



Depósito de Investigación
Universidad de Sevilla

Depósito de investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla

<https://idus.us.es/>

“This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Elsevier in Food Chemistry on 15 June 2021, available at:
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129014>”

1 **Elucidation of the 3D structure of grape seed 7S globulin and its interaction with**
2 **malvidin 3-glucoside: a molecular modeling approach**

3

4 Francisco Chamizo-González, Belén Gordillo*, and Francisco J. Heredia.

5 Food Colour and Quality Laboratory, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Sevilla,

6 41012 Sevilla, Spain

7

8 Francisco Chamizo-González: fchamizo@us.es

9 Belén Gordillo: bgordillo@us.es

10 Francisco J. Heredia: heredia@us.es

11

12 * Corresponding author:

13 Belén Gordillo

14 Food Colour & Quality Lab., Área de Nutrición y Bromatología. Facultad de Farmacia.

15 Universidad de Sevilla. 41012-Sevilla, Spain

16 Tel.: +34 955420938

17 e-mail: bgordillo@us.es

18 **ABSTRACT**

19 Plant proteins are biopolymers with interesting technological applications for the food
20 industry due to their ability to interact with phenolic compounds such as anthocyanins.
21 The 3D structure of the 7S globulin from grape seed was elucidated for the first time
22 using a homology model. The constructed 3D model showed that grape seed 7S
23 globulin is rich in α -helices and β -sheets stabilized by six disulfide bridges. The
24 interaction with the major grape anthocyanin malvidin-3-glucoside was also assessed by
25 Docking and Molecular Dynamic simulation. Theoretical results demonstrated that 7S
26 globulin interacts with Mv3glc through hydrogen, alkyl and π -alkyl bonds and the
27 flavylium cation is oriented towards a hydrophobic region of the protein, being
28 protected from hydration. Results provide valuable insights for understanding the
29 mechanisms involved in the molecular interaction of grape anthocyanins with grape
30 seed proteins that could be relevant to use them as potential color protecting agents in
31 food industry applications.

32

33

34 **Keywords:** Grape seed; 7S globulin; Molecular modeling; Docking; Anthocyanin.

35 1. INTRODUCTION

36 Plant proteins have gained great interest for the food industry as alternative biopolymers
37 to animal-based proteins and synthetic counterparts due to their bio- and techno-
38 functional properties, as well as to safety, health, and sustainability considerations
39 (Paramita, Panyoyai, & Kasapis, 2020). In addition to their nutritional value, proteins
40 are used in food applications to modulate textural and sensory attributes such as
41 viscosity, gelation, elasticity, plasticity, emulsification, aroma, flavor, or color (among
42 others) contributing to food quality (Tomadoni, Capello, Ayala, Valencia & Gutierrez,
43 2020).

44 The capability of proteins to modulate these properties is highly dependent on their
45 structural features, their ability to form specific three-dimensional (3D)
46 configurations/conformations, and the interaction with other molecules present in food
47 matrices. The elucidation of the plant protein morphology and the molecular
48 understanding of the protein-ligand interactions is, therefore, crucial to define their
49 potential uses and technological applications. Variations in the molecular features and
50 surface properties due to different proportion of the α -helices and β -sheets, number of
51 disulfide bridges, binding cavities, hydrophobicity, accessibility of amino acid residues
52 (mainly prolyl residues and proline repeats) are important factors for understanding the
53 protein structure-function relationships (Yildirim-Elikoglu & Erdem, 2018).

54 A variety of plant proteins from soybean, pea, lentil, wheat gluten, rice or potato have
55 demonstrated high technological value for their utilization in wine fining related to their
56 capability to interact with phenolic compounds (Marangon, Vincenzi, & Curioni, 2019).
57 It has been described that most of these protein-phenolic interactions occurs by non-
58 covalent forces (hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic and ionic interactions, and van der Waals
59 forces), which depend on the protein and phenolic structures and the medium conditions

60 (Ozdal et al., 2013; Ulrich, 2017). Regarding the protein structure, Granato, Piano, Nasi,
61 Ferranti, Iametti, & Bonomi (2010), confirmed that plant-based proteins having
62 different surface hydrophobicities showed different affinity and selectivity in binding
63 different forms of phenolics. These variations imply that plant proteins of different
64 origin may be more or less effective as stabilizing agents of food components relevant
65 to the sensorial properties (bitterness, aroma or color).

66 Besides the aforementioned sources of plant proteins, special attention has been paid
67 recently toward the use of protein fining agents endogenous to grapes as seed storage
68 proteins. However, despite its promising technological value, the three-dimensional
69 structure of grape seed proteins and the mechanism of the molecular interaction with
70 specific wine phenolics, is still unknown. At this respect, Gianazza et al., (1989) and
71 Gazzola, Vincenzi, Gastaldon, Tolin, Pasini, & Curioni (2014) reported that one of the
72 main and most abundant storage proteins of grape seed endosperm (*Vitis vinifera*) is the
73 7S globulin. In this sense, the assessment of the molecular mechanism involved in the
74 interaction between the grape seed 7S globulin and the color form of grape
75 anthocyanins (flavylium cation) could be of interest to the food industry in general and
76 to the winemaking sector in particular; as has been reported for other animal proteins
77 (Fu, Belwal, He, Xu, Li, & Luo, 2020).

