

CONSTRUCTING BISPECTRAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS FROM THE CLASSICAL DISCRETE FAMILIES OF CHARLIER, MEIXNER AND KRAWTCHOUK¹

Manuel Domínguez de la Iglesia

Instituto de Matemáticas, C.U.
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Constructive Functions 2014
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, May 26, 2014

¹joint work with Antonio J. Durán

OUTLINE

1 INTRODUCTION

- Classical discrete orthogonal polynomials
- Krall orthogonal polynomials

2 METHODOLOGY

- \mathcal{D} -operators
- Choice of polynomials
- Identifying the measure

3 EXAMPLES

- Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials

OUTLINE

1 INTRODUCTION

- Classical discrete orthogonal polynomials
- Krall orthogonal polynomials

2 METHODOLOGY

- \mathcal{D} -operators
- Choice of polynomials
- Identifying the measure

3 EXAMPLES

- Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials

DISCRETE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

A system of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is **orthogonal** with respect to a discrete measure $\omega(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x \delta_{t_x}$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ if

$$\langle p_n, p_m \rangle_\omega = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x p_n(t_x) p_m(t_x) = \|p_n\|_\omega^2 \delta_{nm}, \quad n, m \geq 0$$

Every family of **OP's** $(p_n)_n$ satisfy a **three-term recurrence relation**

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

where $a_n, c_n \neq 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_0(x) = 1, p_{-1}(x) = 0$.

Jacobi operator (tridiagonal):

$$Jp = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_1 & & & \\ c_1 & b_1 & a_2 & & \\ & c_2 & b_2 & a_3 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = xp, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}$$

The converse result is also true (**Favard's or spectral theorem**).

DISCRETE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

A system of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is **orthogonal** with respect to a discrete measure $\omega(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x \delta_{t_x}$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ if

$$\langle p_n, p_m \rangle_\omega = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x p_n(t_x) p_m(t_x) = \|p_n\|_\omega^2 \delta_{nm}, \quad n, m \geq 0$$

Every family of **OP's** $(p_n)_n$ satisfy a **three-term recurrence relation**

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

where $a_n, c_n \neq 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_0(x) = 1, p_{-1}(x) = 0$.

Jacobi operator (tridiagonal):

$$Jp = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_1 & & & \\ c_1 & b_1 & a_2 & & \\ & c_2 & b_2 & a_3 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = xp, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}$$

The converse result is also true (**Favard's or spectral theorem**).

DISCRETE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

A system of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is **orthogonal** with respect to a discrete measure $\omega(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x \delta_{t_x}$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ if

$$\langle p_n, p_m \rangle_\omega = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x p_n(t_x) p_m(t_x) = \|p_n\|_\omega^2 \delta_{nm}, \quad n, m \geq 0$$

Every family of **OP's** $(p_n)_n$ satisfy a **three-term recurrence relation**

$$x p_n(x) = a_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

where $a_n, c_n \neq 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_0(x) = 1, p_{-1}(x) = 0$.

Jacobi operator (tridiagonal):

$$Jp = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_1 & & & \\ c_1 & b_1 & a_2 & & \\ & c_2 & b_2 & a_3 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = xp, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}$$

The converse result is also true (**Favard's or spectral theorem**).

DISCRETE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

A system of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is **orthogonal** with respect to a discrete measure $\omega(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x \delta_{t_x}$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ if

$$\langle p_n, p_m \rangle_\omega = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} a_x p_n(t_x) p_m(t_x) = \|p_n\|_\omega^2 \delta_{nm}, \quad n, m \geq 0$$

Every family of **OP's** $(p_n)_n$ satisfy a **three-term recurrence relation**

$$x p_n(x) = a_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

where $a_n, c_n \neq 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_0(x) = 1, p_{-1}(x) = 0$.

Jacobi operator (tridiagonal):

$$Jp = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_1 & & & \\ c_1 & b_1 & a_2 & & \\ & c_2 & b_2 & a_3 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = xp, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}$$

The converse result is also true (**Favard's or spectral theorem**).

CLASSICAL FAMILIES

If we set

$$\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x), \quad \nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x-1)$$

the classification problem is to find **discrete** OP's $(p_n)_n$

LANCASTER, 1941

$$\sigma(x)\Delta\nabla p_n(x) + \tau(x)\Delta p_n(x) + \lambda_n p_n(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$$
$$\deg \sigma \leq 2, \quad \deg \tau = 1$$

In other words, if we call the **shift** operator

$$\mathfrak{S}_j f(x) = f(x+j)$$

the difference equation reads

$$[\sigma(x) + \tau(x)]\mathfrak{S}_1 p_n(x) - [2\sigma(x) + \tau(x)]\mathfrak{S}_0 p_n(x)$$
$$+ \sigma(x)\mathfrak{S}_{-1} p_n(x) + \lambda_n p_n(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

CLASSICAL FAMILIES

- **Charlier** (Poisson): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

$$\omega_a(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x}{x!} \delta_x, \quad a > 0$$

$$a c_n^a(x+1) - (x+a) c_n^a(x) + x c_n^a(x-1) = -n c_n^a(x)$$

- **Meixner** (Pascal, Geometric): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

$$\omega_{a,c}(x) = \Gamma(c)(1-a)^c \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_x a^x}{x!} \delta_x, \quad 0 < a < 1, \quad c > 0$$

$(i)_j = i(i+1)\cdots(i+j-1)$ is the **Pochhammer** symbol

$$a(x+c)m_n^{a,c}(x+1) - (x+a(x+c))m_n^{a,c}(x) + x m_n^{a,c}(x-1) = n(a-1)m_n^{a,c}(x)$$

CLASSICAL FAMILIES

- **Charlier** (Poisson): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

$$\omega_a(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x}{x!} \delta_x, \quad a > 0$$

$$a c_n^a(x+1) - (x+a) c_n^a(x) + x c_n^a(x-1) = -n c_n^a(x)$$

- **Meixner** (Pascal, Geometric): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

$$\omega_{a,c}(x) = \Gamma(c)(1-a)^c \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_x a^x}{x!} \delta_x, \quad 0 < a < 1, \quad c > 0$$

