Contribution of hypermutation to fosfomycin heteroresistance in Escherichia coli
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## SYNOPSIS

## Objectives:

To explore the effect of combining defects in DNA repair systems with the presence of fosfomycin-resistant mechanisms to explain the mechanisms underlying fosfomycin heteroresistance phenotype in Enterobacteriaceae.

## Materials and methods:

We used eleven clinical Escherichia coli isolates together with isogenic single-gene deletion mutants in the E. coli DNA repair system or associated with fosfomycin resistance, combined with double-gene deletion mutants. Fosfomycin MICs were determined by gradient strip (GSA) and broth microdilution assay (BMD). Mutant frequencies for rifampin ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and fosfomycin ( 50 and $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) were determined. Using two starting inocula, in vitro fosfomycin activity was assessed over 24 h in growth ( $0.5-512 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and time-kill assays ( 64 and $307 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

## Results:

Strong- and weak-mutator clinical isolates and single-gene deletion mutants, except for $\Delta u h p T$ and $\Delta d n a Q$, were susceptible by GSA. By BMD, the percentage of resistant clinical isolates reached $36 \%$. Single-gene deletion mutants showed BMD MICs similar to those for subpopulations by GSA. Strong mutators showed higher probability of selecting fosfomycin mutants at higher concentrations. By combining the two mechanisms of mutation, MICs and range of resistant subpopulations increased, enabling strains to survive at higher fosfomycin concentrations in growth monitoring assays. In time-kill assays, high inocula increased survival by $37.5 \%$ at $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ fosfomycin, compared to low starting inocula.

## Conclusions.

## 51

Origin and variability of fosfomycin heteroresistance phenotype can be partially explained by high mutation frequencies together with mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance. Subpopulations should be considered until clinical meaning is established.

## INTRODUCTION

Increasing antibiotic resistance rates in Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens mean that it is critical to implement alternative treatment strategies. As a result of the limited availability of novel antimicrobial compounds, one of these strategies is to reevaluate old antimicrobial agents. Fosfomycin, which is currently recommended as oral treatment for uncomplicated urinary tract infections (UTIs), has attracted interest because of its activity against multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. ${ }^{1}$

A recent study by our group showed that fosfomycin resistance occurs in a stepwise manner, depending on the metabolic or signaling pathways affected. ${ }^{2}$ Fosfomycin susceptibility testing currently requires the addition of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) to activate rapid fosfomycin intake via the UhpT transporter. ${ }^{3,4}$ This methodology however masks other mutations relevant to fosfomycin resistance, such as loss of the glycerol-3phosphate transporter (GlpT), the other transmembrane fosfomycin transporter, or loss of components of the sugar phosphotransferase system PTS (PtsI). ${ }^{2}$ These considerations make fosfomycin susceptibility testing results highly dependent on multiple factors, such as bacterial growth, metabolic conditions and the existence of silent mutations with an impact on fosfomycin resistance. ${ }^{5}$

In addition to this, hypermutable (or mutator) microorganisms have increased spontaneous mutation rates as a result of defects in the DNA repair or error avoidance systems. Strains with highly elevated mutation rates readily evolve in natural and laboratory bacterial populations. ${ }^{6,7}$ In clinical settings, various studies of a wide variety of etiologic agents, such as Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus among others, have shown the prevalence of mutators in as many as $1 \%-60 \%$ of patients. ${ }^{8,9}$ Molecular characterization of these isolates has discovered that
the genetic basis of hypermutability includes alterations in the oxidized guanine (GO), methyl-directed mismatch repair (MMR) and nucleotide excision repair (NER) systems, among others.

Antimicrobial heteroresistance is a phenotypic phenomenon, often with unknown genotypic backgrounds and its definition therefore is both heterogeneous and controversial. El-Halfawy and Valvano defined it as the presence of a subpopulation of cells with the ability to grow at antibiotic concentrations at least eight-fold higher than the highest concentration that does not affect replication of the dominant population. ${ }^{10}$ Nicolof et al. recently demonstrated, for several antimicrobial agents such as betalactams, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or aminoglycosides, but not fosfomycin, that the high prevalence of antibiotic heteroresistance in pathogenic bacteria is a phenomenon mainly caused by spontaneous tandem amplification, typically involving known resistance genes. ${ }^{11}$ The prevalence of fosfomycin heteroresistance in a collection of clinical isolates of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and cephalosporin-resistant E. coli was shown to be $41.1 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively. ${ }^{12,13}$ Nevertheless, the molecular mechanisms underlying the fosfomycin heteroresistance phenotype and their potential role in therapeutic failure are not known.

The aim of the present study was to delve into the different mechanisms involved in fosfomycin resistance, in particular the contribution of hypermutation state to the phenotype of fosfomycin heteroresistance.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Bacterial strains

Twelve E. coli (derived from the BW25133 strain) single-gene deletion mutants associated with the DNA repair system in E. coli ( $\Delta d n a Q, \Delta m u t H, \Delta m u t L, \Delta m u t M$,
$\Delta m u t S, \Delta m u t T, \Delta m u t Y$ and $\Delta u v r D)$ or with fosfomycin resistance ( $\Delta g l p T, \Delta u h p T, \Delta c y a A$ and $\Delta p t s I$ ) were selected from the KEIO collection. ${ }^{14}$ Thirty-two double-gene deletion mutants were generated by phage P1vir transduction (Coli Genetic Stock Center [CGSC], Yale University) as described. ${ }^{15}$ All gene deletions (single and double) were confirmed by PCR and sequencing, using specific primers listed in table S1.

