

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202400049



Tuning the Inorganic Core of a reduced Ni₂Ge₂ Cluster

Cyril A. Theulier,^[a] Sonia Bajo,^[a] Joaquín López-Serrano,^{*[a]} and Jesús Campos^{*[a]}

Tetranuclear cores $(M-E)_2$ of transition metals (M) and tetrylenes $(E^{II}=Si, Ge, Sn)$ are key motifs in homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis. They exhibit a continuum of M–M and E–E bonding within the inorganic core that leads to a variety of structures for which there are no specific synthetic methods. Herein, we report a series of highly reduced $[Ni^0Ge^{II}]_2$ squares solely stabilized by bulky terphenyl $(C_6H_3-2,6-Ar_2)$ ligands, for

The combination of transition metals (M) and heavier tetrylenes, namely divalent heavier group 14 elements (E=Si, Ge, Sn, Pb), has become a frontier research area propelled by the notion of M–E cooperative bond activation and catalysis.¹ Much work has focused on the structure, coordination, bonding and reactivity of monomeric transition metal/tetrylene complexes,^{2,3} which in some cases exhibit superior catalytic performance than related tetrylene-free compounds.⁴ This boosting effect of the group 14 element is well known in heterogeneous catalysts⁵ and has been documented for molecular clusters.⁶ In all those, tetrylenebridged multimetallic moieties ([M(µ-E)_nM]_m) are identified as responsible for catalyst efficiency.⁷ In fact, isolated molecular fragments of this type are active in, for instance, dehydrogenative coupling of silanes,⁸ olefin metathesis⁹ or oligomerization of germanes.¹⁰ Interestingly, the simpler dimeric form $([M(\mu-E)]_2)$ has been mostly investigated for silyl and silylene compounds, but analogous four-membered cores based on germanium and tin are comparatively underdeveloped.¹¹

The bonding and structure of $[M(\mu-E)]_2$ four-membered rings can be broadly classified into four groups, represented by structures **A**–**D** in Figure 1, each with varying degrees of covalent interactions between the metal atoms. Although not common, structure **A** is defined by a covalent bond between the tetrel centers while no interaction is present between the transition metals.¹² More often, a weaker E…E interaction is present in structures of type **B**,¹³ while it is fully absent in compounds of type **C**,¹⁴ where there is virtually no contact

[a] Dr. C. A. Theulier, Dr. S. Bajo, Dr. J. López-Serrano, Dr. J. Campos Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (IIQ), Departamento de Química Inorgánica and Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) and Universidad de Sevilla Avenida Américo Vespucio 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain E-mail: jesus.campos@iiq.csic.es joaquin.lopez@iiq.csic.es

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202400049
- © 2024 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

which we provide complementary and high-yielding syntheses. Reactivity studies with common Lewis bases (carbene and CO) evince that the structure of the $(M-E)_2$ core can be transformed. We have investigated this core modification by computational means, offering a rationale to better understand the continuum of bonding across these clusters.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the structures of inorganic fourmembered rings comprised of $(M-E)_2$ dimers (M = transition metal; E = divalent Si, Ge, Sn, Pb). Additional bonding and interactions within the ring are highlighted in blue. fsr = formal shortness ratio = ratio between d_{M-M} or d_{E-E} and the sum of the corresponding metallic radii.^[17]

between atoms on opposite vertices. Nonetheless, structure **D** is the most common of all,¹⁵ with a covalent bond between transition metals and no contact among the main group atoms. The bonding scheme in these inorganic rings may be associated to their corresponding formal shortness ratio¹⁶ (fsr; Figure 1), defined as the ratio between the M–M or E–E bond distance and the sum of the corresponding metallic radii, though these values must be taken with caution since most reported systems are not accompanied by a computational bonding analysis to corroborate the assignment. Importantly, despite the rich variety of structures, there are no well-defined and selective synthetic approaches, particularly for the heavier congeners (E=Ge and Sn), and their interconversion, highly desirable in the context of catalyst design and understanding, has not yet been realized.

In this work, we focus on the synthesis of unreported $[Ni^{0}Ge^{II}]_{2}$ squares, inspired by precedents on its lighter Si analogues,¹⁸ and encouraged by the outstanding reactivity revealed by the handful of highly reduced nickel(0)-germylene complexes reported.¹⁹ We provide complementary and high-yielding syntheses that are effective for the sterically congested $[Ni^{0}Ge^{II}]_{2}$ explored herein and demonstrate that their structures can be readily converted, offering a convenient approach for the synthesis of inorganic square-type complexes.

