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#### Abstract

As leads we took several benzo-fused seven- and six-membered scaffolds linked to the pyrimidine or purine moieties with notable antiproliferative activity against human breast, colon and melanoma cancerous cell lines. We then decided to maintain the double-ringed nitrogenous bases and change the other components to the ethyl acetate moiety. This way six purine and two 5-fluorouracil derivatives were obtained and evaluated against the MCF-7, HCT-116, A375 and G361 cancer cell lines. Two QSARs are obtained between the anti-proliferative $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for compounds 26-33 and the clog $P$ against the melanoma cell lines A375 and G361. Our results show that two of the analogues [ethyl 2-(2,6-dichloro-9H- or 7H-purine-9- or 7-yl)acetates (30 and 33, respectively)] are potent citotoxic agents against all the tumour cell lines assayed, showing single-digit micromolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. This exemplifies the potential of our previously reported purine compounds to qualify as lead structures for medicinal chemistry campaigns, affording simplified analogues easy to synthesize and with a noteworthy bioactivity. The selective activity of $\mathbf{3 0}$ and 33 against the melanoma cell line A375, via apoptosis, supposes a great advantage for a future therapeutic use.
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#### Abstract

Abbreviations: American Type Culture Collection: ATCC; Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium: DMEM; 5-Fluorouracil: 5-FU; Phosphate Buffered Saline: PBS; Quantitative structure activity relationship: QSAR; Sodium Dichloroacetate: DCA; Sulforhodamine-B: SRB.


## 1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the first cause of death by neoplasia among women of industrialized countries and represents nearly $25 \%$ of non-accidental deaths of women between 35 and 54 years of age [1]. The frequency of breast cancer increases with age up to the menopause and subsequently continues to rise but more slowly [2]. Conventional cancer chemotherapy blocks cell division but lacks selectivity for oncogenic cells which can result in serious cytotoxic side effects for the patient. The key to specificity in cancer chemotherapy may be found in the pharmacological targeting of specific molecules avoiding cytotoxicity against normal cells [3].

Metastatic melanoma is a disease with limited treatment options and a poor prognosis. Malignant melanoma is the most aggressive form of skin cancer with increasing incidence over the past years [4]. Metastatic melanoma has a short median survival and is responsible for most skin cancer deaths [5]. Often
melanomas are characterized by resistance to cytotoxic agents because of the inactivation of apoptotic pathways [6].

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common disease that results in significant worldwide morbidity and mortality. CRC is the second leading cause of global cancer mortality, and accounts for over 600,000 deaths annually [7].

Having previously reported the synthesis and anticancer activities of cyclic 5fluorouracil (5-FU) O,N-acetalic compounds (1), we changed 5-FU for uracil (2), with the aim of finding an anti-proliferative agent endowed with a new mechanism of action. Following our ongoing Anticancer Drug Programme we planned the synthesis of compounds bearing a pyrimidine base, and also the oxygen atom at position 1 of the seven-membered cycle was replaced by its isosteric sulfur atom (3,4) and its oxidized states. Later on, the pyrimidine base was substituted for the purine one with the objective of increasing both the lipophilicity and the structural diversity of the target molecules (5-19). Structures were designed in which both structural entities (such as the benzoheterocyclic ring and the purine base) were linked through a methylene linker (20-25). A series of (RS)-9-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzoxathiin-3-ylmethyl)-9H-purine derivatives (20-22) were obtained and the anticancer activity for the most active compounds was correlated with their capability to induce apoptosis. In order to complete a SAR study, a series of (RS)-6-substituted-7- or 9-(1,2,3,5-tetrahydro-4,1-benzoxazepine-3-yl)-7H- or 9H-purines (23-25) was prepared [8] (Chart 1).


| 1 | $X=O ; R_{5}=F$ | $5 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$ | $10 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $X=O ; R_{5}=H$ | $6 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=1$ | $11 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 3 | $X=S ; R_{5}=F$ | $7 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$ | $12 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 4 | $X=S ; R_{5}=H$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 X=S ; R_{2}=H ; R_{6}=C I \\ & 9 X=S O_{2} ; R_{2}=H ; R_{6}=C I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 X=S ; R_{2}=H ; R_{6}=C I \\ & 14 X=S_{2} ; R_{2}=H ; R_{6}=C l \end{aligned}$ |



$$
15 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}
$$

$$
16 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}
$$

$$
17 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Fmoc}, \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}
$$


$20 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$21 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Br}$
$22 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$

$18 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{pNO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$19 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Fmoc}, \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$

$23 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$24 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Br}$
$25 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$

## Chart 1.

Compound 16 presents an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.166 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against the human cancerous cell line MDA-MB-231. Compound 16 was the most selective against the human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cancer cell lines (Therapeutic Indexes = 5.1 and 11.0, respectively) in relation to the normal MCF-10A. (RS)16 was resolved into its enantiomers. Both homochiral forms are equally potent, but more so than the corresponding racemic mixture [9].

