International Urogynecology Journal EVALUATION OF ISOLATED URINARY STRESS INCONTINENCE ACCORDING TO THE TYPE OF LESION ON THE LEVATOR ANI MUSCLE USING 3-4D TRANSPERINEAL ULTRASOUND 36 MONTHS POST-PARTUM.

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	IUJO-D-16-00459R2		
Full Title:	EVALUATION OF ISOLATED URINARY STRESS INCONTINENCE ACCORDING TO THE TYPE OF LESION ON THE LEVATOR ANI MUSCLE USING 3-4D TRANSPERINEAL ULTRASOUND 36 MONTHS POST-PARTUM.		
Article Type:	Original Article		
Corresponding Author:	José Antonio García Mejido, Gynecologist Hospital Universitario de Valme Sevilla, Sevilla SPAIN		
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:			
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Hospital Universitario de Valme		
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:			
First Author:	José Antonio García Mejido, Gynecologist		
First Author Secondary Information:			
Order of Authors:	José Antonio García Mejido, Gynecologist		
	Pamela Valdivieso Mejias, Gynecologist		
	Ana Fernández Palacin, Proffesor		
	María José Bonomi Barby, Gynecologist		
	Paloma De la Fuente Vaquero, Gynecologist		
	José Antonio Sainz Bueno, Gynecologist		
Order of Authors Secondary Information:			
Funding Information:			
Abstract:	Abstract: INTRODUCTION Vaginal delivery can lead to pelvic floor disorders. Many authors have described pelvic floor injuries which can predict future defects such as urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse. We propose the assessment of urinary stress incontinence and its association with levator ani muscle(LAM) microtrauma(>20% in the levator hiatus area during Valsalva) and macrotraumas (avulsion) identified by 3/4D transperineal ultrasound(3D-TpUS) 36months post-partum. MATERIALS AND METHOD Prospective observational study including 168 nulliparous women. All patients included were nulliparous with singleton gestation in cephalic presentation, at≥37 weeks and were recruited on the first day after delivery. 36 months after delivery,3D-TpUS was carried out to identify LAM lesions (macro or micro). Clinical assessment of urinary stress incontinence (USI) was based on the ICIQ-UI-SF-test; a simple-stress-test and urodynamic-test were carried out in the same visit. RESULTS A total of 105nulliparous women were studied (51 spontaneous deliveries(SpD) and 54vacuum assisted deliveries (VD)). Microtraumas were identified in 35.3%of SpD and 20.4%of VD. Macrotraumas (avulsion) were identified in 9.8%of SpD and 35.2%of		

	 VD(p=0.006). No differences were found in USI between study groups or in relation to the identification of LAM defects (19.2% in the no lesion group, 25% in macrotrauma and 13.8% in microtrauma; P=not significant). Neither were significant differences found in the results from the different study groups in the ICIQ-UI-SF test(12.7±2.2 no lesion group, 12.5±4.2 in macrotrauma and 13.25±4.8 in microtrauma;p=NS). CONCLUSION No difference was observed in USI between patients with and without LAM lesions(microtrauma or macrotrauma) 36months post delivery.
Posponso to Poviowers:	Like 'see attachment'
Response to Reviewers.	

Click here to view	v linked References
1	EVALUATION OF ISOLATED URINARY STRESS INCONTINENCE
2	ACCORDING TO THE TYPE OF LESION ON THE LEVATOR ANI MUSCLE
3	USING 3-4D TRANSPERINEAL ULTRASOUND 36 MONTHS POST-PARTUM.
4	
5	Autors
6	
7	García Mejido, José Antonio 1 (Autor de correspondencia)
8	Valdivieso Mejias, Pamela 2
9	Fernández Palacín, Ana 3
10	Bonomi Barby, María José 1
11	De la Fuente Vaquero, Paloma 1
12	Sainz Bueno, José Antonio 1,3 (Autor de correspondencia)
13	
14	
15	1 Hospital Universitario de Valme, Obstetrica y Ginecología. Sevilla, ES
16	2 Hospital Ginemed, Obstetricia y Ginecología Sevilla, ES
17	3 Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Andalucía, ES
18	
19	
20	
21	Corresponding Authors:
22	García Mejido, José Antonio y Sainz Bueno, José Antonio
23	Hospital Universitario Virgen de Valme (Sevilla) CP:41014. España
24	e-mail:

25 jagmejido@hotmail.com and joseantoniosainz@hotmail.es

- 26 Teléfono: 955015385
- 27
- 28
- 29 Autorship: Each author must qualify by having participated actively and sufficiently in
- 30 the study that is being performed and reported.
- 31
- 32
- **Conflict of interest:** It doesn't exist any trade association of any author of the text
- neither any economic benefit with the realization of this work and their publication
- 35

36 **Each author's contribution:**

	García Mejido, José Antonio	writing/conducting the study
	Valdivieso Mejias, Pamela Fernéndez Palacín, Ana Bonomi Barby, María José De la Fuente Vaquero, Paloma Sainz Bueno, José Antonio	writing/conducting the study writing/conducting the study writing/conducting the study writing/conducting the study writing/conducting the study
37		
38		
39	Words summary:268	

- 40 Words of the manuscript: 2362
- 41 Clinical trial registration: 31

43 **EVALUATION OF ISOLATED URINARY STRESS INCONTINENCE** 44 ACCORDING TO THE TYPE OF LESION ON THE LEVATOR ANI MUSCLE 45 **USING 3-4D TRANSPERINEAL ULTRASOUND 36 MONTHS POST-PARTUM.** 46 47 Abstract: 48 49 50 **INTRODUCTION** 51 Vaginal delivery can lead to pelvic floor disorders. Many authors have described pelvic 52 floor injuries which can predict future defects such as urinary incontinence and pelvic 53 organ prolapse. We propose the assessment of urinary stress incontinence and its 54 association with levator ani muscle (LAM) microtrauma (>20% in the levator hiatus 55 area during Valsalva) and macrotraumas (avulsion) identified by 3/4D transperineal 56 ultrasound (3D-TpUS) 36 months post-partum. 57 58 59 MATERIALS AND METHOD 60 61 Prospective observational study including 168 nulliparous women. All patients included were nulliparous with singleton gestation in cephalic presentation, at ≥ 37 weeks and 62 were recruited on the first day after delivery. 36 months after delivery, 3D-TpUS was 63 carried out to identify LAM lesions (macro or micro). Clinical assessment of urinary 64 stress incontinence (USI) was based on the ICIQ-UI-SF test; a simple stress test and 65 urodynamic test were carried out in the same visit. 66 67

