



Depósito de Investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla

<https://idus.us.es/>

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Suarez-Balcazar, Y., Early, A., Miranda, D. E., Marquez, H., Maldonado, A., & Garcia-Ramirez, M. (2022). Community-engaged asset mapping with Latinx immigrant families of youth with disabilities. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 70(1–2), 89–101, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12578>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions](#). This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley’s version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.

Community-engaged Asset Mapping with Latinx Immigrant Families of Youth with Disabilities

Yolanda Suarez-Balcazar^a, Amy Early^{a,b}, Daniela Miranda,^b Hannah Marquez^a, Ashley Maldonado^a,
and Manuel Garcia Ramirez^b

^a University of Illinois at Chicago, 1919 West Taylor, Chicago, IL 60612, United States.

^b University of Seville, Department of Psychology, Camilo Jose Cela, s/n, Sevilla 41018, Spain.

This study was conducted at the University of Illinois at Chicago.

***Corresponding author:** Yolanda Suarez-Balcazar

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC)

College of Applied Health Sciences, Department of Occupational Therapy

1919 W Taylor St. Chicago, IL 60612, United States.

***Yolanda Suarez-Balcazar**, is a Professor and Head of the Department of Occupational Therapy, Affiliate Faculty in the Department of Psychology and Department of Disability and Human Development. (ysuarez@uic.edu)

Amy Early, OTD, OTR/L, is an Occupational Therapist, graduate of the University of Illinois at Chicago. (arearly16@gmail.com)

Daniela Miranda, is a graduate student in the Department of Psychology, at the University of Seville, Seville, Spain. She conducted a research placement at UIC while the study described here was being conducted. (danimiranda@us.es)

Hannah Marquez, is a doctoral student in the department of occupational therapy at UIC. (hkweke2@uic.edu)

Ashley Maldonado, is a doctoral student in the department of occupational therapy at UIC. (amaldo24@uic.edu)

Manuel Garcia Ramirez, is a Professor in the Department of Psychology, at the University of Seville, Seville, Spain. (magarcia@us.es)

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by The Chicago Community Trust (grant # C201816611), Principal Investigator, Dr. Suarez-Balcazar, University of Illinois at Chicago. El Valor Corporation also supported this project with valuable resources such as staff, time, and use of its facilities. The funding source had no involvement in the design, data collection, analysis, or publication decisions for this study. We also acknowledge the important contributions from the Latino community to this work. The authors obtained approval from the University of Illinois at Chicago Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to conducting this study. The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Community-Engaged Asset Mapping with Latinx Immigrant Families of Youth with Disabilities

Author Note

This work was supported by The Chicago Community Trust and Affiliates (Grant number 313272 and 2891).

Abstract

Asset mapping is a participatory methodology that engages community members in identifying services and settings that promote health and wellbeing. This study aimed to identify community assets from the perspective of Latinx immigrant families with youth with disabilities. Latinx immigrant families ($n = 21$) participated in the mapping, followed by a reflection session and an open forum ($n = 30$). The findings revealed that families identified faith-based organizations and social service agencies as some of the main assets in their communities, while they identified mental health services as the most needed. The results also showed that participants preferred to utilize services and resources that are within walking distance of their homes, provide safe spaces, treat them well, offer bilingual services, do not require documentation, and are affordable. This study has important implications for community scholars and practitioners interested in implementing asset-based methodologies that focus on participants as experts of their own realities and agents of change and that promote advocacy and empowerment actions.

Keywords: Latinx immigrants, asset mapping, disability, participatory research

Community-Engaged Asset Mapping with Latinx Immigrant Families of Youth with Disabilities

The health status of Latinx immigrant families, in particular those with children and youth with disabilities, is a public health concern due to their limited access to quality health and social services and to the large numbers of uninsured Latinxs (Balcazar, et al., 2020; Ruiz et al., 2016). It is well established that the Latinx population has limited access to culturally and linguistically relevant community services (Escobedo et al., 2019). Independent of socio-economic status or documentation requirements to access health services (via the Affordable Care Act), poorer health among this population has been linked to perceived discrimination in health care services, a lack of culturally relevant services, communication barriers, and limited access to and affordability of healthcare services (Chen et al., 2016). Disparities in general health and in access to health services are further magnified among Latinx children and youth with disabilities and their families (Rimmer et. al., 2011).

Research evidence consistently indicates that Latinxs in the United States lack regular health providers and often seek alternative and accessible resources to respond to their physical and mental health needs (Alcala et al., 2016). Latinxs frequently rely on one another's knowledge and skills to support their own wellbeing (Adames & Chavez-Duenas, 2017; Authors et al., 2020). The use of informal resources illustrates both a need within the Latinx community as well as this community's capacity to respond to stress and find support from within.

