

### Depósito de investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla

### https://idus.us.es/

"This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Experimental Botany following peer review. The version of record Irene Jiménez-Guerrero, Sebastián Acosta-Jurado, Carlos Medina, Francisco Javier Ollero, Cynthia Alias-Villegas, José María Vinardell, Francisco Pérez-Montaño, Francisco Javier López-Baena, The Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 type III secretion system effector NopC blocks nodulation with Lotus japonicus Gifu, Journal of Experimental Botany, Volume 71, Issue 19, 7 October 2020, Pages 6043–6056, is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa297"

1	The Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Type III secretion system effector NopC blocks
2	nodulation with <i>Lotus japonicus</i> Gifu.
3	
4	Running title: NopC blocks nodulation with Lotus japonicus.
5	
6	Authors: Irene Jiménez-Guerrero*, Sebastián Acosta-Jurado*, Carlos Medina,
7	Francisco Javier Ollero, Cynthia Alias-Villegas, José María Vinardell, Francisco Pérez-
8	Montaño, Francisco Javier López-Baena <sup>#</sup> .
9	
10	* These two authors contributed equally to this work.
11	
12	Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Sevilla, 41012,
13	Sevilla, Spain.
14	
15	Highlight: The Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> T3SS effector NopC blocks
16	nodulation with Lotus japonicus Gifu. The infection-blocking event occurs at early
17	stages of the infection process.
18	
19	Author's emails:
20	Irene Jiménez-Guerrero: ijimgue@us.es
21	Sebastián Acosta-Jurado: sacosta@us.es
22	Carlos Medina: cmedina1@us.es
23	Francisco Javier Ollero: fjom@us.es
24	Cynthia Alias-Villegas: calias@us.es
25	José María Vinardell: jvinar@us.es

- 26 Francisco Pérez-Montaño: fperezm@us.es
- 27 Francisco Javier López-Baena: jlopez@us.es
- 28
- 29 # Address correspondence to Francisco Javier López-Baena
- 30 Telephone number: +34 954557121
- 31
- 32 Date of submission: March 2020
- 33 **Number of Tables:** 3.
- 34 **Number of Figures:** 2.
- **Total word count:** 6,193.
- 36 **Supplementary Tables:** 2.
- 37 Supplementary Figures: 2.

### 39 Abstract

40 The broad host-range bacterium Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 cannot nodulate the 41 model legume Lotus japonicus Gifu. This bacterium possesses a Type III secretion 42 system (T3SS), a specialized secretion apparatus used to deliver effector proteins (T3E) 43 into the host cell cytosol to alter host signaling and/or suppress host defence responses 44 to promote infection. However, some of these T3E are recognized by specific plant receptors and hence trigger a strong defence response to block infection. In rhizobia, 45 46 T3E are involved in nodulation efficiency, host-range determination and, in some cases, 47 directly activate host symbiosis signalling in a Nod factor-independent manner.

In this work, we show that HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3SS mutants, unable to secrete T3E, gain 48 49 nodulation with L. japonicus Gifu through infection threads (IT), suggesting that plant 50 recognition of a T3E could block the infection process. To identify the T3E involved, 51 we performed nodulation assays with a collection of mutants affected in secretion of 52 each T3E identified in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> so far. The *nopC* mutant could infect L. *japonicus* 53 Gifu by IT invasion and switch the infection mechanism in L. burttii from intercellular 54 infection to IT formation. L. japonicus gene expression analysis indicated that the 55 infection-blocking event occurs at early stages of the symbiosis.

56

57 Keywords: Type III secretion system (T3SS); effector; *Lotus japonicus*; nodulation;
58 *Sinorhizobium fredii*; *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis; infection thread; plant defense.

### 60 Introduction

61 Soil bacteria, commonly known as rhizobia, can establish symbiosis with leguminous 62 plants, developing new plant root organs called nodules. Within these nodules, rhizobia 63 differentiate into bacteroids able to reduce atmospheric nitrogen to ammonia, which is assimilated by the plant (Oldroyd et al., 2011). The symbiosis is highly regulated and 64 65 needs the interchange of symbiotic signals between both partners. At very early stages 66 of the symbiotic process, the bacterial transcriptional regulator NodD recognises some 67 phenolic compounds, called flavonoids, exuded by legume roots (Cooper, 2007). NodD, once activated by flavonoids, binds to specific promoter sequences called nod boxes 68 69 (NB), inducing the expression of the nodulation genes (nod genes), which code for 70 proteins necessary for Nod factors (NF) production and export (Gage, 2004). NF are 71 specifically recognized by susceptible legumes, triggering both root hair curling and 72 cortical cell division by activation of specific plant nodulation genes (Oldroyd, 2013). 73 In fully compatible rhizobium-legume interactions, root hair deformation entraps 74 rhizobia that, in most cases, enter into the root tissue through infection threads (IT). 75 Then, rhizobia reach the cortex, multiply and colonize the intracellular spaces in root 76 nodules (Oldroyd, 2013). However, there are other factors/molecules affecting 77 symbiotic efficiency and nodulation host-range, such as surface polysaccharides or 78 proteins secreted through the Type III secretion system (T3SS) (Downie, 2010).

Due to its sessile lifestyle, plants have developed a basal defence response that is induced upon recognition of conserved microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMP) by specific plant extracellular receptors. This recognition results in MAMP-triggered immunity or MTI (Alfano and Collmer, 2004). The T3SS is used by pathogenic bacteria to deliver effector proteins (T3E) directly into the host cytoplasm to promote virulence through alteration of the plant cell metabolism and/or suppression of the MTI response

(Feng and Zhou, 2012; Macho and Zipfel, 2015). Plants, in turn, have evolved to
recognize some T3E by specific resistance (R) proteins (Jones and Dangl, 2006;
Duxbury *et al.*, 2016). Upon T3E recognition, R proteins elicit a plethora of defence
responses collectively known as effector-triggered immunity (ETI). Elicitation of the
ETI response is responsible of the incapacity of some rhizobial strains with a functional
T3SS to nodulate some legume plants (Yasuda *et al.*, 2016; Sugawara *et al.*, 2018).

91 In rhizobia, proteins secreted through the T3SS are collectively known as nodulation 92 outer proteins (Nops). Synthesis and secretion of Nops are controlled by the 93 transcriptional regulator TtsI, whose expression is induced by NodD and flavonoids. 94 TtsI binds to specific promoter sequences, called tts boxes (TB), located upstream of 95 T3SS-related genes, activating their transcription. Hence, TtsI is the intermediary in the 96 regulatory cascade between flavonoid-activated NodD and T3SS-related genes (Krause 97 et al., 2002; López-Baena et al., 2008; Wassem et al., 2008). Nops are involved in 98 nodulation efficiency and host-range determination but can also hijack nodulation 99 signalling induced by NF and directly activate host symbiosis signalling (Okazaki et al., 100 2013; López-Baena et al., 2016; Teulet et al., 2019).

Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, hereafter HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, is a broad-host range 101 102 bacterium able to nodulate dozens of legumes, including soybean, its natural host plant (Margaret et al., 2011). Previous reports indicated that HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> only forms 103 104 ineffective nodules in the model legume L. japonicus Gifu but nitrogen-fixing nodules in L. burttii (Sandal et al., 2012). HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> invades L. burttii by a less evolved 105 106 mechanism termed intercellular infection in contrast to its natural symbiont 107 Mesorhizobium loti R7A (Madsen et al., 2010), recently reclassified as M. japonicum 108 (Martínez-Hidalgo et al., 2016), which nodulates both L. japonicus Gifu and L. burttii 109 by IT formation (Acosta Jurado et al., 2016). However, L. japonicus Gifu plants

inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> mutants affected in the regulatory genes *nolR*, *nodD*2, and 110 111 syrM are effectively nodulated through IT and, in L. burttii, the mechanism of infection 112 changes from intercellular infection to IT formation (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019, 2020). 113 Interestingly, in the presence of inducer flavonoids, these mutants synthetize higher 114 concentrations of NF in comparison to the parental strain. This increase is due to higher 115 levels of nod gene expression. Moreover, a double nodD1/nodD2 mutant, which does 116 not synthetize NF, fails to nodulate L. japonicus Gifu. All together, these findings suggest that the capacity of the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nodD2, nolR and syrM mutants to infect L. 117 burttii and L. japonicus Gifu by IT infection is related to NF overproduction. With 118 119 respect to T3SS-related genes, the expression of some but not all of these genes slightly 120 increased in these mutants (López-Baena et al., 2008; Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019, 2020). To date, nine putative T3E have been identified in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> by genomic, 121 122 transcriptomic, and proteomic studies: gunA, nopC, nopD, nopI, nopL, nopM1, nopM2, 123 nopP, and nopT (Rodrigues et al., 2007; Vinardell et al., 2015; López-Baena et al., 124 2016; Pérez-Montaño et al., 2016). As expected, T3SS-dependent secretion of most of 125 these proteins has been already confirmed (Rodrigues et al., 2007; Jiménez-Guerrero et 126 al., 2015a, b, 2017).

In this work, we have investigated the symbiotic capacity of a collection of HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3E mutants with the model legume *L. japonicus* Gifu and *L. burtii*. We determined that T3SS mutants unable to secrete Nops induce the formation of nitrogenfixing nodules in *L. japonicus* Gifu. These mutants infected both *Lotus* species through IT. Among all the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> effectors, NopC was identified as the main responsible for the nodulation-blocking phenotype in *L. japonicus* Gifu.

### 134 Materials and methods

### 135 Microbial and molecular techniques

136 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table S1. Sinorhizobium and Mesorhizobium strains were grown at 28 °C on tryptone yeast (TY) medium 137 138 (Beringer, 1974) or yeast extract mannitol (YM) medium (Vincent, 1970). Escherichia 139 coli strains were cultured on LB medium (Sambrook et al., 1989) at 37 °C. When 140 required, the media were supplemented with the antibiotics ampicillin (Ap, 100 µg ml<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>), rifampicine (Rif, 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>), spectinomycin (Spc, 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *Sinorhizobium* and 141 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *E. coli*), kanamycine (Km, 60  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *Sinorhizobium* and 30  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> 142 for *E. coli*), tetracycline (Tc, 2  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *Sinorhizobium* and 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *E. coli*), 143 and gentamycine (Gm, 5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *Sinorhizobium* and 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for *E. coli*). Plasmids 144 145 were transferred from E. coli to Sinorhizobium strains by triparental conjugation as 146 described by Simon (1984) using plasmid pRK2013 (Figurski and Helinski, 1979) as 147 helper.

