SHARP AFFINE SOBOLEV TYPE INEQUALITIES VIA THE L_p BUSEMANN-PETTY CENTROID INEQUALITY

J. HADDAD, C.H. JIMÉNEZ, M. MONTENEGRO

ABSTRACT. We show that the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality provides an elementary and powerful tool to the study of some sharp affine functional inequalities with a geometric content, like log-Sobolev, Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. Our approach allows also to characterize directly the corresponding equality cases.

1. Introduction and previous results

The goal of the present work is to discuss a new approach for the study of well-known affine Sobolev type inequalities such as log-Sobolev, Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities with sharp constants. More precisely, we wish to

- (1) give a unified and elementary treatment of sharp affine log-Sobolev, Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities;
- (2) illustrate the efficiency of the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality for the study of such inequalities, and by this method reveal in a more explicit way their geometrical nature;
- (3) determine all cases of equality in these sharp inequalities as a by-product of our method.

Our main contribution consists in providing a new point of view for such inequalities by combining tools of Convex Geometry and Analysis. We address these inequalities in a more elementary way by avoiding the use of the solution of the L_p Minkowski problem or a strengthen Pólya-Szegö principle, which were fundamental ingredients when said inequalities were first obtained.

Isoperimetric inequalities provide us with tools to compare parameters associated (in general) to convex bodies. In recent years a lot of attention has been paid to extending the classical Euclidean versions of said inequalities. Among these extensions we find the class of affine isoperimetric inequalities, that remain invariant under the action of non-degenerate (often volume preserving) linear maps.

One of the main ingredients used here is the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality. This affine isoperimetric inequality, first obtained by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [29], generalizes the classical Busemann-Petty centroid inequality due to Petty [37] that compares the ratio between the volume of a convex body K and that of its centroid body. A more recent proof was obtained in [7] using the technique of shadow systems. Affine functional inequalities, as those treated in this work, have been obtained using essentially the L_p extension of the Minkowski problem, Pólya-Szegö type results and the L_p Petty projection inequality. The strong connection between the Petty projection and the Busemann-Petty (see [27]) inequalities makes the use of the latter in this type of approach far from surprising, however, it provides us with some additional geometric information.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E35, Secondary 51M16.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.\ L_p$ Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, affine logarithmic inequalities, affine Sobolev inequalities.

In order to show the efficiency of our approach, we choose three prototypes of sharp affine functional inequalities, namely sharp affine L_p log-Sobolev, Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. Our method extends to other situations as can be quoted in the recent works [36], where Nguyen obtains a Pólya-Szegö type principle by using some central ideas of this work, and [12], where the authors introduce and prove the sharp affine L^2 Sobolev trace inequality.

Logarithmic Sobolev type inequalities (or log-Sobolev inequalities) are a powerful tool in Real Analysis, Complex Analysis, Geometric Analysis, Convex Geometry and Probability. The pioneer work by L. Gross [21] put forward the equivalence between a class of sharp log-Sobolev inequalities involving probability measures and hypercontractivity of the associated heat semigroup. For the Gaussian measure, the Gross's inequality can be placed into another form known as L_2 log-Sobolev inequality (or L_2 -entropy inequality). Later, several authors established the sharp L_p version of this latter one as well as its extremal functions. For a list of references concerning the L_p log-Sobolev inequality (or L_p -entropy inequality), we refer to [4], [8], [14], [20], [21], [25] and [45]. For some applications on the study of optimal estimates for solutions of certain nonlinear diffusion equations, we quote [5], [6], [15], [19], [20], [45] and references therein.

Let $n \ge 1$ and p > 1. The Euclidean sharp L_p log-Sobolev inequality, proved by Gross [21] and Carlen [8] for p = 2, Del Pino and Dolbeault [14] for 1 and Gentil [20] for any <math>p > 1, states that for any smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n , such that $\int |f|^p dx = 1$, we have

(1)
$$\operatorname{Ent}(|f|^p) = \int |f|^p \log |f|^p dx \le \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{n,p} \int |\nabla f|^p dx \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,p} = \frac{p}{n} \left(\frac{p-1}{e} \right)^{p-1} \pi^{-\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n(p-1)}{p}+1)} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}$$

Moreover, the inequality (1) is sharp and equality holds if and only if for some $\sigma > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f(x) = \pm c_{\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}|x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$c_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^{\frac{p^2}{p-1}}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

More specifically, extremal functions of (1) were characterized in [8] for p = 2, [14] for 1 and [20] for <math>p > 1, being the uniqueness part, up to scalings and translations, proved in [14] for any p > 1. Inequality (1) was proved by Ledoux [25] for p = 1 and its extremal functions were characterized by Beckner [4].

In order to state the sharp affine Sobolev type inequalities, for each p > 1, let

$$\mathcal{E}_p(f) = c_{n,p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-n} d\xi \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

with

$$c_{n,p} = (n\omega_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{n\omega_n \omega_{p-1}}{2\omega_{n+p-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $\nabla_{\xi} f(x) = \nabla f(x) \cdot \xi$ and ω_k denotes the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^k .