$(i)_j = i(i+1)\cdots(i+j-1)$ is the **Pochhammer** symbol

$$a(x+c)m_n^{a,c}(x+1) - (x+a(x+c))m_n^{a,c}(x) + x m_n^{a,c}(x-1) = n(a-1)m_n^{a,c}(x)$$

CLASSICAL FAMILIES

- **Krawtchouk** (Binomial, Bernoulli): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$.

$$\omega_{a,N}(x) = \frac{1}{(1+a)^{N-1}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{x} a^x \delta_x, \quad a > 0$$

$$a(N-x-1)k_n^{a,N}(x+1) - [x + a(N-x-1)]k_n^{a,N}(x) + xk_n^{a,N}(x-1) = -n(1+a)k_n^{a,N}(x)$$

- **Hahn** (Hypergeometric): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$

$$\omega_{a,b,N}(x) = \sum_{x=0}^N \binom{a+x}{x} \binom{b+N-x}{N-x} \delta_x, \quad a, b > -1, \quad a, b < -N$$

$$B(x)h_n^{a,b,N}(x+1) - [B(x) + D(x)]h_n^{a,b,N}(x) + D(x)h_n^{a,b,N}(x-1) = n(n+a+b+1)h_n^{a,b,N}(x)$$

where $B(x) = (x+a+1)(x-N)$ and $D(x) = x(x-b-N-1)$.

CLASSICAL FAMILIES

- **Krawtchouk** (Binomial, Bernoulli): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$.

$$\omega_{a,N}(x) = \frac{1}{(1+a)^{N-1}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{x} a^x \delta_x, \quad a > 0$$

$$a(N-x-1)k_n^{a,N}(x+1) - [x + a(N-x-1)]k_n^{a,N}(x) + xk_n^{a,N}(x-1) = -n(1+a)k_n^{a,N}(x)$$

- **Hahn** (Hypergeometric): $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$

$$\omega_{a,b,N}(x) = \sum_{x=0}^N \binom{a+x}{x} \binom{b+N-x}{N-x} \delta_x, \quad a, b > -1, \quad a, b < -N$$

$$B(x)h_n^{a,b,N}(x+1) - [B(x) + D(x)]h_n^{a,b,N}(x) + D(x)h_n^{a,b,N}(x-1) = n(n+a+b+1)h_n^{a,b,N}(x)$$

where $B(x) = (x+a+1)(x-N)$ and $D(x) = x(x-b-N-1)$.

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (CONTINUOUS CASE)

GOAL (H.L. Krall, 1939): find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher-order **differential** operator of the form

$$D_c = \sum_{j=0}^{2m} h_j(x) \frac{d^j}{dx^j}, \quad \deg(h_j) \leq j \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_c(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

A.M. Krall, Littlejohn, Koornwinder, Koekoek's, Lesky, Grünbaum, Heine, Iliev, Horozov, Zhedanov, etc (80's, 90's, 00's).

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \delta_{x_0}^{(j)}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

where ω is a (modified) classical weight and x_0 is an **endpoint** of the support of orthogonality of ω .

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (CONTINUOUS CASE)

GOAL (H.L. Krall, 1939): find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher-order **differential** operator of the form

$$D_c = \sum_{j=0}^{2m} h_j(x) \frac{d^j}{dx^j}, \quad \deg(h_j) \leq j \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_c(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

A.M. Krall, Littlejohn, Koornwinder, Koekoek's, Lesky, Grünbaum, Heine, Iliev, Horozov, Zhedanov, etc (80's, 90's, 00's).

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \delta_{x_0}^{(j)}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

where ω is a (modified) classical weight and x_0 is an **endpoint** of the support of orthogonality of ω .

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (CONTINUOUS CASE)

GOAL (H.L. Krall, 1939): find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher-order **differential** operator of the form

$$D_c = \sum_{j=0}^{2m} h_j(x) \frac{d^j}{dx^j}, \quad \deg(h_j) \leq j \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_c(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

A.M. Krall, Littlejohn, Koornwinder, Koekoek's, Lesky, Grünbaum, Heine, Iliev, Horozov, Zhedanov, etc (80's, 90's, 00's).

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \delta_{x_0}^{(j)}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

where ω is a (modified) classical weight and x_0 is an **endpoint** of the support of orthogonality of ω .

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (DISCRETE CASE)

The same question arise in the discrete setting, i.e. find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher order **difference** operator

$$D_d = \sum_{j=r}^s h_j(x) \mathfrak{S}_j, \quad h_s, h_r \neq 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_d(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

Bavinck-van Haeringen-Koekoek, 1994: adding deltas at the endpoints of the support does not work (**infinite order** difference operator).

Surprisingly, it has not been until very recently (Durán, 2012) when the first examples appeared. Also $s - r = 2m$.

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

where ω is a discrete classical weight and F is a finite set of numbers.

This is also called a **Christoffel transform** of ω .

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (DISCRETE CASE)

The same question arise in the discrete setting, i.e. find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher order **difference** operator

$$D_d = \sum_{j=r}^s h_j(x) \mathfrak{S}_j, \quad h_s, h_r \neq 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_d(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

Bavinck-van Haeringen-Koekoek, 1994: adding deltas at the endpoints of the support does not work (**infinite order** difference operator).

Surprisingly, it has not been until very recently (Durán, 2012) when the first examples appeared. Also $s - r = 2m$.

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

where ω is a discrete classical weight and F is a finite set of numbers.

This is also called a **Christoffel transform** of ω .

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (DISCRETE CASE)

The same question arise in the discrete setting, i.e. find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher order **difference** operator

$$D_d = \sum_{j=r}^s h_j(x) \mathfrak{S}_j, \quad h_s, h_r \neq 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_d(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

Bavinck-van Haeringen-Koekoek, 1994: adding deltas at the endpoints of the support does not work (**infinite order** difference operator).

Surprisingly, it has not been until very recently (Durán, 2012) when the first examples appeared. Also $s - r = 2m$.