Additionally, the political climate and attitudes toward immigration in the U. S. between 2017-2020 created a hostile environment for the Latinx population, leading to fear of punitive immigration policies, anxiety over possible separation from loved ones, and more frequent experiences of discrimination (Langhout et al., 2018). This increased social and emotional burden resulted in an increase in mental health stress and trauma, further pressuring families to

1
2
3
4 seek support from non-traditional sources (Gulbas & Zayas, 2017; Author, 2020). These burdens
5
6 are even more pronounced among Latinx families with youth with disabilities, as they face twice
7
8 the stigma and adversity in accessing resources given the intersectionality of their ethnicity and
9
10 disability status (Cohen, 2013; Magaña et al., 2016; Authors et. al., 2018). Yet, there is a scarcity
11
12 of information on the services and support systems available to Latinx families with youth with
13
14 disabilities and on the use of strength-based approaches to explore the resources available to
15
16 them.
17
18
19

20 21 **Purpose of the Present Study**

22
23 The aim of the present study was to identify the community assets, in the form of services
24
25 and settings, that support the health and wellbeing of Latinx families caring for youth with
26
27 disabilities via implementation of a community-engaged asset mapping methodology. Specific
28
29 research questions included: (a) What are the highest rated community assets in the form of
30
31 services and settings? (b) What are the most desired community services? (c) What are the
32
33 characteristics of the community services and settings identified as assets? (d) What are the
34
35 concerns and barriers to community services and settings? (e) What are the community services
36
37 and settings used outside participants' neighborhoods? These research questions are addressed in
38
39 this mixed-methods community-engaged asset mapping methodology grounded in a conceptual
40
41 framework of empowerment and advocacy.
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 Community-engaged asset mapping engages residents in identifying resources and
49
50 services that promote health and wellbeing through a participatory-action research approach and
51
52 an interactive and dynamic visual process (Kretzmann & McKnight, 2005). This process
53
54 facilitates the identification of strengths and assets as defined by the community itself, in contrast
55
56 to traditional deficit-based approaches that define the needs of underserved neighborhoods from
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 the top down (McKnight & Kretzmann, 1990). The visual aid of the map displays the physical
5
6 relationships of underserved communities to assets, resources, and settings that support health
7
8 and wellbeing, highlighting connections between community resources and building on pre-
9
10 existing assets, while focusing on residents as citizens and agents of change rather than passive
11
12 service recipients (Mathie & Cunningham, 2003).
13
14

15 16 **Conceptual Framework**

17
18 A community-engaged asset mapping methodology is grounded in a conceptual
19
20 framework of community development that focuses on empowering communities through
21
22 advocacy and by identifying strengths (Wolff et al, 2016). The process is designed to empower
23
24 residents to develop self-advocacy skills by expanding on the strengths identified and
25
26 brainstorming actions to address needs. Communities forced to live on the margins of society
27
28 often develop their own dynamics and strategies to address unjust circumstances, since dominant
29
30 forms of care are not culturally relevant, not bilingual, or not otherwise sensitive to their realities
31
32 (Miranda et al., 2019). Traditional deficit approaches look at communities from a dominant lens
33
34 that undermine the relationships between residents and community assets and settings that have a
35
36 real social value to them, and as such are underappreciated throughout mainstream care systems.
37
38 Within a community development framework, participants engage in the process of making their
39
40 own decisions about community assets, explaining why they are identified as such and
41
42 identifying the action steps they want to take (Zimmerman, 2000). Identifying assets promotes
43
44 empowerment and advocacy as it considers community residents experts of their own realities
45
46 and is a process that builds residents' capacity to advocate for their health and wellbeing.
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 Asset mapping implies a critical evaluation component where residents have a space to
55
56 share their experiences and identify the supports and barriers in their community. Similar to
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

other visual participatory research methods, asset mapping facilitates critical dialogue and conversations about community resources through a visual and dynamic process followed by reflection (Foster-Fishman et al., 2010). This process helps residents build collective knowledge and identify their priorities for improving their health and wellbeing while challenging the negative narratives about residents that drive how resources are allocated within their community.

To date, only a few asset mapping studies have included Latinx immigrants (see Cutts et al., 2016). To our knowledge, no applications of asset mapping have included parents of youth with disabilities nor aimed to understand community assets in the form of services and settings. Therefore, we adapted the community asset mapping method implemented by Miranda et al. (2019) in collaboration with a marginalized Roma community in Spain.