The sharp affine L_p log-Sobolev inequality, proved independently by Haberl, Schuster, Xiao [24] and Zhai [46] for 1 , states that

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \ge 1$ and p > 1. Then for any smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $\int |f|^p dx = 1$, we have

(2)
$$\operatorname{Ent}(|f|^p) = \int |f|^p \log |f|^p dx \le \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{n,p} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^p \right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}$ is the best log-Sobolev constant given in (1). Moreover, equality holds if and only if for some $\sigma > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in SL_n$,

$$f(x) = \pm c_{\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}|A(x-x_0)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where SL_n denotes the set of $n \times n$ -matrices preserving orientation and volume and

$$c_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^{\frac{p^2}{p-1}}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Theorem 1.1 has been stated in [24] only for 1 , although their approach, based oninequalities involving rearrangements of functions and inequality (1), allows to extend this theorem to any p>1. We present a new and arguably shorter proof for any p>1 based on the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality and L^p log-Sobolev inequality involving arbitrary norms (see [20]).

The Euclidean sharp L_{∞} log-Sobolev inequality, proved by Fujita in [17], states for any Lipschitz function f on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $\int e^{\beta f} dx = 1$ that

(3)
$$\operatorname{Ent}(e^{\beta f}) \le n \log \left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e} ||\nabla f||_{\infty} \right),$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a constant and

$$k_n = \left(\frac{1}{n!\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Moreover, equality holds for normalized functions of the form f(x) = c - b|x - a|, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, b > 0and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_{\infty}(f) = c_{n,\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{\infty}^{-n} d\xi \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

with

$$c_{n,\infty} = (n\omega_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Our method also produces a new and important L_{∞} affine version of the sharp inequality (3) stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $n \ge 1$ and $\beta > 0$. Then for any Lipschitz function f on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $\int e^{\beta f} dx = 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ent}(e^{\beta f}) \le n \log \left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}(f) \right).$$

Moreover, equality holds for normalized functions of the form f(x) = c - b|Ax - a|, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b > 0, c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } A \in SL_n.$

This theorem can be seen as a limiting case of Theorem 1.1 and its proof is based on this idea.

It is easy to see that the sharp affine L_p log-Sobolev inequality is stronger than its Euclidean counterpart for any p > 1 since $\mathcal{E}_p(f) \leq \|\nabla f\|_p$ (see page 33 of [30]). Note also that the above affine inequality is invariant under volume preserving affine transformations.

A well-known family of sharp interpolation inequalities is the Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality which states that for any smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

(4)
$$||f||_r \le \mathcal{G}_{n,p,m,r} ||\nabla f||_p^{\theta} ||f||_m^{1-\theta},$$

where $1 \leq p < n$, $1 \leq m < r < \frac{np}{n-p}$, $\theta = \frac{np(r-m)}{r(m(p-n)+np)} \in (0,1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n,p,m,r}$ is the best Gagliardo-Nirenberg constant which is well defined thanks to a standard combination between the Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev inequality. Inequality (4) was introduced independently by Gagliardo and Nirenberg in [18] and [35]. Some particular cases are quite famous. For instance, in the limiting case $r = \frac{np}{n-p}$, (4) yields the Sobolev inequality proved by Sobolev in [40] with extremal functions and best constants obtained by Federer and Fleming [16] and Maz'ya [32] for p = 1 and by Aubin [1] and Talenti [42] for 1 . Indeed, in that latter one, <math>f is an extremal function of the sharp Sobolev inequality if and only if

$$f(x) = a \left(1 + b|x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{1 - \frac{p}{n}}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, b > 0 and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and the best Sobolev constant, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{n,p}$, is given by

(5)
$$S_{n,p} = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{p-1}{n-p} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right) \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{p}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Two other celebrated ones are: the Nash inequality, introduced by Nash in [34], corresponds to p=2, m=1 and r=2, with best constant computed by Carlen and Loss [9], and the Moser inequality, introduced by Moser in [33], corresponds to p=2, m=2 and $r=\frac{2(n+2)}{n}$. Later, Del Pino and Dolbeault ([13] for p=2 and [14] for $1) and Cordero-Erausquin, Nazaret and Villani ([11] for <math>1) independently obtained extremal functions and best constants for the family of parameters <math>r=\alpha p$ and $m=\alpha(p-1)+1$ with $\alpha\in(1,\frac{n}{n-p})$. Namely, in this cases, f is an extremal function if and only if

$$f(x) = a \left(1 + b|x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-\frac{p-1}{m-p}}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, b > 0 and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and so $\mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha(p-1)+1,\alpha p}$ can be explicitly computed and its value will be presented and used later.

A stronger version of the sharp Sobolev inequality, known as sharp affine L_p Sobolev inequality, was introduced and proved by Zhang [47] for p=1 and by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [30] for 1 . Recently, Zhai (Corollary 1.5 of [46]) proved an affine version of the sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for the same family considered in [13], [14] and [11]. An alternative proof has been carried out by Haberl, Schuster and Xiao (Corollary 12 of [24]) by using their affine Pólya-Szegö principle as the key tool.

The sharp affine Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities are the following

Theorem 1.3. Let n > 1 and 1 . Then for any smooth function <math>f on \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$||f||_{\frac{np}{n-p}} \le \mathcal{S}_{n,p}\mathcal{E}_p(f).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if

$$f(x) = a \left(1 + b|A(x - x_0)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{n}}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, b > 0, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in GL_n$, where GL_n denotes the set of invertible $n \times n$ -matrices.