Recombinant DNA techniques were performed according to the general protocols of Sambrook *et al.* (1989). For hybridization, DNA was blotted to Hybond-N nylon membranes (Amersham, United Kingdom) and the DigDNA method of Roche (Switzerland) was employed following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplifications were performed as previously described (Pérez-Montaño *et al.*, 2014). Primer pairs used for the amplification of the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> genes are summarized in Table S2.

155

156 Construction of the *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 nodulation outer protein mutants
 157 and complemented strains

Mutants in the *nopC*, *nopI*, *nopL*, *nopP*, *gunA*, *ttsI*, and *rhcJ* genes, as well as their
respective complemented strains, were obtained previously (de Lyra *et al.*, 2006; LópezBaena *et al.*, 2008; Jiménez-Guerrero *et al.*, 2015a, b, 2017, 2019).

To inactivate the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *nopD* gene, an internal fragment (1.8-kb) of *nopD* was 161 162 amplified using specific primers (Table S2). The resulting DNA fragment was cloned 163 into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, USA), yielding plasmid pGEM-T Easy::nopD. Plasmid 164 pHP45 $\Omega$  was digested with BamHI and the resulting 2-kb fragment carrying the 165 interposon was cloned into an unique BamHI restriction site of pGEM-T Easy::nopD 166 located in the nopD gene, yielding plasmid pGEM-T Easy::nopD::Q. The fragment containing  $nopD::\Omega$  was then subcloned into plasmid pK18mob (Schäfer et al., 1994) 167 168 using suitable enzymes, obtaining plasmid pK18mob::nopD::Ω. Finally, this plasmid 169 was used for the homogenotization of the mutated version of the nopD gene in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>. The double recombination event was confirmed by hybridization (Fig. S1). 170

171 To construct the mutant in *nopT*, a DNA fragment containing the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *nopT* 172 gene and its upstream tts box was amplified using specific primers (Table S2). The 173 amplified DNA fragment was cloned into pBlueScriptII SK (Stratagene, USA) 174 obtaining plasmid pBlueScriptII SK::nopT. Plasmid pHP45Ω was digested with SmaI 175 and the resulting 2-kb fragment carrying the interposon was cloned into an unique SphI 176 restriction site of pBlueScriptII SK::nopT located in the nopT gene, yielding plasmid 177 pBlueScriptII SK::nopT:: $\Omega$ . The fragment containing nopT:: $\Omega$  was subcloned into 178 plasmid pK18mob using the appropriate restriction enzymes, obtaining plasmid 179 pK18mob::nopT:: Ω. Finally, this plasmid was used for the homogenotization of the mutated version of the *nopT* gene in HH103  $Rif^{R}$ . The double recombination event was 180 181 confirmed by hybridization (Fig. S1).

The nucleotide sequences of the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nopM1 and nopM2 genes and about 3-182 183 kb upstream and downstream the two copies of *nopM* are exactly the same. Therefore, 184 for the construction of the double nopM1/nopM2 mutant, first a random deletion of one 185 of the copies of *nopM* was carried out. This deletion was constructed by overlap 186 extension polymerase chain reaction (Griffitts and Long, 2008) using two pairs of 187 primers (Table S2). The final DNA fragment obtained of about 1.7-kb, with the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nopM gene deleted, was cloned into plasmid pK18mobsacB (Schäfer et al., 1994), 188 189 obtaining plasmid pK18mobsacB::  $\Delta nopM$ . This plasmid was then used for the homogenotization of the mutated version of one of the nopM genes in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>. The 190 191 deletion event was confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing. On the other hand, a second plasmid was constructed by insertion of the *lacZ*-Gm<sup>R</sup> cassette from plasmid 192 193 pAB2001 (Becker et al., 1995) into the unique EcoRV restriction site of nopM gene and further cloning in pK18mob (pK18mob::nopM::lacZ-Gm<sup>R</sup>) following the methodology 194 195 described above. This plasmid was used for the homogenotization of the mutated version of the second copy of the *nopM* gene in the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopM$  mutant 196 197 background. The double recombination event was confirmed by hybridization (Fig. S1). 198 For complementation in trans of the mutations in nopD, nopT, and nopM1/nopM2, 199 DNA fragments containing these genes and their respective promoter sequences (tts 200 boxes) were cloned into the high-copy plasmids pBBR1MCS-5 or pMP92 (Spaink et 201 al., 1987; Kovach et al., 1995). For this purpose, pGEM-T Easy and pBlueScriptII SK 202 plasmids harbouring these genes and their respective tts boxes were digested with the 203 appropriate restriction enzymes and the DNA fragments containing nopD, nopT and 204 nopM were cloned into plasmids pBBR1MCS-5 or pMP92, previously digested with the same enzymes, obtaining plasmids pBBR1MCS-5::nopD, pMP92::nopT and 205

pMP92::*nopM*. These plasmids were transferred by conjugation to their respectivemutant strains to obtain the *in trans* complemented strains.

208

### 209 Plant assays

Nodulation tests on Lotus japonicus (Regel) K. Larsen ecotype Gifu and L. burttii 210 211 Borsos were carried out as described by Acosta-Jurado et al. (2016). Briefly, Lotus 212 seeds were surface sterilized as previously described (Heckmann et al., 2011). Four 213 germinated seeds were transferred to each Leonard jar, which were composed of an 214 upper vessel containing 220 ml of washed and sterilized vermiculite supplemented with 215 Rigaud and Puppo's nutrient solution (Rigaud and Puppo, 1976) and a lower recipient 216 filled with 180 ml (pH 7.0) of the plant nutrients solution. Each Leonard jar was inoculated with about  $10^8$  bacteria. Inoculated *Lotus* plants were grown for 6-9 weeks in 217 218 a plant-growth chamber with a 16 hour-photoperiod at 21 °C in the light and 18 °C in 219 the dark. Detection of nitrogenase activity in Lotus nodules was carried out by acetylene 220 reduction assays (ARA) as previously described (Buendía-Clavería et al., 1986). At 221 least two independent experiments were carried out for each inoculant/Lotus species 222 combination tested in this work. Fig. S2 and S3 show representative jars, roots and 223 nodules from L. japonicus Gifu and L. burttii nodulation tests.

224

### 225 Microscopy analysis

*L. japonicus* Gifu and *L. burttii* plants were grown on <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> B&D medium (Kelly *et al.*, 2018) in 12 cm square plates at 21 °C with a 16 hour-photoperiod at 21 °C in the light and 18 °C in the dark. To observe plant IT, *Lotus* seedlings grown in square plastic dishes were inoculated with *M. loti* R7A and the *S. fredii* strains HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *nolR::lacZ*-Gm<sup>R</sup>, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *rhcJ::* $\Omega$ , HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI::* $\Omega$  and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$ 

carrying the DsRed fluorescent marker at an  $OD_{600} = 0.5$  (about  $10^8$  bacteria) six days 231 232 after germination. Twenty-one days after inoculation, the IT were observed using a 233 Zeiss fluorescence ApoTome.2 microscope (Germany). Image pictures were processed 234 and merged using Zen 2.6 blue edition and Image J software. Twenty one-day old L. japonicus Gifu and L. burttii nodules formed in plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>. 235 HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *rhcJ*:: $\Omega$ . HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$ , and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  carrying the DsRed 236 237 fluorescent marker were embedded in 6% agarose in water and sliced in thick layer 238 sections (30 µm) using a Leica VT 1000S vibratome. Sections of nodules were stained 239 with 0.04% calcofluor and observed using Zeiss ApoTome.2 fluorescence microscope 240 as previously described (Kawaharada et al., 2017).

241

### 242 Identification of Nod factors

Purification and analyses of NF produced by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $ttsI::\Omega$ 243 244 grown in B<sup>-</sup> minimal medium supplemented with 3.6  $\mu$ M genistein were performed as 245 described previously (Vinardell et al., 2004b; Acosta-Jurado et al., 2016, 2019). HPLC 246 and High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-HRMS) were performed using a 247 Thermo Scientific liquid chromatography system consisting of a quaternary UHPLC 248 Dionex Ultimate 3000 SD, connected to a quadrupole-orbitrap QExactive hybrid mass 249 spectrometer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, USA) with HESI ionization probe. Separation 250 was performed on a C18 column Tracer Excel 120 ODSB C18 (2.1 x 200 mm, 5 µm) 251 (Teknokroma, Spain). Injection volume was 10 µL and flow rate was 0.3 ml min-1. 252 Two different solvents were used as a mobile phase: Solvent A (water with 0.1% formic 253 acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid). The elution profile was 30% B 254 (30 min), linear up to 30% B (15 min), 100% B (2 min), linear up to 30% B (3 min), 255 and isocratic for 5 min (30% B). The method was acquired in positive mode by full

256 range acquisition covering the m/z 800-1,700 and 70,000 of resolution. AGC 106 and 257 maximum IT 10 ms. HESI source parameters were: spray voltage 3,500 V, capillary 258 temperature 256 °C, sheath and auxiliary gas flow  $(N_2)$  47.5 and 11.25 (arbitrary units) and probe heater temperature 412 °C. Xcalibur software was used for instrument control 259 260 and data acquisition TraceFinder 3.3 software was used for data analysis. In a recent 261 work it has been stablished that HPLC-HRMS signal areas could actually reflect the 262 relative abundance of each NF (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019). Establishing correlations 263 between signal areas of any particular NF and its relative abundance in wild-type 264 cultures is perfectly valid, so that quantitative variations for any individual NF can be 265 estimated. NF samples used to construct Table 3 were analysed at the same time to 266 minimize variations due to experimental conditions.