Method

Background and Setting

Prior to initiating the community asset mapping project, the authors of this manuscript developed and implemented the Healthy Lifestyles Program (*Familias Saludables*) in collaboration with community leaders and a community agency serving individuals with developmental and intellectual disabilities and their families (see Authors, 2018; Author 2020). The agency is located in a predominantly Latinx neighborhood of Chicago with a population of over 33,000 residents, 71% of whom are Latinx (Chicago Health Atlas, 2019). In this area, 14% of the households are at the poverty line (Chicago Health Atlas, 2019). The healthy lifestyles intervention, described elsewhere (Authors, et al., 2016; Authors, 2018), was offered at the community agency to Latinx families with youth with disabilities and followed a community-based participatory approach including ongoing development in collaboration with the

community. The Healthy Lifestyles community program was approved by the authors' University Institutional Review Board. The Healthy Lifestyles Program included exercise, health education, a family goal setting component, as well as frequent social learning group discussions and meaningful conversations, during which families discussed and inquired about local community services that could meet their needs (Author, 2020). One of the topics that emerged from a conversation was the need to identify assets—settings and services--in the community that could support residents' needs. In response, the investigators offered participants the opportunity to engage in a community asset mapping process during one of the program sessions. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained for the implementation of the asset mapping, and it was conducted at the partner agency following the signing of a supplemental consent form by those participants willing to allow data to be collected by the team. A \$10 transportation stipend per family and refreshments were offered during the mapping session.

Participants

Twenty-one Latinx families participated in the asset mapping. An adult was the primary participant from each family, with periodic input from their children (with or without a disability). The sample included nineteen women and two men. One participant was an adult with a physical disability, another was a grandmother caring for a child with a disability, and the others were parents of youth and young adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities and mental disabilities. Some of the families were caring for youth on the autism spectrum (ranging from high functioning to non-verbal), others were caring for youth with developmental disabilities requiring intensive assistance with daily activities, while still other families were caring for children with a primary diagnosis of anxiety or Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. All participants identified as Latinx immigrants (first generation) from a variety of countries of

origin, with the majority immigrating from Mexico. All participants spoke Spanish throughout the mapping activity, subsequent reflection session, and open forum.

Community Asset Mapping Methodology

We adapted the asset mapping methodology for participants' culture and literacy levels and divided the research process into five steps. During the preparation step, in conversations with families and with their feedback, the investigators developed a set of 4 x 5" visual service mapping cards for the participants representing the services and settings of interest. Previous research supports the usefulness of visual and interactive materials when working with residents with low literacy and/or limited English proficiency (Cutts et al., 2016).

The visual service mapping cards reflected the broader project's primary focus on promoting healthy lifestyles by representing both formal and informal services one might use to support health and wellness. The investigators selected the services and settings represented based on participants' input as well as inter-researcher consensus; five team members independently created lists of the most commonly identified potential services and supports throughout the social learning conversations, and these lists were compared. Each set of cards named a specific community services and settings (visual picture and name) including: (a) primary healthcare doctor; (b) rehabilitation service (e.g., occupational, physical, or speech therapy); (c) dentist; (d) mental health service; (e) sport or recreation facility; (f) community-based organization; (g) park; (h) school or education setting; (i) church/religious service; and (j) home. A blank card was also available for participants to map services and resources of their choice that may have been overlooked or missed amongst the other cards. The cards provided space to indicate the type of service typically received at each service or setting, the most common mode of transportation participants used to get to and from the service or setting

1
2
3
4 (walking, public transit, or private vehicle), frequency of use (number of times per week, month,
5
6 or year), and level of satisfaction rated on a scale of faces showing varying levels of happiness
7
8 (ranging from very dissatisfied to dissatisfied, satisfied, and very satisfied), paired with Spanish
9
10 descriptions. The scale of faces corresponds to scores ranging from 1 to 4. Each set of visual
11
12 service mapping cards was color-coded to match the home neighborhood of each participant, in
13
14 order to allow investigators to identify when a card was placed outside the participant's home
15
16 neighborhood.
17
18
19