68 RESULTS

A total of 105 nulliparous women were studied (51 spontaneous deliveries (SpD) and 54 vacuum assisted deliveries (VD)). Microtraumas were identified in 35.3% of SpD and 20.4% of VD. Macrotraumas (avulsion) were identified in 9.8% of SpD and 35.2% of VD (p=0.006). No differences were found in USI between study groups or in relation to the identification of LAM defects (19.2% in the no lesion group, 25% in macrotrauma and 13.8% in microtrauma; P=not significant). Neither were significant differences found in the results from the different study groups in the ICIQ-UI SF test (12.7±2.2 no lesion group, 12.5±4.2 in macrotrauma and 13.25±4.8 in microtrauma; P=NS). CONCLUSION No difference was observed in USI between patients with and without LAM lesions (microtrauma or macrotrauma) 36 months post delivery. Keywords: Urinary stress incontinence, levator ani muscle, transperineal ultrasound, pelvic floor, labor. Brief Summary: No statistically significant differences were found between patients with and without USI and LAM lesion (macrotrauma or microtrauma) 36 months post delivery.

95

96 EVALUATION OF ISOLATED URINARY STRESS INCONTINENCE 97 ACCORDING TO THE TYPE OF LESION ON THE LEVATOR ANI MUSCLE 98 USING 3-4D TRANSPERINEAL ULTRASOUND 36 MONTHS POST-PARTUM. 99

100

```
101 INTRODUCTION
```

102

Pelvic floor disorders entail a very frequent group of pathologies which have a significant impact on women's quality of life. Their importance lies mainly in their high rate of incidence, which leads to the performance of 300,000 pelvic floor corrective surgeries (pelvic organ prolapse and USI) per year (USA, 2003).¹ Urinary incontinence comprises 12–38% of all pelvic floor dysfunctions, hence the importance of its study.²

109 The multifactorial aetiology of pelvic floor dysfunctions is currently well established, 110 with pregnancy and vaginal delivery being described as the main factors determining the onset of urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse.^{3,4} During delivery, harm to 111 112 different pelvic floor structures is common. Levator ani muscle (LAM) avulsion seems to be the most frequently identified lesion related to vaginal delivery.⁵ However, this 113 114 structure can suffer other kinds of lesions during delivery; these include traumatic damage to the connective tissue and fascia, which can lead to a decreased contractility 115 of the LAM after delivery.^{6,7} This kind of lesion can be the result of muscle stretching. 116 direct trauma or pudendal nerve neuropraxia occurring during the delivery.⁸ 117

Post-partum lesions of the pelvic floor may be categorised as macrotraumas and microtraumas.⁹ Macrotrauma corresponds to LAM avulsion, defined as the discontinuity of LAM muscle fibres at their pubic insertion,¹⁰ present in 13–36% of women after vaginal delivery.^{9,11} On the other hand, microtrauma, defined as a 20% or higher urogenital hiatus increase during Valsalva in the absence of avulsion, was present in 30% of the women.⁹ Several authors have identified these defects as early indicators for future USI and pelvic organ prolapse. ^{12–14}

126

Although these lesions may be diagnosed by clinical evaluation, imaging techniques are 127 a more objective tool in establishing a correct diagnosis. Three-dimensional 128 transperineal ultrasound (3D-TpUS) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the 129 main imaging techniques used for the diagnosis of pelvic floor diseases.^{5,14,15} 3D-TpUS 130 has proved to be useful in evaluating the functional anatomy of the pelvic floor, offering 131 an advanced space and time resolution through the capture of large numbers of images 132 in a short time.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ Moreover, 4D ultrasound assessment of the pelvic floor provides 133 134 quantitative and qualitative information on levator ani muscle (LAM) function and lesions with higher sensitivity than digital exam.²⁰ 135

136

Our study group believes there may be an association between LAM defects and the future onset of USI. Therefore, we propose assessing the relationship between LAM lesions (macrotraumas and microtraumas) identified by 3/4D transperineal ultrasound and the presence of USI 36 months post (vaginal) delivery.

141

142 MATERIALS AND METHOD

Prospective observational study with 168 nulliparous women with vaginal deliveries recruited at the Valme Hospital (Seville, Spain) maternity unit between June 1 2012 and December 31 2012. Study population constituted of volunteer patients who met criteria and who were added consecutively (Figure 1) after being informed of the basis of the study and signing informed consent. The trial received the approval from the Andalusian regional government's biomedical research ethics committee under the code 3004/2012.

151

All recruited patients were nulliparous, at term gestation (37–42 weeks), without prior pelvic floor corrective surgery, with foetus in cephalic presentation and had either had a spontaneous vaginal delivery (SpD) or required instrumentation with vacuum to complete foetal extraction (VD). Patients who met study criteria were recruited during the first 24 horas post-partum.

157

158 Pregnancies with severe maternal (preeclampsia, uncontrolled gestational diabetes, 159 grade 3–4 maternal cardiomyopathy, maternal endocrine or neurological disease, severe maternal infection, maternal respiratory or orthopedic disorder) or foetal pathology 160 (structural malformation, chromosomopathy, foetal infection, isoimmunisation, 161 162 intrauterine growth restriction, hydrops) were excluded. In order to carry out a specific evaluation of isolated USI, women complaining of symptoms of urinary urgency 163 164 incontinence or pelvic organ prolapse in the 36 months prior to ultrasound evaluation were also excluded. 165

166

167 Deliveries were assisted by maternity unit staff with a minimum of five years 168 experience in obstetric practice. In all VD cases, a metal vacuum (Malström 50mm.

169 80KPa) was used to complete foetal extraction.

