

Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 74, No. 19 pp. 6023–6039, 2023 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erad291 Advance Access Publication 24 July 2023



### **REVIEW PAPER**

# Photorespiration: regulation and new insights on the potential role of persulfidation

Angeles Aroca<sup>1,2,1</sup>, Inmaculada García-Díaz<sup>2</sup>, Margarita García-Calderón<sup>2,1</sup>, Cecilia Gotor<sup>1,1</sup>, Antonio J. Márguez<sup>2</sup> and Marco Betti<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Bioquímica Vegetal y Fotosíntesis (Universidad de Sevilla, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas), Américo Vespucio 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Bioquímica Vegetal y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Sevilla, C/Profesor García González, 1, 41012 Sevilla, Spain

\* Correspondence: mbetti@us.es

Received 5 April 2023; Editorial decision 17 July 2023; Accepted 21 July 2023

Editor: Stanislav Kopriva, University of Cologne, Germany

### Abstract

Photorespiration has been considered a 'futile' cycle in  $C_3$  plants, necessary to detoxify and recycle the metabolites generated by the oxygenating activity of Rubisco. However, several reports indicate that this metabolic route plays a fundamental role in plant metabolism and constitutes a very interesting research topic. Many open questions still remain with regard to photorespiration. One of these questions is how the photorespiratory process is regulated in plants and what factors contribute to this regulation. In this review, we summarize recent advances in the regulation of the photorespiratory pathway with a special focus on the transcriptional and post-translational regulation of photorespiration and the interconnections of this process with nitrogen and sulfur metabolism. Recent findings on sulfide signaling and protein persulfidation are also described.

Keywords: Nitrogen metabolism, photorespiration, protein persulfidation, proteomics, sulfur metabolism, transcription factors.

### Introduction

Life emerged >3.5 billion years ago under an anoxygenic atmosphere where ancient bacteria were able to carry out anoxygenic photosynthesis, which does not produce oxygen. Nevertheless, oxygenic photosynthesis evolved driven by ancestors of cyanobacteria, triggering a change in the atmosphere composition, enriching it in oxygen to the 21% concentration of today. Concomitantly, carbon dioxide was assimilated into biomass and, therefore, as a result of oxygenic photosynthesis expansion, the atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration decreased (Lyons *et al.*, 2014). These atmosphere forced the evolutionary phenomena of a new metabolic pathway intrinsically linked to photosynthesis, named photorespiration. Photorespiration therefore originated from the biochemical properties of Rubisco, the first enzyme involved in the  $CO_2$  fixation pathway through the Calvin–Benson–Bassham (CBB) cycle. Rubisco, in addition to its carboxylase activity, can also catalyze the oxygenation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP). Due to the increase in oxygen concentration in the atmosphere, the oxygenation of RuBP by the oxygenase activity of Rubisco produces a toxic metabolite, 2-phosphoglycolate (2PG), that must be detoxified (Tolbert, 1997). This

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 6024 | Aroca et al.

detoxification is carried out by a complex pathway, which includes several enzymatic conversions along the chloroplast, peroxisome, and mitochondria, where two 2PGs are converted to 3-phosphoglycerate (3PGA) to replenish the CBB cycle, with loss of CO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 1). Photorespiration corresponds to the second most important process based on carbon flow in the terrestrial biosphere, surpassed only by photosynthesis (Bauwe et al., 2012). However, photorespiration is often considered wasteful (Betti et al., 2016) since it releases CO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>, and it consumes ATP and reducing power for the reassimilation of NH<sub>3</sub>. Consequently, during the last two decades, the greatest challenge for plant researchers has been bypassing photorespiration through different approaches, with the goal of increasing photosynthesis and consequently the yield of crops (Betti et al., 2016; Fernie and Bauwe, 2020). In fact, several groups have established different 'photorespiratory bypasses' by introducing new metabolic pathways into the plant. Such studies have been carried out in both model plants (Eisenhut et al., 2019; Cavanagh et al., 2022) and crop plants, such as rice (Shen et al., 2019; Wang *et al.*, 2020), where the introduction of photorespiratory bypass led to an increase in seed yield, and tobacco, where a synthetic glycolate pathway greatly increased biomass production (South *et al.*, 2019). A description of the different approaches used for bypassing photorespiration can be found in other recent works (Fernie and Bauwe, 2020; Hodges, 2022).

However, several studies have recently indicated that suppressing any photorespiratory reaction usually leads to detrimental outcomes for plants. A very large body of evidence shows that many essential processes, such as nitrogen and sulfur assimilation, depend on photorespiration, as will be described later in this review (Bloom *et al.*, 2002; Abadie and Tcherkez, 2019). Therefore, the fact that the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is clearly predicted to increase, resulting in a decrease in the photorespiratory rate, this might threaten crop yield and food quality by reducing the protein concentration in harvests.

Plants are sessile organisms that must cope with several environmental stresses, such as heat, cold, salt, heavy metals,



**Fig. 1.** Scheme of the photorespiratory cycle and its connection with sulfur and nitrogen metabolism. (1) Rubisco; (2) PGLP, phosphoglycolate phosphatase; (3) GOX, glycolate oxidase; (4) CAT, catalase; (5) GGAT, glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase; (6) GDC, glycine decarboxylase complex; (7) SHMT, serine hydroxymethyltransferase; (8) SGAT, serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase; (9) HPR, hydroxypyruvate reductase; (10) GLYK, glycerate kinase; (11) NR, nitrate reductase; (12) NiR, nitrite reductase; (13) GS, glutamine synthetase; (14) GOGAT, glutamine:oxoglutarate aminotransferase; (15) ATPS, ATP sulfurylase; (16) APR, APS reductase; (17) SIR, sulfite reductase; (18) OASTL, *O*-acetylserine(thiol)lyase; (19) SERAT, serine acetyl-transferase. THF is linked to C1 units.

hypoxia, and drought. These stresses often provoke a disequilibrium in redox cellular homeostasis, increasing the intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) level, which might cause cellular damage, ultimately causing cell death and affecting crop yield worldwide as a result of a decrease in plant growth or disturbing fruit development. Several studies have confirmed that photorespiration is crucial for plant acclimation to several stress conditions, such as drought (Wingler et al., 1999b), high light (Huang et al., 2015; Z. Wang et al., 2022), salinity (Ziotti et al., 2019), and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Eisenhut et al., 2017). In fact, new roles of photorespiration have recently emerged beyond what was previously assumed to be a wasteful process. Therefore, photorespiration has become an important part of stress responses in plants that prevents the accumulation of ROS, even though photorespiration itself is a process that leads to ROS production (Voss et al., 2013). Under abiotic stress conditions, plants not only show excess ROS production but also frequently show damage to membrane structures due to lipid peroxidation and an imbalance in ATP/NAD(P)H requirements (Voss et al., 2013; Mignolet-Spruyt et al., 2016). Therefore, in addition to the antioxidant defense mechanism, they have further mechanisms to protect themselves from the energy imbalance (Miller et al., 2010; Golldack et al., 2014; Mignolet-Spruyt et al., 2016), which might directly lead to photoinhibition or photooxidation of photosystems (Walker et al., 2014). Photorespiration is important in cell energetics, regenerating acceptors for primary reactions, and using reducing equivalents and ATP, thus protecting plants from photooxidation (Foyer et al., 2009; Voss

Considering all these assumptions, it appears that photorespiration is no longer seen as a simple pathway to detoxify metabolic intermediates or recycle carbons from 2PG into 3PGA. There is an increasing amount of evidence that this pathway plays a central role in several essential metabolic functions and in the response to abiotic stress. Hence, understanding how photorespiration is regulated is a very important issue for current research. In fact, many photorespiratory enzymes have been identified as targets for several redox post-translational modifications (PTMs), and numerous studies have highlighted their sensitivity to oxidative conditions (Bartsch et al., 2010). Nevertheless, other aspects of the regulatory mechanisms of photorespiration are scarce, even if the genetics and biochemistry of the photorespiratory pathway are well known (Timm and Hagemann, 2020; Hodges, 2022). Based on the importance of this metabolic pathway, especially in the context of climate change, several researchers are working to understand the dynamic regulation of photorespiration in response to environmental changes and nutrient availability. Therefore, in this review, we aim to summarize the current knowledge on the regulation of the photorespiratory pathway at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and post-translational levels, mostly related to the interactions of photorespiration with nitrogen and sulfur metabolism.

et al., 2013).

# Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of photorespiration

Since photorespiration is intimately intertwined with photosynthesis, it is not surprising that light is an inducer of the expression of photorespiratory genes. However, there are other effectors that modulate the expression of these genes. Here, we summarize some of the main advances in the knowledge of the transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of photorespiration.

#### Light as a regulatory signal

In addition to its role as an energy source for plants, light can also be used as a signal to trigger distinct physiological processes, including photorespiration. Similar to photosynthetic genes, genes encoding core enzymes of the photorespiratory pathway are up-regulated after exposure to light (Foyer *et al.*, 2009).

The diurnal variation in the transcription of photorespiratory genes has been extensively analyzed in the model organism Arabidopsis thaliana, as well as in other species. Light-responsive elements (LREs) are conserved regulatory motifs located within 5'-upstream regions which act as *cis*-regulatory elements involved in the control of transcription through the interaction with nuclear protein factors (Giuliano et al., 1988; Gilmartin et al., 1990). These LREs mediate the light induction of photorespiratory genes. For example, the l-box is present in the HPR-A gene of cucumber (for a list of the abbreviations of the gene names, see the legend of Fig. 2), which also contains a G-box motif (Sloan et al., 1993), which was also detected in the CAT2 gene of Arabidopsis (Laxa, 2017). GT-boxes have been identified in the GDC-H gene of Arabidopsis (Srinivasan and Oliver, 1995), and a GT1-binding motif is present in the GDC-T gene of pea (Pisum sativum). In this same gene, a tandem GATA motif and an AT-rich sequence equivalent to an AT-1 box have also been detected (Vauclare et al., 1998). Furthermore, the presence of dark-dependent repressors such as those suggested for HPR-A of cucumber and GDC-T of pea seems to be involved (Sloan et al., 1993; Srinivasan and Oliver, 1995). The tobacco GOX gene is also regulated by light, but indirectly, depending on the development of plastids (Barak et al., 2001). It was proposed that after light exposure, a signal originating from developing chloroplasts, which is specifically perceived in the nucleus by the promoter, drives transcription (Barak et al., 2001).

On the other hand, Igamberdiev *et al.* (2014) suggested that the levels of the mitochondrial photorespiratory enzymes glycine decarboxylase (GDC) and serine hydroxymethyltransferase (SHMT) on the surface of leaves, closer to the top, could be determined by gradients of light. A mechanism dependent on the phytochrome triggered by  $Ca^{2+}$  and cGMP was postulated that leads to an interaction between the active phytochrome conformation and the phytochrome-interacting basic helix– loop–helix transcription factors (PIFs), which bind to specific sequences located within the promoter, leading to higher expression of these genes where the light is more intense.

