# **ORIGINAL PAPERS**

# Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial on the usefulness of probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* in bismuth-containing quadruple eradication therapy for infection with *Helicobacter pylori*

Carolina Moreno Márquez, Paula Fernández Álvarez, Teresa Valdés Delgado, Luisa Castro Laria, Federico Argüelles Arias, Angel Caunedo Álvarez, and Blas José Gómez Rodríguez

Gastroenterology Unit. Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena. Seville, Spain

Received: 03/03/2021 · Accepted: 13/04/2021

**Correspondence**: Blas José Gómez Rodríguez. Unidad de Aparato Digestivo. Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena. Avenida Dr. Fedriani, 3. 41009 Sevilla, Spain. **e-mail**: gomezblasj@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction**: the primary goal of this study was to compare gastrointestinal symptom reduction in patients on bismuth-containing quadruple eradication therapy supplemented with *Lactobacillus reuteri* strains (DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 6475) or placebo.

**Materials and methods:** this was a randomized, double-blind, parallel-arm, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Patients received a first-line eradication regimen based on bismuth subcitrate potassium, metronidazole, tetracycline hydrochloride (three-in-one capsules) and omeprazole 40 mg twice a day for ten days, plus a probiotic or placebo tablet for 30 days. During follow-up, gastrointestinal symptoms were assessed using an evaluation scale (GSRS), and adverse events were collected at 0, 14, 28 and 56 days.

**Results**: a total of 80 patients were included from February 2018 to May 2019 at a single site. Eradication therapy was effective in 85 % of patients, with no differences between treatment arms. In the group receiving the probiotic, abdominal pain decreased in 42 % of patients, compared with 19 % in the control group (OR: 0.27; Cl, 0.13-0.58; p < 0.001), and abdominal distension decreased in 25 % versus 17 % in the control group (OR: 0.24; IC, 0.19-0.84; p < 0.001);

**Conclusions**: treatment with *L. reuteri* only reduced abdominal pain and distension. Further studies are need-

Conflicts of interest: Casen Recordati provided funds for the study.

Acknowledgments: the authors thank the Delos Clinical CRO for their technical support.

ed to establish the role of probiotics as adjuvant therapy in *H. pylori* eradication.

**Keywords**: *Helicobacter. Lactobacillus*. Eradication. Probiotics. Bismuth. Quadruple.

### INTRODUCTION

Infection with *H. pylori* is a well-known risk factor for gastric cancer, which is the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (1). Although effective therapies are available (2), the eradication rate remains highly variable among regions and countries. Factors associated with eradication failure also include antibiotic resistance and early discontinuation, which make newer strategies necessary (3).

Different reported results suggest that probiotics may be added to eradication therapy to improve efficacy and adverse events (4-7). This recommendation is also included in the Maastrich IV/Florence consensus report, which points out the usefulness of some probiotics and prebiotics for the management of infections caused by *H. pylori*. Probiotics

Moreno Márquez C, Fernández Álvarez P, Valdés Delgado T, Castro Laria L, Argüelles Arias F, Caunedo Álvarez Á, Gómez Rodríguez BJ. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial on the usefulness of probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* in bismuth-containing quadruple eradication therapy for infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2021;114(2):89-95

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2021.7931/2021

show promising results as therapy adjuvants, and reduce associated adverse events (8,9).

Among a wide variety of probiotics, some strains of *Lactobacillus* have been found to inhibit *H. pylori* growth in vitro, and to block its adherence to gastric mucosal cells (10). Evidence has been reported on the use of *Lactobacillus reuteri* strains, in combination with triple antibiotic therapy for *H. pylori* eradication, but it is rare regarding quadruple therapies (3).

The primary aim of this clinical trial was to compare the reduction in gastrointestinal symptoms, as measured by the Gastrointestinal Symptoms Rating Scale (GSRS), in patients with bismuth-containing quadruple eradication therapy plus *L. reuteri* strains versus placebo.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study design

This study was a randomized, double-blind, parallel-arm, placebo-controlled clinical trial. The study was conducted in accordance with GCP E6 (R2) EMA/CHMP/ICH/135/1995. All study documents were reviewed and approved by the local Ethics Committee under code C.I. 2017/337, and by the "Agencia Española del Medicamento y Productos Sanitarios" (AEMPS), including the study protocol registered with the name BISMUGAS-2017-04.