Synthetically, we decided to exploit the capacity of Power's terphenyl germylene dimers ([Ar*GeCl]₂; Ar*=C₆H₃-2,6-Ar₂) to coordinate transition metals.²⁰ The terphenyl flanking aryl rings

5213765, 20

25. Downloaded from https://themistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://oline.201400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https:/

enable stabilizing metals with low-coordination numbers through reversible π -arene binding,²¹ a key aspect to module the targeted four-membered rings. Besides, [Ar*Sn]-based species have already shown success in stabilizing related structures,^{13,22} though no nickel examples are known.

We first investigated the equimolar reactions of Ni(COD)₂ (COD=1,5-cyclooctadiene) with dimers [Ar^{Mes}GeCl]₂ (1^{Mes}) and [Ar^{Dipp}GeCl]₂ (1^{Dipp}) (Scheme 1) (Ar^{Mes}=C₆H₃-2,6-(C₆H₂-2,4,6-Me₃)₂; Ar^{Dipp}=C₆H₃-2,6-(C₆H₃-2,6-i^Pr₂)₂). The corresponding toluene solutions turned dark brown after two hours and the formation of free COD was discernible in both cases. The reaction with 1^{Mes} cleanly produced the aimed dimeric compound 2^{Mes} after *ca.* 15 hours at 25 °C, isolated in pure form in 67% yield (Scheme 1). Spectroscopic analysis concurs with a symmetric but rigid structure with two inequivalent mesityl groups. π -arene bonding with the Ni centers is evidenced by ¹H NMR, as the resonances due to the *meta*-protons of the mesityl rings are shifted to lower frequencies (6.13 and 5.75 ppm) compared to the non-coordinating mesityl rings (6.96 and



Scheme 1. Synthesis of compound 2^{Mes} from Ni(COD)₂ and 1^{Mes} .



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of compounds 2^{Mes} and 3^{Mes} . Hydrogen atoms are excluded, and some fragments are represented in wireframe format for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are set at 50% probability.

6.88 ppm). In contrast, reaction with 1^{Dipp} led to a complex ¹H NMR spectra due to the asymmetric nature of the resulting product, where two different types of contacts between lateral aryl rings and nickel atoms led to particularly high shielded ¹H NMR resonances (see SI for details). Nevertheless, this species readily decomposes in solution and attempts to isolate dimer 2^{Dipp} from the reaction media just led to black precipitates.

The structure of 2^{Mes} was authenticated by X-ray diffraction studies²³ after growing crystals from toluene/pentane solutions at -30 °C (Figure 2). Interestingly, a tiny crop of crystals grew during our attempts to isolate the thermally unstable compound resulting from 1^{Dipp} and Ni(COD)₂, allowing us to characterize 2^{Dipp} by X-ray diffraction, though the method could not be scaled-up. Nonetheless, redissolution of these crystals allowed us to confirm its dissimilar structure in solution (asymmetric) and solid-state (symmetric), since it lead to the same complex ¹H NMR pattern discussed above, which readily evolved into intractable mixtures.

The structures of both compounds 2 are characterized by a planar Ni₂Ge₂ core (Figure 2) defined by two sets of Ni–Ge bond distances (Ni1–Ge1: 2^{Mes}, 2.3797(6), 2^{Dipp}, 2.3552(8); Ni1–Ge1': 2^{Mes}, 2.2697 (6) ; 2^{Dipp}, 2.2678 (9) Å). While one of them is slightly shorter than the sum of the corresponding covalent radii (2.44 Å)²⁴, the latter is closer to the calculated double bond distance (2.12 Å).25 The Ge-Ge bond lengths account for 2.869(1) Å and 2.757(1) in 2^{Mes} and 2^{Dipp}, respectively, notably elongated compared to precursors [Ar*GeCl]₂ (ca. 2.45 Å)²⁶ and singly bonded terphenyl germylene dimers ($d_{GeGe} \approx 2.3-2.6$ Å).²⁷ Nonetheless, the distance is short enough to anticipate a direct Ge---Ge interaction,^{12a,28} though there is no contact between the nickel atoms (d_{NiNi} 3.66 (2^{Mes}) and 3.71 (2^{Dipp}) Å), in agreement with structures of type B in Figure 1. These structures clearly differ from the single reported example of a [Ni-Ge]2 dimer, $[(C_6F_5)_2Ge^{II}Ni^{I}(C_5H_5)]_2$, which presents a butterfly structure with a strong Ni¹-Ni¹ bond (2.49 Å).²⁹

