

## GROTHENDIECK LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES OF CONTINUOUS VECTOR VALUED FUNCTIONS

FRANCISCO J. FRENICHE

Let  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  be the space of continuous functions from the completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  into the Hausdorff locally convex space  $E$ , endowed with the compact-open topology. Our aim is to characterize the  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  spaces which have the following property: weak-star and weak sequential convergences coincide in the equicontinuous subsets of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)'$ . These spaces are here called Grothendieck spaces. It is shown that in the equicontinuous subsets of  $E'$  the  $\sigma(E', E)$ - and  $\beta(E', E)$ -sequential convergences coincide, if  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space and  $X$  contains an infinite compact subset. Conversely, if  $X$  is a  $G$ -space and  $E$  is a strict inductive limit of Fréchet-Montel spaces  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space. Therefore, it is proved that if  $E$  is a separable Fréchet space, then  $E$  is a Montel space if and only if there is an infinite compact Hausdorff  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper  $X$  will always denote a completely regular Hausdorff topological space,  $E$  a Hausdorff locally convex space, and  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  the space of continuous functions from  $X$  into  $E$ , endowed with the compact-open topology. When  $E$  is the scalar field of reals or complex numbers, we write  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  instead  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ .

It is well known that  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Montel space whenever  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $E$  so are, hence, if and only if  $X$  is discrete and  $E$  is a Montel space (see [5], [16]).

We study what happens when  $X$  has the following weaker property: the compact subsets of  $X$  are  $G$ -spaces (see below for definitions).

We obtain in Theorem 4.4 that if  $E$  is a Fréchet-Montel space and  $X$  has that property, then  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck locally convex space. The key in the proof is the following fact: every countable equicontinuous subset of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)'$  lies, via a Radon-Nikodým theorem, in a suitable  $L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$ . As a consequence of a theorem of Mújica [10], the same result is true when  $E$  is a strict inductive limit of Fréchet-Montel spaces.

In §3 we study the converse of 4.4. In Corollary 3.3 it is proved that if  $X$  contains an infinite compact subset,  $E$  is a Fréchet separable space and  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space, then  $E$  is a Montel space. This property characterizes the Montel spaces among the Fréchet separable spaces.

Finally, in §5 we study the Grothendieck property in  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ , the space of  $\Sigma$ -totally measurable functions, by using the results for  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ .

**2. Generalities.** A compact Hausdorff topological space  $K$  is called a  $G$ -space whenever  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  is a Grothendieck Banach space, i.e. the weak-star and weak sequential convergences coincide in  $\mathcal{C}(K)'$  [6].

We extend here this concept to completely regular spaces.

**2.1. DEFINITION.**  $X$  is a  $G$ -space if every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  is a  $G$ -space.

If  $X$  is compact, both definitions coincide [6]. Let us remark that there exist non-compact non-discrete  $G$ -spaces. Indeed, the topological subspace of the Stone-Ćech compactification of a countable discrete set obtained removing a cluster point, is such a space.

We introduce a new definition of Grothendieck locally convex space, so that  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is a Grothendieck space if and only if  $X$  is a  $G$ -space.

**2.2. DEFINITION.**  $E$  is a Grothendieck space whenever the  $\sigma(E', E)$ - and  $\sigma(E', E'')$ -sequential convergences coincide in the equicontinuous subsets of  $E'$ .

In [17] the  $TG$ -spaces are defined as those spaces  $E$  in which the  $\sigma(E', E)$ - and  $\sigma(E', E'')$ -sequential convergences coincide. When one deals with  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  spaces, our definition seems to be more reasonable than that of [17] (see 2.4 and 2.5).

The following permanence properties of the class of Grothendieck locally convex spaces are easy to see, thus we state them without proof.

**2.3. PROPOSITION.** (a)  $E$  is a Grothendieck space if and only if every, or some, dense subspace of  $E$  so is.

(b) Let  $T: E \rightarrow F$  be a linear continuous operator such that for every bounded subset  $B$  of  $F$  there is a bounded subset  $C$  of  $E$  so that  $B$  is contained in the closure of  $T(C)$ . Then  $F$  is a Grothendieck space if  $E$  so is.

(c) If  $E$  is the inductive limit of the sequence  $(E_n)$  of Grothendieck spaces, and if every bounded subset of  $E$  is contained in some  $E_n$ , then  $E$  is a Grothendieck space.