#### $CO_2$ as a regulatory signal

How the levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> may influence the expression of photorespiratory genes has been poorly studied. Analysis of the whole transcriptome from the leaves of wild-type plants of the model legume Lotus japonicus grown under non-photorespiratory conditions (NPC; 0.7% v/v CO<sub>2</sub>) compared with active photorespiratory conditions (APC; normal air) has been carried out (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013), but no significant changes were detected in the transcript levels of photorespiratory genes. In contrast, other genes related to carbon assimilation, histones, and cell division were the most significantly modulated, as could be expected because of the differences in CO<sub>2</sub> levels, which also produced differences in the growth rate of the plants. Interestingly, secondary metabolism pathways, such as the biosynthesis of flavonoids, were also modulated. Later works have further analyzed the connection between photorespiration and (iso)flavonoid biosynthesis in this plant (García-Calderón et al., 2015, 2020). In agreement with recent findings of our group in L. japonicus, Arabidopsis plants shifted from high CO<sub>2</sub> to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> levels did not show significant transcriptional changes in the expression of photorespiratory genes (Eisenhut et al., 2017). Altogether, these data suggest that the regulation of the photorespiratory pathway by CO2 tends more toward 'quick' regulation at the level of enzyme activities (Timm, 2020). The effect of high CO<sub>2</sub> levels on plant metabolism has several layers of complexity due to the closure of stomata and high carbon content, and all these effects should be taken into consideration when performing experiments under a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere.

# Chromatin reorganization and the regulatory role of introns

The position of nucleosomes strongly influences the ability of proteins and transcription factors (TFs) to bind to DNA target sites. In Arabidopsis, the region of the GDC-P1 promoter where an M-box is located has a low nucleosome density compared with adjacent regions, independent of diurnal regulation, suggesting an open chromatin structure that makes TF binding easier (Adwy et al., 2015). The nucleosome-depleted region on the CAT2 promoter in Arabidopsis correlates with higher gene expression, which is a direct consequence of a higher abundance of histone modifications and RNA polymerase II binding to the CAT2 locus, hallmarks of an active gene (Laxa, 2017). Although CAT2 is predicted to be the photorespiratory gene with the highest number of *cis*-elements in its 5'-upstream region (Laxa and Fromm, 2018), the increase in H3K4me3 and H3K9ac, which allows an open nucleosome arrangement, is specifically influenced by the presence of Box1 and Box2 within the promoter, both identified as GBF1-binding factor sites (Laxa, 2017). On the other hand, the existence of sets of inverted and direct DNA repeats, such as those observed in the promoter region of GDC-T from pea, could lead to the formation of secondary structures that might influence the control of gene expression (Vauclare *et al.*, 1998).

Introns placed within the 5'-untranslated regions (UTRs) are more abundant in the photorespiratory genes of Arabidopsis, mainly in peroxisomal genes (Laxa and Fromm, 2018). Among them is GGAT1, whose 5'UTR intron, rich in CT stretches, in addition to conferring leaf-specific expression on other exogenous promoters such as GGAT2, GDC-P1, or GDC-P2, is able to improve the expression through a regulation mechanism known as intron-mediated enhancement (IME), which occurs at the transcriptional level and affects the level of RNA polymerase II binding (Laxa *et al.*, 2016). Similar enhancing effects are expected to be associated with the 5'UTR introns of SHM1, GOX, SGAT, and GLYK, as revealed by the high IMEter scores predicted by Laxa and Fromm (2018).

A bioinformatic analysis carried out in Arabidopsis predicted that spliced transcripts could be detected for the genes GGAT1 and SGAT, which encode photorespiratory enzymes (Laxa and Fromm, 2018). In the case of GGAT2, one of the two peroxisomal glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase isoforms present in Arabidopsis, there are up to four different splice forms that differ in the length of the 5'UTR and the position and length of the 5'UTR intron, although one of them seems to be the most abundant (Laxa et al., 2016). The Arabidopsis GGAT2 gene is induced at the beginning of the photoperiod, while GGAT1 is repressed (Peterhansel et al., 2010). In pumpkin (Cucurbita maxima), it has been suggested that alternative splicing of an intron controls the subcellular localization of HPR since two HPR proteins are generated from a single pre-mRNA. HPR1 is targeted to the peroxisome, whereas HPR2 remains in the cytosol, and its synthesis is induced by light (Mano et al., 1999).

Wiludda et al. (2012) proposed that inefficient splicing of an intron in the 5'UTR of GDC-PA transcripts in Flaveria trinervia apparently promotes RNA decay. In addition, in this same species, the phenomenon of alternative splicing was also described for the GDC-H gene, where two generated mRNAs, differing in the length of their coding regions, encode two isoproteins with distinct organ specificity: one predominates in roots, and the other predominates in leaves and stems (Kopriva et al., 1995). Kopriva et al. (1996) demonstrated that this kind of alternative splicing in the gene encoding the H-subunit of GDC is a hallmark not only of *F. trinervia* but also of all advanced *Flaveria* C<sub>4</sub> species. In C<sub>4</sub> plants, photorespiration is restricted to the bundle sheath and is missing in the mesophyll. Most probably, the described changes in the expression and splicing of GDC-H transcripts are part of the tissue-specific expression of these genes and part of the stepwise evolution of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis.

#### Metabolic signals as transcriptional regulators

Several studies have shown that the overexpression or downregulation of some genes encoding photorespiratory enzymes, such as GGAT, SGAT, or HPR, in Arabidopsis, barley, or rice

transgenic plants can alter the levels of several key photorespiratory metabolites compared with wild-type plants (Wingler et al., 1999a; Igarashi et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2015; Modde et al., 2017), including serine and glycine. Glycine acts as an inducer of several photorespiratory genes, such as PGLP, GDC-P, GDC-T, SHM1, and GLYK (Timm et al., 2013). On the other hand, serine levels have been suggested to act as a regulatory signal (Timm et al., 2013). The level of transcriptional modulation of these genes is also proportional to the concentration of serine (Timm et al., 2013; Modde et al., 2017). Finally, a great deal of evidence also indicates that 2PG, the first photorespiratory metabolite, communicates changes in photorespiration to other metabolic pathways (Flügel et al., 2017; Timm et al., 2019; Timm, 2020). A summary of the photorespiratory genes that are known to be regulated at the transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional levels is provided in Fig. 2.

# Influence of nitrogen and sulfur metabolism on the regulation of photorespiration

Interconnections between photorespiration and nitrogen assimilation

 $C_3$  plants growing under NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as their sole source of N showed slower growth under CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment than those growing under NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Bloom *et al.*, 2002, 2012; Carlisle *et al.*, 2012).

This finding was taken as an indication of the possible interconnection between photorespiration and nitrate assimilation. However, there are also other results showing that the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the nitrogen assimilation and growth of C<sub>3</sub> vascular plants are similar regardless of the N form assimilated (Andrews et al., 2019). Further work demonstrated that conditions that decrease photorespiration (elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or low O<sub>2</sub> atmospheric concentrations) inhibit NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation in the shoots of C<sub>3</sub> plants (Bloom et al., 2002; Rachmilevitch et al., 2004; Bloom, 2015). In addition, the levels of absorption of nitrate nutrients and organic N accumulation levels in different plant species decreased when plants received  $NO_3^-$  as the sole source of N under elevated  $CO_2$  conditions (Bloom et al., 2010; Aranjuelo et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>N labeling experiments showed a diminution in NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation under CO2 enrichment (Bloom et al., 2010). Different explanations have been given to explain how a reduction in photorespiratory rates could inhibit NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation (Bloom et al., 2010). The first step of primary nitrogen assimilation is the conversion of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> in the cytoplasm of leaf mesophyll cells (Fig. 1), a process dependent on the reduced form of NAD (NADH). Photorespiration stimulates the export of malic acid from chloroplasts (Backhausen et al., 1998) and increases the availability of NADH in the cytoplasm (Igamberdiev et al., 2001). Therefore, it was considered that the diminution of photorespiration by elevated  $CO_2$ would decrease the amount of reductant available to power the



Fig. 2. Photorespiratory genes showing transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional regulation. The genes present in the figure are hydroxypyruvate reductase (HPR-A, HPR1, HPR2); catalase (CAT2); glycine decarboxylase (GDC-H, GDC-P1, GDC-T); glycolate oxidase (GOX); serine hydroxymethyltransferase (SHM1); glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase (GGAT1, GGAT2); serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase (SGAT); glycerate kinase (GLYK); and phosphoglycolate phosphatase (PGLP).

reduction of  $NO_3^{-}$ . Other physiological mechanisms that may link NO<sub>3</sub> assimilation and photorespiration are NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> translocation from the cytosol into the chloroplast and competition for reductants in the chloroplast stroma (Bloom et al., 2010). As a consequence, several studies have noted that elevated CO2 decreases the N content of plant biomass (Rachmilevitch et al., 2004; Bloom et al., 2010, 2012, 2014). Other studies do not support the idea that nitrate reduction is inhibited by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, pointing to a dilution of nitrogen-containing compounds by assimilated carbon at elevated CO2 (Krämer et al., 2022). Therefore, the possible reason for the diminished nitrogen assimilation at elevated CO2 remains controversial. Exposure to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> has repeatedly been shown to cause an increased C/N ratio of plant biomass that could result from either increased carbon or, in relation to the acquisition of carbon, reduced nitrogen assimilation (Krämer et al., 2022). Further work is still required to analyze the underlying mechanisms for the required coordination between photosynthetic carbon and nitrogen assimilation and the involvement of photorespiration. Differences in nitrate assimilation have been observed in L. japonicus plants depending on the external concentrations of  $NO_3^-$  available for the plants. Higher or lower uptake of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was observed under APC compared with NPC in plants grown at 2 mM or 0.15 mM nitrate, respectively, indicating that high- and low-affinity NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> transporters behave differently in response to photorespiration (García-Calderón, 2009). However, no significant modulation or minor induction was detected in NO3<sup>-</sup> transport or assimilatory transcripts from the transcriptomes of wild-type L. japonicus nitrate-grown plants when transferred from NPC to APC (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013, 2016). Further experiments are needed to compare plants grown with different nitrogen sources to study the regulation under these conditions at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and post-translational levels. Recent results illustrate how important changes are produced in the proteomics and C/N balance of plants under NPC versus APC (García-Calderón et al., 2023).