170

171 The following obstetric parameters were registered: maternal age, body mass index, gestational age, epidural analgesia, cephalic circumference, duration of second stage of 172 173 labour, type of vaginal delivery (operative/vacuum or spontaneous), episiotomy (restrictive, mediolateral episiotomy, according to Valme Hospital maternity unit's 174 clinical practice guidelines), perineal tear (which, according to Valme Hospital 175 176 maternity unit's clinical practice guidelines, were described according to the following classification: I: laceration exclusively of the vaginal epithelium or perineal skin, II: 177 damage of perineal muscles and/or fascia but with no involvement of the anal sphincter, 178 III: disruption of the vaginal epithelium, perineal skin, perineal body and anal sphincter 179 muscles; IV: third-degree tear plus disruption of the anal/rectal epithelium) and weight 180 181 at birth.

182

Ultrasound evaluation was performed 36 months after delivery, by a single examiner with specific training in 3D pelvic floor ultrasound. Prior to and throughout the ultrasound assessment, the examiner was blinded to obstetric data relating to the delivery and clinical manifestations. A 500® Toshiba Aplio (Toshiba Medical Systems Corp., Tokyo, Japan) ultrasound with PVT-675MV 3D abdominal probe was used.

188

Images were obtained with patients in the dorsal lithotomy position on a gynaecological examination table, with empty bladder and rectum. The transducer was carefully placed on each patient's perineum, applying the minimal pressure possible. The transducer's position was corrected until the main axis was placed on the median sagittal plane on the vaginal introitus. Offline processing and analysis of the images acquired was carried

out by the same expert who performed the captures. The volumes studied allowed
access to the plane of minimal dimensions (PMD), as described in previous studies.^{11,19}

196

Levator hiatus parameters studied on the PMD were: anteroposterior diameter at rest, under Valsalva and with maximum contraction; transverse diameter at rest, under Valsalva and with maximum contraction (image 1-A) and area at rest, under Valsalva and with maximum contraction (image 1-B). Moreover, LAM area at rest, under Valsalva and with maximum contraction (image 1-C) and the presence of muscle injury (microtrauma or macrotrauma) were recorded.

203

A multiplanar study was carried out in order to determine LAM avulsion, including images at 2.5mm from the PMD. Macrotrauma (avulsion) was defined as the discontinuity of LAM muscle fibres at their pubic insertion, identified in the three central slices of multiplanar assessment¹¹ (*Image 2*). Microtrauma was established as an increase of more than 20% in the levator hiatus area during Valsalva.⁹

209

Clinical evaluation was performed 36 months post-partum by a gynaecologist who was 210 blinded to patients' data. Assessment was based on anamnesis focusing on pelvic floor 211 212 pathology and a urogynaecological exploration determining the state of urinary continence (simple stress test). In those cases in which the patient reported USI 213 symptoms, the ICIQ-UI SF²¹ test was performed. ICIQ-UI SF is considered a validated 214 test²² and is based on three questions regarding urinary frequency (0–5 points), number 215 216 of leaks (0–6 points) and patient discomfort (0–10 points). The total number of points gives a score between 0-21, with higher values indicating greater severity of 217

incontinence symptoms. Those patients who reported isolated USI symptoms, as well asthose whose cases were unclear, underwent a urodynamic test to confirm the diagnosis.

220

The data were reviewed prior to statistical analysis. Quantitative variables were expressed as means and standard deviations or, in case of asymmetrical distribution, as means and percentiles (p25 and p75); qualitative variables were expressed as percentages. Obstetric and delivery characteristics were assessed with a descriptive analysis of the type of lesion.

226

In order to evaluate quantitative variable means among subgroups, Student's t-test was applied for independent samples, and non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test was used in the event of abnormal distributions. Contingency tables with the Chi-square test or Monte Carlo methods were used in order to assess the relationship between quantitative variables. Statistical analysis was run using the SPSS 19.0 software for Windows.

232

233 RESULTS

234

168 pregnant women were initially included: 64.3 % SpD (108/168) and 35.7 % VD
(60/168). Of these, 63 were excluded: 2 refused to sign written informed consent, 41 did
not complete post-partum clinical evaluation (34 were pregnant when they were called
to perform the evaluation, 4 underwent some kind of pelvic floor rehabilitation and 3
cases were lost after delivery) and 20 were diagnosed with urinary urgency incontinence
(UUI) or pelvic organ prolapse within the first 36 months post-partum.

241

242 105 women completed the trial (51 SpD and 54 VD). Table 1 shows

gestational/obstetric and intrapartum features according to study group (no lesion, macrotrauma, microtrauma). Statistically significant differences were found in age (in years) (29.29 \pm 5.17, 33.04 \pm 3.75, 29.14 \pm 5.46; *P*:.014) and episiotomy rate (67.31%, 91.67%, 51.72%; *P*=.007), with both parameters being higher in the 'macrotrauma' group. All patients who underwent the urodynamic test had isolated USI diagnosis confirmed and, therefore, none had to be reclassified.

249

250 *Table 2* displays different study groups (no lesion, macrotrauma, microtrauma) according to the type of delivery (SpD and VD), levator hiatus dimensions and the 251 presence of USI. Microtrauma was present in 35.3% (18/51) of SpD in contrast with 252 253 20.4% (11/54) in VD. LAM avulsion (macrotrauma) was revealed in 9.8% (5/51) of SpD and 35.3% (19/54) of VD. Statistically significant differences were detected in all 254 255 levator hiatus measurements (anteroposterior and transverse diameters and area) for all captures: at rest, under Valsalva and with maximum contraction. Levator hiatus area was 256 257 larger in cases of macrotrauma, at rest (17.16±2.91, 20.29±4.41, 15.60±2.69; P<.0005), 258 under Valsalva (17.72±3.65, 23.79±4.41, 20.40±3.63; P<.0005) and with maximum contraction (16.28±3.35, 20.14±4.10, 15.65±2.87; P<.0005). However, USI was 259 observed in 19.2% (10/52) of the 'no lesion' group, 20,7% (6/29) of the 'microtrauma' 260 261 group and 16,7% (4/24) of the 'macrotrauma' group (p: no statistically significant difference). ICIQ-UI SF test scores by study group were 12.7±2.2, 12.5±4.2 and 262 263 13.2 ± 4.8 respectively (P: no statistically significant difference).