20
21 The researchers also prepared seven poster boards, five of which illustrated the
22
23 communities participants had previously reported as their neighborhoods of residence. Each
24
25 neighborhood poster board included familiar neighborhood landmarks and main intersections
26
27 that would be easily identifiable for participants to facilitate accurate placing of the visual service
28
29 mapping cards. Neighborhoods were color-coded in correspondence to the colored borders of the
30
31 visual service mapping cards to facilitate organization of information throughout the data
32
33 collection and analysis process. The researchers created a sixth board to represent services
34
35 participants might receive outside of the five communities illustrated, and a seventh board titled
36
37 "desired services" where participants could map services they were not currently receiving but
38
39 needed or desired access to.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 In the second step, participants came together at the agency, received an orientation to the
47
48 asset mapping activity and materials from the principal investigator, and were given the
49
50 opportunity to opt out of participation if desired. Participants were asked to select a home card
51
52 that corresponded to the color of their neighborhood of residence and place it on the appropriate
53
54 poster board. We did not ask for any other confidential demographic information nor the exact
55
56 address of participants' homes. Participants then examined the visual service mapping cards,
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 completed the cards representing the services and settings they were currently using, marked
5
6 their responses on each card (type of service, mode of transportation to get to the service,
7
8 satisfaction with service, and frequency of use) and placed the cards on the poster board of the
9
10 neighborhood in which they received that service. They were encouraged to complete cards
11
12 representing services and settings that both they personally and other members of their
13
14 immediate family used or needed, including the child with a disability. Participants were offered
15
16 as many additional cards as desired to represent perceived services or assets that were not
17
18 included in the set of visual service mapping cards or to map multiple locations of the same
19
20 service (e.g., two different churches attended). If participants were not receiving a specific
21
22 service but needed or desired that service, they placed a card on the “desired services” board. At
23
24 the end of the mapping activity, investigators collected any mapping cards representing services
25
26 that participants reported as “unused.”
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Immediately following the asset mapping activity, participants engaged in a brief
35
36 reflection session for the third step. To allow for greater participation, we divided the participants
37
38 into two reflection groups which followed the same protocol. Participants shared perspectives on
39
40 the overall asset mapping process as well as their thoughts on the various services and resources
41
42 they had identified. They were also encouraged to observe the different poster boards to see
43
44 where everyone else had placed their cards and to exchange ideas and resources. The researchers
45
46 asked families the following questions: (a) What services and settings in the community are
47
48 available to you that support your family’s health and wellbeing and that of your child with a
49
50 disability? (b) Are you satisfied with these services and settings? Why or why not? (c) What
51
52 services and settings do you wish you had available for yourself or for your child with a
53
54 disability? (d) What would you like to see change in the services and settings near you? (e) What
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 can you do or where can you go to maintain your family's wellness? Two graduate students took
5
6
7 notes during each of the reflection sessions.

8
9 The fourth step involved data entry and analysis. The visual service mapping cards and
10
11 poster boards were carefully observed and photographed to identify patterns, such as which
12
13 communities had the most cards, and then the cards were removed from the poster boards and
14
15 their information was transferred and coded into an Excel spreadsheet. Two independent
16
17 researchers coded the mapping cards and compared the resulting data files, with 98.2% interrater
18
19 agreement. The information extracted from the cards included neighborhood (color of the card),
20
21 type of service or setting, common transportation mode, level of satisfaction, and frequency of
22
23 use. Discrepancies in card interpretation were discussed between the researchers until a
24
25 resolution was reached. These discrepancies were mostly about reading the marks made by the
26
27 participants on the cards.
28
29
30
31

32
33 Data were then labeled and classified according to service or setting identified, type
34
35 (formal versus informal), and location (inside home neighborhood, outside home neighborhood,
36
37 unused service, missing/desired service). Informal services and settings included parks,
38
39 recreation centers, churches, community agencies, and schools. Formal services included
40
41 rehabilitation facilities, doctors, dentists, and mental health services (services received outside of
42
43 the church). Community agencies and schools were categorized as informal services due to the
44
45 diversity of services they offered, as well as their free/low-cost nature. This categorization was
46
47 also based on the opportunities these settings offered to build intangible support systems by
48
49 connecting parents with each other, facilitating family gatherings and events, or hosting
50
51 community festivals. Local churches were identified as informal because the services they
52
53 provided were not necessarily ongoing, and they were often provided by university students,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 paraprofessionals, and/or were settings that prompted informal networking, support, and personal
5
6 connections. We do acknowledge that formal services are provided in settings classified here as
7
8 informal. But in this study, the researchers were trying to examine the informal activities and
9
10 services provided within formal settings, according to participants' input. Furthermore, these
11
12 classifications were created based on previous literature demonstrating increased reliance on
13
14 informal services among Latinxs and variations in service usage for Latinxs and low-income
15
16 populations based on location and proximity to home (Martinez & Rhodes, 2020).
17
18
19
20

21
22 Total numbers of services used, unused, and desired were calculated, along with the
23
24 percentage of formal and informal services reported per location. Average satisfaction scores
25
26 were calculated for each service. Correlation between transportation method reported and overall
27
28 service usage (number of participants who reported using the service) was calculated in SPSS
29
30 using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ). This was appropriate because our
31
32 transportation data was both nominal and nonparametric.
33
34
35

36
37 Finally, the researchers transferred the data on exact service locations into Google Maps
38
39 to share with participants during the fifth step. The identified resources were located by address
40
41 or name as provided by participants and pinned on Google Maps to generate a visual aid for the
42
43 follow-up open forum, knowledge transfer, and data interpretation. The researchers also pinned
44
45 the available but un-utilized services for comparison.
46
47