We next aimed at tuning the geometry and bonding of the metallic Ni₂Ge₂ core, a long-sought goal in the chemistry of planar multimetallic species. ³⁰ First, we treated 2^{Mes} with carbene 1,3-diisopropylimidazol-2-ylidene (liPr), as a 2-electron strong σ -donor. Only one equivalent of liPr per dimer reacts, leading to compound 3^{Mes} (Scheme 2), isolated in *ca.* 62% yield and whose lower symmetry is evinced by ¹H NMR (see SI) and from a high-frequency ¹³C{¹H} NMR resonance at 187.9 ppm due to the Ni-carbene. The structure of 3^{Mes} was authenticated by X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 2), demonstrating that the



Scheme 2. Tuning the structure and bonding of the four-membered Ni₂Ge₂ core in compound 2^{Mes} by addition of liPr to form species 3^{Mes} .

Chem. Eur. J. 2024, 30, e202400049 (2 of 7)

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Attempts to introduce a second liPr ligand were unsuccessful and only led to decomposition under more forcing conditions (80 °C). Therefore, we wondered whether a potential and highly unusual (liPr)Ni-Ge monomer would be synthetically attainable and if so, whether its dimerization could generate the intended bis-NHC dimeric structure. We synthesized the envisaged monomer by preparing adduct (Ar^{Mes})ClGe(liPr) (4; see SI for details; XRD structure in Figure SX2) and subsequent treatment with one equivalent of Ni(COD)₂. ¹H NMR monitoring revealed the formation of the new compound 5 accompanied by liberation of COD (Scheme 3a). As foreseen, a high-frequency shift of the distinct ¹³C{¹H} NMR resonance of the carbenic carbon from 172.4 ppm in 4 to 183.8 ppm in 5 was diagnostic of transmetalation of the NHC ligand to nickel, a transformation already described.³¹ Overall, this reaction can be understood as the formal insertion of Ni^0 across the NHC \rightarrow Ge bond. However, this insertion seems to be favored by stabilization of the Ni center through π -arene bonding (NMR pattern comparable to



Scheme 3. (a) Synthesis of monomeric Ni–Ge compound 5; (b) Schematic representation of the reaction between monomer 5 and dimer 2^{Mes} leading to Ni₂Ge₂ core rearrangement in dimer 3^{Mes} .



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of compound 5. Hydrogen atoms are excluded, and some fragments are represented in wireframe format for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are set at 50% probability. that described above for **2**, see SI for details), which indicates that the structure does not spontaneously dimerize.

The monomeric nature of **5** was corroborated by X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 3). It is characterized by coordination of liPr onto Ni ($d_{\text{NIC}} = 1.896(2)$ Å) and η^6 -mesityl bonding defined by a short Ni-centroid distance of 1.683 Å. The Ni–Ge distance (2.4252(4) Å) is indicative of a bonding interaction. The sum of the angles around Ni accounts for an ideal 359.99(5)°, in perfect agreement with a trigonal planar geometry at Ni. Besides, angles at Ge are between 90° and 109.5° suggesting a trigonal pyramidal geometry. This last observation suggests a strong Ni \rightarrow Ge interaction with minimal Ge \rightarrow Ni back-donation, in accordance with our computational studies (see SI, Figures SC4). The dimeric and monomeric nature of compounds 2^{Mes}, 3^{Mes} and **5** was maintained in solution, as we could verify by diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY; see SI for details).

A rapid inspection of intermetallic bonding distances in compounds 2^{Mes} , 3^{Mes} and 5, along with the impossibility of accessing monomer 5 from Ni₂Ge₂ structures, suggest compound 3^{Mes} as the thermodynamic product of the series. In accordance, the reaction of 2^{Mes} and 5 in benzene- d_6 in a 1:2 ratio worked successfully to produce 3^{Mes} in 58% spectroscopic yield upon heating at 60 °C for 20 h (Scheme 3b), demonstrating that tuning the geometry and bonding in metallic square-type structures is accessible through complementary routes.