**2.4. THEOREM.**  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  so is for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ . In particular,  $X$  is a  $G$ -space if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is a Grothendieck space.

*Proof.* Let us recall that, if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , the restriction map  $T$  is a continuous linear operator from  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  into  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$ .

If  $B \subset \mathcal{C}(K, E)$  is bounded, then the bounded subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ , whose elements  $g$  can be written  $g = \sum_{n \leq m} f_n(\cdot) e_n$  with  $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ ,  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{n \leq m} f_n \leq 1$ , and  $e_n \in \cup\{h(K): h \in B\}$ , satisfies  $\overline{T(C)} \supset B$  (see [14, I.5.3]).

If  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space,  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  so is by 2.3(b).

Conversely, let  $(g'_n)$  be an equicontinuous and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(X, E)', \mathcal{C}(X, E))$ -null sequence. By [14, III.3 and III.4], there exist a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and an equicontinuous sequence  $(h'_n)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)'$  such that  $g'_n = h'_n \circ T$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(h'_n)$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(K, E)', T(\mathcal{C}(K, E)))$ -null and equicontinuous, it is also  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(K, E)', \mathcal{C}(K, E)'')$ -null if  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  is a Grothendieck space. It follows that  $(g'_n)$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(X, E)', \mathcal{C}(X, E)'')$ -null.

2.5. REMARK. We use an example of Haydon [4] to show that, while in the class of barrelled spaces the  $TG$ -spaces and the Grothendieck spaces do coincide, this is not true in general.

Choose, for each infinite sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ , a cluster point in the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $X$  be the topological subspace of that compactification, formed by  $\mathbb{N}$  and these cluster points. Then every compact subset of  $X$  is finite,  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is infrabarrelled and every  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  is bounded. By Theorem 2.4,  $X$  is a  $G$ -space. Let  $f'_n(f) = n^{-1}f(n)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(f'_n)$  is a  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(X)', \mathcal{C}(X))$ -null sequence in  $\mathcal{C}(X)'$ , that is not  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(X)', \mathcal{C}(X)'')$ -null because it is not equicontinuous.

3. **Necessary conditions for  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  to be a Grothendieck space.** It is well known, and easy to see, that  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $E$  are topologically isomorphic to complemented subspaces of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ . By 2.3(b),  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $E$  must be Grothendieck spaces if  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is such a space.

However, unless  $X$  is pseudofinite, i.e. their compact subsets are finite (hence  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space if and only if  $E$  so is, by Theorem 2.4),  $E$  has a stronger property if  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space, as we prove in the next theorem. To prove it we recall the following result of [2]:

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hausdorff locally convex spaces, and suppose that  $F$  contains a subspace topologically isomorphic to the subspace of  $c_0$  whose elements have only finitely many non-zero coordinates.*

*If the injective tensor product  $F \otimes_\varepsilon E$  is a Grothendieck space, then the  $\sigma(E', E)$ - and  $\beta(E', E)$ -sequential convergences coincide in the equicontinuous subsets of  $E'$ .*

As was noted in [2], if  $X$  is not pseudofinite, then  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  contains a subspace topologically isomorphic to the above mentioned subspace of  $c_0$ . Moreover, the injective tensor product  $\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes_e E$  can be linear and topologically identified with a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ , namely, the subspace of all finite dimensional valued elements of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ . Thus we obtain from Theorem A and Proposition 2.3 (a):

**3.1. THEOREM.** *If  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space and  $X$  contains an infinite compact subset, then the  $\sigma(E', E)$ - and  $\beta(E', E)$ -sequential convergences coincide in the equicontinuous subsets of  $E'$ .*

**3.2. REMARK.** By Theorem 2.4, if  $X$  is pseudofinite and  $E$  is a Grothendieck Banach space,  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space. However, if  $E$  is infinite dimensional, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 does not hold [11].

Using Theorem 3.1 and [7, 11.6.2], we obtain the following corollary, converse of Theorem 4.4:

**3.3. COROLLARY.** *If  $E$  is a Fréchet separable space,  $X$  is not pseudofinite and  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space, then  $E$  is a Montel space.*

**3.4. REMARK.** It is unknown for us if Corollary 3.3 is true without the separability assumption on  $E$ . This is related with the following question raised in [7, pg. 247]: is a Fréchet space  $E$  already a Montel space if every  $\sigma(E', E)$ -convergent sequence in  $E'$  converges for  $\beta(E', E)$ ?

**4. Sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  to be a Grothendieck space.** We shall need some facts about vector integration, many of those can be found in [1] and [15].