In addition, a total of 11 E . coli isolates (C31, C59, C61, C74, P4, P17, P36, P39, P44, P45, P56) from human samples (four commensal isolates from feces and seven pathogens isolated from UTIs) were selected for their range of mutator phenotype. ${ }^{16}$ The clinical isolates belonged to different E.coli phylogenetic groups (phylogroup A: C31, C59, P4, P17, P39, P56; phylogroup B1: C61, C74; phylogroup B2: P44, P45 and phylogroup D: P36). E. coli ATCC 25922, BW25113 and/or MG1655 were used as control strains for the different experiments.

## Rifampin and fosfomycin mutant frequency estimation

Spontaneous fosfomycin- and rifampin-resistant mutant frequencies were determined for the 11 clinical isolates and the 12 single-gene deletion mutants associated with bacterial DNA repair system and fosfomycin resistance. Wild-type E. coli BW25113 and MG1655 were included as control strains. To minimize the possibility of mutants in the initial culture, an initial inoculum of $\sim 10^{3} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}$ was incubated overnight in Mueller Hinton II broth (MHB) and subsequently spread onto drug-free plates (total bacterial concentration) and Mueller Hinton agar II plates (MHA) supplemented with $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ rifampin, or MHA-G6P ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) plates supplemented with fosfomycin at concentrations of 50 and $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (subpopulations able to grow at these antimicrobial concentrations). Plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Experiments were performed in quadruplicate. Laboratory strains and clinical isolates were classified based on rifampin mutant
frequency as weak ( $<10^{-7}$ ) or strong ( $\geq 10^{-7}$ ) mutators. Pearson's correlation coefficient was estimated to measure the statistical relationship between the frequencies of mutants resistant to fosfomycin and rifampin.

## Susceptibility tests

Fosfomycin MICs in clinical isolates and laboratory strains were determined using BMD and GSA. BMD were performed using EUCAST recommendations. For BMD, the presence of skipped wells was recorded and considered as subpopulations regrowth. GSA was performed in duplicate by streaking a 0.5 McFarland inoculum onto MHA, followed by the application of fosfomycin test strips (BioMérieux), and then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. E. coli BW25113 and ATCC 25922 were used as controls. The MIC value at the intersection of the strip and the main bacterial population zone of inhibition was recorded. The main bacterial population was considered as the uniform dense biomass observed on the plate by naked eye. MICs of subpopulations or spotted colonies with increased MICs were also recorded. Spotted colonies were considered as the appearance of distinct colonies growing within the clear zone of inhibition in the GSA. EUCAST recommendations and susceptibility breakpoints were followed. ${ }^{3}$ Pearson's correlation coefficient was estimated to measure the statistical relationship between the MIC observed by BMD respect to that observed by GSA including or not the bacterial subpopulations within the inhibition zone.

## Whole genome sequencing analysis

Whole genome sequence analysis of the eleven clinical isolates was performed. Translated nucleotide sequences were compared with wild-type amino acid sequences
from DNA repair system proteins (DnaQ, MutH, MutL, MutM, MutS, MutT, MutY, RecA, RecF, RecO, RecR, UvrA, UvrB, UvrC, UvrD, UvrY) and proteins involved in fosfomycin resistance (Crp, CyaA, GlpT, UhpT, PtsI, UhpA, UhpB, UhpC and UhpT). All these steps are detailed in the supplementary material. Synonymous mutations were not recorded. Absence of mutS gene in some clinical isolates were confirmed by Southern blotting (see supplementary material).

## Subpopulation growth monitoring

All clinical isolates and single- and double-mutant strains were monitored for subpopulation growth. The starting inoculum was $\sim 5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{well}$. Bacterial strains were grown in 96-well flat bottom plates with MHB containing $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of G6P drug-free (controls) and a range of fosfomycin concentrations from 0.5 to $512 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in two-fold dilutions. Bacterial growth over time was monitored by measuring OD at 595 nm every 60 min for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the microplate reader Infinite 200Pro (Tecan Group AG, Männedorf, Switzerland). The limit of detection was 0.08 which is equivalent to a cell density of $1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}$. Assays were performed in triplicate. Bacterial viability percentages for each well were determined comparing OD values at 24 h to the control well ( $100 \%$ viability).

## In vitro fosfomycin activity using time-kill curves

In vitro fosfomycin activity was assessed by time-kill curves with two different starting bacterial inocula, a high inoculum with $10^{7} \mathrm{cfu}\left(5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}\right.$ in 20 mL ) and a low inoculum of $10^{5} \mathrm{cfu}\left(5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}\right.$ in 20 mL ). To evaluate the role of bacterial subpopulations after fosfomycin exposure at clinically, fosfomycin concentrations of 64 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (lowest concentration in the resistance category according to current EUCAST
breakpoints) and $307 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (mean maximum plasma concentration in humans observed at steady-state after a dose of fosfomycin $8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{q} 8 \mathrm{~h})^{17}$ were tested. Experiments were performed in MHB with G6P, and bacterial growth was quantified at $0,2,4,8$ and 24 h after incubation with shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were washed in saline to avoid the carryover effect, then diluted and plated onto MHA plates (total viable population) and MHA plates supplemented with $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of G6P and $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ fosfomycin (viable resistant population). The limit of detection was $1.3 \log _{10} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}$.

When growth was observed after 24 h , up to five colonies were selected to assess the fosfomycin MICs using GSA. The isolates were serially passaged three times on fosfomycin-free plates to assess the stability of the phenotype.

## RESULTS

## Rifampin and fosfomycin mutant frequency estimation

Figure 1A shows the results of mutant frequency estimation, and Figure 1B the correlation between rifampin and fosfomycin mutant frequencies.