Although the Ar^{Dipp}-system exhibits a considerably decreased stability, we wondered whether it would still lead to isolable products by ligand coordination, once again looking to modulate the electronic structure of the Ni₂Ge₂ core. Naturally, limited stability led to less tractable reactions than for the Ar^{Mes}-system. The *in situ* generated product from 1^{Dipp} and Ni(COD)₂ readily reacts with liPr and carbon monoxide. In the former case it seems that the analogue 3^{Dipp} is the major product, though it could not be isolated in pure form. At variance, addition of CO (1 atm) results in cleaner formation of the bis-carbonyl structure **6** (Scheme 4), as corroborated by X-ray diffraction studies (Figure SX3), highlighting the versatility of the method to modulate the tetrametallic core.

We then carried out computational studies to ascertain the nature of the bonding regarding modifications of the Ni₂Ge₂ core. We considered singlet electronic ground states of the dimeric species described above, obtaining optimized molecular geometries in good agreement with the XRD data.³² In addition, we included in the study model systems as shown in



Scheme 4. Synthesis of compound 6 by exposing a solution of 1^{Dipp} and Ni(COD)_2 to carbon monoxide.

© 2024 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing



Scheme 5 (these species are denoted by the subscript $__{M}$), which allow for the fragment-based analysis that is discussed below.

AIM analysis of the calculated electron densities³³ failed to locate a bond critical point (bcp) between the Ge atoms of species **2**, which feature the shortest Ge…Ge interactions, instead a ring critical point was located at the center of their Ni₂Ge₂ rings, whereas for **3**^{Mes} one bcp and bond paths were found between both Ni atoms (blue dot in Figure 4). However, when the models were considered, one bcp and bond paths were found between the Ge atoms of **2**_M but no bcp between the Ni atoms of **3**_M (Figure SC1), despite the optimized geometries of these untethered models reproduced the basic metrics of the Ni₂Ge₂ cores of the parent species. In addition, the high ellipticity of the bcps suggest these correspond to unstable bonds. Further details can be found in the corresponding section of the SI.

A not so clear-cut difference, but rather a picture of a continuum between bonding and non-bonding situations is offered by molecular orbitals-based approaches. Thus, NBO analysis revealed similar Wiberg Bond Indices (WBI) for the Ge--Ge interactions in 2^{Mes} and $2_{_M}$ (0.64, 0.74) and the Ni--Ni interactions in 3^{Mes} and $3_{_M}$ (0.12, 0.13). Further insight comes from an EDA-NOCV analysis³⁴ of $2_{_M}$ and $3_{_M}$ at the BP86+D3BJ/ def2-TZ2P level, using Ni–L and [PhGeCl]₂ as interacting frag-



Scheme 5. Model systems used in the computational study (IMe = 1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene).



Figure 4. Calculated molecular geometries (distances in Å) and Laplacian of the electron densities of 2^{Dipp} and 3^{Mes} on their Ni₂Ge₂ and Ge–Ni_{NHC}–Ni planes, respectively, with relevant ring and bond critical points (orange and blue dots) of the electron density. Red solid lines are for areas of charge depletion, $\nabla^2 \rho > 0$, and blue dashed lines are for areas of charge accumulation, $\nabla^2 \rho < 0$.

ments. Figure 5 summarizes the results for 2 _M, with red and blue lobes in each *deformation channel*, $\Delta \rho_{i}$, corresponding to regions being depleted of, and accumulating electron density, respectively. Below each of these contributions to the orbital interaction energy, ΔE_{orb} , the main donor (in red and blue) and acceptor (in orange and light blue) fragment orbitals associated are also shown either combined or on different insets. Thus, the major contributions to ΔE_{orb} in **2** _M are, first ($\Delta \rho_1$), donation from both Ni(C₆H₆) to an antisymmetric combination of two, chiefly, sp²-like hybrids on both Ge atoms (σ^* Ge–Ge), and, second $(\Delta \rho_2)$, donation to an antisymmetric combination of two p orbitals of both Ge atoms (π^* Ge–Ge). The anticipated σ donation from the germene to the metal fragments ($\Delta \rho_3$) makes a minor contribution to $\Delta \textit{E}_{\rm orb}$ compared to the above and is accounted for by donation from a symmetric combination of two p orbitals on both Ge, (π -Ge–Ge), to one sd hybrid on each Ni. For details, see the supporting information. These results and the MOs analysis of the [PhGeCl]₂ fragment suggests that the main component of the Ge---Ge transannular bond is a weakened π Ge–Ge interaction, a situation related to that found in structurally related species with Ge₂Ga₂ and Si₄ cores.³⁵ However, in this case the bonding scheme differs from the previous examples due to the presence of the d orbitals of the Ni atoms. In addition, the Ge-Ge bond order is clearly lower than 1, as indicated by the WBI, as a result of the involvement of the π Ge–Ge interaction of the germene in the establishment of the Ni-Ge annular bonds.