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

where ω is a discrete classical weight and F is a finite set of numbers.

This is also called a **Christoffel transform** of ω .

KRALL POLYNOMIALS (DISCRETE CASE)

The same question arise in the discrete setting, i.e. find families of OP's $(q_n)_n$ which are also eigenfunctions of a higher order **difference** operator

$$D_d = \sum_{j=r}^s h_j(x) \mathfrak{S}_j, \quad h_s, h_r \neq 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_d(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$$

Bavinck-van Haeringen-Koekoek, 1994: adding deltas at the endpoints of the support does not work (**infinite order** difference operator).

Surprisingly, it has not been until very recently (Durán, 2012) when the first examples appeared. Also $s - r = 2m$.

$(q_n)_n$ are typically orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

where ω is a discrete classical weight and F is a finite set of numbers.

This is also called a **Christoffel transform** of ω .

CONJECTURES (DURÁN, 2012)

For a finite set F consider $r_F = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$.

Conjecture A: Let ω_a be the **Charlier** weight and consider (F finite)

$$\omega_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega_a$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to ω_a^F are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_F$.

Conjecture B: Let $\omega_{a,c}$ be the **Meixner** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x + c + f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (x - f) \omega_{a,c}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

Conjecture C: Let $\omega_{a,N}$ be the **Krawtchouk** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x - f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (N - 1 - f - x) \omega_{a,N}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

CONJECTURES (DURÁN, 2012)

For a finite set F consider $r_F = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$.

Conjecture A: Let ω_a be the **Charlier** weight and consider (F finite)

$$\omega_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega_a$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to ω_a^F are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_F$.

Conjecture B: Let $\omega_{a,c}$ be the **Meixner** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x + c + f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (x - f) \omega_{a,c}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

Conjecture C: Let $\omega_{a,N}$ be the **Krawtchouk** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x - f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (N - 1 - f - x) \omega_{a,N}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

CONJECTURES (DURÁN, 2012)

For a finite set F consider $r_F = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$.

Conjecture A: Let ω_a be the **Charlier** weight and consider (F finite)

$$\omega_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega_a$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to ω_a^F are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_F$.

Conjecture B: Let $\omega_{a,c}$ be the **Meixner** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x + c + f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (x - f) \omega_{a,c}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

Conjecture C: Let $\omega_{a,N}$ be the **Krawtchouk** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x - f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (N - 1 - f - x) \omega_{a,N}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

CONJECTURES (DURÁN, 2012)

For a finite set F consider $r_F = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$.

Conjecture A: Let ω_a be the **Charlier** weight and consider (F finite)

$$\omega_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega_a$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to ω_a^F are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_F$.

Conjecture B: Let $\omega_{a,c}$ be the **Meixner** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x + c + f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (x - f) \omega_{a,c}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

Conjecture C: Let $\omega_{a,N}$ be the **Krawtchouk** weight and consider (F_1, F_2 finite)

$$\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x - f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (N - 1 - f - x) \omega_{a,N}$$

The OP's $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2}$ are eigenfunctions of a higher-order difference operator with $-s = r = r_{F_1} + r_{F_2} - 1$.

OUTLINE

1 INTRODUCTION

- Classical discrete orthogonal polynomials
- Krall orthogonal polynomials

2 METHODOLOGY

- \mathcal{D} -operators
- Choice of polynomials
- Identifying the measure

3 EXAMPLES

- Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of (differential or difference) operators and $(p_n)_n$ a family of polynomials such that there exists $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_p(p_n) = np_n$. Given a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{D}(p_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \varepsilon_n \cdots \varepsilon_{n-j} p_{n-j} = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_{n-1} p_{n-2} + \cdots$$

We say that \mathcal{D} is an **\mathcal{D} -operator** associated with \mathcal{A} and $(p_n)_n$ if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

- **Laguerre:** $\varepsilon_n = -1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$.

- **Charlier:** $\varepsilon_n = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \nabla$.

- **Meixner:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla.$$

- **Krawtchouk:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta.$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of (differential or difference) operators and $(p_n)_n$ a family of polynomials such that there exists $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_p(p_n) = np_n$. Given a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{D}(p_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \varepsilon_n \cdots \varepsilon_{n-j} p_{n-j} = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_{n-1} p_{n-2} + \cdots$$

We say that \mathcal{D} is an **\mathcal{D} -operator** associated with \mathcal{A} and $(p_n)_n$ if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

- **Laguerre:** $\varepsilon_n = -1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$.

- **Charlier:** $\varepsilon_n = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \nabla$.

- **Meixner:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla.$$

- **Krawtchouk:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta.$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of (differential or difference) operators and $(p_n)_n$ a family of polynomials such that there exists $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_p(p_n) = np_n$. Given a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{D}(p_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \varepsilon_n \cdots \varepsilon_{n-j} p_{n-j} = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_{n-1} p_{n-2} + \cdots$$

We say that \mathcal{D} is an **\mathcal{D} -operator** associated with \mathcal{A} and $(p_n)_n$ if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

- **Laguerre:** $\varepsilon_n = -1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$.

- **Charlier:** $\varepsilon_n = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \nabla$.

- **Meixner:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla.$$

- **Krawtchouk:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta.$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of (differential or difference) operators and $(p_n)_n$ a family of polynomials such that there exists $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_p(p_n) = np_n$. Given a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{D}(p_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \varepsilon_n \cdots \varepsilon_{n-j} p_{n-j} = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_{n-1} p_{n-2} + \cdots$$

We say that \mathcal{D} is an **\mathcal{D} -operator** associated with \mathcal{A} and $(p_n)_n$ if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

- **Laguerre:** $\varepsilon_n = -1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$.

- **Charlier:** $\varepsilon_n = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \nabla$.

- **Meixner:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla.$$

- **Krawtchouk:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta.$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of (differential or difference) operators and $(p_n)_n$ a family of polynomials such that there exists $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_p(p_n) = np_n$. Given a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{D}(p_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \varepsilon_n \cdots \varepsilon_{n-j} p_{n-j} = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_{n-1} p_{n-2} + \cdots$$

We say that \mathcal{D} is an **\mathcal{D} -operator** associated with \mathcal{A} and $(p_n)_n$ if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

- **Laguerre:** $\varepsilon_n = -1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$.