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \tau)$  be a complete measure space with  $\tau(X) \leq 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ ,  $L^1(\tau, E)$ ,  $L^\infty(\tau, E)$ ) the vector space of  $\Sigma$ -simple (resp.  $\Sigma$ -totally measurable,  $\tau$ -integrable,  $\tau$ -essentially bounded)  $E$ -valued (classes of) functions. Recall that  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  are endowed with the uniform convergence topology, and that the topology of  $L^1(\tau, E)$  is defined by the seminorms  $u \rightarrow \int p(u(x)) d\tau(x)$ , where  $p$  runs over the set of all continuous seminorms in  $E$  (unless contrary specification, all integrals will be extended to  $X$ ).

The following Radon-Nikodým theorem is proved in [1]:

**THEOREM B.** *If  $E$  is a quasi-complete (CM)-space,  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow E$  is a countably additive vector measure, of bounded variation and  $\tau$ -absolutely continuous, then there exists  $u \in L^1(\tau, E)$  such that  $\mu(A) = \int_A u(x) d\tau(x)$  for every  $A \in \Sigma$ .*

Let us recall that  $E$  is a quasi-complete (CM)-space, if, for instance, it is either a Fréchet-Montel space or a (DF)-Montel space [1].

Firstly we extend the classical duality theorem  $L^1 - L^\infty$  to  $L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$ , where  $E$  is a Fréchet-Montel space.

The following lemma can be easily proved. As usual,  $p_L$  will denote the gauge of the absolutely convex set  $L$  in its linear span.

4.1. LEMMA. *If  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E')$ , namely,  $u = \sum_{i \leq m} \chi_{A_i} e'_i$  with  $(A_i)_{i \leq m}$  disjoint in  $\Sigma$ , then*

$$\int p_{B^0}(u(x)) \, d\tau(x) \leq \tau\left(\bigcup_{i \leq m} A_i\right) \sup_{i \leq m} p_{B^0}(e'_i)$$

for every bounded subset  $B$  of  $E$ .

4.2. THEOREM. *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet-Montel space. The relation*

$$(1) \quad u'(u) = \int u(x)(v(x)) \, d\tau(x) \quad \text{for all } u \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$$

defined for  $u' \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)'$  and  $v \in L^\infty(\tau, E)$ , is an algebraic isomorphism between  $L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)'$  and  $L^\infty(\tau, E)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in L^\infty(\tau, E)$ . The map  $x \rightarrow u(x)(v(x))$  is measurable for every  $u \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$ , because  $v$  is strongly measurable and the assertion is clearly true when  $v \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$ .

Furthermore, if  $Z \in \Sigma$  is a  $\tau$ -null set such that  $B = v(S \setminus Z)$  is bounded, then we have

$$(2) \quad |u(x)(v(x))| \leq p_{B^0}(u(x))$$

for every  $x \in X \setminus Z$ .

Hence  $x \rightarrow u(x)(v(x))$  is  $\tau$ -integrable, and we can define a linear form  $u'$  on  $L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$  by (1). Moreover, it follows from (2) that  $u'$  is continuous.

Conversely, fix  $u' \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)'$ . There exists a bounded subset  $B$  of  $E$  such that

$$(3) \quad \int p_{B^0}(u(x)) \, d\tau(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{implies } |u'(u)| \leq 1$$

for every  $u \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$ .

We define a map  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow E''$  by

$$(4) \quad \mu(A)(e') = u'(\chi_A e')$$

for every  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $e' \in E'$  (it follows easily from Lemma 4.1 and (3) that  $\mu(A) \in E''$ ). Since  $E$  is reflexive we can suppose that  $\mu(A) \in E$ .

Clearly,  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow E$  is a finitely additive vector measure. We shall show that  $\mu$  is countably additive: let  $A$  be the union of the disjoint sequence  $(A_n)$  in  $\Sigma$ . Given an absolutely convex zero-neighborhood  $U$  in  $E$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < \infty$  such that  $B \subset \lambda U$ , and  $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\lambda\tau(\bigcup_{n>m} A_n) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $m \geq m_0$ . Since

$$e'(\mu(A)) - \sum_{n \leq m} e'(\mu(A_n)) = u'(\chi_{\bigcup_{n>m} A_n} e')$$

it follows from Lemma 4.1 and (3) that

$$\left| e'(\mu(A)) - \sum_{n \leq m} e'(\mu(A_n)) \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every  $m \geq m_0$  and  $e' \in U^0$ , as desired.