78 For this purpose, firstly, the 3D structure of the grape seed 7S globulin and other surface
79 properties should be well understood.

80 In general, the three-dimensional molecular structure of a protein can be experimentally
81 resolved by X-ray or NMR crystallography or a theoretically obtained by computational
82 techniques such as homology modeling (Salmaso & Moro, 2018). In this way,
83 computational chemistry are innovative tools for the development of theoretical models
84 that allow the characterization of the three-dimensional structures of the protein and the

85 prediction of molecular interactions with other compounds of interest and therefore
86 deepen technological functionality.

87 Molecular docking is one of the molecular coupling tools that aim to predict the
88 predominant binding model of a ligand with a protein of known three-dimensional
89 structure (Morris & Lim-Wilby, 2008). Molecular docking methods considers several
90 possible conformations and orientations of the ligand within the protein binding. By
91 using scoring functions, it searches for high-dimensional spaces and correctly ranks
92 candidate dockings binding models. For this reason, the elucidation of the three-
93 dimensional molecular structure of a target protein is a necessary condition to achieve a
94 successful docking method, as the docking itself (Khatoon, Pandey, & Prajapati, 2017).

95 Molecular coupling is mainly composed of two stages: conformation/orientation search
96 and a scoring function, which associates a score with each predicted pose (Huang &
97 Zou, 2010). The sampling process must effectively search for the conformational space
98 described by the free energy landscape, where the energy, in the coupling, is
99 approximated by the scoring function. The scoring function should be able to associate
100 the native bound conformation with the global minimum of the energy hypersurface.

101 Molecular dynamics (MD) is a type of molecular computational simulation that allows
102 the behavior or evolution of a system (physical, chemical or biological) to be analyzed
103 over time. It is a method to generate the trajectories of a system composed of N particles
104 by direct numerical integration of the equations of Newtons of motion, with
105 specifications of an interatomic interaction potential of adequate initial and boundary
106 conditions. MD is a modeling and simulation method at the atomistic level when the
107 particles in question are the atoms that make up the material or system of study.

108 The aim of this study is to establish, through a computational study, the theoretical
109 structure of the grape seed 7S globulin from *Vitis vinifera* and to obtain a first approach

110 that can demonstrate its interaction with the major anthocyanin of red grapes and wines
111 in order to provide new insights to potential applications of grape seed proteins.

112 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

113 **2.1. Protein template search and selection of grape seed 7S globulin**

114 The amino acid sequence of the 7S globulin basic from grape seed (*Vitis vinifera*) was
115 obtained from the UNIPROTKB database (Entry: A0A438KKJ2). Grape seed 7S
116 globulin was subjected to a sequence similarity search using the servers PSI-BLAST
117 (Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990), HHpred (Söding, Biegert, & Lupas,
118 2005) and Phyre (Kelley & Sternberg, 2009). This procedure allows finding the most
119 similar protein sequence to use as a template for the homology modeling. Results of the
120 three servers were compared and the best template was selected based on the following
121 quality parameters: percentage of sequence, percentage of sequence identity, percentage
122 of coverage and the E value.

123 **2.2. Homology modeling of the grape seed 7S globulin**

124 Homology modeling was used to construct the three-dimensional (3D) structure of the
125 grape seed 7S globulin. An extracellular dermal glycoprotein from carrot (EDGP,
126 glycoside hydrolase) was selected as template among the structures available at the
127 Protein Database (PDB ID: 3VLA; resolution 0.95Å) for the model building, according
128 to Yoshizawa, Shimizu, Hirano, Sato, & Hashimoto (2012). The 7S globulin protein
129 sequence was aligned with the 3VLA protein sequence using the software Clustal W
130 (Thompson, Higgins, & Gibson 1994). Then, the protein sequence alignment was used
131 as input file to create the 3D protein model of the grape seed 7S globulin by using the
132 SWISS-MODEL tool provided by the EXPASY server (Waterhouse et al., 2018).