- **Charlier:** $\varepsilon_n = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \nabla$.

- **Meixner:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla.$$

- **Krawtchouk:**

$$\varepsilon_n^1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla, \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = -\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta.$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

THEOREM (DURÁN, 2013)

Let \mathcal{A} , $(p_n)_n$, $D_p(p_n) = np_n$, $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ and \mathcal{D} .

For an **arbitrary** polynomial R such that $R(n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, we define a new polynomial P by

$$P(x) - P(x - 1) = R(x)$$

and a sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$ and

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1$$

where the numbers β_n , $n \geq 0$, are given by

$$\beta_n = \varepsilon_n \frac{R(n)}{R(n-1)}, \quad n \geq 1$$

Then there exist $D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $D_q(q_n) = P(n)q_n$ where

$$D_q = P(D_p) + \mathcal{D}R(D_p)$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

GOAL: Extend the previous Theorem for the case that we consider a linear combination of $m + 1$ consecutive p_n 's:

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_{n,1}p_{n-1} + \beta_{n,2}p_{n-2} + \cdots + \beta_{n,m}p_{n-m}$$

Let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m be m arbitrary polynomials and m \mathcal{D} -operators $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m$ defined by the sequences $(\varepsilon_n^h)_n$, $h = 1, \dots, m$.

Define the auxiliary functions $\xi_{n,i}^h$ by

$$\xi_{n,i}^h = \varepsilon_n^h \varepsilon_{n-1}^h \cdots \varepsilon_{n-i+1}^h$$

and assume that the following **Casorati determinant** never vanish ($n \geq 0$)

$$\Omega(n) = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_1(n-1) & \xi_{n-2,m-2}^1 R_1(n-2) & \cdots & R_1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_m(n-1) & \xi_{n-2,m-2}^m R_m(n-2) & \cdots & R_m(n-m) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

GOAL: Extend the previous Theorem for the case that we consider a linear combination of $m + 1$ consecutive p_n 's:

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_{n,1}p_{n-1} + \beta_{n,2}p_{n-2} + \cdots + \beta_{n,m}p_{n-m}$$

Let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m be m arbitrary polynomials and m \mathcal{D} -operators $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m$ defined by the sequences $(\varepsilon_n^h)_n$, $h = 1, \dots, m$.

Define the auxiliary functions $\xi_{n,i}^h$ by

$$\xi_{n,i}^h = \varepsilon_n^h \varepsilon_{n-1}^h \cdots \varepsilon_{n-i+1}^h$$

and assume that the following Casorati determinant never vanish ($n \geq 0$)

$$\Omega(n) = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_1(n-1) & \xi_{n-2,m-2}^1 R_1(n-2) & \cdots & R_1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_m(n-1) & \xi_{n-2,m-2}^m R_m(n-2) & \cdots & R_m(n-m) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

GOAL: Extend the previous Theorem for the case that we consider a linear combination of $m + 1$ consecutive p_n 's:

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_{n,1}p_{n-1} + \beta_{n,2}p_{n-2} + \cdots + \beta_{n,m}p_{n-m}$$

Let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m be m arbitrary polynomials and m \mathcal{D} -operators $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m$ defined by the sequences $(\varepsilon_n^h)_n$, $h = 1, \dots, m$.

Define the auxiliary functions $\xi_{n,i}^h$ by

$$\xi_{n,i}^h = \varepsilon_n^h \varepsilon_{n-1}^h \cdots \varepsilon_{n-i+1}^h$$

and assume that the following **Casorati determinant** never vanish ($n \geq 0$)

$$\Omega(n) = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_1(n-1) & \xi_{n-2,m-2}^1 R_1(n-2) & \cdots & R_1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_m(n-1) & \xi_{n-2,m-2}^m R_m(n-2) & \cdots & R_m(n-m) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Now consider the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ defined by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} p_n(x) & -p_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m p_{n-m}(x) \\ \xi_{n,m}^1 R_1(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_1(n-1) & \cdots & R_1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n,m}^m R_m(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_m(n-1) & \cdots & R_m(n-m) \end{vmatrix}$$

Observation: q_n is a linear combination of $m+1$ consecutive p_n 's.

Define for $h = 1, \dots, m$, the following functions

$$M_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{h+j} \xi_{x,m-j}^h \det \left(\xi_{x+j-r,m-r}^l R_l(x+j-r) \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} l \neq h \\ r \neq j \end{array} \right\}$$

Observation: M_h are linear combinations of adjoint determinants of $\Omega(x)$.

If we assume that $\Omega(x)$ and $M_h(x)$ are polynomials in x , then $\exists D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_q(q_n) = P(n)q_n$ and $P(x) - P(x-1) = \Omega(x)$, where

$$D_q = P(D_p) + \sum_{h=1}^m M_h(D_p) \mathcal{D}_h R_h(D_p)$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Now consider the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ defined by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} p_n(x) & -p_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m p_{n-m}(x) \\ \xi_{n,m}^1 R_1(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_1(n-1) & \cdots & R_1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n,m}^m R_m(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_m(n-1) & \cdots & R_m(n-m) \end{vmatrix}$$

Observation: q_n is a linear combination of $m+1$ consecutive p_n 's. Define for $h = 1, \dots, m$, the following functions

$$M_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{h+j} \xi_{x,m-j}^h \det \left(\xi_{x+j-r,m-r}^l R_l(x+j-r) \right) \begin{cases} l \neq h \\ r \neq j \end{cases}$$

Observation: M_h are linear combinations of adjoint determinants of $\Omega(x)$.

