

1 **Phytostabilization potential of *Erica australis* L. and *Nerium oleander***
2 ***L.*: a comparative study in the Riotinto mining area (SW Spain)**

3
4 F. Monaci¹, D. Trigueros², M.D. Mingorance³, S. Rossini-Oliva^{2*}

5
6
7 ¹ Department of Life Sciences, University of Siena, Via P.A. Mattioli, 4, 53100 Siena, Italy

8 ² Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, University of Sevilla, Avda. Reina Mercedes s/n,
9 41012 Sevilla, Spain

10 ³ Instituto Andaluz de Ciencias de la Tierra (UGR-CSIC), Avda. Palmeras 4, Armilla, 18100
11 Granada, Spain

12
13 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 954556187; fax: +34 95457049. Email address:
14 sabina@us.es (S. Rossini-Oliva)

15
16
17 **ORCID**

18 Fabrizio Monaci: 0000-0001-5489-1310

19 Sabina Rossini Oliva: 0000-0001-6774-4723

20
21 **Abstract**

22 Phytostabilization is a green, cost-effective technique for mine rehabilitation and
23 ecological restoration. In this study, the phytostabilization capacity of *Erica australis* L.
24 and *Nerium oleander* L. was assessed in the climatic and geochemical context of the
25 Riotinto mining district, southwestern Spain, where both plant species colonize harsh
26 substrates of mine wastes and contaminated river banks. In addition to tolerating
27 extreme acidic conditions (up to pH 3.36 for *E. australis*), both species were found to
28 grow on substrates very poor in bioavailable nutrients (e.g. N and P) and highly
29 enriched with potentially phytotoxic elements (e.g. Cu, Cd, Pb, S). The selective root
30 absorption of essential elements and the sequestration of potentially toxic elements in
31 the root cortex are the main adaptations that allow the studied species to cope in very
32 limiting edaphic environments. Being capable of a tight elemental homeostatic control
33 and tolerating extreme acidic conditions, *E. australis* is the best candidate for use in
34 phytostabilization programs, ideally to promote early stages of colonization, improve

35 physical and chemical conditions of substrates and favor the establishing of less tolerant
36 species, such as *N. oleander*.

37

38 **Keywords:**

39 Phytoremediation, *Erica australis*, metal-tolerant plants, trace elements, *Nerium*
40 *oleander*; plant-soil.

41

42 **Abbreviations:**

43 BF, Bioaccumulation Factor; CF, Contamination Factor; EC, Exclusion Coefficient.
44 TF, Translocation Factor.

45

46

47 **Introduction**

48 Mining activities generate serious environmental problems, from soil degradation to
49 water pollution, from landscape disruption to biodiversity loss. The exploitation of
50 metal-bearing ore minerals, such as oxides or sulfides, is commonly associated to acid
51 mine drainage (AMD) that impacts on local ecosystems (Bonnail et al. 2019). In many
52 historical pyrite mining areas of the world, abandoned mine lands are a continual source
53 of soil pollution with the release of potentially toxic metals and metalloids (Sarmiento et
54 al. 2009; Oliveira et al. 2012).

55 Soil contamination has gained considerable attention as potential source of human and
56 ecological risk over a large area in the southern Portugal and southwestern Spain in
57 correspondence to the Iberian Pyrite Belt (IPB), the largest volcanogenic massive
58 sulfide ore of the world (Cánovas et al. 2008; Fernández-Caliani et al. 2008; Canha et
59 al. 2010). In this region, Riotinto, about 90 km NW of Sevilla, emerged since the
60 antiquity as the main center of a massive and prosper mining industry. In all likelihood,
61 Riotinto was first in history to experience the deleterious impacts of mining operations
62 on natural environment, which soon became manifest with the accumulation of pyrite-
63 rich wastes and the production of AMD waters (Lottermoser 2010). In Riotinto, mining
64 and mineral processing has left an extraordinary footprint on the territory, made of
65 vastly disrupted lands and extensive tailing and waste-rock dumps, which represent, to
66 this day, a diffuse environmental and human health threat (Romero et al. 2006;
67 Fernández-Caliani et al. 2008; Sánchez de la Campa et al., 2011).

68 Risk mitigation of hazardous substances (e.g. metals, metalloids, radioactivity, acids,
69 process chemicals) in soils of abandoned mine sites require monitoring, treatment and
70 secure disposal. Conventional methods for contaminated soils reclamation are based on
71 chemical and physical technologies for on-site management or disposal to landfill sites
72 after excavation and eventual treatment. However, this approach is neither
73 environmentally friendly or cost effective, especially in vast and unproductive areas, as
74 it requires huge financial investments and labor (Venkateswarlu et al. 2016; Napoli et
75 al. 2019).

76 Phytoremediation is a low-cost, green technology that uses vascular plants for
77 environmental restoration and reclamation of contaminated soils, sludge and sediments
78 (Salt et al. 1998; Rahman et al. 2016). Rehabilitation of abandoned mine spoils by
79 phytostabilization technology is supported by several studies (Ernst 2005; Abreu and
80 Magalhaes 2009; Mendez and Maier 2008; Dickinson et al. 2009; Napoli et al. 2019).
81 Restoration of a vegetation cover can fulfill the objectives of stabilization, pollution
82 control, visual improvement and removal of threats to humans (Freitas et al. 2004).

83 Autochthonous flora and endemic species play an essential role in phytostabilization
84 programs (Doumas et al. 2018), especially in semiarid Mediterranean areas, whose
85 distinctive climate and hydrological regime largely affect contaminant transport from
86 mining wastes, mainly through aeolian dispersion (Sims et al. 2013). Indeed, assisted or
87 natural phytostabilization with native plants provide the foundation for primary
88 succession on abandoned mining wastes, by improving the physical and chemical
89 properties of the substrates, thus promoting the establishing of long-term self-sustaining
90 vegetation on mine dumps and contaminated river banks (Ginocchio et al. 2017). For
91 the purposes of a successful phytoremediation program, it is essential to investigate *in*
92 *situ* soil-plant relationship of species which play a role in the early stages of
93 colonization processes in contaminated and water-limited environment, such as that of
94 Riotinto.

95 In the Riotinto area, communities of *Erica andevalensis* (Cabezudo & Rivera), *E.*
96 *australis* (L.), *E. umbellata* Loefl. ex L., *E. scoparia* L., *Cistus ladanifer* L., *C.*
97 *populifolius* L., *C. monspeliensis* L., *C. crispus* L., *Genista polyanthos* R. Roem. ex
98 Willk., *Nerium oleander* L., *Securinega tinctoria* (L.) and some *Poaceae* species,
99 spontaneously colonize metal-enriched substrata of mine tailings and the bank
100 sediments of the River Tinto or other watercourses. Rufo et al. (2011) reported a total of
101 50 different species growing in the extremely acidic water of Tinto River, being the *E.*

102 *australis* and *N. oleander* among the most important in term of occurrence and cover.
103 Studies about metal content in some plant species of this area and their relationship with
104 their soils of growth have been published (Rodríguez et al. 2007; Rossini Oliva et al.
105 2009a; de la Fuente et al. 2010; Monaci et al. 2011, Rossini-Oliva et al. 2018).
106 In this study, an interdisciplinary work was carried out to assess soil-plant relationships
107 of two primary colonizer species in Riotinto: *E. australis* and *N. oleander*. The aim of
108 this research was to determine the key-features of substrates of the mining area and the
109 associated plant responses in elemental partitioning and accumulation for assessing the
110 potential use of the two selected species in phytostabilization programs.