133 The validation parameters used for assessing the accuracy of the predicted model and its
134 stereo chemical properties were the Global Model Quality Estimate (GMQE)

135 (Waterhouse et al., 2018) and the Qualitative Model Energy Analysis (QMEAN)
136 (Benkert, Biasini, & Schwede, 2010). The resulting GMQE score (expressed as values
137 between 0 and 1) indicates the expected precision of the built model with the alignment
138 and template, and the target coverage. GMQE scores close to 1 indicates greater
139 reliability of the built model. QMEAN is a composite scoring function assessing the
140 major geometrical aspects of protein structures. Together with the QMEAN, the
141 QMEAN-Z score provides an estimation of the conservation degree of the structural
142 characteristics obtained in the model on a global scale (Benkert et al., 2010). It indicates
143 if the QMEAN score obtained in the model would be comparable to the expected values
144 of similarly sized experimental structures. QMEAN Z scores close to 0 indicate good
145 agreement between the predicted model structure and similarly sized experimental
146 structures while scores lower or around to -4.0 indicate low-quality models.
147 In addition, Procheck, Verify3D, and Errat servers were used to assess the quality of the
148 3D obtained structure.

149 **2.3. Model refinement and validation structure of the grape seed 7S globulin**

150 The energy minimization of the obtained model was performed using the Gromacs 5.0.7
151 software (Hess, Kutzner, Van der Spoel, & Lindahl 2008) with the force field OPLS-
152 AA.

153 Grape seed 7S globulin was solvated in 1.0 nm cubic boxes by using single point charge
154 water molecules, which were then replaced with counterions for electroneutrality. The
155 minimization of energy was carried out in 50.000 interaction steps (Lemkul, 2018). The
156 minimized energy structure was evaluated using the Procheck, Verify-3D and Errat
157 servers.

158 **2.4. Protein-Ligand interactions by Docking and Molecular Dynamic (MD)** 159 **simulation**

160 Docking studies were performed to predict the putative binding of malvidin-3-O-
161 glucoside (Mv3glc, the major grape anthocyanin) as ligand to the grape seed 7S
162 globulin constructed model. AutoDock Vina software (Trott & Olson, 2010) was used
163 for the analysis.

164 The initial Mv3glc structure was obtained from the PubChem data base and the 2D and
165 3D structure was constructed with the Avogadro software (Bolton,Wang, Thiessen, &
166 Bryant, 2008).

167 The 2D structure of Mv3glc was obtained from the PubChem database (Kim et al.,
168 2018) and was optimized to a minimum of energy using the MMFF9 force field. The
169 structure's hydrogens were then adjusted for a pH of 3.5. This was done with Avogadro
170 software (Hanwell, Curtis, Lonie, Vandermeersch, Zurek, & Hutchison, 2012).

171 Autodock Tools (Morris et al., 2009) was used to generate PDBQT files of receptor and
172 ligands from their traditional PDB files to be used for docking in the AutoDock Vina.

173 PDBQT file is an extended PDB format of coordinate file that includes atomic partial
174 charges. Hydrogen atoms were added to the macromolecule and partial atomic charges
175 were calculated. A grid box with size of $56 \times 78 \times 54$ points was used in the
176 configuration file of the Autodock Vina software to cover the entire protein.

177 The grid box was centered at the coordinate of X: -9.285, Y: 45.567, Z: 4.049. The
178 positions of the protein atoms were kept fixed and the torsion angle of the glycosidic
179 bond of the ligand was rotated until the rigid docking in software AutoDock Vina
180 allowed the favorable docking. Other docking parameters were set to default. The
181 docking results from AutoDock Vina were validate using Autodock 4 docking software
182 (Morris et al., 2009).

183 The binding free energy value (ΔG) obtained from the docking simulation was used to
184 calculate the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_i) of the complex protein-ligand using
185 the following Equation:

186 Eq. (1) $K_i = e^{-\Delta G/RT}$

187 Where, ΔG is the binding energy (cal/mol), R is the gas constant (1.986 cal/mol*K), T is
188 the temperature (298K), and e is the Euler number.

189 To evaluate the stability of the interaction between the Mv3glc and the *Vitis vinifera* 7S
190 globulin, molecular dynamic (MD) simulations were carried out using the Gromacs
191 software. Mv3glc topology file was obtained from the CGenFF server
192 (Vanommeslaeghe et al., 2010)

193 The protein-ligand complex was solvated into a box of explicit single point charge
194 (SPC) water molecules and simulated using periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and
195 particle mesh Ewald sum (PME) to improve electrostatic interactions. System power
196 was minimized using 1000 steps. Two equilibrium stages of 100 ps each one were
197 performed to reach the optimal conditions of the pressure and temperature. The
198 reference pressure and temperature were 1 bar and 300 K (GROMACS 5.0.7 package).
199 After the two balancing phases completed, the desired temperature and pressure was
200 adjusted by the system. Then, a MD simulation was run 10 ns with a 2-fs lics time step
201 algorithm. The resulting trajectory was analyzed using GROMACS earnings. The Root
202 means square deviation parameter (RMSD) was used to calculate how much the
203 position of the ligand varies relative to the protein during the simulation time.