Theorem 1.4. Let n > 1 and $1 , <math>r = \alpha p$ and $m = \alpha(p-1) + 1$. Assume $\alpha \in (1, \frac{n}{n-p})$. Then for any smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n , we have

(6)
$$||f||_r \le \mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^{\theta} ||f||_m^{1-\theta},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha} = \mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha(p-1)+1,\alpha p}$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if

$$f(x) = a \left(1 + b|A(x - x_0)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{-\frac{p-1}{m-p}}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, b > 0, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in GL_n$.

Theorem 1.3 was established in [30] where the main ingredients used by the authors were the L_p Petty-Projection inequality and the solution of the L_p extension of the classical Minkowski problem. Our approach makes use of the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality (see Section 2 for precise statements) but replaces the second one by the main result of Cordero, Nazaret and Villani [11] on general sharp Sobolev inequalities obtained by means of a mass transportation method. The connection between the L_p extension of the Minkowski problem and sharp affine Sobolev inequalities was already studied in [31]. Our approach also allows us to prove Theorem 1.4 with the same two ingredients mentioned above, whereas the known proofs presented in [24] and [46] use rearrangements and the Pólya-Szegö principle.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we fix some notations to be used in the paper and state the L_p Petty-Projection inequality. In section 3 we provide the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 is carried out in the same spirit of the latter, so we omit the details. Both proofs heavily rely on a central tool involving convex bodies (Theorem 3.2) and general sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities proved in [20] and [11]. The proof of Theorem 3.2 requires three auxiliary lemmas. The statement of these inequalities involving abstract norms is included in this section as well for the sake of completeness. Finally, section 4 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Notations and key tools

We recall that a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior. As usual \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and \mathbb{B}^n stand for the unit Euclidean sphere and ball, respectively. It is known that

$$\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \omega_n = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}.$$

For $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as before, its support function h_K is defined as

$$h_K(x) = \max\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \in K\}.$$

The support function, which describes the (signed) distances of supporting hyperplanes to the origin, uniquely characterizes K. We also have the gauge $\|\cdot\|_K$ and radial $r_K(\cdot)$ functions of K defined respectively as

$$||x||_K := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

$$r_K(x) := \max\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda x \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Clearly, $||x||_K = \frac{1}{r_K(x)}$. For $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we define its polar body, denoted by K° , by

$$K^{\circ} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \le 1 \quad \forall y \in K \}.$$

Evidently, $h_K = r_{K^{\circ}}$. It is also easy to see that $(\lambda K)^{\circ} = \frac{1}{\lambda} K^{\circ}$ for $\lambda > 0$. A simple computation using polar coordinates shows that

$$vol(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} r_K^n(x) dx = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} ||x||_K^{-n} dx.$$

For a given convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we find in the literature many associated bodies to it, in particular Lutwak and Zhang introduced [28] for a body K its L_p -centroid body denoted by $\Gamma_p K$. This body is defined by

$$h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(x) := \frac{1}{a_1 \operatorname{vol}(K)} \int_K |\langle x, y \rangle|^p dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{\omega_{n+p}}{\omega_2 \omega_n \omega_{p-1}}.$$

There are some other normalizations of the L_p -centroid body in the literature, the previous one is made so that $\Gamma_p \mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{B}^n$.

Inequalities (usually affine invariant) that compare the volume of a convex body K and that of an associated body are common in the literature. For the specific case of K and $\Gamma_p K$, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [29] (see also [7] for an alternative proof) came up with what it is known as the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, namely

$$\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_p K) \ge \operatorname{vol}(K).$$

This inequality is sharp if and only if K is a 0-symmetric ellipsoid. For a comprehensive survey on L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory and other topics within Convex Geometry we refer to [39] and references therein.

3. The strategy of proofs

In this section we will illustrate how the idea behind the proof provides a direct, elementary and unified approach to the sharp affine functional inequalities stated in Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4. The general strategy for the proof of these theorems will be based on Theorem 3.2 below combined with some known results concerning each inequality that we present for completeness.

Sharp affine L_p log-Sobolev inequalities

Let $C: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a q-homogeneous function for q > 1, that is, C(x) satisfies

$$C(\lambda x) = \lambda^q C(x), \quad \forall \lambda \ge 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is easy to prove that for C as before, its Legendre transform, defined by

$$C^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle x, y \rangle - C(y) \}$$

is *p*-homogeneous where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

The starting point to prove Theorem 1.1 is the following result proved by Gentil (Theorem 1.1 in [20]).

Theorem 3.1. Let q > 1, $n \ge 1$, and C a q-homogeneous, even, strictly convex function. Suppose that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then for any smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\int |f|^p dx = 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ent}(|f|^p) = \int |f|^p \log |f|^p dx \le \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{L}_C \int C^*(\nabla f) dx \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_C = \frac{p^{p+1}}{ne^{p-1} \left(\int e^{-C(x)dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}}.$$

Moreover, the above log-Sobolev inequality is sharp and equality holds if

$$f(x) = a \exp\left(-bC(x - \bar{x})\right)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where a, b > 0 and $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $a^{-p} = \int \exp(-pbC(x - \bar{x})))dx$.

Before stating the referred Theorem 3.2, some preliminary results will be necessary and a little bit of notation should be introduced.

