



Depósito de Investigación  
Universidad de Sevilla

Depósito de investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla

<https://idus.us.es/>

“This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Elsevier Talanta on January 2020, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120189>”

1 **Comparative study on the use of three different near infrared**  
2 **spectroscopy recording methodologies for varietal discrimination of**  
3 **walnuts**

4  
5 **Short title: Varietal discrimination of walnuts using near infrared spectroscopy.**  
6

7  
8 Julio Nogales-Bueno<sup>1,2</sup>; Luis Feliz<sup>1</sup>; Berta Baca-Bocanegra<sup>2</sup>; José Miguel Hernández-Hierro<sup>2</sup>;  
9 Francisco José Heredia<sup>2</sup>; Barroso, João Manuel<sup>1</sup>; Ana Elisa Rato<sup>1\*</sup>;  
10

11 <sup>1</sup> *Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas, Universidade de*  
12 *Évora, Departamento de Fitotecnia, Apartado 94 7002 – 554 Évora, Portugal.*

13 <sup>2</sup> *Food Colour and Quality Laboratory, Área de Nutrición y Bromatología, Facultad*  
14 *de Farmacia, Universidad de Sevilla, 41012 Sevilla, Spain.*  
15

16  
17  
18 **\* Corresponding author:** Ana Elisa Rato

19 **Phone:** 266760800

20 **E-mail:** aerato@uevora.pt  
21  
22  
23

24 **Abstract**

25 Walnut fruit (*Juglans regia* L.) is an internationally well-known product with an important  
26 tradition of consumption. Its health benefits and economic importance in the food industry make  
27 this nut an interesting research topic.

28 In this feasibility study, 200 walnut samples of 5 different varieties were collected and their NIR  
29 spectra were recorded with 3 different devices: a benchtop FT-NIR spectrograph, a  
30 hyperspectral imaging camera and a portable NIR device. Discriminant analyses were applied  
31 and different methods for the varietal discrimination of walnuts were obtained and compared.

32 Up to 96 and 84% of correct identification in internal and external validation were obtained.  
33 Better results were obtained covering the entire shell surface than collecting a unique random  
34 spectrum per sample. Moreover, FT-NIR and hyperspectral produce better models than the  
35 portable NIR one.

36

37

38

39 **Keywords:** near infrared, walnuts, hyperspectral imaging, chemometrics, discriminant analysis,

40 *Juglans regia* L.

## 41 **1. Introduction**

42 The consumption of walnut fruit (*Juglans regia* L.) has a relevant importance in both health and  
43 economic fields. Health benefits of walnuts are due to their chemical composition. These nuts  
44 are rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids and tocopherols, being linoleic acid the most abundant  
45 fatty acid (Amaral, Casal, Pereira, Seabra, & Oliveira, 2003; Amaral, Cunha, Alves, Pereira,  
46 Seabra, & Oliveira, 2004; Pereira, Oliveira, Sousa, Ferreira, Bento, & Estevinho, 2008).  
47 Moreover, bioactive compounds with potential health benefits, such as dietary fibre, folic acid,  
48 polyphenolic compounds and other antioxidants, are present in walnuts (Kris-Etherton, Yu-  
49 Poth, Sabaté, Ratcliffe, Zhao, & Etherton, 1999; Larrosa, García-Conesa, Espín, & Tomás-  
50 Barberán, 2010). Therefore, it has been demonstrated that the regular consumption of walnuts is  
51 linked with a decrease of the risk of coronary heart disease, metabolic syndrome and other  
52 chronic diseases (Davis, Stonehouse, Loots, Mukuddem-Petersen, van der Westhuizen,  
53 Hanekom, et al., 2007; Kris-Etherton, Yu-Poth, Sabaté, Ratcliffe, Zhao, & Etherton, 1999).  
54 The demonstrated health benefits together with the wide tradition of this nut in the human diet  
55 (since the pre-agricultural times) and their tasty sensory attributes (Sinesio & Moneta, 1997),  
56 have created and consolidated an important international walnut market. In 2017/2018, global  
57 walnut production was estimated at 870000 metric tons (kernel basis), consolidating the  
58 growing trend observed over the last 10 years (International Nut and Dried Fruit Council (INC),  
59 2018). This positive trend has also been observed in Portugal, where walnut production reached  
60 4600 metric tons in 2017. It is in Alentejo, the south-central region of Portugal, where is located  
61 the most important production area of walnuts in Portugal, yielding approximately 2000 metric  
62 tons. However, this production region is characterized by young orchards which did not reach  
63 yet the full production potential. According to the Instituto Nacional de Estatística (INE), in  
64 2017, walnut production ranks fourth among other fruit nuts being an important agricultural  
65 commodity from Alentejo (Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2017) In this region, the  
66 commercial walnut production occupies an area of about 4000 acres and the trend is still  
67 upwards. Most orchards are family owned with an average dimension between 7,5-50 acres

68 distributed over different soil types. This orchard fragmentation leads to a heterogeneous quality  
69 of fruit production.

