1	BEHAVIOUR AND FLUXES OF NATURAL RADIONUCLIDES IN THE PRODUCTION
2	PROCESS OF A PHOSPHORIC ACID PLANT
3	
4	J.P. Bolívar ¹ , J.E. Martín ¹ , R. García-Tenorio ² , J.P. Pérez-Moreno ¹ and J.L Mas ³
5	¹ Dept. of Applied Physics, University of Huelva, 21071-Huelva, Spain
6	² Dept. of Applied Physics II, University of Seville, 41013-Seville, Spain
7	³ Dept. of Applied Physics I, University of Seville, 41012-Seville, Spain
8	
9	ABSTRACT
10	
11	In recent years there has been an increasing awareness of the occupational and public hazards of the
12	radiological impact of non-nuclear industries which process materials containing naturally
13	occurring radionuclides. These include the industries devoted to the production of phosphoric acid
14	by treating sedimentary phosphate rocks enriched in radionuclides from the uranium series.
15	
16	With the aim of evaluating the radiological impact of a phosphoric acid factory located in the south-
17	western Spain, the distribution and levels of radionuclides in the materials involved in its
18	production process have been analysed. In this way, it is possible to asses the flows of radionuclides
19	at each step and to locate those points where a possible radionuclide accumulation could be
20	produced. A set of samples collected along the whole production process were analysed to
21	determine their radionuclide content by both alpha-particle and gamma spectrometry techniques.
22	The radionuclide fractionation steps and enrichment sources have been located, allowing the
23	establishment of their mass (activity) balances per year.
24	
25	

1 1. Introduction

2 1.1. Background

Several phosphate processing plants are located on the southwestern coast of Spain (on the outskirts of the town of Huelva), close to the estuary of the Odiel and Tinto rivers, where phosphoric acid has been produced since 1968. The company imports sedimentary phosphate ore (Phosphate Rock) containing around 100-150 ppm of uranium, which mainly comes from Morocco (Bolivar et al., 1996a). The phosphate is treated (dissolved) at these plants by mixing it with sulphuric acid to produce phosphoric acid by the di-hydrate process. A by-product called phosphogypsum (mainly calcium sulphate), is also produced during this process.

10

Until 1998, about 20 % of phosphogypsum produced annually was discharged into the sea. The 11 12 remaining 80 % was pumped in suspension with sea water (20 % phosphogypsum + 80 % seawater) 13 and subsequently transported about 2 km away the factories, to be disposed in large piles located in 14 a salt-marsh area along the Tinto river estuary. Until 1997, the sea water used for this transportation was discharged into the estuary where the phosphogypsum piles were formed. At the start of 1998, 15 16 direct discharges into the sea were banned following the OSPAR convention (OSPAR 1996), with 17 all the phosphogypsum stored in a pile in the town's surroundings. The fresh water used for its 18 transportation had to follow a closed circuit in order to avoid any impact on the estuarine ecosystem 19 (Absi et al., 2005; Mas et al., 2006). Nowadays, a small fraction of the phosphogypsum produced 20 (less than 10 %) is used in agriculture as soil amendment for sodic soils (El-Mrabet et al., 2003).

21

The process for obtaining the phosphoric acid from the phosphate rock can be describedschematically by the following chemical reaction (Fertiberia, 2002):

24

25 $Ca_3(PO_4)_2 + 3H_2SO_4 \rightarrow 2H_3PO_4 + 3CaSO_4 + 48.9 \ kcal$

1 This reaction is exothermic and its magnitude is self-limited due to the formation of an insoluble 2 layer of calcium sulphate over the phosphate particles. To avoid this self-limitation, a high 3 recirculation of the produced phosphoric acid is imposed to favour the conversion of phosphate rock 4 in mono-calcium phosphate. Then, it reacts with the sulphuric acid to produce the phosphoric acid 5 required:

6

$$Ca_{3}(PO_{4})_{2} + 4H_{3}PO_{4} \rightarrow 3Ca(H_{2}PO_{4})_{2}$$
$$3Ca(H_{2}PO_{4})_{2} + 3H_{2}SO_{4} \rightarrow 6H_{3}PO_{4} + 3CaSO_{4}$$

8

9 The phosphogypsum (insoluble calcium sulphate) is separated from the phosphoric acid by 10 filtration. Phosphogypsum can be obtained in different crystalline forms depending on the 11 temperature, concentration of the phosphoric acid and the amount of free sulphuric acid in the 12 digesters (Rutherford et al., 1994). In the Huelva phosphoric acid plants, the process conditions 13 induce the production of the phosphogypsum in the di-hydrate form, according to the following 14 general reaction (Fertiberia, 2002):

15

 $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6 F_2 CO_3 Ca + 11H_2 SO_4 + 21H_2 O \rightarrow 6H_3 PO_4 + 11CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2 O + 2FH + CO_2 CO_4 + 2H_2 O + 2H_2 O$

17

In the Huelva phosphoric acid plants, around 1.5 millions tonnes of phospate rock are treated annually, and some 500,000 tonnes of phosphoric acid and 2.5 million tonnes of phosphogypsum are produced. Phosphogypsum is an unavoidable by-product in the production of phosphoric acid by the sulphuric "wet-acid" method, formed in the proportion 5-6 Tonnes of phosphogypsum per Ton of P_2O_5 produced

23

24 *1.2. Detailed description of the process*

The process for the phosphoric acid production from Moroccan phospate rock is identical in all the plants in Huelva. For that reason, all the studies in this paper will concentrate on just one of the plants. The industrial process can be divided in four different steps: 1) grinding and conditioning of the phospate rock, 2) attack, or digestion, of the phospate rock with sulphuric acid, 3) filtration, or phosphogypsum separation from the liquid fraction of phosphoric acid, and 4) washing of phosphogypsum by water. The phosphoric acid produced has a P_2O_5 concentration of 27 %, which later rises to 54 % through a concentration step by evaporation of a fraction of its water content.

8

9 Figure 1 shows schematically the process used in the step of conditioning and grinding of the 10 phospate rock (step 1). The commercial phospate rock (transported to the factory by ships with a 11 granulometry in the range 0- 2 mm) is stored initially in big silos. Then it is taken and transported 12 by hoppers, which feed a ball mill where the grain size of phospate rock is reduced until 90 % of the 13 grinded material has a size less than 147 μ m and 60 % less than 74 μ m. Finally, the grinded 14 material is transported by an ascendant air current of several cyclones, which discharge it in another 15 hopper that feeds the digesters where the phospate rock is attacked.

16

Figure 2 shows schematically the process used in the acid attack on the phosphate rock (step 2). In this phase of the process, the grinded phosphate rock is dissolved with sulphuric acid diluted to a concentration of 60 % with water. This water is mostly fresh water coming from outside the factory, although a small fraction originates in the process (deposit A, see figure 2).

21

The reaction of the phosphate rock with the sulphuric acid takes place in a reactor of seven compartments where the temperature is maintained at 70-75 °C. To improve the chemical reaction, some phosphoric acid, 22 % obtained in the filtration step (step 3), is added, coming back through the process. The pulp produced in the reaction is continuously mixed and circulates from compartment 1 to compartment 5. Then it passes to a flash cooler where its temperature decreases

and the dissolved gases are removed (the flash cooler works in vacuum conditions and the gases are condensed in a condenser with the help of water previously used in the management-disposal of the phosphogypsum). Once the pulp is cooled, it is transported to compartment 7 of the reactor (see Figure 2), from where it is transported to the filtration process through the reactor 6 (step 3). The water used in the condensation of the gases produced in the reaction is stored in deposits A and B (see Figure 2) to be later reused during the process. In deposit B, water which has previously been used in the management-disposal of phosphogypsum is also stored.

8

In Figure 3, the process used for the filtration of the pulp produced in the digestion step (step 3) and 9 10 phosphogypsum washing (step 4) is shown schematically. In this filtration process, the phosphoric 11 acid is separated from the phosphogypsum using a rotating filter system formed of 24 independent filtering trays which can tilt to discharge the phosphogypsum after its filtration and washing. The 12 13 rotating system (rotation along a vertical axis which is perpendicular to the trays) has a total filtration area of 69 m^2 , and takes around 3 minutes to rotate 360°. The separation and washing step 14 is performed through filtering clothes, covering the surface of the trays, by the vacuum generated by 15 two large vacuum pumps. 16

17

The pulp coming from the reactor is placed on the trays, and in a first small suction (called "presuction") 27 % P_2O_5 is extracted and stored in Deposit W (see Figure 3). A second suction separates the production acid (27 % P_2O_5) which is sent to Deposit X (see Figure 3). Afterwards, the washing step of the pulp remaining on the filter starts (formed mostly by phosphogypsum). Three successive washings are used in order to extract the remaining phosphoric acid still in the phosphogypsum.