The Mitsunobu reaction products were studied between ( $R S$ )-2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzoxathiin-3-methanol and the heterocyclic bases, 6-chloro-, 6-bromoand 2,6-dichloro-purines under microwave-assisted conditions. The most active compounds against the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 were 24, and 25 with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=4.87 \pm 0.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $2.75 \pm 0.03$, respectively [10].

The small molecule sodium dichloroacetate (DCA) inhibits the growth of C6 glioma tumours in both C6 brain tumour-bearing rats and C6 tumour-bearing nude mice [11]. Sánchez et al. have reported that the combination of DCA with bortezomib significantly extends the survival of myeloma-bearing mice over bortezomib alone and provides preclinical support for the use of this drug combination against myeloma [12].

We took the benzo-fused seven- and six-membered scaffolds linked to the pyrimidine or purine moieties 1-25 (Chart 1) as leader compounds, and decided to maintain the double-ringed nitrogenous bases and, as a first approach, connect the other components to the ethyl acetate (drastic molecular pruning) rest, which is related to DCA. Such a very simple reasoning has guided us in spite of a remote resemblance to the model structures. Should compounds 2633 show notorious anti-proliferative activity, the simplicity of their preparation and the use of ordinary chemistry militate in their favour, although is not always accepted with enthusiasm by organic chemists. In this communication we report the synthesis and anti-proliferative activity against the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7, the human colon carcinoma cell line HCT-116, and two human melanoma cell lines such as A375 and G361 of two 5-FU derivatives $(\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{2 7})$, and six purine scaffolds (28-33) (Chart 2).
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27

$28 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$29 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Br}$
$30 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$

$31 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$32 \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{Br}$
$33 R_{2}=R_{6}=\mathrm{Cl}$

## Chart 2.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

Compounds 26-33 were obtained as shown in Scheme 2(Chart 3).


Scheme 2 (Chart 3?). Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MW}, 8$ $\min (13 \%$ for $\mathbf{2 6}, 6 \%$ for $\mathbf{2 7}, 24 \%$ for $\mathbf{2 8}, 28 \%$ for $\mathbf{2 9}, 40 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 0}, 12 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 1}$, $9 \%$ for 32, $15 \%$ for 33 ).

Nucleophilic substitution assisted by microwave irradiation of ethyl chloroacetate using water as solvent and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base reagent afforded compounds 26-33. This rapid, convenient and green protocol was previously
reported for the synthesis of 28 and $\mathbf{3 0}$ [13]. Whereas the authors only isolated N -9 isomers (28 and 30), we obtained $\mathrm{N}-9$ and N -7 isomers in each reaction (28 and 31, 30 and 33, respectively). (With the same reaction conditions,) we also prepared the synthesis of the bromopurine and 5-FU derivatives obtaining the $\mathrm{N}-9$ and $\mathrm{N}-7$ bromopurine isomers (29 and 32) and the $\mathrm{N}-1$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$ 5-FU isomers (26 and 27). Compound 26 was previously reported [14], although in a non-easily accessible journal. The synthesis of its $\mathrm{N}-35$-FU isomer (27) is described in another Chinese journal, in which its melting point is not provided [15].

### 2.2. Spectroscopic analysis

Compounds 26-33 have been identified by NMR-spectroscopy and high resolution mass spectroscopy. HSQC and HMBC studies were employed for the unequivocally identification of each atom of H and C . The discrimination between the $N-9$ and $N-7$ substituted purine derivatives (28-33) relies on the observation of the 1,3 -relationship between the hydrogen atoms of the carbon linked to the purine and the quaternary carbons of the purine moiety: C 4 pur and C5pur in the HMBC spectrum (Figure 1). While these hydrogen atoms are correlated with C 4 pur in the $\mathrm{N}-9$ regioisomers, they are correlated with C 5 pur in the $N-7$ regioisomers (Scheme 3).