264

Table 3 shows LAM measurements taken by ultrasound compared to the presence or
otherwise of USI. The presence of USI is significantly higher in the VD group
compared to SpD group. However, when the 4 outcomes are analysed, no significant

differences are found. Moreover, no statistically significant differences were observed in levator hiatus measurements (anteroposterior and transverse diameters) at rest, under Valsalva or with maximum contraction according to the presence of USI. Levator hiatus area was similar between groups with and without USI, at rest (17.5 ± 3.7 vs. 17.3 ± 3.4 ; *P*: NS), under Valsalva (19.9 ± 4.5 vs. 19.8 ± 4.5 ; *P*: NS) and with maximum contraction (17.1 ± 3.7 vs. 16.4 ± 4.1 ; *P*: NS). Similarly, no statistically significant difference was found in LAM area (12.5 ± 3.0 , 13.7 ± 2.5 *P*: NS) in patients with and without USI.

276

277 DISCUSSION

278

According to our work, no statistically significant differences were found in patients with or without LAM injury (macrotrauma or microtrauma) and isolated USI symptoms

282 To date, an association has classically been drawn between urinary stress incontinence 283 and vaginal delivery and between urinary urgency incontinence (UUI) and caesarean section.²³ More recent studies have established a relationship between urethral 284 displacement during vaginal delivery and pelvic floor dysfunction after first vaginal 285 delivery.²⁴ Moreover, Falkert et al. demonstrated that 3D ultrasound after delivery could 286 help in the identification of women at high risk of pelvic floor disorders.²⁵ He observed 287 that women with an increased LAM hiatus area during Valsalva presented USI 288 symptoms within the first 2 years after delivery.²⁵ However, subsequent studies have not 289 proven a clear relationship between ultrasound findings and urinary incontinence 290 291 symptoms. Similarly, our study has not been able to find an association between levator hiatus area and the presence of USI symptoms.²⁶ These results are consistent with those 292

described previously by Oversand et al.²⁶ Furthermore, we did not find any association
between the different kinds of LAM lesions and isolated USI, as proposed by Falkert.²⁵

295

The main objective of our study was to identify isolated USI, for which reason we ruled 296 297 out any patient with a diagnosis of pelvic organ prolapse or UUI. The reason for excluding these patients was that previous studies have associated USI to the presence 298 of cystourethrocele as a possible cause of the symptoms, without levator ani muscle 299 avulsion being involved in the aethiology.²⁷ Moreover, the presence of cystourethrocele 300 in patients with USI symptoms has been associated to a lesion of the paravaginal 301 302 support tissue, while isolated cystocele with urinary dysfunction would probably be caused by LAM avulsion.²⁸ As the initial objective of our study was to evaluate the 303 association between isolated USI and LAM lesions, patients with pelvic organ prolapse 304 305 and UUI were excluded in order to avoid confounding factors.

306

We found no statistically significant difference in LAM hiatus measurements between patients with and without incontinence $(15.5\pm3.0 \text{ vs. } 13.7\pm2.5)$. However, previous studies in pregnant women have described increased LAM hiatus diameters and decreased width of the pubovisceral muscle as favouring the clinical symptoms of USI.²⁹ Stachowicz et al. describe differences in LAM thickness in women with and without urinary stress incontinence.³⁰

313

We found no difference in LAM area between patients with and without isolated USI. Previous studies have described how the RR of USI increases progressively during the first 12 months following delivery.³¹ Because of this, we decided to perform the clinical evaluation of patients at 36 months after delivery, in order to ensure that the RR of USI

318 was the highest possible with the minimum number of patient losses.

319

This might contradict previous studies that doubted whether macrotrauma or 320 microtrauma impact the presence of isolated USI post-partum.²⁴⁻²⁶ However, we 321 consider a significant limitation to our study to be the low number of patients with USI 322 symptoms, which we believe may explain the lack of statistically significant differences 323 between study groups (no lesion, macrotrauma, microtrauma) according to the presence 324 325 or absence of USI. Because of this, we believe this study could trigger future investigation in this area involving studies with larger number of patients. We also 326 consider a limitation to our study the fact of having lost such a high number of patients 327 328 due to the delay of the evaluation (36 months postpartum), reason why we believe that carrying out the evaluation at 24 months postpartum would be more adequate. 329 330 Furthermore, another drawback of our study is excluding other pelvic floor pathologies other than USI, as we consider that the inclusion of these kind of patients in our study 331 332 would reinforce our results.

333

In conclusion, we observed no differences in the presence of USI symptoms at 36 months post-partum in patients with and without LAM lesions (microtrauma or macrotrauma).

References:

339	1.	Boyles SH, Weber AM, Meyn L. Procedures for pelvic organ prolapse in the
340		United States, 1979-1997. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003;188:108-115.
341	2.	Anger JT, Saigal CS, Litwin MS. Urologic Diseases of America Project. The
342		prevalence of urinary incontinence among community dwelling adult women:
343		results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Urol
344		2006; 175: 601–604.
345	3.	Dannecker C, Anthuber C. The effects of childbirth on the pelvic-floor. J
346		PerinatMed 2000; 28: 175–184.
347	4.	Dietz HP, Simpson JM. Levator trauma is associated with pelvic organ prolapse.
348		BJOG. 2008; 115: 979–84.
349	5.	DeLancey JO, Kearney R, Chou Q, Speights S, Binno S. The appearance of
350		levator ani muscle abnormalities in magnetic resonance images after vaginal
351		delivery. Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 101: 46–53.
352	6.	Peschers UM, Schaer GN, DeLancey JO, Schuessler B. Levator ani function
353		before and after childbirth. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1997; 104: 1004–1008.
354	7.	Sze EH, Sherard GB, Dolezal JM. Pregnancy, labor, delivery and pelvic organ
355		prolapse. Obstet Gynecol 2002; 100: 981–986.
356	8.	Dolan LM, Hosker GL, Mallett VT, Allen RE, Smith AR. Stress incontinence
357		and pelvic floor neurophysiology 15 years after the first delivery. BJOG 2003;
358		110: 1107–1114.
359	9.	Shek K, Dietz HP. Intrapartum risk factors for levator trauma BJOG. 2010;
360		117: 1485–92.
361	10.	Dietz HP, Lanzarone V. Levator trauma after vaginal delivery. Obstet Gynecol.
362		2005; 106: 707–12.