204 **2.5. Analysis of the grape seed 7S globulin structure and interaction studies**

205 Different softwares were used to visualize and analyze the results obtained in the
206 protein modeling and in the docking and molecular dynamics studies. UCSF Chimera
207 software was used to illustrate the 3D models (Pettersen et al., 2004). Two-dimensional

208 (2D) illustrations of Mv3glc sites interacting with the 7S globulin amino acids were
209 made using the Discovery Studio.

210 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

211 **3.1. Model building of grape seed 7S globulin protein**

212 The homology modeling method was applied to construct a 7S globulin protein atomic
213 resolution model from the primary amino acid sequence and the crystal structure of a
214 defined homologous protein. The primary grape seed 7S globulin protein sequence was
215 sent to the PSI-BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990), HHpred (Söding et al., 2005) and Phyre
216 (Kelley & Sternberg, 2009) servers to identify the possible homologous structure to use
217 as a template for the homology modeling.

218 Table 1 shows the results of the percentages of identity and coverage, as well as the E
219 values for the different possible homologous protein structures to grape seed 7S
220 globulin protein. Possible homologous protein structures given by the servers included a
221 *Daucus carota* glucoside hydrolase (3VLA and 3VLB types), conglutin gamma from
222 lupine seeds (7S globulin type), 7S globulin from soybean and from lupine seeds,
223 endothiapsin from *Cryphonectria parasitica*, and cathepsin D from *Rattus*
224 *norvegicus*. Based on the highest percentage of identity and coverage obtained by the
225 three servers, the crystal structure of *Daucus carota* glucoside hydrolase type A (3VLA)
226 was chosen as the most homologous suitable template to the grape seed 7S globulin.
227 The results of the percentage of identity given by the servers ranged from 68 to 69% and
228 the percentages of coverage from 93 to 100%.

229 Other valuable information given by the three servers indicate that 3VLA form *Daucus*
230 *carota* protein is rich in alpha and beta helices, which increase its reliability as a
231 homologous template for the grape seed 7S globulin.

232 In addition, Yoshizawa et al., (2012) confirmed that the structure of *Daucus carota*
233 glucoside hydrolase (3VLA) was similar to 7S globulin from soybean (Bg7S).
234 It was observed that the first 23 amino acids of the grape seed 7S globulin protein
235 sequence were not modeled in the structure, which could indicate that they act as signal
236 peptides (SP). SP are short chain of peptides located at the N-terminal of proteins,
237 which provides information for the protein secretion. In both prokaryotic and eukaryotic
238 cells, proteins are allowed entering to the secretory pathway only if they contain specific
239 addressing signal such as SP. In most cases, SP is a transient extension of the amino N-
240 terminal of the protein, which is removed by peptidase signals once its targeting
241 function has been carried out. To confirm this hypothesis, the amino acid sequence of
242 the *Vitis vinifera* 7S globulin was analyzed by the server Signal P-5.0, which allows
243 identifying SP in diverse classes of vegetal proteins, according to Almagro Armenteros
244 et al., (2019). Results showed that the first amino acid of the primary structure of 7S
245 globulin protein was alanine, with a probability of approximately 95%, as shown in Fig.
246 S1. These results confirmed, therefore, that the short chain containing the 23 amino
247 acids could be considered a SP of the grape seed 7S globulin.

248 Secondary structure alignment of the grape seed 7S globulin and 3VLA showed
249 consensus structure consisted of 7 α -helices and 23 β -sheet. The rest of the regions
250 contained random coils, structural mismatches, and sequence gap (Fig. S2). Therefore,
251 3VLA was used as a valid template for the construction of the 3D model of *Vitis*
252 *vinifera* grape seed 7S globulin. The coordinate file for the atoms was obtained from
253 the Protein Data Bank at the Brookhaven National Laboratory (PDB ID: 3VLA). The
254 alignment search results against the PDB database of various servers and the Swiss
255 model using 3VLA as template generated the model. Swiss model performs a
256 comparative protein modeling by satisfying the spatial constraints of alignment with a

257 related structure (Waterhouse et al., 2018). The results for the evaluation of the
258 generated model was carried out based on the following parameters: GMQE, QMEAN,
259 Procheck, Verify3D and Errat. Results indicate that the GMQE score for the grape seed
260 7S globulin was 0.81 and the QMEAN -1.67, indicating good structural similarity
261 between the two proteins.

262 The Procheck parameter provides a detailed evaluation on the stereochemistry of the
263 conformation of the main chain. It generates a graph of conformational angles of each
264 residue: ϕ angle (rotation around the N-C α bond) and ψ angle (around the C α -C bond of
265 the same C α atom, ψ) and a complete list of the residues. The results revealed that
266 90.1% of the residues were in favored regions, 7.7% in allowed regions, 0.9% in
267 generous regions and 0.6% in disallowed regions.

268 Verify3D provided a value of 88.5%, which indicates a good validation of the protein
269 structure. Therefore, there was a good compatibility of the 3D structure model with the
270 amino acid sequence.