# Interconnections between photorespiration and biological $N_2$ fixation in legumes

Several studies have examined the interconnection between plant photorespiration and biological nitrogen fixation carried out by symbiotic rhizobacteria (Bloom *et al.*, 2012; García-Calderón *et al.*, 2012; Aranjuelo *et al.*, 2013). García-Calderón *et al.* (2012) analyzed this interaction using wild-type and photorespiratory mutants deficient in plastidic glutamine synthetase of the model legume *L. japonicus* grown under NPC and transferred to APC. The capacity to establish a symbiotic association with *Mesorhizobium loti* bacteria and the nitrogen fixation process were examined. The transfer of wild-type and mutant plants from high CO<sub>2</sub> to air conditions affected the number and fresh weight of nodules as well as the levels of nitrogenase (measured by acetylene reduction activity), which were substantially reduced compared with the plants maintained at high  $CO_2$ . These results indicated that photorespiration generates a negative influence on nodule formation, development, and function. Furthermore, photorespiratory mutant nodules were considerably more affected than wild-type nodules after the transfer of plants from NPC to APC. The results obtained suggested that the photorespiratory activity of the plants influences nitrogen fixation negatively through limitation of carbon flux (García-Calderón *et al.*, 2012). In studies carried out with nodulated pea plants grown under  $CO_2$  enrichment, enhanced whole-plant growth, increased nodule biomass, and enhancement of activities related to nodule carbon metabolism and acetylene reduction activity have been reported (Cabrerizo *et al.*, 2001).

# Interconnections between photorespiration and nitrogen assimilation (TFs and co-expression studies)

A set of gene co-expression networks was recently developed to look for specific TFs that could regulate both nitrogen metabolism and photorespiration (Pérez-Delgado *et al.*, 2016). The 30 TFs that are most connected to both nitrogen and photorespiratory metabolism according to this gene co-expression analysis are shown in Table 1. It also shows the transposontagged LORE1 mutant lines available in *L. japonicus* for these TFs in the regulation of photorespiration and nitrogen metabolism. We have recently isolated homozygous mutant lines in several of the genes of interest listed in Table 1, and experiments are ongoing to determine whether these TFs may play a role in the regulation of the photorespiratory cycle or in the possible coordinated regulation of photorespiration and nitrogen metabolism.

Other gene co-expression studies have suggested new clues regarding the connection of photorespiration with nitrogen compounds such as asparagine, which constitutes most of the nitrogen translocated in L. japonicus. Analysis of the expression of photorespiratory genes and genes for asparagine metabolism indicated that these genes show similar patterns of expression in different tissues and genotypes, pointing to a connection between asparagine metabolic genes and photorespiration (García-Calderón et al., 2017). It was demonstrated that a mutant plant deficient in *LiNSE1*, a gene encoding one of the asparaginase isoforms present in L. japonicus, showed a dramatic decrease in the expression of the two genes encoding serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase (SGAT) (García-Calderón et al., 2017). In addition, expression of the genes involved in asparagine metabolism was found to be altered in a photorespiratory mutant lacking plastidic glutamine synthase (García-Calderón et al., 2017). Furthermore, it should be noted that, to date, mutants available that affect particular isoforms of asparagine synthetase or asparaginase grow well under APC and do not require NPC for growth. Further work is still required to determine whether asparagine can be used in this plant as an efficient nitrogen donor in the reactions catalyzed by

Table 1. List of the 30	TFs most connected	to photorespiratory	genes and	genes for nitrogen	metabolism	according to	gene
co-expression analysis	in <i>L. japonicus</i>						

Gene code	TF family	Arabidopsis ortholog	No. of connections	No. of LORE1 mutant lines available
Lj3g3v1113460.1	bHLH	At2g28160.1	33	18
Lj0g3v0179799.1	WRKY	At3g58710.1	33	0
Lj1g3v0593350.1	bHLH	At1g72210.1	32	11
Lj4g3v2604440.1	bZIP	At1g72210.1	32	13
Lj4g3v3099260.1	B-BOX	At1g72210.1	32	21
Lj3g3v1631860.1	MYB-like	Ag2g37630.1	31	7
Lj2g3v1984810.1	Unknown	At4g17800.1	31	0
Lj0g3v0261399.1	Trihelix	At5g63420.1	31	114
Lj5g3v0165540.1	Zinc finger	At1g75540.1	30	15
Lj2g3v2197630.1	mTERF	At4g02990.1	30	25
Lj3g3v2517670.1	bHLH	At3g61950.1	30	15
Lj3g3v3033250.2	bHLH	At2g28160.2	27	19
Lj5g3v1412970.1	bHLH	At4g02590.1	27	13
Lj1g3v3580670.1	bHLH	At3g07340.1	26	15
Lj4g3v3055130.1	TINY	At5g25810.1	26	1
Lj3g3v0741510.1	bHLH	At3g07340.1	25	9
Lj0g3v0236339.1	MYB	At3g49690.1	25	17
Lj0g3v0350599.1	bZIP	At1g42990.1	24	4
Lj2g3v1984450.1	bHLH	At4g37850.1	23	1
Lj2g3v2771140.1	bHLH	At2g40200.1	22	7
Lj4g3v2990170.1	WRKY	At4g23550.1	22	3
Lj3g3v1631860.1	MYB	At2g37630.1	21	7
Lj4g3v0819990.1	WRKY	At2g23320.1	19	2
Lj4g3v3015070.1	Unknown	At4g12750.1	17	27
Lj5g3v1533330.1	bHLH	At1g09530.1	17	43
Lj3g3v0028580.1	bHLH	At2g22770.1	16	1
Lj2g3v1141850.1	bHLH	At1g25330.1	15	9
Lj5g3v1697630.1	bZIP	At5g10030.1	15	17
Lj4g3v0973380.1	Myb-related	At4g39250.1	15	2

The number of connections between the TF gene and the genes for nitrogen metabolism and photorespiration is reported according to the gene co-expression network generated. The number of different *L. japonicus* mutant lines available in the LORE1 database is also indicated. Data are adapted from Pérez-Delgado *et al.* (2016).

glyoxylate-dependent aminotransferases, key enzymes within the photorespiratory cycle (Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Modde *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2019).

Co-expression analysis has also been proven to be a very promising tool for the discovery of transport proteins in photorespiration and how many different transporters, already discovered or still unknown, can integrate this pathway with carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur metabolism (Bordych *et al.*, 2013; Eisenhut *et al.*, 2015).

# Transcriptomic and metabolic changes associated with the accumulation of photorespiratory ammonium

The initial work of Keys *et al.* (1978) clearly established the existence of a photorespiratory nitrogen cycle in plants. Although photorespiration is considered a wasteful process due to the loss of  $CO_2$  and energy, little emphasis has been placed on the simultaneous release of  $NH_4^+$  as a result of the conversion of glycine to serine. The release of  $NH_4^+$  due to photorespiration

has been estimated to exceed (by 10-fold) the rate of primary assimilation of  $NH_4^+$  from nitrate reduction (Keys *et al.*, 1978). Based on methionine sulfoximine inhibition, it was concluded that photorespiratory ammonium is efficiently reassimilated by glutamine synthetase (GS). Although the initial thought was that cytosolic GS could be in charge of this process, the isolation of photorespiratory mutants deficient in GS enabled the demonstration that plastidic GS was the isoform responsible for efficient photorespiratory ammonium reassimilation. This particular isoform of GS was specifically lacking in photorespiratory mutants first isolated in barley (Wallsgrove et al., 1987) and later also in legumes such as L. japonicus (Orea et al., 2002). An important level of photorespiratory ammonium accumulation was observed when plastidic GS mutant plants were transferred from NPC to APC, reaching a peak at 3 d after transfer followed by a subsequent decline (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013). Concomitantly, massive transcriptomic and metabolic changes were also produced in the plastidic GS mutant plants by the onset of photorespiratory conditions, indicating that the lack of photorespiratory ammonium reassimilation has a strong influence on the regulation of gene expression in plants. In particular, coordinated repression of photorespiratory genes was shown, providing the first experimental evidence for coordinated regulation of photorespiratory genes over time (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013). Interestingly, other ammonium assimilatory enzymes, such as cytosolic GS, glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), and asparagine synthetase (ASN), were shown to be induced under conditions of high accumulation of photorespiratory ammonium when the plastidic GS isoform is lacking (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2015). In addition, the impairment of the photorespiratory cycle as a result of plastidic GS deficiency produces similar transcriptomic changes to other forms of abiotic stress, such as drought, commonly affecting other apparently unrelated pathways, such as the biosynthesis of different branches of flavonoids or isoflavonoids (García-Calderón et al., 2015, 2020). It has previously been shown that plastidic GS deficiency can alter proline metabolism and the transcriptomic response under drought stress even in the absence of photorespiration (Díaz et al., 2010). Considering that the GS/GOGAT pathway is the main point of connection between N and C metabolism because of the need for 2-oxoglutarate for the GOGAT reaction, the multiple links found between photorespiration and other cellular processes, including central carbon metabolism [such as the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and the  $\gamma$ -aminobutryic acid (GABA) shunt], amino acid metabolism (mainly glutamine, glycine, and serine), and secondary metabolism, can be easily explained (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013; Betti et al., 2014; García-Calderón et al., 2020). In fact, a series of co-expression studies have also confirmed the clear association between plastidic GS and carbon metabolism (Betti et al., 2014). The regulatory role of pool sizes, especially of glycine, serine, glutamine, and glutamate, constitutes an interesting topic of research, as described (Leegood et al., 1995; Hodges et al., 2016; Timm and Hagemann, 2020). Photorespiration also has an important impact on C1 metabolism due to the methylation of tetrahydrofolate (THF) in the reaction catalyzed by GDC (Fig. 1). For a detailed review of the interaction between C1 metabolism and photorespiration, see Jardine et al. (2017).