$28 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{HR}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$29 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}$ $30 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$

$31 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{HR}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$32 R_{1}=H R_{2}=B r$
$33 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$

Scheme 3. The HMBC interactions that discriminate $N-9$ (28-30) and $N-7$ (3133) purine regioisomers.


Figure 1. The HMBC spectrum of the $N-9$ (30) and $N-7$ (33) 2,6-dichloropurine isomers.

In the 5-FU derivatives, the identification of the N -1 isomer (26) relies on the observation of the 1,3-connection between the hydrogen atoms of the carbon linked to the $5-\mathrm{FU}$ and the sole tertiary carbon of the 5 -FU moiety (C65FU) and the opposite connection: H65Fu and the carbon linked to the 5-FU (Scheme 4) in the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2). These correlations do not exist in its isomer N 3 (27). The correlation in 27 appears between the hydrogen atoms of the carbon linked to the 5-FU and C45Fu.
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Scheme 4. The HMBC interactions that discriminate $N-1$ (26) and $N-3$ (27) 5-FU derivatives.


Figure 2. The HMBC spectrum of the N-1 (26) and N-3 (27) 5-fluoroacil isomers.

### 2.3. Biological activities

Table 1 shows the anti-proliferative activities against the MCF-7, HCT-116, A375 and G361 cancerous cell lines for the target compounds. As a rule of thumb, the following can be stated: (a) The 2,6-dichloropurine derivatives (30, 33) are the most active compounds showing single-digit micromolar $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values against all the assayed cell lines, the $N-9$ derivative (30) eliciting improved activities in all cancerous cell lines than its $N$ - 7 regioisomer (33); (b) In general, the $N-9$ purine derivatives present an augmented activity than that of their $N-7$
regioisomers except in the case of the 6-chloropurines (28 and 29), in which this tendency is reversed against A375, and G361: both regioisomers are equally potent in inhibiting both melanoma cancer cell growth; (c) The 5-FU derivatives evidence intermediate potencies ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \approx 22$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), being the $N-1$ isomer (26) more active than N-3 (27) against MCF-7 and A375, except in the case of HCT-116 and G361 cell lines.

A quick look at the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values suggests that a correlation with the lipophilicity of the target compounds may exist, and we therefore decided to find the corresponding QSAR equations. Used in its logarithmic form $(\log P)$ the octanolwater partition coefficient is the most widely accepted measure of lipophilicity. Fragmental methods make it possible to create data banks and to perform $\log P$ calculations by computer.

Correlations 1 and 2 show the anti-proliferative activities of the targeted compounds against the melanoma cell line A375 and the clog $P$ values of 2633. Such clog $P$ values reported in Table 1 were calculated using the PALLAS programme [17].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)_{\mathrm{A} 375}=4.40( \pm 0.12)+0.85( \pm 0.15) \operatorname{clog} P  \tag{1}\\
& n=8, r=0.920, s=0.224, \quad F_{1,5}=32.96, p<0.005
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)_{\mathrm{A} 375}=-\log \left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)_{\mathrm{A} 375}$, bearing in mind that the higher the value of $p\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)_{A 375}$ the more potent is the compound, $n$ is the number of compounds, $r$ is the correlation coefficient, $s$ is the standard deviation, data within parentheses are standard errors of estimate, and $F_{1,5}$ is the Fisher test $(p<0.005)$.

Eq 2 shows the anti-proliferative activity of the targeted compounds against the G361 cell line:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)_{\mathrm{G} 361}=4.55( \pm 0.08)+0.55( \pm 0.10) \operatorname{clog} P  \tag{2}\\
& n=8, r=0.920, s=0.159, F_{1,5}=27.12, p<0.005
\end{align*}
$$

No correlation is obtained for the anti-proliferative activity of 26-33 and their clog $P$ values ( $r=0.631$ against MCF-7, and $r=0.353$ against HCT-116).