23

The first washing is carried out with PA at 12 % P_2O_5 where phosphoric acid with 22 % P_2O_5 is obtained and stored in Deposit W. The second washing is done with 5 % P_2O_5 to produce 12% P_2O_5 which, after storage in Deposit Y (see Figure 3), is used in the first washing. Thirdly, a final

- 1 washing is performed with hot water from Deposit C (see Figure 3) to produce 5 % P_2O_5 , which is 2 used in the second washing following storage in Deposit Z (see Figure 3).
- 3

Once the washings have finished, each tray rotates 180° and discharges the phosphogypsum over a ramp to be mixed with water coming from the phosphogypsum piles (Deposit B, see figure 2) in Tank D. From this tank, the phosphogypsum -water mixture is sent to the phosphogypsum piles for the storage of this by-product. The filtration process finishes with the washing of the filter clothes using hot water (which is collected as waste water along the plant) from Deposit B. Such water is later stored in Deposit C to be used in the third washing step, and by rotating the tray back to its original position to start a new filtration cycle.

11

These suctions and washings are essential to obtain a high efficiency phosphoric acid in the 12 filtration process. The objective is to obtain a phosphogypsum with a P_2O_5 content less than 0.5 %, 13 14 before it is sent to the storage stacks (Becker, 1989). In summary, phosphoric acid extracted in this filtration process is stored in four deposits. The deposits Y and Z contain 12% and 5% P₂O₅, 15 respectively, which is used in the same filtration process for washing the pulp. Deposit W contains 16 22 % P₂O₅ to be used in the reactors to improve the reaction of the phosphate rock with the 17 sulphuric acid (see Figure 2). Finally, deposit X contains 27 % P₂0₅ (production acid) which is sent 18 19 to a final step of concentration for obtaining commercial acid (54 % P₂O₅).

20

Indeed, the 27 % P_2O_5 produced in the filtration step should be concentrated up to 54 % (step 5), since it is the normal concentration needed for the fabrication of the most demanded phosphate fertilisers: Monoamonium Phosphate (MAP), Diamonium Phosphate (DAP), and complex fertilisers (NPK). This concentration process is a pure physical process, and is carried out by the removal of water by evaporation in vacuum. This vacuum is performed by using powerful vacuum pumps (reaching up to 60 mm Hg).

1
-

2 The system used since 1998 for the disposal of the phosphogypsum produced in the Huelva plants 3 is shown in Figure 4. The phosphogypsum is now piled in a site having about 170 ha of surface. 4 The piling system consists on: a) two ponds for the decantation and storage of the phosphogypsum, covering an area of 150 ha, b) a regulatory reservoir with an area of 17 ha, and c) a perimetral 5 6 channel to collect all the filtrations (or leachings) from the piles. The process follows a closed 7 circuit: the water used for the transport of the phosphogypsum is firstly clarified in the ponds due to 8 the decantation of the phosphogypsum and cooled in contact with the atmosphere. Afterwards, it 9 goes to the regulatory reservoir before being returned to the phosphoric acid plants for use in the 10 transport of new phosphogypsum. Thus, the possible contamination of the natural aquatic ecosystem that surrounds this storage area is minimised. 11

12

Two independent lines (pipes) are used in Huelva for the transport of the phosphogypsum mixed with water to the ponds. Two additional lines for returning the clarified water to the plants are also used. This transportation is carried out with the help of several pumps. The phosphogypsum stored after the filtration process in Deposit D mixed with water is conditioned to obtain a solution having 17-22% weight of suspended matter and a temperature of 50°C. From there, it is transported to the ponds (with a flow rate of 1,400 m³/h). The flow of the clarified and cooled water to the plants is lower (900 m³/h) due to losses by evaporation and filtration.

20

In order to operate properly following a closed circuit, it is necessary to cool the water to 27 °C before its return to the plants, because at this temperature the water can be used at different stages of the production process. In addition, it is necessary to highlight the importance of the regulatory reservoir, which absorbs the possible oscillations in the water level of the ponds due to heavy precipitation, storage or evaporation. This regulatory reservoir can even absorb oscillations of 0.5 millions of cubic meter in the water level.

1

Each of the two storage ponds allows the homogeneous distribution and subsequent discharge of the decanted phosphogypsum. The objective is to construct a steeply pyramidal pile with a height of 30-35 m, covered by a soil layer of 0.5 m thickness which allows the fixation of vegetation for the integration of these piles into the neighbouring environment.

6

7

1.3. Aims and objectives

8 It is well known that huge amounts of natural radionuclides belonging mainly to the uranium decay 9 chain are involved in the so-called "wet-acid" process of phosphoric acid production, which has a 10 notable impact on the environment (Bolivar et al., 1996a,b, 2002; Aguado et al., 2004; Betti et al, 11 2004). This fact has aroused an awareness of the occupational and public hazards of the radiological 12 impact of this type of non-nuclear industries (IAEA, 2007).

13

The aim of this investigation has been to study the fluxes and behaviour of these natural radionuclides throughout the production process of a phosphoric acid plant in southwestern Spain. This work intends to understand the behaviour of radionuclides (U, Ra, Th, Pb, Po) in this industrial process, being this an essential step in the evaluation of the occupational effective doses received by the working staff. The evaluation and analysis of the occupational doses determined in the plant are currently under analysis. The study of their correlation with the radionuclide determinations performed during the process will be presented in the second part of this research.

21

- 22 2. Materials and Methods
- 23 2.1 Samplings

After an exhaustive analysis of the production process, the sampling points were selected and the radiological assessments were performed. The amount of material in each sampling point was about 1 kg for a solid sample, and 5 kg for liquid or pulp material. A higher mass for liquid/pulp fraction

was necessary in order to guarantee that enough mass of this fraction was available for replication
 (if required) after water removal. The sampling was carried out when Moroccan sedimentary
 phosphate rock material was processed.

4

The description of the samples collected during the process is compiled in Table 1. These samples have been classified according to the step of the process from which they were collected: 1) milling and grinding, 2) acid attack or digestion, 3) filtration and washing, and 4) concentration. The location of the collection for most of the samples is also indicated in Figures 1, 2 and 3. These samples are identified by the code X-n, where "X" indicates the step of the process where they were collected (M = Milling, A = Attack, F = filtering and washing, C = concentration), and "n" represents the order number of each sample.

12

In addition, six water samples from the regulating reservoir associated to the big pile (where the phosphogypsum is stored) have been sampled and analysed. This water is used for the phosphogypsum pumping into the ponds, and it was collected over a year at a rate of one sample per two months in order to evaluate the temporal evolution in its radionuclide content.

17

18 2.2 Sample preparation

The samples collected in the industrial process were either liquids (acids, water), solids (PR, phosphogypsum), or cakes formed by a mixture of phosphoric acid, phosphogypsum and other unknown solid materials. The liquid samples were filtered (pore size 0.8 µm) before their analysis. The solid samples were first dried at 60 °C, in order to avoid the loss of the hydration water in the phosphogypsum samples, and afterwards homogenised mechanically, grinded and passed through a 0.8 mm sieve. For the cake samples, the liquid and solid fractions were separated by different methods (centrifugation, filtration under vacuum), with the liquid fractions finally passing through a

filter with a 0.8 µm pore size. After separation, the solid fractions were conditioned following the
same protocol used for the solid samples.

3

2.3 Radionuclide determinations

4 *Gamma-ray spectrometry*

Aliquots of the pre-treated and conditioned samples were introduced into polypropylene cylindrical
containers with a diameter of 6.6 cm, up to a height of 5 cm for analysis by gamma-ray
spectrometry.

