

Research group AGR-268

Urban greening & biosystems engineering



# Social impact assessment of a

## living wall installed in a hospital

International Conference on Living Walls and Ecosystems Services University of Greenwich 6-8 July 2015



Luis Pérez-Urrestarazu Ana Blasco-Romero Rafael Fernández-Cañero University of Seville



Research group AGR-268

Urban greening & biosystems engineering



# Social impact assessment of a living wall installed in a hospital

## E-valuate some of the 'intangible benefits':

- Media impact
- Hospital users' attitude towards the living wall











- This relates to the number of LW installed
- Spain  $\rightarrow$  much less than in UK
- Public vs. private investment







# What should we tell the private sector to encourage the use of LW?





## **Known benefits**

- Air quality improvement
- Impact on thermal performance of buildings
- Noise isolation
- Favouring biodiversity
- Aesthetic effect

#### ARE THEY ENOUGH?

Not so known...

Intangible benefits

- ✓ Social impact
- ✓ Psicological
- ✓ Marketing





## Marketing



http://www.verticalgardenpatrickblanc.com/





## Marketing



#### Advertising slogans using Living Walls





## Clients attitude towards greening the space

# **Environmentally conscious**





http://www.scotscapelivingwalls.net





## Clients attitude towards greening the space



http://www.terapiaurbana.es/





## Clients attitude towards greening the space



http://www.terapiaurbana.es/





Clients attitude towards greening the space

What about a private hospital?

- ✓ Offering a view of an environmentally friendly healthcare company?
- ✓ Just introducing an aesthetically pleasant element?
- ✓ Psychological benefit from passive involvement with nature, relying on the visual amenity (Özgüner & Kendle, 2006)
- ✓ Relieve stress and pain (Vincent et al., 2010)





## The case study

#### • Location: Quirón Sagrado Corazón Hospital (Seville, Spain)







## The case study

#### • Location: Quirón Sagrado Corazón Hospital (Seville, Spain)

• Insalled in August 2012







## The living wall

- **Design:** Inspired in Burle Marx "Suspended Garden"
  - Area: aprox. 40 m<sup>2</sup>

- (17 m long by 2.2 m high)
- 1400 plants, 40 species



http://www.terapiaurbana.es/

Living wall design process: (a) adaptation of the original image to the living wall size; (b) transposition of the landscape design to planting pattern; (c) actual result





- The living wall system
- Fytotextil: 1 x 1 m modules of cloth (three layers) with pockets
- Plants with rootball (coconut peat) inserted in pockets









#### Installation process













#### • The result













## Methodology

- Media coverage (2012-2015):
  - Appearances in newspapers
  - Radio and television
  - Internet: youtube, facebook, blogs
- Valuation based on:
  - Target audience
  - Length of the article, video, interview...
  - Media importance (national wide, regional...)
  - Official prices of advertisements



Sección: (

Urban greening & biosystems engineering Research group AGR-268



## **Methodology**

	SUR (SUPLEMENTO ESPEC			IAL) 26/12/12			80	-		
		MALAGA		Pri Tir Dif	ensa: ada: iusión:	Otra 37.665 Ejemplares 31.058 Ejemplares		d: 65324448		
TROS	Valor: 5.116,00 €	Area (cm2): 914,0	Ocupación: 95,17 %	Documento: 1/2	Autor:	BLANCA SALVATIERRA	Núm. Lectores:	133000	Fagina. Tu	



## La construcción de la ciudad avanza

didas a Terapía Urbana son el corazón de la empresa.

Los investigadores Rafael Fernández, Luis Pérez y Antonio Franco, que trabajaron para desarrollar esas patentes en el mundo académico, son los que las explotan comescialmente junto a otros socios.

trato convencional sino una especie de tela combinada en varias capas.

La idea es, por un lado, aprovechar espacios hasta a hora haldios y, por otro, facilitar en gran medida que los jardines estén bien cuidados con una minima intervención humana. A cartir de cierto tamaño. «Ahora tenemos

«El principal beneficio es el espacio: no es necesario tener terreno»





## Methodology

- Users' perception:
- ✤ 350 questionnaires to hospital personnel and visitors
  - Face-to-face interviews during May-June 2015
  - 22 questions









## Methodology

- Users' perception:
- ✤ 350 questionnaires to hospital personnel and visitors
  - Descriptors (age, gender, occupation, etc.)
  - General questions about attitude towards plants and green spaces
  - Specific questions about the LW and its effect on the survey respondents
  - 'Contingent valuation' questions: willingness to pay, how much should the hospital invest on the LW?





## Results

#### Media impact analysis

- 30 appearances in 23 newspapers
- Radio: 2 interviews
- Television: 3 reports in a regional channel and 1 in national TV (accumulating more than 10 minutes)
- Youtube: more than 4200 viewers
- Facebook: 373 likes, 256 shares
- 407 tweets

RECOVERED **5.2** TIMES

- Estimation of the investment in the LW:
  - Installation costs
  - Operation & maintenance costs during 15 years





## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the sample

Distribution of the 350 questionnaires:



#### Gender and age

Female Male





## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the sample

Distribution of the 350 questionnaires:







## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the outcomes







## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the outcomes

#### **Emotions or reactions inspired being near plants**





# LW may have a therapeutic effect contributing to improve health







## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the outcomes

#### LW have the same effects over those than perceive it than conventional gardens



■ Highly disagree ■ Disagree ■ Indiferent ■ Agree ■ Highly agree



53 % of respondents think a LW have an equivalent effect to conventional gardens

#### Do you value the presence of plants in your working place?







## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the outcomes

# What would you like to view from the hospital's hall?







## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the outcomes

# It is adequate to invest on constructing a LW at the hospital







## **Results**

#### • Users' perception: the outcomes

How much should the hospital annually spend on LW and plants?



How much would you be eager to pay monthly to increase/improve green areas close to you?



Nothing ■ 1 € ■ 5 € ■ 10 € ■ >10€





## Conclusions

- 1. Generally, people think there should be investments on green areas but they are not willing to pay for it.
- Although not much importance is given to gardening, plants provide positive feelings and reactions and they are appreciated in different environments such as a hospital.
- 3. Many people have not heard about living walls before, but when they see one, their reaction seems to be positive.





## Conclusions

- Most of the hospital users think that having a living wall there is psychologically beneficial and contributes to improve health. Therefore they totally agree with the investment made by the hospital.
- 5. Most hospital users prefer to have a living wall in the hospital over other options (green or not)
- 6. High cost recovery ratio (investment vs. returns in publicity)
  BUT no such impact is expected for every LW installation → in this case the media impact was significant but the novelty of the news and the wide diffusion played an important role.



Research group AGR-268 **Urban greening & biosystems engineering** 



#### Acknowledgements:

terapia urbana









Luis Pérez-Urrestarazu Ana Blasco-Romero Rafael Fernández-Cañero University of Seville

E-mail contact:



lperez@us.es



Research group AGR-268

Urban greening & biosystems engineering



Social impact assessment of a living wall

installed in a hospital

## Thank you for your attention





Luis Pérez-Urrestarazu Ana Blasco-Romero Rafael Fernández-Cañero University of Seville

E-mail contact:



lperez@us.es