267

### 268 RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and quantitative RT-PCR

269 L. japonicus Gifu plants were inoculated with M. loti R7A and with the S. fredii strains HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$ , HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$ , and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *nolR*:: $\Omega$ 270 271 at an  $OD_{600} = 0.5$ . RNA was extracted at 10 days after inoculation (dai) using TRI 272 reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) plus Directzol RNA miniprep kit (Zymo Research, 273 USA) and RNAase Free DNA Set (Qiagen, USA) according to manufacturer's 274 instructions. Two independent RNA extractions were performed. RNA concentration 275 was quantified using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) 276 and the integrity of the RNA was assayed on 2% agarose gels. cDNA was synthesized 277 using the PrimeScript RT Reagent kit (Takara, Japan) according to the manufacturer's 278 instructions.

The expression of the *L. japonicus LjNSP1*, *LjNSP2*, *LjNIN*, *LjENOD40*, *LjLb3*, and *LjPR1* genes was quantified using quantitative RT-PCR (*q*RT-PCR) as previously

281 described (López-Baena et al., 2009). Primers used are listed on Table S2. Briefly, the 282 reactions were performed in a 10 µl final volume containing 25 ng of cDNA, 0.6 pmol 283 of each primer and 5 µl of FastStart SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche, Switzerland). 284 PCR was conducted on a Light Cycler 480 II (Roche, Switzerland) with the following 285 conditions: 95 °C, 10 min; 95 °C, 30 sec; 50 °C, 30 sec; 72 °C, 15 sec; 45 cycles, followed by the melting curve profile from 65 to 95 °C to verify the specificity of the 286 287 reaction. The threshold cycles (Ct) were determined with the Light Cycler 480 II 288 software and the individual values for each sample were generated by averaging three 289 technical replicates that varied less than 0.5 cycles. Expression was calculated relative 290 to not inoculated plants. The L. japonicus LjUBI2 gene was used as an internal control 291 to normalize gene expression. At least two independent qRT-PCR reactions were 292 performed in triplicates for each RNA extraction.

294 **Results** 

### 295 *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI* and *rhcJ* mutants gain the capacity to induce 296 the formation of nitrogen-fixing nodules with *Lotus japonicus* Gifu

As previously mentioned, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> effectively nodulates L. burttii but only 297 298 induces the formation of ineffective nodules or macroscopic root outgrowths (MRO) on 299 L. japonicus Gifu (Sandal et al., 2012; Fig. S2A). The symbiotic capacity of two HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> mutants unable to assemble a functional secretion system (*ttsI*:: $\Omega$ , a mutant in the 300 301 gene that codes for the transcriptional regulator of the T3SS, and *rhcJ*:: $\Omega$ , a mutant in a 302 gene encoding an essential component of the T3SS apparatus) with L. japonicus Gifu was investigated to assess the role of the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3SS in this symbiotic 303 interaction. Both HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $ttsI::\Omega$  and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $rhcJ::\Omega$  mutants developed 304 305 nitrogen-fixing nodules (Nod<sup>+</sup>/Fix<sup>+</sup>) and formed pink nodules not only with L. burttii 306 but also with all L. japonicus Gifu plants tested (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. S3). 307 Complementation of the mutation in *ttsI* (the mutation in *rhcJ* cannot be complemented 308 by unknown reasons; de Lyra et al., 2006; López-Baena et al., 2008) partially restored 309 the symbiotic phenotype with L. japonicus Gifu, with intermediated values in the 310 number of nodules (11.1  $\pm$  1.2 nodules/plant), shoot fresh weight (60.5  $\pm$  10.2 311 mg/plant), and ARA (12.43  $\pm$  1.47 nmoles ethylene/plant hour) in comparison to values 312 obtained with both the parental strain ( $0 \pm 0$  nodules/plant,  $12.8 \pm 0.8$  mg/plant and  $0 \pm$ 313 0 nmoles ethylene/plant, respectively) and the *ttsI* mutant (25.1  $\pm$  1.4 nodules/plant, 314  $106.8 \pm 9.9$  mg/plant, and  $30.47 \pm 3.45$  nmoles ethylene/plant hour, respectively) (Table 315 1). These findings suggest a correlation between the absence of a functional T3SS and 316 the acquired capacity to develop effective symbiosis with L. japonicus Gifu. On the 317 other hand, nodulation assays with L. burttii showed a slight increase in the symbiotic 318 parameters analysed (number of nodules and shoot fresh weight) when plants were

inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  (17 ± 4.7 nodules/plant and 81.7 ± 14.9 mg/plant, respectively) but not with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *rhcJ*:: $\Omega$  (12.5 ± 2.1 nodules/plant and 55.6 ± 14.6 mg/plant, respectively) in comparison with the wild-type strain (10.6 ± 3.1 nodules/plant and 41.1 ± 5.2 mg/plant, respectively). Complementation of the mutation in *ttsI* restored the symbiotic phenotype (12.6 ± 3.9 nodules/plant and 67.4 ± 26.2 mg/plant, respectively) (Table 2; Fig. S3).

325

# 326 The Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI and rhcJ mutants infect Lotus japonicus 327 Gifu through infection threads

328 Mutants affected in the regulatory genes nolR, nodD2 or syrM gain nodulation with 329 L. japonicus Gifu through epidermal IT formation. Besides, in the symbiotic interaction 330 with L. burttii, the mechanism of infection switches from intercellular infection to IT 331 formation (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2016, 2019, 2020). These results prompted us to investigate how both T3SS defective mutants (HH103  $Rif^{R}$  ttsI:: $\Omega$  and HH103  $Rif^{R}$ 332 333 rhcJ:: Ω) infect L. japonicus Gifu and L. burttii roots. Lotus plants inoculated with the 334 ttsI or rhcJ mutants carrying plasmid pFAJDsRed (Kelly et al., 2013) were analysed by epifluorescence microscopy. Both M. loti R7A (pFAJDsRed) and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> 335 nolR::lacZ-Gm<sup>R</sup> (pFAJDsRed) were used as positive controls for bacterial invasion 336 through IT. HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (pFAJDsRed) was employed as a negative control of infection 337 mediated by IT (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019). As shown in Fig. 1A, epidermal IT were 338 observed in L. japonicus Gifu roots inoculated with both HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI::Ω and 339 340 *rhcJ*::  $\Omega$  21 dai as well as in plants inoculated with controls *M. loti* R7A and the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nolR mutant. Despite IT were not detected in L. *japonicus* Gifu roots in the 341 presence of the parental strain HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, cells were detected in curled root hairs 342 343 forming microcolonies (Fig. 1A). Similarly, at 21 dai, all L. burttii plants inoculated with both T3SS mutants showed epidermal bacterial infection through IT (Fig. 1A). Moreover, at 21 dai, central nodule tissues of both *Lotus* species were extensively infected by both HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *rhcJ*:: $\Omega$ , connecting this area with the outer nodule cortex through IT (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that the presence of a functional T3SS in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> prevents the formation of IT as well as further nodule invasion in *L. japonicus* Gifu (Fig. 1, Fig. S2B).

350

## 351 The *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI* mutant does not show a general 352 overproduction of Nod factors

353 In the presence of genistein, an isoflavone that strongly activates the transcription of the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nod genes (Vinardell et al., 2004a), the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nolR, nodD2, and 354 355 syrM mutants synthetize higher amounts of NF than the parental strain by increasing 356 nod gene expression (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019, 2020). Despite the fact that the expression of genes related to NF production does not change in the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $ttsI::\Omega$ 357 358 mutant upon flavonoids induction (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2016), we investigated 359 whether the NF produced by the mutant strain were quantitatively and/or qualitatively 360 different from the NF synthesized by the parental strain when bacterial cultures were 361 induced with genistein. HPLC followed by High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-HRMS/MS) detected 44 different NF in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> cultures in the presence of 362 genistein (Table 3). Values of signal areas scored by the mass spectrometer for the same 363 364 particular NF can be used to compare the production of these molecules among tested 365 strains upon the same treatment (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019). Interestingly, 53 different NF were produced by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  in the presence of genistein, 35 of them also 366 detected in cultures of the parental strain HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (Table 3). Although some 367 368 common NF were detected at higher or lower concentrations (9 and 7 NF, respectively) in the *ttsI* mutant with respect to those produced by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, most of them were detected at similar quantities in both bacterial cultures induced with this isoflavone. Interestingly, the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*, *nolR*, *nodD2*, and *syrM* mutant strains only shared the overproduction of four NF (Table S3), suggesting that the gained capacity to nodulate *L. japonicus* Gifu shown by the T3SS mutant and the mutants in the other regulatory genes could be determined by different biological processes.