8

9 The gamma-ray determinations were performed with a coaxial hyper-pure Ge detector (Extended Range (XtRa) type, model GX3519 from Canberra industries), mounted with a carbon window of 10 0.5 mm thickness, relative efficiency of 38.4%, and FWHM of 0.95 keV at the 122 keV line of 11 ⁵⁷Co and 1.9 keV at the 1333 keV line of ⁶⁰Co. The detector is connected to a conventional 12 13 electronic chain, including a multichannel analyser, and is shielded by Fe 15 mm of thickness. The 14 detector with its shielding is located in a room with walls and ceilings made of 75 cm-thick concrete on the ground floor of a four-storey building in order to minimize the natural background of the 15 16 measuring system.

17

For gamma-ray quantitative determinations, we have developed an original efficiency calibration 18 19 procedure in the energy range of 150-1800 keV (Perez-Moreno et al., 2002). It takes into account 20 the self-absorption differences between the problem sample and the calibration sample. ²²⁶Ra activities were determined by the 352 keV emission of ²¹⁴Pb. Both nuclides were in secular 21 22 equilibrium since counting was done at least one month after filling and sealing the cylindrical container. ²²⁸Ra determination was carried out by the emission of 911 keV of ²²⁸Ac, while ²²⁸Th was 23 determined by the 583 keV photon of ²⁰⁸Tl taking into account the branching ratio in the decay 24 scheme of these nuclides. On the other hand, ²¹⁰Pb activities were also determined from the same 25

measurement by using an independent efficiency calibration which was performed specifically by
the of 46.5 keV photon emitted by this radionuclide (Bolivar et al., 1994; San Miguel et al., 2002).

3

4 *Alpha-particle spectrometry*

Aliquots of around 5 g in the case of acids and solids, and about 1 L in the case of waters were taken for the determination of several alpha-emitters by alpha-particle spectrometry. U-isotope (²³⁸U, ²³⁵U, ²³⁴U) and Th-isotope (²³²Th, ²³⁰Th) mass activities were determined by alpha-particle spectrometry. To this end, a sequential well-established radiochemical method was applied to representative aliquots of the samples (Holm and Fukai, 1977). In this method, the U-isotopes and the Th-isotopes once isolated are electrodeposited onto stainless steel planchets (Hallstadius, 1984). Typical recoveries of 60-80 % for uranium and 50-70 % for thorium were obtained.

12

13 **3. Results and Discussion**

14

3.1 Natural Radioactivity concentrations throughout the process

15 Radionuclide concentrations of the samples collected throughout the P_2O_5 production process are 16 shown in Table 2 (milling and digestion steps), and in Table 3 (filtration, washing and concentration 17 steps). Additionally, in Table 4 the most interesting activity ratios for the most representative 18 samples analysed are compiled.

19

As can be seen from Table 2, the phosphate rock treated for the production of phosphoric acid is clearly enriched in radionuclides from the uranium series. Their concentrations are in line with data from the Moroccan sedimentary phosphate rocks found in literature (Azouazi et al., 2001). They are also at least 50 times higher than in representative unperturbed soils worldwide (UNSCEAR, 2000). In addition, it is possible to observe that all members of the uranium series are in secular equilibrium (see Table 4, sample M-3), as expected due to the fact that the material does not experiments any radionuclide enrichment during the physical processes applied before digestion.

4

5 During the digestion step, we observe the first clear evidence of different behaviour that the 6 analysed radionuclides from the U-series show in the process. It is possible to observe a non-7 homogenous, varied distribution of the analysed radionuclides between the liquid and solid 8 fractions in the pulp samples collected from the digesters. The liquid fractions, corresponding mainly to the phosphoric acid formed at this step, are clearly enriched in uranium, whilst the 9 concentrations of ²³⁰Th, ²²⁶Ra, and ²¹⁰Pb are evidently lower or even negligible (this is the case of 10 ²²⁶Ra). On the contrary, solid samples contain the major proportion of the ²³⁰Th, ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb 11 originally present in the phosphate rock, while the uranium isotopes concentrations are slightly 12 13 lower (but far from negligible, see activity ratios of sample A-4S, Table 4). This solid fraction is 14 mostly composed of the phosphogypsum formed in reaction to the phosphate rock with sulphuric acid. However, it may also contain a proportion of non-attacked phosphate rock and some 15 phosphoric acid occluded between the phosphogypsum grains and not separated from the liquid 16 17 samples.

18

19 For a better understanding of the previously mentioned results, it is necessary to take into 20 consideration the main three inputs of radionuclides from the uranium series to the digestion step. In 21 addition to the main input associated to the treated phosphate rock, significant amounts of 22 % 22 P₂O₅ are used in order to favour digestion, and furthermore some amounts of water for dilution of 23 the sulphuric acid are used for attacking the rock. The last two sources contain enhanced amounts of 24 radioactivity (see Table 2). Indeed, the 22% P₂O₅ is clearly enriched in Uranium isotopes, with 25 clearly lower concentrations of the other radionuclides determined from the uranium series. This 26 finding is in good agreement with the results obtained in the liquid phases of the pulp, as expected,

1 while the water fed into the digester (sample A9) is also particularly enriched in uranium. This 2 water is formed by a mixture of freshwater and recycled water. The freshwater (sample A8) comes 3 from a reservoir near the factories and contains negligible amounts of radioactivity. The recycled 4 water (samples A6 and A7), which is used previously for the transport of phosphogypsum to 5 storage, contains particularly elevated amounts of Uranium. Consequently, the input of the uranium 6 isotopes in the digestion step is clearly higher than that associated to the mineral feeding the process. On the contrary, the additional inputs in the case of analysed uranium daughters (²³⁰Th, 7 ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb) are negligible. 8

9

The results obtained in the analysis of the samples collected in the filtration step allowed the 10 11 confirmation of the different behaviour of the Uranium isotopes and their daughters previously drawn. By observing the data shown in Tables 2 and 3, we can affirm that most of the uranium 12 tends to be associated to the phosphoric acid fraction, while the majority of ²³⁰Th, ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb 13 14 tends to be associated to phosphogypsum. These conclusions are evident by observing the obtained activities in the phosphoric acid samples. They present high values of U-isotopes and clearly lower, 15 or negligible, concentrations of their daughters. The concentration of U decreases in the 16 17 phosphogypsum samples according to their successive washings, a fact indicating that the amounts of P₂O₅ that remain associated to the gypsum are removed sequentially in the different washings. 18 Consequently, the uranium associated to this acid is removed. On the contrary, the concentrations of 19 ²³⁰Th, ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb remain inalterable in the successive washings because these radionuclides 20 21 cannot be found associated to the acid fraction.

22

Due to the extremely close association of the uranium with the liquid fractions containing P_2O_5 , it is even possible to observe a clear correlation between the concentrations of this element and the strength of the phosphoric acid samples analysed from the filtration area (Figure 5). This correlation is even closer if we also include the phosphoric acid samples collected in the final

4

Both good correlation coefficient and acceptable uncertainties for fit parameters were obtained. These facts are thus supporting the affinity of uranium fraction for the phosphoric acid fraction. Bearing in mind that this acid is used for phosphate fertilizer production, such an accumulation is in agreement with the well reported accumulation of uranium in fertilizers (Yamazaki and Geraldo, 2003; Saueia and Mazzilli, 2006). On its turn, the use of fertilizers with high P_2O_5 contents could be the origin of a certain accumulation of this element on tilled soils (Rothbaum et al., 1979).

11

12 On the contrary, observing this correlation is however more difficult for the uranium daughters due 13 to their low affinity with the P_2O_5 . However, it is necessary to indicate that the behaviour is not 14 exactly the same for all the uranium daughters analysed. While the concentrations of ²²⁶Ra are 15 negligible in the P_2O_5 fractions, the levels of ²³⁰Th and ²¹⁰Pb in these samples are clearly higher. In 16 fact, by ordering all the analysed elements according to their affinity to the phosphoric acid, we can 17 indicate that:

18

19

- U-isotopes >>> 230 Th > 210 Pb > 226 Ra
- 20

The uniformity in the ²²⁶Ra, ²³⁰Th and ²¹⁰Pb concentrations in the gypsum samples collected in the process (regardless of the number of washings applied) is in opposition to the behaviour of the uranium isotopes. This finding indicates that the uranium daughters are strongly bound to the gypsum matrix, and their concentrations in liquid fractions will be driven by dilution factors in relation to the mass percentage P_2O_5 . These facts can explain the results obtained in the water used, first for the transport of this by-product to the disposal site, and afterwards in the process after its

recirculation (samples A6, A7 and F9). As previously mentioned, this water is especially enriched
in the uranium isotopes while it presents clearly lower concentrations of the uranium daughters,
which tend to remain bound to the solid gypsum material (see activity ratios, Table 4). Laboratory
leaching experiments were performed by our group using phosphogypsum collected at the Huelva
factory just before mixing with water and transportation to the phosphogypsum ponds. The results
confirm the previous comments (Aguado et al., 2005).