48
49 The fifth step consisted of an open forum to share and discuss the results of the mapping
50
51 with the families. About 30 Latinx parents of youth with disabilities attended the open forum,
52
53 including both participants who completed the mapping activity and a few more who had heard
54
55 about the project through social connections. At the forum, the researchers presented the
56
57 summary results to participants. The researchers then displayed the Google Maps visual aids,
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

beginning with a map of the medical and dental services used in the two neighborhoods where participants primarily resided and any additional medical and dental services available that participants were not currently using. The second map presented a grouping of two of the most desired services (mental health services and youth programs). These maps enabled participants to play a pivotal role in data interpretation. The group moderator then led participants in a discussion on the following three questions: (a) What do you like about the resources in the community and services you are currently utilizing and resources available to you that support your family's health and wellbeing, including that of your child with a disability? (b) What are some of your concerns regarding existing resources and services when you consider accessibility for yourself or your child with a disability? (c) What services would you like to have that you don't have access to? Following the open forum group discussion, the researchers conducted a qualitative analysis of the group transcripts. Qualitative comments were transcribed verbatim and translated using back-to-back translation techniques (Grunwald & Goldfarb, 2006).

Data Analysis

To analyze qualitative data an analytic approach was utilized. Two bilingual members of the team with experience in analyzing qualitative data were involved in the analysis. Both independent coders identified relevant themes about each community service and setting mentioned and listed on the cards. Based on a team discussion of the transcript and an early review of these themes, a decision was made to use a deductive analytic approach (Braune & Clarke, 2006) whereby data were categorized into themes based on the research questions of the study and the questions posted to participants during the reflection session after the mapping and open forum. A codebook was developed which included type of service or setting, satisfaction with services and settings, characteristics of services and settings identified as assets, concerns

1
2
3
4 and barriers to utilizing community services and settings, and services and settings desired and/or
5
6 utilized outside the participant's neighborhood. Two researchers individually analyzed the
7
8 transcripts according to this codebook. Descriptive coding was followed in accordance with the
9
10 research questions identified a priori. Interrater reliability was established by comparing the two
11
12 coders' themes for consistency. The comparison revealed 95% agreement between the two coded
13
14 transcripts. Both coders were familiar with the study and the mapping methodology. The coded
15
16 transcript was given to a third-party coder, who then coded the data and discussed the codes and
17
18 themes with the other two coders.
19
20
21
22

23
24 Methodological integrity as the basis for trustworthiness was enhanced by following
25
26 principles of fidelity and utility (Levitt et al., 2017). Fidelity principles put in practice included
27
28 using two independent coders to analyze the data, engaging multiple investigators, checking data
29
30 results and seeking feedback from participants during the open forum, and grounding the data
31
32 results with rich quotes and examples from participants (Levitt et al., 2017). Utility principles put
33
34 in practice in this study included checking with participants on the meaning of the early data,
35
36 retuning to participants for additional data during the open forum, and aligning the research
37
38 questions to the research data (Levitt et al., 2017; Thomas, 2006). During the open forum, the
39
40 researchers presented to participants a synthesis of the main results that emerged, asking
41
42 participants to add to or comment on the synthesis and whether the results presented captured
43
44 their reflections entirely, in order to check for accuracy.
45
46
47
48
49

50 Results

51
52 Both quantitative and qualitative data are presented here based on the asset mapping
53
54 methodology, the group reflection that followed, and the open forum held two weeks later.
55
56
57
58 Advocacy and empowerment actions by participants are also reported. As expected, and as
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

alluded to in the literature, a service or resource identified as an asset by some participants was occasionally identified as a concern by others, except faith-based settings. We present the results according to the research questions, with the quantitative findings first, followed by the qualitative results.

Quantitative Findings

Community assets in the form of services and settings: Utilization and satisfaction.

Using the cards provided, participants mapped a total of 149 cards, including 98 classified as informal and 51 as formal, for an average of 7.0 cards per family. Most informal assets were utilized on a weekly basis, while formal assets were typically utilized yearly. As shown in Table 1, participants identified local parks as the most widely used community settings, followed by churches/settings of worship and doctors. Participants were generally satisfied with services they were receiving, with average satisfaction scores ranging between 3 and 4 for all services. Participants reported the lowest average satisfaction with parks (3.41) and the highest average satisfaction with community agencies and churches (3.69 and 3.63, respectively). Services and programming received at the church were coded as “church” services regardless of their nature. Likewise, services received at the school setting were coded as “school” regardless of the nature of the service.

Most Desired Community Services. Mental health services were mapped as the least used service. Participants mapped dental services as the most commonly desired/needed, followed by mental health services, rehabilitation services, and “other” services, in particular programs for youth with disabilities. These references to most desired services were outside of those they were already receiving at churches (e.g., mental health) and schools (e.g., rehabilitation services).