363	11. García-Mejido JA, Gutierrez-Palomino L, Borrero C, Valdivieso P, Fernandez-
364	Palacin A, Sainz-Bueno JA. Factors that influence the development of avulsion
365	of the levator ani muscle in eutocic deliveries: 3-4D transperineal ultrasound
366	study. J Materm Fetal Neonatal Med. 2015; 4:1-4.
367	12. Salter SA, Batra RS, Rohrer TE, Kohli N, Kimball AB. Striae and pelvic
368	relaxation: two disorders of connective tissue with a strong association. J Invest
369	Dermatol. 2006; 126: 1745-8.
370	13. Albrich S.B, Laterza R.M, Skala C, Salvatore S, Koelbl H, Naumann G. Impact
371	of mode of delivery on levator morphology: a prospective observational study
372	with three dimensional ultrasound early in the postpartum period. BJOG. 2012;
373	119(1):51-60.
374	14. Shek K, Dietz H. The effect of childbirth on hiatal dimensions. Obstet Gynecol.
375	2009; 113: 1272–8.
376	15. Steensma AB, Konstantinovic ML, Burger CW, de Ridder D, Timmerman D,
377	Deprest J. Prevalence of major levator abnormalities in symptomatic patients
378	with an underactive pelvic floor contraction. Int Urogynecol J. 2010;21(7):861-
379	7.
380	16. Unger CA, Weinstein MM, Pretorius DH. Pelvic floor imaging. Obstet Gynecol
381	Clin North Am. 2011; 38(1):23-43.
382	17. Kruger JA, Heap SW, Murphy BA, Dietz HP. Pelvic floor function in
383	nulliparous women using three-dimensional ultrasound and magnetic resonance
384	imaging. Obstet Gynecol. 2008; 111: 631-8.
385	18. Schwertner-Tiepelmann N, Thakar, Sultan AH, Tunn R. Obstetric levator ani
386	muscle injuries: current status. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2012; 39(4):372-83.
387	doi:10.1002/uog.11080.

388	19. Dietz HP, Shek C, Clarke B. Biometry of the pubovisceral muscle and levator
389	hiatus by three-dimensional pelvic floor ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.
390	2005; 25: 580–85.
391	20. Braekken IH, Majida M, Engh ME, Bø K. Test-retest reliability of pelvic floor
392	muscle contraction measured by 4D ultrasound. Neurourol Urodyn 2009; 28:
393	68–73.
394	21. Timmermans L, De Mol J, Mélot C, Falez F. Correlation between ICIQ-UI-SF
395	score and personality testing results over a urinary incontinent population. Prog
396	Urol. 2016 Mar;26(4):230-6. doi: 10.1016/j.purol.2015.11.003. Epub 2015 Dec
397	31.
398	22. Avery K, Donovan J, Peters TJ, Shaw C, Gotoh M, Abrams P. ICIQ: A brief and
399	robust measure for evaluating the symptoms and impact of urinary incontinence.
400	Neurourol Urodyn 2004;23 (4):322–30.
401	23. Van Brummen HJ, Bruinse HW, van de Pol G, Heintz AP, van der Vaart CH.
402	Bothersome lower urinary tract symptoms 1 year after first delivery: prevalence
403	and effect of childbirth. BJU Int. 2006; 98: 89-95.
404	24. Dickie KJ, Shek KL, Dietz HP. The relationship between urethral mobility and
405	parity. BJOG. 2010;117(10):1220-4.
406	25. Falkert A, Willmann A, Endress E, Meint P, Seelbach-Göbel B. Three-
407	dimensional ultrasound of pelvic floor: is there a correlation with delivery mode
408	and persisting pelvic floor disorders 18-24 months after first delivery?.
409	Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2013; 41: 204–9.
410	26. Oversand S, Kamisan Atan I, Shek KL, Dietz HP. The association of urinary and
411	anal incontinence with measures of pelvic floor muscle contractility. Ultrasound
412	Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 19. doi: 10.1002/uog.14902.

413	27. Santoro GA, Wieczorek AP, Dietz HP, Mellgren A, Sultan A, Shobeiri SA, et al.
414	State of the art: an integrated approach to pelvic floor ultrasonography.
415	Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2011; 37: 381–96.
416	28. Eisenberg VH, Chantarasorn V, Shek KL, Dietz HP. Does levator ani injury
417	affect cystocele type?.Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2010; 36: 618-623.
418	29. Wu QK, MAO XY, Luo LM, Ying T, Li Q, Teng YC. Characteristics of pelvic
419	diaphragm hiatus in pregnant women with stress urinary incontinence detected
420	by transperineal three-dimensional ultrasound. Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi.
421	2010;45(5):326-30.
422	30. Stachowicz N, Stachowicz S, Smolen A, Morawska D, Kotarski J. Sonographic
423	evaluation of the levator ani muscle in women with stress urinary incontinence.
424	Ginekol Pol. 2012;83(9):669-73.
425	31. Hantoushzadeh S, Javadian P, Shariat M, Salmanian B, Ghazizadeh S, Aghssa
426	M. Stress urinary incontinence: pre-pregnancy history and effects of mode of
427	delivery on its postpartum persistency. Int J Urogynecol. 2011;22(6):651-5. doi:
428	10.1007/s00192-010-1335-6.
429	



Figure 1: shows the process of capturing patients.

Image 1. Represents measures obtained at minimal dimension plane. A. Shows antero
posterior diameters of levator hiatus area (1), define as the distance between the
posterior region of pubic symphysis and LAM's anterior region; and the transverse
diameters of levator hiatus area (2), which is the distance between the inner borders of
LAM at its pubic insertion. B. Measurement of levator hiatus area (3), describe as the
area that limits the anterior section with the posterior area of pelvic bones' inferior
branches. C. LAM's area (4) at the minimal dimension plane.



Image 2. Bilateral avulsion showing a defect at the insertion on three central planes (redarrows).