7

8 The high radioactive content (specially in uranium) of the water used for the transport of the 9 phosphogypsum to the disposal area needs to be considered in a construction of the radionuclide 10 balances in the processes due to their recirculation and active use in the process. The radioactive 11 content of this water is fairly uniform over time. This fact can be deduced from the analysis performed on 6 aliquots from different locations in the reservoir associated to the piles where the 12 13 phosphogypsum is stored (see Table 5). As previously explained, these samples were collected over 14 one year and at a rate of one sample per two months. The data shown in this Table should be compared with the results obtained in the samples A6, A7 and F9 (Tables 2 and 3,) which also 15 correspond to waters used for the transport of the phosphogypsum but collected after returning to 16 17 the plant.

18

19 **3.2** Mass and radionuclide balances in the process

In Figure 6, the scheme of the global production process applied in obtaining phosphoric acid by the wet acid method in the Huelva production plant analysed in this work is shown. The most representative sampling points for the mass-balance and radionuclide fluxes are marked by solid frames. The frames with dashed lines correspond to samples with marginal entity inside the process. These mass and radionuclide activity balances have been performed with the objective of confirming clearly the main routes followed by the different radionuclides of the uranium series

involved in the process. They also help to the evaluation of the magnitude of the possible emissions
 of natural radioactivity into the environment.

3 In Figure 7, the mass balance in the P_2O_5 production process corresponding to the analysed plant is 4 shown in graph form. The data have been normalised to the amount of Moroccan phosphate rock 5 introduced into the process, which is 44 Tonne per hour. In the elaboration of this mass balance, the 6 following losses throughout the process have been considered: a) 1 Tonne per hour in 7 phosphogypsum and 3 Tonne per hour in acids in the filtration step, which represents 1 % of the 8 phosphogypsum produced and 8 % of the liquids used in the washings, b) 2 Tonne per hour of pulp 9 in the reaction step, and c) 2.8 Tonne per hour of CO₂ by evaporation and 6.9Tonne per hour of F in 10 the form of H_2SiF_6 also in the reaction step.

11

All the data shown in Figure 7 correspond to the average values determined in a typical month of 12 13 production at the plant. It can be deduced that for every Tonne per hour of phosphate rock attacked 14 (sample M3), 1.11 Tonne per hour of production phosphoric acid (27 % P₂O₅, sample F2) and 1.97 Tonne per hour of phosphogypsum containing approximately 20 % in weight of water (sample F11) 15 are produced. In addition, the consumption of water in the plant includes 0.60 Tonne per hour used 16 17 in the dilution of the sulphuric acid prior to its reaction with the phosphate rock in the digesters, 1.06 Tonne per hour used in the washing of the filters, and 0.03 Tonne per hour associated to the 18 19 humidity of the phosphate rock and to the water content of the concentrated sulphuric acid.

20

The mass-balance study showed and discussed previously has been the basis (together with the data compiled in Tables 2 and 3) for the quantitative elaboration of the fluxes of the radionuclides from the uranium series involved in the process.

24

In Figure 8, the normalized flux of radioactivity associated to ²³⁸U is presented. The normalization was performed on the uranium content introduced in the process associated to the phosphate rock.

As previously explained, the ²³⁸U is introduced with the phosphate rock (sample M) and the water 1 2 used in the washing of the phosphogypsum (sample F9). Its activity is distributed between the 3 production phosphoric acid (sample F2) in a proportion of 51 % and the phosphogypsum (41 %). So 4 it can be concluded that the uranium has the tendency to stay in dissolution in an acidic medium. 5 This conclusion is also reinforced if we consider that a fraction of the uranium detected in the 6 phosphogypsum is associated to the acid liquid where it remains. This finding can be deduced in 7 two ways. First, observing the uranium enrichment in the water of the process (F10) after the 8 washing of the filters used for separation of the phosphogypsum, to give the water F9. Second, 9 observing the uranium content in the waters used for the transport of the phosphogypsum to the 10 storage area. In fact, the acid water returning to the plant after the transport of the phosphogypsum to the disposal area contains around $65\%^{238}$ U in the amount bound to the phosphate rock. 11

12

Quantitatively, we can indicate the ²³⁸U activity input rates: 76 MBq/h associated to the phosphate 13 14 rock, 14.1 MBq/h associated to the water used for the washings in the filtration area, and 48.4 MBq/h associated to the water returning from the disposal area. Furthermore, the amount of this 15 nuclide leaving the process in the filtration step associated to the production phosphoric acid (27%) 16 P_2O_5), is 54.9 MBq/h. Finally, 99.9 MBq/h of ²³⁸U leaves the process with the mixture of water and 17 gypsum transported to the piles. Consequently, it is possible to affirm the existence of agreement 18 19 between the inputs and outputs of Uranium in the process (with a lower deviation of 10 %). The net annual flux of ²³⁸U sent to the piles for its disposal from the plant is 0.42 TBq per year. 20

21

In Figure 9, the normalized flux of radioactivity associated to ²³⁰Th is shown. In this case, the phosphate rock is the only significant source of this nuclide in the production process because the ²³⁰Th content of the water involved in the process is very low according to the mass-balance obtained. This result is compatible with the very low solubility of this element in water regardless of its acidity (Hyde, 1960).

1

From Figure 9, it can also be seen that the 230 Th tends to be associated to the phosphogypsum fraction during the process. Around 87% of its input is deposited in the disposal area. The remaining 13% is associated to the production of phosphoric acid, 27 % P₂O₅, and will probably reach the environment via the use of phosphate fertilisers.

6

Quantitatively, 72.3 MBq/h of ²³⁰Th are sent to the phosphogypsum piles for disposal. Almost the same amount, 76 MBq/h, is associated to the phosphate rock and enters the production process. Associated to the production of phosphoric acid, the ²³⁰Th flux is 11.7 MBq/h, which indicates the good balance established for this radionuclide during the process. The deviation is only of 10%, The annual flux of ²³⁰Th stored in the phosphogypsum piles due to the plant can be estimated at 0.85 TBq, slightly higher than the estimate for ²³⁸U, due to the higher tendency of the thorium to be associated to the phosphogypsum.

14

Finally, in Figures 10 and 11 the normalized balances for ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb, respectively are shown. From these figures, it can first be drawn that in the case of ²²⁶Ra the input and output fluxes are in agreement within a deviation of 10 %, while in the case of ²¹⁰Pb this agreement is even better (deviation between input and output fluxes of 1-2 %).

19

From these last two figures it is also possible to deduce that both Ra and Pb elements have a very high affinity with the P phosphogypsum, which is even higher than that observed previously for thorium. This conclusion can be ratified by the minor presence of both radionuclides in the production phosphoric acid (27 % P_2O_5) and in the recycled phosphoric acid (22 % P_2O_5). Only 4.0 MBq/h in the case of ²¹⁰Pb and 0.30 MBq/h in the case of ²²⁶Ra are associated to the production phosphoric acid.

In the case of ²²⁶Ra, the proportion of the input associated to the phosphogypsum is 99.5 %, with 1 only 0.5 % associated to the production of phosphoric acid. The behaviour of ²¹⁰Pb is similar to that 2 of radium. The proportion associated to the phosphogypsum being slightly lower, 93 %, with only 3 7% associated to the acid. The net fluxes stored in the disposal area can be evaluated in around 70 4 MBq/h of ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb. 5

6

The normalized fluxes of ²³²Th, ²²⁸Th and ²²⁸Ra are not shown and discussed because these 7 radionuclides have similar behaviour to that observed for ²³⁰Th and ²²⁶Ra, respectively. This similar 8 9 behaviour can be explained, and is even expected, attending to the fact that the fractionation of the different radionuclides in the wet acid production process are mostly due to chemical processes 10 11 involved being elemental and non-isotope dependent. The fact that the compared isotopes of thorium and radium belong to different natural series is not relevant for their distribution and fluxes 12 during the process, mostly due to the strong acid attack of the phosphate rock in the initial reaction 13 14 step. ed

15

16 4. Conclusions

The flow and fractionation of natural radionuclides belonging to the ²³⁸U-series have been studied 17 in detail along the wet process of a phosphoric acid production plant. Around 380 MBq/h of ²³⁸U is 18 19 the intake for the five existing plants at Huelya (Spain), in secular equilibrium with their daughters. 20 associated to the raw material (sedimentary phosphate rock), being the secular equilibrium broken 21 at the initial acid digestion step.