271 Errat verifies the structure of the protein by detecting local errors based on the statistics
272 of unbound atomic interactions and comparing them with statistics of highly refined
273 structures to suggest an overall quality factor. Results showed a factor of 89.7%.

274 The Procheck, Verify3D and Errat scores of the selected model are within the
275 acceptable range. Therefore, the model obtained by the Swiss Model server was reliable
276 and used for the refinement of the *Vitis vinifera* grape seed 7S globulin structure.

277 **3.2. Model refinement and energy minimization**

278 The preliminary model generated by the Swiss Model is characterized by a high energy
279 level (-367217.7 kJ /mol). This is because the generated model contained unfavorable
280 bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and contacts. Thus, the model was subjected
281 to an energy minimization and geometry optimization using the steepest descent

282 algorithm Gromacs (OPLS-AA force field). The energy minimization step is necessary
283 to obtain a stable protein model, which provides a 3D conformation closer to its native
284 state with effective functionality. Collisions and steric stresses were reduced by
285 relaxing close contacts in the geometric chain without significantly changing the overall
286 structure (Messaoudi, Belguith, & Hamida, 2013).

287 Table 2 shows the results of the energy minimization and geometry optimization
288 process. The energy minimization reduced the potential energy of the grape seed 7S
289 globulin model to -1409676.87 kJ/mol, which indicate better model packaging quality.
290 On the other hand, the Verify3D score for the 7S globulin model did not change
291 significantly but the model had a satisfactory quality value of 89.3%.

292 The score indicated that 90.6% of the total 7S globulin amino acids were correctly
293 positioned. Errat score did not improve significantly after the energy minimization,
294 which indicate that local errors within the geometry in the model were largely
295 unrepaired.

296 A model with an Errat score greater than 50% is considered a high-quality model. The
297 Ramachandran (Procheck) plot also did not change significantly. Procheck analysis
298 showed several residues located in the non-permitted region of the Ramachandran plot
299 (Fig. 2), which were Ser 231, Arg96, Ser196, Ser417 and Arg52. The analysis of
300 Procheck indicated that 90.6% of the amino acids were in the most favored region, 8.2%
301 in the additional allowed region, and 0.3% in the generously allowed region.

302 Residues in the favored region were free of steric interference, while some relaxation of
303 steric interference was allowed in the allowed region. The residues that were in the not
304 allowed region contained the error in their structures. Therefore, based on the
305 aforementioned results, it can be confirmed that the 3D constructed model of the grape
306 seed 7S globulin was satisfactory.

307 **3.3. Structural analysis of grape seed 7S globulin protein**

308 The 7S globulin 3D model was determined using homology model computational
309 techniques (Fig. 1). It was made up of a single chain that has α helices and β sheet and
310 is roughly divided into a central slit.

311 The 7S globulin model adopted all the structural characteristics of the EDGP, the model
312 protein selected for the structural design. In order to mathematically verify its similarity,
313 the 7S globulin model was superimposed with the EDGP structure and a mean square
314 deviation (RMSD) of 0.161 was obtained. This result indicates that the 7S globulin
315 model is an accurate presentation of the experimental structure. Theoretical results
316 indicated that 7S globulin has six disulfide bonds, which could help to stabilize the
317 tertiary structure. Especially interesting are the Cys92-Cys116 and Cys426-Cys204
318 bonds. It seems that they are important for the stabilization of the protein because it
319 links α helices and β sheet.

320 EDGP protein has been found to be similar to other plant proteins such as wheat TAXI-
321 IA and soybean Bg7S. These two proteins are also largely stabilized by six disulfide
322 bonds, which support the results found for the grape seed 7S globulin. Although the
323 patterns of disulfide bridge formation are similar between EDGP and soybean Bg7S,
324 they differ from those of wheat TAXI-IA (Yoshizawa et al., 2012).

325 In addition, different physico-chemical parameters of the grape seed 7S globulin were
326 obtained by the ProtScale server from the Expasy server. Results showed that grape
327 seed 7S globulin has a molecular weight of 43.7 KDa. Likewise, Gazzola et al., (2014)
328 reported the existence of a 43 KDa monomeric protein that showed a high homology to
329 the 7S globulin from legume seeds. Results were also in accordance with the weight of
330 soybean basic globulin 7S described by Yoshizawa et al., (2012). Also, 7S globulin has
331 a stability index that classifies it as stable.