Furthermore, if  $A = \bigcup_{n \leq m} A_n$  where  $(A_n)_{n \leq m}$  is disjoint in  $\Sigma$ , and if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $(e'_n)_{n \leq m}$  in  $U^0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \leq m} p_U(\mu(A_n)) \leq \sum_{n \leq m} e'_n(\mu(A_n)) + \varepsilon = u' \left( \sum_{n \leq m} \chi_{A_n} e'_n \right) + \varepsilon.$$

Hence the  $p_U$ -variation of  $\mu$  satisfies the inequality  $V_{p_U} \mu(A) \leq \lambda\tau(A)$ , from Lemma 4.1 and (3) again.

Thus  $\mu$  is  $\tau$ -absolutely continuous and has bounded variation. By Theorem B, there exists  $v \in L^1(\tau, E)$  such that

$$(5) \quad \mu(A) = \int_A v(x) d\tau(x) \quad \text{for every } A \in \Sigma.$$

We claim that  $v$  is  $\tau$ -essentially bounded and satisfies (1). Indeed, let  $(U_j)_j$  be a countable basis in  $E$  of absolutely convex zero-neighborhoods. Choose, for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\lambda_j$  such that  $0 < \lambda_j < \infty$  and  $B \subset \lambda_j U_j$ .

By Lemma 4.1, (3), (4) and (5), we have

$$(6) \quad \left| \int_A e'(v(x)) d\tau(x) \right| \leq \lambda_j \tau(A)$$

for all  $e' \in U_j^0$ ,  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $(e'_{j,k})_k$  be a sequence in  $U_j^0$  such that  $p_{U_j}(e) = \sup_k |e'_{j,k}(e)|$  for every  $e \in E$ .

By (6), there exists  $Z \in \Sigma$  with  $\tau(Z) = 0$  such that  $|e'_{j,k}(v(x))| \leq \lambda_j$  for all  $x \in X \setminus Z$  and all  $j, k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Hence  $v(X \setminus Z)$  is bounded in  $E$ .

Finally, it follows from (4) that (1) is true for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E')$ , and, by density, for every  $u \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$ . This concludes the proof.

Assume that  $X$  is compact Hausdorff and  $\Sigma$  contains the Borel subsets of  $X$ . For each  $u \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$ , denote by  $\nu_u$  the vector measure of density  $u$  with respect to  $\tau$ . If  $p$  is a continuous seminorm in  $E$ , the subset

$F$  of  $L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$  defined by the condition  $V_p v_u(X) < \infty$ , is a linear subspace. If  $u \in F$  then  $v_u$  has bounded semivariation, thus it defines a continuous linear form on  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$ , which extends by continuity to the whole space  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  [15]. Let  $Tu \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)'$  be the restriction to  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  of this linear form, i.e.

$$(7) \quad (Tu)(g) = \int g(x) dv_u(x)$$

for every  $g \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)$ .

4.3. LEMMA. *The map  $T: F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, E)'$  defined by (7) is a linear continuous operator, when  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)'$  is endowed with the strong topology with respect to  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ .*

*Proof.* We have, for each  $u \in F$ ,

$$(8) \quad (Tu)(g) = \int u(x)(g(x)) d\tau(x)$$

for every  $g \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)$ . Indeed, the dominated convergence theorem and a standard density argument show that it suffices to see (8) when  $g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$ , that is trivially true.

Let  $H$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ . Then  $B = \cup\{g(X): g \in H\}$  is a bounded subset of  $E$ . Hence, by (8),  $|(Tu)(g)| \leq \int p_{B^0}(u(x)) d\tau(x)$  and the lemma follows.

We are now ready to prove the sufficient condition:

4.4. THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff  $G$ -space and  $E$  a Fréchet-Montel space. Then  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space.*

*Proof.* By 2.4 we can suppose, without loss of generality, that  $X$  is compact.

Let  $(g'_n)_n$  be an equicontinuous sequence in  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)'$ . By [14, III.4.5] there exists a continuous seminorm  $p$  in  $E$  such that  $V_p \mu_n(X) \leq 1$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mu_n$  is the representing measure of  $g'_n$  [14, III].