22

23 The well known uranium enrichment in the liquid fraction (the acid) seems to be a consequence of 24 two facts: firstly, the high chemical affinity of this element for the recently produced phosphoric, acid secondly, the use of additional P_2O_5 (previously enriched in uranium) in order to accelerate the 25

CEPTED MANUSCRI

1 rock digestion. As a consequence, a fair correlation between acid P₂O₅ contents and uranium 2 concentration has been achieved.

3

4 The phosphogypsum produced during the acid attack is consequently submitted to progressive 5 washings, and the results show how the uranium concentration clearly decreases as the washing is 6 becoming more intense. This fact is probably due to the association of uranium to traces of 7 phosphoric acid remaining in the recently generated phosphogypsum. Washing and filtering 8 processes remove such traces, and for that fact uranium concentration decreases according to the 9 number of washings. On the other hand, Pb, Ra and, in some extent, Th isotopes are exclusively 10 supplied by the phosphate rock, and they remain associated to the phosphogypsum particles, being subsequently stored in a disposal site located in the vicinity of the factories at a rate of around 350 11 12 MBq/h. mar

13

14 Acknowledgements

15

This work has been partially supported by projects 'Estudio y evaluación del impacto radiológico 16 17 producido por las actividades de diversas industrias no nucleares del Sur de España" (Spanish 18 Nuclear Security Council) and by projects CTM2006-08148/MAR from Ministry of Education and 19 Science, and the P06-FQM-02065 one from the Regional Government of Andalusia (Junta de 20 Andalucía). Authors wish to thank to Fertiberia enterprise and, specially to Mr. J. Garralda for their 21 kind help and support in the performing of this work.

22

1	5.	References
1	~ •	Iterer ences

2

3	Absi, A., Villa, M., Moreno, H.P., Manjón, G., 2005. Self-c leaning in an estuarine area formerly
4	affected by Ra-226 anthropogenic enhancements. Sci. Total Environ. 339, 207-218.
5	
6	Aguado, J.L., Bolivar, J.P., Garcia-Tenorio, R., 2004. Sequential extraction of Ra-226 in sediments
7	from an estuary affected historically by anthropogenic inputs of natural radionuclides. J. Environ.
8	Radioactivity 74, 117-126.
9	
10	Aguado, J.L., Bolívar, J.P., San Miguel E.G. and García-Tenorio, R., 2005. Ra and U isotopes
11	determination in phosphogypsum leachates by alpha-particle spectrometry. Radioactivity in the
12	Environment, 7, 160-165
13	2
14	Alam, M. N., Chowdhury, M.I., Kamal, M., Ghose, S., Banu, H., Chakraborty, D., 1997.
15	Radioactivity in chemical fertilizers used in Bangladesh. Appl. Radiat. Isot., 48, 1165-1168.
16	
17	Becker, P. (1989) Phosphates and Phosphoric Acid: raw materials, technology, and economics of
18	the wet process, 2 nd Edition. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
19	G
20	Betti, M., de las Heras, L.,A., Janssens, A., Henrich, E., Hunter, G., Gerchikov, M., Dutton, M., van
21	Weers, A., W., Nielsen, S., Simmonds, J., Bexon, A., Sazykina, T., 2004. Results of the European
22	Commission Marina II Study Part II - effects of discharges of naturally occurring radioactive
23	material. J. Environ. Radioactivity 74, 255-277.
24	
25	Bolivar, J.P., Garcia-Tenorio, R., Garcia-Leon, M., 1994. A generalized transmission method for

26 gamma-efficiency determination in soil samples. Nucl. Geophys. 8, 485-492.

Bolivar,	J.P.,	Garcia-Tenorio,	R.,	Garcia-Leon,	М.,	1996a.	On	the	fractionation	of	natural
radioacti	vitv i	n the production	of r	hosphoric aci	1 hv	the wet	acid	met	hod I Radi	oana	1 Nucl

radioactivity in the production of phosphoric acid by the wet acid method. J. Radioanal. Nucl.
Chem. 214, 77-88.
Bolivar, J.P., Garcia-Tenorio, R., Garcia-Leon, M., 1996b. Radioactive impact of some
phosphogypsum piles in soils and salt marshes evaluated by gamma-ray spectrometry. Appl. Radiat.
Isot. 47, 1069-1075.
Bolivar, J.P., Garcia-Tenorio, R., Mas, J.L., Vaca, F., 2002. Radioactive impact in sediments from
an estuarine system affected by industrial wastes releases. Environ. Int. 27, 639-645.
El-Mrabet, R., Abril, J.M., Periañez, R., Manjón, G., García-Tenorio, R., Delgado, A., Andreu, L.,
2003, Phosphogypsum amendment effect on radionuclide content in drainage water and marsh soils
from southwestern Spain. J. Environ. Qual. 32, 1262-1268.
Fertiberia: Documentation on the industrial process at the Huelva phosphoric acid production plant
(In Spanish). Fertiberia, S.A., 2002.
G
Hastalldius, L., 1984. A method for the electrodeposition of actinides. Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res.
A 223, 266-267.

23 Hyde, E. K., 1960. The radioechemistry of Thorium. Nuclear Science Series. U.S. Atomic Energy

24 Comission, Oak Ridge, Tenneessee, U.S.A.

1	Holm, E., Fukai, R., 1977. Method for multi-element alpha-spectrometry of actinides and its
2	application to environmental radioactivity studies. Talanta 24, 659-664.
3	
4	International Atomic Energy Agency, 2007. Assessing the Need for Radiation Protection Measures
5	in Work Involving Minerals and Raw Materials. IAEA Safety Reports Series No 49, IAEA, Viena.
6	
7	Mas, J.L., San Miguel, E.G., Bolívar, J.P., Vaca, F., Pérez-Moreno, J.P., 2006. An assay on the
8	effect of preliminary restoration tasks applied to a large TENORM wastes disposal in the south-
9	west of Spain. Sci. Total Environ. 364, 55-66.
10	
11	Oslo-Paris Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic:
12	PARCOM Decision 96/3 on a Harmonized Mandatory Control System for the Use and Reduction
13	of the Discharge of Offshore Chemicals. Oslo, 1996.
14	
15	Perez-Moreno, JP; San Miguel, EG; Bolivar, JP, Aguado, J.L., 2002. A comprehensive calibration
16	method of Ge detectors for low-level gamma-spectrometry measurements. Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys.
17	Res. A 491, 152-162.
18	GOV
19	Rothbaum, H.P., McGaveston, D.A., Wall, T., Johnston, A.E., Mattingly, G.E.G., 1979. Uranium
20	accumulation in soils from long-continued applications of super-phosphate. J. Soil Sci. 30, 147-153.
21	
22	Rutherford, P.M., Dudas, M.J., Samek R., 1994. Environmental impacts of phosphogypsum. Sci.
23	Total Environ. 149, 1-38.