111

112 **Materials and Methods**

113 ***Study area and sampling***

114 The Iberian Pyrite Belt (IPB) is one of the largest metallic sulfide deposits in the world.
115 It extends for about 250 km (between 25 and 70 km wide) between southern Portugal
116 and southwestern Spain (Leistel et al. 1998). Riotinto area is included in the IPB and
117 represents the most important European metallogenic and mining region, extending for
118 about 640 km². The mining and smelting activity in the district dates back to the
119 Iberians and Tartessians (about 3000 B.C.). In 2002, the mines were closed down due to
120 economic reasons (Chopin and Alloway 2007), although lately the possibility to restore
121 Cu mining has been occasionally reconsidered (i.e. in Cerro Colorado). Riotinto is host
122 of a massive deposit sulfur in the IPB, with about 5000 x 10⁶ tons, containing 45% S,
123 40% Fe, 0.9% Cu, 0.8% Pb, 2.1% Zn, 26 mg kg⁻¹ Ag and 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ Au (García
124 Palomero 1992). The area has a Mediterranean climate with a mean annual rainfall of
125 600 to 800 mm and mean annual temperature of 18 °C. Rainfall mostly occurs during
126 autumn and winter (mean 70 mm/month), and summers are very hot and dry. Areas
127 affected by past mining and smelting activities are devoid of vegetation or contain
128 patches of simple plant communities dominated by *E. andevalensis* or by mixed
129 communities of *E. andevalensis* and *E. australis* (Monaci et al. 2011). Vegetated soils
130 are extremely acidic, enriched in metals/metalloids, such us As, Cu, Pb and Zn and
131 poor of nutrients (Rufo et al. 2007; Monaci et al. 2011). In some sites, *E. andevalensis*
132 disappears and other species, such as *E. australis*, *N. oleander* and *Cistus* spp., can be
133 found.

134 Different sampling sites (six for *Erica* and four for *Nerium*), representing different
135 edaphic and environmental characteristics were selected inside the mining area of

136 Riotinto (Fig. 1). At each site, samples of soils and specimens of *E. australis* and *N.*
137 *oleander* were collected. Two additional sampling sites were located in the undisturbed
138 areas of Linares (30 km N of Riotinto) and Alanís (120 km NE of Riotinto) which acted
139 as control areas for soil and plant material. Denomination and description of the
140 sampling sites are reported as follows while the corresponding geodata are listed in
141 Table 1 (Electronic Supplementary Material).

- 142 • Zarandas (Z): area not directly affected by past mining and smelting activities
143 and characterized by some environmental recovery measures undertaken in the
144 past, such as terrace-planting of *Pinus pinea*.
- 145 • Nerva (N): site distinguished by unstable mining and smelting waste
146 accumulated as dry, coarse-textured piles and with dispersed patches of
147 vegetation dominated by *N. oleander*, *E. australis* and *E. andevalensis*.
- 148 • Tinto River (RT): area close to the springs of Tinto river mainly colonized by *N.*
149 *oleander*, *E. australis*, *E. andevalensis*, *C. monspeliensis*, *C. salvifolius* with the
150 inclusion of individuals of *Ulex eriocladus*, *Phagnalon saxatile*, *Helichrysum*
151 *stoechas* and *C. ladanifer*.
- 152 • Peña de Hierro (PH): an old mining spot, characterized by a flat area where mine
153 spoils have recently been re-vegetated with *P. pinaster*.
- 154 • Peña de Hierro Gossan (PHG): it is in the highest part of Peña de Hierro formed
155 by gossan, an aggregate of goethite, hematite and jarosite-beudantite originated
156 by sulphur superficial oxidation where the vegetation has a heather form by *E.*
157 *australis* and *E. umbellata*.
- 158 • Peña de Hierro hill (PHC): a flat area 90% covered for vegetation consisting
159 mainly of *E. australis* and *Halimium ocymoides*.
- 160 • Odiel River headwater (PO): an area with very scanty vegetation cover close to
161 Odiel River.
- 162 • Nerva stream (NA): a site close to Nerva municipality with a dense vegetation
163 dominated by *N. Oleander*.
- 164 • Non-contaminated sites (Control; C): two areas far from the mining areas and
165 other potential sources of contamination located in Linares de la Sierra (NE of
166 the Provincia of Huelva) and Alanís (Natural Parque of Sierra Norte of Seville).

167 Within each site, a composite sample, consisting in at least 3-5 plants of the same
168 species, and a soil sample under each plant was taken. Soil collection was carried out up

169 to 15 cm depth in order to prevent the loss of fine roots. At each site, a composite
170 sample of topsoil (0-15 cm) was also taken at random around each plant. Soil samples
171 were air-dried, sieved (<2 mm) and stored until analysis.

172

173 ***Sample pre-treatment, analysis and quality control***

174 The main soil physicochemical parameters were determined according to the following
175 standard methods. The particle size distribution was determined by sieving and
176 sedimentation, applying the Robinson's pipette method. Bulk density was measured on
177 undisturbed core samples taken by the cylinder method at moisture content near field
178 capacity and water holding capacity (WHC) was obtained from water retention of
179 disturbed soil samples using ceramic pressure plate (Soil moisture Equipment Corp.,
180 Santa Barbara, CA, USA) at air pressures of 0.03 and 1.5 MPa. Soil pH and electrical
181 conductivity (EC) determinations were carried out in soil/deionized water suspension of
182 1/2.5 (weight/volume). Total C and N content was determined by elemental analysis
183 (TruSpec CN, LECO). Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by a method
184 based on the triethylenetetramine (Trien)-Cu complex (Meier and Kahr, 1999).
185 Exchangeable Ca, Mg, K and Na, were determined in Trien-Cu extract by ICP-OES.
186 Iron oxides (F_{ox}) were extracted using sodium citrate dithionite according to Holmgren
187 (1967). Available P was estimated by the Bray-P method (Bray and Kurtz 1945).

188 Available concentrations of Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn were extracted with EDTA 0.05 M
189 pH 7 (Quevauviller et al. 1998) and total metals extracted with *aqua regia* in a
190 pressurized PFA digestion vessels in a microwave digester (1200 Mega, Milestone). In
191 both cases, the elements were analysed by ICP-OES. The Certified Reference Materials
192 (CRMs), "Montana Soil" (NIST 2111) and "Amended Soil" (BCR 143), were used to
193 check the accuracy and precision of the analytical procedures. The results obtained for
194 certified materials show a recovery range from 90 to 100%. All analyses performed
195 were done in duplicate and all results were calculated on a dry weight basis.