# Interconnections between photorespiration and sulfur metabolism

Sulfur assimilation in plants is essential for the synthesis of cysteine, methionine, and iron–sulfur clusters, as well as for the synthesis of a wide range of cofactors and secondary metabolites that are necessary for stress responses (Feldman–Salit *et al.*, 2019). Sulfur-containing amino acids, cysteine and methionine, synthesized in plants, are essential for human and animal nutrition (Hoefgen and Nikiforova, 2008). Cysteine is synthesized from sulfide (formed from sulfate reduction) and *O*-acetylserine derived from serine (Fig. 1). Methionine is also closely related to serine metabolism due to its thiomethyl moiety. Its S atom is derived from cysteine and its methyl group from folates, which are involved in one-carbon metabolism with serine. Therefore, serine metabolism interconnects the metabolism of S, N, and C1 and has been shown to be involved in the development and environmental adaptation of plants (Watanabe et al., 2021) (Fig. 1). Considering that serine is also involved in photorespiratory metabolism, the biosynthesis of serine can be considered an interesting interplay with unknown regulatory networks connected with sulfur metabolism, photorespiration, and many other processes in plants (Ros et al., 2013). In fact, serine synthesis and its consecutive metabolism are important for the regulation of intracellular redox and energy levels and pH, particularly in stress conditions when the expression of several enzymes involved in this process is up-regulated. This makes serine a key player in the biochemical adaptation to environmental stress (Igamberdiev and Kleczkowski, 2018). Nevertheless, it is important to note that serine can be synthesized by photorespiratory and non-photorespiratory pathways (Ros et al., 2014). In fact, serine formed by the glycerate and phosphorylated pathways, an alternative to photorespiration, is a precursor of glycine, while glycolate accumulates under stress conditions. These pathways can be linked to the GABA shunt via transamination reactions and via participation of the same reductase for both glyoxylate and succinic semialdehyde (Igamberdiev and Kleczkowski, 2018). Glycine can also be synthesized as a result of glyoxylate transamination in photorespiratory metabolism, and two molecules of glycine are used to produce photorespiratory serine (a precursor of cysteine) (Fig. 1). Importantly, alternative pathways to photorespiration for glycine biosynthesis, such as threonine aldolase, can only account for 50% of the glycine content of Arabidopsis seedlings (Joshi et al., 2006), thus revealing the possible significance of photorespiratory glycine biosynthesis. The involvement of glycine, glycolate, and glyoxylate in photorespiratory metabolism constitutes another important point of connection between serine (and therefore S) metabolism and photorespiration. On the other hand, glycine, together with cysteine, is also required for glutathione biosynthesis, which is of crucial importance in plants and therefore represents another point of connection between photorespiration and S metabolism. Under salt stress, the increase in glutathione content has been proposed to be due to augmented photorespiratory rates, which increase the metabolic availability of glycine and serine (Herschbach et al., 2010). Nitrogen assimilation has recently been shown to be integrated with photosynthetic carbon metabolism, suggesting that the metabolites glycine and serine can be diverted at significant rates from the photorespiratory pathway (Busch et al., 2017). To what extent the photorespiratory pathway works as a closed cycle to generate 3PGA and as an open cycle that allows the removal of metabolites for other plant functions is a question of debate (Hodges et al., 2016). However, the successful implementation of photorespiratory bypasses in different species (Fernie and Bauwe, 2020; Cavanagh et al., 2022; Hodges, 2022) clearly indicates that there is no closed photorespiratory cycle in plants.

Compared with other aspects of the photorespiratory cycle, much less attention has been paid to the mutual influence of photorespiration and sulfur metabolism. It has been demonstrated that even a moderate impairment of photorespiration severely reduces the leaf carbohydrate status and impacts sulfur metabolism (Timm et al., 2021). Abadie and Tcherkez (2019) have recently shown that S assimilation is stimulated by photorespiratory metabolism and, therefore, large photosynthetic fluxes appear to be detrimental to plant cell sulfur nutrition. On the other hand, sulfur deficiency studies have shown that a decrease in the amount of the sulfur-containing molecule S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM) is followed by a decrease in chlorophyll content (for which the biosynthesis of SAM is required) together with increased photorespiration (Hoefgen and Nikiforova, 2008). These factors provide a cause-effect connection with decreased photosynthesis, leading to limitations in energy assimilation, which in turn leads to a general decline in metabolism. Insufficient sulfur supply leads to its misbalance with nitrogen, which is further enforced by alterations in THF, a central cofactor in C1 metabolism that links photorespiration (Ser/Gly metabolism), sulfur assimilation (Met biosynthesis), and the dumping of disbalanced nitrogen (through enforced purine metabolism also influenced by the decreased SAM). Mutual influences between these processes form a dense network of coordination that was further assessed by integration of metabolomics and transcriptomics (Hoefgen and Nikiforova, 2008). Other authors have proved that photorespiratory mutations affecting GDC activity result in an increase in glycine and serine levels. Interestingly, the high serine levels in the GDC mutant cannot be explained by the transcript abundances of the genes of the photorespiratory pathway or by two alternative pathways for serine biosynthesis. A decline in sulfur flux into the major sulfur pools in the mutants was also observed as a result of the deregulation of genes of sulfur reduction and assimilation. It was concluded that increased serine production as a consequence of the GDC mutation deregulates the crosstalk between S, N, and C metabolism (Samuilov et al., 2018). Although the sulfate assimilation pathway is tightly regulated and coordinated with the demand for reduced sulfur, little is known about the molecular mechanisms of this regulation and possible interconnections with photorespiration (Koprivova and Kopriva, 2014).

A very interesting metabolite involved in sulfur assimilation is hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), which has always been considered very toxic for most living organisms due to its inhibitory effect on cytochrome *c* oxidase activity and therefore mitochondrial electron transport (Nicholls and Kim, 1982). Nevertheless, in the last two decades, H<sub>2</sub>S has emerged as a signaling molecule essential for life and is involved in different physiological and pathological processes in animals, but also in plants (Gotor *et al.*, 2019; Aroca *et al.*, 2020, 2021; Laureano-Marín *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, the biosynthesis of H<sub>2</sub>S is a particularly attractive topic of study concerning sulfur metabolism and photorespiration. H<sub>2</sub>S can originate in plants not only from sulfate reduction during the photosynthetic sulfate assimilation pathway in chloroplasts but also from different enzymatic reactions involved in cysteine metabolism. In the cytosol, L-cysteine and D-cysteine desulfhydrases generate sulfide from L- or D-cysteine, respectively. Other enzymes located not only in the cytosol but also in the chloroplast and mitochondria are NifS-like proteins, and  $\beta$ -cyanoalanine synthase is an enzyme that uses cysteine for the detoxification of cyanide and produces H<sub>2</sub>S in mitochondria (Gotor *et al.*, 2019). For a detailed scheme of the pathways that lead to H<sub>2</sub>S in plants, please see Gotor *et al.* (2019).

H<sub>2</sub>S has previously been described to positively regulate growth and physiology in plants and other photosynthetic organisms, influencing photosynthesis and photorespiration (Wei et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021). Furthermore, a recent publication demonstrates how sulfide represses the activity of glycolate oxidase, a photorespiratory enzyme, which attenuates intracellular oxidative stress (L. Wang et al., 2022). Currently, it is well established that the main mechanism of action of H<sub>2</sub>S is through the modification of proteins by persulfidation, which involves the PTM of cysteine residues, altering the thiol group (-SH) to form a persulfide group (-SSH) (Mustafa et al., 2009). Persulfidation is the main mechanism by which H<sub>2</sub>S regulates several physiological processes in animal and plant systems (Aroca et al., 2018, 2020). Very recently, the regulatory role of persulfidation when changing photorespiratory conditions has been revealed, as described below.

# Post-translational regulation of photorespiration

Large proteomic studies have revealed that several metabolic enzymes involved in photorespiration and associated pathways, such as nitrogen assimilation or the TCA cycle, are at some point controlled by protein phosphorylation, ubiquitination, acetylation, and different redox modifications, such as methionine oxidation, thioredoxin regulation, S-glutathionylation (Zaffagnini et al., 2012; Chardonnet et al., 2015), S-nitrosylation (Lindermayr et al., 2005; Morisse et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015), or sulfenylation (Akter et al., 2015; De Smet et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019; Wei et al., 2020), which have recently been exhaustively reviewed (Hodges, 2022), along with the consequences of these modifications in plant metabolism (Timm, 2020; Timm and Hagemann, 2020). Notably, persulfidation is another novel redox modification that has been much less studied thus far. However, in several different proteomic approaches recently performed, several proteins directly involved in photorespiration have been described as targets for this particular PTM (Aroca et al., 2015, 2017; Laureano-Marín et al., 2020; Jurado-Flores et al., 2021; García-Calderón et al., 2023). Table 2 summarizes the targets of persulfidation identified in all these proteomic approaches, classified by those isoforms involved directly in photorespiration and N and S metabolism.

## **6032** | Aroca *et al.*

**Table 2.** Persulfidated proteins related to photorespiration and nitrogen and sulfur metabolism that are also modified by S-nitrosylation, S-sulfenylation, and S-glutathionylation