375

# The Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> effector NopC is the main determinant for the nodulation-blocking phenotype in Lotus japonicus Gifu

We have previously shown that HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3SS defected mutants gain the 378 379 capacity to effectively nodulate L. japonicus Gifu through IT in a NF overproduction-380 independent manner. This suggests that the recognition of a T3E by an R plant protein 381 might be responsible for the infection-blocking phenotype. To identify possible T3E 382 involved in this recognition, we carried out nodulation assays in both Lotus species with 383 a collection of mutants affected in the secretion of every putative effector already identified in HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>. Mutants HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $nopD::\Omega$ , HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopI$ , HH103 384 Rif<sup>R</sup> nopL:: $\Omega$ , HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta$ nopM1-nopM2::lacZ-Gm<sup>R</sup>, and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nopT:: $\Omega$ , did 385 386 not induce the formation of nodules or induced ineffective nodules in L. japonicus Gifu (Nod<sup>-</sup>/Fix<sup>-</sup> or Nod<sup>-/+</sup>/Fix<sup>-</sup>) but established nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with L. burttii 387 (Nod<sup>+</sup>/Fix<sup>+</sup>). In both Lotus species, no significant differences in the symbiotic 388 389 parameters analysed were observed with respect to the parental strain, with the 390 exception of the nopI mutant in L. burttii, which showed lower shoot fresh weight (19.6  $\pm$  7.8 vs 41.1  $\pm$  5.2 mg/plant, respectively) (Tables 1 and 2). In contrast, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> 391 392 mutants affected in *nopC*, *nopP*, and *gunA* could develop nitrogen-fixing nodules not 393 only with L. burttii but also with L. japonicus Gifu (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. S3). However,

the number of nodules formed in *L. japonicus* Gifu by the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nopP and gunA 394 395 mutants was very low (0.61  $\pm$  0.1 and 0.38  $\pm$  0.09 nodules/plant, respectively) and the 396 number of nodulated plants was scarce. These plants poorly developed and reduced 397 levels of nitrogenase activity were detected  $(1.5 \pm 0.48 \text{ nmoles ethylene/plant hour in})$ plants inoculated with HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}$  nopP::lacZ-Gm and 2.41 ± 0.59 nmoles 398 ethylene/plant hour in plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta gunA$ ) (Table 1). In contrast, 399 400 the nitrogenase activity detected in nodules of L. japonicus Gifu inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  (17.02 ± 1.69 nmoles ethylene/plant hour) was considerably higher (Table 401 402 1). Furthermore, shoot dry weight of L. japonicus Gifu plants inoculated with the nopC 403 mutant was 51.6  $\pm$  5.4 mg, while that of plants inoculated with the *nopP* and the *gunA* 404 mutants was only 25.7  $\pm$  2.2, and 18.4  $\pm$  1.6 mg, respectively. Remarkably, all L. *japonicus* Gifu plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  developed nitrogen-fixing 405 406 nodules (8.13  $\pm$  0.49 nodules/plant). Complementation of all mutations restored the 407 symbiotic phenotype with L. japonicus Gifu to that of the parental strain (Table 1). 408 These results clearly indicate that NopC is the main responsible for the nodulation-409 blocking phenotype in L. japonicus Gifu, whereas NopP and GunA appear to play a 410 secondary or complementary role in the incompatibility of this symbiotic interaction. 411 On the other hand, L. burttii response to inoculation with mutants in nopC, nopP, and 412 gunA and their respective complemented derivatives was similar to that observed with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (Table 2). 413

Finally, to investigate the infection mechanism of the *nopC* mutant, *L. japonicus* Gifu and *L. burttii* plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  (pFAJDsRed) were also analysed by epifluorescence and confocal microscopy. As previously observed with the *ttsI* and *rhcJ* mutants, IT were found both in epidermal root hairs and connecting the outer nodule cortex with the infected zone in all *L. japonicus* Gifu and *L. burttii* plants analysed (Fig. 1), confirming that in the absence of NopC, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> invades both
legumes through IT.

421

# 422 Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> activates early but not late nodulation genes in 423 Lotus japonicus Gifu

424 The expression of the NSP1, NSP2, NIN, and ENOD40 plant genes is sequentially 425 induced early after NF recognition and is associated with the formation of IT and 426 nodules. Besides, the expression of *Lb3*, which codes for leghemoglobin, is strongly up-427 regulated when nitrogen is fixed within the nodule, in the late stages of the symbiotic 428 process (Geurts et al., 2005; Marsh et al., 2007; Roy et al., 2020). Results previously shown indicate that the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> mutants in *ttsI*, *rhcJ* or *nopC* gain nodulation with 429 430 L. japonicus Gifu and infect through IT. To determine whether inactivation of these 431 genes correlated with higher expressions of early and late L. japonicus Gifu nodulation 432 genes, the relative expression of LiNSP1, LiNSP2, LiNIN, LiENOD40 and LiLb3 was quantified at 10 dai by qRT-PCR. Strains HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI::Ω, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> 433  $\Delta nopC$ , HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nolR::lacZ-Gm<sup>R</sup>, and M. loti R7A were used as inoculants (Fig. 2). 434 435 Very early nodulation genes (LjNSP1 and LjNSP2) were activated in all treatments with 436 respect to uninoculated plants. Whereas highest values were detected in plants 437 inoculated with the *ttsI* mutant (about 13-fold for *LiNSP1* and 8-fold for *LiNSP2*), lowest values were observed when plants were inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> or R7A 438 439 (gene expression in a range from 2.3 to 5-fold) (Fig. 2A, B). Gene expression patterns 440 among treatments changed when the expression of LjNIN and LjENOD40 was analysed. 441 Thus, in the case of LiNIN, the strongest up-regulation was detected when plants were 442 inoculated with the *nolR* mutant (about 680-fold) and the *nopC* mutant (about 220-fold) 443 (Fig. 2C). With respect to LiENOD40, gene expression was similar to uninoculated

controls when plants were inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>. In the rest of treatments, 444 445 differences were significant with respect to uninoculated plants, with highest gene 446 expression levels in plants inoculated with the nolR mutant and R7A (7.5- and 5-fold, respectively). Overall, these results suggest that HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}$  is inducing early 447 448 nodulation genes (LiNSP1, LiNSP2, and LiNIN) in L. japonicus Gifu, but the gene 449 activation regulatory cascade is later blocked and, as a consequence, the symbiotic 450 process progress stops. In fact, transcriptional levels of LiENOD40 and LiLb3 in plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> were indistinguishable to those detected in uninoculated 451 452 plants (Fig. 2D, E). By contrast, LjENOD40 and LjLb3 expression in plants inoculated 453 with the different bacterial genetic backgrounds able to induce the formation of 454 nitrogen-fixing nodules were strongly up-regulated (Fig. 2D, E).

Our previous results showed that the inactivation of the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3SS negatively 455 456 affects Glycine max (soybean) nodulation in the early stages of the symbiotic process, 457 which correlates with a lower expression of GmNIN and GmENOD40 genes. This 458 symbiotic phenotype seems to be the consequence of the impairment of the T3SS mutant to suppress soybean defence responses triggered by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, which are 459 460 associated to the synthesis of the pathogenesis-related protein PR1 and the plant 461 hormone salicylic acid (Jiménez-Guerrero et al. 2015b). To determine whether the 462 inactivation of the T3SS affects the activity of the L. japonicus Gifu defence genes, the 463 expression of LiPR1 was analysed in plants inoculated with all the rhizobial strains previously tested. Interestingly, all plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> or any of its 464 465 mutants activated the expression of the *LiPR1* gene with respect to uninoculated plants. Highest fold-change values were detected in plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$ 466 and, especially, with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  (about 8- and 12-fold, respectively). No 467 differences were observed among plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> or HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> 468

*nolR::lacZ-*Gm<sup>R</sup>. Finally, *L. japonicus* Gifu plants inoculated with the *M. loti* R7A
behaved as uninoculated controls (Fig. 2F). Overall, these results indicate that the T3SS
is suppressing defence responses associated to the pathogenesis-related protein PR1
elicited by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> in *L. japonicus* Gifu. This defence response, however, is only
partially associated to secretion of NopC (Fig. 2F).

474 To better determine the expression of early nodulation genes at very early stages of 475 the symbiotic process, the relative expression of LiNSP1, LiNSP2 and LiNIN was also quantified at 12 hours after inoculation (hai) by qRT-PCR. Strains HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, HH103 476 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$ , and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  were used as inoculants (Fig. 3). At this early time 477 478 point, no significant differences were observed in the expression values of LiNSP1 479 among the different treatments. However, the expression of LiNSP2 was significantly higher in plants inoculated with HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R} \Delta nopC$  (about 2-fold) and, specially, with 480 the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $ttsI::\Omega$  mutant (about 5-fold), both compared with plants inoculated 481 with the parental strain. Likewise, plants inoculated with either HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $ttsI::\Omega$  or 482 HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  showed a higher induction of the LiNIN gene (about 125- and 80-483 fold, respectively) when compared with plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (about 15-484 485 fold).

### 487 **Discussion**

HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> is a broad host-range rhizobial strain that forms ineffective nodules with 488 489 L. japonicus Gifu (Sandal et al., 2012). As demonstrated by Acosta-Jurado et al. (2016), 490 neither NF nor surface polysaccharides are the molecular signals involved in this symbiotic impairment. However, three HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> mutants, affected in the 491 492 transcriptional regulators nolR, nodD2, and syrM, which overproduce NF, gain the 493 capacity to nodulate through IT and develop effective symbioses with L. japonicus 494 Gifu. In all these cases, the overproduction of NF correlates with a higher expression of 495 the nod genes. Moreover, these mutations can also modify the way in which HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> infects *L. burttii* and hence switch from a primitive intercellular infection to a more 496 497 evolved pathway using IT (Madsen et al., 2010; Ibañez et al., 2017; Acosta-Jurado et 498 al., 2019, 2020). Overall, these results would suggest that higher amounts of NF are 499 required for nodule formation and invasion through IT in these two Lotus species 500 (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2016, 2019, 2020).

To identify new HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}$  mutations that could extend the nodulation range to L. 501 502 japonicus Gifu, mutants affected in the T3SS (ttsI and rhcJ) were tested for their symbiotic capacities. Both HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> mutants were able to induce nitrogen-fixing 503 504 nodules in L. japonicus Gifu and, in the case of the ttsI mutant, also improve the 505 symbiotic performance with L. burttii (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. S2 and S3). The symbiotic phenotypes observed together with results obtained in microscopy studies (Fig. 1 and 506 S2), indicate that, in the absence of a functional T3SS, HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> gains nodulation 507 508 with L. japonicus Gifu through the formation of IT and the mechanism of infection in L. 509 burttii switches from intercellular infection to IT invasion.