196 Leaves of the same age and stage of development were selected in laboratory; live roots
197 were carefully separated from soil and cleaned. The bark was detached from roots to be
198 analyzed separately from the internal tissue (endodermis and vasculature). The selected
199 material was then oven-dried and homogenized with a centrifugal ball mill (Retsch,
200 mod. S100). About 0.4 g of each sample were digested with ultrapure-grade HNO_3 in
201 closed Teflon vessels in a microwave oven (Milestone, Ethos 1) under optimal time,
202 temperature and pressure conditions. Analytical determinations of macro- and

203 microelements concentrations were performed through ICP-OES (Optima 5300 dv;
204 Perkin Elmer) for Al, Ca Cu, K, Fe, Mg Mn, Na, S and Zn, and by High Resolution
205 Continuum Source Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (ContrAA 700, Analytic Jena) for
206 Cd and Pb. Procedural blanks and replicate determinations were performed to check
207 sample homogeneity and uncertainties related to digestion and analysis of samples. The
208 accuracy of analytical procedures was checked by routine determination of macro- and
209 microelements in the CRMs “Tomato Leaves” (NIST 1573a), “Apple Leaves” (NIST
210 1515a).

211

212 ***Data Analysis***

213 Major and trace element datasets were tested for normality with the Shapiro–Wilk’s test
214 ($p > 0.05$) and for homogeneity of variance with the Levene’s test ($p > 0.05$). Because
215 of the asymmetric distribution of most datasets, logarithmic transformation was used to
216 obtain a normal distribution. T-test was performed to test the differences between plant
217 species and collection points on log-transformed data.

218 Principal component analysis was applied for data reduction of elemental concentrations
219 in soils and in leaves of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* from the Riotinto mining area and
220 control areas of Linares and Alanís. The principal components (PCs) having
221 eigenvalues greater than unity were included in the model. Interpretation of PCs was
222 done in terms of factor coordinates (correlation between element data and factor axes)
223 and relative contribution of different groups of data to the variance of factor axes.

224 Multivariate results were represented as a biplot projection of the original data in the
225 vector space defined by the PCs. The statistical analyses were performed by R software
226 (R Development Core Team).

227 A set of quantitative indexes was used to characterize soil contamination by elements
228 and to recognize their preferential partitioning among different plant tissues. The
229 Contamination Factor CF (Hakanson 1980), i.e. the ratio between concentrations in soil
230 in the mining area with respect to those of the control area, was used to classify soils of
231 this study according to an established scale of contamination (Liu et al. 2005). The
232 Pollution Load Index (PLI) was also calculated (Tomlison et al. 1980) as:

$$233 \quad PLI = (FC_1 \cdot FC_2 \dots \cdot FC_N)^{1/N}$$

234 where FC is the contamination factor for each contaminant and N is the number of
235 contaminants. This value indicates the global soil contamination. A $PLI < 1$ indicates no

236 contamination, PLI = unity indicates that soil contamination is the same than in control
237 site and PLI > unity means contaminated soils (Cabrera et al. 1999).

238 The Exclusion Coefficient EC, i.e. the ratio between element concentrations in root
239 cortex and internal vascular part, was calculated to identify the capacity of the species to
240 retain elements to the root cortex and avoid absorption inside internal plant tissues. The
241 Bioaccumulation Factor (BF; Monaci et al. 2011), i.e the ratio between element
242 concentrations in leaves and the soil, and the Translocation Factor (TF; McGrath and
243 Zhao 2003), calculated as the ratio between the elemental concentration in leaves and
244 that in the inner roots, were used to apportion the plants' capacity of preferential
245 element partitioning to (TF values > unity) and accumulation in (BF values > unity) the
246 leaves. In general, plant species have a TF < 1 for most trace elements; in case of TF >
247 unity, then plants can behave as accumulators (McGrath and Zhao 2003).

248

249 **Results**

250 *Soil physical-chemical characteristics*

251 Table 1 reports physical-chemical data on soils from Riotinto and the two control sites.
252 Distinctive features of Riotinto soils were the high acidity and the very low P and CEC
253 ($p < 0.01$). The pH ranged between 3.36-4.98 in *E. australis* soils, and between 3.14-7.24
254 in *N. oleander* soils. For both plant species, Riotinto soils had significantly lower pH
255 than the respective soils in control areas ($p < 0.05$). With respect to control, Riotinto soils
256 were also characterized by significantly lower concentrations of total N and CEC,
257 ranging in the mining area between 0.2 - 4.4 g kg⁻¹ and 0.29 - 6.0 cmol⁺ 100g⁻¹,
258 respectively. The lowest values of pH, N concentration, available P content and CEC
259 were found in substrates inhabited by *E. australis* to levels that are commonly
260 associated to deficiency status in plants (Moreno 1978). Iron oxides were notably high
261 in *E. australis* soils from both Linares and Riotinto, with the latter dataset being very
262 dispersed (Coefficient of Variation = 122 %; Table 1).

263 In Table 2, major and trace element concentrations in soils of this study are reported as
264 total content, exchangeable (for Ca, K, Mg and Na) and EDTA-extractable (for Cd, Cu,
265 Fe, Pb and Zn) fraction. Compared to control areas, Riotinto soils showed significantly
266 higher ($p < 0.05$) total concentrations of Cu, Pb and S and lower concentration of Mn.
267 Likewise, soil EDTA-extracted Cu and Pb were significantly higher in Riotinto
268 ($p < 0.05$). In the mining areas, substrates inhabited by *E. australis* were also
269 characterized by significantly lower levels of total and exchangeable Ca than those from

270 the control site ($p < 0.01$) as well as Mg concentration, while *N. oleander* soils of
271 Riotinto showed significantly lower Al and K concentrations than the control ($p < 0.05$).
272 As far as EDTA-extractable element concentrations concern, substrata of the *E.*
273 *australis* in Riotinto did not show significant differences with respect to the soils of *N.*
274 *oleander* ($p < 0.05$) being the variability very high. The pattern of total element
275 concentrations of soils from the two plant species in Riotinto is shown in Fig. 2a and
276 compared with geochemical European top-soils baselines (Salminen et al. 2005). Soils
277 of this study had higher values of Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb and S content than European top-soils
278 baselines. Soils of *N. oleander* were distinguished by significantly higher total Ca, Mg,
279 Mn, P, S and Zn concentrations, with respect to those of *E. australis*. In both species,
280 the contamination factors (CFs) for Cu, Cd, Pb and S were > 1 (Fig. 2b). Soils from *N.*
281 *oleander* can be considered moderately contaminated with Cd and Fe ($1 < CF < 3$) and
282 highly contaminated with Cu, Pb, S and Zn ($CF > 6$; Liu et al. 2005). Also, on the basis
283 of the CFs, soils from *E. australis* appear moderately contaminated for Cd and S
284 ($1 < CF < 3$), considerably contaminated for Cu ($CF = 4$) and highly contaminated for Pb
285 ($CF > 6$). Values of PLI were > 1 only for *N. oleander* (Fig. 2b).