Table 1. Anti-proliferative activities $^{a}$ for compounds 26-33 against the cancerous cell lines MCF-7, HCT-116, A375 and G361.

| Comp | IC50 ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) MCF-7 | IC50 ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) <br> HCT-116 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \mathrm{A} 375 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \mathrm{G} 361 \end{gathered}$ | clog $P^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | $25.2 \pm 0.03$ | $24.6 \pm 0.01$ | $38.4 \pm 0.06$ | $29.0 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.07 \pm 0.20$ |
| 27 | $30.5 \pm 0.03$ | $22.6 \pm 0.01$ | $50.9 \pm 0.13$ | $25.4 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.27 \pm 0.19$ |
| 28 | $35.1 \pm 0.03$ | $5.27 \pm 0.04$ | $18.9 \pm 0.09$ | $21.4 \pm 0.01$ | $0.49 \pm 0.15$ |
| 31 | $49.8 \pm 0.03$ | $68.0 \pm 0.04$ | $14.5 \pm 0.12$ | $21.4 \pm 0.01$ | $0.49 \pm 0.15$ |
| 29 | $14.1 \pm 0.06$ | $23.5 \pm 0.04$ | $13.4 \pm 0.03$ | $15.8 \pm 0.01$ | $0.56 \pm 0.14$ |
| 32 | $20.2 \pm 0.06$ | $55.7 \pm 0.04$ | $26.7 \pm 0.01$ | $18.3 \pm 0.01$ | $0.51 \pm 0.14$ |
| 30 | $3.93 \pm 0.04$ | $6.20 \pm 0.05$ | $1.18 \pm 0.03$ | $3.06 \pm 0.01$ | $1.34 \pm 0.15$ |
| 33 | $5.63 \pm 0.03$ | $6.36 \pm 0.06$ | $4.98 \pm 0.07$ | $5.67 \pm 0.01$ | $1.32 \pm 0.15$ |

${ }^{\text {aAll }}$ experiments were conducted in duplicate and gave similar results. The data are means $\pm$ SEM of three independent determinations.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pallas 3.8.1.1 CompuDrug Chemistry Ltd. Copyright (c) 1994, 2006.

The use of cell-cycle-specific treatments in cancer therapy has greatly benefited from the major advances that have been recently made in the identification of the molecular actors regulating the cell cycle and from the better understanding of the connections between cell cycle and apoptosis [18]. To study the mechanisms of the anti-tumour activity of the most active compounds (30 and 33), the effects on the cell cycle distribution and apoptosis were analysed by flow cytometry (Tables 2, 3 and 4). For this purpose we used the MCF-7, HCT-116 and A375 cell lines as representatives for breast, colon and melanoma tumours, respectively. The A375 melanoma cell line was selected because of its higher metastatic phenotype in comparison with G361 [19]. MCF-7 cells treated for 24 h with 30 and 33 did not show significant differences in the cell cycle progression compared with DMSO-treated control cells. We found a slight cell cycle arrest in the $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ and S-phases induced by 30 (54.63 $\pm$ 1.18 ) and 33 (18.27 $\pm 0.79$ ), respectively (Table 2). In the HCT-116 and A375 treated cells, 30 did not modify the cell cycle profile and 33 provoked a $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ cell cycle arrest ( $28.47 \pm 0.07$ ) at the expense of the $\mathrm{Go}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$-phase ( $33.58 \pm 1.90$ ) in the colon cancer cells and accumulated the A375 melanoma cells in the $\mathrm{G}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$-phase $(70.55 \pm 1.47)$ at the expense of both $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ and S phases $(20.10 \pm$ 0.75 and $9.34 \pm 0.62$, respectively) (Tables 3 and 4). Previously, we have demonstrated that potent anti-tumour drugs did not modify the cell cycle in comparison with control cells, due to a translational block and consequently inhibition of the protein synthesis by the activation and phosphorylation of the initiation factor elF2 $\alpha$ [10].

Table 2. Cell cycle distribution and apoptosis induction in the human breast MCF-7 cancer cell line after treatment for 24 h for the two most active compounds 30 and 33 as anti-proliferative agents.

| Compound | Cell cycle $^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apoptosis $^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{G}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| Control | $34.58 \pm 0.09$ | $14.50 \pm 1.06$ | $50.91 \pm 1.15$ | $10.80 \pm 0.85$ |
| 30 | $28.17 \pm 1.37$ | $15.39 \pm 0.68$ | $54.63 \pm 1.18$ | $20.53 \pm 0.91$ |
| 33 | $34.54 \pm 0.08$ | $18.27 \pm 0.79$ | $47.19 \pm 0.89$ | $24.10 \pm 4.37$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Determined by flow cytometry [18].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All experiments were conducted in triplicate and gave similar results. The data are means $\pm$ SEM of three independent determinations.
${ }^{c}$ Apoptosis was determined using an Annexin V-based assay [18]. The data indicate the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis in each sample.