Definition 1. Let f be a non-zero real smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. We define

$$C_f^*(x) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-n-p} |\langle x, \xi \rangle|^p d\xi$$

and consider its Legendre transform C_f .

Proposition 2. Let f be a non-zero real smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. The function C_f^* given in the definition above is well defined, strictly convex, p-homogeneous and $C_f^*(x) = 0$ if and only if x = 0.

Proof. We only prove the good definition and strict convexity of C_f^* , since the other statements are evident.

First note that the equality $\|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p = 0$ implies $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which contradicts the fact that f is non-zero and has compact support. Then, continuity with respect to ξ gives $\|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{p} \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ which proves the good definition.

For the strict convexity, take $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$. We have

$$C_{f}^{*}(\lambda x_{1} + (1 - \lambda)x_{2}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{p}^{-n-p} |\lambda \langle x_{1}, \xi \rangle + (1 - \lambda)\langle x_{2}, \xi \rangle|^{p} d\xi$$

$$< \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{p}^{-n-p} (\lambda |\langle x_{1}, \xi \rangle|^{p} + (1 - \lambda)|\langle x_{2}, \xi \rangle|^{p}) d\xi$$

$$= \lambda C_{f}^{*}(x_{1}) + (1 - \lambda)C_{f}^{*}(x_{2}).$$

Denote by

$$L_f = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p \le 1 \},$$

 $K_f = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : C_f(x) \le 1 \}.$

We note that K_f and L_f are convex bodies with gauge functions $||x||_{K_f} = C_f(x)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and $||\xi||_{L_f} = ||\nabla_{\xi} f||_p$ and radial functions $r_{K_f}(x) = C_f(x)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ and $r_{L_f}(\xi) = \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-1}$. For convenience, we set

$$Z_p(f) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \| \nabla_{\xi} f \|_p^{-n} d\xi \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

and notice we have the identities $n \operatorname{vol}(L_f) = Z_p(f)^{-n}$ and $\mathcal{E}_p(f) = c_{n,p}Z_p(f)$.

Lemma 3. Let f be a non-zero real smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_f^*(\nabla f(x)) dx = n \operatorname{vol}(L_f).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_f^*(\nabla f(x)) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-n-p} |\langle \nabla f(x), \xi \rangle|^p d\xi \ dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-n-p} |\langle \nabla f(x), \xi \rangle|^p dx \ d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-n-p} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^p d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_p^{-n} d\xi = n \operatorname{vol}(L_f). \end{split}$$

Lemma 4. Let f be a non-zero real smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. We have

$$C_f^*(v) = (n+p)a_1 \operatorname{vol}(L_f) h_{\Gamma_p L_f}^p(v).$$

Proof. We compute

$$a_{1} \operatorname{vol}(L_{f}) h_{\Gamma_{p}L_{f}}^{p}(v) = \int_{L_{f}} |\langle v, x \rangle|^{p} dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{r_{L_{f}}(\xi)} r^{n-1} |\langle v, r\xi \rangle|^{p} dr \, d\xi$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle v, \xi \rangle|^{p} \int_{0}^{r_{L_{f}}(\xi)} r^{n+p-1} dr \, d\xi$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle v, \xi \rangle|^{p} \frac{r_{L_{f}}(\xi)^{n+p}}{n+p} d\xi = C_{f}^{*}(v) \frac{1}{n+p}.$$

Lemma 5. Let f be a non-zero real smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. We have

$$K_f^{\circ} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : C_f^*(x) \le \ell_q \},$$

where $\ell_{q} = q^{-\frac{p}{q}} p^{-1}$.

Proof. Firstly, note that $\ell_q = \max_{t \geq 0} \{t^{\frac{1}{q}} - t\}$. Take $x \in K_f^{\circ}$. By definition of K_f , $\langle x, z \rangle \leq 1$ whenever $C_f(z) \leq 1$. Since C_f is q-homogeneous, we have for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that $C_f(C_f(y)^{-\frac{1}{q}}y) = 1$, thus

$$\langle x, C_f(y)^{-\frac{1}{q}} y \rangle \le 1$$

and

$$\langle x, y \rangle - C_f(y) \le C_f(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} - C_f(y) \le \ell_q,$$

so that $C_f^*(x) \leq \ell_q$. Now take $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_f^{\circ}$. By definition of K_f° , there exists $y \neq 0$ such that

$$\langle x, y \rangle > 1 \ge C_f(y),$$

so that

$$\langle x, y \rangle > C_f(y)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

For any t > 0, we have

$$\langle x, ty \rangle > (t^q C_f(y))^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$\langle x, ty \rangle - C_f(ty) > (t^q C_f(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} - t^q C_f(y).$$

Since $C_f(y) > 0$, we may choose t > 0 such that the right-hand side is maximized. Thus, we get $C_f^*(x) > \ell_q$

We are in conditions to finally state our key theorem announced above.

Theorem 3.2. Let f be a non-zero real smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support.