Overall, strong mutators (strains with mutant frequencies for rifampin $\geq 10^{-7}$ ) showed a higher probability of selecting fosfomycin mutants at higher concentrations. All clinical isolates with mutant frequencies of $\geq 10^{-7}$ for rifampin showed fosfomycin-resistant mutants able to grow at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ fosfomycin, while C59, C61, C74 and P45 grew at 200 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ fosfomycin. On the other hand, among the single-gene deletion mutants classified as strong mutators using this breakpoint, neither $\Delta m u t H, \Delta m u t S$ nor $\Delta m u t Y$ selected resistant subpopulations at fosfomycin concentrations of $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

Furthermore, with the exception of the $\Delta m u t M$ mutant, neither the clinical isolates nor the single-gene deletion mutants with mutant frequencies of $<10^{-7}$ for rifampin selected fosfomycin-resistant mutants above $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

This single-gene deletion mutant ( $\triangle m u t M$ ) showed a weak mutator phenotype, similar to clinical isolates C31, P4 and P56, with mean mutant frequencies for rifampin of approximately $10^{-8}$ (standard deviation (SD) range: $3 \times 10^{-8}-1.67 \times 10^{-8}$ ). Of all strains included in this assay, the strain lacking the $d n a Q$ gene had the highest mutant frequencies for rifampin (mean: $1.25 \times 10^{-5}$; SD: $1.09 \times 10^{-5}$ ). In relation to fosfomycin mutant frequencies, the highest values for fosfomycin at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ were observed in $\Delta u h p T\left(2.51 \times 10^{-2}\left[\mathrm{SD}: 4.99 \times 10^{-2}\right] ; 1.35 \times 10^{-6}\left[\mathrm{SD}: 7.90 \times 10^{-7}\right]\right)$ together with $\Delta d n a Q$ $\left(5.11 \times 10^{-3}\left[\mathrm{SD}: 9.67 \times 10^{-3}\right] ; 2.10 \times 10^{-6}\left[\mathrm{SD}: 2.11 \times 10^{-6}\right]\right.$ ).

Pearson correlation analysis identified a significant association between the frequencies of mutants resistant to fosfomycin and rifampin: rifampicin $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ versus fosfomycin $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{r}=0.76$ 95\%CI: $0.48-0.9 ; \mathrm{p}<0.0001)$ and rifampicin $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ versus fosfomycin $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{r}=0.7595 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.48-0.89 ; \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). The deletions in genes associated with fosfomycin resistance had no impact on the frequency of mutants resistant to rifampin.

## Bacterial susceptibility

Fosfomycin MICs for the isogenic collection and clinical isolates are shown in Table 1. By GSA, all strong-mutator clinical isolates were susceptible, and with subpopulations within the ellipse of inhibition. The maximum range between the MIC of the main population and subpopulation MICs was observed in $\mathrm{C} 59, \mathrm{C} 61, \mathrm{C} 74, \mathrm{P} 17$ and P45 isolates with $\geq 7 \log _{2}$ dilutions of difference. The least difference in MICs between the main
population and subpopulations was detected in C31, P4 isolates and control strains with $\mathrm{a} \leq 2 \log _{2}$ difference. The highest subpopulation MICs $(32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) were for C 61 and C 74 . By BMD, the percentage of clinical isolates considered resistant rose to $36 \%$ (4/11), reaching MIC values of up to $256 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (C61 and C74).

Strains with mutations in fosfomycin-related resistance genes, $\Delta g l p T, \Delta c y a A$ and $\Delta p t s I$ showed MICs within the susceptible range by BMD and GSA. $\Delta g l p T$ and $\Delta p t s I$ strains showed similar susceptibility to wild-type strains using both methods (Figure 2). Against $\Delta u h p T$ strain, MIC was above the susceptibility breakpoint ( $>32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). With respect to GSA, DNA repair system mutants showed main population susceptibility of $\leq 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and subpopulations did not grow beyond $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, except for the $\Delta d n a Q$ strain, whose subpopulations had MICs of up to $512 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} . \Delta m u t S$ and $\Delta d n a Q$ strains were considered resistant by BMD, but not by GSA (Figure 2). Overall, these isolates showed similar MICs by BMD (+/- $1 \log _{2}$ dilutions) to those observed for subpopulations using the GSA, except for $\Delta m u t S$ and $\Delta m u t M\left(+/-2 \log _{2}\right.$ and $+/-3 \log _{2}$ dilutions, respectively).

Finally, $81.25 \%$ (26/32) of the double-gene deletion mutants tested by BMD grew beyond $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ being resistant according to this method (Table 1). By GSA, and considering colonies within the inhibition ellipse zone, $75 \%$ (24/32) of double mutants reached MIC values above the susceptibility breakpoint. For double-mutants considered susceptible $25 \%(8 / 32)$, three were resistant by BMD. However, when the colonies inside the inhibition zone were ignored, the percentage of resistant strains fell to $18.75 \%(6 / 32)$ and twenty of the double-mutants considered susceptible by this method were resistant by BMD. Pearson correlation analysis identified a significant association between the MIC performed by BMD versus GSA including the scattered colonies ( $\mathrm{r}=0.78$ 95\%CI: 0.650.86; $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ), but not versus the MIC performed by GSA excluding the more resistant subpopulations ( $\mathrm{r}=0.09$ 95\%CI:-0.17-0.34; $\mathrm{p}=0.49$ ).

## Whole genome sequencing

Analyses of translated nucleotide sequences of genes associated with fosfomycin resistance (table S2 and figure 3), showed no mutations in Crp, MurA or UhpA proteins in our collection of clinical isolates, except for C61 isolate, which presented a single polymorphism in UhpA. No deletions or insertions were detected among the rest of aminoacidic sequences associated with fosfomycin resistance, although many different polymorphisms were found and some of them were conserved among the clinical isolates. With respect to proteins involved with the bacterial DNA repair system, only RecA and RecR showed wild-type sequences. The absence of $m u t S$ was observed in four isolates (C59, C61, C74 and P36) and a partial deletion in E. coli P45, confirmed by PCR sequencing (data not shown) and Southern blotting (Figure S1). E. coli P44 showed insertion sequence $I S 26$ in the mut $T$ gene (between Ala27 and Arg28).