If we assume that $\Omega(x)$ and $M_h(x)$ are polynomials in x , then $\exists D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_q(q_n) = P(n)q_n$ and $P(x) - P(x-1) = \Omega(x)$, where

$$D_q = P(D_p) + \sum_{h=1}^m M_h(D_p) \mathcal{D}_h R_h(D_p)$$

\mathcal{D} -OPERATORS

Now consider the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ defined by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} p_n(x) & -p_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m p_{n-m}(x) \\ \xi_{n,m}^1 R_1(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_1(n-1) & \cdots & R_1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n,m}^m R_m(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_m(n-1) & \cdots & R_m(n-m) \end{vmatrix}$$

Observation: q_n is a linear combination of $m+1$ consecutive p_n 's. Define for $h = 1, \dots, m$, the following functions

$$M_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{h+j} \xi_{x,m-j}^h \det \left(\xi_{x+j-r,m-r}^l R_l(x+j-r) \right) \begin{cases} l \neq h \\ r \neq j \end{cases}$$

Observation: M_h are linear combinations of adjoint determinants of $\Omega(x)$. If we assume that $\Omega(x)$ and $M_h(x)$ are polynomials in x , then $\exists D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D_q(q_n) = P(n)q_n$ and $P(x) - P(x-1) = \Omega(x)$, where

$$D_q = P(D_p) + \sum_{h=1}^m M_h(D_p) \mathcal{D}_h R_h(D_p)$$

CHOICE OF R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m

GOAL: Make $(q_n)_n$ **bispectral** (we already have $D_q(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$).

For that we have to make an appropriate choice of the **arbitrary** polynomials R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m . This choice is based on the following **recurrence formula** ($h = 1, \dots, m$):

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}^h a_{n+1} R_j^h(n+1) - b_n R_j^h(n) + \frac{c_n}{\varepsilon_n^h} R_j^h(n-1) = (\eta_{hj} + \kappa_h) R_j^h(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where η_h and κ_h are real numbers independent of n and j , $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the coefficients in the TTRR for the OP's $(p_n)_n$, and $(\varepsilon_n^h)_n$ defines a \mathcal{D} -operator for $(p_n)_n$.

Classical discrete family	\mathcal{D} -operators	$R_j(x)$
Charlier: $c_n^a, n \geq 0$	∇	$c_j^{-a}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
Meixner: $m_n^{a,c}, n \geq 0$	$\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta$	$m_j^{1/a, 2-c}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
	$\frac{1}{1-a} \nabla$	$m_j^{a, 2-c}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
Krawtchouk: $k_n^{a,N}, n \geq 0$	$\frac{1}{1+a} \nabla$	$k_j^{a, -N}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
	$\frac{-a}{1+a} \Delta$	$k_j^{1/a, -N}(-x-1), j \geq 0$

CHOICE OF R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m

GOAL: Make $(q_n)_n$ **bispectral** (we already have $D_q(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$).

For that we have to make an appropriate choice of the **arbitrary** polynomials R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m . This choice is based on the following **recurrence formula** ($h = 1, \dots, m$):

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}^h a_{n+1} R_j^h(n+1) - b_n R_j^h(n) + \frac{c_n}{\varepsilon_n^h} R_j^h(n-1) = (\eta_{hj} + \kappa_h) R_j^h(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where η_h and κ_h are real numbers independent of n and j , $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the coefficients in the TTRR for the OP's $(p_n)_n$, and $(\varepsilon_n^h)_n$ defines a \mathcal{D} -operator for $(p_n)_n$.

Classical discrete family	\mathcal{D} -operators	$R_j(x)$
Charlier: $c_n^a, n \geq 0$	∇	$c_j^{-a}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
Meixner: $m_n^{a,c}, n \geq 0$	$\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta$	$m_j^{1/a, 2-c}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
	$\frac{1}{1-a} \nabla$	$m_j^{a, 2-c}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
Krawtchouk: $k_n^{a,N}, n \geq 0$	$\frac{1}{1+a} \nabla$	$k_j^{a, -N}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
	$\frac{-a}{1+a} \Delta$	$k_j^{1/a, -N}(-x-1), j \geq 0$

CHOICE OF R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m

GOAL: Make $(q_n)_n$ **bispectral** (we already have $D_q(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$).

For that we have to make an appropriate choice of the **arbitrary** polynomials R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m . This choice is based on the following **recurrence formula** ($h = 1, \dots, m$):

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}^h a_{n+1} R_j^h(n+1) - b_n R_j^h(n) + \frac{c_n}{\varepsilon_n^h} R_j^h(n-1) = (\eta_{hj} + \kappa_h) R_j^h(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where η_h and κ_h are real numbers independent of n and j , $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the coefficients in the TTRR for the OP's $(p_n)_n$, and $(\varepsilon_n^h)_n$ defines a \mathcal{D} -operator for $(p_n)_n$.

Classical discrete family	\mathcal{D} -operators	$R_j(x)$
Charlier: $c_n^a, n \geq 0$	∇	$c_j^{-a}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
Meixner: $m_n^{a,c}, n \geq 0$	$\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta$	$m_j^{1/a, 2-c}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
	$\frac{1}{1-a} \nabla$	$m_j^{a, 2-c}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
Krawtchouk: $k_n^{a,N}, n \geq 0$	$\frac{1}{1+a} \nabla$	$k_j^{a, -N}(-x-1), j \geq 0$
	$\frac{-a}{1+a} \Delta$	$k_j^{1/a, -N}(-x-1), j \geq 0$

IDENTIFYING THE MEASURE

Given a set G of m positive integers, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ we then define the sequence of polynomials $(q_n^G)_n$ by

$$q_n^G(x) = \begin{vmatrix} p_n(x) & -p_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m p_{n-m}(x) \\ \xi_{n,m}^1 R_{g_1}^1(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_{g_1}^1(n-1) & \cdots & R_{g_1}^1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n,m}^m R_{g_m}^m(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_{g_m}^m(n-1) & \cdots & R_{g_m}^m(n-m) \end{vmatrix}$$

$(q_n^G)_n$ will be orthogonal w.r.t a **Christoffel transform** of ω (or several)

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

How is the set G related with the set F ? : G will be identified by one of the following sets:

$$I(F) = \{1, 2, \dots, f_k\} \setminus \{f_k - f, f \in F\},$$

$$J_h(F) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, f_k + h - 1\} \setminus \{f - 1, f \in F\}, \quad h \geq 1$$

where $f_k = \max F$ and $k = \#(F)$.