70 Contrary to other regions in the world where approximately two-thirds of walnut production is  
71 traded shelled, in Europe most of the walnuts production are traded in-shell and, particularly,  
72 Portuguese market for walnuts is half divided into walnuts with and without shell (Instituto  
73 Nacional de Estatística, 2017). Europeans value in-shell walnuts for considering them more  
74 natural and less processed. Moreover, in-shell walnuts can be better preserved than walnut  
75 kernels.

76 Walnuts can also be destined to the production of other products that can be consumed directly  
77 or used by food industry to improve food characteristics. As an example, the high lipid content  
78 of walnut kernel, between 50 to 75% (w/w) depending on the cultivar, encourages the use of  
79 walnuts for oil production (Amaral, Casal, Pereira, Seabra, & Oliveira, 2003; Rabadán, Pardo,  
80 Gómez, & Álvarez-Ortí, 2018). Producers have to decide how they are selling their walnuts.  
81 This decision is depending on a number of factors. Among them, walnut variety is one of the  
82 most important. Different walnut varieties have different organoleptic attributes and ratios of  
83 shell-kernel weight (Guerrero, Romero, Gou, Aleta, & Arnau, 2000; Sinesio & Moneta, 1997).  
84 For instance, varieties with a low shell-kernel ratio are usually destined to kernel sale and vice  
85 versa. Besides the difference among varieties in lipid content, the frequency of the apparition of  
86 defects, such as abnormal coloration of the skin and/or kernel, insect damage, detrimental  
87 disorders and fungal growth, is usually linked to walnut variety. Therefore, in the future the  
88 price of the walnut may be dependent largely on its variety. As a consequence, the processing  
89 and packing industries need reliable and accurate methods for identification and classification of  
90 walnut varieties.

91 Traditionally, discrimination of walnut varieties is carried out by the sensorial analysis of the  
92 kernel (Guerrero, Romero, Gou, Aleta, & Arnau, 2000; Sinesio & Moneta, 1997). This  
93 organoleptic evaluation is subjective, laborious, and time-consuming. In order to achieve  
94 accurate and automatic discrimination methods, other technologies have been gradually tested.  
95 Varietal discrimination has been tested by using some physical and chemical parameters, such

96 as walnut oil viscosity, colour data, fatty acids profile, etc. (Bou Abdallah, Baatour, Mechrgui,  
97 Herchi, Albouchi, Chalghoum, et al., 2016; Martínez, Mattea, & Maestri, 2006; Rabadán,  
98 Pardo, Gómez, & Álvarez-Ortí, 2018). Moreover, in other studies, genetic analysis has been  
99 also applied to the classification of walnuts according to their varieties (Ciarmiello, Piccirillo,  
100 Pontecorvo, De Luca, Kafantaris, & Woodrow, 2011; Ma, Zhang, & Pei, 2011; Pop, Vicol,  
101 Botu, Raica, Vahdati, & Pamfil, 2013). All the aforementioned studies used destructive methods  
102 which also need polluting chemical reagents. Conversely, Ercisli, Sayinci, Kara, Yildiz, and  
103 Ozturk (2012) and Peng, Liu, Kong, Zhang, Yu, and He (2017) used visible image analysis and  
104 laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS), respectively in walnut variety discrimination,  
105 achieving good results. Near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) has been applied for the varietal  
106 discrimination of some nuts, such as almonds, although no studies has been developed so far  
107 for varietal classification of walnuts (Teixeira & Sousa, 2019). However, NIRS has been  
108 applied to walnuts for regional identification (Gu, Zhang, Li, Ma, Tu, Song, et al., 2018) or for  
109 the control of different quantitative parameters such as moisture, protein and fats (Yi, Sun, Zhu,  
110 Liu, & Lu, 2017). In addition, it is well known the possibilities of NIRS for the varietal  
111 classification of agricultural products by the use of imaging, benchtop and/or portable devices  
112 (Lacar, Lewis, & Grierson, 2001; Nogales-Bueno, Rodríguez-Pulido, Heredia, & Hernández-  
113 Hierro, 2015; Perez, Sanchez, Cano, & Garrido, 2001).