332 The isoelectric point (pI) is the pH where the protein is not charged and therefore the pH
333 at which 7S globulin could show a minimum of solubility. The computed pI value for
334 the *Vitis vinifera* grape seed 7S globulin was 8.96 (pI>7), which indicate it basic nature.
335 The computed isoelectric point (pI) is a useful parameter to develop and optimize
336 purification methods of such as protein. Extinction coefficient calculations were also
337 performed, which is useful to have an estimation for spectrophotometric measurements.
338 The extinction coefficient obtained was expressed as units of $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$, at 280 nm
339 measured in water. The results obtained show that when all the pairs of Cys residues are
340 considered they form cystines. In this case, the value obtained was $29630 M^{-1}cm^{-1}$ and if
341 it is assumed that all Cys residues are reduced.
342 The lifetime was 10 h, this parameter is the time (in vitro) that it takes for the protein to
343 disappear once it is synthesized by the cell.
344 The aliphatic index is the relative volume occupied by the aliphatic side chains that
345 allows establishing a relationship with the thermostability of the protein. Also,
346 ProtScale server made possible the knowledge of an average value of hydrophathy
347 (GRAVY) that indicates the possibility for 7S globulin to establish interactions with
348 water. This finding suggests that 7S globulin may be highly hydrated in media aqueous.
349 In this sense, the lower the general index of hydrophathy, the greater the possibility of
350 establishing this kind of interaction (Kyte & Doolittle, 1982). For this parameter, the
351 value obtained was 0.110.
352 ProtScale hydrophobic analysis (Fig. S3 A) revealed that 7S globulin exhibited two
353 maximum hydrophobicity at amino acids 11 and 12 and a minimum at amino acid 399.
354 Finally, for flexibility, the Bhaskaran scale was used, which gives flexibility values for
355 each of the amino acids, with variations between 0.300 and 0.540 (Gasteiger,

356 Hoogland, Gattiker, Wilkins, Appel & Bairoch, 2005). The high flexibility values
357 suggest that they are flexible molecules (Fig. S3 B).

358 **3.4. Docking and Molecular Dynamic of binding and subsite structure analysis**

359 It was performed to predict non-covalent binding of the 7S globulin receptor (protein)
360 with malvidin-3-O-glucoside (ligand) and to determine the structure of the most
361 favorable binding site. Molecular coupling was performed using AutoDock Vina (Trott
362 & Olson, 2010), a software that uses a method to produce the best ligand conformations
363 that have the lowest possible binding energies. The 3D structure of the grape seed 7S
364 globulin obtained from the homology modeling was used as the receptor molecule. And
365 the Malvidin-3-O-glucoside (Mv3glc) as ligand (Fig. S4).

366 For the docking, it is necessary to prepare the files of the protein, the ligand and the
367 conditions to carry out the interaction. The protein and ligand PDB files were edited
368 using the AutoDock Tools software (Morris et al., 2009)

369 For the 7S globulin, non-protein molecules such as water and ions were removed and
370 Kollman charges and polar hydrogens were added to the protein structure. These
371 hydrogen atoms are important because they participate significantly in the interaction of
372 the ligand. For the ligand, the glycosidic bond was considered rotary and partial atomic
373 charges in the form of Gasteiger charges were added to the structure. The edited 7S
374 globulin PDB files and ligands were saved as PDBQT files as they will be the files
375 needed to run the AutoDock Vina simulation. Finally, grid box was designed to define
376 an interaction space of the ligand with the protein. In our case, dimensions were made to
377 cover all the protein. The grid box was centered at the coordinate of X: -9.285, Y:
378 45.567, Z: 4.049. Nine resulting ligand conformations were generated in the protein
379 environment after each run in the AutoDock Vina software. The results are showed in
380 Table S1.

381 The lowest energy conformation was chosen as the most favorable conformation at the
382 binding site. The coupling that resulted in the lowest binding energy (-7.0 kcal/mol),
383 indicating a high binding affinity. Fig. 3A shows the most favorable conformation of
384 Mv3glc when interacts with *Vitis vinifera* grape seed 7S globulin, being stabilized
385 mainly by hydrogen bonds. The results were corroborated with the coupling results of
386 Autodock 4 (Morris et al., 2009) that produced a minimal energy conformation identical
387 to that obtained by Autodock Vina. Therefore, it validates the Autodock Vina docking
388 results. The equilibrium constant was also calculated through equation 1, and the result
389 was $K_i = 7.3 \times 10^{-3}$. Stănciuc et al., (2017) carried out experimental studies on the
390 interaction of anthocyanin compounds and different types of proteins such as β -
391 lactoglobulin, which reported affinity constant values for a temperature of 278 K on the
392 order of 10^{-6} . This fact supports a good approximation through theoretical calculation.

393 The binding of Mv3glc with the grape seed 7S globulin is illustrated as 2D drawings in
394 Fig. 3B. The side chain atoms of the residue Arg155, Ser153 and Ser26 form hydrogen
395 bonds with the hydroxyl group of the glucose (G). The ring A interacts through
396 hydrogen bonds with the Ser139 residue in addition to establishing other interactions
397 such as π -alkyl and alkyl. Ring B interacts primarily with residues of Arg150 and Thr25
398 via hydrogen bonds. Moreover, due to the aforementioned interactions, it was observed
399 that the C ring was oriented towards Val151, an amino acid having hydrophobic
400 characteristics. This finding is interesting since the oxygen in the C ring, being in a
401 hydrophobic region, would be protected from hydration (Fig. 3C). Protecting the
402 hydration of the flavyllium cation by a protein could represent a way of color protection
403 similar to the copigmentation phenomenon, which suggest potential technological
404 applications for the grape seed 7S globulin in the food industry.