AGI ID	Uniprot ID	Gene ID	Name	Refs
Photorespirat	ion			
AT2G13360	Q56YA5	AGT1	Serine-glyoxylate aminotransferase	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT4G35090	P25819	CAT2	Catalase-2	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT2G35370	P25855	GDH1	Glycine cleavage system H protein 1, mitochondrial	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup> , N <sup>(10)</sup>
AT2G35120	O82179	GDH2	Glycine cleavage system H protein 2, mitochondrial	P <sup>(2,3)</sup> , S <sup>(5)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT1G23310	Q9LR30	GGAT1	Glutamate-glyoxylate aminotransferase 1 (GGT1)	P <sup>(1,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT4G33010	Q94B78	GLDP1	Glycine dehydrogenase (decarboxylating) 1, mitochondrial	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT2G26080	O80988	GLDP2	Glycine dehydrogenase (decarboxylating) 2, mitochondrial	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup> , G <sup>(9)</sup>
AT5G35630	Q43127	GLN2	Glutamine synthetase (GS2)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT3G14420	Q9LRR9	GLO1	Glycolate oxidase 1 (GOX1)	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup>
AT3G14415	Q9LRS0	GLO2	Glycolate oxidase 2 (GOX2)	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup> , N <sup>(10)</sup>
AT5G04140	Q9ZNZ7	GLU1	Ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase 1, chloroplastic/mitochondrial (Fd-GOGAT 1)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup>
AT1G80380	Q944I4	GLYK	D-Glycerate 3-kinase chloroplastic	P <sup>(3,4)</sup>
AT1G68010	Q9C9W5	HPR	Glycerate dehydrogenase HPR, peroxisomal (HPR 1)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT1G79870	Q9CA90	HPR2	Glyoxylate/hydroxypyruvate reductase A (HPR 2)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5)</sup>
AT5G36700	P0DKC3	PGLP1A	Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1A, chloroplastic	P <sup>(2,4)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
ATcG00490	O03042	rbcL	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT1G67090	P10795	RBCS-1A	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 1A.	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT5G38430	P10796	RBCS-1B	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 1B.	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT5G38420	P10797	RBCS-2B	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 2B	P <sup>(4)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT5G38410	P10798	RBCS-3B	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 3B.	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup>
AT2G39730	P10896	RCA	Rubisco activase	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup> , N <sup>(10)</sup>
AT4G37930	Q9SZJ5	SHM1	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 1. mitochondrial (SHMT1)	P <sup>(1,3,4),</sup> N <sup>(7)</sup>
Other related	protein isoform	าร		
AT2G45630	Q56XD0	At2q45630	Putative glycerate dehydrogenase	P <sup>(3,4)</sup>
AT1G20630	Q96528	CAT1	Catalase-1	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT1G20620	Q42547	CAT3	Catalase-3	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> . N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT1G11860	O65396	GDCST	Aminomethyltransferase, mitochondrial	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5)</sup> , N <sup>(10,11)</sup>
AT1G70580	Q9S7E9	GGAT2	Glutamate-glvoxvlate aminotransferase 2 (GGT2)	P <sup>(2,3)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT3G14150	Q24JJ8	GLO3	Peroxisomal (S)-2-hydroxyacid oxidase GLO3	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT4G18360	O49506	GLO5	Glycolate oxidase 3 (GOX 3)	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT2G41220	Q9T0P4	GLU2	Ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase 2. (Ed-GOGAT 2)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(6,7)</sup>
AT3G25530	Q9LSV0	GLYB1	Glvoxvlate/succinic semialdehvde reductase 1	$P^{(3,4)}$ , $S^{(7)}$ , $G^{(9)}$ , $N^{(11)}$
AT5G36790	P0DKC4	PGLP1B	Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 1B chloroplastic	P <sup>(3,4)</sup>
AT5G47435	Q93YQ3	PURU1	Formyltetrahydrofolate deformylase 1. mitochondrial	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT5G26780	Q94C74	SHM2	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 2 mitochondrial (SHMT2)	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT4G32520	Q94JQ3	SHM3	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 3, chloroplastic (SHMT3)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> S <sup>(6,7)</sup>
AT4G13930	023254	SHM4	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 4 (SHMT4)	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(6,7)</sup>
N metabolism	1	er inter		. , 0
AT1G02020	Q3E6Y8	At1q02020	Nitroreductase domain-containing protein	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT5G18170	Q43314	GDH1	Glutamate dehvdrogenase 1	P <sup>(2,3)</sup> . S <sup>(7)</sup>
AT5G07440	Q38946	GDH2	Glutamate dehvdrogenase 2 (GDH 2)	P <sup>(1,2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup>
AT1G32470	Q9LQLQ	GDH3	Glycine cleavage system H protein 3, mitochondrial	P <sup>(2,4)</sup>
AT5G37600	Q56WN1	GLN1-1	Glutamine synthetase cytosolic isozyme 1-1	P <sup>(2,3)</sup> , S <sup>(5)</sup>
AT1G66200	Q8LCE1	GLN1-2	Glutamine synthetase cytosolic isozyme 1-2 (GLN1:2)	$P^{(2,3,4)}$ , $N^{(11)}$
AT3G17820	Q9I VI8	GLN1-3	Glutamine synthetase cytosolic isozyme 1-3 (GS1)	P <sup>(2,3)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup>
AT5G16570	Q9FMD9	GLN1-4	Glutamine synthetase cytosolic isozyme 1-4	P <sup>(2,3)</sup>
AT5G53460	Q9LV03	GLT1	Glutamate synthese 1 [NADH], chloroplastic (NADH-GOGAT 1)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,6,7)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT3G03910	Q9S7A0	GSH3	Probable glutamate dehydrogenase 3 (GDH 3)	$P^{(3)}$ , $S^{(7)}$
AT1G77760	P11832	NIA1	Nitrate reductase [NADH] 1 (NR1)	P <sup>(2,3)</sup> S <sup>(5)</sup>
AT1G37130	P11035	NIA2	Nitrate reductase [NADH] 2 (NR2)	P <sup>(2,3,4)</sup> S <sup>(5,7)</sup>
AT2G15620	039161	NIR1	Ferredoxin-nitrite reductase, chloroplastic (NiR)	$P^{(2,3,4)}$ $S^{(6)}$ $N^{(11)}$
AT3G53180	F4.19A0	NodGS	Nodulin/alutamine synthase-like protein	$P^{(3)} G^{(9)}$
AT1G08090	082811	NRT2 1	High-affinity nitrate transporter 2.1	р <sup>(3)</sup>
AT5G50200	Q9FGS5	NRT3.1	High-affinity nitrate transporter 3.1	P <sup>(3)</sup>

#### Table 2. Continued

AGI ID	Uniprot ID	Gene ID	Name	Refs
S Metabolism				
AT2G14750	Q43295	APK1	Adenylyl-sulfate kinase 1, chloroplastic	P <sup>(3)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT4G39940	O49196	APK2	Adenylyl-sulfate kinase 2, chloroplastic	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT4G04610	P92979	APR1	5'-Adenylylsulfate reductase 1, chloroplastic	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT1G62180	P92981	APR2	5'-Adenylylsulfate reductase 2 chloroplastic	$P^{(3,4)}$
AT4G21990	P92980	APR3	5'-Adenylylsulfate reductase 3, chloroplastic	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT3G22890	Q9LIK9	APS1	ATP sulfurylase 1 chloroplastic	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(6,7)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT1G19920	Q43870	APS2	ATP sulfurylase 2	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , G <sup>(9)</sup>
AT5G43780	Q9S7D8	APS4	ATP sulfurylase 4, chloroplastic	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT4G27700	Q94A65	At4g27700	Rhodanese-like domain-containing protein 14 chloroplastic	P <sup>(4)</sup> , S <sup>(5)</sup>
AT3G61440	Q9S757	CYSC1	Bifunctional L-3-cyanoalanine synthase/cysteine synthase C1 mitochondrial	$P^{(3,4)},S^{(5)},N^{(11)}$
AT3G04940	Q9S6Z7	CYSD1	Bifunctional L-3-cyanoalanine synthase/cysteine synthase D1 (cysteine synthase D1)	P <sup>(3)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT5G28020	Q9SXS7	CYSD2	Bifunctional L-3-cyanoalanine synthase/cysteine synthase D2 (cysteine synthase D2)	P <sup>(3)</sup> , S <sup>(7)</sup>
At5g28030	F4K5T2	DES1	L-Cysteine desulfhydrase 1	P <sup>(2)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
At3g62130	Q9M1R1	LC-DES	L-Cysteine desulfhydrase	P <sup>(3)</sup> , N <sup>(11)</sup>
AT4G14880	P47998	OASA1	Cysteine synthase 1	$P^{(3,4)},S^{(7)},N^{(11)}$
AT2G43750	P47999	OASB	Cysteine synthase chloroplastic/chromoplastic	$P^{(3,4)}$ , $S^{(5,6,7)}$ , $N^{(11)}$
AT3G59760	Q43725	OASC	Cysteine synthase mitochondrial	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup>
AT2G17640	Q8S895	SAT2	Serine acetyltransferase 2	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT3G13110	Q39218	SAT3	Serine acetyltransferase 3, mitochondrial	P <sup>(3)</sup> , S <sup>(5,7)</sup>
AT5G56760	Q42538	SAT5	Serine acetyltransferase 5	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT5G04590	Q9LZ66	SIR	Assimilatory sulfite reductase (ferredoxin) chloroplastic	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(6,7)</sup>
AT1G79230	O64530	STR1	Thiosulfate/3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase 1 mitochondrial	P <sup>(3,4)</sup> , S <sup>(6)</sup>
AT3G08920	Q9SR92	STR10	Rhodanese-like domain-containing protein 10	P <sup>(4)</sup>
AT5G66040	Q39129	STR16	Thiosulfate sulfurtransferase 16 chloroplastic	$P^{(3,4)}$
AT5G66170	Q9FKW8	STR18	Thiosulfate sulfurtransferase 18	P <sup>(3)</sup>
AT2G42220	O48529	STR9	Rhodanese-like domain-containing protein 9 chloroplastic	$P^{(4)}$

Gene ID is given for each protein according to the UniProt database. Photorespiration includes participating isoenzymes, as illustrated by Hodges (2022). Other related protein isoforms are shown separately. P, persulfidation; N, S-nitrosylation; S, S-sulfenylation; G, S-glutathionylation. <sup>1</sup>Aroca *et al.* (2015); <sup>2</sup>Aroca *et al.* (2017); <sup>3</sup>Jurado-Flores *et al.* (2021); <sup>4</sup>García-Calderón *et al.* (2023); <sup>5</sup>Huang *et al.* (2019); <sup>6</sup>de Smet *et al.* (2019); <sup>7</sup>Wei *et al.* (2019); <sup>6</sup>de Smet *et al.* (2019); <sup>7</sup>Wei *et al.* (2017); <sup>4</sup>García-Calderón *et al.*

Aloca et al. (2015), Aloca et al. (2017), Surado-Fiores et al. (2021), Garda-Carderon et al. (2023), Fidang et al. (2020);  $^{10}$ Lindermayr et al. (2005);  $^{11}$ Hu et al. (2015).

Interestingly, many of these photorespiratory and related proteins are also modified by other cysteine redox PTMs, as shown in Table 2, suggesting the importance of redox regulation of this pathway. In addition, persulfidation, whose role has not yet been deciphered, seems to be the most widely distributed PTM in the photorespiratory pathway. The total number of persulfidated proteins is higher than that of sulfenylated and nitrosylated proteins, which are the other two cysteine redox PTMs most widespread in the photorespiratory pathway (Table 2).

All of these proteins might be regulated differently depending on the chemical environment associated with cellular oxidative stress. A recent proteomic study of peroxisomes isolated from pea plants showed that several targets of nitrosylation were involved in photorespiration and the antioxidant system (Sandalio *et al.*, 2019). In fact, the properties of cysteine residues in proteins allow a wide variety of different redox PTMs, including not only sulfenylation and persulfidation but also glutathionylation and nitrosylation. Thus, photorespiratory enzymes are modified by different PTMs, and each modification leads to a different regulation outcome for the target. For instance, the glycolate oxidase activity of pea is inhibited by S-nitrosylation (Ortega-Galisteo *et al.*, 2012); GDC is also negatively regulated by S-nitrosylation and S-glutathionylation (Palmieri *et al.*, 2010), and is regulated by thioredoxins (da Fonseca-Pereira *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, thioredoxin f was found to redox regulate glycerate kinase in maize (Bartsch *et al.*, 2010).

The most recent proteomic approach carried out compared the levels of persulfidation in plants grown under nonphotorespiratory conditions with those of plants transferred to air. The results obtained showed a high impact on protein persulfidation levels, where 98.7% of the identified proteins were more persulfidated under suppressed photorespiration than in plants grown under air (García-Calderón et al., 2023). Interestingly, redox conditions were revealed to be very different under these conditions, with a higher level of ROS detected under non-photorespiratory conditions. Given that S-sulfenylation is the PTM induced by hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , the crosstalk between  $H_2S$  and  $H_2O_2$  signaling was studied based on the PTMs produced by each signaling molecule. The levels of persulfidation and sulfenylation were analyzed in gel during the transition from non-photorespiratory conditions to a normal air atmosphere, and a substantial change

was observed. Under conditions of suppressed photorespiration, where the  $H_2O_2$  level was high, the sulfenylation levels were also higher, and, in contrast, in normal air, there was a correlation between the low  $H_2O_2$  level and the high persulfidation level (García-Calderón *et al.*, 2023) (Fig. 3). The shifted persulfidation and sulfenylation waves described during the transition from non-photorespiratory growth conditions to normal air suggest the protection of sulfide against ROS species through persulfidation. These results are consistent with data previously described in mammals, where the level of protein sulfenylation decreased as persulfidation levels increased after sulfide treatment, protecting cysteines from overoxidation (Zivanovic *et al.*, 2019).