286

287 *Element concentrations in plant compartments*

288 Element concentrations (mean \pm standard deviation) in the root cortex and exclusion
289 coefficients (ECs, median) for the two plant species in the Riotinto area and the control
290 areas of Linares and Alanís are shown in Fig. 3. The respective inner roots data are
291 presented in Fig. 1 (Electronic Supplementary Material). Overall, root cortexes of *N.*
292 *oleander* showed higher concentrations of the macronutrients Ca, K, Mg, Na and P
293 ($p < 0.01$) and lower concentrations of Al, Mn and Pb ($p < 0.01$) than those of the *E.*
294 *australis*. With respect to the control areas, only two metals, i.e. Cu and Pb, were
295 significantly accumulated in the root cortex of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* from
296 Riotinto ($p < 0.01$). Copper concentration, ranging very closely in specimens of *E.*
297 *australis* and *N. oleander* from the control areas ($7.40 - 7.70 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), in Riotinto was
298 remarkably enriched in roots of both species, by a factor 30 in *E. australis* and by a
299 factor 53 in *N. oleander*. The mean Pb concentration in the root cortex of *E. australis*
300 and *N. oleander* from Riotinto were 40.3 and 23.8 mg kg^{-1} , showing a 5-10 fold increase
301 with respect to control sites ($p < 0.01$).

302 The ECs (Fig. 3) for Cd, Mg, Na, P or S were close to unity for the two species of this
303 study. The ECs for Cu, Pb, Fe and Al were slightly higher in *N. oleander* (1.41, 1.46,

304 2.20 and 2.30, respectively), and very far apart from the unity in *E. australis* (e.g. EC
305 for Cu in *E. australis* =6.80). *Erica australis* in Riotinto was also distinguished by ECs
306 for Cu, Pb and Zn significantly higher than the respective ECs of the controls ($p<0.05$).
307 For *N. oleander*, a significant increase of the EC in Riotinto with respect to the control
308 was found for Ca ($p<0.01$) and Zn ($p<0.05$).

309 Leaf concentrations of Ca, K, P, S and Zn were significantly greater in *N. oleander* than
310 in the *E. australis* ($p<0.01$; Fig. 4) which in turn accumulated higher concentrations of
311 Mn in the leaves ($p<0.01$). The leaf concentration of Cd, Mn, Na, and Zn in *E. australis*
312 was lower in Riotinto than in the control; in contrast leaf Pb concentration was higher in
313 the mining area with respect to the unpolluted area of Alanís ($p<0.05$). Leaf Cu
314 concentration in *E. australis* from Riotinto was not different from the values found in
315 the control, despite the high content of this metal in Riotinto soils (Table 2). Leaves of
316 *N. oleander* from the mining soils had higher concentrations of Cu ($p<0.05$) and had
317 lower concentrations of Ca ($p<0.01$) than those of the control area.

318 Bioaccumulation factors (BFs) of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* were generally $<$ unity
319 for Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn and $>$ unity for P, both in mining and control areas (Fig.
320 4). *Nerium oleander* also showed high value of BF for Ca in all the substrates of this
321 study and for K in Riotinto. The BFs of *E. australis* in Riotinto were $>$ unity for Ca,
322 Mn and Mg and $<$ unit for S of both species. Instead, both *E. australis* and *N. oleander*
323 showed a high BF for the latter element in the control area.

324 The values of translocation factors (TFs) determined in this study are shown in Fig. 5.
325 Translocation Factors for *E. australis* were generally $<$ unity with the exception of Mn
326 in both mining and control areas and of Pb in Riotinto. Aluminum, Cd, Cu, Fe, and Pb
327 in *N. oleander* were generally characterized by TFs $>$ unity in mining and control areas.

328 Multivariate analysis applied to the soil dataset showed four principal components
329 (PCs) representing 80.0% of the original variance. The first Principal Component (PC1)
330 was mainly correlated (loadings > 0.7) with total concentrations of Al, Cd, Fe, Mg, Mn,
331 N and S, but also with Fe_{ox} , exchangeable Ca and Na, as well as CEC and pH. Principal
332 Component 2 (PC2) was associated with total Zn, EDTA extractable Zn, and total and
333 available P (Bray) while PC3 mainly with K (loading= 0.76) and available water (0.77).
334 The remaining PCs accounted for a residual amount of the original variance and were
335 weakly associated (loadings > 0.5) to total Fe, clay content and total C. The
336 observational data that mostly contributed (30%) to PC1 were those collected from the
337 mining wastes of Peña de Hierro (PHC, PHG), while those from the River Tinto (NA,

338 RT) were the largest contributors (35%) to PC2 and PC3. Principal Component 4 was
339 mainly determined (28%) by *N. oleander* samples from the control area of Alanís.
340 Principal component analysis of leaf data singled out three PCs having eigenvalues >
341 unity which accounted for 76 % of the original variance. The first PC were associated
342 mainly with Ca (loading = 0.79), K (0.78), Mn (0.84) and S (0.71) concentrations.
343 Principal Component 2 was correlated with Cu (loading = -0.79), Mg (0.89) and Zn (-
344 0.73) while PC3 was mainly determined by Al (0.80). Lead showed a cross-loading
345 among the three PCs included in the model. The biplots of leaf and soil data are shown
346 in Fig. 6.

347

348 **Discussion**

349 Riotinto soil data delineate a pattern of very different edaphic environments,
350 distinguished by highly spatially-variable chemical features, reaching extreme values in
351 the most impacted mining sites. The biogeochemistry of the plant substrate depicted in
352 this study is coherent with previous reports from the same area (Soldevilla et al. 1992;
353 Márquez-García et al. 2009; Monaci et al. 2011), pointing to the very low pH and
354 infertility of soils (low N content, available P and CEC) as the main limiting factors for
355 plant growth. A relevant attribute of the studied soils is also the limited macro- (Ca, P
356 and K) and micronutrients supply and the high content of Pb and Cu (Table 2) largely
357 exceeding the baselines for the geological domain of Riotinto (South Portuguese Zone;
358 Galán et al. 2008).

359 The conditions of elevated soil acidity recurrently observed in soils of Riotinto not only
360 represent edaphic conditions which are particularly hostile *per se*, but are also likely to
361 play a major part in the availability of nutritive and toxic metals. Indeed, low soil pH
362 may enhance mobilization of toxic metals, such as Cd and Pb and constrain availability
363 of elements, like Ca, Fe or P (Kabata-pendias 2011; Marschner 2011). In this study, the
364 most acidic soils (often inhabited by *E. australis*) were characterized by the lowest
365 levels of nutrients (i.e. Ca, Mg, Mn and P).

366 Soil contamination in the study area is not limited to the obvious anomaly of Pb and Cu,
367 rather has an essentially polymetallic nature. This is a feature common to similar
368 geological environments around the world dominated by sulfide minerals (Mendez and
369 Maier 2007). The comparison of the present data with European geochemical top-soils
370 baselines (Salminen et al. 2005; Fig. 2a) indicates a significant enrichment for various
371 elements (e.g. Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, S), which share a common origin in the mineralogical

372 composition (sulfide metallic deposits) of the ores of the Iberian Pyrite Belt. Among the
373 elements investigated in this study, the derived CFs values (Fig. 2b) pointed out as
374 considerable ($3 < CF < 6$; Liu et al. 2005) or high ($CF > 6$) the soil contamination by Cd,
375 Cu, Pb and S.