When $\mathbf{3}_{_{_{_{_{_{_{}}}}}}}$ is considered (Figure 6), the main orbital interaction ($\Delta \rho_1$) involves electron density donation from the Ni–NHC to a symmetric combination of p orbitals on the germanium atoms of the [PhGeCl]₂ moiety and to an sd orbital of the Ni(C₆H₆) fragment, in addition to donation from sp² hybrids of the [PhGeCl]₂ fragment to both Ni fragments, and



Figure 5. EDA-NOCV analysis of the bonding in 2_M. The three upper figures represent the major deformation channels, in which regions in red and blue are being depleted of, and accumulating electron density, respectively, upon formation of the dimers. Below, blue arrows point to representations of fragment orbitals contributing to each deformation channel (red and blue lobes are for donor orbitals and light blue and orange lobes are for acceptor orbitals).

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202400049



Figure 6. EDA-NOCV analysis of the bonding in **3**_M. Figures on the left represent the major deformation channels, in which regions in red and blue are being depleted of, and accumulating electron density, respectively, upon formation of the dimers. To their right blue arrows point to representations of fragment orbitals contributing to each deformation channel (red and blue lobes are for donor orbitals and light blue and orange lobes are for acceptor orbitals).

polarization (electron density transfer between orbitals of the same fragment) within the Ni–NHC moiety. These are orbital contributions are now shown to the right of the deformation channels. The second orbital interaction ($\Delta \rho_2$) has a comparatively minor contribution to $\Delta E_{\rm orb}$ and involves back donation from the Ni(C₆H₆) to an antisymmetric combination of p orbitals on the germanium atoms, and polarization within the [PhGeCl]₂ fragment. This contribution suggests that **3**_{_M} might be described as well as a Ni(C₆H₆) adduct of NHC–Ni(PhGeCl)₂.

Overall, the bonding situations in $2_{_M}$ and $3_{_M}$ are clearly different. In the former it is related to the Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson model for transition metal-olefin complexes, which has been applied to related systems.³⁶ The weakened Ge…Ge bond and the absence of significant Ni…·Ni interaction is consistent with the type-B structure of Figure 1. On the contrary, the bonding in 3_M can be likened to two noninteracting, ambiphilic µ-germylene moieties bonding to both Ni fragments, (with stronger donation to Ni–NHC) which, in addition to the non-negligible degree of Ni–Ni bond formation, as indicated by the blue region between both Ni atoms showing in $\Delta \rho_1$, permits classifying the structure as type_D. The latter bonding model also applies to species 6_M and details are given in the SI.

In summary, this work offers a family of unprecedented and highly reduced $[Ni^0Ge^{II}]_2$ squares. This type of metallic core has been highlighted as key motif in homogeneous and heteroge-

neous catalysts and in the chemistry of larger inorganic clusters, but their study has been limited in large part by the absence of efficient synthetic methods, particularly for heavier Ge and Sn analogues. Our work provides several downstream benefits for further investigations. First, we describe two complementary and convenient synthetic approaches, including a more exotic one that highlights the potential of reactions between monomeric and dimeric precursors, which works well for the congested [Ni⁰Ge^{II}]₂ systems reported herein. Besides, the addition of exogeneous bases as a liPr and CO allows to transform the inorganic Ni2Ge2 core among two different structures defined by a Ni-Ni bond (and no Ge---Ge interaction) vs a Ge---Ge interaction (and no Ni--Ni bond). This offers a straightforward approach for structural tuning in small inorganic clusters and to explore their particular effects in catalysis, an avenue that we are now pursuing in our laboratories.