(7)
$$\operatorname{vol}(K_f)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_f^*(\nabla f(x)) dx \le a_2 Z_p(f)^p,$$

where $a_2 = \frac{\ell_q n^{\frac{n+p}{n}}}{a_1(n+p)}$. Moreover, if equality holds in (7) then there exists an invertible matrix M such

(8)
$$\mathcal{E}_p(f)^p = \det(M)^{\frac{p-n}{n}} \int |\nabla g|^p dx,$$

where $g(x) = f(M^{-1}x)$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3 and the identity $n \operatorname{vol}(L_f) = Z_n(f)^{-n}$, (7) becomes

$$\operatorname{vol}(K_f)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \le a_2 Z_p(f)^{n+p} = a_2 (n \operatorname{vol}(L_f))^{-\frac{p+n}{n}}.$$

Note that since $h_{\Gamma_n L_f}^p$ is p-homogeneous, we have

$$(n+p)\operatorname{vol}(L_f)a_1h_{\Gamma_pL_f}^p(x) \le \ell_q$$

if and only if

$$h_{\Gamma_p L_f}^p \left(\frac{\left((n+p)\operatorname{vol}(L_f) a_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} x}{\ell_q^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \le 1.$$

Putting together Lemmas 4 and 5, we obtain

$$K_f^{\circ} = \ell_q^{\frac{1}{p}} ((n+p)\operatorname{vol}(L_f)a_1)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_{\Gamma_p L_f}(x) \le 1\}$$
$$= \ell_q^{\frac{1}{p}} ((n+p)\operatorname{vol}(L_f)a_1)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (\Gamma_p L_f)^{\circ}$$

and

$$K_f = ((n+p)\operatorname{vol}(L_f)a_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ell_q^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma_p L_f.$$

Finally, we compute

$$\operatorname{vol}(K_{f})^{-\frac{p}{n}} = \operatorname{vol}\left(\left(\operatorname{vol}(L_{f})a_{1}(n+p)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\ell_{q}^{-\frac{1}{p}}\Gamma_{p}L_{f}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}$$

$$= \left(\left(\operatorname{vol}(L_{f})a_{1}(n+p)\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}\ell_{q}^{-\frac{n}{p}}\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_{p}L_{f})\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{vol}(L_{f})a_{1}(n+p)\right)^{-1}\ell_{q}\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_{p}L_{f})^{-\frac{p}{n}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\ell_{q}}{a_{1}(n+p)}\operatorname{vol}(L_{f})^{-\frac{n+p}{n}} = a_{2}(n\operatorname{vol}(L_{f}))^{-\frac{n+p}{n}}.$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, proving (7). Now assume equality holds in (7), then we have $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_p L_f) = \operatorname{vol}(L_f)$ which in view of the equality case for the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, implies that L_f and thus K_f are ellipsoids. Consider the invertible matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $C_f(x) = \frac{1}{q} |Mx|^q$, then its Legendre transform is $C_f^*(v) = \frac{1}{p} |M^{-1}^T v|^p$. Using the previous formula for C_f , we compute $\operatorname{vol}(K_f) = \det(M)^{-1} \omega_n q^{\frac{n}{q}}$. Then equality in (7) reduces to

$$a_2 Z_p(f)^p = \left(\det(M)^{-1} \omega_n q^{\frac{n}{q}}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \int \frac{1}{p} |M^{-1} \nabla f(x)|^p dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}(f)^{p} = \frac{c_{n,p}^{p}}{a_{2}} \left(\det(M)^{-1} \omega_{n} q^{\frac{n}{q}} \right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \int \frac{1}{p} |M^{-1} \nabla f(x)|^{p} dx$$

$$= \frac{c_{n,p}^{p}}{a_{2}} \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \ell_{q} \det(M)^{\frac{p}{n}-1} \int |M^{-1} \nabla f(M^{-1}y)|^{p} dy$$

$$= \frac{c_{n,p}^{p}}{a_{2}} \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \ell_{q} \det(M)^{\frac{p-n}{n}} \int |\nabla g(y)|^{p} dy.$$

Lastly, a simple computation shows that $\frac{c_{n,p}^p}{a_2}\omega_n^{-\frac{p}{n}}\ell_q=1.$

We turn our attention now to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and in order to do this we shall need Theorem 3.1 and one simple computation.

Lemma 6. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-C_f(x)} dx = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q} + 1\right) \operatorname{vol}(K_f).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-C_f(x)} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} e^{-C_f(r\xi)} dr d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} e^{-r^q C_f(\xi)} dr d\xi \\ &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) q^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} C_f(\xi)^{\frac{-n}{q}} d\xi \\ &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) q^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\xi\|_{K_f}^{-n} d\xi = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) \frac{n}{q} \operatorname{vol}(K_f) = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q} + 1\right) \operatorname{vol}(K_f). \end{split}$$

<u>Proof of Theorem 1.1</u>: For the function C_f already constructed, we compute the constant \mathcal{L}_{C_f} in Theorem 3.1 as

$$\mathcal{L}_{C_f} = \frac{p^{p+1}}{ne^{p-1} \left(\int e^{-C_f(x)dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}} = \frac{p^{p+1}}{ne^{p-1}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{q} + 1 \right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \operatorname{vol}(K_f)^{-\frac{p}{n}}.$$

Evoking Theorem 3.2, we get

(9)
$$\mathcal{L}_{C_f} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_f^*(\nabla f(x)) dx \leq \frac{p^{p+1}}{ne^{p-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}+1\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} a_2 Z_p(f)^p$$

$$= \frac{p^{p+1}}{ne^{p-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}+1\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \frac{a_2}{c_{n,p}^p} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^p$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{n,p} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^p,$$

deducing the desired inequality.