IDENTIFYING THE MEASURE

Given a set G of m positive integers, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ we then define the sequence of polynomials $(q_n^G)_n$ by

$$q_n^G(x) = \begin{vmatrix} p_n(x) & -p_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m p_{n-m}(x) \\ \xi_{n,m}^1 R_{g_1}^1(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_{g_1}^1(n-1) & \cdots & R_{g_1}^1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n,m}^m R_{g_m}^m(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_{g_m}^m(n-1) & \cdots & R_{g_m}^m(n-m) \end{vmatrix}$$

$(q_n^G)_n$ will be orthogonal w.r.t a **Christoffel transform** of ω (or several)

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

How is the set G related with the set F ? : G will be identified by one of the following sets:

$$I(F) = \{1, 2, \dots, f_k\} \setminus \{f_k - f, f \in F\},$$

$$J_h(F) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, f_k + h - 1\} \setminus \{f - 1, f \in F\}, \quad h \geq 1$$

where $f_k = \max F$ and $k = \#(F)$.

IDENTIFYING THE MEASURE

Given a set G of m positive integers, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ we then define the sequence of polynomials $(q_n^G)_n$ by

$$q_n^G(x) = \begin{vmatrix} p_n(x) & -p_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m p_{n-m}(x) \\ \xi_{n,m}^1 R_{g_1}^1(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^1 R_{g_1}^1(n-1) & \cdots & R_{g_1}^1(n-m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{n,m}^m R_{g_m}^m(n) & \xi_{n-1,m-1}^m R_{g_m}^m(n-1) & \cdots & R_{g_m}^m(n-m) \end{vmatrix}$$

$(q_n^G)_n$ will be orthogonal w.r.t a **Christoffel transform** of ω (or several)

$$\omega^F(x) = \prod_{f \in F} (x - f) \omega(x)$$

How is the set G related with the set F ?: G will be identified by one of the following sets:

$$I(F) = \{1, 2, \dots, f_k\} \setminus \{f_k - f, f \in F\},$$

$$J_h(F) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, f_k + h - 1\} \setminus \{f - 1, f \in F\}, \quad h \geq 1$$

where $f_k = \max F$ and $k = \#(F)$.

OUTLINE

1 INTRODUCTION

- Classical discrete orthogonal polynomials
- Krall orthogonal polynomials

2 METHODOLOGY

- \mathcal{D} -operators
- Choice of polynomials
- Identifying the measure

3 EXAMPLES

- Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials

CHARLIER POLYNOMIALS

Let $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ be finite and consider $G = I(F) = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$.

Let ω_a be the Charlier measure and $(c_n^a)_n$ its sequence of OP's. Assume that $\Omega_G(n) = \det (c_{g_i}^{-a}(-n - j - 1))_{i,j=1}^m \neq 0$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} c_n^a(x) & -c_{n-1}^a(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m c_{n-m}^a(x) \\ c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n-1) & c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n) & \cdots & c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n-1) & c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n) & \cdots & c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n+m-1) \end{vmatrix}$$

then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x + f_k + 1 - f) \omega_a(x + f_k + 1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with $-s = r = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$ and $f_k = \max F$.

Proof of Conjecture A: $\omega_a^F = a^{f_k+1} \tilde{\omega}_a^F(x - f_k - 1)$.

CHARLIER POLYNOMIALS

Let $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ be finite and consider $G = I(F) = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$.

Let ω_a be the Charlier measure and $(c_n^a)_n$ its sequence of OP's. Assume that $\Omega_G(n) = \det (c_{g_i}^{-a}(-n - j - 1))_{i,j=1}^m \neq 0$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} c_n^a(x) & -c_{n-1}^a(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m c_{n-m}^a(x) \\ c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n-1) & c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n) & \cdots & c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n-1) & c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n) & \cdots & c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n+m-1) \end{vmatrix}$$

then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x + f_k + 1 - f) \omega_a(x + f_k + 1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with $-s = r = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$ and $f_k = \max F$.

Proof of Conjecture A: $\omega_a^F = a^{f_k+1} \tilde{\omega}_a^F(x - f_k - 1)$.

CHARLIER POLYNOMIALS

Let $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ be finite and consider $G = I(F) = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$.

Let ω_a be the Charlier measure and $(c_n^a)_n$ its sequence of OP's. Assume that $\Omega_G(n) = \det (c_{g_i}^{-a}(-n-j-1))_{i,j=1}^m \neq 0$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} c_n^a(x) & -c_{n-1}^a(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m c_{n-m}^a(x) \\ c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n-1) & c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n) & \cdots & c_{g_1}^{-a}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n-1) & c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n) & \cdots & c_{g_m}^{-a}(-n+m-1) \end{vmatrix}$$

then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_a^F = \prod_{f \in F} (x + f_k + 1 - f) \omega_a(x + f_k + 1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with $-s = r = \sum_{f \in F} f - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1$, where $n_F = \#(F)$ and $f_k = \max F$.

Proof of Conjecture A: $\omega_a^F = a^{f_k+1} \tilde{\omega}_a^F(x - f_k - 1)$.

CHARLIER POLYNOMIALS: EXPLICIT EXAMPLE

Let $a = 1$, $F = \{1, 3\}$, $G = I(F) = \{1, 3\}$.

$\frac{q_n^G}{\Omega(n)} = c_n^1 + \beta_{n,1}c_{n-1}^1 + \beta_{n,2}c_{n-2}^1$ are orthogonal w.r.t

$$\tilde{\omega}_1^F = (x+3)(x+1)\omega_1(x+4)$$

The difference operator (of order 8) satisfying $D_q(q_n^G) = P(n)q_n^G$ is

$$D_q = P(D_1) + M_1(D_1)\nabla R_1(D_1) + M_2(D_1)\nabla R_2(D_1)$$

where

$$D_1 = -x\mathfrak{S}_{-1} + (x+1)\mathfrak{S}_0 - \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad D_1(c_n^1) = nc_n^1, \quad n \geq 0$$

$$R_1(x) = -x, \quad R_2(x) = -\frac{1}{6}(x^3 + 3x^2 + 5x + 2)$$

$$M_1(x) = x^2 + 2x + 2, \quad M_2(x) = -2$$

$$P(x) = -\frac{x}{12}(x^3 - 2x^2 - x - 2)$$

CHARLIER POLYNOMIALS: EXPLICIT EXAMPLE

Let $a = 1$, $F = \{1, 3\}$, $G = I(F) = \{1, 3\}$.