#### **Participants**

All participants aged between 18 and 65 years were selected among the patients with confirmed *H. pylori* infection who visited the gastroenterology department at the "Hospital Virgen Macarena" (Seville, Spain) over 12 months. The diagnosis with infection was confirmed using any available technique (13C-urea breath test, histology, *H. pylori* stool antigen test). Prior to any intervention, all of the enrolled participants gave their informed consent to participate in writing. Patients with previous eradication, use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) within 3 weeks, prior therapy with probiotics within 4 weeks or previous use of antibiotics within 2 weeks were excluded. Pregnant women were also excluded. During the trial, treatment with other probiotics, antibiotics, anti-H2 agents or NSAIDs was not allowed.

#### Intervention

At the first visit, patients received a complete eradication therapy regimen based on bismuth subcitrate potassium, metronidazole and tetracycline hydrochloride (three-inone capsules: 140 mg/125 mg/125 mg), three capsules four times a day, plus omeprazole 40 mg twice a day for ten days. Patients also received a chewable tablet with *L. reuteri* in the experimental arm, or maltodextrin in the control arm for 30 days.

#### **Efficacy endpoint**

Subjects were required to complete four visits for follow-up completion at a maximum of 56 days since the first visit.

The second visit took place upon completion of eradication therapy at  $14 \pm 3$  days; the third visit was scheduled after finishing therapy with probiotics at  $28 \pm 3$  days and the last follow-up visit occurred at  $56 \pm 3$  days from treatment onset. Gastrointestinal symptoms were assessed during all visits using the GSRS score (Svedlund et al., 1988) and adverse events were collected by the attending physicians. Patients also received a diary to assess their adherence to treatment as well as minor side effects. At the end of follow-up, *H. pylori* eradication was confirmed by means of a 13C-urea breath test.

#### Sample size

Sample size was estimated considering that the experimental group would reduce symptom scale scores by 25 % versus the control group. In the control group, the mean symptom score was estimated to be 6.8 points by previous testing, with a standard deviation of 3-1 units. Calculations assumed an alpha error of 5 %, a power of 90 % and percent losses of 10 %. The final sample size was estimated as 40 subjects per treatment arm, for 80 patients in total.

#### Randomization

The Delos Clinical contract research organization (CRO) assigned an anonymous code to each enrollee by order of inclusion, which allowed to protect personal data confidentiality. Using this code, each patient was randomized to the experimental group or placebo group in a 1:1 fashion, following a predefined randomization list.

#### Blinding

To ensure blinding, both treatments were prepared and labeled identically. Patients, researchers and statisticians remained unaware of trial arms until the end of the statistical analysis.

#### **Statistical methods**

Categorical variables were described using absolute and relative frequency tables.

For the comparison between both treatment arms, the normal distribution assumption was not met, hence a Mann-Whitney non-parametric U-test for independent samples was performed. All statistical analyses were performed using the RStudio, version 1.3.4 software program, assuming an alpha and beta error of 5 % and 20 %, respectively.

#### Quality of data

The study was audited by Delos Clinical, a CRO independent of the sponsor and research team, as established in the monitoring plan. Compliance with good practice standards was verified, as well as with all ethical and legal requirements in force.

# RESULTS

A total of 80 patients were included from February 2018 to May 2019; of these, 68 (85 %) patients completed follow-up. Reasons for early discontinuation included loss to follow-up (13.7 %) and an adverse event in one case (1.2 %) (Fig. 1).

The demographics and comorbidities of the population are listed in table 1. No differences were found between treatment groups except for a greater proportion of gastrointestinal comorbidities in the control group (7.5 % vs 27.5 %; p = 0.039). These gastrointestinal comorbidities mainly included Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis among those who received placebo.

Overall adherence to eradication therapy was 77.5 %, 80 % in the control group and 75 % in the probiotic group. In the arm with probiotic therapy, one patient completed only five days of eradication treatment and the median number of days was 10 in this group. In the control group, all patients completed at least 9 days of tretament and the median number of days was also 10. Adherence to the study treatment was higher than 89 % (87.1 % in the probiotic group and 90 % in the control group). There were no differences in treatment compliance between the groups.