449	Table 1.	Gestational	and intrapartum	features	of the study	group.
			1		2	<u> </u>

	Media (± DT) o %			
	No lesion	Macrotrauma	Microtraumas	р
	(n=52)	(n=24)	(n=29)	
Age	29.29 (±5.17)	33.04 (±3.75)	29.14 (±5.46)	P=0.014
Gestational age	39.40 (±1.11)	38.33 (±1.20)	39.10 (±1.21)	NS
BMI	23.28 (±3.49)	24.10 (±3.10)	25.03 (±4.41)	NS
Epidural	94.23% (49/52)	91.67% (22/24)	82.76% (24/29)	NS
Epidural period (min)	368.82	383.18	364.25 (±209.13)	NS
	(±165.19)	(±150.26)		
Period of 2nd stage of	f 102.56	120.42	102.48 (±57.69)	NS
labour (min)	(±70.76)	(±107.95)		
Episiotomy	67.31% (35/52)	91.67% (22/24)	51.72% (15/29)	P=0.007
Perineal tear	40.38% (21/52)	54.17% (13/24)	34.48% (10/29)	NS
Grade	I15.38% (8/52)	12.5% (3/24)	24.13% (7/29)	
Grade I	I15.38% (8/52)	25% (6/24)	6.9% (2/29)	NS
Grade II	19.62% (5/52)	16.67% (4/24)	3.45% (1/29)	
Newborn weight (kg)	3241.7	3409.79	3185.69 (±357.11)	NS
	(±409.59)	(±408.55)		

451 NS: No statistical significance.

		Media (± DT) o		
	No lesion (n=52)	% Macrotauma (n=24)	Microtraumas (n=29)	Р
Delivery				
Vaginal	54.9%(28/51)	9.8%(5/51)	35.3%(18/51)	P=0.006
Vacuum	44.4%(24/54)	35.2%(19/54)	20.4%(11/54)	
APD LAM's hiatus at rest (mm)	64.56±7.41	68.28±7.83	61.79±6.50	P=0.007
APD LAM's hiatus	65.19±8.01	72.88±7.22	68.84±7.93	P=0.001
on Valsalva (mm)				
APD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	61.46±6.96	65.59±7.80	58.97±7.35	P=0.005
TD LAM's hiatus at	38.74±5.23	51.23±10.62	37.27±4.67	P<0.0005
TD LAM's hiatus	39 27±5 42	54 71±10 23	41 83±4 00	P<0 0005
Valsalva (mm)	57.27-0.12	01.71-10.20	11.00-1.00	1 0.0000
TD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	38.54±5.87	51.77±9.17	37.89±4.53	P<0.0005
Area of LAM's hiatus at rest (cm ²⁾	17.16±2.91	20.29±4.41	15.60±2.69	P<0.0005
Area of LAM's hiatus on Valsalva (cm ²⁾	17.72±3.65	23.79±4.41	20.40±3.63	P<0.0005
Area of LAM's hiatus in contraction (cm ²⁾	16.28±3.35	20.14±4.10	15.65±2.87	P<0.0005
LAM's área (cm ²⁾	12.68±3.06	13.30±2.90	12.44±2.78	NS
Urinary incontinence	19.2%(10/52)	16,7%(4/24)	20,7%(6/29)	NS
ICIQ-UI SF	12.7±2.2	12.5±4.2	13.2±4.8	NS

Table 2. Type of lesion according to delivery, hiatus measures and clinical incontinence.

454 APD: Antero posterior diameter; TD: transverse diameter; LAM: levator ani muscle;

455 NS: No statistical significance.

	Media		
	Stress urinary incontinence. Absent (n:85)	Stress urinary incontinence. Present (n:20)	р
Delivery			
Vaginal	88.2%(45/51)	11.8%(6/51)	NS
Vacuum	74.1%(40/54)	25.9%(14/54)	
APD LAM's hiatus at rest (mm)	64.2±7.36	66.6±8.3	NS
APD LAM's hiatus on Valsalva	67.5±8.2	69.8±8.9	NS
APD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	61.7±7.4	61.9±8.5	NS
TD LAM's hiatus at rest (mm)	41.7±8.5	39.2±9.1	NS
TD LAM's hiatus on Valsalva (mm)	44.0±8.9	41.3 ±8.9	NS
TD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	41.9±8.6	38.9 ±8.3	NS
Area of LAM's hiatus at rest	17.5±3.7	17.3±3.4	NS
(cm^2)	19.9±4.5	19.8±4.5	NS
Area of LAM's hiatus on Valsalva (cm ²)			
Area of LAM's hiatus in	17.1±3.7	16.4±4.1	NS
contraction (cm ²)	12.5±3.0	13.7±2.5	NS
LAM's area (cm ²)			

- **Table 3:** Biometric parameters of the levator's hiatus according absent or present the
- 458 stress urinary incontinence.