114 Nevertheless, most of the aforementioned studies are carried out in walnut kernels, the final  
115 product whose properties make the walnut a really interesting nut. Considering the  
116 characteristics of the southern Europe walnut market and its quality requirements, it might be  
117 interesting to develop non-destructive methods to varietal discrimination of in-shell walnuts.  
118 Moreover, these methods could be also developed and applied in other parts of the world.  
119 Varietal discrimination of in-shell walnuts would be interesting for producers, regardless of the  
120 final destination of their product. The aim of this study is to develop and optimize  
121 methodologies for the discrimination of five of the main walnuts varieties present in the  
122 Portuguese market by the use of three different NIR devices: a benchtop FT-NIR spectrograph,  
123 a hyperspectral imaging camera and a portable NIR device. Moreover, different orientations in

124 spectra acquisition, spectral pre-treatments and chemometric analyses will be tested in order to  
125 obtain a robust and reliable methodology for the varietal discrimination of walnut samples. For  
126 the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the aforementioned objectives have been  
127 jointly carried out.

## 128 **2. Materials and methods**

### 129 *2.1. Walnut samples*

130 Walnut samples of ‘Chandler’, ‘Franquette’, ‘Howard’, ‘Lara’ and ‘Tulare’ varieties were  
131 collected in 2018-2019 season, from Fruteco, a fruit producer’s association with a walnut  
132 production area of around 1500 acres in Alentejo. After sample collection, faulty samples were  
133 identified and removed by mechanical methods. Then, a total of 200 in-shell walnuts were  
134 collected, 40 samples for each variety. Samples were individually identified and stored until the  
135 different spectroscopic analyses were carried out.

### 136 *2.2. Spectroscopic data acquisition*

137 Three different near infrared (NIR) spectral matrixes were obtained from 3 different devices: a  
138 benchtop FT-NIR spectrograph, a hyperspectral imaging camera and a portable NIR device. As  
139 Figure 1 describes, 6 different geometrical replicates were acquired for each walnut and device.  
140 Each individual spectrum was acquired following a longitudinal or transversal axe of the nut:  
141 two spectra were acquired in the longitudinal axe (at the top and the bottom of the walnut),  
142 whereas 4 spectra were acquired in transversal axes of the nut, by rotating it 90 degrees between  
143 each acquisition. The different spectra acquired were labelled as Top (T), Bottom (B), Lateral 1  
144 (L1), Lateral 2 (L2), Face 1 (F1) and Face 2 (F2). Therefore, a total of 1200 walnut spectra were  
145 acquired in each device (40 walnuts/variety  $\times$  5 varieties  $\times$  6 spectra/walnut).

146 For each device, spectral matrixes were presented in three different formats:

147 (1) All-spectra format: one matrix with 6 spectra for each walnut, i.e., all the spectra acquired  
148 in each device. A total of 1200 spectral samples per device.

149 (2) Average format: one matrix with the average spectrum of each walnut. A total of 200  
150 spectral samples per device.

151 (3) Random format: one matrix with a randomly selected spectrum of each walnut. This format  
152 tries to imitate the random spectra acquisition in a separation line, where the orientation of  
153 the walnut can not be easily controlled. A total of 200 spectral samples per device.

#### 154 2.2.1. Benchtop FT-NIR device.

155 An FT-NIR spectrometer (MPA, Opus Bruker, Germany) was used for the acquisition of the  
156 FT-NIR spectra of the walnuts in the range of  $10499.34\text{-}3594.93\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $952.44\text{-}2781.70\text{ nm}$ ).  
157 Absorbance spectra were obtained after a total of 32 scans with an average resolution of  $16\text{ cm}^{-1}$   
158 following a modification of the method described in Milinovic, Garcia, Rato, and Cabrita  
159 (2019). The background signal was corrected before each walnut spectra collection. The Opus  
160 v.7.5 software (Bruker Optik GmbH, Germany) was employed for spectral data collection.