376 The within-site variation in soil compositional data was striking (Tables 1-2), reflecting
377 marked spatial differences in soil chemistry at a local scale. This variation might have
378 been generated by topographically unequal erosion and weathering rates of parent
379 material, as well as the widespread excavation and bulk material management during
380 mining and post-mining operations. Moreover, in arid and semiarid environment, such
381 as Riotinto, differences in soil composition could be explained also by water or aeolian
382 dispersion of slag particles (Chopin and Alloway 2007; Fernández-Remolar et al. 2011).
383 Typical of Mediterranean areas, torrential rainfall and the scarce vegetation are key-
384 factors that enhance erosion of wastes and metal dispersion by surface runoff (Doumas
385 et al. 2018). The semiarid climate and the lack of vegetation cover may also favor the
386 aeolian dispersion of metals from abandoned mine sites. It has been shown that southern
387 Iberian peninsula mine wastes (mainly dry, unstable piles of crushed pyrite and roasted
388 pyrite cinders) are a major source of toxic metals and metalloids, transported by wind,
389 to local residents (Mendez and Maier 2008; Fernández-Caliani 2012; Castillo et al.
390 2013; Rivera et al. 2016; Doumas et al. 2018).

391 It may be worth considering that in highly contaminated and disturbed sites, such as
392 Riotinto, not only the occurrence of extreme edaphic features, which may cause
393 phytotoxicity and insufficient nutrient supply, but also the notable variability in
394 substrate chemical composition can be very restrictive to plant colonization and
395 survival. Patchily metal-contaminated soils cause microscale habitat fragmentation
396 which exert an additional, strong selective pressure that can be very demanding in terms
397 of ecophysiological plasticity of plant individuals to withstand to variable, excessive
398 uptake of toxic metals. In such as conditions, plant adaptation to metalliferous soils,
399 which often has been found genetically determined (Chen et al. 2015; Kuta et al. 2014),
400 can be energetically very costly, though it provides a competitive advantage (Maestri et
401 al. 2010).

402 Metallophytes, i.e. plant species able to thrive on metalliferous soils, commonly exhibit
403 a range of physiological and molecular mechanisms of metal exclusion and/or
404 accumulation. By far the most common mechanism of tolerance of metallophytes is the
405 physiological restriction of the entry into roots of the metals, which are in excessive

406 concentration in the growth substrate, and/or the limitation of the transport of the
407 absorbed toxic metals to the shoots, the most metabolic active plant parts (Rossini-Oliva
408 et al. 2018). In this study, the existence of such as “excluding” behavior has been
409 revealed by the analysis of different plant compartments. The elevated accumulation of
410 Cu, Pb and other metals in the root cortex of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* specimens
411 from the mining area (Fig. 3) suggests that these plants are able to colonize the harshest
412 substrates of Riotinto by compartmentalizing specific elements in the cell walls or
413 vacuoles (Sharma et al. 2016). By adopting this strategy, plants are constraining
414 potentially harmful elements into limited sites (e.g. root cortex) where they cannot
415 affect sensitive metabolic reactions. The restricted translocation of metals to aerial plant
416 tissues is due to the presence of physical barrier (Casparian strip) in plant roots (Pourrut
417 et al. 2011), precipitation in the intercellular space as insoluble metal-salts, or
418 sequestration in the vacuoles of cortical or rhizodermal cells (Arias et al. 2010; Shahid
419 et al. 2016). A range of gene families playing a key role in controlling metal uptake into
420 cells, vacuolar sequestration and remobilization from the vacuole has been identified
421 (Rascio and Navari-Izzo 2011). *Ericaceae* are known for being capable of absorbing
422 essential macro- and micronutrients and sequestering excess toxic elements in the root
423 bark and rhizosphere soil (Abreu et al. 2008; Monaci et al. 2011; Rossini Oliva et al.
424 2009b; Rossini-Oliva et al. 2018;). Similar excluder behavior has also been described
425 for *N. oleander* from highly contaminated sites (de la Fuente et al. 2010; Franco et al.
426 2012; Trigueros et al. 2012). However, the two studied species differed in these
427 regulating mechanisms. For example, compartmentalization of Al and Fe, is performed
428 at a remarkable strength ($EC \gg \text{unity}$) in *E. australis*, while is far more restrained in *N.*
429 *oleander* ($EC \geq \text{unity}$). Noteworthy differences can also be noticed in homeostasis of
430 Mn, as it is excluded in roots of *N. oleander* ($EC > 2$) but is effectively uptaken from soil
431 by *E. australis* and distributed to root internal tissues ($EC < \text{unit}$). The latter strategy
432 appears advantageous in substrates, such as those of Riotinto, characterized by limited
433 supply of Mn as plant micronutrient.

434 Because of the avoidance strategies of the two species of this study, the pattern of
435 contamination of the Riotinto soils do not correspond to similar elemental enrichment in
436 leaves. For example, despite the high concentrations of Pb in the EDTA fraction,
437 indicating a high availability of this metal, both species of this study showed limited Pb
438 accumulation in foliar tissues, which was reflected in the low BFs for this metal.
439 Similarly, leaf Cu, Fe and Zn concentrations in *E. australis* specimens from the

440 contaminated mining areas of Riotinto, were well referable to normal values for plants
441 (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias 2011) and comparable to foliar levels of controls. *Nerium*
442 *oleander* also appear to be able to control Cu, Fe and Zn concentration in leaves (BFs <
443 1), although less effectively than *E. australis*.

444 In this study, the only element actively accumulated in leaves, also against the limited
445 availability in the substrate, was Mn. This feature is attributable only to *E. australis*,
446 whose leaves showed high enrichment of this metal (overall average concentration: 438
447 mg kg⁻¹) despite its very variable and especially low content (175 mg kg⁻¹) in the mining
448 substrates. In fact, *E. australis* is known to behave as Mn-accumulator species (Abreu et
449 al. 2008); *Ericaceae* are known to have intrinsic ability to tolerate high levels of and Mn
450 (Markert 1996). The ecophysiological implications of Mn bioaccumulation in *Ericaceae*
451 have been discussed elsewhere (Monaci et al. 2011; Rossini-Oliva et al. 2018).

452 A comprehensive recognition of the multivariate plant-soil relationships for the two
453 species investigated in this study can be drawn from the biplots of Fig. 6. Soil dataset
454 was distinguished by the PCA according to the geochemical properties referable to the
455 mineralogical composition (sulfide metallic deposits) of the ores of the IPB (i.e. Fe ox,
456 Pb, Cu, S, CEC, pH etc.). In particular, the PCA grouped sampling sites of this study
457 according to the physical-chemical features of the growth substrate of *E. australis* and
458 *N. oleander*. Multivariate Analysis on leaf element datasets failed to discriminate
459 between different sampling sites of *E. australis* within the mining areas, neither
460 between the mining and the control areas. Instead, *N. oleander* revealed, in comparison
461 to *E. australis*, a multi-elemental pattern of accumulation in leaves probably resulting
462 from a less tightly controlled homeostatic regulation, at least in the range of the
463 investigated edaphic environments. From this evidence, it can be inferred that *N.*
464 *oleander* specimens from contaminated areas are more prone to be enriched of
465 potentially toxic elements in the aerial plant parts. Concerning this feature, *N. oleander*
466 does not appear ideal for use in phytostabilization programs, because of the potential
467 risk of metal mobilization from mining waste to different components of the ecosystem,
468 through the food chain. Nevertheless, considering the effectiveness of *N. oleander* in
469 trapping metal-bearing atmospheric particulate (Fernández Espinosa and Rossini-Oliva,
470 2006) and its considerable individual biomass with respect to other metallophytes of the
471 IPB, this plant species may play a major role in mitigating the impact of wind and water
472 erosion of mining waste dumps. In this respect, the contribution of *N. oleander* in

473 phytostabilization of mining sites in semiarid Mediterranean areas is worth of further
474 investigation.