Geographical Location and Utilization of Services and Settings. There was a noticeable difference between formal and informal services with regard to geographical location. Fifty-eight percent of informal services were received inside participants' home neighborhoods, while only 17.3% of formal services were similarly located. According to Spearman's rank correlation analysis, transportation via walking was significantly positively related to service usage ($r = 0.81, p = 0.01$). Higher rates of reported transportation to a service via walking were commonly associated with higher rates of service usage. No correlation was found between service usage and transportation via driving or public transit. Participants mapped 17.6% and 28.6% of informal and formal services, respectively, as unused, and reported lacking (by categorizing as a "desired service") only 2.4% of the informal services mapped, compared with 14.3% of formal services. The data showed that a high number of participants were seeking medical and dental services outside their neighborhoods, and that participants were not using rehabilitation (outside of the school setting) and mental health services (outside of the mental health services offered at church), in particular for their youth with disabilities.

Qualitative Findings

Participants engaged in interpretation of the above quantitative findings both during the reflection session immediately following the asset mapping and at the open forum where the researchers presented the results and led participants in a discussion. The findings reported below are a synthesis of these two separate discussions. Themes about the services and settings that emerged out of the analyses are presented utilizing quotes from participants. All data has been de-identified of names and locations to protect confidentiality.

Assets of Community Services Utilized and Settings Frequented. Participants reported the highest average satisfaction with community agencies. In the reflection session, numerous

1
2
3
4 participants reported receiving needed services from the community agency partner in this study.
5
6 These services included a monthly family craft night, home-visit respite services for caregivers
7
8 of youth with disabilities, group homes for young adults with intellectual disabilities, job
9
10 training, and the Saturday intervention program provided by the research team. Participants
11
12 expressed that the services received from community agencies were meeting many of their needs
13
14 as families with youth with disabilities, as these services were bilingual in Spanish and English,
15
16 sensitive to the Latinx culture, and treated their youth with disabilities well and made them feel
17
18 proud of who they were. Participants mentioned in particular two local agencies, one being the
19
20 community partner agency where the study took place. As one participant said, “There are only a
21
22 couple of agencies in this area that offer services for people with disabilities, including youth,
23
24 and understand the Hispanic culture.”
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Participants also identified faith-based settings such as churches as a major asset and
32
33 source of satisfaction, thanks to the variety of services they provided to the community. Two
34
35 local churches in particular were mentioned. Several participants mentioned the benefits they
36
37 received from local churches, including free immigration services and legal counsel, food
38
39 assistance programs, domestic violence services and couples’ therapy, health services provided
40
41 by medical students, mental health services, religious education, recreation and socialization,
42
43 leadership development, and programs inclusive of children with disabilities. They also
44
45 mentioned receiving all this programming in their native Spanish.
46
47
48
49
50

51 One participant stated,

52
53 “In [name of church] we have the support of the medical students from [local university],
54
55 and they come every 3 or every 6 months and they have services for diabetes, high blood
56
57 pressure, cholesterol, and that is something useful for all Hispanics who don’t have
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 medical cards and if they find that you have an illness, they will take you to [medical
5
6
7 center].”

8
9 Another participant added: “At my church they have a program and that is where all of
10
11 the children with disabilities go and it is very good [in reference to preparing for First
12
13 Communion and other programming], they accept and treat well children with disabilities.”

14
15 Another participant said, “The churches are providing supports for the community such as
16
17 consultation about immigration and [access to] lawyers.”

18
19
20
21 Several participants particularly mentioned the value of the social opportunities, legal
22
23 assistance, space for spiritual and mental wellbeing, and various other supports provided by
24
25 churches in light of the current immigration policies and their fear of authorities. “At [name of
26
27 church] they help me heal. I can cry there and everything. I can vent there. They support all my
28
29 needs and those of my family. I feel safe.”

30
31
32
33 **Medical services outside of the health services provided at churches were another asset.**

34
35 Participants rated medical services as satisfactory when they felt the clinics and doctors were
36
37 providing thorough check-ups, treating them respectfully, offering affordable care, and
38
39 conscientiously attending to their health needs. One participant reported: “If my doctor sees
40
41 something irregular she tells me, ‘Go to the laboratory.’ I like the attention of the providers.
42
43 These people are always respectful of their patients. They always try to make you feel good.”

44
45 Another participant alluded to liking her healthcare provider because they offered affordable
46
47 prices for people without insurance.

48
49 Participants identified dental services as a fourth asset. Some discussed satisfaction with
50
51 their dental provider because of the provider’s care and respect for children with disabilities. One
52
53 mother stated,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 “I like my dental service very much. When I started taking my children to [street name], I
5
6
7 did not like how they treated them. I changed to [dentist office name] and it is a very
8
9
10 great service. Above all, they treated my son with a disability very well there and my
11
12 daughter. They have very good service.”