459 APD: Antero posterior diameter; TD: transverse diameter; LAM: levator ani muscle;

460 NS: No statistical significance.









No lesion (n=52)Macrotrauma (n=24)Microtraumas (n=29)Age $29.29 (\pm 5.17)$ $33.04 (\pm 3.75)$ $29.14 (\pm 5.46)$ P=0.014Gestational age $39.40 (\pm 1.11)$ $38.33 (\pm 1.20)$ $39.10 (\pm 1.21)$ NSBMI $23.28 (\pm 3.49)$ $24.10 (\pm 3.10)$ $25.03 (\pm 4.41)$ NSEpidural $94.23\% (49/52)$ $91.67\% (22/24)$ $82.76\% (24/29)$ NSEpidural period (min) 368.82 383.18 $364.25 (\pm 209.13)$ NS(± 165.19)(± 150.26)Period of 2nd stage of 102.56 120.42 $102.48 (\pm 57.69)$ NSlabour (min)(± 70.76)(± 107.95)Episiotomy $67.31\% (35/52) 91.67\% (22/24) 51.72\% (15/29)$ P=0.007Perineal tear $40.38\% (21/52) 54.17\% (13/24) 34.48\% (10/29)$ NSGrade II 15.38\% (8/52) 12.5\% (3/24) 24.13\% (7/29)NSGrade II 15.38\% (8/52) 25\% (6/24) $6.9\% (2/29)$ NSNSGrade III 5.38\% (8/52) 25\% (6/24) $6.9\% (2/29)$ NS			Media (± DT) o	%	
$(n=52)$ $(n=24)$ $(n=29)$ Age $29.29 (\pm 5.17)$ $33.04 (\pm 3.75)$ $29.14 (\pm 5.46)$ P=0.014Gestational age $39.40 (\pm 1.11)$ $38.33 (\pm 1.20)$ $39.10 (\pm 1.21)$ NSBMI $23.28 (\pm 3.49)$ $24.10 (\pm 3.10)$ $25.03 (\pm 4.41)$ NSEpidural $94.23\% (49/52) 91.67\% (22/24)$ $82.76\% (24/29)$ NSEpidural period (min) 368.82 383.18 $364.25 (\pm 209.13)$ NS (± 165.19) (± 150.26) (± 165.19) (± 107.95) NSPeriod of 2nd stage of 102.56 120.42 $102.48 (\pm 57.69)$ NSlabour (min) (± 70.76) (± 107.95) $Episiotomy$ $67.31\% (35/52) 91.67\% (22/24) 51.72\% (15/29)$ $P=0.007$ Perineal tear $40.38\% (21/52) 54.17\% (13/24) 34.48\% (10/29)$ NS $Grade II15.38\% (8/52) 12.5\% (3/24) 24.13\% (7/29)$ NSGrade II 15.38\% (8/52) 25\% (6/24) 6.9\% (2/29)NS $Grade II19 62\% (5/52) 16.67\% (4/24) 345\% (1/29)$ NS		No lesion	Macrotrauma	Microtraumas	р
Age $29.29 (\pm 5.17)$ $33.04 (\pm 3.75)$ $29.14 (\pm 5.46)$ P=0.014Gestational age $39.40 (\pm 1.11)$ $38.33 (\pm 1.20)$ $39.10 (\pm 1.21)$ NSBMI $23.28 (\pm 3.49)$ $24.10 (\pm 3.10)$ $25.03 (\pm 4.41)$ NSEpidural $94.23\% (49/52) 91.67\% (22/24)$ $82.76\% (24/29)$ NSEpidural period (min) 368.82 383.18 $364.25 (\pm 209.13)$ NS(± 165.19)(± 150.26)NSPeriod of 2nd stage of 102.56 120.42 $102.48 (\pm 57.69)$ NSlabour (min)(± 70.76)(± 107.95)Episiotomy $67.31\% (35/52) 91.67\% (22/24) 51.72\% (15/29)$ P=0.007Perineal tear $40.38\% (21/52) 54.17\% (13/24) 34.48\% (10/29)$ NSSGrade II15.38\% (8/52) 12.5\% (3/24) 24.13\% (7/29)NSGrade II15.38\% (8/52) 25\% (6/24) $6.9\% (2/29)$ NSSSGrade II19 62\% (5/52) $16.67\% (4/24) 345\% (1/29)$ NS		(n=52)	(n=24)	(n=29)	_
Gestational age BMI $39.40 (\pm 1.11)$ $23.28 (\pm 3.49)$ $38.33 (\pm 1.20)$ $24.10 (\pm 3.10)$ $39.10 (\pm 1.21)$ $25.03 (\pm 4.41)$ NSEpidural period (min) $94.23\% (49/52) 91.67\% (22/24)$ 368.82 (± 165.19) $82.76\% (24/29)$ (± 150.26) NSPeriod of 2nd stage of 102.56 labour (min) 120.42 (± 70.76) $102.48 (\pm 57.69)$ (± 107.95) NSEpisiotomy Grade I15.38% (8/52) $67.31\% (35/52) 91.67\% (22/24) 51.72\% (15/29)$ $12.5\% (3/24) 24.13\% (7/29)$ Grade II15.38% (8/52) $25\% (6/24) 6.9\% (2/29)$ $6.9\% (2/29)$ NS	Age	29.29 (±5.17)	33.04 (±3.75)	29.14 (±5.46)	P=0.014
BMI 23.28 (±3.49) 24.10 (±3.10) 25.03 (±4.41) NS Epidural 94.23% (49/52) 91.67% (22/24) 82.76% (24/29) NS Epidural period (min) 368.82 383.18 364.25 (±209.13) NS (±165.19) (±150.26) Period of 2nd stage of 102.56 120.42 102.48 (±57.69) NS labour (min) (±70.76) (±107.95) Episiotomy 67.31% (35/52) 91.67% (22/24) 51.72% (15/29) P=0.007 Perineal tear 40.38% (21/52) 54.17% (13/24) 34.48% (10/29) NS Grade II15.38% (8/52) 12.5% (3/24) 24.13% (7/29) Grade II15.38% (8/52) 25% (6/24) 6.9% (2/29) NS	Gestational age	39.40 (±1.11)	38.33 (±1.20)	39.10 (±1.21)	NS
Epidural 94.23% (49/52) 91.67% (22/24) 82.76% (24/29) NS Epidural period (min) 368.82 383.18 $364.25 (\pm 209.13)$ NS (± 165.19) (± 150.26) Period of 2nd stage of 102.56 120.42 102.48 (± 57.69) NS labour (min) (± 70.76) (± 107.95) Episiotomy 67.31% (35/52) 91.67% (22/24) 51.72% (15/29) P=0.007 Perineal tear 40.38% (21/52) 54.17% (13/24) 34.48% (10/29) NS Grade I15.38% (8/52) 12.5% (3/24) 24.13% (7/29) Grade II15.38% (8/52) 25% (6/24) 6.9% (2/29) NS Grade II19 62% (5/52) 16.67% (4/24) 3 45% (1/29)	BMI	23.28 (±3.49)	24.10 (±3.10)	25.03 (±4.41)	NS
Epidural period (min) 368.82 383.18 364.25 (± 209.13) NS (± 165.19) (± 150.26) Period of 2nd stage of 102.56 120.42 102.48 (± 57.69) NS labour (min) (± 70.76) (± 107.95) Episiotomy 67.31% (35/52) 91.67% (22/24) 51.72% (15/29) P=0.007 Perineal tear 40.38% (21/52) 54.17% (13/24) 34.48% (10/29) NS Grade I15.38% (8/52) 12.5% (3/24) 24.13% (7/29) Grade II15.38% (8/52) 25% (6/24) 6.9% (2/29) NS Grade II19 62% (5/52) 16.67% (4/24) 3.45% (1/29)	Epidural	94.23% (49/52)	91.67% (22/24)	82.76% (24/29)	NS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Epidural period (min)	368.82	383.18	364.25 (±209.13)	NS
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(±165.19)	(±150.26)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Period of 2nd stage of	f 102.56	120.42	102.48 (±57.69)	NS
Episiotomy 67.31% (35/52) 91.67% (22/24) 51.72% (15/29) P=0.007 Perineal tear 40.38% (21/52) 54.17% (13/24) 34.48% (10/29) NS Grade I15.38% (8/52) 12.5% (3/24) 24.13% (7/29) Grade II15.38% (8/52) 25% (6/24) 6.9% (2/29) NS Grade II19 62% (5/52) 16 67% (4/24) 3 45% (1/29)	labour (min)	(±70.76)	(±107.95)		
Perineal tear 40.38% (21/52) 54.17% (13/24) 34.48% (10/29) NS Grade I15.38% (8/52) 12.5% (3/24) 24.13% (7/29) Grade II15.38% (8/52) 25% (6/24) 6.9% (2/29) NS Grade III9 62% (5/52) 16 67% (4/24) 3 45% (1/29)	Episiotomy	67.31% (35/52)	91.67% (22/24)	51.72% (15/29)	P=0.007
Grade I15.38% (8/52)12.5% (3/24)24.13% (7/29)Grade II15.38% (8/52)25% (6/24)6.9% (2/29)NSGrade III9 62% (5/52)16 67% (4/24)3 45% (1/29)	Perineal tear	40.38% (21/52)	54.17% (13/24)	34.48% (10/29)	NS
Grade II15.38% (8/52) 25% (6/24) 6.9% (2/29) NS Grade III9 62% (5/52) 16 67% (4/24) 3 45% (1/29)	Grade	[15.38% (8/52)	12.5% (3/24)	24.13% (7/29)	
Grade III9 62% (5/52) 16 67% (4/24) 3 45% (1/29)	Grade I	[15.38% (8/52)	25% (6/24)	6.9% (2/29)	NS
	Grade II	[9.62% (5/52)	16.67% (4/24)	3.45% (1/29)	
Newborn weight (kg) 3241.7 3409.79 3185.69 (±357.11) NS	Newborn weight (kg)	3241.7	3409.79	3185.69 (±357.11)	NS
(±409.59) (±408.55)		(±409.59)	(±408.55)		

