi^{-1}(D(w_n, r))}{(1 - |w|)^{\alpha+2}} \int_{D(w_n, \frac{1+r}{2})} \log(1 + |f_m(z)|) d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \\
&\leq \varepsilon CM \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log(1 + |(f_m(z))|) d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \\
&= \varepsilon CM \|f_m\|_{0, \alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we see that $\|C_{\phi} f_m\|_{0, \alpha} \rightarrow 0$ strongly. Hence C_{ϕ} is compact. \square

Remark. It can be easily checked that the singular inner function mentioned in Example 1.1 induces a compact composition operator on A_{α}^0 but not on the Nevanlinna class N .

Acknowledgements. We are extremely thankful to the referees for going through the manuscript thoroughly and pointing out several typographical and mathematical errors which strengthened the manuscript a lot.

REFERENCES

- [Ax] Axler, S. *Bergman spaces and their operators*, Surveys of some recent results in operator theory, **1**, Pitman Research Notes in Math. 171 (1988), 1-50.
- [ChK1] Choa, J. S.; Kim, H. O. *Compact composition operators on the Nevanlinna class*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, **125** (1997), 145-151.
- [ChK2] Choa, J. S.; Kim, H. O. *Composition operators between Nevanlinna type spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **257** (2001), 378-402.
- [HKZ] Hedenmalm, H.; Korenblum, B. I.; Zhu, K. *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin 2000.
- [Mac] MacCluer, B. D. *Compact composition operators on $H^p(B_N)$* , Michigan Math. J. **32** (1985), 237-248.
- [MaS] MacCluer, B. D.; Shapiro, J. H. *Angular derivatives and compact composition operators on Hardy and Bergman spaces*, Can. J. Math. **3** (1986), 878-906.
- [Mas] Masri, M. *Compact composition operators on the Nevanlinna and Smirnov classes*, Thesis, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, 1985.
- [S] Schwartz, H. J. *Composition operators on H^p* , Thesis, University of Toledo, 1969.
- [Sh1] Shapiro, J. H. *The essential norm of a composition operator*, Ann. Math. **125** (1987), 375-404.
- [Sh2] Shapiro, J. H. *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York. 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU, JAMMU-180 006, (INDIA)
E-mail address: somdatt_sharma@yahoo.co.in