13
14 Another participant supported the previous statement, saying, “I put the dentist [mapping
15
16 card] because I love how they treat my children, including my child with a disability. I’ve gone
17
18 too—I’ve gone to where I take them, and everything is in great condition, very clean. It is very
19
20 nice.” A few families also expressed satisfaction with finding dental care providers who were
21
22 bilingual and who knew how to treat well and relate to children with disabilities.
23
24

25
26 **Concerns about Community Services and Settings.** Parks received mixed reviews
27
28 from participants. Although some families identified the proximity or existence of a local park as
29
30 a community asset, they quickly identified this asset as an area of major concern as well.
31
32
33 Participants expressed satisfaction with the play spaces and walking paths at the local parks, yet
34
35 reported significant safety concerns. Many recounted instances of gang activity making the park
36
37 inaccessible despite its presence as an important community landmark and gathering space for
38
39 many cultural and recreational events. These concerns are reflected in one mother’s statement:
40
41

42
43 “I like that the park is close to where I live, but I am unsatisfied because there are many
44
45 gangs around the park, a lot of shootings. It is not very safe to be in the park anymore....
46
47
48 We have things for our kids, but the reality is that it is not safe.... I say this because my
49
50 son was recently shot in the arm. He was shot here.... So, now it’s best to say, ‘Don’t go
51
52 to the park, not anymore.’ Since he was shot, he now needs rehabilitation services and he
53
54 is very traumatized to even go outside.”
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 As this participant expressed her view, several others nodded in agreement. Other
5
6 participants followed this conversation by identifying a nearby recreational facility that they
7
8 believed was being used by gangs as a place to sell drugs. All participants expressed concern
9
10 about letting their kids play outdoors due to this unsafe situation.
11
12

13
14 Participants also identified dental care as both a strength and a concern, reporting
15
16 distance as a barrier to dental service accessibility. One participant explained she does not often
17
18 use dental care for her family: “It is far because I have to take 2 trains and 2 buses, but I like it
19
20 [dental service].” Other concerns included cleanliness and the dentists’ patience and lack of
21
22 understanding of youth with a disability. One participant reported,
23
24

25
26 “I used to take my kids to a dentist near [street name]. I didn’t like how they treated my
27
28 kids. The lady would treat them badly because my kids have the problem of wanting to
29
30 throw up when they do their [teeth] cleaning.... And then she told my daughter [with a
31
32 disability], ‘If you don’t behave then I’m not sure what is going to happen,’ and that is
33
34 not how you talk to a child. It’s almost like they would try to scare her. My children
35
36 didn’t want to go back anymore.”
37
38
39

40
41 Other Participants also reported dissatisfaction with inadequate quality of dental care:
42

43
44 “When I went to the one here by [street name], I would go in with my daughter [with a
45
46 disability] and in 5 minutes she was done. And when I took her to another [dentist office
47
48 name] the condition in her mouth was very bad. So, I noticed that they weren’t doing
49
50 their job there, instead they would just take the money from the government and they
51
52 wouldn’t do their job as they should.”
53
54

55
56 Participants reported dissatisfaction with some healthcare services due to cultural
57
58 insensitivity to home remedies as well as scheduling conflicts. They also expressed difficulty
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

finding Spanish-speaking doctors and doctors that treat them well. One participant explained:

“They don’t schedule you at the time you are available, when you want or can come in, sometimes they don’t treat you with respect. They don’t care about you or listen to what you have to say.” Others alluded to barriers such as distance, lack of private transportation, and having to make a long trip on public transit with a child with a disability.

Finally, participants expressed dissatisfaction with the limited availability of recreational facilities and sports programs for children and adolescents, in particular for those with a disability. Many of the participants reported dissatisfaction with the youth programs due to distance, scheduling conflicts, limited space, lack of supervision by program staff, and safety concerns due to the presence of gangs and drugs. One participant stated:

“I would like to have [programming for youth with disabilities] for my children because when they return from school they don’t have much to do. For example, I have my son with a disability in a program right now ... but I have to go all the way to the north side to find something for him to do, I go up to the north side because there are no programs close to my neighborhood. It is a program that prepares them for high school.”

Another participant added: “What happens is that the few recreational programs for youth are filled very quickly and they don’t have many spots open [for children with disabilities].... It seems like every year they have the same people. There is no way of getting in. Something needs to change.” Another participant supported the above statement: “As someone who lives further away, it’s a bit more difficult to enroll my kid with a disability [in programs] because of my work hours.”