#### 161 2.2.2. Hyperspectral imaging.

162 Hyperspectral images were acquired following a modification of the method described in  
163 Nogales-Bueno, Hernández-Hierro, Rodríguez-Pulido, and Heredia (2014). Briefly, walnuts  
164 were disposed in groups of 5 samples under the hyperspectral camera. This device consists of a  
165 Xenics<sup>®</sup> XEVA-USB InGaAs camera ( $320 \times 256$  pixels; Xenics Infrared Solutions, Inc.,  
166 Leuven, Belgium), a spectrograph (Specim ImSpector N17E Enhanced; Spectral Imaging Ltd.,  
167 Oulu, Finland) covering the spectral range between 900 and 1700 nm (spectral resolution of  
168 3.25 nm), two 70W tungsten iodine halogen lamps (Prilux<sup>®</sup>, Barcelona, Spain), a mirror scanner  
169 (Spectral Imaging Ltd., Oulu, Finland) and a computer system. Hyperspectral images were  
170 recorded using a 50 Hz frame rate and an exposure time of 9 ms using the instrument  
171 acquisition software SpectralDAQ v. 3.62 (Spectral Imaging Ltd., Oulu, Finland).

172 Reflectance spectra were corrected by means of a two point calibration. For that, a white  
173 reference spectrum (Labsphere Inc., North Sutton, USA) and a dark current spectrum were  
174 acquired in each collection session. Then, a segmentation procedure was applied to the images  
175 in order to extract the spectral information of the samples and remove the information of the



176 background. This procedure allowed extracting the average spectrum of each walnut in the  
177 image. Finally, a number of noisy wavelengths at both extremes of the spectral range were  
178 identified and only the subrange 950-1650 nm was transformed to absorbance values and saved.  
179 Segmentation was carried out in the software Matlab (R2018a; TheMathWorks, Inc., MA,  
180 USA) and SPSS 25.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### 181 2.2.3. Portable MicroNIR device

182 The third spectral matrix was obtained by means of the use of a portable MicroNIR device  
183 (VIAVI, Santa Rosa, CA, USA). This device allows the acquisition of spectral samples in situ.  
184 It can be controlled with a laptop or a tablet, which is also the energy source for the MicroNIR.  
185 Six spectra were acquired for each sample following a modification of the method described in  
186 Baca-Bocanegra, Nogales-Bueno, García-Estévez, Escribano-Bailón, Hernández-Hierro, and  
187 Heredia (2019). Spectra were recorded using 9.3 ms as integration time and 100 as scan count.  
188 Background and reference spectra were acquired for each walnut and sample spectra were  
189 automatically corrected by the instrument acquisition software, Micro-NIR™ Pro v.2.2 (VIAVI,  
190 Santa Rosa, CA, USA). Finally, spectral matrix were constructed and saved in absorbance  
191 values.

## 192 2.3. Chemometrics

### 193 2.3.1. Spectral pre-treatments

194 Several spectral treatments were tested in each spectral matrix: multiplicative scattering  
195 correction (MSC), standard normal variate (SNV), detrend and different derivatives. These pre-  
196 treatments were carried out by means of the statistical software The Unscrambler® X (CAMO  
197 Software AS., Oslo, Norway) with the aim of removing the undesirable effects that light  
198 scattering, sample texture or geometry have in the spectral data.

### 199 2.3.2. Principal component analysis (PCA)

200 The existence of patterns in the different NIR matrixes was tested with the application of PCA.  
201 This qualitative analysis allows obtaining information about the latent structure of the spectral

202 matrix and it is an important source of knowledge to evaluate the suitability of posterior  
203 discriminant methods. PCA was carried out in The Unscrambler® X software.

204 Previously to the application of PCA to any spectral matrix, Mahalanobis distance (H) was  
205 evaluated for each spectra with the software Win ISI (v1.50) (Infrasoft International, LLC, Port.  
206 Matilda, PA, USA). Samples were ranked in order of their H distance from the mean spectrum  
207 of the entire sample set, and the  $H > 3$  criterion was applied for spectral outliers detection and  
208 they were removed from the spectral matrix.

### 209 2.3.3. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

210 Linear discriminant analysis was applied in several occasions with two different purposes: (1) to  
211 discriminate between walnut and background in the segmentation of hyperspectral images and  
212 (2) to discriminate spectral samples between the different walnut varieties.

213 (1) For hyperspectral image segmentation 60 walnut and 60 background spectra were manually  
214 extracted from the hypercubes with ENVI 4.7 (ITT Corporation, White Plains, N.Y., USA)  
215 and saved in a spectral matrix. These 120 spectra were exported to SPSS 25.0 and a LDA  
216 was carried out. NIR hyperspectral wavelengths were used as dependent variables and a  
217 categorical variable with the membership of the spectra to walnut or background was used  
218 as factor. In order to select the minimum number of wavelengths for the development of  
219 the discriminant method, LDA was constructed with the stepwise feature and setting the  
220 probability of entrance of a new variable in 0.001.