405 The coupled ligand remained stable at the 7S globulin active site throughout the
406 simulation (Fig. S5). To assess the deviation of the structure, the RMSD was calculated
407 during the simulation. A stable interaction between the protein and the pigment was
408 observed since it reached rapid equilibrium approximately from 0.2 ns, from this value
409 the RMSD values remain between approximately 0.5 and 0.65 (Fig. 4) and it exhibited
410 low levels of RMSD.

411 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

412 In this study, the structure of 7S globulin from *Vitis vinifera* grape seed was obtained
413 for the first time from the amino acid sequence. The results show that 7S globulin is
414 made up of α and β -sheet helices and has a great structural similarity with other plant
415 globulin proteins such as soybean 7S globulin. The parameters that evaluate the quality
416 of the protein morphology improved when the energy of the protein was minimized. In
417 addition, the chemical interaction between the grape seed 7S globulin and malvidin-3-
418 O-glucoside was demonstrated using docking techniques and molecular dynamics.
419 Results showed that in this interaction, the flavylium cation is oriented towards a
420 hydrophobic region of the protein, being protected from hydration. The findings open a
421 new path of experimental studies focused on the protection of the color of anthocyanins,
422 which could have a special interest in food industry applications.

423 **Acknowledgments**

424 Authors thank the assistance of the technical staff of Biology Service (SGI, Universidad
425 de Sevilla, Spain).

426 **Funding sources**

427 This research was financially supported by the Ministerio de Economía y
428 Competitividad, Spain, Gobierno de España (Project AGL2017-84793-C2 and FPI grant
429 PRE2018-087184)

430

431 **Conflict of interest statement (before references)**

432 Authors declare no conflict of interest.

433 **References**

- 434 Almagro Armenteros, J. J., Tsirigos, K. D., Sønderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther,
435 O., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., & Nielsen, H. (2019). SignalP 5.0 improves signal
436 peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(4), 420–
437 423. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z>
- 438 Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. (1990). Basic local
439 alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol*, 215(4), 3–4.
- 440 Benkert, P., Biasini, M., & Schwede, T. (2010). Toward the estimation of the absolute
441 quality of individual protein structure models. *Bioinformatics*, 27(3), 343–350.
442 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq662>
- 443 Bolton, E. E., Wang, Y., Thiessen, P. A., & Bryant, S. H. (2008). *Chapter 12 -*
444 *PubChem: Integrated Platform of Small Molecules and Biological Activities* (R. A.
445 Wheeler & D. C. B. T.-A. R. in C. C. Spellmeyer (eds.); Vol. 4, pp. 217–241).
446 Elsevier. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-1400\(08\)00012-1](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-1400(08)00012-1)
- 447 Fu, X., Belwal, T., He, Y., Xu, Y., Li, L., & Luo, Z. (2020). Interaction and binding
448 mechanism of cyanidin-3-O-glucoside to ovalbumin in varying pH conditions: A
449 spectroscopic and molecular docking study. *Food Chemistry*, 320(February).
450 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126616>
- 451 Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., & Bairoch, A.
452 (2005). Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExpASY server. In *The*
453 *proteomics protocols handbook* (pp. 571–607). Springer.
- 454 Gianazza, E., Tedesco, G., Villa, P., Scienza, A., Cargnello, G., Righetti, P. G., &

455 Osnaghi, A. (1989). Characterization of the major proteins from *Vitis vinifera*
456 seeds. *Plant Science*, 62(1), 73–81. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9452(89)90191-X)
457 9452(89)90191-X

458 Hess, B., Kutzner, C., van der Spoel, D., & Lindahl, E. (2008). GROMACS 4:
459 Algorithms for Highly Efficient, Load-Balanced, and Scalable Molecular
460 Simulation. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 4(3), 435–447.
461 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct700301q>

462 Huang, S.-Y., & Zou, X. (2010). Advances and challenges in protein-ligand docking.
463 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 11(8), 3016–3034.

464 Kelley, L. A., & Sternberg, M. J. E. (2009). Protein structure prediction on the Web: a
465 case study using the Phyre server. *Nature Protocols*, 4(3), 363–371.
466 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.2>

467 Khatoun, N., Pandey, R. K., & Prajapati, V. K. (2017). Exploring Leishmania secretory
468 proteins to design B and T cell multi-epitope subunit vaccine using
469 immunoinformatics approach. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–12.
470 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08842-w>

471 Kyte, J., & Doolittle, R. F. (1982). A simple method for displaying the hydrophobic
472 character of a protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 157(1), 105–132.
473 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(82\)90515-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(82)90515-0)

474 Lemkul, J. (2018). From proteins to perturbed Hamiltonians: A suite of tutorials for the
475 GROMACS-2018 molecular simulation package [article v1. 0]. *Living Journal of*
476 *Computational Molecular Science*, 1(1), 5068.