510 In the presence of *L. japonicus* Gifu root exudates, the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> transcriptional 511 regulators NoIR and NodD2 not only repress the expression of the *nod* genes, but also 512 modulate the expression of many other symbiotic and non-symbiotic genes (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019). A similar situation is observed with the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> SyrM 513 514 transcriptional regulator upon induction with genistein (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2020). 515 However, these studies also indicate that the expression of the T3SS genes does not substantially change in the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nolR, nodD2, and syrM mutants (Acosta-Jurado 516 517 et al., 2019, 2020). Interestingly, the picture that emerges from previous transcriptomic studies with the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI* mutant is quite different. Thus, TtsI does not control 518 519 the expression of the *nod* genes neither in the presence nor the absence of genistein 520 (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2016). In agreement with these results, the amount of NF produced by the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI mutant did not undergo a general increase regarding to 521 522 NF synthesized by the parental strain, as indicated by HPLC-HRMS/MS analyses 523 (Table 3). Therefore, the gained capacity to nodulate L. japonicus Gifu shown by the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI mutant does not seem to be associated with a general over-production 524 525 of NF as occurred with the nolR, nodD2, or syrM mutants. In fact, the comparison of 526 fold values of the HPLC-HRMS/MS signals obtained with the 23 NF shared by the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nolR, nodD2, syrM (Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019 and 2020) and ttsI mutants 527 528 (Table S3) in response to genistein showed a very different situation. Whereas the nolR, 529 nodD2 and syrM mutants show an over-production of 18-20 out of these 23 different 530 NF, in the ttsI mutant, 10 out of the 23 NF were found in lower amounts than in the 531 wild-type strain and only 6 NF were overproduced. However, the possibility that any of 532 the four different NF detected in higher amounts in all these mutants (*ttsI*, nolR, nodD2, 533 and syrM) could be to some extent responsible for the new capacity to nodulate L. 534 *japonicus* Gifu cannot be fully discarded.

For this reason, it was expected that the capacity to effectively nodulate *L. japonicus*Gifu of both HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI* and *rhcJ* mutants was likely due to the lack of secretion of

537 at least one effector. The T3SS is used by phytopathogenic and symbiotic bacteria to 538 deliver T3E directly into the host cell cytoplasm to promote infection through alteration 539 of cell metabolism and/or suppression of plant defence responses (Feng and Zhou, 540 2012; Macho and Zipfel, 2015). However, plants recognize some T3E by the 541 corresponding R proteins, eliciting the ETI response and blocking bacterial infection 542 (Duxbury et al., 2016; Jones and Dangl, 2006). Thus, the recognition of at least one HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3E during the nodulation process must be responsible for the infection-543 544 blocking phenotype with L. japonicus Gifu.

545 In this work, the systematic analysis of a collection of mutants affected in the 546 secretion of each individual T3E from the set of bacterial effectors already identified in 547 HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, resulted in the identification of NopC as the main T3E involved in the incapacity of HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> to nodulate L. japonicus Gifu (Table 1; Fig. S2). 548 549 Interestingly, the nopC mutant was able to nodulate both L. japonicus Gifu and L. 550 burttii through IT, as the T3SS mutants did (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> 551 NopP and GunA effector proteins also seemed to be somehow involved in nodulation of 552 L. japonicus Gifu, but at a very much lesser extent (Table 1). Overall, these results would suggest that L. *japonicus* Gifu detects HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> as a incompatible bacterium 553 554 mainly due to secretion of NopC and, consequently, blocks nodulation at early stages of 555 the infection process (Fig. 1A). In fact, expression studies of early and late nodulation genes in L. japonicus Gifu support this hypothesis, since HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> induced the 556 557 expression of early nodulation genes (LiNSP1/NSP2 and LiNIN). However, the 558 activation cascade stopped before expression of *LjENOD40*. By contrast, the common 559 nodulation pathway was fully activated when plants were inoculated with the ttsI and 560 nopC mutants (Fig. 2). Very recently, Kusakabe et al. (2020) have shown that the B. 561 elkanii USDA61 NopF blocks nodule infection in L. japonicus Gifu and that NopM

induces a nodule early senescence-like response in *L. burttii* and *L. japonicus* MG-20.
However, NopF is not present in the genome of HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> suggesting that alternative
mechanisms, probably T3E recognition by different R proteins, are used by *L. japonicus*Gifu to block infection.

566 The LiPR1 gene is not expressed in L. japonicus Gifu plants inoculated with M. loti 567 R7A suggesting that the host plant identifies this rhizobial partner as a fully compatible strain. However, the identification of HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> triggers a defence response, since the 568 expression of the *LiPR1* gene was induced by all the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> strains analysed at 10 569 570 dai (Fig. 2). Mutation of nolR caused a slight reduction in LjPR1 expression compared with the HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> parental strain, indicating that overexpression of NF could 571 572 somehow suppress L. japonicus Gifu defence responses. In fact, a correlation between 573 NF and suppression of plant defence responses has been already reported (Martínez-574 Abarca et al., 1998; Liang et al., 2013). Interestingly, despite nodulation is not blocked 575 with the T3SS mutants, the highest activation of LjPR1 was detected with the ttsI mutant, suggesting that the complete cocktail of T3E secreted by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> could be 576 577 suppressing initial L. japonicus Gifu defence responses. In the case of the nopC mutant, 578 the expression of LjPR1 was just slightly higher than in plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, indicating that function of this T3E is associated to elicitation of *L. japonicus* Gifu 579 580 defence responses. These results suggest that either directly inducing defence responses 581 or using an alternative and still unknown pathway, L. japonicus Gifu blocks HH103 582 Rif<sup>R</sup> infection upon recognition of NopC. We cannot discard that an ETI response could 583 have been elicited by NopC at a time preceding the time-point analysed. However, 584 Kelly et al. (2018) could not detect defence responses in L. japonicus Gifu plants inoculated with HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> at 1 and 3 dpi. 585

586 Overall, results obtained in this manuscript suggest that in the symbiotic interaction between S. fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>-L. japonicus Gifu, the T3SS would play a double role: it 587 588 would suppress early defence responses but also later block the nodulation process. 589 Interestingly, mutations in nolR, nodD2, syrM, ttsI, rhcJ, and nopC, which confer HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> the capacity to effectively nodulate *L. japonicus* Gifu are detrimental to 590 591 some extent for symbiosis with soybean (Vinardell et al., 2004b; de Lyra et al., 2006; 592 López-Baena et al., 2008; Jiménez-Guerrero et al., 2015a; Acosta-Jurado et al., 2019, 2020), the primary host plant of HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>. The present work reinforces the idea that 593 the set of symbiotic signals produced by HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> is the most suitable for soybean 594 595 nodulation but can difficult the potential capacity of this strain to establish a successful 596 symbiotic interaction with other legumes.

### 598 Supplementary data

599 **Table S1.** Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

600 **Table S2.** Primers used in this study.

601 **Table S3.** Fold-change values of HPLC-HRMS signal areas from shared Nod Factors 602 produced by the *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI* and *nolR* mutants with respect to

603 the parental strain.

Figure S1. Southern blot analysis of the Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> derivate 604 605 mutants in the *nopD*, *nopM1/nopM2*, and *nopT* genes. A. S. fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> 606  $nopD::\Omega$ . Total DNA from the parental and the mutant strains was digested with both 607 SacI and EcoRV restriction enzymes. A specific probe was used from a nopD internal 608 fragment. Single ~5.4 kb or ~3.4 kb bands were expected in the parental (lane 1) and the mutant (lane 2) strains, respectively. **B**. S. fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopM/nopM::lacZ-Gm^{R}$ . 609 610 Total DNA from the parental and the mutant strains was digested with the BglI 611 restriction enzyme. A specific probe was used from a *nopM* internal fragment. Single 612  $\sim$ 3.1 kb, or double  $\sim$ 3.5 kb +  $\sim$ 1 kb bands were expected in the parental (lane 1) and the mutant (lane 2) strains, respectively. C. S. fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nopT:: $\Omega$ . Total DNA from 613 614 the parental and the mutant strains was digested with the EcoRV restriction enzyme. A 615 specific probe was used from a *nopT* internal fragment. Single ~6.7 kb or ~8.7 kb bands 616 were expected in the parental (lane 1) and the mutant (lane 2) strains, respectively. Asterisks indicate specific bands detected by each probe. Sizes (kb) from the  $\lambda$ 617 618 DNA/HindIII marker are indicated on the left.

619 **Figure S2**. Nodule occupancy studies of *Lotus japonicus* Gifu plants inoculated with 620 *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (wt), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*::Ω (*ttsI*), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *rhcJ*::Ω 621 (*rhcJ*), and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> Δ*nopC* (*nopC*). Light (**A**) and epifluorescence (**B**) microscopy 622 of nodules of *L. japonicus* Gifu plants inoculated with selected strains carrying the

- 623 *DsRed* reporter gene. Panel A, bars correspond to 1 mm. Panel B, bars correspond to
  624 100 μm. Images were captured at 68 dai (A) and 21 dai (B).
- 625 Figure S3. Lotus responses to inoculation with Mesorhizobium loti R7A (R7A),
- 626 Sinorhizobim fredii HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}$  (wt), HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}$  ttsI:: $\Omega$  (ttsI), HH103  $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}$  rhcJ:: $\Omega$
- 627 (*rhcJ*), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  (*nopC*), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *nopP*::*lacZ*-Gm<sup>R</sup> (*nopP*), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>
- 628  $\Delta gunA$  (gunA) and their respective complemented strains. L. japonicus Gifu (A) and L.
- 629 burttii (B) plants grown for 6-9 weeks in Leonard jars. Numbers indicate the mean  $\pm$
- 630 standard deviation of the mean of shoot fresh weight values expressed in mg.

632 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Andalusia Government (P11-CVI-7050 and P11-CVI-7500) and from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (BIO2016-78409-R). We would like to thank the Biology, Microscopy, NMR, and Mass Spectrometry Services of the Centro de Investigación, Tecnología e Innovación (CITIUS) of the University of Seville.

638

### 639 Author contributions:

640 I. J-G and S. A-C. designed and performed the experiments and analyzed the data; C.

641 M. performed microscopy analysis and provided technical assistance to I. J-G. and S. A-

642 C.; F. P-M. peformed qRT-PCR experiments and contributed to write the manuscript;

643 C. A-V. performed nodulation assays; J. M. V. and F. J. O. supervised and completed

the writing; F. J. L-B. supervised the experiments and analyzed the data, conceived the

645 project and wrote the article with contributions of all authors.