475

476 **Conclusions**

477

478 The plant species of this study, *E. australis* and *N. oleander*, presented large tolerance
479 to a wide range of potential hazardous elements concentrations in soils, strongly
480 impoverished in essential macro- and micronutrients, as well as to other unfavorable
481 edaphic conditions for survival and growth. Being native plants of the IPB, *E. australis*
482 and *N. oleander* are naturally endowed with traits that make them capable to withstand
483 to selective pressures exerted by such as water-limited, metalliferous and unstable
484 habitats. Overall, our results revealed that *E. australis* did not show nutrient unbalance,
485 critical load of phytotoxic elements, or any breakdown in its elemental signature, even
486 under the harshest conditions of the Riotinto mining area. This behavior indicates an
487 effective and controlled homeostasis that makes *E. australis* the ideal candidate to
488 promote primary colonization of mine tailings, dumps and other mine wastes. Not
489 secondarily, *E. australis* do not accumulate high concentration of toxic elements in the
490 aerial parts, and this accomplishes a main requirement for plant species uses in
491 phytostabilization programs, that is impeding the potential transfer of pollutants to
492 consumers through the food chain. It can be assumed that primary colonization by *E.*
493 *australis* and other *Ericaceae* at bare and exposed mining sites can initiate a relatively
494 more stable and diverse vegetation cover so contributing to the mitigation of the most
495 restrictive physical disturbances or stresses that made that original substrate unsuitable
496 for plant growth. Further studies devoted to creating an accurate scientific knowledge of
497 the biogeochemical factors that determine such as successional changes is a main
498 prerequisite to create favorable conditions for phytostabilization programs on metal-
499 enriched soils and abandoned mining dumps of semiarid Mediterranean regions.

500

501 **Acknowledgments**

502

503 The authors thank Dr. Eduardo O. Leidi of the Spanish National Research Council
504 (CSIC), Institute for Natural Resources and Agrobiology of Sevilla for discussions and
505 comments on the manuscript. This work was partially granted by MICINN contract
506 CGL2006/02860 and by Fundación Areces.

507

508 **References**

509

510 Abreu, M. M., Tavares, M. T., & Batista, M. J. (2008). Potential use of *Erica*
511 *andevalensis* and *Erica australis* in phytoremediation of sulphide mine
512 environments: São Domingos, Portugal. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*,
513 96(2–3), 210–222.

514 Abreu, M.M., Magalhaes, M.C.F., 2009. Phytostabilization of soils in mining areas.
515 Case studies from Portugal. In: Aachen, L. & Eichmann, P. (eds.). *Soil*
516 *remediation* (pp. 297-344). New York: Nova Science Publishers.

517 Arias, J. A., Peralta-Videa, J. R., Ellzey, J. T., Ren, M., Viveros, M. N., & Gardea-
518 Torresdey, J. L. (2010). Effects of *Glomus deserticola* inoculation on Prosopis:
519 Enhancing chromium and lead uptake and translocation as confirmed by X-ray
520 mapping, ICP-OES and TEM techniques. *Environmental and Experimental*
521 *Botany*, 68(2), 139-148.

522 Bonnail, E., Macías, F., & Osta, V. (2019). Ecological improvement assessment of a
523 passive remediation technology for acid mine drainage: Water quality
524 biomonitoring using bivalves. *Chemosphere*, 219, 695–703.

525 Bray, R.H., & Kurtz, L.T. (1945). Determination of total, organic, and available forms
526 of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science*, 59, 39–45.

527 Cabrera, F., Clemente, L., Díaz-Barrientos, E., López, R. & Murillo, J.M. (1999):
528 Heavy metal pollution of soils affected by the Guadiamar toxic flood. *The*
529 *Science of Total Environment*, 242,117-129.

530 Canha, N., Freitas, M. C., Anawar, H. M., Dionísio, I., Dung, H. M., Pinto-Gomes, C.,
531 & Bettencourt, A. (2010). Characterization and phytoremediation of abandoned
532 contaminated mining area in Portugal by INAA. *Journal of Radioanalytical and*
533 *Nuclear Chemistry*, 286(2), 577–582.

534 Cánovas, C. R., Hubbard, C. G., Olías, M., Nieto, J. M., Black, S., & Coleman, M. L.
535 (2008). Hydrochemical variations and contaminant load in the Río Tinto (Spain)
536 during flood events. *Journal of Hydrology*, 350(1-2), 25–40.

537 Castillo, S., de la Rosa, J. D., Sánchez de la Campa, A. M., González-Castanedo, Y.,
538 Fernández-Caliani, J. C., Gonzalez, I., & Romero, A. (2013). Contribution of mine
539 wastes to atmospheric metal deposition in the surrounding area of an abandoned
540 heavily polluted mining district (Rio Tinto mines, Spain). *The Science of the Total*

541 *Environment*, 449, 363–72.

542 Chen, C., Zhang, H., Wang, A., Lu, M., Shen, Z., & Lian, C. (2015). Phenotypic
543 plasticity accounts for most of the variation in leaf manganese concentrations in
544 *Phytolacca americana* growing in manganese-contaminated environments. *Plant*
545 *and Soil*, 396(1–2), 215–227.

546 Chopin E.I.B., & Alloway B.J. (2007). Trace element partitioning and soil particle
547 characterization around mining and smelting areas at Tharsis, Riotinto and
548 Huelva, SW Spain. *Science of Total Environment*, 373, 488-500.

549 de la Fuente, V., Rufo, L., Rodríguez, N., Amils, R., & Zuluaga, J. (2010). Metal
550 accumulation screening of the Río Tinto flora (Huelva, Spain). *Biological Trace*
551 *Element Research*, 134(3), 318–41.

552 Dickinson, N.M., Baker, A.J.M., Doronilla, A., Laidlaw, S. & Reeves, R.D. 2009.
553 Phytoremediation of inorganics: realism and synergies. *International Journal of*
554 *Phytoremediation*, 11, 97-114.

555 Doumas, P., Munoz, M., Banni, M., Becerra, S., Bruneel, O., Casiot, C., et al. (2018).
556 Polymetallic pollution from abandoned mines in Mediterranean regions: a
557 multidisciplinary approach to environmental risks. *Regional Environmental*
558 *Change*, 18(3), 677–692.

559 Ernst, W.H.O. (2005). Phytoextraction of mine wastes – Options and impossibilities.
560 *Chemie der Erde*, 65, 29-42.

561 Fernández-Caliani, J. C. (2012). Risk-based assessment of multimetallic soil pollution
562 in the industrialized peri-urban area of Huelva, Spain. *Environmental*
563 *Geochemistry and Health*, 34(1), 123–39.

564 Fernández-Caliani, J. C., Barba-Brioso, C., González, I., & Galán, E. (2008). Heavy
565 metal pollution in soils around the abandoned mine sites of the Iberian pyrite belt
566 (southwest Spain). *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 200(1-4), 211–226.