Let  $\tau = \sum_n 2^{-n} V_p \mu_n$ .  $\tau$  is a countably additive  $[0, 1]$ -valued Borel measure, by [14, III.2.5]. Let  $\Sigma$  be the completed  $\sigma$ -field of the Borel field of  $X$  with respect to  $\tau$ . We shall denote also by  $\tau$  and  $\mu_n$  the natural extensions of the earlier measures to  $\Sigma$ .

Since  $E$  is a Montel space, the measure  $\mu_n: \Sigma \rightarrow E'_\beta$  is countably additive. Clearly  $V_p \mu_n \leq 2^n$ , thus  $\mu_n$  has bounded variation and is  $\tau$ -absolutely continuous (when it is considered as an  $E'_\beta$ -valued measure).

We apply Theorem B, obtaining, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , a function  $u_n \in L^1(\tau, E'_\beta)$  such that  $\mu_n$  is the vector measure of density  $u_n$  with respect to  $\tau$ .

Clearly  $u_n \in F$  and  $Tu_n = g'_n$ , for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Fix  $g'' \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)''$ . By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.2, there exists  $v \in L^\infty(\tau, E)$  such that  $g''(g'_n) = \int u_n(x)(v(x)) d\tau(x)$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $Z$  be a set in  $\Sigma$  with  $\tau(Z) = 0$  and  $v(X \setminus Z)$  bounded. The function  $v_1 = \chi_{X \setminus Z} v$  is totally measurable, because  $E$  is Montel and metrizable.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $v_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  such that  $p(v_3(x)) \leq \varepsilon/2$ , for every  $x \in X$ , if  $v_3 = v_1 - v_2$ . Hence,

$$(9) \quad \left| \int u_n(x)(v_3(x)) d\tau(x) \right| = \left| \int v_3(x) d\mu_n(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon/2$$

for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , because  $V_p \mu_n(X) \leq 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $(g'_n)$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(X, E)', \mathcal{C}(X, E))$ -null, then  $(\mu_n(A)(e))$  is a null sequence, for every  $e \in E$  and  $A \in \Sigma$ . Indeed, since  $X$  is a  $G$ -space, for each  $e \in E$ , the weak-star null sequence  $(\mu_n(\cdot)(e))$  in  $\mathcal{C}(X)'$ , is also weak null, hence  $(\mu_n(A)(e))$  is null for every Borel subset  $A$  of  $X$ , and so for every  $A \in \Sigma$ .

Since  $v_2$  is simple, it follows that

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int u_n(x)(v_2(x)) d\tau(x) = 0.$$

By (9) and (10),  $(g''(g'_n))$  is a null sequence, and we have shown that  $(g'_n)$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(X, E)', \mathcal{C}(X, E)'')$ -null.

**4.5. COROLLARY.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff  $G$ -space and  $E$  the inductive limit of the sequence  $(E_n)$  of Fréchet-Montel spaces, such that every bounded subset of  $E$  is localized in some  $E_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space.*

*Proof.* We can again suppose  $X$  compact. By [10], the inductive limit of the sequence  $(\mathcal{C}(X, E_n))$  is a dense topological subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$ . By Proposition 2.3 (a) and (c), and Theorem 4.4, it follows that  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space.



4.6. COROLLARY. *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet separable space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$E$  is a Montel space.*
- (b) *There exists a non-pseudofinite completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space.*
- (c) *For every completely regular Hausdorff  $G$ -space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$  is a Grothendieck space.*

*Proof.* Use 4.4 and 3.3.

**5. Application to spaces of totally measurable functions.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\Sigma$  a field of subsets of  $X$ . We will say that a subset  $B$  of  $X$  is open if for every  $x \in B$  there is  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $x \in A$  and  $A \subset B$ . Endowed  $X$  with this topology, let  $X^*$  be the Hausdorff space associated to  $X$ ,  $\pi: X \rightarrow X^*$  the quotient map, and  $\Sigma^* = \{\pi(A): A \in \Sigma\}$ .

The following lemma is easily established:

5.1. LEMMA (a)  *$X^*$  is a completely regular Hausdorff zero-dimensional topological space.*

(b) *The map  $A \in \Sigma \rightarrow \pi(A) \in \Sigma^*$  is a Boolean isomorphism.*

(c) *The map  $g \in \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^*, E) \rightarrow g \circ \pi \in \mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  is a topological isomorphism, and its restriction to  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma^*, E)$  so is onto  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$ .*

(d) *The map  $x^* \in X^* \rightarrow \{B^* \in \Sigma^*: x^* \in B^*\} \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  is one-to-one.*

By using 5.1, when one studies the linear topological properties of  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ , it can be supposed that  $X$  is a dense subspace of a Hausdorff compact zero-dimensional topological space  $K$  (namely, the Stone space of the Boolean algebra  $\Sigma$ ), and  $\Sigma$  is the trace in  $X$  of the Boolean algebra of open and closed subsets of  $K$ . In this context we have the following theorem:

5.2. THEOREM. *There exists a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ , containing  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$ , that is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that the set of restrictions to  $X$  of all elements of  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  is such a subspace.