221 (2) The discriminations of walnut varieties were carried out with the spectral matrixes obtained  
222 from the three NIR devices. These analyses were developed in The Unscrambler® X. This  
223 software allows developing a PCA from the spectral data and then using the PCA scores as  
224 dependent variables of the LDA. In that way, a low number of variables are introduced in  
225 the LDA algorithm without a high loss of spectral information. Walnut variety was used as  
226 categoric variable. In order to obtain a quantitative measure of the predictive capability of  
227 the developed methods, the spectral matrixes were randomly divided into calibration and  
228 validation sets. For each variety, 75% of the samples were used for the development of

229 discrimination methods and internal validation, while the remaining 25% were allocated to  
230 external validation.

### 231 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 232 *3.1. Segmentation of hyperspectral images*

233 From the 215 wavelengths introduced in the stepwise LDA, only 3 wavelengths were retained  
234 and used in the discrimination model generated (1007.91, 1213.17 and 1363.41 nm). Following  
235 a modification of the method described in Rodríguez-Pulido, Hernández-Hierro, Nogales-  
236 Bueno, Gordillo, González-Miret, and Heredia (2014), the Fisher discriminant functions were  
237 introduced in a Matlab script. This script evaluates each pixel in the hyperspectral images and  
238 identifies them as walnut or background, i.e., it creates a segmentation mask for each image.  
239 Then, background was discarded, the number of objects (walnuts) in each mask was  
240 automatically counted and numbered by the script and the average spectrum of each walnut was  
241 calculated and saved. Figure 2 shows the whole process, from image acquisition to the  
242 extraction of the average spectra of each walnut in the image.

#### 243 *3.2. Principal component analysis. Spectral structure of NIR matrixes*

244 Different spectral pre-treatments were applied to the FT-NIR, hyperspectral and MicroNIR  
245 matrixes. SNV combined with a second derivative were the most efficient pre-treatments for all  
246 matrixes. In order to check if the different geometrical replicates can be part of a homogeneous  
247 spectral matrix, a PCA was applied. It could be seen that for each device, geometrical replicates  
248 are overlapped in the space defined by the first and second principal components (PCs) (Fig.  
249 S1). Spectral outliers were identified according the H criterion: 52, 38 and 47 outliers were  
250 respectively identified in the FT-NIR, hyperspectral and MicroNIR matrixes. In any case,  
251 spectral outliers represent less than 5% of the corresponding sample set.

252 In order to look for variety trends among the spectral data, two different approaches were  
253 carried out for the three devices: (1) for each walnut, a single spectrum was calculated by  
254 averaging its six geometrical replicates. (2) For each walnut, a single spectrum was randomly

255 selected from its six geometrical replicates. In both cases, a 200-spectrum matrix was obtained  
256 for each device.

257 (1) Spectral outliers were identified and removed following the H criterion. Three, 1 and 6  
258 outliers were respectively found in the FT-NIR, hyperspectral and MicroNIR matrixes.  
259 Then PCA were applied and the scores of the PCs were plotted. Figure 3 (a, b, c) shows the  
260 representations where a higher varietal trend can be observed for each device. It can be  
261 observed that there are partial separations between some varieties. Among all NIR  
262 spectroscopes the hyperspectral device allows obtaining a better separation between  
263 varieties. As can be observed in Fig. 3b, 'Franquette' and 'Howard' varieties are almost  
264 completely separated from other samples.

265 (2) In the case of random matrixes, 9, 1 and 8 spectra were identified as spectral outliers and  
266 removed from their respective matrixes. New PCA were developed and score plots were  
267 created (Fig. 3 d, e and f). Similar trends were found for the three spectral matrixes,  
268 although the trends were worse defined in this case.

269 Therefore, PCA can help to find some trends among walnut samples. The PCs represent the  
270 major part of the spectral variability of the original sample set and some of this variability is  
271 linked with the differences among different varieties. However, these trends do not allow  
272 visualizing a complete separation of all the varieties. PCA is an unsupervised dimensionality  
273 reduction method that is able to recognize underlying patterns. In order to look for underlying  
274 class structure how well and what causes this separation, supervised pattern recognition  
275 methods, such as LDA, are more adequate. In addition, it has been checked that spectral  
276 variability is not linked with the different geometrical replicates recorded, i.e., it does not  
277 matter the part of the walnut shell in which the spectra is recorded (Fig. S1). PCA performed on  
278 the data from random replicates produced similar trends than those performed on the data from  
279 the average walnut spectra (Fig. 3). These findings might have important consequences in the  
280 future development of spectral methods to control in-shell walnut in the field or industry.