Clinical isolates with the lowest number of polymorphisms were C31, P4, P17 and P56. E. coli P 4 was the only isolate with the aminoacidic sequences equal to the wild-type strain E. coli MG1655. Sequence Read Archive accession numbers (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) of the clinical isolates are the following: C31 (SRX7726327), C59 (SRX7726335), C61 (SRX7726333), C74 (SRX7726336), P4 (SRX7726330), P17 (SRX7726334), P36 (SRX7726329), P39 (SRX7726331), P44 (SRX7726328), P45 SRX7726337) and P56 (SRX7726332).

## Bacterial growth monitoring

Figure 4 shows the 24 h growth monitoring assays, expressed as percentage of viable bacteria at each fosfomycin concentration. The control strains, E. coli ATCC 25922 and

BW 25113, exhibited MICs of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. E. coli ATCC 25922 showed a subpopulation growing at concentrations of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in one replicate.

Most of the clinical isolates ( $63.6 \%$ [7/11]) were able to grow at fosfomycin concentrations at least eight times higher than control strains, except for C31, P4, P39 and P56 (MICs: 2, 1, 8 and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ [+/- $1 \log _{2}$ ]; respectively). Only C61 and C74 isolates grew beyond $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Among single-gene deletion mutants associated with fosfomycin resistance and DNA repair systems, only $\Delta u h p T$ and $\Delta d n a Q$ strains grew above the susceptibility breakpoint. The single-gene deletion mutant, $\Delta g l p T$, did not grow beyond $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, although one subpopulation grew at a concentration of $128 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

Finally, most double-gene deletion mutants ( $87.5 \%$ [28/32]) survived at concentrations over the susceptible breakpoint ( $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Only four of the double mutants ( $\Delta m u t M$ $\Delta u h p T, \Delta m u t Y-\Delta g l p T, \Delta m u t Y-\Delta c y a A$ and $\Delta u v r D-\Delta c y a A)$ were not viable above $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

## In vitro fosfomycin activity by time-kill curves

The results of the time-kill assays are shown in figure 5. At the low starting bacterial concentration, none of the strains survived at fosfomycin concentrations of $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, except for $E$. coli C 74 and the double-mutant laboratory strain, $\Delta m u t S-\Delta g l p T$. This double mutant was also the only strain able to survive after 24 hours in broth culture supplemented with $307 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

In time-kill assays with high starting inocula, on the other hand, the percentage of strains surviving after 24 hours increased from $12.5 \%$ (1/8) to $50 \%$ (4/8) at fosfomycin concentrations of $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ compared with those that survived at the low starting inocula. However, even with high initial bacterial concentrations, only C 74 and $\Delta m u t S-\Delta g l p T$ strains survived at the maximum fosfomycin concentration tested of $307 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, due to
regrowth caused by subpopulations able to grow at the selecting concentration of $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ fosfomycin.

Fosfomycin MIC of bacterial isolates that were able to survive at fosfomycin concentrations of 64 and $307 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ were between 128 and $1024 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ by GSA testing.

## DISCUSSION

Using both an isogenic collection of laboratory mutants and clinical isolates, the present study showed that the heterogeneous fosfomycin resistance phenotype can be partly explained by a high mutation rate together with the presence of mutations in genes associated with fosfomycin resistance. Fosfomycin heteroresistance is a common phenotype and can be observed by the presence of colonies inside the inhibition zone in disk diffusion or GSA. ${ }^{12,13}$ Nevertheless, the density and spread across the range of antimicrobial concentrations is highly strain-dependent and the underlying mechanisms are not well known.

In E. coli, and probably other Enterobacteriaceae, fosfomycin resistance increases in a stepwise manner via the acquisition of mutations in genes associated with fosfomycin resistance (such as $g l p T, u h p T, c y a A$, crp, ptsI, etc.). ${ }^{2}$ In normomutator strains, that is, those with a mutation rate of $\left\langle 4 \times 10^{-7},{ }^{18}\right.$ mutations produce increased but stable MIC values. However, wild-type strains for resistance genes associated with fosfomycin resistance but with a weak- or strong-mutator phenotype (that is, the absence of or defective DNA repair systems) show an increased number of resistant subpopulations, with growth limited to fosfomycin concentrations of $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ using standard reference methods, which includes the addition of G6P, $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for broth dilution or $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ for disk diffusion assays. ${ }^{3}$ The reason for this is that, even under a strong mutator phenotype, just a single mutational event affects fosfomycin-related resistance genes and, as we
previously showed, the highest MIC observed is produced with the loss of any of the components of the G6P-transporter (uhpT gene or the two-component system encoded by the uhpABC operon). ${ }^{2}$ This is true of all mutants involved in mechanisms of the methyldirected mismatch repair pathway (mutHLS), 8-oxyguanine suppression (mutT, mutM and mut $Y$ ) or nucleotide excision repair ( $u v r D$ ) systems. The only exception was the mutant of the dnaQ gene (the epsilon subunit of DNA polymerase III involved in the $3^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$ exonuclease proofreading activity of the holoenzyme), which because of its high mutation rate was able to accumulate mutations in more than one fosfomycin-related resistance gene, so enabling growth at fosfomycin concentrations beyond $64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

Hence, under a mutator background, the presence of certain mutations in fosfomycinrelated resistance genes, especially those with low impact on the MIC (i.e. glpT or ptsI), is responsible for the different fosfomycin heteroresistance phenotypes, and subpopulation densities are related to the mutability status of the bacteria (that is, the ability to acquire more mutations due to the absence of or defective DNA repair system, for example). This phenomenon was in part showed by Ellington et al. where mutator phenotypes were found to have an increased propensity to fosfomycin resistance. ${ }^{19}$ Nicoloff et al. recently showed that the origin of heteroresistance to multiple antimicrobials, excluding fosfomycin, was based on transient or stable increase of certain resistance determinants (duplications, etc.) in bacterial subpopulations that are then selected by the presence of relevant antimicrobial concentrations. ${ }^{11}$ While some fosfomycin-related genes may cause hypersusceptibility, like transporter overexpression, we cannot rule out the possibility that transient or stable amplification of other genes could be another source of the heterogeneous response to fosfomycin.