567 Fernández Espinosa, A.J., Rossini-Oliva, S. (2006). The composition and relationships
568 between trace element levels in inhalable atmospheric particles (PM10) and in
569 leaves of *Nerium oleander* L. and *Lantana camara* L. *Chemosphere* 62, 1665-
570 1672.

571 Fernández-Remolar, D.C., Prieto-Ballesteros, O., Gómez-Ortíz, D., Fernández-
572 Sampedro, M. P. Sarrazin, P., Gailhanou, M., & Amils, R. (2011). Río Tinto
573 sedimentary mineral assemblages: A terrestrial perspective that suggests some
574 formation pathways of phyllosilicates on Mars. *Icarus* 211, 114-138.

- 575 Franco, A., Rufo, L., & de la Fuente, V. (2012). Metal concentration and distribution in
576 plant tissues of *Nerium oleander* (*Apocynaceae*, *Plantae*) from extremely acidic
577 and less extremely acidic water courses in the Río Tinto area (Huelva, Spain).
578 *Ecological Engineering*, 47, 87–91.
- 579 Freitas H., Prasad M.N.V., & Pratas J. (2004). Plant community tolerant to trace
580 elements growing on the degraded soils of São Domingos mine in the south east
581 of Portugal: environmental implications. *Environment International*, 30, 65-72.
- 582 Galán, E., Fernández-Caliani, J.C., González, I., Aparicio, P., & Romero, A. (2008).
583 Influence of geological setting on geochemical baselines of trace elements in
584 soils. Application to soils of South–West Spain. *Journal of Geochemical*
585 *Exploration*, 98, 89-106.
- 586 García Palomero, F. (1992). Mineralizaciones de Riotinto (Huelva): geología, génesis y
587 modelos geológicos para su explotación y evaluación de reservas mineras. In:
588 García Guinea, J. & Martínez Frías, J. (Eds.). *Recursos minerales de España*,
589 (pp.1325-1352). Madrid: CSIC.
- 590 Ginocchio, R., León-Lobos, P., Arellano, E. C., Anic, V., Ovalle, J. F., & Baker, A. J.
591 M. (2017). Soil physicochemical factors as environmental filters for spontaneous
592 plant colonization of abandoned tailing dumps. *Environmental Science and*
593 *Pollution Research*, 24(15), 13484–13496.
- 594 Hakansson, L. (1980). An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A
595 sedimentological approach. *Water Resources*, 14, 975–1001.
- 596 Holmgren, G.G.S., (1967). A rapid dithionite–citrate extractable iron procedure. *Soil*
597 *Science Society of America Proceedings*, 31, 210–211.
- 598 Kabata-Pendias, A., & Pendias, H. (2011). *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*. Boca
599 Raton: CRC Press.
- 600 Kuta, E., Jedrzejczyk-Korycińska, M., Cieślak, E., Rostański, A., Szczepaniak, M.,
601 Migdalek, G., et al. (2014). Morphological versus genetic diversity of *Viola*
602 *reichenbachiana* and *V. riviniana* (sect. *Viola*, *Violaceae*) from soils differing in
603 heavy metal content. *Plant Biology*, 16(5), 924-934.
- 604 Leistel J.M., Marcoux E., Thiéblemont D., Quesada C., Sánchez A., Almodovar G.R.,
605 Pascual E., & Sáez R. (1998). The volcanic-hosted massive sulphidic deposits of
606 the Iberian Pyritic Belt. *Mineralium Deposita*, 33, 2-30.

- 607 Liu, W. H., Zhao, J. Z., Ouyang, Z. Y., Söderlund, L., & Liu, G. H. (2005). Impacts of
608 sewage irrigation on heavy metal distribution and contamination in Beijing,
609 China. *Environment International*, 31(6), 805–812.
- 610 Lottermoser, B. G. (2010). *Mine Wastes: Characterization, Treatment and*
611 *Environmental Impacts* (p. 400). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- 612 Maestri, E., Marmiroli, M., Visioli, G., & Marmiroli, N. (2010). Metal tolerance and
613 hyperaccumulation: Costs and trade-offs between traits and environment.
614 *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 68(1), 1-13.
- 615 Markert, B. (1996). *Instrumental Element and Multi-element Analysis of Plant Samples*
616 *Methods and Applications*. Chichester, USA: Wiley & Sons.
- 617 Márquez-García, B., Hidalgo, P. J., & Córdoba, F. (2009). Effect of different media
618 composition on the micropropagation of *Erica andevalensis*, a metal accumulator
619 species growing in mining areas (SW Spain). *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 31(3),
620 661–666.
- 621 Marschner, P. (2011). *Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*. Amsterdam:
622 Academic Press.
- 623 McGrath, S.P., & Zhao, F.J. (2003). Phytoextraction of metals and metalloids from
624 contaminated soils. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 14, 277–282.
- 625 Meier, L.P., & Kahr, G. (1999). Determination of the cation exchange capacity (CEC)
626 of clay minerals using the complexes of copper(II) ion with triethylenetetramine
627 and tetraethylenepentamine. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 47, 386–388.
- 628 Mendez, M. O., & Maier, R. M. (2007). Phytoremediation of mine tailings in temperate
629 and arid environments. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*,
630 7(1), 47–59.
- 631 Mendez, M.O., & Maier, R.M. (2008). Phytoremediation of mine tailings in arid and
632 semiarid environments-and emerging remediation technology. *Environmental*
633 *Health Perspectives*, 116, 278-283.
- 634 Monaci, F., Leidi, E. O., Mingorance, M. D., Valdés, B., Oliva, S. R., & Bargagli, R.
635 (2011). Selective uptake of major and trace elements in *Erica andevalensis*, an
636 endemic species to extreme habitats in the Iberian Pyrite Belt. *Journal of*
637 *Environmental Sciences*, 23(3), 444–452.
- 638 Moreno, D.R. (1978). *Clasificación de pH del suelo, contenido de sales y nutrientes*
639 *asimilables*. México D.F.: INIA-SARH.
- 640 Napoli, M., Cecchi, S., Grassi, C., Baldi, A., Zanchi, C. A., & Orlandini, S. (2019).

641 Phytoextraction of copper from a contaminated soil using arable and vegetable
642 crops. *Chemosphere*, 219, 122–129.

643 Oliveira, M. L. S., Ward, C. R., Izquierdo, M., Sampaio, C. H., de Brum, I. a S.,
644 Kautzmann, R. M., Sabedot, S., et al. (2012). Chemical composition and minerals
645 in pyrite ash of an abandoned sulfuric acid production plant. *The Science of the*
646 *Total Environment*, 430, 34–47.

647 Pourrut, B., Shahid, M., Dumat, C., Winterton, P., & Pinelli, E. (2011). Lead Uptake,
648 Toxicity, and Detoxification in Plants. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination*
649 *and Toxicology*, 213, 113–136.

650 Quevauviller, P., Lachica, M., Barahona, E., Gómez, A., Rauret, G., Ure, A., & Muntau,
651 H. (1998). Certified reference material for the quality control of EDTA- and
652 DTPA extractable trace metal contents in calcareous soil (CRM 600). *Fresenius'*
653 *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 360, 505–511.