Assume for some f the inequality in Theorem 1.1 is an equality, then we must have equality in (9). The equality case of Theorem 3.2 provides

$$\operatorname{Ent}(|f|^p) = \int |f|^p \log |f|^p dx = \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{n,p} \det(M)^{\frac{p-n}{n}} \int |\nabla g|^p dx \right),$$

where $g(x) = f(M^{-1}x)$. We compute $\int |g|^p dx = \det(M)$ and taking $h(x) = g(x)/\det(M)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we derive

$$\operatorname{Ent}(|h|^p) = \operatorname{Ent}(|f|^p) - \log(\det(M)) = \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{n,p} \det(M)^{\frac{p}{n}-1} \int |\nabla g|^p dx \right) - \log(\det(M))$$
$$= \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{n,p} \int |\nabla h|^p dx \right).$$

Finally, the characterization of the extremal functions of (1) mentioned in the introduction completes the proof.

Sharp affine Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

As a by-product we also provide a proof of the sharp affine version of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. The starting point of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg case is the following theorem proved by Cordero, Nazaret and Villani (Theorem 4 of [11]) which we state under the form that will be used. The proof of the Sobolev case goes in a similar spirit replacing the latter by Theorem 2 of [11], among other natural modifications.

Theorem 3.3. Let q > 1, n > 1 and C be a q-homogeneous, even, strictly convex function. Suppose that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $1 . Let <math>\alpha \in (1, \frac{n}{n-p}]$, $r = \alpha p$, $m = \alpha(p-1) + 1$. Then for any smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$||f||_r \le \mathcal{G}_C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C^*(\nabla f) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} ||f||_m^{1-\theta}.$$

Moreover, the above inequality is sharp and equality holds for the extremal function $h_{\alpha,p}(x) = (\sigma_{\alpha,p} + (\alpha - 1)C(x))^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$, where $\sigma_{\alpha,p} > 0$ is chosen so that $||h_{\alpha,p}||_r = 1$.

<u>Proof of Theorem 1.4</u>: Firstly, we compute the constant

$$\sigma_{\alpha,p} = \left(\frac{q(\alpha-1)^{\frac{n}{q}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)}{n\operatorname{vol}(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ C(x)\leq 1\})\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{pq\alpha}{\alpha-1}-n\right)}\right)^{-\frac{(1-\alpha)q}{\alpha n-n-\alpha pq}}$$

and then the constant

$$\mathcal{G}_{C}^{-1} = \|\nabla h_{\alpha,p}\|_{p}^{\theta} \|h_{\alpha,p}\|_{m}^{1-\theta} \\
= (\alpha - 1)^{-\theta} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \Gamma\left(n\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) + \frac{p\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha p}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)^{\theta\left(\frac{\alpha p}{\alpha - 1} - 1\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{p\alpha}{\alpha - 1} - 1\right)^{\theta + \frac{\alpha \theta p}{n - \alpha n}} \\
\times \Gamma\left(n\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) + \frac{p\alpha}{\alpha - 1} - 1\right)^{\theta\left(\frac{\alpha p}{(\alpha - 1)n} + \frac{1}{p} - 1\right)} \left(\operatorname{vol}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : C(x) \leq 1\})\Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{p} + n + 1\right)\right)^{\frac{\theta}{n}} \\
= c_{1}^{\theta} \operatorname{vol}(K_{f})^{\frac{\theta}{n}},$$

so that we obtain

$$||f||_{r} \leq \mathcal{G}_{C_{f}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} C_{f}^{*}(\nabla f(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} ||f||_{m}^{1-\theta}$$

$$= c_{1}^{-\theta} \left(\operatorname{vol}(K_{f})^{-\frac{p}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} C_{f}^{*}(\nabla f(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} ||f||_{m}^{1-\theta}$$

$$\leq \frac{a_{2}^{\frac{\theta}{p}}}{c_{1}^{\theta}} Z_{p}(f)^{\theta} ||f||_{m}^{1-\theta} = \frac{a_{2}^{\frac{\theta}{p}}}{c_{1}^{\theta} c_{n,p}^{\theta}} \mathcal{E}_{p}(f)^{\theta} ||f||_{m}^{1-\theta}.$$

Finally,

$$||f||_r \le c_2^{\theta} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^{\theta} ||f||_m^{1-\theta},$$

where

$$c_{2} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^{2} p q^{-\frac{1}{q}} n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\alpha p}{\alpha - 1} - 1\right)^{\frac{\alpha n - n - \alpha p}{(\alpha - 1)n}} \left(n \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) + \frac{\alpha p}{\alpha - 1} - 1\right)^{\frac{\alpha n - n + \alpha p^{2}}{(\alpha - 1)np}}}{\sqrt{\pi} ((p - 1)(-\alpha n + n + \alpha p) + p)}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{p} + n + 1\right) \Gamma\left(n \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) + \frac{p\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{p\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Note that after some computations, $\mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha} = c_2^{\theta}$ reduces to the constant obtained in Corollary 1.3 of [46], which is also the best Gagliardo-Nirenberg constant obtained in Theorem 1.2 of [14].