1	San Miguel, E.G., Perez-Moreno, J.P., Bolivar, JP, García-Tenorio, R., Martín, J.E., 2002. Pb-210
2	determination by gamma spectrometry in voluminal samples (cylindrical geometry). Nucl. Instr.
3	Meth. Phys. Res. A 493, 111-120.
4	
5	Saueia, C.H.R., Mazzilli, B.P., 2006. Distribution of natural radionuclides in the production and use
6	of phosphate fertilizers in Brazil. J. Environ. Radioactivity 89, 229-239.
7	
8	UNSCEAR. Report of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation,
9	United Nations 2000, New York.
10	
11	Yamazaki, M.I., Geraldo, L.P., 2003. Uranium content in phosphate fertilizers commercially
12	produced in Brazil. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 59, 133-136.
13	

Accepted m

1	6. Figure captions.
2	
3	Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the phosphate rock milling step.
4	
5	Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the phosphate rock acid attack (step 2).
6	
7	Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the PHOSPHOGYPSUM filtration and washing steps (steps 3 and
8	4, respectively).
9	
10	Figure 4: General configuration of the PHOSPHOGYPSUM disposal site.
11	5
12	Figure 5. Dependence of the 238 U activity concentration on the P_2O_5 concentration of the samples
13	collected in this work.
14	
15	Figure 6. General scheme of the steps considered for establishing the mass/activity balances in this
16	industrial process.
17	
18	Figure 7. Scheme of the P_2O_5 mass balance throughout the whole industrial process.
19	G
20	Figure 8. Scheme of the ²³⁸ U activity balance throughout the whole industrial process.
21	
22	Figure 9. Scheme of the ²³⁰ Th activity balance throughout the whole industrial process.
23	
24	Figure 10. Scheme of the ²²⁶ Ra activity balance throughout the whole industrial process.
25	
26	Figure 11. Scheme of the ²¹⁰ Pb activity balance throughout the whole industrial process.

1

Code	Description
	Step 1: MILLING AND GRINDING
	Phosphate rock before milling and grinding. It was taken from the silos
M-1	where it is stored after its reception in the factory
мэ	Phosphate rock collected just after its grinding in the ball mill and before its
IVI-2	transportation by an ascendant current air to several cyclones
M-3	Grinded phosphate rock collected just before its entrance in the digester
	Step 2: ACID ATTACK
A-1	$22\% P_2O_5$ coming from the filtration step and used to favour the digestion.
A-2	Grinded phosphate rock feeding the digester.
A-3	Pulp formed in the digester, and collected from the compartment 5
A-4	Pulp collected from compartment 7 of the digester, just after passing from
	the flash-cooler
A-5	Pulp collected in the compartment 6 of the digester, just before to be
	transported to the filtration step
A-6	Water from Deposit B collected before its transportation to the filtration step
A-7	Water returning to the factory from the ponds used for the storage of PG
A-8	Fresh waters supplied from outside the process.
A-9	water collected in deposit A, used to dilute the 98 % support acid.
	Step 3: FILTERING AND WASHING
F-1 = A-5	be suctioned and washed
F_2	27% P ₂ O ₂ extracted from the pulp coming from the digesters by suction
F-3	PG collected before to be washed, and after the extraction of the 27% P ₂ O ₅
15	$22.\% P_2 \Omega_5$ extracted from the PG in the first washing and used to favour the
$\mathbf{F-4} = \mathbf{A-1}$	reaction in the digesters.
	12 % P ₂ O ₅ extracted from the PG in the second washing and used for the
F-5	performance of the first washing
F-6	PG collected after the first washing with 12 % P ₂ O ₅
F 7	5 % phosphoric acid extracted from the PG in the third washing and used for
F -/	the performance of the second washing
F-8	PG collected after the second washing with 5 % P ₂ O ₅
F-9	Water from Deposit C, used for the third washing of the PG
F-10	Waste water collected from different parts of the plan to deposit B and used
	for the washing of the filter clothes
F-11	"Fresh PG" collected after third washing just before to be transported to
	Desidual waters coming from a plant of the factory devoted to the production
F 17	of SA from purities and used to form the solution with the PG in suspension
F-12	to be transported into the PG stacks
F-13	Suspension of PG plus water which is pumped into the storage area
F-14	Mud formed at the bottom of the tank where the $27 \% P_2O_5$ is stored
F-15	Floating material at the upper of the tank where the 27 % P ₂ O ₅ is stored
	Step 4: CONCENTRATION
C-1	Phosphoric acid at 54% P ₂ O ₅ obtained after the concentration process
C 2	Phosphoric acid (32 % P ₂ O ₅) which is feeding to the condenser after
C-2	flocculation

2 3

Table 1: Codes and description of samples taken along the whole industrial process.

$0.0 \pm 7 \pm 0.5$ 0.0 ± 0.6 3.8 ± 0.5 0.10 ± 0.7 0.10 ± 0.7 0.10 ± 0.6 245 ± 22 5.5 ± 0.5 76 ± 12 3.0 ± 0.6 3.8 ± 0.5 < 1.9 246 ± 19 6.7 ± 0.5 82 ± 13 3.2 ± 0.6 8.0 ± 0.7 6.7 ± 0.5 246 ± 19 6.7 ± 0.5 82 ± 13 3.2 ± 0.6 8.0 ± 0.7 6.7 ± 0.5 245 ± 78 4.0 ± 0.4 60 ± 12 6.5 ± 1.4 4.0 ± 0.5 < 1.0 510 ± 50 470 ± 20 520 ± 100 14 ± 2 14 ± 1 14 ± 2 60 ± 30 760 ± 40 870 ± 160 14 ± 2 14 ± 1 14 ± 2 750 ± 30 590 ± 25 590 ± 120 16 ± 2 11 ± 1 9.4 ± 1.1 < 0.1 4.0 ± 0.4 89 ± 17 0.55 ± 0.14 1.5 ± 0.4 < 1.6 $N.M.$ < 0.6 8 ± 5 $N.M.$ < 1.5 < 0.9 $N.M.$ < 0.9 < 11 0.007 ± 0.001 < 0.8 < 0.9
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$0.027 \pm 0.011 \qquad < 0.4 \qquad \text{N.M.} \qquad 0.007 \pm 0.001 \qquad < 0.8 \qquad < 0.4$

Table 2: Activity concentrations (Bq/kg) obtained for the samples collected along the milling and digestion steps. "L" = Liquid fraction; "S" = Solid fraction. "N.M.": Not measured.