Table 3. Cell cycle distribution and apoptosis induction in the HCT-116 colon cancer cell line after treatment for 24 h for the two most active compounds 30 and 33 as anti-proliferative agents.

| Compound | Cell cycle $^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apoptosis ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Go}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| Control | $44.70 \pm 0.38$ | $38.26 \pm 1.40$ | $17.02 \pm 1.40$ | $7.27 \pm 1.57$ |
| 30 | $45.93 \pm 1.11$ | $36.75 \pm 0.70$ | $17.31 \pm 0.44$ | $20.20 \pm 3.18$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | $33.58 \pm 1.90$ | $37.93 \pm 1.92$ | $28.47 \pm 0.07$ | $17.03 \pm 1.00$ |

aDetermined by flow cytometry [18].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All experiments were conducted in triplicate and gave similar results. The data are means $\pm$ SEM of three independent determinations.
${ }^{c}$ Apoptosis was determined using an Annexin V-based assay [18]. The data indicate the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis in each sample.

Table 4. Cell cycle distribution and apoptosis induction in the A375 colon cancer cell line after treatment for 24 h for the two most active compounds 30 and 33 as anti-proliferative agents.

| Compound |  | Cell cycle $^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ |  | Apoptosis $^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | $57.97 \pm 0.93$ | $29.60 \pm 0.78$ | $12.42 \pm 0.70$ | $6.83 \pm 0.40$ |
| 30 | $58.48 \pm 1.03$ | $28.78 \pm 0.26$ | $12.73 \pm 0.77$ | $35.37 \pm 0.47$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | $70.55 \pm 1.47$ | $20.10 \pm 0.75$ | $9.34 \pm 0.62$ | $27.13 \pm 3.07$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Determined by flow cytometry [18].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All experiments were conducted in triplicate and gave similar results. The data are means $\pm$ SEM of three independent determinations.
${ }^{\text {c}}$ Apoptosis was determined using an Annexin V-based assay [18]. The data indicate the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis in each sample.

Apoptotic defects in cancer cells are the primary obstacle that limits the therapeutic efficacy of anticancer agents, and hence the development of novel agents targeting programmed cell death pathways has become an imperative mission for clinical research [20,21]. Although both compounds showed different cell cycle profiles that were dependent upon the cell line studied, however, 30 and 33 at 24 h induced high levels of apoptosis in all cancer cells in comparison with DMSO-treated cell cultures (Tables 2, 3 and 4). This apoptosis was induced even in the MCF-7 breast cancer cells that have shown deficiency in
the caspase-activation mechanisms [22]. Interestingly, 30 was the most apoptotic compound against the A375 melanoma cell line ( $35.37 \pm 0.47$ ), where no modification in the cell cycle were found. This effect could be explained by a preferentially apoptotic mechanism of action. Moreover, the fact that 33 gathered cells at $G_{2} / M$ and $G_{0} / G_{1}$ phases respectively in the colon and melanoma cancer cells accompanied by high levels of programmed death cell indicates that this compounds has different cytotoxic effects on each tumour cell type.

## 3. Conclusion

The anti-proliferative potential of the target molecules is reported against four human cancerous cell lines. Two QSARs are obtained between the antiproliferative $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for compounds 26-33 and the clog $P$ against the melanoma cell lines A375 and G361. Using our purine derivatives as lead structures, we have obtained a simplified analogue with a remarkable bioactivity. The most active compounds are always 30 and 33 and the results indicate that the anti-proliferative activity of 33 is correlated with its ability to induce apoptosis against the human melanoma cell line A375. The mechanism through which molecules 30 and 33 elicit their effects is currently being elucidated.