$\frac{q_n^G}{\Omega(n)} = c_n^1 + \beta_{n,1}c_{n-1}^1 + \beta_{n,2}c_{n-2}^1$ are orthogonal w.r.t

$$\tilde{\omega}_1^F = (x+3)(x+1)\omega_1(x+4)$$

The difference operator (of order 8) satisfying $D_q(q_n^G) = P(n)q_n^G$ is

$$D_q = P(D_1) + M_1(D_1)\nabla R_1(D_1) + M_2(D_1)\nabla R_2(D_1)$$

where

$$D_1 = -x\mathfrak{S}_{-1} + (x+1)\mathfrak{S}_0 - \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad D_1(c_n^1) = nc_n^1, \quad n \geq 0$$

$$R_1(x) = -x, \quad R_2(x) = -\frac{1}{6}(x^3 + 3x^2 + 5x + 2)$$

$$M_1(x) = x^2 + 2x + 2, \quad M_2(x) = -2$$

$$P(x) = -\frac{x}{12}(x^3 - 2x^2 - x - 2)$$

MEIXNER POLYNOMIALS

In this case have **two** different \mathcal{D} -operators. That means that we will have to consider two sets of positive integers $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Consider $H = J_h(F_1) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{m_1}\}, K = I(F_2) = \{k_1, \dots, k_{m_2}\}$ and $m = m_1 + m_2$, $\omega_{a,c}$ the Meixner measure and $(m_n^{a,c})_n$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{(1-a)^m m_n^{a,c}(x)}{a^m} & \frac{-(1-a)^{m-1} m_{n-1}^{a,c}(x)}{a^{m-1}} & \dots & (-1)^m m_{n-m}^{a,c}(x) \\ m_{h_1}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n-1) & m_{h_1}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n) & \dots & m_{h_1}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{h_{m_1}}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n-1) & m_{h_{m_1}}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n) & \dots & m_{h_{m_1}}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \\ \frac{m_{k_1}^{a, 2-c}(-n-1)}{a^m} & \frac{m_{k_1}^{a, 2-c}(-n)}{a^{m-1}} & \dots & m_{k_1}^{a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{m_{k_{m_2}}^{a, 2-c}(-n-1)}{a^m} & \frac{m_{k_{m_2}}^{a, 2-c}(-n)}{a^{m-1}} & \dots & m_{k_{m_2}}^{a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

MEIXNER POLYNOMIALS

In this case have **two** different \mathcal{D} -operators. That means that we will have to consider two sets of positive integers $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Consider $H = J_h(F_1) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{m_1}\}, K = I(F_2) = \{k_1, \dots, k_{m_2}\}$ and $m = m_1 + m_2$, $\omega_{a,c}$ the Meixner measure and $(m_n^{a,c})_n$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{(1-a)^m m_n^{a,c}(x)}{a^m} & \frac{-(1-a)^{m-1} m_{n-1}^{a,c}(x)}{a^{m-1}} & \dots & (-1)^m m_{n-m}^{a,c}(x) \\ m_{h_1}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n-1) & m_{h_1}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n) & \dots & m_{h_1}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{h_{m_1}}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n-1) & m_{h_{m_1}}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n) & \dots & m_{h_{m_1}}^{1/a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \\ \frac{m_{k_1}^{a, 2-c}(-n-1)}{a^m} & \frac{m_{k_1}^{a, 2-c}(-n)}{a^{m-1}} & \dots & m_{k_1}^{a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{m_{k_{m_2}}^{a, 2-c}(-n-1)}{a^m} & \frac{m_{k_{m_2}}^{a, 2-c}(-n)}{a^{m-1}} & \dots & m_{k_{m_2}}^{a, 2-c}(-n+m-1) \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

MEIXNER POLYNOMIALS

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2, h} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x+c-f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (x+f_{2,M}+1-f) \omega_{a,c-f_{1,M}-f_{2,M}-h-1}(x+f_{2,M}+1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with

$$-s = r = \sum_{f \in F_2} f - \sum_{f \in F_1} f - \frac{n_{F_1}(n_{F_1} - 1)}{2} - \frac{n_{F_2}(n_{F_2} - 1)}{2} + n_{F_1}(f_{1,M} + h) + 1$$

where $n_{F_i} = \#(F_i)$ and $f_{i,M} = \max F_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof of Conjecture B: Write $\tilde{F}_1 = \{f_{1,M} - f + 1, f \in F_1\}$,
 $\tilde{c} = c + f_{1,M} + f_{2,M} + 2$ and $h = \min F_1$. In particular $J_h(\tilde{F}_1) = I(F_1)$.
 Therefore we have

$$\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2} = (1-a)^{c-\tilde{c}} \tilde{\omega}_{a,\tilde{c}}^{\tilde{F}_1, F_2, h}(x - f_{2,M} - 1)$$

MEIXNER POLYNOMIALS

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2, h} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x+c-f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (x+f_{2,M}+1-f) \omega_{a, c-f_{1,M}-f_{2,M}-h-1}(x+f_{2,M}+1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with

$$-s = r = \sum_{f \in F_2} f - \sum_{f \in F_1} f - \frac{n_{F_1}(n_{F_1} - 1)}{2} - \frac{n_{F_2}(n_{F_2} - 1)}{2} + n_{F_1}(f_{1,M} + h) + 1$$

where $n_{F_i} = \#(F_i)$ and $f_{i,M} = \max F_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof of Conjecture B: Write $\tilde{F}_1 = \{f_{1,M} - f + 1, f \in F_1\}$,
 $\tilde{c} = c + f_{1,M} + f_{2,M} + 2$ and $h = \min F_1$. In particular $J_h(\tilde{F}_1) = I(F_1)$.
 Therefore we have

$$\omega_{a,c}^{F_1, F_2} = (1-a)^{c-\tilde{c}} \tilde{\omega}_{a,\tilde{c}}^{\tilde{F}_1, F_2, h}(x - f_{2,M} - 1)$$