In connection with the role of sulfide in protecting against overoxidation, stomatal ROS accumulated at higher levels in plants grown under suppressed photorespiration than in plants acclimated to photorespiratory conditions. In addition, sulfide treatment induced a significant decrease in ROS accumulation in stomata, reaching similar levels to those observed in plants in normal air (García-Calderón *et al.*, 2023). These results demonstrated that sulfide regulates the ROS burst in guard cells depending on the photorespiratory conditions and therefore affects the aperture/closure of stomata (Fig. 3).

In addition, other aspects associated with photorespiratory conditions were analyzed in detail in the same study (García-Calderón *et al.*, 2023). Plants grown under suppressed photorespiration showed unbalanced carbon/nitrogen metabolism and a decrease in ATP accumulation compared with plants in normal air. However, both measurements were amended by sulfide treatment, equaling the levels in plants grown under photorespiratory conditions (Fig. 3). These results demonstrate the role of sulfide signaling under non-photorespiratory conditions through a high level of persulfidation.

Therefore, photorespiratory and related proteins might be regulated differently by different PTMs with different outcomes. Further research must be performed to elucidate the relationship among these modifications and the different environmental scenarios. Concerning this topic, and connected to the focus of this review, the interconnection between nitrogen metabolism and NO signaling through nitrosylation on the one hand and sulfur metabolism and H<sub>2</sub>S signaling through persulfidation on the other hand deserves special attention (Fig. 4). As described above and illustrated in Fig. 1, different metabolites involved in nitrogen and sulfur metabolism play an essential role in the photorespiratory pathway, which is a known aspect of the metabolic interconnection between N metabolism and S metabolism (Fig. 4A). Additionally, the nitrate reductase complex (NR), a key enzyme in N metabolism, has been proposed to mediate NO production in plants (Chamizo-Ampudia et al., 2017; Maiber et al., 2022) and therefore participates in controlling the level of NO that signals through the PTM nitrosylation (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, the generation of the H<sub>2</sub>S signaling molecule, responsible for the PTM persulfidation, also depends on the enzymatic



Fig. 3. Scheme of hydrogen sulfide regulation under non-photorespiratory conditions induced by a high-CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. More details are included in the text.



**Fig. 4.** Crosstalk between N metabolism and S metabolism, both connected to photorespiration by N or S assimilation and signaling by the PTMs persulfidation and nitrosylation (A). Crosstalk between the persulfidated enzymes involved in NO generation (B) and the nitrosylated enzymes involved in H<sub>2</sub>S generation (C).

sources of sulfur assimilation (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, not only photorespiratory proteins but also the main proteins responsible for NO and  $H_2S$  generation in the cytosol, such as NR, which is persulfidated, and L-cysteine desulfhydrases (LD-DES and DES1), which are nitrosylated, show some of these PTMs (Table 2; Fig. 4B, C). Therefore, the crosstalk between nitrosylation and persulfidation in the context of the photorespiratory pathway and its interconnection with N and S assimilation are very interesting aspects for future studies.

### **Future perspectives**

As summarized in this review, photorespiration stands at the crossroads of several primary metabolic pathways and plays a key role in the response to different types of stress. While the basic genetics and biochemistry of photorespiration are well known, there are still several open questions regarding the shuffling of photorespiratory metabolites between organelles, the regulation of the pathway, and the necessity of a high photorespiratory flux for the assimilation of several key nutrients, especially N and S. We have shown how photorespiration is regulated at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and post-translational levels. Recent advances hint at possible roles for TFs in the regulation of photorespiration. Mutants available in these TFs would help determine whether they can regulate photorespiratory gene expression independently or in a coordinated manner with nitrogen, sulfur, and other related

metabolic pathways (carbon and secondary metabolism) and stress responses in the plants. The possible role of sulfide signaling and the modification of cysteine residues through persulfidation and its crosstalk with other cysteine redox PTMs for the regulation of this ancient metabolic pathway also constitutes a very novel and interesting topic of research. Understanding the crosstalk between the different PTMs of the photorespiratory enzymes and related metabolism and how they affect the enzyme activities would also be of great importance in the near future, which would help in the design of tools to regulate photorespiration and therefore to improve the resistance of plants to climatic change.

### Author contributions

All the authors contributed to writing and reviewing the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Funding

This work was supported by the ERDF 'A way of making Europe' and MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (grant nos PID2019-109785GB-I00 and PID2021-122353OB-100); Junta de Andalucía

(grant nos PROYEXCEL\_00177 and US-1255781); project RTI2018-093571-B-100 from Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, Agencia Estatal de Investigación and FEDER; and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 864921). IG-D acknowledges a PIF fellowship from VI-PPITUS.

#### References

Abadie C, Tcherkez G. 2019. Plant sulphur metabolism is stimulated by photorespiration. Communications Biology 2, 379.

Adwy W, Laxa M, Peterhansel C. 2015. A simple mechanism for the establishment of  $C_2$ -specific gene expression in Brassicaceae. The Plant Journal 84, 1231–1238.

Akter S, Huang J, Bodra N, et al. 2015. DYn-2 based identification of *Arabidopsis* sulfenomes. Molecular and Cellular Proteomics **14**, 1183–1200.

Andrews M, Condron LM, Kemp PD, Topping JF, Lindsey K, Hodge S, Raven JA. 2019. Elevated  $CO_2$  effects on nitrogen assimilation and growth of  $C_3$  vascular plants are similar regardless of N-form assimilated. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 683–690.

Aranjuelo I, Cabrerizo PM, Arrese-Igor C, Aparicio-Tejo PM. 2013. Pea plant responsiveness under elevated  $[CO_2]$  is conditioned by the N source (N<sub>2</sub> fixation versus NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> fertilization). Environmental and Experimental Botany **95**, 34–40.

**Aroca A, Benito JM, Gotor C, Romero LC.** 2017. Persulfidation proteome reveals the regulation of protein function by hydrogen sulfide in diverse biological processes in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 4915–4927.

Aroca A, Gotor C, Bassham DC, Romero LC. 2020. Hydrogen sulfide: from a toxic molecule to a key molecule of cell life. Antioxidants 9, 621.

Aroca A, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2018. Hydrogen sulfide signaling in plants: emerging roles of protein persulfidation. Frontiers in Plant Sciences 9, 1369.

Aroca A, Serna A, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2015. S-sulfhydration: a cysteine posttranslational modification in plant systems. Plant Physiology **168**, 334–342.

Aroca A, Zhang J, Xie Y, Romero LC, Gotor C. 2021. Hydrogen sulfide signaling in plant adaptations to adverse conditions: molecular mechanisms. Journal of Experimental Botany **72**, 5893–5904.

Backhausen JE, Emmerlich A, Holtgrefe S, Horton P, Nast G, Rogers JJM, Müller-Röber B, Scheibe R. 1998. Transgenic potato plants with altered expression levels of chloroplast NADP-malate dehydrogenase: interactions between photosynthetic electron transport and malate metabolism in leaves and in isolated intact chloroplasts. Planta 207, 105–114.

**Barak S, Nejidat A, Heimer Y, Volokita M.** 2001. Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of the glycolate oxidase gene in tobacco seedlings. Plant Molecular Biology **45**, 399–407.

**Bartsch O, Mikkat S, Hagemann M, Bauwe H.** 2010. An autoinhibitory domain confers redox regulation to maize glycerate kinase. Plant Physiology **153**, 832–840.

**Bauwe H, Hagemann M, Kern R, Timm S.** 2012. Photorespiration has a dual origin and manifold links to central metabolism. Current Opinions in Plant Biology **15**, 269–275.

**Betti M, Bauwe H, Busch FA, et al.** 2016. Manipulating photorespiration to increase plant productivity: recent advances and perspectives for crop improvement. Journal of Experimental Botany **67**, 2977–2988.

Betti M, García-Calderón M, Pérez-Delgado CM, Credali A, Pal'ove-Balang P, Estivill G, Repçák M, Vega JM, Galván F, Márquez AJ. 2014. Reassimilation of ammonium in *Lotus japonicus*. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 5557–5566.

**Bloom AJ.** 2015. Photorespiration and nitrate assimilation: a major intersection between plant carbon and nitrogen. Photosynthesis Research **123**, 117–128. Bloom AJ, Asensio JS, Randall L, Rachmilevitch S, Cousins AB, Carlisle EA. 2012.  $CO_2$  enrichment inhibits shoot nitrate assimilation in  $C_3$  but not  $C_4$  plants and slows growth under nitrate in  $C_3$  plants. Ecology **93**, 355–367.

**Bloom AJ, Burger M, Kimball BA, Pinter PJ.** 2014. Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated  $CO_2$  in field-grown wheat. Nature Climate Change **4**, 477–480.

Bloom AJ, Burger M, Rubio Asensio JS, Cousins AB. 2010. Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibitis nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. Science **328**, 899–903.

**Bloom AJ, Smart DR, Nguyen DT, Searles PS.** 2002. Nitrogen assimilation and growth of wheat under elevated carbon dioxide. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **99**, 1730–1735.

**Bordych C, Eisenhut M, Pick TR, Kuelahoglu C, Weber APM.** 2013. Co-expression analysis as tool for the discovery of transport proteins in photorespiration. Plant Biology **15**, 686–693.

Busch FA, Sage RF, Farquhar GD. 2017. Plants increase  $CO_2$  uptake by assimilating nitrogen via the photorespiratory pathway. Nature Plants 4, 46–54.

**Cabrerizo PM, González EM, Aparicio-Tejo M, Arrese-Igor C.** 2001. Continuous CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment leads to increased nodule biomass, carbon availability to nodules and activity of carbon-metabolising enzymes but does not enhance specific nitrogen fixation in pea. Physiologia Plantarum **113**, 33–40.

**Carlisle E, Myers S, Raboy V, Bloom AJ.** 2012. The effects of inorganic nitrogen form and  $CO_2$  concentration on wheat yield and nutrient accumulation and distribution. Frontiers in Plant Sciences **3**, 195.

**Cavanagh AP, South PF, Bernacchi CJ, Ort DR.** 2022. Alternative pathway to photorespiration protects growth and productivity at elevated temperatures in a model crop. Plant Biotechnology Journal **20**, 711–721.

Chamizo-Ampudia A, Sanz-Luque E, Llamas A, Galvan A, Fernandez E. 2017. Nitrate reductase regulates plant nitric oxide homeostasis. Trends in Plant Science 22, 163–174.

Chardonnet S, Sakr S, Cassier-Chauvat C, Le Marechal P, Chauvat F, Lemaire SD, Decottignies P. 2015. First proteomic study of S-glutathionylation in cyanobacteria. Journal of Proteome Research 14, 59–71.