Eradication therapy was effective in 85 % of patients. There were no differences in eradication rate between treatment arms (80 % vs 90 %; p = 0.228). Both treatment arms achieved a net reduction of 6 points in the GSRS score at the end of treatment (p < 0.001). The maximum reduction

in GSRS score that was observed occurred within the initial 14 days of treatment; afterwards, reductions continued but were less pronounced (Fig. 2). In the probiotic group, patients achieved reduced scores in all GSRS subscales except for bowel dysfunction syndrome. However, those in the control group only showed reductions in the indigestion syndrome subscale (Table 2). There was no differences in overall or subscale GSRS scores between the probiotic and control group at therapy completion.

We compared the differences observed pre- and post-treatment in both study arms. In the probiotic arm, there was an improvement in 7 of the 15 symptoms included in the GSRS instrument, whereas in the control arm, there was improved scores for 6 of all 15 symptoms. Increased flatulence, heartburn, acid regurgitation and epigastric pulling improved in both arms (Table 3).

Figure 3A shows the percentage of patients with each symptom before and after treatment, and figure 3B shows the magnitude of the reduction in each treatment arm. Abdominal pain diminished for 42 % of patients in the probiotic group, compared with 19 % in the control group (odds ratio [OR]: 0.27 [Cl, 0.13-0.58; p < 0.001]); abdominal distension and belching decreased by 25 % and 26 %, respectively, in the probiotic group compared with 17 % in the control group (OR: 0,24 [Cl, 0.19-0.84; p < 0.001] and OR: 0.6 [Cl, 0.29-1.28; p = 0.266]).

A total of 20 adverse events were reported in 16 patients, with no differences between treatment arms.



**Fig. 1.** Patient flow (\*six patients [85.72 %] did not attend all appointments, and one patient [14.28 %] could not complete follow-up because of an adverse event; <sup>†</sup>five patients [100 %] did not attend all appointments).

	Total n = 80	Probiotic n = 40	Control n = 40	p-value
Demographic data				
Male gender, n (%)	38 (47.5)	16 (40)	22 (55)	0.263
Median age in years (IQR)	50.50 (17.0)	49.40 (17.0)	51.6 (18.0)	0.776
Comorbidities				
Allergies	12 (15.0)	6 (15.0)	6 (15.0)	0.999
Head, eyes, ENT	4 (5.0)	3 (7.5)	1 (2.5)	0.608
Respiratory	6 (7.5)	2 (5.0)	4 (10.0)	0.671
Cardiovascular	23 (28.8)	11 (27.5)	12 (30.0)	0.999
Gastrointestinal	14 (17.5)	3 (7.5)	11 (27.5)	0.039
Genitourinary	1 (1.3)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	0.999
Neurologic, psychiatric	4 (5.0)	1 (2.5)	3 (7.5)	0.608
Hematological, lymphatic	2 (2.5)	2 (5.0)	0 (0.0)	0.474
Dermatological	1 (1.3)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	0.999
Musculo-skeletal	5 (6.3)	4 (10.0)	1 (2.5)	0.355
Surgical history	21 (26.3)	10 (25.0)	11 (27.5)	0.999
Other	9 (11.3)	7 (11.3)	2 (5.0)	0.135



**Fig. 2.** Evolution of GSRS score during treatment in both study arms. A. Experimental therapy arm. B. Placebo arm.

All adverse events were moderate or mild in severity except in one patient in the control group, who abandoned the study because of skin rash, glossitis and vomiting.

**Table 2.** Comparison of GSRS scores before and aftertreatment between probiotic and control therapy

		Probiotic Median (IQR)	Control group Median (IQR)	р
Total GSRS	Pre Tx.	11 (4)	10 (10.5)	0.218
	Post-Tx.	5 (7.75)*	4 (14)*	0.113
Abdominal pain syndrome	Pre Tx.	1 (1)	0 (1)	< 0.001
	Post-Tx.	0 (1)*	0 (1)	0.613
Dyspeptic syndrome	Pre Tx.	5 (5)	3 (4.75)	< 0.001
	Post-Tx.	2 (2)*	1 (2)	0.083
Indigestion syndrome	Pre Tx.	4.5 (4)	3 (5)	0.138
	Post-Tx.	2 (3)*	1.5 (3)*	0.099
Bowel dysfunction	Pre Tx.	1 (3)	2 (4)	0.279
syndrome	Post-Tx.	1 (3)	2 (4)	0.743

\*p < 0.001 for comparison between pre- and post-treatment.