Supporting Information

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information. $^{\left[37-49\right] }$

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant, CoopCat, 756575), MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 (PID2019-110856GA-I00) and PID2022-139782NB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/FEDER, UE. SB thanks European Commission for a MSCA fellowship (101023461).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: Cluster · Square · Tetrametallic •Germylene · Nickel

- [1] R. J. Somerville, J. Campos, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 3488.
- [2] V. Y. Lee, A. Sekiguchi, in Organometallic Compounds of Low-Coordinate Si, Ge, Sn, Pb: From Phantom Species to Stable Compounds, John Wiley & Sons, 2011, p. 139.
- [3] a) L. Álvarez-Rodríguez, J. A. Cabeza, P. García-Álvarez, D. Polo, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2015, 300, 1; b) J. A. Cabeza, P. García-Álvarez, C. J. Laglera-Gándara, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2020, 10, 784; c) J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, Rev. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 34, 119; d) J. A. Cabeza, P. García-Álvarez, Chem. Eur. J. 2023, 29, e2022030 ; e) Z. Benedek, T. Szilvási, Organometallics 2017, 36, 1591; f) V. Ya Lee, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2022, 23, e202200175.
- [4] a) P. B. Glaser, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13640; b) M. E. Fasulo, M. C. Lipke, T. D. Tilley, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3882; c) N. Schneider,

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing



5213765

23, Downloaded from https://chemistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202400049 by Csic Organización Central Om (Oficialia Mayor) (Urici), Wiley Online Library on [23/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.

.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

M. Finger, C. Haferkemper, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz, P. Hofmann, L. H. Gade, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 1609; d) Y. Wang, A. Kostenko, S. Yao, M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13499; e) M. L. Buil, J. A. Cabeza, M. A. Esteruelas, S. Izquierdo, C. J. Laglera-Gándara, A. I. Nicasio, E. Oñate, *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 16860; f) S. Bajo, C. A. Theulier, J. Campos *ChemCatChem* **2022**, *14*, e202200157.

- [5] a) N. Wiberg, T. Blank, H.-W. Lerner, D. Fenske, G. Linti, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1232; b) N. Macleod, J. R. Fryer, D. Stirling, G. Webb, Catal. Today 1998, 46, 37; c) J. Liu, S. Zhan, Y. Zhou, V. Fung, L. Nguyen, D. Jiang, W. Shen, J. Fan, F. F. Tao, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 4218; d) W. Luc, C. Collins, S. Wang, H. Xin, K. He, Y. Kang, F. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1885; e) J. Medina-Ramos, R. C. Pupillo, T. P. Keane, J. L. DiMeglio, J. Rosenthal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5021; f) V. Vorotnikov, T. R. Eaton, A. E. Settle, K. Orton, E. C. Wegner, C. Yang, J. T. Miller, G. T. Beckham, D. R. Vardon, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 11350; g) H. Xiong, S. Lin, J. Goetze, P. Pletcher, H. Guo, L. Kovarik, K. Artyushkova, B. M. Weckhuysen, A. K. Datye, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 8986.
- [6] a) O. P. E. Townrow, S. B. Duckett, A. S. Weller, J. M. Goicoechea, *Chem. Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 7626; b) O. P. E. Townrow, C. Chung, S. A. Macgregor, A. S. Weller, J. M. Goicoechea, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 18330; c) J.-Q. Shen, S. Yao, Z.-M. Zhang, H.-H. Wu, T.-Z. Zhang, E.-B. Wang, *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 5812; d) B. D. Yuhas, A. L. Smeigh, A. P. Douvalis, M. R. Wasielewski, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10353; e) Y. Shim, B. D. Yuhas, S. M. Dyar, A. L. Smeigh, A. P. Douvalis, M. R. Wasielewski, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 2330.