Cheng J, Wang Z, Lu H, Xu J, He Y, Cen K. 2019. Hydrogen sulfide promotes cell division and photosynthesis of *Nannochloropsis oceanica* with 15% carbon dioxide. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 7, 16344–16354.

da Fonseca-Pereira P, Souza PVL, Hou L-Y, et al. 2020. Thioredoxin h2 contributes to the redox regulation of mitochondrial photorespiratory metabolism. Plant, Cell & Environment 43, 188–208.

De Smet B, Willems P, Fernandez-Fernandez AD, Alseekh S, Fernie AR, Messens J, Van Breusegem F. 2019. In vivo detection of protein cysteine sulfenylation in plastids. The Plant Journal **97**, 765–778.

Díaz P, Betti M, Sánchez DH, Udvardi MK, Monza J, Márquez AJ. 2010. Deficiency in plastidic glutamine synthetase alters proline metabolism and transcriptomic response in *Lotus japonicus* under drought stress. New Phytologist **188**, 1001–1013.

Dixon DP, Skipsey M, Grundy NM, Edwards R. 2005. Stress-induced protein S-glutathionylation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **138**, 2233–2244.

**Eisenhut M, Bräutigam A, Timm S, Florian A, Tohge T, Fernie AR, Bauwe H, Weber APM.** 2017. Photorespiration is crucial for dynamic response of photosynthetic metabolism and stomatal movement to altered  $CO_2$  availability. Molecular Plant **10**, 47–61.

**Eisenhut M, Hocken N, Weber APM.** 2015. Plastidial metabolite transporters integrate photorespiration with carbon, nitrogen and sulfur metabolism. Cell Calcium **58**, 98–104.

**Eisenhut M, Roell M-S, Weber APM.** 2019. Mechanistic understanding of photorespiration paves the way to a new green revolution. New Phytologist **223**, 1762–1769.

Feldman-Salit A, Veith N, Wirtz M, Hell R, Kummer U. 2019. Distribution of control in the sulfur assimilation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* depends on environmental conditions. New Phytologist **222**, 1392–1404.

Fernie A, Bauwe H. 2020. Wasteful, essential, evolutionary stepping stone? The multiple personalities of the photorespiratory pathway. The Plant Journal **102**, 666–677.

Flügel F, Timm S, Ariivault S, Florian A, Stitt M, Ferine AR, Bauwe H. 2017. The photorespiratory metabolite 2-phosphoglycolate regulates photosynthesis and starch accumulation in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **29**, 2537–2551.

Foyer CH, Bloom AJ, Queval G, Noctor G. 2009. Photorespiratory metabolism: genes, mutants, energetics and redox signaling. Annual Review of Plant Biology **60**, 455–484.

**García-Calderón M.** 2009. Physiological consequences of plastidic glutamine synthetase deficiency in *Lotus japonicus* plants. PhD thesis, University of Seville.

**García-Calderón M, Chiurazzi M, Espuny MR, Márquez AJ.** 2012. Photorespiratory metabolism and nodule function: behaviour of *Lotus japonicus* mutants deficient in plastid glutamine synthetase. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **2**, 211–219.

García-Calderón M, Pérez-Delgado CM, Credali A, Vega JM, Betti M, Márquez AJ. 2017. Genes for asparagine metabolism in *Lotus japonicus*: differential expression and interconnection with photorespiration. BMC Genomics **18**, 781.

García-Calderón M, Pérez-Delgado CM, Pal'ove-Balang P, Betti M, Márquez AJ. 2020. Flavonoids and isoflavonoids biosynthesis in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*; connections to nitrogen metabolism and photorespiration. Plants **9**, 774.

**García-Calderón M, Pons-Ferrer T, Mrázova A, et al**. 2015. Modulation of phenolic metabolism under stress conditions in a *Lotus japonicus* mutant lacking plastidic glutamine synthetase. Frontiers in Plant Science **6**, 760.

García-Calderón M, Vignane T, Filipovic MR, Ruiz MT, Romero LC, Márquez AJ, Gotor C, Aroca A. 2023. Persulfidation protects from oxidative stress under nonphotorespiratory conditions in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **238**, 1431–1445.

Gilmartin PM, Sarokin L, Memelink J, Chua NH. 1990. Molecular light switches for plant genes. The Plant Cell **2**, 369–378.

**Giuliano G, Pichersky E, Malik VS, Timko MP, Scolnik PA, Cashmore AR.** 1988. An evolutionarily conserved protein binding sequence upstream of a plant light-regulated gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **85**, 7089–7093.

**Golldack D, Li C, Mohan H, Probst N.** 2014. Tolerance to drought and salt stress in plants: unraveling the signaling networks. Frontiers in Plant Science **5**, 151.

Gotor C, García I, Aroca A, Laureano-Marín AM, Arenas-Alfonseca L, Jurado-Flores A, Moreno I, Romero LC. 2019. Signaling by hydrogen sulfide and cyanide through post-translational modification. Journal of Experimental Botany 70, 4251–4265.

Herschbach C, Teuber M, Eibelmeier M, Ehlting B, Ache P, Polle A, Schnitzler J-P, Rennenberg H. 2010. Changes in sulphur metabolism of grey poplar (*Populus × canescens*) leaves during salt stress: a metabolic link to photorespiration. Tree Physiology **30**, 1161–1173.

**Hodges M.** 2022. Photorespiration and improving photosynthesis. In Lüttge U, Cánovas FM, Risueño MC, Leuschner C, eds. Progress in botany. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1–49.

Hodges M, Dellero Y, Keech O, Betti M, Raghavendra AS, Sage R, Zhu X-G, Allen DK, Weber APM. 2016. Perspectives for a better understanding of the metabolic integration of photorespiration within a complex plant primary metabolism network. Journal of Experimental Botany **67**, 3015–3026.

**Hoefgen R, Nikiforova VJ.** 2008. Metabolomics integrated with transcriptomics: assessing systems response to sulfur-deficiency stress. Physiologia Plantarum **132**, 190–198.

Hu J, Huang X, Chen L, Sun X, Lu C, Zhang L, Wang Y, Zuo J. 2015. Site-specific nitrosoproteomic identification of endogenously S-nitrosylated proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **167**, 1731–1746.

Huang J, Willems P, Wei B, et al. 2019. Mining for protein S-sulfenylation in *Arabidopsis* uncovers redox-sensitive sites. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **116**, 21256–21261.

Huang W, Hu H, Zhang SB. 2015. Photorespiration plays an important role in the regulation of photosynthetic electron flow under fluctuating light in tobacco plants grown under full sunlight. Frontiers in Plant Science 6, 621.

**Igamberdiev AU, Bykova NV, Lea PJ, Gardeström P.** 2001. The role of photorespiration in redox and energy balance of photosynthetic plant cells: a study with a barley mutant deficient in glycine decarboxylase. Physiologia Plantarum **111**, 427–438.

**Igamberdiev AU, Eprintsev AT, Fedorin DN, Popov VN.** 2014. Phytochrome-mediated regulation of plant respiration and photorespiration. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 290–299.

**Igamberdiev AU, Kleczkowski LE.** 2018. The glycerate and phosphorylated pathways of serine synthesis in plants: the branches of plant glycolysis linking carbon and nitrogen metabolism. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**, 318.

**Igarashi D, Tsuchida H, Miyao M, Ohsumi C.** 2006. Glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase modulates amino acid content during photorespiration. Plant Physiology **142**, 901–910.

Jardine KJ, Fernandes de Souza V, Oikawa P, Higuchi N, Bill M, Porras R, Niinemets U, Chambers JQ. 2017. Integration of C1 and C2 metabolism in trees. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 18, 2045.

Joshi V, Laubengayer KM, Schauer N, Fernie AR, Jander G. 2006. Two Arabidopsis threonine aldolases are non-redundant and compete with threonine deaminase for a common substrate pool. The Plant Cell **18**, 3564–3575.

Jurado-Flores A, Romero LC, Gotor C. 2021. Label-free quantitative proteomic analysis of nitrogen starvation in Arabidopsis root reveals new aspects of H<sub>2</sub>S signaling by protein persulfidation. Antioxidants **10**, 508.

Keys AJ, Bird IF, Cornelius MJ, Lea PJ, Wallsgrove RM, Miflin BJ. 1978. Photorespiratory nitrogen cycle. Nature **275**, 741–743.

**Kopriva S, Chu C, Bauwe H.** 1996. H-protein of the glycine cleavage system in *Flaveria*: alternative splicing of the pre-mRNA occurs exclusively in advanced  $C_4$  species of the genus. The Plant Journal **10**, 369–373.

**Kopriva S, Cossu R, Bauwe H.** 1995. Alternative splicing results in two different transcripts for H-protein of the glycine cleavage system in the  $C_4$  species *Flaveria trinervia*. The Plant Journal **8**, 435–441.

Koprivova A, Kopriva S. 2014. Molecular mechanisms of regulation of sulfate assimilation: first steps on a long road. Frontiers in Plant Science 5, 589.

**Krämer K, Brock J, Heyer AG.** 2022. Interaction of nitrate assimilation and photorespiration at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Frontiers in Plant Science **13**, 897924.

Laureano-Marín AM, Aroca A, Perez-Perez ME, Yruela I, Jurado-Flores A, Moreno I, Crespo JL, Romero LC, Gotor C. 2020. Abscisic acid-triggered persulfidation of the Cys protease ATG4 mediates regulation of authophagy by sulfide. The Plant Cell **32**, 3902–3920.

**Laxa M.** 2017. Regulatory *cis*-elements are located in accesible promoter regions of the *CAT2* promoter and affect activating histone modifications in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Molecular Biology **93**, 49–60.

Laxa M, Fromm S. 2018. Co-expression and regulation of photorespiratory genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a bioinformatic approach. Current Plant Biology **14**, 2–18.

Laxa M, Müller K, Lange N, Doering L, Pruscha JT, Peterhänsel C. 2016. The 5'UTR intron of Arabidopsis GGT1 aminotransferase enhances promoter activity by recruiting RNA polymerase II. Plant Physiology **172**, 313–327.

**Leegood RC, Lea PJ, Adcock MD, Häusler RE.** 1995. The regulation and control of photorespiration. Journal of Experimental Botany **46**, 1397–1414.

Lindermayr C, Saalbach G, Durner J. 2005. Proteomic identification of S-nitrosylated proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **137**, 921–930.

Liu H, Wang J, Liu J, Liu T, Xue S. 2021. Hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ) signaling in plant development and stress responses. aBIOTECH **2**, 32–63.

Lyons TW, Reinhard CT, Planavsky NJ. 2014. The rise of oxygen in Earth's early ocean and atmosphere. Nature **506**, 307–315.

Maiber L, Koprivova A, Bender D, Kopriva S, Fischer-Schrader K. 2022. Characterization of the amidoxime reducing components ARC1 and ARC2 from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The FEBS Journal **289**, 5656–5669.