Inaccuracies or low agreement between different methods for testing fosfomycin susceptibility has previously been associated with different starting bacterial
concentrations used in assays, which means variation in the chance selection of resistant subpopulations through inoculation of mutants at the start of susceptibility testing. ${ }^{20,21}$ In broth-based methods, the presence of bacterial subpopulations frequently does not make it possible to differentiate between uniformly-resistant and heteroresistant bacteria. In this respect, agar-based methods such as disk diffusion or GSA are likely to be more effective for the screening of bacterial subpopulations, since minority subpopulations do not replace the susceptible population. We performed fosfomycin susceptibility test by either BMD or GSA to enhance the importance of including the subpopulations in the interpretation MIC, knowing neither are reference methods but commonly used in daily routine in clinical microbiology susceptibility testing.

Since 2017, the EUCAST guidelines has recommended ignoring all spotted colonies inside the inhibition zone in disk diffusion assay, and reading the outer zone edge. ${ }^{3}$ This recommendation should be interpreted with some caution because these subpopulations show stable resistance and could lead to therapeutic failures, especially in cases with high bacterial concentrations where subpopulations may be overrepresented.

A limitation of the present study is that it was focused on the relationship between high mutation rate and the increase in resistant subpopulations. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out other sources of heteroresistance based on mechanisms different from increased mutation frequency, as previously stated.

In our collection of laboratory mutants, the genotype of our strains explained reasonably well both the fosfomycin heteroresistance phenotype and its expected variability. However, in the clinical isolates that were fosfomycin-heteroresistant, when deleterious mutations in fosfomycin-related resistance genes or DNA repair systems were studied by whole genome sequencing, this correlation was not always found. Since different
polymorphisms with unknown roles were found in some isolates, the possibility of other factors affecting fosfomycin heteroresistance cannot be ruled out.

Finally, in the time-kill assays, we used relevant (susceptibility breakpoint) and physiological (plasma Cmax) fosfomycin concentrations and observed fosfomycin activity with low starting inocula in all strains tested, except for the double-gene mutant ( $\Delta m u t S-\Delta g l p T)$ and the clinical isolate, E. coli C74. However, fosfomycin activity may be somewhat overestimated because these static concentrations may not reflect the variations observed in human pharmacokinetics, and could regrow, even with low inoculum strains. ${ }^{22}$

As the main conclusion, the origin and variability observed in the phenotype of heteroresistance to fosfomycin can be partly explained by increased mutability in bacterial strains. These subpopulations should therefore be taken into consideration, not only for fosfomycin susceptibility testing, but also for other antimicrobials.

The fosfomycin GSA is a reliable method for observing the variability and strength of heterogeneous resistance to fosfomycin. Fosfomycin MICs performed using the BMD may be more representative of the susceptibility of the whole bacterial population due to the growth of resistant subpopulations, but very susceptible to low inoculum artefacts or the presence of skipped wells, so leading to wrong susceptibility interpretations.

The clinical significance of these results for the treatment of infections caused by heteroresistant subpopulations should be evaluated in further studies, considering fosfomycin pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in hollow-fiber infection or animal models, or even in clinical observational studies.
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Figure 1. A) Mutant frequencies for rifampin at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (black bars), fosfomycin at 50 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (white bars) and $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (grey bars). B) Correlation between hypermutability (rifampin mutant frequency at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and fosfomycin mutant frequency at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (empty circles) or $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (filled circles), vertical line separates weak mutators on left from strong mutator on the right. LOD means limit of detection.


Table 1. Clinical, single-gene and double-gene mutants MICs (mg/L) tested by broth microdilution method (BMD) and gradient strip assay (GSA).

|  |  | GSA |  |  | GSA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strains | BMD | Strains | BMD |  |  |
| Main <br> population |  |  | Main <br> population | Subpopulations |  |


| ATCC25922 | 2 | 0.50 | 1.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BW25113 | 2 | 0.50 | 1.50 |
| C31 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| C59 | 16 | 0.125 | 16 |
| C61 | 256 | 0.25 | 32 |
| C74 | 256 | 0.25 | 32 |
| P4 | 1 | 0.75 | 2 |
| P17 | 32 | 0.06 | 12 |
| P36 | 32 | 0.125 | 6 |
| P39 | 8 | 0.125 | 12 |
| P44 | 64 | 0.25 | 12 |
| P45 | 64 | 0.06 | 12 |
| P56 | 4 | 0.125 | 1 |
| $\Delta d n a Q$ | 256 | 1 | 512 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{mutH}$ | 16 | 2 | 32 |
| $\Delta m u t L$ | 16 | 1 | 8 |
| $\triangle$ mutM | 2 | 1 | 16 |
| $\Delta m u t S$ | 64 | 1 | 16 |
| $\Delta m u t T$ | 32 | 1 | 24 |
| $\Delta m u t Y$ | 32 | 1 | 16 |
| $\Delta u v r D$ | 32 | 1 | 16 |
| $\Delta g l p T$ | 4 (subp. 128) | 0.25 | 1.5 |
| $\Delta u h p T$ | 128 | 16 | 64 |
| $\triangle c y a A$ | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| $\Delta p t s I$ | 4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |


| $\Delta d n a Q-g l p T$ | $\geq 1024$ | 4 | 1024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta d n a$ Q-uhpT | 512 | 128 | 1024 |
| $\Delta d n a Q-c y a A$ | $\geq 1024$ | 3 | 1024 |
| $\Delta d n a Q-p t s I$ | $\geq 1024$ | 2 | 1024 |
| SmutH-glpT | 256 | 0.5 | 256 |
| -mutH-uhpT | 256 | 16 | 256 |
| SmutH-cyaA | 256 | 1 | 128 |
| $\Delta m u t H-p t s I$ | 256 | 1.5 | 512 |
| $\Delta m u t L-g l p T$ | 256 | 1.5 | 384 |
| -mutL-uhpT | 256 | 16 | 256 |
| dmutL-cyaA | 128 | 2 | 256 |
| $\Delta m u t L-p t s I$ | 256 | 1.5 | 256 |
| -mutM-uhpT | 1 (subp. 128) | 0.5 | 128 |
| SmutM-glpT | 128 | 16 | 96 |
| \mutM-cyaA | 128 | 1 | 4 |
| -mutM-ptsI | 16 (subp. 64) | 1 | 2 |
| -mutS-uhpT | 256 | 1.5 | 128 |
| $\Delta m u t S-g l p T$ | 256 | 16 | 512 |
| dmutS-cyaA | 256 | 12 | 16 |
| $\Delta m u t S-p t s I$ | 512 | 2 | 512 |
| -mutT-uhpT | 256 | 0.5 | 512 |
| -mutT-glpT | 512 | 16 | 256 |
| -mutT-cyaA | 256 | 12 | 128 |
| $\Delta m u t T-p t s I$ | 512 | 2 | 192 |
| $\Delta m u t Y$-uhpT | 256 | 0.75 | 128 |
| $\Delta m u t Y$-glp T | 256 | 64 | 1024 |
| dmutY-cyaA | 16 | 4 | 8 |
| $\Delta m u t Y$-ptsI | 2 | 0.25 | 2 |
| -uvrD-uhpT | 256 | 0.38 | 384 |
| $\Delta u v r D-g l p T$ | 512 | 12 | 192 |
| UuvrD-cyaA | 32 | 1.5 | 32 |
| $\Delta u v r D-p t s I$ | 32 | 1 | 24 |

Figure 2. Fosfomycin gradient strip assay for: A) E. coli BW25113 wild-type, B) $\Delta g l p T$, C) $\Delta m u t S$, D) $\Delta m u t S$-glp $T$ strains, E) $\Delta p t s I$ and F) $\Delta m u t S$ - $p t s I$ This figure appears in colour in the online version of $J A C$ and in black and white in the printed version of $J A C$.


|  |  | Clinical strains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C31 | C59 | C61 | C74 | P4 | P17 | P36 | P39 | P44 | P45 | P56 |
|  | GlpT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UhpT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\pi}{0}$ | CyaA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ptsl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UhpA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E's | UhpB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 운 | UhpC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MurA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DnaQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MutH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MutL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MutM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{0}^{\text {E }}$ | MutS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\omega}$ | MutT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathscr{W}$ |  |  |
| 㐫 | MutY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | RecA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 끙 | RecF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm$ | RecO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¿ | RecR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | UvrA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UvrB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UvrC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UvrD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UvrY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Number of aminoacid changes

| $y$ | No changes (wild-type) <br> $1-2$ changes <br> $3-5 ~ c h a n g e s ~$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | $6-8$ changes |
| Complete gene deletion |  |
| $y$ | A27_R28insIS26 |

Figure 3. Plot showing the number of mutations observed in proteins related to fosfomycin resistance and DNA repair system in the clinical strains.


Figure 4. Growth curve analysis in MHB at 24 h with fosfomycin concentrations ranging from 0.5 to $512 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. A) Fosfomycin-related resistant mutants, B) DNA repair system mutants, C) Weak mutator clinical isolates, D) Strong mutator clinical isolates, E-L) Fosfomycin-related resistant and DNA repair system double mutants. Vertical lines denote fosfomycin susceptibility breakpoint.


Figure 5. Time-kill curves for E. coli clinical isolates and the single-gene deletion mutants $\Delta g l p T, \Delta m u t S$ and the double-gene deletion mutant ( $\Delta g l p T$-mutS $)$, using 64 and $307 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ fosfomycin and starting bacterial concentrations of $5 \times 10^{3}(\mathrm{LI})$ and $5 \times 10^{5}$ $\mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}(\mathrm{HI})$.