By Proposition 2.3 (a), it follows that  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  is a Grothendieck space if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  so is. Hence we can apply to  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  the results of §§3 and 4.

5.3. REMARK. The question of when  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$  (equivalently,  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ ) is a Grothendieck space is related to the validity of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem for finitely additive scalar measures on  $\Sigma$ , of bounded variation. For instance, if  $\Sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -complete, or more generally,  $\Sigma$  has the subsequential interpolation property, then  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$  is a Grothendieck space (see [13] and [3]).

Finally, we show that the following result of Mendoza [8], can be easily deduced from their earlier results in [9] and our Theorem 5.2.

5.4. THEOREM. *Suppose  $\Sigma$  infinite. Then  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  is infrabarrelled (resp. barrelled) if and only if  $E'_\beta$  has property (B) of Pietsch [12, 1.5.8], and  $E$  is infrabarrelled (resp. barrelled).*

*Proof.* Let us observe that  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  is a large dense subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ . Indeed, if  $H$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ , then the set of all  $g$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  for which there exists  $h \in H$  with  $g(X) \subset h(X)$ , is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  whose closure in  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  contains  $H$ .

Thus Theorem 5.2 implies that  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  is infrabarrelled whenever  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  so is, hence we have the first equivalence of the theorem, by [9].

If  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  is barrelled, then  $E$  is barrelled and  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$  is infrabarrelled, so  $E'_\beta$  has property (B). The converse follows easily because  $\mathcal{C}(K, E)$  is topologically isomorphic to a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$ , by 5.2.

5.5. REMARK. We have also shown in 5.4 that, if  $\Sigma$  is infinite,  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  is infrabarrelled if and only if  $E'_\beta$  has property (B) and  $E$  is infrabarrelled, a result of Mendoza [8]. In [2] we prove that  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  is barrelled if and only if  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$  and  $E$  so are, and  $E$  is nuclear.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Y. H. Chi, *On the Radon-Nikodým theorem and locally convex spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **61** (1977), 245–253.
- [2] F. J. Freniche, *Barrelledness of the space of vector valued and simple functions*, Math. Ann., **267** (1984), 479–486.
- [3] ———, *The Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem for Boolean algebras with the subsequential interpolation property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **92** (1984), 362–366.
- [4] R. Haydon, *Trois exemples dans la théorie des espaces de fonctions continues*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **276A**, (1973), 685–687.
- [5] R. Hollstein, *Permanence properties of  $\mathcal{C}(X, E)$* , Manuscripta Math., **38** (1982), 41–58.

- [6] R. Isbell and Z. Semadeni, *Projections constants and spaces of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **107** (1963), 38–48.
- [7] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Stuttgart, B. G. Teubner 1981.
- [8] J. Mendoza, *Barrelledness conditions on  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, E)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma, E)$* , Math. Ann., **261** (1982), 11–22.
- [9] ———, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for  $C(X, E)$  to be barrelled or infrabarrelled*, Simon Stevin, **57** (1983), 103–123.
- [10] J. Mújica, *Spaces of continuous functions with values in an inductive limit*, Lecture Notes in Pures and Applied Math., **83** (1983).
- [11] A. Nissenzweig,  *$w^*$ -sequential convergence*, Israel J. Math., **22** (1975), 266–272.
- [12] A. Pietsch, *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer 1972.
- [13] W. Schachermayer, *On some classical measure-theoretic theorems for non-sigma-complete Boolean algebras*, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, Linz-Auhof 1980.
- [14] J. Schmets, *Spaces of Vector-Valued Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1003, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, Springer 1983.
- [15] A. H. Suchat, *Integral representations theorems in topological vector spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **172** (1972), 373–397.
- [16] S. Warner, *The topology of compact convergence on continuous functions spaces*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958), 265–282.
- [17] A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, New York, McGraw-Hill 1978.

Received May 10, 1984.

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA  
C / TAFIA SN.  
SEVILLA-12  
ESPAÑA