281 Moreover, taking into account the results obtained in the different PCA developed for the 3  
282 devices, it seems that hyperspectral imaging system can produce better results than FT-NIR and  
283 MicroNIR. Due to the rounded but irregular shape and hard texture of the walnut shell, it is  
284 difficult to perfectly adapt the measure port of these types of spot spectrometers to the shell.  
285 Therefore, FT-NIR and MicroNIR measures can be partially contaminated with external light.  
286 This problem is avoided in the image system by the segmentation process, which automatically  
287 selects only walnut pixels.

### 288 *3.3. Linear discriminant analysis. Varietal discrimination*

289 With the aim of confirm the findings of the section 3.2, LDA were applied to the walnut spectral  
290 data to obtain discrimination methods for an easy classification of the different varieties.  
291 Spectral matrixes were randomly divided into calibration and validation sets and the respective  
292 spectral outliers were removed from these sets. Previously to the development of the  
293 discriminant analysis, the statistical software carried out PCAs with the calibration data. Then, it  
294 automatically selected the scores of the principal components obtained and developed the  
295 discriminant analysis from these variables.

296 Table 1 shows the results obtained: the number and the percentage of samples correctly  
297 classified in internal and external validation of the methods developed from the average walnut  
298 spectra and from the randomly selected spectra. Quite good results were obtained in any case,  
299 although methods developed from average spectra were more accurate than those obtained from  
300 the random samples. Random selection tries to imitate the random spectra acquisition in a  
301 separation line, where the orientation of the walnut can not be easily controlled. Taking that into  
302 account, the results obtained in the case of randomly selected samples are really interesting, i.e.,  
303 88 and 70% for FT-NIR and 92 and 74% for hyperspectral imaging of correct classification in  
304 internal and external validation respectively. The MicroNIR device produced somewhat worse  
305 results that could perhaps be improved by using a different measurement port with better  
306 adaptation to the surface of the walnut. It is also necessary to take into account other premises  
307 such as the preliminary nature of this study and the possibility of some minor contaminations of

308 each varietal sample set by walnuts of different varieties during the collection process. This last  
309 premise is due to the characteristics of walnut collection methodology. In general, walnuts are  
310 collected from the ground and it is possible that fruits of different varieties, coming from  
311 neighbour trees, are collected by mistake.

312 Similar deductions can be inferred when LDA results are expressed in form of sensitivity and  
313 specificity of the models (Fig. 4). In the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, it can  
314 be appreciate that the major difference between the models developed from average and random  
315 spectra is a loss of sensitivity. There are sensitivity losses of 5 and 11% in internal and external  
316 validations whereas in the case of specificity, these losses only reach 1 and 3% respectively.  
317 Therefore, in random models the number of false negatives, samples that belong to a specific  
318 variety but that are mistakenly identified as a different variety, is quite bigger than in the  
319 average models. However, in random and average models, the number of false positives,  
320 samples that belong to different varieties but that are mistakenly identified as the variety  
321 studied, is similar.

## 322 **Conclusions**

323 Near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy is an interesting and suitable technique for the study of in-  
324 shell walnuts. Different spectroscopic devices have been tested for NIR spectra acquisition and  
325 it has been developed methods for walnut varietal discrimination. In order to achieve good  
326 results, it is recommendable to obtain most of the spectral information of the sample. However,  
327 based on the preliminary results of this study, it could be possible to obtain suitable methods for  
328 varietal discrimination starting from individual and random walnut spectra. Moreover, FT-NIR  
329 and hyperspectral devices seem to be the more useful tools for varietal discrimination than  
330 MicroNIR portable device. Nevertheless, more comprehensive studies should be developed in  
331 order to obtain more robust and accurate methods.

## 332 **Acknowledgments**

333 The authors acknowledge the collaboration of FRUTECO the producer's association for  
334 supplying samples and the assistance of the technical staff. This study was supported by FEDER  
335 and by National funds through the Programa Operacional Regional ALENTEJO 2020 (ALT20-

336 03-0145- FEDER-000005 – Eficiencia da tecnologia NIR para avaliação da maturação e  
337 qualidade de frutos). This work was also funded by National Funds through FCT – Foundation  
338 for Science and Technology under the Project UID/AGR/00115/2013.