## 4. Experimental protocols

### 4.1. Chemistry

Melting points were taken in open capillaries on an Electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed using Merck Kieselgel 60 F254 aluminum sheets, the spots being developed with UV light ( $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). All evaporation was carried out in vacuo with a Büchi rotary evaporator and the pressure controlled by a Vacuubrand CVCII apparatus. For flash chromatography, Merck silica gel 60 with a particle size of $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ (230-400 mesh ASTM) was used. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra have been carried out at the Centro de Instrumentación Científica/Universidad de Granada, and recorded on a $300 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 75 MHz ${ }^{13}$ CNMR Varian Inova-TM spectrometers at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are quoted in parts per million (ppm) and are referenced to the residual solvent peak. Signals are designated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; pst, pseudo-triplet; q, quartet. High-resolution Nano-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization (NALDI-TOF) or Electrospray Ionization (ESITOF) mass spectra were carried out on a Bruker Autoflex or a Waters LCT Premier Mass Spectrometer, respectively. Small scale microwave-assisted synthesis was carried out in an Initiator 2.0 single-mode microwave instrument producing controlled irradiation at 2.450 GHz (Biotage AB, Uppsala). Reaction time refers to hold time at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, not to total irradiation time. The temperature was measured with an IR sensor outside the reaction vessel. Anhydrous THF was purchased from VWR International Eurolab. Anhydrous conditions were
performed under argon. 6-Chloropurine, 6-bromopurine and 2,6-dichloropurine were purchased from Aldrich.

### 4.1.1. General procedure for the Microwave-assisted synthesis of 26-33

Ethyl chloroacetate ( 6 mmol ) was added drop wise to a mixture of TEA (4 mmol ) and the corresponding purine derivative or 5 -FU ( 2 mmol ) in water (5 mL ). The microwave vial was sealed and irradiated at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 min . After completion of irradiation time and cooling to room temperature through rapid pressurized air supply gas-jet, the resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(10 / 0.1)$ as eluent.
4.1.1.1. 5-Fluoro-1-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-3H-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (26). White solid ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ ); mp: 165-166 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit ${ }^{14}$ 164-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $4.27\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=167.09(\mathrm{CO}), 156.87(\mathrm{C} 4), 149.31$ (C2), $141.60(\mathrm{C} 5), 128.86(\mathrm{C} 6)$, $62.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 49.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 217.0546$, found: 217.0546. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 44.45$; H, 4.20; N, 12.96. Found: C, 44.21; H, 4.39; N, 13.01.
4.1.1.2. 5-Fluoro-3-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-1H-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (27). Light orange solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ); mp: 131-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.26$ (s, 1H, H1), 7.29 (pst, 1H, H6), 4.68 (s, 2H, CH2CO), 4.24 (q, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $1.30\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=167.09$ (CO), 156.77 (C4), 149.59 (C2), 139.70 (C5), $128.59(\mathrm{C} 6), 62.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 49.07$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ 217.0546, found: 217.0546. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 44.45; H, 4.20; N, 12.96. Found: C, 44.21; H, 4.59; N, 12.63.
4.1.1.3. 6-Chloro-9-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-9H-purine (28). White solid (117 $\mathrm{mg}, 24 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}: 97-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{lit}^{16} 96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=8.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $1.29\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=166.56(\mathrm{CO})$, 152.37 (C2), 152.03 (C4), 151.39 (C6), 145.58 (C8), 131.33 (C5), 62.82 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 44.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{CIN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 241.0414, found: 241.0488. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{CIN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 44.92; H, 3.77; N, 23.28. Found: C, 44.99; H, 3.59; S, 23.39.
4.1.1.4. 6-Chloro-7-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-7H-purine (31). White solid (59 mg, 12 \%); mp: 122-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta=8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 8.28$ (s, 1H, H8), 5.23 (s, 2H, CH2CO), $4.27\left(q, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.76$ (CO), 162.00 (C4), 152.81 (C2), 149.72 (C6), 143.16 (C8), 122.80 (C5), $62.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 48.19$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $14.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 241.0414, found: 241.0487. Anal. Calc. For $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 44.92; H, 3.77; N, 23.28. Found: C, 45.21; H, 3.59; N, 23.42.
4.1.1.5. 6-Bromo-9-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-9H-purine (29). White solid (157 $\mathrm{mg}, 28 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}: 104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2)$, $8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.28\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.30(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta=166.52$ (CO), 152.36 (C2), 150.80 (C4), 145.53 (C8), 143.46 (C6), 133.89 (C5), $62.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 44.75$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z [M+H] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 284.9909, found: 284.9910. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 37.92; H, 3.18; N, 19.65. Found: C, 37.72; H, 3.49; N, 19.38.
4.1.1.6. 6-Bromo-7-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-7H-purine (32). Yellow syrup (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 9 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8)$, $5.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.67$ (CO), 159.41 (C4), 152.99 (C2), 149.77 (C8), 133.93 (C6), 122.69 (C5), $63.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 48.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.21$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z [M+H]+ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 284.9909, found: 284.9991 . Anal. Calc. For $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 37.92; H, 3.18; N, 19.65. Found: C, 37.64; H, 3.42; N, 19.33.
4.1.1.7. 2,6-Dichloro-9-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-9H-purine (30). White solid (218 $\mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ); mp: 120-121 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit ${ }^{13} 112-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ 8.18 (s, 1H, H8), $5.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.24\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.28(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=166.25(\mathrm{CO}), 153.30(\mathrm{C} 6)$, 153.26 (C4), 151.95 (C2), 146.33 (C8), 130.39 (C5), $62.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 44.70$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z [M+H] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 275.0024, found: 275.0096. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 39.29; H, 2.93; $\mathrm{N}, 20.37$. Found: C, 39.01; H, 2.63; N, 20.09.
4.1.1.8. 2,6-Dichloro-7-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-7H-purine (33). Yellow syrup (82 $\mathrm{mg}, 15 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.29$ (s, 1H, H8), 5.22 (s, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ (75 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta=166.49$ (CO), 163.61 (C4), 153.53 (C6), 152.00 (C8), 143.90(C2), $122.05(\mathrm{C} 5), 63.11\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 48.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 14.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS
m/z [M+H]+ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 275.0024, found: 274.0096. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 39.29; H, 2.93; N, 20.37. Found: C, 38.91; H, 2.64; N, 20.20.