## 1 SUPPLEMENTARY

## 2 MATERIAL AND METHODS.

3 Table S1. PCR and sequencing primers for mutant confirmation.

| Amplified gene | Primer | Sequence | Amplicon size (pb/aa) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g l p T$ | ECglpTF <br> ECglpTR <br> ECglpTintF <br> ECglpTintR | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5'-GCGAGTCGCGAGTTTTCATTG-3' } \\ \text { 5'-GGCAAATATCCACTGGCACC-3' } \\ \text { 5'-GGGATGGCCTGGTTCAATGAC-3' } \\ \text { 5'-GCAGTTTGTTCGGCAGTACG-3' } \end{gathered}$ | 1359/452 |
| uhpT | ECuhpTF <br> ECuhpTR | 5'-TTTTTGAACGCCCAGACACC-3 <br> $5^{\prime}$-AGTCAGGGGCTATTTGATGG-3' | 1392/463 |
| cyaA | ECcyaAF ECcyaAR | 5'-AACCAGGCGCGAAAAGTGG-3' $5^{\prime}$-ACCTTCTGGGATTTGCTGG-3' | 2547/848 |
| ptsI | ECptsIF ECptsIR | 5'-GAAAGCGGTTGAACATCTGG-3' $5^{\prime}$-TCCTTCTTGTCGTCGGAAAC-3' | 1728/575 |
| dnaQ | ECdnaQF <br> ECdnaQR | 5'-TTGCCCAGACACGAACCATC-3' <br> 5'-TTGCCTCGACCTTCGTCAAC-3 | 732/243 |
| mutH | ECmutHF <br> ECmutHR | 5'-CAGAGAATTGAACAACGCATGTGG-3 5'-GCGTTAGGATCGGTTATCCATG-3 | 690/229 |
| mutL | ECmutLF ECmutLintF ECmutLR | 5'-ACGGCACAAACTGCCAGTAC-3 <br> $5^{\prime}$-ACACGGCGATCTCACGCTAC-3' <br> $5^{\prime}$-CGTTAGCCATTGAGCTGCGT- ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1848/615 |
| mutM | ECmutMF ECmutMR | 5'-ATCCAGTTGTTCGCCAGCAC-3' $5^{\prime}$-GGCGCTGATGGCGAAGTTAG-3' | 810/269 |
| mutS | ECmutSF ECmutSintF ECmutSR ECmutSintR | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5'-GCGCCTTATGTGATTACAACG-3' } \\ \text { 5'-GGACTTTGTGCTGCCGGTTG-3' } \\ \text { 5'-GGCGATAGTGATGGGCATTG-3' } \\ \text { 5'-TGATTGCGCTGATGGCCTAC-3' } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2562/853 |
| mutT | ECmutTF ECmutTR | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5^{\prime}-\text { GAGAGCGCAAAGTAGGACGTAAC-3' } \\ 5^{\prime} \text {-CAGGCATCGTGTGCGAATGTC-3' } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 390/129 |
| mut $Y$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ECmutYF } \\ & \text { ECmutYR } \end{aligned}$ | 5'-GAAAGTTCCGGTTTACACCCTGC-3' 5'-TGCAACGTGAAGCAGAAGGTC-3 | 1053/350 |
| $u v r D$ | ECuvrDF ECuvrDintF ECuvrDR ECuvrDintR | 5'-TGGCATCTCTGACCTCGCTG-3 <br> 5'-CCAGGATACCAACAACATTCAG-3 <br> $5^{\prime}$-ATCCGGCCTACATGACGTTG-3' <br> 5'-CGTACCATGTATGAGCAGGAG-3' | 2163/720 |

## Whole genome sequencing analysis

Whole genome sequence analysis of the eleven clinical isolates (C31, C59, C61, C74, P4, P17, P36, P39, P44, P45 and P56) was performed, as follows. Genomic DNA from isolates was extracted from 3 mL bacterial cultures grown to mid-exponential phase in MHB using the DNeasy Blood \& Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Netherlands), according to the manufacturer's recommendation. DNA was resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 , and concentrations determined using the Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). In-house Miseq sequencing (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was performed. Libraries were prepared with the Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina) and sequencing with a reagent cartridge, V3 600 cycles (Illumina), achieving at least 30x average coverage. CLC Genomic Workbench software (Qiagen, Netherlands) was used for de novo assembly of Illumina reads. Bacterial genomes were annotated using the RAST 2.0 annotation server ${ }^{18}$. A database containing wild-type amino acid sequences from DNA repair system proteins (DnaQ, MutH, MutL, MutM, MutS, MutT, MutY, RecA, RecF, RecO, RecR, UvrA, UvrB, UvrC, UvrD, UvrY) and proteins involved in fosfomycin resistance (Crp, CyaA, GlpT, UhpT, PtsI, UhpA, UhpB, UhpC and UhpT) were constructed with sequences obtained from the EcoCyc database ${ }^{19}$. Translated nucleotide sequences from the RAST were compared with our custom database, using the Bioedit Sequence Alignment Editor BioEdit version 5.0.7 (Tom Hall, Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad, CA). Protein sequences with $<100 \%$ identity were analysed using Protein BLAST and mutations were recorded.

All those genes that were not found using this method were subsequently amplified with conventional PCR and sequencing, using specific primers (table S1) to confirm gene deletions. Synonymous mutations were not recorded.

## Southern blotting

To confirm the absence of mutS gene in clinical isolates, Southern blotting experiments were performed using a digoxigenin-11-dUTP-labeled mutS probe. The probe (702 bp) was prepared by PCR amplification (PCR primers: mutSintF: 5'-GGACTTTGTGCTGCCGGTTG-3'; mutSintR: 5'-GCCAACTTTCAGCGTGTCCAG3'), using the above protocol and replacing the dNTP solution with PCR DIG Labelling Mix (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). PCR conditions were adjusted by choosing an annealing temperature of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an extension time of 40 s. After PCR , the probe was purified by gel extraction using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). DNA from E. coli BW25113 (wild-type) and $\Delta m u t S$ strains were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

DNA from the control strain and clinical isolates was separated according to size and blotted onto a PVDF membrane filter. The filter was hybridized with the digoxigeninlabelled mutS probe.