477 Marangon, M., Vincenzi, S., & Curioni, A. (2019). Wine fining with plant proteins.
478 *Molecules*, 24(11), 2186.

479 Messaoudi, A., Belguith, H., & Hamida, J. Ben. (2013). Homology modeling and

480 virtual screening approaches to identify potent inhibitors of VEB-1 β -lactamase.
481 *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*, 10(1), 22.

482 Morris, G. M., Huey, R., Lindstrom, W., Sanner, M. F., Belew, R. K., Goodsell, D. S.,
483 & Olson, A. J. (2009). AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with
484 selective receptor flexibility. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 30(16), 2785–
485 2791. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21256>

486 Morris, G. M., & Lim-Wilby, M. (2008). Molecular docking. In *Molecular modeling of*
487 *proteins* (pp. 365–382). Springer.

488 Ozdal, T., Capanoglu, E., & Altay, F. (2013). A review on protein-phenolic interactions
489 and associated changes. *Food Research International*, 51(2), 954–970.
490 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.02.009>

491 Paramita, V. D., Panyoyai, N., & Kasapis, S. (2020). Molecular Functionality of Plant
492 Proteins from Low- to High-Solid Systems with Ligand and Co-Solute.
493 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(7), 2550.
494 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21072550>

495 Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng,
496 E. C., & Ferrin, T. E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for
497 exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 25(13),
498 1605–1612. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084>

499 Salmaso, V., & Moro, S. (2018). Bridging molecular docking to molecular dynamics in
500 exploring ligand-protein recognition process: An overview. *Frontiers in*
501 *Pharmacology*, 9, 923.

502 Söding, J., Biegert, A., & Lupas, A. N. (2005). The HHpred interactive server for
503 protein homology detection and structure prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
504 33(suppl_2), W244–W248. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki408>

505 Stănciuc, N., Turturică, M., Oancea, A. M., Barbu, V., Ioniță, E., Aprodu, I., &
506 Râpeanu, G. (2017). Microencapsulation of Anthocyanins from Grape Skins by
507 Whey Protein Isolates and Different Polymers. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*,
508 *10*(9), 1715–1726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-017-1938-8>

509 Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., & Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the
510 sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence
511 weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids*
512 *Research*, *22*(22), 4673–4680. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.22.4673>

513 Tomadoni, B., Capello, C., Valencia, G. A., & Gutiérrez, T. J. (2020). Self-assembled
514 proteins for food applications: A review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*,
515 *101*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.04.015>

516 Trott, O., & Olson, A. J. (2010). AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of
517 docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading.
518 *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, *31*(2), 455–461.
519 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334>

520 Vanommeslaeghe, K., Hatcher, E., Acharya, C., Kundu, S., Zhong, S., Shim, J., Darian,
521 E., Guvench, O., Lopes, P., & Vorobyov, I. (2010). CHARMM general force field:
522 A force field for drug-like molecules compatible with the CHARMM all-atom
523 additive biological force fields. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, *31*(4), 671–
524 690.

525 Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., Heer,
526 F. T., de Beer, T. A. P., Rempfer, C., Bordoli, L., Lepore, R., & Schwede, T.
527 (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and
528 complexes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *46*(W1), W296–W303.
529 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427>

530 Yildirim-Elikoglu, S., & Erdem, Y. K. (2018). Interactions between milk proteins and
531 polyphenols: Binding mechanisms, related changes, and the future trends in the
532 dairy industry. *Food Reviews International*, 34(7), 665–697.

533 Yoshizawa, T., Shimizu, T., Hirano, H., Sato, M., & Hashimoto, H. (2012). Structural
534 basis for inhibition of xyloglucan-specific endo- β -1,4-glucanase (XEG) by XEG-
535 protein inhibitor. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 287(22), 18710–18716.
536 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.350520>

537

538

539

540

541

542 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

543 **Fig. 1.** 7S globulin homology model. The model presents α helices in red and β chain in
544 blue.

545 **Fig. 2.** Ramachandran plot of the 7S globulin homology model.

546 **Fig. 3.** A) More favorable 3D conformation of malvidin 3-O-glucoside (Mv3glc) inside
547 of 7S *Vitis vinifera* globulin. B) 2D illustration of malvidin-3-O-glucoside (Mv3glc)
548 interacting with 7S globulin residues showing the major interactions. C) 3D illustration
549 of the flavylum cation orientation towards to the hydrophobic region of 7S *Vitis*
550 *vinifera* globulin.

551 **Fig. 4.** 7S globulin molecular dynamics simulations. RMSD of 7S globulin backbone
552 coupled with malvidin-3-O-glucoside (Mv3glc).

553