## DISCUSSION

Infection with *H. pylori* is one of the most prevalent infections worldwide (8). Analyzing the effectiveness of eradication therapy and looking for alternatives that may improve it are key actions to reduce the prevalence of this infection. In our study, eradication rates were 85 %, similar to previous studies of bismuth-containing quadruple therapy (12). However, regardless of eradication rates, these treatments induce adverse events that limit compliance with this erad-

#### Table 1. Summary table





**Fig. 3.** Assessing the proportion of patients with symptoms (as measured by *GSRS*) and the magnitude of symptom reduction.

ication protocol (12). In this clinical trial, there were no differences in eradication or adverse event development rates between the probiotic and the placebo group. These results match those reported by a similar trial (13).

As per the reductions seen in gastric subscales, patients supplemented with probiotics experience improvement in all gastric subscales but not in the intestinal subscale. Symptoms improve for all patients, particularly with the eradication protocol, and patients on probiotics achieve a greater reduction in abdominal pain and distension versus placebo. Regarding safety, in view of the results obtained, this treatment can be deemed safe, but caution should always be exercised just in case of allergic reactions to any excipient. In this study, we encountered three design-related limitations. First, randomization allowed us to compare groups with initially identical scores in the GSRS tool. However, when assessing subscales, subjects in the probiotic arm obtained higher scores for abdominal distension syndrome and dyspeptic syndrome, and therefore outcomes may be undervalued. Secondly, a more restrictive selection of patients would have been desirable. By improving inclusion criteria, further studies may avoid the confounding bias introduced by patients with Crohn's disease, given its controversial association with *H. pylori* infection (14). Furthermore, it will be necessary to select patients with a more specific profile, for instance, dyspeptic subjects, where greater symptom reductions may be achieved by probiotics.

Scale	GSRS symptoms	P 001 11 00	Pre-treatmen	nt	P	ost-treatme	nt	D
	Abdominal pain	Median	n25	n75	Median	n25	n75	P
Abdominal pain syndrome	Probiotic	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	< 0.001
	Control	0.00	0.00	1 25	0.00	0.00	1 00	0 1488
Dyspeptic syndrome	Hearthurn	0.00	0.00			0.00		
	Prohiotic	1 00	1 00	2 00	0.00	0.00	1 00	0.012
	Control	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.012
	Acid regurgitation	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.000
	Prohiotic	1 00	0.00	2 00	1 00	0.00	2 00	n n39
	Control	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.000
		0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.010
	Prohiotic	1.00	0.50	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0 002
	Control	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.002
	Nousse and vemiting	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.005
	Probiotio	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.000
	Control	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000
	Control	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.525
	Abuominal distension	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.000
	Problotic	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.008
		1.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.052
	Borborygmus	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.000
	Problotic	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.066
Indigestion	Control	1.00	0.00	1.25	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.215
syndrome	Belching							
	Probiotic	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.017
	Control	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.054
	Flatulence							
	Probiotic	1.00	0.50	1.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.028
	Control	1.00	0.50	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	< 0.001
	Decreased stools							
	Probiotic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.510
	Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.667
	Increased stools							
Colonic	Probiotic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.410
	Control	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.030
	Soft stools							
	Probiotic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.500
	Control	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.639
syndrome	Hard stools							
Synaronie	Probiotic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.965
	Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.007
	Bowel urgency							
	Probiotic	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.650
	Control	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.926
	Incomplete bowel movement							
	Probiotic	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.448
	Control	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.507

<b>Table 3</b> . Comparison of GSRS symptoms pre- and post-treatment between the experimental and contro	groups
--	--------

Finally, follow-up was decided based on GSRS sensitivity (15), which may be insufficient to measure beneficial probiotic effects given the high stability of the gut microbiota in humans (14), which might explain the lack of improvement in the intestinal subscale.