*

	ample	Sample								
A5L Puip 1340 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1330 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 1340 ± 90 11 ± 2 94 ± 11 94 ± 13	de	Type	238 U	234 U	230 Th	²²⁶ Ra	$^{210}\mathbf{Pb}$	232 Th	228 Th	²²⁸ Ra
$ \begin{array}{ $	=A5L	Pulp	1340 ± 90	1330 ± 90	425 ± 78	4.0 ± 0.4	60 ± 12	6.5 ± 1.4	4.0 ± 0.5	< 1.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	= A5S	Cake	450 ± 30	450 ± 30	750 ± 30	590 ± 25	590 ± 120	16 ± 2	11 ± 2	9.4 ± 1.1
	F 2	27% P ₂ O ₅	1070 ± 110	1090 ± 110	230 ± 30	5.6 ± 0.5	78 ± 15	9.8 ± 1.8	3.9 ± 0.5	< 1.8
5 12% P ₂ O ₅ 470 ± 40 470 ± 40 80 ± 10 6.1 ± 0.5 < 225	= A1	22% P ₂ O ₅	870 ± 50	870 ± 50	95 ± 4	3.0 ± 0.4	74 ± 14	53 ± 11	1.7 ± 0.4	< 1.8
r_7 5% P_2 230 ± 20 290 ± 20 4.2 ± 0.3 1.8 ± 0.3 6107 ± 0.06 < 1.0 < 1.5 r_3 $Gypsum$ 650 ± 40 650 ± 40 580 ± 33 610 ± 30 600 ± 120 31 ± 3 9.0 ± 0.9 9.7 ± 1.6 r_6 $Gypsum$ 318 ± 25 390 ± 25 790 ± 33 640 ± 120 31 ± 4 7.8 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 r_6 $Gypsum$ 318 ± 26 330 ± 25 700 ± 33 620 ± 60 31 ± 4 7.8 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 r_7 $Gypsum$ 2318 ± 26 330 ± 25 700 ± 33 525 ± 30 610 ± 80 2.25 610 ± 80 2.25 610 ± 80 2.25 7.8 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 r_7 r_7 7.8 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 7.8 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 r_7 r_7 830 ± 2.5 7.0 ± 3.3 5.25 ± 3.0 610 ± 80 2.25 610 ± 8.0 r_8 r_8 2.20 ± 2.0 2.33 ± 0.30 <	FS	12% P ₂ O ₅	470 ± 40	470 ± 40	80 ± 10	6.1 ± 0.5	< 225	6.0 ± 1.5	< 1.7	< 1.9
R3 Gypsum 550±40 560±40 560±40 580±30 600±120 34±3 9.0±0.9 9.5±1.6 F6 Gypsum 3 300±25 380±25 720±40 560±35 620±60 19±3 14.3±1.1 9.7±1.3 F8 Gypsum 3 360±25 390±25 720±40 650±35 620±60 13±4 7.8±0.6 11.8±1.0 78 Gypsum 3 360±25 390±25 700±30 525±30 610±80 31±4 7.8±0.6 11.8±1.0 78 Gypsum 3 360±25 390±20 700±30 525±30 610±80 31±4 7.8±0.6 11.8±1.0 78 Hwater 250±20 260±20 700±30 525±30 610±80 21.6±2.4 <3	F7	5% P ₂ O ₅	290 ± 20	290 ± 20	4.2 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.3	< 135	0.17 ± 0.06	< 1.0	< 1.5
63 Gypsum 650 ± 40 560 ± 40 580 ± 30 600 ± 120 34 ± 3 9.0 ± 0.9 9.5 ± 1.6 76 Gypsum 3 360 ± 25 390 ± 25 790 ± 50 640 ± 120 31 ± 4 7.8 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 78 Gypsum 3 360 ± 25 390 ± 25 720 ± 40 650 ± 35 620 ± 60 11.8 ± 1.0 9.7 ± 1.3 9.7 ± 1.3 71 Gypsum 318 ± 26 334 ± 27 830 ± 52 670 ± 35 620 ± 60 18 ± 3 <2.2										
	F3	Gypsum 1	650 ± 40	650 ± 40	760 ± 40	580 ± 30	600 ± 120	34 ± 3	9.0 ± 0.6	9.5 ± 1.6
R8 Gypsum 3 360 ± 25 390 ± 25 720 ± 40 650 ± 35 620 ± 60 31 ± 4 78 ± 0.6 11.8 ± 1.0 11 Gypsum 4 318 ± 26 334 ± 27 830 ± 52 670 ± 35 620 ± 60 18 ± 3 < 2.2 10.6 ± 1.2 13L Gypsum 4 318 ± 26 334 ± 27 830 ± 52 670 ± 35 610 ± 80 18 ± 3 < 2.22 10.6 ± 1.2 13S + water 250 ± 20 200 ± 20 700 ± 30 525 ± 30 610 ± 80 21.6 ± 0.2 $< 1.8\pm1.0$ 13 waters 550 ± 5 200 ± 20 $N.M.$ 0.33 ± 0.30 < 16 0.18 ± 1.02 $< 1.8\pm1.02$ 11 0.05 ± 0.02 250 ± 5 0.31 ± 0.03 2.6 ± 0.4 < 15 < 1.2 < 1.2 12 230 ± 20 $N.M.$ 0.33 ± 0.30 $< 6\pm0.4$ < 15 < 1.2 < 1.2 11 0.05 ± 0.02 0.28 ± 0.4 < 15 < 12.4 < 12 < 1.2 12 0.03 ± 0.00 0.74 ± 0.5	F6	Gypsum 2	370 ± 25	380 ± 25	790 ± 50	640 ± 30	640 ± 120	19 ± 3	14.3 ± 1.1	9.7 ± 1.3
11Gypsum 4 318 ± 26 334 ± 27 830 ± 52 670 ± 35 620 ± 66 18 ± 3 < 2.2 106 ± 1.2 13LGypsum 280 \pm 20 280 ± 20 610 ± 80 0.55 ± 0.02 <1.5 <1.8 13S+ water 250 ± 20 260 ± 20 700 ± 30 525 ± 30 610 ± 80 <1.5 <1.8 <1.8 13 230 ± 20 260 ± 20 260 ± 20 700 ± 30 525 ± 30 610 ± 80 <1.6 <1.8 10waters 56 ± 5 50 ± 5 0.31 ± 0.03 2.6 ± 0.4 <15 <1.2 <1.8 10waters 56 ± 5 50 ± 160 0.31 ± 0.03 2.6 ± 0.4 <15 <1.2 <1.2 11waters 56 ± 5 5.31 ± 0.02 0.74 ± 0.2 <0.8 <40 <1.2 <1.2 11waters 56 ± 5 50 ± 160 0.74 ± 0.2 <0.8 <40 <1.2 <1.2 12 1200 ± 170 1200 ± 170 1200 ± 170 1200 ± 150 12.40 ± 8 <1.2 <1.2 14 1200 ± 80 1240 ± 80 250 ± 30 4.5 ± 0.4 84 ± 15 5.2 ± 1.1 6.0 ± 0.6 <1.2 14 1230 ± 70 1230 ± 70 100 ± 25 110 ± 25 1310 ± 70 1420 ± 1.0 10.4 ± 1.2 177 ± 0.5 14 1230 ± 70 900 ± 55 910 ± 25 100 ± 25 1300 ± 70 1220 ± 1.6 <1.7 $1.7 \pm$	F8	Gypsum 3	360 ± 25	390 ± 25	720 ± 40	650 ± 35	620 ± 60	31 ± 4	7.8 ± 0.6	11.8 ± 1.0
	F11	Gypsum 4	318 ± 26	334 ± 27	830 ± 52	670 ± 35	620 ± 60	18 ± 3	< 2.2	10.6 ± 1.2
135 + water 250 ± 20 260 ± 20 700 ± 30 525 ± 30 610 ± 80 21.6 ± 2.4 < 3 8.9 ± 1.3 F9 waters 230 ± 20 200 ± 20 $N.M.$ 0.33 ± 0.30 < 16 < 1.2 < 3 8.9 ± 1.3 F9 waters 56 ± 5 58 ± 5 0.31 ± 0.03 2.6 ± 0.4 < 15 0.11 ± 0.02 < 1.6 < 1.2 10 waters 56 ± 5 5.8 ± 0.02 0.74 ± 0.02 $< 46 \pm 10$ $< 0.22 \pm 0.003$ < 1.0 < 1.2 < 1.2 11 0.26 ± 0.02 0.28 ± 0.02 0.74 ± 0.02 $< 46 \pm 10$ $< 2.2 \pm 1.1$ $< 0.12 \pm 0.2$ < 1.2 14L 2000 ± 170 1200 ± 170 1200 ± 170 1200 ± 170 1250 ± 150 7.4 ± 0.5 46 ± 10 5.2 ± 1.1 6.02 ± 0.03 $6.1.2$ $6.1.2$ 15L 1200 ± 80 1200 ± 120 1200 ± 120 1200 ± 25 1300 ± 20 1200 ± 0.5 5.2 ± 1.1 6.02 ± 0.6 $6.1.7$ <tr< th=""><th>13L</th><th>Gypsum</th><th>280 ± 20</th><th>280 ± 20</th><th>1.1 ± 0.10</th><th>9.1 ± 0.3</th><th>6 ± 5</th><th>0.05 ± 0.02</th><th>< 1.5</th><th>< 1.8</th></tr<>	13L	Gypsum	280 ± 20	280 ± 20	1.1 ± 0.10	9.1 ± 0.3	6 ± 5	0.05 ± 0.02	< 1.5	< 1.8
	13S	+ water	250 ± 20	260 ± 20	700 ± 30	525 ± 30	610 ± 80	21.6 ± 2.4	< 3	8.9 ± 1.3
F9 ×aters 230 ± 20 N.M. 0.33 ± 0.30 < 16										
10 waters 56 ± 5 56 ± 5 0.31 ± 0.03 2.6 ± 0.4 <15 <1.5 <1.5 <1.5 <1.5 <1.5 <1.5 <1.5 <1.5 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1.2 <1	F9		230 ± 20	230 ± 20	N.M.	0.33 ± 0.30	< 16	12.3 ± 1.0	< 1.6	< 1.2
12 0.26 ± 0.02 0.28 ± 0.02 0.74 ± 0.02 < 0.8 < 9 $< 0.029 \pm 0.003$ < 1.0 < 1.2 14L 2090 ± 170 2100 ± 170 1250 ± 120 1250 ± 120 1240 ± 80 250 ± 30 4.5 ± 0.4 84 ± 15 5.2 ± 1.1 6.0 ± 0.6 $< 1.7 \pm 0.5$ 14S 1230 ± 70 1230 ± 70 2000 ± 150 4.5 ± 0.4 84 ± 15 5.2 ± 1.1 6.0 ± 0.6 $< 1.7 \pm 0.5$ 14S 1230 ± 70 1230 ± 70 2000 ± 150 4.5 ± 0.4 84 ± 15 5.2 ± 1.1 6.0 ± 0.6 $< 1.7 \pm 0.5$ 14S 910 ± 60 900 ± 55 910 ± 25 1300 ± 70 1420 ± 160 228 ± 6 32 ± 3 65 ± 6 15S 910 ± 60 900 ± 55 910 ± 25 1310 ± 70 1420 ± 160 12.9 ± 1.0 10.4 ± 1.2 17.8 ± 1.9 17 32% 810 ± 180 810 ± 180 8.6 ± 0.3 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 132\% 1230 ± 180 1810 ± 180 $N.M.$ 7.9 ± 0.5 109 ± 14 $N.M.$	10	waters	56 ± 5	56 ± 5	0.31 ± 0.03	2.6 ± 0.4	< 15	0.11 ± 0.02	< 1.5	< 1.9
	12		0.26 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.02	0.74 ± 0.02	< 0.8	6 >	0.029 ± 0.003	< 1.0	< 1.2
	14L		2090 ± 170	2100 ± 170	1250 ± 150	7.4 ± 0.5	46 ± 10	22 ± 3	16.3 ± 1.2	1.7 ± 0.5
14S 1230 ± 70 1230 ± 70 2000 ± 150 4300 ± 220 1900 ± 90 28 ± 6 32 ± 3 65 ± 6 15S 910 ± 60 900 ± 55 910 ± 25 1310 ± 70 1420 ± 160 12.9 ± 1.0 10.4 ± 1.2 17.8 ± 1.9 C1 32% P₂O₅ 1300 ± 70 1320 ± 70 N.M. 3.6 ± 0.3 <135 N.M. 6.5 ± 0.4 <0.9 C2 54% P₂O₅ 1830 ± 180 1810 ± 180 N.M. 7.9 ± 0.5 109 ± 14 N.M. 13.2 ± 1.4 <0.9	15L	Sludges	1260 ± 80	1240 ± 80	250 ± 30	4.5 ± 0.4	$ 84 \pm 15 $	5.2 ± 1.1	6.0 ± 0.6	< 1.7
15S 910 ± 60 900 ± 55 910 ± 25 1310 ± 70 1420 ± 160 12.9 ± 1.0 10.4 ± 1.2 17.8 ± 1.9 C1 $32\% P_2 O_5$ 1300 ± 70 1320 ± 70 $N.M.$ 3.6 ± 0.3 < 135 $N.M.$ 6.5 ± 0.4 < 0.9 C2 $54\% P_2 O_5$ 1830 ± 180 1810 ± 180 $N.M.$ 7.9 ± 0.5 109 ± 14 $N.M.$ 6.5 ± 0.4 < 0.9	14S		1230 ± 70	1230 ± 70	2000 ± 150	4300 ± 220	1900 ± 90	28 ± 6	32 ± 3	65 ± 6
C1 32% P_2O_5 1300 ± 70 1320 ± 70 N.M. 3.6 ± 0.3 < 135 N.M. 6.5 ± 0.4 < 0.9 C2 54% P_2O_5 1830 ± 180 1810 ± 180 N.M. 7.9 ± 0.5 109 ± 14 N.M. 13.2 ± 1.4 < 1.0	15S		910 ± 60	900 ± 55	910 ± 25	1310 ± 70	1420 ± 160	12.9 ± 1.0	10.4 ± 1.2	17.8 ± 1.9
C1 32% P₂O₅ 1300 ± 70 1320 ± 70 N.M. 3.6 ± 0.3 < 135 N.M. 6.5 ± 0.4 < 0.9 C2 54% P₂O₅ 1830 ± 180 1810 ± 180 N.M. 7.9 ± 0.5 109 ± 14 N.M. 13.2 ± 1.4 < 1.0										
C2 54% P_2O_5 1830 ± 180 1810 ± 180 N.M. 7.9 ± 0.5 109 ± 14 N.M. 13.2 ± 1.4 	C1	32% P ₂ O ₅	1300 ± 70	1320 ± 70	N.M.	3.6 ± 0.3	< 135	N.M.	6.5 ± 0.4	< 0.9
	C 2	54% P ₂ O ₅	1830 ± 180	1810 ± 180	N.M.	7.9 ± 0.5	109 ± 14	N.M.	13.2 ± 1.4	< 1.0
	•	•		- -				-	•	