339

## 340 **References**

- 341 Amaral, J. S., Casal, S., Pereira, J. A., Seabra, R. M., & Oliveira, B. P. P. (2003). Determination  
342 of Sterol and Fatty Acid Compositions, Oxidative Stability, and Nutritional Value of  
343 Six Walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) Cultivars Grown in Portugal. *Journal of Agricultural and*  
344 *Food Chemistry*, 51(26), 7698-7702.
- 345 Amaral, J. S., Cunha, S. C., Alves, M. R., Pereira, J. A., Seabra, R. M., & Oliveira, B. P. P.  
346 (2004). Triacylglycerol Composition of Walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) Cultivars:  
347 Characterization by HPLC-ELSD and Chemometrics. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
348 *Chemistry*, 52(26), 7964-7969.
- 349 Baca-Bocanegra, B., Nogales-Bueno, J., García-Estévez, I., Escribano-Bailón, M. T.,  
350 Hernández-Hierro, J. M., & Heredia, F. J. (2019). Screening of Wine Extractable Total  
351 Phenolic and Ellagitannin Contents in Revalorized Cooperage By-products: Evaluation  
352 by Micro-NIRS Technology. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 12(3), 477-485.
- 353 Bou Abdallah, I., Baatour, O., Mechrgui, K., Herchi, W., Albouchi, A., Chalghoum, A., &  
354 Boukhchina, S. (2016). Essential oil composition of walnut tree (*Juglans regia* L.)'  
355 leaves from Tunisia. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 28(6), 545-550.
- 356 Ciarmiello, L. F., Piccirillo, P., Pontecorvo, G., De Luca, A., Kafantaris, I., & Woodrow, P.  
357 (2011). A PCR based SNPs marker for specific characterization of English walnut  
358 (*Juglans regia* L.) cultivars. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 38(2), 1237-1249.
- 359 Davis, L., Stonehouse, W., Loots, D. T., Mukuddem-Petersen, J., van der Westhuizen, F. H.,  
360 Hanekom, S. M., & Jerling, J. C. (2007). The effects of high walnut and cashew nut  
361 diets on the antioxidant status of subjects with metabolic syndrome. *European Journal*  
362 *of Nutrition*, 46(3), 155-164.
- 363 Ercisli, S., Sayinci, B., Kara, M., Yildiz, C., & Ozturk, I. (2012). Determination of size and  
364 shape features of walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) cultivars using image processing. *Scientia*  
365 *Horticulturae*, 133, 47-55.
- 366 Gu, X., Zhang, L., Li, L., Ma, N., Tu, K., Song, L., & Pan, L. (2018). Multisource fingerprinting  
367 for region identification of walnuts in Xinjiang combined with chemometrics. *Journal*  
368 *of Food Process Engineering*, 41(4), e12687.
- 369 Guerrero, L., Romero, A., Gou, P., Aleta, N., & Arnau, J. (2000). Perfil sensorial de diferentes  
370 muestras de nuez (*Juglans regia* L.)/Sensory profiles of different walnuts (*Juglans regia*  
371 L.). *Food Science and Technology International*, 6(3), 207-216.
- 372 Instituto Nacional de Estatística, I. P. (2017). Estatísticas Agrícolas 2017. In I. P. Instituto  
373 Nacional de Estatística (Ed.), 2018 ed.). Lisboa, Portugal: Instituto Nacional de  
374 Estatística, I.P.
- 375 International Nut and Dried Fruit Council (INC). (2018). Nut and dried fruit statistical  
376 yearbook. In). Reus (Spain).
- 377 Kris-Etherton, P. M., Yu-Poth, S., Sabaté, J., Ratcliffe, H. E., Zhao, G., & Etherton, T. D.  
378 (1999). Nuts and their bioactive constituents: effects on serum lipids and other factors  
379 that affect disease risk. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 70(3), 504s-511s.