We conclude that treatment with *L. reuteri* provides a reduction in all gastric subscales, particularly in abdominal pain and distension symptoms. Further studies are needed in subjects with specific symptom profiles over a prolonged follow-up period in order to establish the actual contribution of adjuvant therapy with a probiotic.

## REFERENCES

- Wroblewski LE, Peek RM, Wilson KT. Helicobacter pylori and gastric cancer: Factors that modulate disease risk. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2010;23:713-39. DOI: 10.1128/CMR.00011-10
- Gisbert JP. Enfermedades relacionadas con la infección por Helicobacter pylori. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;38:39-48. DOI: 10.1016/S0210-5705(15)30018-2
- Gisbert JP, Molina-Infante J, Amador J, et al. IV Conferencia Española de Consenso sobre el tratamiento de la infección por Helicobacter pylori. Gastroenterologia y Hepatologia 2016;39:697-721. DOI: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2016.05.003
- Homan M, Orel R. Are probiotics useful in Helicobacter pylori eradication? World Journal of Gastroenterology 2015;21:10644-53. DOI: 10.3748/wjg. v21.i37.10644
- Zheng X, Lyu L, Mei Z. Lactobacillus-containing probiotic supplementation increases helicobacter pylori eradication rate: Evidence from a meta-analysis. Rev Esp Enfermedades Dig 2013;105:445-53. DOI: 10.4321/ S1130-01082013000800002
- Vítor JMB, Vale FF. Alternative therapies for Helicobacter pylori: Probiotics and phytomedicine. FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology 2011;63:153-64. DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2011.00865.x

- Martínez Gómez JM, Giraldi MP. Infección por Helicobacter pylori en niños. En: Protocolos de la Asociación Española de Pediatría, Editores. Protocolos diagnóstico-terapéuticos de Gastroenterología, Hepatología y Nutrición pediátrica SEGHNP-AEP. Ergón; 2010. p. 93-8.
- Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection - The Maastricht IV/ Florence consensus report. Gut 2012;61:646-64. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302084
- Mirchev KIA, Stamboliyska M. The Effect of L. reuteri (ProGastria) on the Eradication Rate in Elderly Patients Infected with H. Pylori: a Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo Controlled Trial. J Probiotics Heal 2015;03:1-6. DOI: 10.4172/2329-8901.1000130
- Dore MP, Goni E, Di Mario F. Is There a Role for Probiotics in Helicobacter pylori Therapy? Gastroenterology Clinics of North America 2015;44:565-75. DOI: 10.1016/j.gtc.2015.05.005
- Svedlund J, Sjödin I, Dotevall G. GSRS-A clinical rating scale for gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and peptic ulcer disease. Dig Dis Sci 1988;33:129-34. DOI: 10.1007/BF01535722
- Gatta L, Vakil N, Vaira D, et al. Global eradication rates for Helicobacter pylori infection: Systematic review and meta-analysis of sequential therapy. BMJ 2013;347:f4587. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.f4587
- Barrios Andrés J, Fernández Salazar L. Estudio randomizado, doble ciego, controlado con Placebo, sobre la utilidad del probiótico Lactobacillus reuteri en la terapia de erradicación cuádruple de la infección por Helicobacter pylori, en la práctica clínica habitual". AEMPS 2021. Available from: https://reec.aemps.es/reec/estudio/2017-000342-22.
- Shah A, Talley NJ, Walker M, et al. Is There a Link Between H. Pylori and the Epidemiology of Crohn's Disease? Dig Dis Sci 2017;62(9):2472-80. DOI: 10.1007/s10620-017-4496-z
- Talley NJ, Fullerton S, Junghard O, et al. Quality of life in patients with endoscopy-negative heartburn: reliability and sensitivity of disease-specific instruments. Am J Gastroenterol 2001;96:1998-2004. DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03932.x
- Mehta RS, Abu-Ali GS, Drew DA, et al. Stability of the human faecal microbiome in a cohort of adult men. Nat Microbiol 2018;3:347-55. DOI: 10.1038/s41564-017-0096-0