- [7] a) R. D. Adams, E. M. Boswell, B. Captain, A. B. Hungria, P. A. Midgley, R. Raja, J. M. Thomas, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8182; b) M. C. Jennings, G. Schoettel, S. Roy, R. J. Puddephatt, *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 580; c) B. Kesanli, J. E. Halsig, P. Zavalij, J. C. Fettinger, Y.-F. Lam, B. W. Eichhorn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 4567; d) F. Vigné, J. Haubrich, D. Loffreda, P. Sautet, F. Delbecq, *J. Catal.* **2010**, *275*, 129.
- [8] a) C. T. Aitken, J. F. Harrod, E. Samuel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4059;
 b) R. H. Heyn, T. Don Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1917; c) E. A. Zarate, C. A. Tessier-Youngs, W. J. Youngs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4068.
- [9] N. B. Bespalova, M. A. Bovina, A. V. Popov, J. C. Mol, J. Mol. Catal. A 2000, 160, 157.
- [10] M. Tanabe, N. Ishikawa, M. Chiba, T. Ide, K. Osakada, T. Tanase, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18598.
- [11] K. Osakada, Y. Tsuchido, M. Tanabe, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2020, 412, 213195.
- [12] See for example: a) S. Fujimori, Y. Mizuhata, N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54, 8044; b) Z. Dong, O. Janka, J. Kçsters, M. Schmidtmann, T. Mgller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 8634.
- [13] See for example: a) J. Henoch, A. Auch, F. Diab, K. Eichele, H. Schubert, P. Sirsch, T. Block, R. Pöttgen, L. Wesemann, *Inorg. Chem.* 2018, *57*, 4135;
 b) C. M. Hoidn, C. Rödl, M. L. McCrea-Hendrick, T. Block, R. Pöttgen, A. W. Ehlers, P. P. Power, R. Wolf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 13195.
- [14] See for example: a) D. Lei, M. J. Hampden-Smith, E. N. Duesler, J. C. Huffman, *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 795; b) S. M. Mansell, R. H. Herber, I. Nowik, D. H. Ross, C. A. Russell, D. F. Wass, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 2252.
- [15] See for example: a) R. D. Adams, E. Trufan, Organometallics 2010, 29, 4346; b) R. D. Adams, E. Trufan, Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 6124.
- [16] L. Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 542.
- [17] The fsr ranges have been calculated after a search done on The Cambridge Structural Database, CSD version 5.43, from where we retrieved 68 four-membered structures comprised of [M-E(II)] dimers (M=transition metal; E=Si(II), Ge(II) or Sn(II)). Cluster structures with more than two M or E were omitted from the search.
- [18] a) A. Nova, H. W. Suh, T. J. Schmeier, L. M. Guard, O. Eisenstein, N. Hazari, F. Maseras, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1103; b) M. J. Krahfuss, U. Radius, Inorg. Chem. 2020, 59, 10976; c) M. J. Krahfuss, J. Nitsch, F. M. Bickelhaupt, T. B. Marder, U. Radius, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 11276; d) S. Kaufmann, R. Köppe, P. W. Roesky, Dalton Trans. 2021, 50, 14105.
- [19] a) P. M. Keil, T. Szilvási, T. J. Hadlington, *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12*, 5582;
 b) P. M. Keil, T. J. Hadlington, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2022, *61*, e202114143; c) P. W. Menezes, S. Yao, R. Beltrán-Suito, J. N. Hausmann, P. V. Menezes, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, *60*, 4640; d) M. Zhong, J. Wei, W.-X. Zhang, Z. Xi, *Organometallics* 2021, *40*, 310; e) K. E. Litz, J. E. Bender IV, J. W. Kampf, M. M. Banaszak-Holl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1997, *36*, 496.
- [20] See for example: a) M. Widemann, K. Eichele, H. Schubert, C. P. Sindlinger, S. Klenner, R. Pöttgen, L. Wesemann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 5882; b) A. C. Filippou, N. Weidemann, A. I. Philippopoulos, G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5987; c) L. Pu, B.