The classification of the extremal functions is as follows. Let f be an extremal function of (6), then by (8) we have

$$||f||_r = \mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha} \left(\det(M)^{\frac{p-n}{np}} ||\nabla g||_p \right)^{\theta} ||f||_m^{1-\theta},$$

where $g(x) = f(M^{-1}x)$. Then,

$$||g||_{r} = \left(\int \det(M)f(x)^{r}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$= \det(M)^{\frac{1}{r}-\theta\frac{n-p}{np}}\mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha}||\nabla g||_{p}^{\theta}||f||_{m}^{1-\theta}$$

$$= \det(M)^{\frac{1}{r}-\theta\frac{n-p}{np}-\frac{1-\theta}{m}}\mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha}||\nabla g||_{p}^{\theta}||g||_{m}^{1-\theta}$$

$$= \mathcal{G}_{n,p,\alpha}||\nabla g||_{p}^{\theta}||g||_{m}^{1-\theta}$$

and thus g must be an extremal function for the Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let H(x) be an arbitrary norm on \mathbb{R}^n and p,q>1 such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Write $C(x)=H(x)^q$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, Lemma 5 together with a simple computation gives

$$C^*(v) = \bar{H}(v)^p \ell_q,$$

where \bar{H} is the norm of the polar body defined by H (i.e. the dual norm of H).

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the function $e^{\frac{\beta}{p}f}$ and taking $p\to\infty$ we obtain a general version of the L_{∞} log-Sobolev inequality, proved by Fujita in [17]. Namely,

(10)
$$\operatorname{Ent}(e^{\beta f}) \le n \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{n,\infty,H} \| \bar{H}(\nabla f) \|_{\infty} \right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{n,\infty,H} = \frac{\beta}{e} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-H(x)} dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$. In order to turn this one into an affine L_{∞} log-Sobolev inequality, consider the convex body L_f so that $\bar{H}_f = h_{L_f}$. This latter replaces Lemma 4 in our method.

Define $K_f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_f(x) \leq 1\}$, where H_f denotes the dual norm of \bar{H}_f .

$$K_f^{\circ} = \{ \bar{H}_f \le 1 \}$$

is trivial and replaces Lemma 5. So, the relation between K_f and L_f becomes trivial. In fact, $K_f = L_f$. As usual,

$$\operatorname{vol}(L_f) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{\infty}^{-n} d\xi.$$

The computation of the right-hand side of (10), which is done in Lemma 3, now becomes almost trivial, once

$$\bar{H}_f(\nabla f(x)) = \max\{\nabla_{\xi} f(x): \|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{\infty} \le 1\} \le 1.$$

The computation of the constant $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty,H_f}$, which replaces Lemma 6, gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty,H_f} = \frac{\beta}{e} \left(\operatorname{vol}(K_f) \Gamma(n+1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}.$$

In conclusion,

$$\operatorname{Ent}(e^{\beta f}) \leq n \log \left(\frac{\beta}{e} (n^{-1} \Gamma(n+1))^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \| \nabla_{\xi} f \|_{\infty} d\xi \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \right)$$

$$= n \log \left(\frac{\beta}{e} (\Gamma(n+1) \omega_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}(f) \right)$$

$$= n \log \left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}(f) \right),$$

so the desired inequality follows. To see its sharpness, choose f(x) = c - b|Ax - a| such that $\int e^{\beta f} dx = 1$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, b > 0, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in SL_n$. In this case, an integration by parts gives

$$\operatorname{Ent}(e^{\beta f}) = \beta c - n.$$

Moreover, the normalization $\int e^{\beta f} dx = 1$ provides

$$e^{-\beta c} = \frac{n!\omega_n}{\beta^n b^n}.$$

So,

$$\operatorname{Ent}(e^{\beta f}) = n \log \left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e} b \right).$$

On the other hand, note that $\|\nabla_{\xi} f\|_{\infty} = b$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and so we have

$$n\log\left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e}\mathcal{E}_{\infty}(f)\right) = n\log\left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e}b\ c_{n,\infty}(n\omega_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}\right) = n\log\left(\frac{\beta k_n}{e}b\right).$$

This ends the proof.

Acknowledgments: The first author was supported by CAPES (BJT 064/2013). The second author acknowledges the support provided by CAPES and IMPA. The third author was supported by CAPES (BEX 6961/14-2), CNPq (PQ 306406/2013-6) and Fapemig (PPM 00223-13). The authors are indebted to F. Schuster for pointing out several references and useful comments on a previous version of this work and as well to the referee for several valuable comments and for proposing the study with our method of an affine L^{∞} version of log-Sobolev inequalities proved in the last section.

References

- [1] T. Aubin Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, J. Differential Geom. 11 (1976) 573-598.
- [2] D. Bakry L'hypercontractivité et son utilisaion en théorie des semigroupes, in: lectures on probability theory. École D'été de probabilités de St-Flour 1992, Lecture Notes in Math. 1581, Springer, Berlin (1994) 1-114.
- [3] W. Beckner Geometric proof of Nash's inequality, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2 (1998) 67-71.
- [4] W. Beckner Geometric asymptotics and the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, Forum Math. 11 (1999) 105-137.
- [5] S. Bobkov, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (2001) 669-696.
- [6] S. Bobkov, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Inhomogeneous random systems, Markov Process. Related Fields 8 (2002) 233-235.
- [7] Campi, S., Gronchi, P. The Lp-Busemann-Petty centroid inequality. Adv. Math. 167 (2002), 128-141.
- [8] E. A. Carlen Superadditivity of Fisher's information and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 101 (1) (1991) 194-211.