KRAWTCHOUK POLYNOMIALS

Again we have two different \mathcal{D} -operators. Consider $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite and $K = I(F_1) = \{k_1, \dots, k_{m_2}\}$, $H = J_h(F_2) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{m_1}\}$, $m = m_1 + m_2$, $\omega_{a,N}$ the Krawtchouk measure and $(k_n^{a,N})_n$. We assume that $f_{1,M}, f_{2,M} < N/2$ (so that $F_1 \cap \{N-1-f, f \in F_2\} = \emptyset$), where $f_{i,M} = \max F_i$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} (1+a)^m k_n^{a,N}(x) & -(1+a)^{m-1} k_{n-1}^{a,N}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m k_{n-m}^{a,N}(x) \\ k_{k_1}^{a,-N}(-n-1) & k_{k_1}^{a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{k_1}^{a,-N}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_{k_{m_1}}^{a,-N}(-n-1) & k_{k_{m_1}}^{a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{k_{m_1}}^{a,-N}(-n+m-1) \\ (-a)^m k_{h_1}^{1/a,-N}(-n-1) & (-a)^{m-1} k_{h_1}^{1/a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{h_1}^{1/a,-N}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (-a)^m k_{h_{m_2}}^{1/a,-N}(-n-1) & (-a)^{m-1} k_{h_{m_2}}^{1/a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{h_{m_2}}^{1/a,-N}(-n+m-1) \end{vmatrix}$$

KRAWTCHOUK POLYNOMIALS

Again we have two different \mathcal{D} -operators. Consider $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite and $K = I(F_1) = \{k_1, \dots, k_{m_2}\}$, $H = J_h(F_2) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{m_1}\}$, $m = m_1 + m_2$, $\omega_{a,N}$ the Krawtchouk measure and $(k_n^{a,N})_n$. We assume that $f_{1,M}, f_{2,M} < N/2$ (so that $F_1 \cap \{N-1-f, f \in F_2\} = \emptyset$), where $f_{i,M} = \max F_i$.

If we define $(q_n)_n$ by

$$q_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} (1+a)^m k_n^{a,N}(x) & -(1+a)^{m-1} k_{n-1}^{a,N}(x) & \cdots & (-1)^m k_{n-m}^{a,N}(x) \\ k_{k_1}^{a,-N}(-n-1) & k_{k_1}^{a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{k_1}^{a,-N}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_{k_{m_1}}^{a,-N}(-n-1) & k_{k_{m_1}}^{a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{k_{m_1}}^{a,-N}(-n+m-1) \\ (-a)^m k_{h_1}^{1/a,-N}(-n-1) & (-a)^{m-1} k_{h_1}^{1/a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{h_1}^{1/a,-N}(-n+m-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (-a)^m k_{h_{m_2}}^{1/a,-N}(-n-1) & (-a)^{m-1} k_{h_{m_2}}^{1/a,-N}(-n) & \cdots & k_{h_{m_2}}^{1/a,-N}(-n+m-1) \end{vmatrix}$$

KRAWTCHOUK POLYNOMIALS

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2, h} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x + f_{1,M} + 1 - f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (N - x - 1 + f) \omega_{a, N + f_{1,M} + f_{2,M} + h + 1}(x + f_{1,M} + 1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with

$$-s = r = \sum_{f \in F_1} f - \sum_{f \in F_2} f - \frac{n_{F_1}(n_{F_1} - 1)}{2} - \frac{n_{F_2}(n_{F_2} - 1)}{2} + n_{F_2}(f_{2,M} + h) + 1$$

where $n_{F_i} = \#(F_i)$ and $f_{i,M} = \max F_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof of Conjecture C: Write $\tilde{F}_1 = \{f_{1,M} - f + 1, f \in F_1\}$,
 $\tilde{F}_2 = \{f_{1,M} + f_{2,M} - f + 2, f \in F_2\}$, $\tilde{N} = N - f_{1,M} - f_{2,M} - 2$ and $h = \min F_1$.
 In particular $J_h(\tilde{F}_2) = I(F_2)$. Therefore we have

$$\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2} = \tilde{\omega}_{a, \tilde{N}}^{\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, h}(x - f_{1,M} - 1)$$

KRAWTCHOUK POLYNOMIALS

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are **orthogonal** with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\omega}_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2, h} = \prod_{f \in F_1} (x + f_{1,M} + 1 - f) \prod_{f \in F_2} (N - x - 1 + f) \omega_{a, N + f_{1,M} + f_{2,M} + h + 1}(x + f_{1,M} + 1)$$

and they are **eigenfunctions** of a higher order difference operator D_q with

$$-s = r = \sum_{f \in F_1} f - \sum_{f \in F_2} f - \frac{n_{F_1}(n_{F_1} - 1)}{2} - \frac{n_{F_2}(n_{F_2} - 1)}{2} + n_{F_2}(f_{2,M} + h) + 1$$

where $n_{F_i} = \#(F_i)$ and $f_{i,M} = \max F_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof of Conjecture C: Write $\tilde{F}_1 = \{f_{1,M} - f + 1, f \in F_1\}$,
 $\tilde{F}_2 = \{f_{1,M} + f_{2,M} - f + 2, f \in F_2\}$, $\tilde{N} = N - f_{1,M} - f_{2,M} - 2$ and $h = \min F_1$.
 In particular $J_h(\tilde{F}_2) = I(F_2)$. Therefore we have

$$\omega_{a,N}^{F_1, F_2} = \tilde{\omega}_{a, \tilde{N}}^{\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, h}(x - f_{1,M} - 1)$$