 Table 1. Gestational and intrapartum features of the study group.

NS: No statistical significance.

		Media (± DT) o		
	No lesion (n=52)	% Macrotauma (n=24)	Microtraumas (n=29)	Р
Delivery	· · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Vaginal	54.9%(28/51)	9.8%(5/51)	35.3%(18/51)	P=0.006
Vacuum	44.4%(24/54)	35.2%(19/54)	20.4%(11/54)	
APD LAM's hiatus at rest (mm)	64.56±7.41	68.28±7.83	61.79±6.50	P=0.007
APD LAM's hiatus on Valsalva (mm)	65.19±8.01	72.88±7.22	68.84±7.93	P=0.001
APD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	61.46±6.96	65.59±7.80	58.97±7.35	P=0.005
TD LAM's hiatus at	38.74±5.23	51.23±10.62	37.27±4.67	P<0.0005
TD LAM's hiatus	39.27±5.42	54.71±10.23	41.83±4.00	P<0.0005
TD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	38.54±5.87	51.77±9.17	37.89±4.53	P<0.0005
Area of LAM's hiatus at rest (cm ²⁾	17.16±2.91	20.29±4.41	15.60±2.69	P<0.0005
Area of LAM's hiatus on Valsalva (cm ²⁾	17.72±3.65	23.79±4.41	20.40±3.63	P<0.0005
Area of LAM's hiatus in contraction (cm ²⁾	16.28±3.35	20.14±4.10	15.65±2.87	P<0.0005
LAM's área (cm ²⁾	12.68±3.06	13.30±2.90	12.44±2.78	NS
Urinary incontinence	19.2%(10/52)	16,7%(4/24)	20,7%(6/29)	NS
ICIQ-UI SF	12.7±2.2	12.5±4.2	13.2±4.8	NS

Table 2. Type of lesion according to delivery, hiatus measures and clinical incontinence.

APD: Antero posterior diameter; TD: transverse diameter; LAM: levator ani muscle; NS: No statistical significance.

- - 1 Tabla 3: Biometric parameters of the levator's hiatus according absent or present the
 - 2 stress urinary incontinence.

	Media (± DT) o %			
	Stress urinary incontinence. Absent (n:85)	Stress urinary incontinence. Present (n:20)	р	
Delivery				
Vaginal	88.2%(45/51)	11.8%(6/51)	NS	
Vacuum	74.1%(40/54)	25.9%(14/54)		
APD LAM's hiatus at rest (mm)	64.2±7.36	66.6±8.3	NS	
APD LAM's hiatus on Valsalva	67.5±8.2	69.8±8.9	NS	
(mm) APD LAM's hiatus in contraction (mm)	61.7±7.4	61.9±8.5	NS	
TD LAM's hiatus at rest (mm)	41.7±8.5	39.2±9.1	NS	
TD LAM's hiatus on Valsalva (mm)	44.0±8.9	41.3 ±8.9	NS	
TD LAM's hiatus in contraction	41.9±8.6	38.9 ± 8.3	NS	
(mm) Area of LAM's hiatus at rest (am^2)	17.5±3.7	17.3±3.4	NS	
Area of LAM's hiatus on	19.9±4.5	19.8±4.5	NS	
Valsalva (cm ²) Area of LAM's hiatus in	17.1±3.7	16.4±4.1	NS	
contraction (cm^2) LAM's area (cm^2)	12.5±3.0	13.7±2.5	NS	

4

5

APD: Antero posterior diameter; TD: transverse diameter; LAM: levator ani muscle;

NS: No statistical significance.