646

### 647 **Competing interests**

648 The authors declare no competing interests.

### References

Acosta-Jurado S, Alias-Villegas C, Navarro-Gómez P, Almozara A, Rodríguez-Carvajal MA, Medina C, Vinardell JM. 2020. *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 *syrM* inactivation affects the expression of a large number of genes, impairs nodulation with soybean and extends the host-range to *Lotus japonicus*. Environmental Microbiology. 22, 1104-1124. Acosta-Jurado S, Rodríguez-Navarro DN, Kawaharada Y, et al. 2019. Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 nolR and nodD2 mutants gain capacity for infection thread invasion of Lotus japonicus Gifu and Lotus burttii. Environmental Microbiology 21, 1718-1739.

Acosta-Jurado S, Rodríguez-Navarro DN, Kawaharada Y, *et al.* 2016. *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 invades *Lotus burttii* by crack entry in a Nod factor- and surface polysaccharide-dependent manner. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions **29**, 925-937.

Alfano JR, Collmer A. 2004. Type III secretion system effector proteins: double agents in bacterial disease and plant defense. Annual Review of Phytopathology **42**, 385-414.

**Becker A, Schmidt M, Jäger W, Pühler A.** 1995. New gentamicin-resistance and *lacZ* promoter-probe cassettes suitable for insertion mutagenesis and generation of transcriptional fusions. Gene **162**, 37-39.

**Beringer JE.** 1974. R factor transfer in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. Journal of General Microbiology **84**, 188-198.

**Buendía-Clavería AM, Ruiz-Sainz JE, Cubo-Sánchez T, Pérez-Silva J.** 1986. Studies of symbiotic plasmids in *Rhizobium trifolii* and fast-growing bacteria that nodulate soybeans. Journal of Applied Bacteriology **61**, 1-9.

**Cooper JE.** 2007. Early interactions between legumes and rhizobia: disclosing complexity in a molecular dialogue. Journal of Applied Microbiology **103**, 1355-1365.

de Lyra MCCP, López-Baena FJ, Madinabeitia N, Vinardell JM, Espuny MR, Cubo MT, Bellogín RA, Ruiz-Sainz JE, Ollero FJ. 2006. Inactivation of the *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 *rhcJ* gene abolishes nodulation outer proteins (Nops) secretion and decreases the symbiotic capacity with soybean. International Microbiology 9, 125-133.

**Downie JA.** 2010. The roles of extracellular proteins, polysaccharides and signals in the interactions of rhizobia with legume roots. FEMS Microbiology Reviews **34**, 150-170.

**Duxbury Z, Ma Y, Furzer OJ, Huh SU, Cevik V, Jones JDG, Sarris PF.** 2016. Pathogen perception by NLRs in plants and animals: Parallel worlds. Bioessays **38**, 769-781.

**Feng F, Zhou JM.** 2012. Plant-bacterial pathogen interactions mediated by type III effectors. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **15**, 469-476.

**Figurski DH, Helinski DR.** 1979. Replication of an origin containing derivative of plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided *in trans*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **76**, 1648-1652.

Gage DJ. 2004. Infection and invasion of roots by symbiotic, nitrogen-fixing rhizobia during nodulation of temperate legumes. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews68, 280-300.

**Geurts R, Fedorova E, Bisseling T.** 2005. Nod factor signaling genes and their function in the early stages of *Rhizobium* infection. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **8**, 346-352.

**Griffitts JS, Long SR.** 2008. A symbiotic mutant of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* reveals a novel genetic pathway involving succinoglycan biosynthetic functions. Molecular Microbiology **67**, 1292-1036.

Heckmann AB, Sandal N, Bek AS, Madsen LH, Jurkiewicz A, Nielsen MW, Tirichine L, Stougaard J. 2011. Cytokinin induction of root primordial in *Lotus japonicus* is regulated by a mechanism operating in the root cortex. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions 24, 1385-1395.

**Ibañez F, Wall L, Fabra A.** 2017. Starting points in plant-bacteria nitrogen-fixing sysmbioses: intercellular invasion of the roots. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 1905-1918.

Jiménez-Guerrero I, Pérez-Montaño F, Medina C, Ollero FJ, López-Baena FJ. 2015a. NopC is a *Rhizobium*-specific type 3 secretion system effector secreted by *Sinorhizobium* (*Ensifer*) *fredii* HH103. PLOS ONE **10**, e0142866.

Jiménez-Guerrero I, Pérez-Montaño F, Medina C, Ollero FJ, López-Baena FJ. 2017. The *Sinorhizobium (Ensifer) fredii* HH103 nodulation outer protein NopI is a determinant for efficient nodulation of soybean and cowpea plants. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **83**, e02770-16.

Jiménez-Guerrero I, Pérez-Montaño F, Monreal JA, Preston GM, Fones H, Vioque B, Ollero FJ, López-Baena FJ. 2015b. The *Sinorhizobium (Ensifer) fredii* HH103 Type 3 secretion system suppresses early defense responses to effectively nodulate soybean. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions **28**, 790-799.

Jiménez-Guerrero I, Pérez-Montaño F, Zdyb A, Beutler M, Werner G, Göttfert M, Ollero FJ, Vinardell JM, López-Baena FJ. 2019. GunA of *Sinorhizobium (Ensifer) fredii* HH103 is a T3SS-secreted cellulase that differentially affects symbiosis with cowpea and soybean. Plant and Soil **435**, 15-26.

Jones JD, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. Nature 444, 323-329.

**Kawaharada Y, Nielsen MW, Kelly S,** *et al.* 2017. Differential regulation of the Epr3 receptor coordinates membrane-restricted rhizobial colonization of root nodule primordia. Nature Communications **8**, 14534.

Kelly S, Mun T, Stougaard J, Ben C, Andersen SU. 2018. Distinct *Lotus japonicus* transcriptomic responses to a spectrum of bacteria ranging from symbiotic to pathogenic. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 1218.

Kelly SJ, Muszyński A, Kawaharada Y, Hubber AM, Sullivan JT, Sandal N, Carlson RW, Stougaard J, Ronson CW. 2013. Conditional requirement for exopolysaccharide in the *Mesorhizobium-Lotus* symbiosis. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions 26, 319-329.

Kelly S, Sullivan JT, Kawaharada Y, Radutoiu S, Ronson CW, Stougaard J. 2018. Regulation of Nod factor biosynthesis by alternative NodD proteins at distinct stages of symbiosis provides additional compatibility scrutiny. Environmental Microbiology **20**, 97-110.

Kovach ME, Elzer PH, Hill DS, Robertson GT, Farris MA, Roop RM 2nd, Peterson KM. 1995. Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene **166**, 175-176.

**Krause A, Doerfel A, Göttfert M.** 2002. Mutational and transcriptional analysis of the type III secretion system of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions **5**, 1228-1235.

Kusakabe S, Higasitani N, Kaneko T, Yasuda M, Miwa H, Okazaki S, Saeki K, Higashitani A, Sato S. 2020. *Lotus* accessions possess multiple checkpoints triggered by different type III secretion system effectors of the wide-host-range symbiont

*Bradyrhizobium elkanii* USDA61. Microbes and Environments **35**, doi: 10.1264/jsme2.ME19141.

Liang Y, Cao Y, Tanaka K, Thibivilliers S, Wan J, Choi J, Kang Ch, Qiu J, Stacey G. 2013. Nonlegumes respond to rhizobial Nod factors by suppressing the innate immune response. Science **341**, 1384-1387.

López-Baena FJ, Monreal JA, Pérez-Montaño F, Guasch-Vidal B, Bellogín RA, Vinardell JM, Ollero FJ. 2009. The absence of Nops secretion in *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 increases *GmPR1* expression in Williams soybean. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions 22, 1445-1454.

López-Baena FJ, Ruiz-Sainz JE, Rodríguez-Carvajal MA, Vinardell JM. 2016. Bacterial molecular signals in the *Sinorhizobium fredii*-soybean symbiosis. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 17, E755.

López-Baena FJ, Vinardell JM, Pérez-Montaño F, Crespo-Rivas JC, Bellogín RA, Espuny MR, Ollero FJ. 2008. Regulation and symbiotic significance of nodulation outer proteins secretion in *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103. Microbiology **154**, 1825-1836.

Macho AP, Zipfel C. 2015. Targeting of plant pattern recognition receptor-triggered immunity by bacterial type-III secretion system effectors. Current Opinion in Microbiology 23, 14-22.

Madsen LH, Tirichine L, Jurkiewicz A, Sullivan JT, Heckmann AB, Bek AS, Ronson CW, James EK, Stougaard J. 2010. The molecular network governing nodule organogenesis and infection in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Nature Communications 1, 10. Margaret I, Becker A, Blom J, *et al.* 2011. Symbiotic properties and first analyses of the genomic sequence of the fast growing model strain *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 nodulating soybean. Journal of Biotechnology **155**, 11-19.

Marsh JF, Rakocevic A, Mitra RM, Brocard L, Sun J, Eschstruth A, Long SR, Schultze M, Ratet P, Oldroyd GE. 2007. *Medicago truncatula NIN* is essential for rhizobial-independent nodule organogenesis induced by autoactive calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. Plant Physiology **144**, 324-335.

Martínez-Abarca F, Herrera-Cervera JA, Bueno P, Sanjuan J, Bisseling T, Olivares J. 1998. Involvement of salicylic acid in the establishment of the *Rhizobium meliloti*-alfalfa symbiosis. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions **11**, 153-155.

Martínez-Hidalgo P, Ramírez-Bahena MH, Flores-Félix JD, Igual JM, Sanjuán J, León-Barrios M, Peix A, Velázquez E. 2016. Reclassification of strains MAFF303099T and R7A into *Mesorhizobium japonicum* sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology **66**, 4936-4941.

**Okazaki S, Kaneko T, Sato S, Saeki K.** 2013. Hijacking of leguminous nodulation signaling by the rhizobial type III secretion system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **110**, 17131-17136.