- 380 Lacar, F. M., Lewis, M. M., & Grierson, I. T. (2001). *Use of hyperspectral reflectance for*  
381 *discrimination between grape varieties*. New York: Ieee.
- 382 Larrosa, M., García-Conesa, M. T., Espín, J. C., & Tomás-Barberán, F. A. (2010).  
383 Ellagitannins, ellagic acid and vascular health. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 31(6),  
384 513-539.
- 385 Ma, Q., Zhang, J., & Pei, D. (2011). Genetic Analysis of Walnut Cultivars in China Using  
386 Fluorescent Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism. *136*(6), 422.
- 387 Martínez, M. L., Mattea, M. A., & Maestri, D. M. (2006). Varietal and crop year effects on lipid  
388 composition of walnut (*Juglans regia*) genotypes. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists'*  
389 *Society*, 83(9), 791-796.
- 390 Milinovic, J., Garcia, R., Rato, A. E., & Cabrita, M. J. (2019). Rapid Assessment of  
391 Monovarietal Portuguese Extra Virgin Olive Oil's (EVOO's) Fatty Acids by Fourier-  
392 Transform Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-NIRS). *European Journal of Lipid Science*  
393 *and Technology*, 121(3), 1800392.
- 394 Nogales-Bueno, J., Hernández-Hierro, J. M., Rodríguez-Pulido, F. J., & Heredia, F. J. (2014).  
395 Determination of technological maturity of grapes and total phenolic compounds of  
396 grape skins in red and white cultivars during ripening by near infrared hyperspectral  
397 image: A preliminary approach. *Food Chemistry*, 152, 586-591.
- 398 Nogales-Bueno, J., Rodríguez-Pulido, F. J., Heredia, F. J., & Hernández-Hierro, J. M. (2015).  
399 Comparative study on the use of anthocyanin profile, color image analysis and near-  
400 infrared hyperspectral imaging as tools to discriminate between four autochthonous red  
401 grape cultivars from La Rioja (Spain). *Talanta*, 131, 412-416.
- 402 Peng, J., Liu, F., Kong, W., Zhang, C., Yu, K., & He, Y. (2017). Rapid Identification of  
403 Varieties of Walnut Powder Based on Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy.  
404 *Transactions of the ASABE*, 60(1), 19-28.
- 405 Pereira, J. A., Oliveira, I., Sousa, A., Ferreira, I. C., Bento, A., & Estevinho, L. (2008).  
406 Bioactive properties and chemical composition of six walnut (*Juglans regia* L.)  
407 cultivars. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46(6), 2103-2111.
- 408 Perez, D. P., Sanchez, M. T., Cano, G., & Garrido, A. (2001). Authentication of green asparagus  
409 varieties by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *Journal of Food Science*, 66(2),  
410 323-327.
- 411 Pop, I. F., Vicol, A. C., Botu, M., Raica, P. A., Vahdati, K., & Pamfil, D. (2013). Relationships  
412 of walnut cultivars in a germplasm collection: Comparative analysis of phenotypic and  
413 molecular data. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 153, 124-135.
- 414 Rabadán, A., Pardo, J. E., Gómez, R., & Álvarez-Ortí, M. (2018). Evaluation of physical  
415 parameters of walnut and walnut products obtained by cold pressing. *LWT*, 91, 308-314.
- 416 Rodríguez-Pulido, F. J., Hernández-Hierro, J. M., Nogales-Bueno, J., Gordillo, B., González-  
417 Miret, M. L., & Heredia, F. J. (2014). A novel method for evaluating flavanols in grape  
418 seeds by near infrared hyperspectral imaging. *Talanta*, 122(0), 145-150.
- 419 Sinesio, F., & Moneta, E. (1997). Sensory evaluation of walnut fruit. *Food Quality and*  
420 *Preference*, 8(1), 35-43.
- 421 Teixeira, A. M., & Sousa, C. (2019). A review on the application of vibrational spectroscopy to  
422 the chemistry of nuts. *Food Chemistry*, 277, 713-724.
- 423 Yi, J., Sun, Y., Zhu, Z., Liu, N., & Lu, J. (2017). Near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy for the  
424 prediction of chemical composition in walnut kernel. *International Journal of Food*  
425 *Properties*, 20(7), 1633-1642.
- 426
- 427



428 **Figure captions**

429 **Figure 1.** Graphical description of the spectroscopic data acquisition.

430 **Figure 2.** Hyperspectral image segmentation. Description of the whole procedure and software  
431 used in each step: image acquisition, calibration, linear discriminant analysis, segmentation,  
432 numbering and spectra extraction.

433 **Figure 3.** Score plot of the principal components that show varietal differences in a better way.  
434 PCAs were performed from the following spectral data: a) average FT-NIR spectra, b) average  
435 hyperspectral image spectra, c) average MicroNIR spectra, d) random FT-NIR spectra, e)  
436 random hyperspectral image spectra and f) random MicroNIR spectra.

437 **Figure 4.** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of different LDA methods developed  
438 for average and random spectra.