Table 3: Activity concentrations (Bq/kg) obtained for the samples collected along the filtration, washing and acid concentration steps. "L" = Liquid fraction; "S" = Solid fraction. "N.M.": Not measured.

Sample Code	Sample Type	$^{234}\mathrm{U}/^{238}\mathrm{U}$	²³⁰ Th/ ²³⁸ U	²²⁶ Ra/ ²³⁸ U	²¹⁰ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	²²⁶ Ra/ ²³⁰ Th	²¹⁰ Pb/ ²²⁶ Ra	$\begin{array}{c} {}^{232}\text{Th}/{}^{238}\text{U}\\ (\times 10^2) \end{array}$
M3	Phosphate rock	1.01 ± 0.08	0.96 ± 0.07	1.01 ± 0.07	0.99 ± 0.08	1.04 ± 0.07	0.98 ± 0.08	1.4 ± 0.1
						-		
A4L	Cake	0.98 ± 0.10	0.19 ± 0.02	0.005 ± 0.001	0.06 ± 0.01	0.027 ± 0.003	12 ± 2	0.25 ± 0.05
A4S	digester	1.00 ± 0.10	1.23 ± 0.11	1.36 ± 0.12	1.61 ± 0.32	1.10 ± 0.08	1.14 ± 0.22	2.5 ± 0.4
A 6	Water	1.00 ± 0.09	< 0.0005	0.020 ± 0.002	0.44 ± 0.09	> 40	22 ± 5	0.27 ± 0.02
A7	ponds	1.01 ± 0.13	N.M.	0.007 ± 0.016	0.04 ± 0.03	N.M.	67 ± 172	
			K					
F2	27% P ₂ O ₅	1.02 ± 0.15	0.21 ± 0.03	0.0052 ± 0.0007	0.073 ± 0.016	0.024 ± 0.004	14 ± 3	0.9 ± 0.2
FS	12% P ₂ O ₅	1.00 ± 0.11	0.17 ± 0.03	0.013 ± 0.002	< 0.48	0.076 ± 0.012	< 75	1.3 ± 0.3
F8	Gypsum	1.08 ± 0.10	1.98 ± 0.18	1.80 ± 0.15	1.72 ± 0.21	0.91 ± 0.07	0.96 ± 0.11	9 ± 1
F13S		1.04 ± 0.10	2.84 ± 0.23	2.11 ± 0.17	2.46 ± 0.34	0.74 ± 0.05	1.17 ± 0.16	9 ± 1
F14L	Sludges	1.00 ± 0.11	0.59 ± 0.09	0.0035 ± 0.0004	0.022 ± 0.005	0.0060 ± 0.0008	6.2 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.2
F14S		1.00 ± 0.08	1.62 ± 0.15	3.5 ± 0.3	1.54 ± 0.11	2.16 ± 0.20	0.44 ± 0.03	2.3 ± 0.5
C2	54% P ₂ O ₅	0.99 ± 0.14	N.M.	0.0043 ± 0.0005	0.059 ± 0.010	N.M.	13.8 ± 2.0	-

Table 4: Activity ratios obtained for the most representative samples collected along the whole industrial process. "L" = Liquid fraction; "S" = Solid fraction. "N.M.": Not measured. rilet

PTED USCRIPT (어어크

1

2

Sample code				
	²³⁸ U, ²³⁴ U	²²⁶ Ra	²²⁸ Th	²²⁸ Ra
AG1	223 ± 18	< 0.69	1.6 ± 0.3	< 1.4
AG2	219 ± 22	< 0.86	5.3 ± 0.6	< 1.0
AG3	204 ± 22	< 0.87	< 1.6	< 1.6
AG4	215 ± 21	< 0.83	1.7 ± 0.4	<1.6
AG5	214 ± 21	< 0.90	8.2 ± 0.7	< 1.6
AG6	217 ± 21	< 0.89	6.5 ± 0.6	< 1.6

3 4

vatr sa Table 5: Obtained activity concentrations (Bq/kg) for the PG transportation water samples collected in this work measured by gamma spectrometry.

6 7

5

1 Fig. 1







- 1 Fig. 4

















2