654 Rahman, M.A., Reichman, S.M., De Filippis, L., Sany, S.B.T., & Hasegawa, H. (2016).
655 Phytoremediation of toxic metals in soils and wetlands: concepts and applications.
656 In: Hiroshi Hasegawa, et al. (Eds.), *Environmental Remediation Technologies for*
657 *Metal-contaminated Soils* (pp. 161-195). Tokyo: Springer.

658 Rascio, N., & Navari-Izzo, F. (2011). Heavy metal hyperaccumulating plants: How and
659 why do they do it? And what makes them so interesting? *Plant Science*, 180(2),
660 169–181.

661 Rivera, M. B., Giráldez, M. I., & Fernández-Caliani, J. C. (2016). Assessing the
662 environmental availability of heavy metals in geogenically contaminated soils of
663 the Sierra de Aracena Natural Park (SW Spain). Is there a health risk? *Science of*
664 *The Total Environment*, 560–561, 254–265.

665 Rodríguez, N., Amils, R., Jiménez-Ballesta, R., Rufo, L., & De La Fuente, V. (2007).
666 Heavy metal content in *Erica andevalensis*: An endemic plant from the extreme
667 acidic environment of tinto river and its soils. *Arid Land Research and*
668 *Management*, 21(1), 51–65.

669 Romero, A., González, I., & Galán, E. (2006). Estimation of potential pollution of waste
670 mining dumps at Peña del Hierro (Pyrite Belt, SW Spain) as a base for future
671 mitigation actions. *Applied Geochemistry*, 21(7), 1093–1108.

672 Rossini Oliva, S., Bargagli, R., Monaci, F., Valdés, B., Mingorance, M.D., & Leidi, E.,
673 (2009a). Stress responses of *Erica andevalensis* Cabezudo & Rivera plants

674 induced by polluted water from Tinto River (SW Spain). *Ecotoxicology* 18, 1058-
675 1067.

676 Rossini Oliva, S., Mingorance, M. D., Valdés, B., & Leidi, E. O. (2009b). Uptake,
677 localisation and physiological changes in response to copper excess in *Erica*
678 *andevalensis*. *Plant and Soil*, 328(1–2), 411–420.

679 Rossini-Oliva, S., Abreu, M. M., & Leidi, E. O. (2018). A review of hazardous elements
680 tolerance in a metallophyte model species: *Erica andevalensis*. *Geoderma*, 319,
681 43–51.

682 Rufo, L., Nuria Rodríguez, N., Amils, R., de la Fuente, V., & Jiménez-Ballesta, R.,
683 (2007). Surface geochemistry of soils associated to the Tinto River (Huelva,
684 Spain). *Science of the Total Environment*, 378, 223-227.

685 Rufo, L., Rodríguez, N., & de la Fuente, V. (2011). Plant communities of extreme
686 acidic waters: The Rio Tinto case. *Aquatic Botany*, 95(2), 129–139.

687 Salminen, R., Batista, M. J., Bidovec, M., Demetriades, A., De Vivo, B., De Vos, B., et
688 al. (2005). *FOREGS Geochemical Atlas of Europe. Part 1. Background*
689 *Information, Methodology, and Maps*. Espoo: Geological Survey of Finland.

690 Salt, D.E., Smith, R.D., & Raskin, I. (1998). Phytoremediation. *Annual Review of Plant*
691 *Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, 49, 643–668.

692 Sánchez de la Campa, A. M., de la Rosa, J. D., Fernández-Caliani, J. C., & González-
693 Castanedo, Y. (2011). Impact of abandoned mine waste on atmospheric respirable
694 particulate matter in the historic mining district of Rio Tinto (Iberian Pyrite Belt).
695 *Environmental research*, 111(8), 1018–23.

696 Sarmiento, a M., Nieto, J. M., Casiot, C., Elbaz-Poulichet, F., & Egal, M. (2009).
697 Inorganic arsenic speciation at river basin scales: the Tinto and Odiel rivers in the
698 Iberian Pyrite Belt, SW Spain. *Environmental pollution*, 157(4), 1202–9.

699 Shahid, M., Dumat, C., Khalid, S., Schreck, E., Xiong, T., & Niazi, N. K. (2016). Foliar
700 heavy metal uptake, toxicity and detoxification in plants: A comparison of foliar
701 and root metal uptake. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 325, 36–58.

702 Sharma, S. S., Dietz, K. J., & Mimura, T. (2016). Vacuolar compartmentalization as
703 indispensable component of heavy metal detoxification in plants. *Plant Cell and*
704 *Environment*, 39(5), 1112–1126.

705 Sims, D. B., Hooda, P. S., & Gillmore, G. K. (2013). Mining Activities and Associated
706 Environmental Impacts in Arid Climates: A Literature Review. *Environment and*
707 *Pollution*, 2(4), 22–43.

- 708 Soldevilla, M., Maranon, T., & Cabrera, F. (1992). Heavy metal content in soil and
709 plants from a pyrite mining area in southwest Spain. *Communication in Soil and*
710 *Plant Analysis*, 23, 1301–1319.
- 711 Tomlinson, D.L., Wilson, J.G., Harris, C.R. & Jeffrey, D.W. (1980). Problems in the
712 assessments of heavy-metal levels in estuaries and formation of a pollution index.
713 *Helgol Meeresunters* 33:566-575.
- 714 Trigueros, D., Mingorance, M. D., & Rossini Oliva, S. (2012). Evaluation of the ability
715 of *Nerium oleander* L. to remediate Pb-contaminated soils. *Journal of*
716 *Geochemical Exploration*, 114, 126–133.
- 717 Venkateswarlu, K., Nirola, R., Kuppusamy, S., Thavamani, P., Naidu, R., & Megharaj,
718 M. (2016). Abandoned metalliferous mines: ecological impacts and potential
719 approaches for reclamation. *Reviews in Environmental Science and*
720 *Bio/Technology*, 15(2), 327–354.
- 721

722 FIGURE CAPTIONS

723

724 **Fig. 1** Sampling sites in the study area of Riotinto

725

726 **Fig. 2** Pattern of total element concentrations in plant soils from Riotinto. Inset a:
727 median element concentrations and geochemical top-soil baselines for Europe (median
728 values; Salminen et al., 2005). Inset b: contamination factor (CF, means) and Pollution
729 Load Index (PLI) for *E. australis* and *N. oleander*

730

731 **Fig. 3** Average concentrations (mg kg^{-1} ; \pm standard deviation) of major and trace
732 elements in root cortex of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* specimens from Riotinto and the
733 control areas. Overlaid scatterplots (right ordinal axis) show the median of Exclusion
734 Coefficients (ECs) of each area/plant species

735

736 **Fig. 4** Average concentrations (mg kg^{-1} ; \pm standard deviation) of major and trace
737 elements in leaves of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* specimens from Riotinto and the
738 control areas. Overlaid scatterplots (right ordinal axis) show the median of
739 Bioaccumulation Factors (BFs) of each area/plant species

740

741 **Fig. 5** Translocation Factors of *E. australis* and *N. oleander* specimens from Riotinto
742 and the control areas

743

744 **Fig. 6** Biplot projection of original data in the vector space defined by the Principal
745 Component Analysis of soils and leaves of *E. australis* (AU) and *N. oleander* (OL)
746 from Riotinto and the control areas

747

748