#### 6038 | Aroca et al.

Mano S, Hayashi M, Nishimura M. 1999. Light regulates alternative splicing of hydroxypyruvate reductase in pumpkin. The Plant Journal 17, 309–320.

**Mignolet-Spruyt L, Xu E, Idänheimo N, Hoeberichts FA, Mühlenbock P, Brosché M, Van Breusegem F, Kangasjärvi J.** 2016. Spreading the news: subcellular and organellar reactive oxygen species production and signalling. Journal of Experimental Botany **67**, 3831–3844.

Miller G, Suzuki N, Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Mittler R. 2010. Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. Plant, Cell & Environment **33**, 453–467.

Modde K, Timm S, Florian A, Michl K, Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2017. High serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase activity lowers leaf daytime serine levels, inducing the phosphoserine pathway in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 643–656.

Morisse S, Zaffagnini M, Gao XH, Lemaire SD, Marchand CH. 2014. Insight into protein S-nitrosylation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling **21**, 1271–1284.

Mustafa AK, Gadalla MM, Sen N, Kim S, Mu W, Gazi SK, Barrow RK, Yang G, Wang R, Snyder SH. 2009.  $H_2S$  signals through protein S-sulfhydration. Science Signaling **2**, ra72.

Nicholls P, Kim JK. 1982. Sulphide as an inhibitor and electron donor for the cytochrome c oxidase system. Canadian Journal of Biochemistry 60, 613–623.

**Orea A, Pajuelo P, Pajuelo E, Quidiello C, Romero JM, Márquez AJ.** 2002. Isolation of photorespiratory mutants from *Lotus japonicus* deficient in glutamine synthetase. Physiologia Plantarum **115**, 352–361.

Ortega-Galisteo AP, Rodríguez-Serrano M, Pazmiño DM, Gupta DK, Sandalio LM, Romero-Puertas MC. 2012. S-Nitrosylated proteins in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) leaf peroxisomes: changes under abiotic stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 2089–2103.

Palmieri MC, Lindermayr C, Bauwe H, Steinhauser C, Durner J. 2010. Regulation of plant glycine decarboxylase by S-nitrosylation and glutathionylation. Plant Physiology **152**, 1514–1528.

**Pérez-Delgado CM, García-Calderón M, Márquez AJ, Betti M.** 2015. Reassimilation of photorespiratory ammonium in *Lotus japonicus* plants deficient in plastidic glutamine synthetase. PLoS One **10**, e0130438.

Pérez-Delgado CM, García-Calderón M, Sánchez DH, Udvardi MK, Kopka J, Márquez AJ, Betti M. 2013. Transcriptomic and metabolic changes associated with photorespiratory ammonium accumulation in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiology **162**, 1834–1848.

Pérez-Delgado CM, Moyano TC, García-Calderón M, Canales J, Gutiérrez RA, Márquez AJ, Betti M. 2016. Use of transcriptomics and co-expression networks to analyze the interconnections between nitrogen assimilation and photorespiratory metabolism. Journal of Experimental Botany 67, 3095–3108.

Peterhansel C, Horst I, Niessen M, Blume C, Kebeish R, Kurkcuoglu S, Kreuzaler F. 2010. Photorespiration. The Arabidopsis Book 8, e0130.

**Rachmilevitch S, Cousins AB, Bloom AJ.** 2004. Nitrate assimilation in plant shoots depends on photorespiration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **101**, 11506–11510.

Ros R, Cascales-Miñana B, Segura J, Anoman AD, Toujani W, Flores-Tornero M, Rosa-Tellez S, Muñoz-Bertomeu J. 2013. Serine biosynthesis by photorespiratory and non-photorespiratory pathways: an interesting interplay with unknown regulatory networks. Plant Biology **15**, 707–712.

Ros R, Munoz-Bertomeu J, Krueger S. 2014. Serine in plants: biosynthesis, metabolism, and functions. Trends in Plant Science **19**, 564–569.

**Samuilov S, Brilhaus D, Rademacher N, et al.** 2018. The photorespiratory BOU gene mutation alters sulfur assimilation and its crosstalk with carbon and nitrogen metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**, 1709.

Sandalio LM, Gotor C, Romero LC, Romero-Puertas MC. 2019. Multilevel regulation of peroxisomal proteome by post-translational modifications. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **20**, 4881. **Shen B-R, Wang L-M, Lin X-L, et al.** 2019. Engineering a new chloroplastic photorespiratory bypass to increase photosynthetic efficiency and productivity in rice. Molecular Plant **12**, 199–214.

**Sloan JS, Schwartz BW, Becker WM.** 1993. Promoter analysis of a lightregulated gene encoding hydroxypyruvate reductase, an enzyme of the photorespiratory glycolate pathway. The Plant Journal **3**, 867–874.

South PF, Cavanagh AP, Liu HW, Ort DR. 2019. Synthetic glycolate metabolism pathways stimulate plant growth and productivity in the field. Science **363**, eaat9077.

Srinivasan R, Oliver DJ. 1995. Light-dependent and tissue-specific expression of the H-protein of the glycine decarboxylase complex. Plant Physiology **109**, 161–168.

**Timm S.** 2020. The impact of photorespiration on plant primary metabolism through metabolic and redox regulation. Biochemical Society Transactions **48**, 2495–2504.

Timm S, Florian A, Wittmiß M, Jahnke K, Hagemann M, Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2013. Serine acts as a metabolic signal for the transcriptional control of photorespiration-related genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **162**, 379–389.

Timm S, Hagemann M. 2020. Photorespiration—how is it regulated and how does it regulate overall plant metabolism? Journal of Experimental Botany **71**, 3955–3965.

**Timm S, Nunes-Nesi A, Florian A, et al.** 2021. Metabolite profiling in *Arabidopsis thaliana* with moderately impaired photorespiration reveals novel metabolic links and compensatory mechanisms of photorespiration. Metabolites **11**, 391.

Timm S, Woitschach F, Heise C, Hagemann M, Bauwe H. 2019. Faster removal of 2-phosphoglycolate through photorespiration improves abiotic stress tolerance of Arabidopsis. Plants (Basel) **8**, 563.

**Tolbert NE.** 1997. The C<sub>2</sub> oxidative photosynthetic carbon cycle. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **48**, 1–25.

**Vauclare P, Macherel D, Douce R, Bourguignon J.** 1998. The gene encoding T protein of the glycine decarboxylase complex involved in the mitochondrial step of the photorespiratory pathway in plants exhibits features of light-induced genes. Plant Molecular Biology **37**, 309–318.

**Voss I, Bobba S, Scheibe R, Raghavendra A.** 2013. Emerging concept for the role of photorespiration as an important part of abiotic stress response. Plant Biology **15**, 713–722.

Walker BJ, Strand DD, Kramer DM, Cousins AB. 2014. The response of cyclic electron flow around photosystem I to changes in photorespiration and nitrate assimilation. Plant Physiology **165**, 453–462.

Wallsgrove RM, Turner JC, Hall NP, Kendall AC, Bright SWJ. 1987. Barley mutants lacking chloroplast glutamine synthetase: biochemical and genetic analysis. Plant Physiology **83**, 155–158.

Wang L, Mu X, Chen X, Han Y. 2022. Hydrogen sulfide attenuates intracellular oxidative stress via repressing glycolate oxidase activities in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biology **22**, 98.

Wang L-M, Shen B-R, Li B-D, Zhang C-L, Lin M, Tong P-P, Cui L-L, Zhang Z-S, Peng X-X. 2020. A synthetic photorespiratory shortcut enhances photosynthesis to boost biomass and grain yield in rice. Molecular Plant **13**, 1802–1815.

Wang R, Yang L, Han X, Zhao Y, Zhao L, Xiang B, Zhu Y, Bai Y, Wang Y. 2019. Overexpression of *ATAGT1* promoted root growth and development during seedling establishment. Plant Cell Reports **38**, 1165–1180.

Wang Z, Wang Y, Wang Y, Li H, Wen Z, Hou X. 2022. HPR1 is required for high light intensity induced photorespiration in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **23**, 4444.

Watanabe M, Chiba Y, Hirai MY. 2021. Metabolism and regulatory functions of *O*-acetylserine, S-adenosylmethionine, homocysteine and serine in plant development and environmental responses. Frontiers in Plant Sciences **12**, 643403.

Wei B, Willems P, Huang J, Tian C, Yang J, Messens J, Van Breusegem F. 2020. Identification of sulfenylated cysteines in *Arabidopsis thaliana* proteins using a disulfide-linked peptide reporter. Frontiers in Plant Sciences **11**, 777.

Wei B, Zhang W, Chao J, Zhang T, Zhao T, Noctor G, Liu Y, Han Y. 2017. Functional analysis of the role of hydrogen sulfide in the regulation of dark-induced leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. Scientific Reports **7**, 2615.

Wiludda C, Schulze S, Gowik U, Engelmann S, Koczor M, Streubel M, Bauwe H, Westhoff P. 2012. Regulation of the photorespiratory *GLDPA* gene in  $C_4$  *Flaveria*: an intricate interplay of transcriptional and posttranscriptional processes. The Plant Cell **24**, 137–151.

Wingler A, Ann VJ, Lea PJ, Leegood RC. 1999a. Serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase exerts no control on photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany **50**, 719–722.

Wingler A, Quick WP, Bungard RA, Bailey KJ, Lea PJ, Leegood RC. 1999b. The role of photorespiration during drought stress: an analysis utilizing barley mutants with reduced activities of photorespiratory enzymes. Plant, Cell & Environment **22**, 361–373.

Zaffagnini M, Bedhomme M, Groni H, Marchand CH, Puppo C, Gontero B, Cassier-Chauvat C, Decottignies P, Lemaire SD. 2012. Glutathionylation in the photosynthetic model organism *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: a proteomic survey. Molecular and Cellular Proteomics **11**, M111.014142.

Zhang Q, Lee J, Pandurangan S, Clarke M, Pajak A, Marsolais F. 2013. Characterization of Arabidopsis serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase, AGT1, as an asparagine aminotransferase. Phytochemistry **85**, 30–35.

Zhang Z, Mao X, Ou J, Ye N, Zhang J, Peng X. 2015. Distinct photorespiratory reactions are preferentially catalyzed by glutamate:glyoxylate and serine:glyoxylate aminotransferases in rice. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology **142**, 110–117.

Ziotti ABS, Silva BP, Sershen, Lima Neto MC. 2019. Photorespiration is crucial for salinity acclimation in castor bean. Environmental and Experimental Botany **167**, 103845.

Zivanovic J, Kouroussis E, Kohl JB, et al. 2019. Selective persulfide detection reveals evolutionarily conserved antiaging effects of S-sulfhydration. Cell Metabolism **30**, 1152–1170.