**Oldroyd GE.** 2013. Speak, friend, and enter: signaling systems that promote beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. Nature Reviews Microbiology **11**, 252-263.

**Oldroyd GE, Murray JD, Poole PS, Downie JA.** 2011. The rules of engagement in the legume-rhizobial symbiosis. Annual Review of Genetics **45**, 119-144.

Pérez-Montaño F, Jiménez-Guerrero I, del Cerro P, Baena-Ropero I, López-Baena FJ, Ollero FJ, Bellogín R, Lloret J, Espuny MR. 2014. The symbiotic biofilm of

*Sinorhizobium fredii* SMH12, necessary for successful colonization and symbiosis of *Glycine max* cv Osumi, is regulated by quorum sensing systems and inducing flavonoids via NodD1. PLOS ONE **9**, e105901.

Pérez-Montaño F, Jiménez-Guerrero I, Acosta-Jurado S, Navarro-Gómez P, Ollero FJ, Ruiz-Sainz JE, López-Baena FJ, Vinardell JM. 2016. A transcriptomic analysis of the effect of genistein on *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 reveals novel rhizobial genes putatively involved in symbiosis. Scientific Reports **6**, 31592.

**Rigaud J, Puppo A.** 1975. Indole-3-acetic acid catabolism by soybean bacteroids. Journal of General Microbiology **88**, 223-228.

**Rodrigues JA, López-Baena FJ, Ollero FJ,** *et al.* 2007. NopM and NopD are rhizobial nodulation outer proteins: identification using LC-MALDI and LC-ESI with a monolithic capillary column. Journal of Proteome Research **6**, 1029-1037.

Roy S, Liu W, Nandety RS, Crook A, Mysore KS, Pislariu CI, Frugoli J, Dickstein R, Udvardi MK. 2020. Celebrating 20 years of genetic discoveries in legume nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Plant Cell **32**, 15-41.

**Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T.** 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. NY, USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Sandal N, Jin H, Rodríguez-Navarro DN, *et al.* 2012. A set of *Lotus japonicus* Gifu x *Lotus burttii* recombinant inbred lines facilitates map-based cloning and QTL mapping. DNA Research 19, 317-323.

Schäfer A, Tauch A, Jäger W, Kalinowski J, Thierbach G, Puhler A. 1994. Small mobilizable multi-purpose cloning vectors derived from the *Escherichia coli* plasmids

pK18 and pK19: selection of defined deletions in the chromosome of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Gene **145**, 69-73.

**Simon R.** 1984. High frequency mobilization of gram-negative bacterial replicons by the *in vivo* constructed Tn5-Mob transposon. Molecular and General Genetics **196**, 413-420.

Spaink HP, Okker RJ, Wijffelman CA, Pees E, Lugtenberg BJJ. 1987. Promoters in nodulation region of the *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Sym plasmid pRL1JI. Plant Molecular Biology **9**, 27-39.

**Sugawara M, Takahashi S, Umehara Y, et al.** 2018. Variation in bradyrhizobial NopP effector determines symbiotic incompatibility with *Rj2*-soybeans via effector-triggered immunity. Nature Communications **9**, 3139.

**Teulet A, Busset N, Fardoux J, et al.** 2019. The rhizobial type III effector ErnA confers the ability to form nodules in legumes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **116**, 21758-21768.

Vinardell JM, López-Baena FJ, Hidalgo A, *et al.* 2004a. The effect of FITA mutations on the symbiotic properties of *Sinorhizobium fredii* varies in a chromosomal-background-dependent manner. Archives of Microbiology **181**, 144-154.

Vinardell JM, Ollero FJ, Hidalgo A, *et al.* 2004b. NolR regulates diverse symbiotic signals of *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions **17**, 676-685.

**Vincent JM.** 1970. The modified Fahraeus slide technique. In: Vincent JM, eds. A manual for the practical study of root nodule bacteria. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 144-145.

# Wassem R, Kobayashi H, Kambara K, Le Quéré A, Walker GC, Broughton WJ, Deakin WJ. 2008. TtsI regulates symbiotic genes in *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234 by binding to *tts* boxes. Molecular Microbiology **78**, 736-748.

Yasuda M, Miwa H, Masuda S, Takebayashi Y, Sakakibara H, Okazaki S. 2016. Effector-triggered immunity determines host genotype-specific incompatibility in legume-Rhizobium symbiosis. Plant and Cell Physiology **57**, 1791-1800. **Table 1.** *Lotus japonicus* Gifu response to inoculation with *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, T3SS and T3-effector mutants, and their respective complemented derivatives.

Treatment		Number of nodules <sup>#</sup>	Shoot fresh weight (mg) <sup>#</sup>	ARA (nmoles	Nod/Fix
				ET/plant h) <sup>#</sup>	
Uninoculated	29	$0\pm 0$	$14.7 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
Mesorhizobium loti R7A	25	$40.7 \pm 3.3^{*}$	$196.4 \pm 15.3^*$	$181.41 \pm 8.71*$	Nod <sup>+</sup> /Fix <sup>+</sup>
Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif <sup>®</sup>	29	$0\pm 0$	$12.8\pm0.8$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $ttsI::\Omega$	27	$25.1 \pm 1.4*$	$106.8 \pm 9.9*$	$30.47 \pm 3.45*$	Nod <sup>+</sup> /Fix <sup>+</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>ttsI</i> ::Ω comp. <i>trans</i>	29	$11.1 \pm 1.2*$	$60.5 \pm 10.2*$	$12.43 \pm 1.47*$	Nod <sup>+</sup> /Fix <sup>+</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $rhcJ::\Omega$	21	$17.2 \pm 1.1*$	$99 \pm 7.5^{*}$	$38.01 \pm 4.63*$	Nod <sup>+</sup> /Fix <sup>+</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta nopC$	25	$8.1 \pm 0.5*$	$51.6 \pm 5.4*$	$17.02 \pm 1.69*$	Nod <sup>+</sup> /Fix <sup>+</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta nopC$ comp. <i>cis</i>	19	$0\pm 0$	$14.5 \pm 0.9$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta nopC$ comp. trans	32	$0\pm 0$	$13.2 \pm 0.6$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopD</i> :: $\Omega$	22	$0\pm 0$	$13.6 \pm 1.1$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopD</i> ::Ω comp. trans	24	$0\pm 0$	$12.9\pm0.9$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> Δ <i>nopI</i>	27	$0\pm 0$	$14.1\pm0.9$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> Δ <i>nopI</i> comp. <i>cis</i>	23	$0\pm 0$	$15.7 \pm 1.3$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopL</i> ::Ω	31	$0.07 \pm 0.02*$	$12.8 \pm 0.4$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-/+</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopL</i> ::Ω comp. <i>trans</i>	27	$0.05 \pm 0.02*$	$14.8 \pm 0.9$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-/+</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> ∆ <i>nopM1-nopM2::lacZ-</i> Gm	16	$0\pm 0$	$16.5 \pm 3$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> ∆ <i>nopM1-nopM2::lacZ-</i> Gm	19	$0\pm 0$	$14.1 \pm 1.45$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
comp. <i>trans</i>					
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> nopP::lacZ-Gm	27	$0.6 \pm 0.1*$	$25.7 \pm 2.2*$	$1.5 \pm 0.48*$	Nod <sup>-/+</sup> /Fix <sup>-/+</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopP</i> :: <i>lacZ</i> -Gm comp. <i>trans</i>	30	$0.13 \pm 0.02*$	$22.5 \pm 1.1*$	$0.72 \pm 0.28*$	Nod <sup>-/+</sup> /Fix <sup>-/+</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopT</i> ::Ω	28	0 ± 0	9.85 ± 0.29	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopT</i> ::Ω comp. <i>trans</i>	30	0 ± 0	$10.8 \pm 0.26$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta gunA$	24	$0.38 \pm 0.09*$	$18.4 \pm 1.6^{*}$	$2.41 \pm 0.59*$	Nod-/+/Fix-/+
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta gunA$ comp. cis	25	$0\pm 0$	$12.48 \pm 0.3$	$0\pm 0$	Nod <sup>-</sup> /Fix <sup>-</sup>

n: number of plants evaluated.

ARA: acetylene reduction activity per plant expressed in nmoles ethylene/plant hour.

# Numbers indicate the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) per plant.

Nod<sup>-</sup>: absence of nodules or macroscopic root outgrowths. Nod<sup>-/+</sup>: macroscopic root outgrowths and/or ineffective nodules in a variable number of plants. Fix<sup>-</sup>: No nitrogen fixation detected by ARA. Fix<sup>-/+</sup>: very low nitrogen fixation detected by ARA.

Symbiotic parameters were individually compared with the parental strain *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> by using the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test. Values followed by an asterisk are significantly different at the level  $\alpha = 5\%$ .

**Table 2.** Lotus burttii responses to inoculation with Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>, T3SS and T3E mutants, and their respective complemented derivatives.