Twamley, S. T. Haubrich, M. M. Olmstead, B. V. Mork, R. S. Simons, P. P.
Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 650; d) J.-J. Maudrich, M. Widemann,
F. Diab, R. H. Kern, P. Sirsch, C. P. Sindlinger, H. Schubert, L. Wesemann,
Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 16081; e) A. C. Filippou, P. Ghana, U. Chakraborty,
G. Schnakenburg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 11525; f) C. P. Sindlinger,
S. Weiß, H. Schubert, L. Wesemann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4087.

- [21] a) S. Bajo, M. M. Alcaide, J. López-Serrano, J. Campos, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, 26, 15519; b) S. Bajo, M. M. Alcaide, J. López-Serrano, J. Campos, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2021, 27, 16422; c) T. Matsumoto, Y. Nakaya, N. Itakura, K. Tatsumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 2458; d) K. M. Krebs, S. Freitag, H. Schubert, B. Gerke, R. Pöttgen, L. Wesemann, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, 21, 4628.
- [22] a) X. Wang, Y. Peng, M. M. Olmstead, H. Hope, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13150; b) C. P. Sindlinger, L. Wesemann, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 11421.
- [23] Deposition numbers 2302712 (for 2^{Mes}), 2302711 (for 2^{Dipp}), 2302713 (for 3^{Mes}), 2302715 (for 4), 2302714 (for 5) and 2302716 (for 6) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- [24] B. Cordero, V. Gómez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverría, E. Cremades, F. Barragán, S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 2832.
- [25] P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 12770.
- [26] a) L. Pu, A. D. Phillips, A. F. Richards, M. Stender, R. S. Simons, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11626; b) R. S. Simons, L. Pu, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 1920.
- [27] a) G. H. Spikes, J. C. Fettinger, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12232; b) O. T. Summerscales, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, G. Merino, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 180; c) Y. Peng, B. D. Ellis, X. Wang, J. C. Fettinger, P. P. Power, Science 2009, 325, 1668.
- [28] a) J. Li, C. Schenk, C. Goedecke, G. Frenking, C. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18622; b) A. Caise, L. P. Griffin, A. Heilmann, C. McManus, J. Campos, S. Aldridge, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 15606.
- [29] L. V. Pankratov, V. I. Nevodchikov, L. N. Zakharov, M. N. Bochkarev, I. V. Zdanovich, V. N. Latyaeva, A. N. Lineva, A. S. Batsanov, Y. T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 429, 13.
- [30] a) M. Tanabe, N. Ishikawa, M. Chiba, T. Ide, K. Osakada, T. Tanase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 18598; b) L. M. Sanow, M. Chai, D. B. McConnville, K. J. Galat, R. S. Simons, P. L. Rinaldi, W. J. Youngs, C. A. Tessier, *Organometallics* 2000, *19*, 192.
- [31] a) C. Gendy, A. Mansikkamäki, J. Valjus, J. Heidebrecht, P. C.-Y. Hui, G. M. Bernard, H. M. Tuononen, R. E. Wasylishen, V. K. Michaelis, R. Roesler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, *58*, 154; b) T. Watanabe, Y. Kasai, H. Tobita, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, *25*, 13491; c) S. Inoue, C. Eisenhut, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 18315; d) C. P. Sindlinger, S. Weiß, H. Schubert, L. Wesemann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 4087; e) T. J. Hadlington, T. Szilvási, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 7470.
- [32] The calculated wavefunctions of al Ni_2Ge_2 dimers have no internal instabilities according to stability tests performed.
- [33] R. F. W. Bader, in Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory, Oxford University Press: Oxford, U. K., 1995.
- [34] a) T. Ziegler, A. Rauk, *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1977**, *46*, 1; b) K. Morokuma, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1971**, *55*, 1236; c) M. P. Mitoraj, A. Michalak, T. Ziegler, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, *5*, 962.
- [35] a) A. Doddi, C. Gemel, M. Winter, R. A. Fisher, C. Goedecke, H. S. Rzepa, G. Frenking, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 450; b) S. Kyushin, Y. Kurosaki, K. Otsuka, H. Imai, S. Ishida, T. Kyomen, M. Hanaya, H. Matsumoto, Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 4009; c) C. Foroutan-Nejad, Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 4037.
- [36] a) S. Sakaki, S. Yamaguchi, Y. Musashi, M. Sugimoto, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 635, 173; b) C. Massera, G. Frenking, Organometallics 2003, 22, 2758.
- [37] a) R. S. Simons, L. Pu, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, *Organometallics* 1997, *16*, 1920; b) M. Usher, A. V. Protchenko, A. Rit, J. Campos, E. L. Kolychev, R. Tirfoin, S. Aldridge, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 11685.
- [38] T. Schaub, M. Backes, U. Radius, Organometallics 2006, 25, 4196.
- [39] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.
- [40] F. Neese, Software update: The ORCA program system-Version 5.0. F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2022, 12, 1–15.
- [41] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297.
- [42] F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 1057.



5213765,

- [43] a) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456;
 b) S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.
- [44] T. Lu, F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 580.
- [45] a) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, J. Comput. Chem. 2013, 34, 1429; b) E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, NBO 6.0.; Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin: Madison, 2013. (www.chem.wisc.edu).
- [46] a) G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders, T. Ziegler, *J. Comput. Chem.* 2001, 22, 931; b) ADF2021.104, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (https://www.scm.com).
- [47] a) E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends, J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 4597; b) E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends, J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 101, 9783; c) E. van Lenthe, A. Ehlers, E. J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 110, 8943.
- [48] CYLview, 1.0b; Legault, C. Y., Université de Sherbrooke, **2009** (http:// www.cylview.org).
- [49] This is in agreement with the resonance structures proposed for related cyclic Co_2Sn_2 clusters in reference 18d.

Manuscript received: January 5, 2024 Accepted manuscript online: February 13, 2024 Version of record online: March 4, 2024