47 Table S2. List of non-synonymous mutations found in clinical strains (wt denotes for
48 wild-type)

| Genes | C31 | C59 | C61 | C74 | P4 | P17 | P36 | P39 | P44 | P45 | P56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GlpT | E448K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L297F } \\ & \text { E448K } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E443Q } \\ & \text { Q444E } \\ & \text { E448K } \end{aligned}$ | E448K | wt | wt | E448K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L297F } \\ & \text { E448K } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A402V } \\ & \text { E448K } \end{aligned}$ | E448K | E448K |
| UhpT | wt | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A355L } \\ & \text { E350Q } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | wt | wt | F160S | E350Q | E350Q | wt | wt | wt |
| CyaA | wt | N142S | N142S | N142 | wt | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N142S } \\ & \text { D837E } \\ & \text { T840A } \end{aligned}$ | N142S | N142S A349E S356K G359E E362D I514V D837E T840A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N142S } \\ & \text { D837E } \\ & \text { T840A } \end{aligned}$ | N142S |
| PtsI | R367K | R367K | R367K | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { E282K } \\ & \text { R367K } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | wt | wt | R367K | R367K | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A306T } \\ & \text { R367K } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | R367K | R367K |
| UhpA | wt | wt | P25S | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt |
| UhpB | wt | wt | $\begin{gathered} \text { P84S } \\ \text { L85I } \\ \text { T166I } \\ \text { H482T } \end{gathered}$ | S404A | wt | wt | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { T75M } \\ \text { P84S } \\ \text { Q441H } \\ \text { L456V } \\ \text { G459S } \\ \text { Q463H } \\ \text { H482T } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | wt | T75M P84S Q441H G459D Q463H H482T | P84S <br> Q441H <br> G459D <br> Q463H <br> H482T | $\begin{gathered} \text { P84S } \\ \text { Q441H } \\ \text { G495S } \\ \text { Q463H } \\ \text { H482T } \end{gathered}$ |
| UhpC | Y18H | I108M | Y18H | T435A | $w t$ | wt $t$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Y} 18 \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{~A} 177 \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~S} 417 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | Y18H A177S I422A T435A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Y18H } \\ \text { A177S } \\ \text { S417A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Y} 18 \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~A} 177 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~S} 417 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | wt |
| Crp | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt |
| MurA | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt |
| DnaQ | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I222L } \\ & \text { Q233E } \end{aligned}$ | A200T | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I222L } \\ & \text { Q233E } \end{aligned}$ | wt | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A200T } \\ & \text { I222L } \end{aligned}$ | I222L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A200T } \\ & \text { I222L } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A101T } \\ & \text { A200T } \\ & \text { I222L } \end{aligned}$ | wt |
| MutH | wt | V36A | V36A | V36A | wt | S145R | $\begin{gathered} \text { V36A } \\ \text { Q135K } \\ \text { V170I } \end{gathered}$ | V36A | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { V36A } \\ \text { K79Q } \\ \text { Q135K } \\ \text { V170I } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | V36A | wt |
| MutL | wt | L418P <br> A470V <br> A494G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N131D } \\ & \text { S350A } \\ & \text { A368F } \\ & \text { L418P } \\ & \text { S459A } \\ & \text { A494G } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L418P } \\ & \text { P423T } \\ & \text { A494G } \end{aligned}$ | wt | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D129N } \\ & \text { N131D } \\ & \text { S350A } \\ & \text { A368V } \\ & \text { T377S } \\ & \text { L418P } \\ & \text { A477V } \\ & \text { A494G } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A73_R74insLA } \\ \text { L418P } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { N131D } \\ \text { S350A } \\ \text { A368V } \\ \text { T377S } \\ \text { P389S } \\ \text { L418P } \\ \text { A477V } \\ \text { A494G } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N131D } \\ & \text { P203S } \\ & \text { G281R } \\ & \text { S350A } \end{aligned}$ | wt |
| MutM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { S98N } \\ \text { T127A } \\ \text { E196K } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | T127A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T127A } \\ & \text { A148E } \end{aligned}$ | T127A | S98N | T127A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T127A } \\ & \text { A193T } \end{aligned}$ | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T127A } \\ & \text { A148E } \end{aligned}$ | T127A | T127A |
| MutS | $\begin{gathered} \text { R19K } \\ \text { G337E } \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta m u t S$ | $\Delta m u t S$ | $\Delta m u t S$ | wt | $\begin{gathered} \text { R19K } \\ \text { G337E } \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta m u t S$ | G337E | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A3T } \\ \text { R19K } \\ \text { A135T } \\ \text { E263Q } \\ \text { G337E } \\ \text { T384N } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1_A9del } \\ \text { R19K } \\ \text { E263Q } \\ \text { G337E } \\ \text { T384N } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P231L } \\ & \text { G337E } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mut | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { E93K } \\ \text { S106A } \\ \text { G109D } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | R89S | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { R89S } \\ \text { E103K } \\ \text { D114E } \end{gathered}$ | R89S | wt | wt | $\begin{gathered} \text { R89S } \\ \text { L129V } \end{gathered}$ | R89S | A27_R28insIS26 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { I40V } \\ \text { R89S } \\ \text { L129V } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | wt |
| MutY | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A217S } \\ & \text { Q272H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G101S } \\ & \text { A217S } \\ & \text { A280S } \end{aligned}$ | A217S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V175L } \\ & \text { S217A } \\ & \text { E241D } \\ & \text { Q272L } \end{aligned}$ | wt | $\begin{array}{r} \text { T98A } \\ \text { A217S } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A217S } \\ & \text { Q272H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { A57V } \\ \text { T98A } \\ \text { A217S } \\ \text { G318D } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { N67S } \\ \text { T98A } \\ \text { A217S } \\ \text { A280S } \\ \text { G318D } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A217S } \\ & \text { Q272H } \end{aligned}$ |
| RecA | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt |
| RecF | wt | wt | wt | E194Q | wt | A134V | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt |
| RecO | wt | V123A | V123A | V123A | wt | V123A | V24I | V123A | wt | V123A | wt |
| RecR | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt | wt |
| UvrA | wt | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T214S } \\ & \text { R619H } \end{aligned}$ | wt | wt | wt | F112Y | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F112Y } \\ & \text { D523N } \\ & \text { E928K } \end{aligned}$ | F112Y | wt |
| UvrB | wt | wt | wt | G405C | wt | wt | wt | wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D135A } \\ & \text { L281M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | D434E | wt |
| UvrC | wt | wt | wt | wt | N418S | wt | wt | wt | A143V | wt | wt |
| UvrD | L559M | wt | S719T | wt | wt | R234C | S719T | Q434K | S719T | S719T | S719T |
| UvrY | M1L | wt | T45A | wt | wt | wt | T45A | M1L | T45A | T45A | M1L |
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Figure S1. Southern blot analysis of mutS genes in five selected strains with absence of mutS by WGS and PCR. This figure appears in colour in the online version of JAC and in black and white in the printed version of $J A C$.