Treatment	n	Number of nodules <sup>#</sup>	Shoot fresh weight (mg) <sup>#</sup>
Uninoculated	35	$0 \pm 0^*$	$11.5 \pm 4.9^{*}$
Mesorhizobium loti R7A	40	$26.6 \pm 2.3*$	$149.4 \pm 38.5^*$
Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup>	36	$10.6 \pm 3.1$	$41.1 \pm 5.2$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> ttsI::Ω	35	$16.9 \pm 4.7$	$81.7 \pm 14.9*$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> ttsI::Ω comp. trans	34	$12.6 \pm 3.9$	$67.4 \pm 26.2$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $rhcJ$ :: $\Omega$	34	$12.5 \pm 2.1$	$55.6 \pm 14.6$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta nopC$	36	$9.2 \pm 2.2$	41.7 ± 13.5
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta nopC$ comp. trans	35	$10.1 \pm 2$	$39.8 \pm 11.4$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopD</i> :: $\Omega$	37	$15.1 \pm 4.3$	$53.6\pm20.8$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> nopD::Ω comp. trans	38	$14 \pm 3.6$	$47.1 \pm 14.9$
HH103 Rif <sup>®</sup> Δ <i>nopI</i>	36	$7.5 \pm 3.2$	$19.6 \pm 7.8^{*}$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta nopI$ comp. <i>cis</i>	35	$10.9 \pm 1.9$	$36.4 \pm 11.3$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> nopL::Ω	36	$13.9 \pm 3.4$	$37.4 \pm 16.6$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopL</i> :: $\Omega$ comp. <i>trans</i>	33	$12.5 \pm 3.7$	$38.6\pm18.4$
HH103 Rif <sup>®</sup> ∆ <i>nopM1-nopM2::lacZ-</i> Gm	33	$10 \pm 3.9$	$39.4 \pm 16.8$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> Δ <i>nopM1-nopM2::lacZ</i> -Gm comp. <i>trans</i>	34	$11.8 \pm 2.8$	$47.3 \pm 18.8$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopP</i> :: <i>lacZ</i> -Gm	32	$9.4 \pm 3.7$	$28.6 \pm 13.3$
HH103 Rif <sup>®</sup> nopP::lacZ-Gm comp. trans	34	$11.1 \pm 2.6$	$33.4 \pm 10.5$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopT</i> :: $\Omega$	32	$10.2 \pm 3.7$	$36.8 \pm 19.5$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>nopT</i> :: $\Omega$ comp. <i>trans</i>	35	$11.6 \pm 4.3$	$42.9 \pm 16.8$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta gunA$	36	$8.8 \pm 3.4$	$40.1 \pm 14.8$
HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> $\Delta gunA$ comp. <i>cis</i>	34	$10.7 \pm 3.5$	40.1 ± 17.3

n: number of plants evaluated.

ARA: acetylene reduction activity per plant expressed in nmoles ethylene/plant hour.

# Numbers indicate the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) per plant.

Symbiotic parameters were individually compared with the parental strain *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> by using the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test. Values followed by an asterisk are significantly different at the level  $\alpha = 5\%$ .

Chemical structures detected	Areas of NF signals in HH103 $\operatorname{Rif}^{R}(\times 10^{7})^{A}$	Areas of NF signals in HH103 Rif <sup>R</sup> <i>ttsI</i> :: $\Omega (\times 10^7)^A$	Fold values of HPLC-HRMS signal areas in the <i>ttsI</i> mutant with respect to HH103 Rif <sup>R B</sup>
II-Hex-GlcNac-GlcNAc (C18:1, MeFuc)	4.65	3.57	-1.3
III (C14:0, MeFuc)	N.D.	3.02	-
III (C16:0)	2.06	15.5	7.54
III (C16:0, Fuc)	1.88	2.66	1.42
III (C16:0, MeFuc)	28.4	104	3.66
III (C16:1)	8.27	N.D.	-
III (C16:1, Fuc)	11.19	2.16	-5.26
III (C16:1, MeFuc)	80.45	25.1	-3.22
III (C16:1-OH, MeFuc)	N.D.	3.89	-
III (C16:2)	N.D.	5.08	-
III (C16:2, MeFuc)	N.D.	10.3	-
III (C18:0)	N.D.	6.74	-
III (C18:0, MeFuc)	26.84	22.2	-1.2
III (C18:1)	22.53	4.91	-4.54
III-Hex (C18:1)	1.18	N.D.	-
III (C18:1, Fuc)	27.99	4.92	-5.55
III (C18:1, MeFuc)	264.5	48.5	-5.55
III (C18:1-OH, MeFuc)	N.D.	7.12	-
III-Fuc-GlcNAc (C18:1, MeFuc)	4.08	N.D.	-
III (C18:2)	2.44	18.8	7.72
III (C18:2, MeFuc)	N.D.	15.7	-
III (C18:2-OH, MeFuc)	N.D.	11.2	-
III (C20:1, MeFuc)	1.13	N.D.	-
IV (C14:0, MeFuc)	1.19	7.49	6.32

**Table 3.** Nod Factors produced by *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  grown in the presence of genistein.

IV (C16:0)	2.53	15	5.94
IV (C16:0, Fuc)	4.43	10.4	2.35
IV (C16:0, MeFuc)	46.2	241	5.22
IV (C16:0, NMe, MeFuc)	3.04	4.89	1.61
IV (C16:1)	3.42	N.D.	-
IV (C16:1, Fuc)	12.41	N.D.	-
IV (C16:1, MeFuc)	36.85	16.8	-2.17
IV (C16:1, NMe, MeFuc)	1.39	N.D.	-
IV (C16:2, MeFuc)	N.D.	3.76	-
IV (C18:0)	7.46	10.2	1.37
IV (C18:0, Fuc)	5.36	3.92	-1.37
IV (C18:0, MeFuc)	76.75	109	1.42
IV (C18:1)	68.4	25.8	-2.63
IV (C18:1, Fuc)	103.3	29.6	-3.45
IV (C18:1, MeFuc)	623	278	-2.22
IV-Hex (C18:1)	4.16	1.13	-3.7
IV (C18:1-OH)	N.D.	2.38	-
IV (C18:1-OH, Fuc)	N.D.	7.75	-
IV (C18:1-OH, MeFuc)	2.74	65.1	23.76
IV (C18:2)	1.33	15	11.28
IV (C18:2-OH)	N.D.	5.15	-
IV (C18:2, MeFuc)	4.09	59.2	14.47
IV (C18:2-OH, NMe, MeFuc)	N.D.	1.38	-
V (C14:0, MeFuc)	N.D.	2.27	-
V (C16:0, Fuc)	N.D.	1.21	-
V (C16:0, MeFuc)	30.85	33.3	1.08
V (C16:1, Fuc)	2.68	N.D.	-
V (C16:1, MeFuc)	22.7	7.22	-3.12
V (C16:1-OH, MeFuc)	N.D.	2	-

V (C16:2, MeFuc)	N.D.	1.12	-
V (C18:0)	N.D.	1.09	-
V (C18:0, MeFuc)	40.55	28.1	-1.45
V (C18:1)	14.5	2.12	-6.67
V (C18:1, Fuc)	18.6	3.61	-5.26
V (C18:1, MeFuc)	280.34	57.7	-4.76
V (C18:1-OH, MeFuc)	2.54	14.1	5.55
V (C18:2, MeFuc)	3.8	9.62	2.53
V (C20:1, MeFuc)	1.92	N.D.	-

N.D.: Not detected.

<sup>A</sup> Area values ( $\times 10^7$ ) of HPLC-HRMS signals obtained for each NF produced by, at least, two independent cultures grown in the presence of genistein. <sup>B</sup> Comparisons made between the mutant and the wild-type strains:

In red: NF highly overproduced by the mutant with respect to the parental strain (fold-change value > 5)

In light red: NF moderately overproduced by the mutant with respect to the parental strain (2 < fold-change value < 5)

In blue: NF production highly reduced in the mutant with respect to the parental strain (fold-change value < -5)

In light blue: NF production moderately reduced by the mutant with respect to the parental strain (-2 > fold-change value > -5)

Fig. 1. Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3SS mutants infect Lotus japonicus Gifu and L. burttii through infection threads. A. Epifluorescence microscopy of root hairs of L. japonicus Gifu and L. burttii inoculated with S. fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (wt), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI:: $\Omega$  (ttsI), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> rhcJ:: $\Omega$  (rhcJ), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  (nopC), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> nolR::lacZ-Gm<sup>R</sup> (nolR), and Mesorhizobium loti R7A (R7A) carrying a red fluorescent marker in plasmid pFAJDsRed. Bars correspond to 100 µM. Images were captured at 21 dai. Blue arrows indicate curled root hairs entrapping rhizobia. **B.** Epifluorescence microscopy of L. japonicus Gifu (L,j.) and L. burttii (L.b.) 21 dai nodules infected by strains HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (wt), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> ttsI:: $\Omega$  (ttsI), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> rhcJ:: $\Omega$  (rhcJ), and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  (nopC) carrying a red fluorescent marker in plasmid pFAJDsRed.

Fig. 2. Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> T3SS mutants differentially induce the expression of nodulation and defence genes in *Lotus japonicus* Gifu. Quantitative RT-PCR (*q*RT-PCR) analyses of the expression of selected *Lotus japonicus* Gifu genes in plants inoculated with *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (wt), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  (*ttsI*), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta nopC$  (*nopC*), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *nolR*::*lacZ*-Gm (*nolR*), and *Mesorhizobium loti* R7A (R7A). Bars correspond to fold-change values of several *L. japonicus* genes differentially expressed in plants inoculated with the above mentioned strains with respect to their expression in uninoculated plants. Expression data shown are the mean (± standard deviation of the mean) for three biological replicates performed at least in triplicates. Represented points correspond to each biological replicate from one representative experiment. Treatments with the same letter were not

significantly different (One-Way ANOVA,  $\alpha = 5\%$ .). n: results were not significantly different with respect to those obtained for uninoculated plants. **A.** *LjNSP1*; **B.** *LjNSP2*; **C.** *LjNIN*; **D.** *LjENOD40*; **E.** *LjLb3*; **F.** *LjPR1*.

Fig. 3. *q*RT-PCR analyses of the expression of *Lotus japonicus* Gifu genes at early stages of the symbiosis. The expression of the *LjNSP1* (**A**), *LjNSP2* (**B**) and *LjNIN* (**C**) genes was quantified in plants inoculated with *S. fredii* HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> (wt), HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup> *ttsI*:: $\Omega$  (*ttsI*) and HH103 Rif<sup>R</sup>  $\Delta$ *nopC* (*nopC*) and collected at 12 hai. Bars correspond to fold-change values of several *L. japonicus* genes differentially expressed in plants inoculated with the above mentioned strains with respect to their expression in uninoculated plants. Expression data shown are the mean (± standard deviation of the mean) for three biological replicates performed at least in triplicates. Represented points correspond to each biological replicates from one representative experiment. Treatments with the same letter were not significantly different (One-Way ANOVA,  $\alpha = 5\%$ .).