- [9] E. Carlen, M. Loss Sharp constants in Nash's inequality, Int. Math. Res. Not. 7 (1993) 213-215.
- [10] A. Cianchi, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang Affine Moser-Trudinger and Morrey-Sobolev inequalities, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 36 (2009), 419-436.
- [11] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, C. Villani A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, Advances in Mathematics, Volume 182, Issue 2, 1 March 2004, Pages 307-332.
- [12] P. L. De Nápoli, J. Haddad, C. H. Jiménez, M. Montenegro The sharp affine L² Sobolev trace inequality and variants, arXiv:1512.04621v1, 2015.
- [13] M. Del-Pino, J. Dolbeault Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions, J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002) 847-875.
- [14] M. Del-Pino, J. Dolbeault The optimal Euclidean L_p-Sobolev logarithmic inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 197 (2003) 151-161.
- [15] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault, I. Gentil Nonlinear diffusions, hypercontractivity and the optimal L_p-Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 293 (2004) 375-388.
- [16] H. Federer, W. Fleming Normal and integral currents, Ann. Math. 72 (1960) 458-520.
- [17] Y. Fujita An optimal logarithmic Sobolev inequality with Lipschitz constants, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011) 1133-1144.
- [18] E. Gagliardo Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in piu variabili, Ricerche Mat. 7 (1958) 102-137.
- [19] I. Gentil Ultracontractive bounds on Hamilton-Jacobi solutions, Bull. Sci. Math. 126 (2002) 507-524.
- [20] I. Gentil The general optimal L_p-Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality by Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Funct. Anal. 202 (2003) 591-599.
- [21] L. Gross Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975) 1061-1083.
- [22] C. Haberl, F.E. Schuster Asymmetric affine Lp Sobolev inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 641-658.
- [23] C. Haberl, F.E. Schuster General Lp affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 83 (2009), 1-26.
- [24] C. Haberl, F.E. Schuster, J. Xiao An asymmetric affine Polya-Szegoe principle, Math. Annalen 352 (2012) 517-542.
- [25] M. Ledoux Isoperimetry and Gaussian analysis, in: Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistiques, Ecole d'été de probabilités de St-Flour 1994, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1648, Springer, Berlin, (1996) 165-294.
- [26] M. Ledoux Concentration of measure and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Séminaire de Probabilités XXXIII, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1709, Springer, 120-216, 1999.
- [27] E. Lutwak On some affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 23 (1986) 1?13.
- [28] Lutwak, E., Zhang, G. Blaschke-Santaló inequalities. J. Differential Geom. 47 (1997) 1-16.
- [29] Lutwak, E., Yang, D., Zhang, G. Lp affine isoperimetric inequalities. J. Differential Geom. 56 (2000) 111-132.
- [30] Lutwak, E., Yang, D., Zhang, G. Sharp affine Lp Sobolev Inequalities. J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002) 17-38.
- [31] Lutwak, E., Yang, D., Zhang, G. Optimal Sobolev norms and the L_p Minkowski problem, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, 1-21.
- [32] V.G. Maz'ya Classes of domains and imbedding theorems for function spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 133 (1960) 527-530.
- [33] J. Moser On Harnack's theorem for elliptic differential equations, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961) 577-591.
- [34] J. Nash Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations, Am. J. Math. 80 (1958) 931-954.
- [35] L. Nirenberg On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 13 (1959) 115-162.
- [36] V. H. Nguyen New approach to the general affine Pólya-Szegő principle and the stability version of the affine Sobolev inequality on functions of bounded variation, preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.07335.
- [37] C.M. Petty Centroid surfaces, Pacific J. Math. 11 1961 1535-1547. 52.30 (53.90)
- [38] G. Perelman The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math/0211159v1, 2002.
- [39] Schneider, R. Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [40] S. Sobolev Sur un théorème d'analyse fonctionnelle, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. 46 (1938) 471-497.
- [41] M. Talagrand Transportation cost for gaussian and other product measures, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996) 587-600.
- [42] G. Talenti Best constant in Sobolev inequality, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (iv) 110 (1976) 353-372.
- [43] T. Wang The affine Sobolev-Zhang inequality on BV(Rn), Adv. Math. 230 (2012) 2457-2473.
- [44] T. Wang The affine Pólya-Szegő principle: equality cases and stability, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013) 1728-1748.
- [45] F. B. Weissler Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for the heat-diffusion semigroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 237 (1978) 255-269.
- [46] Zhai, Z. Note on Affine Gagliardo-Nirenberg Inequalities. Potential Anal (2011) 1-12.
- [47] Zhang G. The affine Sobolev inequality, J. Differential geometry 53 (1999), pp. 183–202.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb"julianhaddad@ufmg.br"}$ $E{-}mail~address{:}~ \texttt{hugojimenez@mat.puc-rio.br}\\ E{-}mail~address{:}~ \texttt{montene@mat.ufmg.br}$

Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

PONTIFÍCIA UNIVERSIDADE CATÓLICA DO RIO DE JANEIRO

Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais