



Depósito de investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla

<https://idus.us.es/>

Esta es la versión aceptada del artículo publicado en:

This is a accepted manuscript of a paper published in:

Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health (2015): 25 de junio

DOI: 10.1007/s10903-013-9963-9

Copyright: Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

El acceso a la versión publicada del artículo puede requerir la suscripción de la revista.

Access to the published version may require subscription.

“This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature’s [AM terms of use](#), but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10903-013-9963-9>”

Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health

Health status sensed by the adult Latin American immigrant population in the city of Seville, Spain. --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JOIH-D-13-00157R3
Full Title:	Health status sensed by the adult Latin American immigrant population in the city of Seville, Spain.
Article Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	Emigration and Immigration; Health Status; Latin America; Spain.
Corresponding Author:	José Rafael González-López, Ph.D. International in Health Sciences. University of Seville Seville, SPAIN
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Seville
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	José Rafael González-López, Ph.D. International in Health Sciences.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	José Rafael González-López, Ph.D. International in Health Sciences. María de los Ángeles Rodríguez-Gázquez, Ph.D. in Public Health. María de las Mercedes Lomas-Campos, Ph.D. in Medicine.
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>The immigrant population in Seville has grown over the last 10 years, most of which are Latin Americans. Our aim was to describe the health status perceived in this emerging population. In 2011, a cross-sectional study was performed on a representative sample of 190 Latin American immigrants between the ages of 25 and 44 years residing in Seville (Spain). The self-sensed health status was 18% excellent, 28% very good, 42% good, 11% regular, and 1% poor. The average number of days of satisfactory health status during the last month was 22. Statistically significant differences were found: a) Higher satisfactory perception of health in men than in women (30% versus 9%) and b) Higher average number of days with excellent health in people with satisfactory perception than those with an unsatisfactory perception of their health status (23 and 15, respectively). Logistic regression, educational level, number of days of good health, and doing physical exercise were the variables that best explained the satisfactory perception of health status. Most people studied have a positive self-assessment of their health status, especially men, who engage in physical activity and those who have three and more weeks of good health per month. Our results permit us to support, to a large extent, the theory of the "healthy immigrant".</p>

Health status sensed by the adult Latin American immigrant population in the city of Seville, Spain.

Health status sensed by adult Latin American immigrants in Seville, Spain.

JR. González-López^{*1}
MA. Rodríguez-Gázquez²
MM. Lomas-Campos³

¹ Ph.D. International in Health Sciences. Associate Professor, Faculty of Nursing, Physiotherapy and Podiatry. Department of Nursing, University of Seville. Seville. Spain. Email: joserafael@us.es

² Ph.D. in Public Health. Associate Professor, Faculty of Nursing, University of Antioquia. Medellín. Colombia. Email: mariangelesrodriguezq@hotmail.com

³ Ph.D. in Medicine. Full Professor, Faculty of Nursing, Physiotherapy and Podiatry. Department of Nursing, University of Seville. Seville. Spain. Email: mlomas@us.es

***Corresponding Author:**

José Rafael González-López

Faculty of Nursing, Physiotherapy and Podiatry.

Department of Nursing,

University of Seville

Avda. Sánchez Pizjuán s/n. C.P: 41009. Seville. Spain.

Telephone: +34 954 55 63 62 Fax: +34 954 55 14 77. Email: joserafael@us.es

Abstract

The immigrant population in Seville has grown over the last 10 years, most of which are Latin Americans. Our aim was to describe the health status perceived in this emerging population. In 2011, a cross-sectional study was performed on a representative sample of 190 Latin American immigrants between the ages of 25 and 44 years residing in Seville (Spain). The self-sensed health status was 18% excellent, 28% very good, 42% good, 11% regular, and 1% poor. The average number of days of satisfactory health status during the last month was 22. Statistically significant differences were found: a) Higher satisfactory perception of health in men than in women (30% versus 9%) and b) Higher average number of days with excellent health in people with satisfactory perception than those with an unsatisfactory perception of their health status (23 and 15, respectively). Logistic regression, educational level, number of days of good health, and doing physical exercise were the variables that best explained the satisfactory perception of health status. Most people studied have a positive self-assessment of their health status, especially men, who engage in physical activity and those who have three and more weeks of good health per month. Our results permit us to support, to a large extent, the theory of the "healthy immigrant".

Kew words (MeSH): Emigration and Immigration; Health Status; Latin America; Spain.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank all the immigrants who voluntarily participated in this study. This work has been possible thanks to financial support by the Health Council of the Government of Andalusia (Government of Spain), in the call in 2009 for the research project "Analysis of health behaviors and disease prevalence of the immigrant and native population of the city of Seville".

1
2
3
4 **Introduction**
5

6 The immigrant population in Spain during 2012 represents 12.2% of the total resident individuals, with a presence
7 of 8.7% in the Autonomous Community of Andalucía and 4.2% in Seville [1]. In said city, the immigrant
8 population, in their vast majority from Latin America, has increased by 3.4% over the last 10 years [2]. This has
9 provoked a great interest in getting to know the implications of this phenomenon in different settings, among
10 which is in health. Research on the immigrants' health in Spain has led us to identify it as being a young and
11 healthy population [3, 4], with a similar pathology to that of the local populations [5].
12
13

14 These types of approaches are of great importance, given that – as suggested by Gushulak [6] and Rodríguez et
15 al. [7] – studying health exclusively through the patients attending healthcare services may create stereotypes
16 which identify the immigrant population as sick individuals, when the reality seems to be different. As posed by
17 the aforementioned authors [6,7], the lack of immigrant representation in some regional health surveys is what
18 provokes works such as the one reported herein, which tries to reply to questions that have not been answered
19 with the information from available population-based healthcare records.
20
21

22 Some authors [8-10] reveal how the health of the immigrant group worsens as their length of stay increases in
23 the host country. Perhaps this fact is associated to the hypothesis of the “healthy immigrant”, linked to
24 deterioration of health after their arrival in the host country, which different studies have related to the loss of
25 social status [11], lack of materials [12], economic uncertainty [13], discrimination, and rupture of social support
26 [14], among other factors.
27
28

29 In population studies that assess health status, the common question is “How has your health status been within
30 the last 30 days?” as a strong indicator in which those surveyed appraise their health in terms of lack of disease,
31 functional limitations and, subjective health experiences [15, 16]. In Spain, there are published few articles on
32 health status and quality of perceived health that focus mainly on the study of morbidity cared for in the immigrant
33 collectivity [17] and on the use of healthcare services [18] except for the National Health Survey conducted in
34 2006, which offers data on the life styles of the population by autonomous communities, differentiating Spaniards
35 from foreigners, but without specifying their origin [19], but obviously, health status cannot be exclusively
36 measured through the population that attends healthcare centers and the results obtained cannot be extrapolated
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 to other contexts. This is why the objective, herein, is to describe the self-perceived health status in the adult
5
6 Latin American immigrant population in Seville, during 2011.
7
8
9

10 **Methods**

11
12 A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out, using a stratified sampling with proportional allocation by
13 variables of: gender, age, and administrative districts in Seville (Spain). A representative sample of 190 subjects
14
15 was taken from a total population of 8,675 Latin American immigrants between 25 and 44 years of age; said age
16
17 range was used as it was the most frequent when performing studies in this population [20].
18
19

20
21 The study used some sections of the survey from the Surveillance System of Risk Factors associated to behavior
22 from the Centers for Disease Prevention and Control of the United States [21]; this article will present the results
23
24 of the analysis of the dependent variable *perception of health status* with the socio-demographic type
25
26 independent variables of gender, age, marital status or living together, educational level and others of interest
27
28 such as doing physical activity, category of body mass index, risk of alcoholism and days of good health within
29
30 the last month.
31
32

33
34 This research denominated *immigrant* as “that person who, being from a country of origin different from Spain, at
35
36 the moment of conducting the survey has a regular established place of residence within the national territory”;
37
38 corresponding to the definition used by the National Statistics Institute in its 2007 National Survey on Immigrants
39
40 [22].
41
42

43
44 This study also considered the definitions for these variables: a) *health status*: subjective perception of
45
46 comprehensive well-being [16] with five response options (excellent, very good, good, regular, and poor). For
47
48 some statistical analyses, the prior variable was dichotomized as *satisfactory* perception of health (excellent, very
49
50 good, and good) and *unsatisfactory* (regular and poor); b) it was considered that subjects engaged in *physical*
51
52 *activity during leisure time*, if they performed any type of physical activity for at least 30 minutes, three or more
53
54 times a week outside work or the academic scenario [23]; c) to calculate the body mass index (BMI), weight in
55
56 kilograms was divided by the height expressed in square meters, categorizing the result of this index into:
57
58 underweight: ≤ 18.5 , normal weight: 18.5 to 24.9, overweight: 25.0 to 34.9, and obesity: ≥ 35.0 .
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 Data was collected from January to May 2011. The selection criteria included: people from any gender residing in
5
6 any of the Official Neighborhoods or Census Sections from the 11 administrative districts in Seville; being
7
8 between 25 and 44 years of age; having been born in any of the countries considered by the United Nations
9
10 Organization in its classification of nationalities, territories, and regions [24] as countries from Latin America or
11
12 from the South (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Cuba, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay,
13
14 Venezuela) and having emigrated to Spain; being able to communicate and understand the requirements of the
15
16 study; and having signed the informed consent form. The information was gathered by a sole pollster.
17
18

19
20 To recruit participants, several associations and groups of Latin American immigrants from the district were
21
22 contacted to facilitate data collection. The procedures used to conduct this study followed the ethical principles
23
24 gathered by the 1975 Helsinki Declaration by the World Medical Association (updated in 2008), with the approval
25
26 from the Ethics and Experimentation Committee at the University of Seville, meeting current established norms in
27
28 Spain and the European Union for experiments with human subjects. A written informed consent was created to
29
30 protect the honor, anonymity, and personal intimacy of the subjects, according to Organic Legislation 15/1999
31
32 regarding Protection of Personal Data; the surveys were numbered.
33
34

35
36 The data was analyzed via the SPSS statistical package version 18.0 for Windows. Descriptive analyses were
37
38 conducted, using central tendency and dispersion measurements for quantitative variables, whether they had a
39
40 normal distribution or not; proportions were used for the qualitative variables. The study explored relationships of
41
42 some variables of interest, using the statistics indicated for independent samples, thus: a) *difference of*
43
44 *proportions*: the χ^2 test was applied if the values expected from the boxes in the contingency tables were ≥ 5 , on
45
46 the contrary the Yates' continuity correction was used; b) measurement differences: student's t test was used,
47
48 and c) *logistic regression model*:
49
50

51
52 A step by step model was used, which introduced the variables: age, gender, marital status or living with
53
54 someone, educational level, BMI category, engaging in physical activity, risk of alcoholism, previous occupation,
55
56 current occupation, and days per month in good health. The likelihood of entering the model was between 0.05
57
58 and 0.09 and the classification table cutoff point was 0.5; the statistical significance for each variable was
59
60 examined via the individual β parameter. All the parameters estimated were adjusted by the effect from the rest
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 of the variables included in the block. Only the variables presenting statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) were included
5
6 in subsequent models. Lastly, the dependent variable was included: perception of health status, assigning the
7
8 value of 1 for those reporting a satisfactory perception and 0 for those reporting it as unsatisfactory, according to
9
10 the definition already shown.

14 **Results**

15
16
17 **Socio-demographic characterization.** The general socio-demographic profile of the 190 participants was as
18
19 follows: mean age was 33.8 ± 6.3 years; 60% were women; in regard to marital status, 45.3% were married,
20
21 36.8% were single, and 8.9% lived with a partner without being married; regarding educational level, 3.7% had no
22
23 schooling, 15.3% had completed elementary school, 40% had finished high school, 16.8% had higher education,
24
25 and 24.2% had university degrees. By country of origin, from the highest to the lowest contribution to this survey,
26
27 we found the following: Bolivia (32.6%), Peru (18.9%), Colombia (16.8%), Ecuador (11.1%), Paraguay (5.2%),
28
29 Chile (4.2%), Brazil (1.6%), Nicaragua (1.1%), and Argentina and Cuba (0.5% each). On analyzing the length of
30
31 residence in Spain, it was found that the sample had a mean of 5.4 ± 3.6 years, slightly higher than the mean of
32
33 time of residence in Seville (4.6 ± 3.2 years). In respect to the occupation they had in their country of origin, the
34
35 highest proportions were found in those employed by another (53.7%), self-employed (13.3%), unemployed and
36
37 student (11.6% each), and housewife (5.8%). However, with respect to the current occupation, the highest
38
39 frequency was found in those employed by another (59.3%), followed by self-employed (18.4%), unemployed
40
41 (10.5%), student (6.8%), and housewife (4.7%). A moderate correlation ($r = 0.36$; $p < 0.01$) was found between the
42
43 previous and current occupations.
44
45
46
47

48 **Perceived health status.** Table 1 reveals that 87.1% of the participants believed their health status to be
49
50 between excellent, very good, and good. A significant difference was found among the perception proportions of
51
52 the categories for this variable by gender (χ^2 with Yates' correction = 18.57; $p = 0.001$); in men, the proportion of
53
54 "excellent" health status is almost three times that observed in women.
55
56

57 Although differences were not statistically significant, Table 2 shows that the perception of satisfactory health
58
59 status was higher in women, in those under 35 years of age, with high school education or higher, normal weight,
60
61

1
2
3
4 engaging in physical exercise, and with no risk of alcoholism; the probability value was close to having statistical
5
6 significance in this last variable.

7
8
9 The average number of days of excellent health within the last month for the group was $22.0\% \pm 10.9\%$, with a
10
11 significant statistical difference ($t=12.7$; $p<0.001$) when comparing the subjects with unsatisfactory perception to
12
13 subjects with satisfactory perception of their health (14.6 ± 2.2 and 23.1 ± 10.4 days, respectively).

14
15 The logistic regression model for perception of health status demonstrated excellent general agreement (86.7%).

16
17 The probability value of χ^2 from the Hosmer and Lemeshow test accepts the null hypothesis, which states that the
18
19 theoretical distribution of the model does not differ from that observed ($\chi^2=5.61$, $p=0.47$). Within the logistic
20
21 regression model, satisfactory perception of health status is a function of the variables at educational level, days
22
23 of excellent health, and practicing physical activity during leisure time (Table 3).
24
25
26
27

28 **Discussion**

29
30 This study of a representative sample of 190 immigrants between 25 and 44 years of age residing in the city of
31
32 Seville found that nine out of every ten subjects reported believing their health status as excellent, very good, or
33
34 good; higher results than the study carried out by Rodríguez et al. [7] in 167 Latin American individuals in the
35
36 Basque Country (74.7%). Significant differences exist in self-assessment of health status as a function of gender;
37
38 said differences have been observed in diverse studies [25,26], this may be due, amongst other motives, to the
39
40 “double work shift” women have when, besides their wage-earning employment, they must fulfill their domestic
41
42 chores at home [27]. Our research highlights the mean of 22.1 days for the study group where health status was
43
44 excellent within the last month.
45
46
47

48
49 On comparing the results obtained in this work with those published by other authors regarding the
50
51 autochthonous population [18,28]; according to gender, a better assessment of health was found in immigrant
52
53 males (85.6% against 75%) and somewhat lower in immigrant females (89.3% against 82%). Observation of the
54
55 differences in health as a function of gender and age as determinants of the health self-assessment has been
56
57 sufficiently documented by other studies [29-32].
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 In this study, satisfaction with health status was higher in those under 35 years of age; a similar finding was
5
6 reported in works by Salinero et al., [33] with immigrants in Madrid and by Newbold [9] with immigrants in
7
8 Canada. Satisfaction with health status was the same among those married or living with a partner (87.4%), as
9
10 opposed to that reported by Salinero et al., [33] who indicated that married subjects had the highest proportions
11
12 of satisfaction.
13

14
15 Individuals with high school education or above had higher proportions of satisfaction with health status than
16
17 those with elementary education or less, which also coincides with the appreciation by Martín et al. [34] in the
18
19 results from a secondary study of the 1999 Survey on Disabilities, Deficiencies, and Health Status (EDDES99) in
20
21 Spain, research by Lindström et al., [35] in a general Swedish population; and research by Newbold [9] on
22
23 immigrants in Canada. The three reports found an inverse relationship between satisfaction with health status
24
25 and educational level. When comparing years of residency in Spain and perceived health status, the results
26
27 revealed a higher proportion of dissatisfaction among immigrants who had lived in the country for five or more
28
29 years; the same situation was noted in studies by Salinero [33] and by Aerny et al., [36] carried out in a group in
30
31 Madrid; additionally, these two works showed a higher risk of suffering from poor health when compared to the
32
33 autochthonous population (OR: 1.16). The rapid reduction of perceived health status by immigrants after the first
34
35 five years is explained by Newbold [9] as the product of reduced optimism against the cultural and socioeconomic
36
37 reality of the host country.
38
39

40
41
42 On comparing the BMI categories, despite some studies [37, 38] having found median agreement among the
43
44 anthropometric measurements (weight and height) reported by the individuals interviewed and the BMI obtained
45
46 from measuring the same individuals with standardized parameters; in this study overweight and obese subjects
47
48 had a worst perception of their health status when compared to those who had normal weight; the
49
50 aforementioned was also reported by Herman et al., [38] among Canadian adults.
51

52
53 Regarding the influence of healthy habits on the perception of health, we found that individuals who practice
54
55 physical exercise and who do not drink alcoholic beverages have higher probabilities of having a satisfactory
56
57 perception of their health; the same observation was reported by Martín et al., [34] in a multilevel study of the
58
59 characteristics which impact on perception of health status. In relation to the number of days with good or
60
61

1
2
3
4 excellent health, our study found a statistically significant difference amongst the average of those who consider
5
6 their health status as satisfactory when compared to those who believe that it is unsatisfactory (23 and 15 days,
7
8 respectively); this relationship was also found in the logistic regression. This indicator is known to be composed of
9
10 days of mental and physical health, used as one of the elements to assess quality of life with which validation
11
12 processes have demonstrated their relationship [39].

13
14
15 This study had some limitations that should be indicated: responses from the participants to the survey questions
16
17 were via self report, which may represent a margin of error that involves every subjective response, which may be
18
19 conditioned by factors beyond the reach of the investigators. Nevertheless, the anonymity of the surveys favored
20
21 a higher degree of sincerity when answering the questions. Another limitation was that the cross-sectional
22
23 character of the study did not permit us to establish a causal relationship among perceived health status, BMI,
24
25 and the independent variables studied. However, it was possible to explore some associations which will allow us
26
27 to design future analytic studies that will indicate the sense of the relationships of these variables with higher
28
29 precision.
30

31 32 33 **Conclusions**

34
35 Most of the subjects studied have a positive self-assessment of their health status, especially men, with the
36
37 presence of overweight and obesity being moderate. The results permit us to support, to a great extent, the
38
39 theory of the “healthy immigrant”, which points to good health behaviors in this group of immigrants. This is
40
41 largely due to selective migration of individuals with better health status and higher economic capacity to
42
43 encounter a migratory process, although difference may exist depending on the country of origin. However, it
44
45 cannot be forgotten that with the increase in years of residence in the country, immigrants ‘s health behaviors are
46
47 increasingly similar to the local peoples’. This phenomenon has been identified in the U.S., although it has not
48
49 been able to be verified in Spain as the immigration process is very recent.
50
51

52
53 From the knowledge outlined in this research, the healthcare and social organisms in Seville will have new
54
55 elements to intervene with health prevention and to promote activities. This study is the beginning of a future
56
57 research program in this particular line to guide in the design and implementation of differential actions from the
58
59 perspective of the necessities of the Seville Latin American immigrant group.
60
61

1
2
3
4 **References**
5
6

- 7 1. Instituto Nacional de Estadística: Resultados detallados: serie 2002-2012: Estimaciones de la población actual
8 (Flujos migratorios estimados) [monografía en Internet]; 2012. [citado 1 May 2013]. Available from:
9 <http://www.ine.es/jaxiBD/menu.do?L=0&divi=EPOB&his=0&type=db>
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 2. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. (National Statistics Institute): [May 1, 2013]; Available from:
18 <http://www.ine.es/jaxi/tabla.do?path=/t20/e245/p04/a2012/I0/&file=000an001.px&type=pcaxis&L=0>
19
20
21
22
23
24 3. Ramasco M, Palanca I: Sistema sanitario ante la diversidad sociocultural en la ciudad de Madrid. Área de
25 Gobierno de Empleo y Servicios a la Ciudadanía del Ayuntamiento de Madrid. 2006; 2: 377-85.
26
27
28
29
30
31 4. Burillo-Putze G, Balanzó X: Desmontando tópicos sobre inmigración y salud. Anales Sis San Navarra [revista
32 electrónica]. 2010. 33(2): 127-131. [citado 2012 Jun 3]: Available from:
33 http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1137-66272010000300001&lng=es
34
35
36
37
38
39 5. Carrasco-Garrido P, Jiménez-García R, Barrera VH, de Andrés AL, de Miguel AG: Significant differences in the
40 use of health care resources of native-born and foreign born in Spain. BMC Public Health. 2009; 9: 201-13.
41
42
43
44
45
46 6. Gushulak BD, MacPherson DW: The basic principles of migration health: population mobility and gaps in
47 disease prevalence. Emerg Them Epidemiol. 2006; 3:3.
48
49
50
51
52
53 7. Rodríguez E, Lanborena N, Pereda C, Rodríguez A: Impacto en la utilización de los servicios sanitarios de las
54 variables sociodemográficas, estilos de vida y autovaloración de la salud por parte de los colectivos de
55 inmigrantes del País Vasco. Rev Esp Salud Pública. 2008; 82(2): 209-20.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 8. Pardo G, Engel JL, Agudo S: Percepción de la salud en población inmigrante procedente de África
5 subsahariana I. Semergen. 2006; 32(10): 484-9.
6
7
8
9
10
11 9. Newbold KB: Self-rated within the Canadian immigrant population: risk and healthy immigrant effect. Soc Sci
12 Med. 2005; 60(6): 1359-70.
13
14
15
16
17 10. Boadi KO, Kuitunen M: Environment, wealth, inequality and the burden of disease in the Accra metropolitan
18 area, Ghana. Int J Environ Health Res. 2005; 15(3):193-206.
19
20
21
22
23 11. Caballero M, Leyva-Flores R, Ochoa-Marín SC, Zarco A, Guerrero C: Women who are left behind: the impact
24 of international migration on the process of seeking health care. Salud Publica Mex. 2008; 50(3): 241-50.
25
26
27
28
29
30 12. Martínez R: Baja renta y privación material de la población inmigrante en España. Presupuesto y Gasto
31 Público. 2010; 61: 311-36.
32
33
34
35
36
37 13. Martínez R: La inserción socioeconómica de los inmigrantes en España: Un estudio basado en la Encuesta
38 de Condiciones de Vida. Documento no publicado, Madrid, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos: 2009.
39
40
41
42
43
44 14. Jones-Correa M: Different paths: gender, immigration and political participation. Int Migr Rev. 1988; 32(2):
45 326-49.
46
47
48
49
50
51 15. Jylhä M: What is self-rated health and why does it predict mortality? Towards a unified conceptual model. Soc
52 Sci Med. 2009; 69(3): 307-16.
53
54
55
56
57 16. Observatorio de la Sostenibilidad en España: Sostenibilidad en España 2006. Madrid: OSE; 2007. p. 449-50.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 17. Gimeno-Feliu LA, Magallón-Botaya R, Macipe-Costa RM, Luzón-Oliver L, Cañada-Millan JL, Lasheras-Barrio
5
6 M: Differences in the Use of Primary Care Services Between Spanish National and Immigrant Patients. J
7
8 Immigrant Minority Health. 2013; 15: 584-90.
9

10
11
12 18. Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo: Instituto Nacional de Estadística: Encuesta Nacional de Salud. España
13
14 [monografía en Internet]. Madrid: MSC; 2006. [citado 1 Sept 2011]. Available from:
15
16 <http://www.msps.es/estadEstudios/estadisticas/encuestaNacional/encuesta2006.htm>
17
18

19
20
21 19. Junyent M, Núñez S, Miró O: Urgencias médicas del inmigrante adulto. An Sist Sanit Navarra. 2006;
22
23 29(Suppl 1): S27-34.
24

25
26
27 20. Rodríguez Portilla NE, Martínez Rojo C: Salud sexual y reproductiva, anticoncepción e interrupción voluntaria
28
29 del embarazo en las mujeres inmigrantes latinoamericanas. Enferm. glob. 2011. 10(3); 23: 359-71.
30
31

32
33
34 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Surveillance of Certain Health Behaviors Among States and
35
36 Selected Local Areas. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2010;
37
38 59(1): 1-220.
39
40

41
42
43 22. Observatorio Permanente de la Inmigración: Secretaría de Estado de Inmigración y Emigración. Anuario
44
45 estadístico de inmigración en 2008. [Internet] Madrid: Ministerio de Trabajo e Inmigración; 2008. [citado 2012 Abr
46
47 29]. Available from:
48
49 <http://extranjeros.empleo.gob.es/es/ObservatorioPermanenteInmigracion/Anuarios/Anuario2008.html>
50
51
52

53
54
55 23. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee: Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report,
56
57 2008. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2008.
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 24. United Nations: Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World population prospects. The 2008 Revision.
5 Highlights [Internet]. New York: United Nations; 2009 [citado 2012 Abr 29]. Available from:

6
7
8 http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/wpp2008/wpp2008_highlights.pdf
9

10
11
12 25. Jylhä M, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Jokela J, Heikkinen E: Is self-rated health comparable across cultures and
13 genders?. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 1998; 53(3): 144-52.
14

15
16
17 26. Han B, Small BJ, Haley WE: The effects of race, gender, and education on the structure of self-rated health
18 among community-dwelling older adults. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1999; 896: 442-7.
19

20
21
22 27. Goñi S, Ollo A, Bayo-Moriones A: The Division of Household Labor in Spanish Dual Earner Couples: Testing
23 Three Theories. Sex Roles. 2010; 63(7-8): 515-29.
24

25
26
27 28. Gobierno Vasco: Encuesta de salud de la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco, 2002. Vitoria-Gasteiz:
28 Servicio Central de Publicaciones del Gobierno Vasco; 2004.
29

30
31
32 29. Cooper H: Investigating socio-economic explanations for gender and ethnic inequalities in health. Soc Sci
33 Med. 2002; 54(5): 693-706.
34

35
36
37 30. Díez E, Peiró R: Intervenciones para disminuir las desigualdades en salud. En: Borrell C, García-Calvente M,
38 Martí-Boscà JV, editores. La salud pública desde la perspectiva de género y clase social. Informe SESPAS 2004.
39

40
41
42 Gac Sanit. 2004; 18 (Suppl. 1): S158-67. Available from: [http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=s0213-
43 91112004000400025&script=sci_arttext](http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=s0213-91112004000400025&script=sci_arttext)
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 31. Rivera B, Casal B, Cantarero D, Pascual M: Adaptación de los servicios de salud a las características
5 específicas y de utilización de los nuevos españoles. Informe SESPAS 2008. Gac Sanit. 2008; 22(Suppl. 1): S86-
6
7 95.
8
9
10
11
12 32. Syed HR, Dalgard OS, Hussain A, Dalen I, Claussen B, Ahlberg NL: Inequalities in health: a comparative
13 study between ethnic Norwegians and Pakistanis in Oslo, Norway. Int J Equity Health. 2006; 5: 7.
14
15
16
17
18
19 33. Salinero-Fort MÁ, Jiménez-García R, del Otero-Sanz L, de Burgos-Lunar C, Chico-Moraleja RM, Martín-
20 Madrazo C, et al.: Self-reported health status in primary health care: the influence of immigration and other
21 associated factors. PLoS One. 2012; 7(6): e38462.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 34. Martín J, López M, García L, Moya M, Jódar F: Análisis multinivel de la influencia de características
29 individuales, capital social y privación en el estado de salud percibida en España. Madrid: Fundación BBVA;
30 2007. Available from: <http://www.ugr.es/~montero/XVleep/132.pdf>
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 35. Lindström M, Merlo J, Ostergren P: Social capital and sense of insecurity in the neighbourhood: a population-
38 based multilevel analysis in Malmö, Sweden. Social Science and Medicine. 2003; 56(5): 1111–20.
39
40
41
42
43
44 36. Aerny N, Esteban-Vasallo M, Rodríguez Laso M, Ramasco JL, Cruz JL, Garabato S: El efecto del origen y el
45 tiempo de residencia en España en la salud de los inmigrantes en Madrid. Gac Sanit. 2011; 25(Espec Congr 2):
46
47 178.
48
49
50
51
52
53 37. Bhanji S, Khuwaja AK, Siddiqui F, Azam I, Kazmi K: Underestimation of weight and its associated factors
54 among overweight and obese adults in Pakistan: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2011; 23; 11:363.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

38. Herman KM, Hopman WM, Rosenberg MW: Self-rated health and life satisfaction among Canadian adults: associations of perceived weight status versus BMI. Qual Life Res. 2013 Mar 29. [Epub ahead of print].

39. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Measuring Healthy Days. Population Assessment of Health-Related Quality of Life. Atlanta: CDC; 2000.

Table 1. Perceived health status according to gender and total

Health status	% Men (n=76)	% Women* (n=113)	% Total (CI _{95%}) (n=189*)
Excellent	30.3	8.8	17.5 (12.5-22.5)
Very good	17.1	35.4	28.0 (23.0-33.0)
Good	38.2	45.1	42.3 (37.3-47.3)
Regular	13.2	9.7	11.1 (6.1-16.1)
Poor	1.3	0.9	1.1 (0.0-6.1)

*One woman out of 114 did not respond

Table 2. Distribution of the sample according to the total, and satisfactory perception of health status according to the variables of interest

Variables	% Total (N=190)	% Satisfactory health status*	Statistic	p Value
Gender				
Men	76 (40.0)	65 (85.5)	0.39*	0.53
Women	114 (60.0)	101(88.6)		
Age in years				
25-34	101 (53.2)	90 (89.1)	0.59*	0.44
35 and over	89 (46.8)	76 (85.4)		
Married or with a partner				
Yes	103 (53.2)	90 (87.4)	0.00*	0.99
No	87 (45.8)	76 (87.4)		
Educational level				
Elementary or less	36 (18.9)	28 (77.8)	3.70†	0.54
Secondary or higher	154 (81.1)	138 (89.6)		
Years of residency in Spain				
Less than 5	90 (47.4)	81 (90.0)	1.07*	0.30
5 or over	100 (52.6)	85 (85.0)		
Weight category‡				
Normal weight	97 (51.0)	87 (89.7)	0.96*	0.32
Overweight and obesity	92 (48.4)	79 (84.9)		
Practices physical activity				
Yes	127 (66.8)	110 (88.9)	1.97*	0.65
No	63 (33.2)	56 (86.6)		
Risk of alcoholism				
Yes	25 (86.8)	19 (76.0)	3.37†	0.06
No	165 (13.2)	147 (89.1)		

*: Pearson's χ^2 ; †: χ^2 with Yates' correction; ‡: one subject was underweight.

Table 3. Results of the logistic regression for perception of health status and some variables of interest

Variable	β_i	$EE\beta_i$	Wald	Sig.	Exp (β)
Educational level	0.56	0.24	5.27	0.02	1.74
Days of health excellent	0.14	0.03	18.59	<0.01	0.86
Physical activity during leisure time	0.57	0.23	6.15	0.01	0.57
Constant	1.97	0.98	3.99	0.01	7.14