### 4.2. Biology

### 4.2.1. Cell culture

MCF-7, HCT-116, A375 and G361 cells were grown at $37{ }^{\circ}$ ㅇ in an atmosphere containing 5 \% CO2, with Dubelcco's modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10 \% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 2 \% L-glutamine, 2.7 \% sodium bicarbonate, 1 \% Hepes buffer, $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ gentamicin and $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin.

### 4.2.2. Drug treatment

Compounds were dissolved in DMSO and stored at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each experiment, the stock solutions were further diluted in medium to obtain the desired concentrations. The final solvent concentration in cell culture was $\leq 0.1$ $\% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ of DMSO, a concentration without any effect on cell replication. Parallel cultures of MCF-7, HCT-116, A375 and G361 cells in medium with DMSO were used as controls.

### 4.2.3. Proliferation assays

The effect of the compounds on cell viability was assessed using the sulforhodamine-B (SRB) colorimetric assay. Cells suspension (30 $\times 10^{3}$ cells/well) were seeded onto 24 -well plates and incubated for 24 h . The cells were then treated with different concentrations of drugs in their respective
culture medium and maintained with the treatment for 3 additional days. Thereafter, we used a Titertek Multiscan (Flow, Irvine, California) at 492 nm. We evaluated linearity of the SRB assay with a cell number for each cell stock before each cell growth experiment. The inhibitory concentration 50 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) values were calculated from semi-logarithmic dose-response curves by linear interpolation. All of the experiments were plated in triplicate wells and were carried out twice.

### 4.2.4. Cell cycle distribution analysis

The cells at $70 \%$ confluence were treated with either DMSO alone or with concentrations of compounds 30 and 33 determined by their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. FACS analysis was performed after 24 h of treatment as described [18]. All experiments were performed in triplicate and yielded similar results.
4.2.5. Apoptosis detection by staining with annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide

The annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit I (Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) was used to detect apoptosis by flow cytometry according to Marchal et al. [18]. Apoptosis induction in the MCF-7, HCT-116 and A375 human cancer cell lines after treatment for 24 h was determined for compounds 30 and 33 at doses of their corresponding $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. All experiments were performed in triplicate and yielded similar results.

### 4.2.6. Statistical analyses

All the quantitative data in the present study are reported as means $\pm$ standard derivation from at least three independent experiments. Two-way

ANOVA was used for grouped analysis of differences followed by Bonferroni post-tests.
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## Captions to Charts

Chart 1. Benzo-fused seven-membered linked to pyrimidines (1-4), to purines (5-19), and benzo-fused six-membered rings linked to purines (20-25).

Chart 2. Target molecules under study in this communication: N-1 (26) and $N-3$ (27) 5-FU derivatives, $N-9$ (28-30) and $N-7$ (31-33) purine compounds.