Services Outside Participants’ Neighborhoods. Themes in the secondary data arose from the open forum in response to questions concerning why participants do or do not use

1
2
3
4 certain services and what services they did not have access to and would like to have. The data
5
6 revealed that multiple participants received formal health services outside of their
7
8 neighborhoods. Participants reported seeking services outside of their neighborhoods due to a
9
10 lack of services within close proximity, the high cost of services in their area, or a lack of access
11
12 to services due to documentation status or insurance. One participant stated, “I seek healthcare
13
14 and counseling services outside my community because there aren’t any near us. Mainly, they
15
16 ask you if you have health insurance and when you say, ‘No I don’t,’ then they deny services to
17
18 you.” Another participant added, “There are many places that don’t see people without legal
19
20 documents.”
21
22
23
24

25
26 **Desired Community Services.** Participants noted both mental health and rehabilitation
27
28 services as services they did not frequently use, yet several identified these services as desired, in
29
30 particular for their child with a disability. Participants agreed that mental health services were
31
32 particularly needed for both themselves and their children, yet were inaccessible. They reported a
33
34 lack of mental health services that met their needs for Spanish-speaking providers, affordability,
35
36 service provision without insurance, and proximity. As a result, participants reported utilizing
37
38 informal mental, emotional, and social support services offered at local churches and obtaining
39
40 support from their own social network. One participant shared,
41
42
43
44

45
46 “My daughter [with a disability] needs counseling services, but I can’t find an affordable
47
48 option for her, so I take her to the church. At my church they don’t ask for
49
50 documentation, they speak Spanish, and [they] offer many services that my family needs,
51
52 and besides other families there support you as well.”
53
54

55
56 Participants reported rarely using rehabilitation services (e.g., physical therapy,
57
58 occupational therapy, vocational rehabilitation, speech therapy) outside of the school setting for
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 their child with a disability, instead supplementing their health and wellness with home remedies
5
6 or community healers. One participant said, “When we can’t find services, we go to the healer,
7
8 they will give you a massage and herbs. I say that because ... 90% of the population is Mexican
9
10 or Mexican descendant families. So, in our culture, we go to the healer.” Others relied on only
11
12 school-based rehabilitation services for their children with disabilities. Yet some families alluded
13
14 to their child needing additional rehabilitation services. A major barrier participants faced when
15
16 accessing rehabilitation services was their lack of insurance coverage. One participant reported
17
18 not using therapeutic rehabilitation outside of the school setting for their child with a disability,
19
20 despite the doctor recommending such services: “Mainly because you ask your insurance for
21
22 those services and if the person doesn’t have them [covered under insurance], they don’t give it
23
24 to them. They aren’t within reach for the person.”
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 In summary, most participants identified two local churches as well as particular
32
33 community agencies as the most important assets in the community. It is also important to note
34
35 that during the mapping session, most participants expressed high levels of satisfaction with the
36
37 local agency where the program was taking place and considered this particular agency a
38
39 significant asset to the community. Participants mentioned liking these services and settings (the
40
41 churches and community agency) in part because they were meeting their needs and those of
42
43 their child with a disability, the services were in Spanish, people treated them with respect, and
44
45 the services were nearby. Participants expressed mixed feelings about the local parks, healthcare
46
47 services, and dental services. Most of the dissatisfaction regarding these services and locations
48
49 involved concerns about safety, quality of treatment (not being treated with respect), lack of
50
51 acceptance of their child with a disability, and cleanliness. They also alluded to not being
52
53 satisfied with some services because the providers didn’t listen or show that they care, didn’t
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

offer programs in Spanish, lacked flexibility in scheduling appointments, and were not affordable.

Advocacy and Empowerment Actions

The results were shared with the agency staff (some of whom attended the open forum) and with a state representative in a town hall meeting. The town hall meeting was organized by the agency and advertised to the community. On their own initiative, study participants saw this as an opportunity to advocate for services and share the results of the mapping study with the state representative. About 40 parents and caregivers of youth and young adults with a disability attended the town hall meeting. The university researchers attended the town hall meeting to support the participants, but did not participate in order to respect participants' desire to share their voices with the state representative. The state representative listened to the families and assured them she was going to work with the community to address some of the safety issues.

Families asked the state representative to take steps to address increasing safety concerns at the local parks and the lack of programming for youth with disabilities in the community. However, she did not make any commitment to advocate for more resources for the community. Twelve months after the town hall meeting, no action steps had been taken by the state representative to address the concerns of the participants. Unfortunately, populations that have a history of marginalization are often ignored by those in positions of power (see Author, 2020).

Community-engaged asset mapping can aid with neighborhood planning, local advocacy, and systems change. After the town hall meeting, families enhanced support mechanisms among themselves by exchanging phone numbers, creating a walking group in the community, and introducing new families to the local church; some families also became more actively involved in their community. The community partner agency established stronger connections with the

1
2
3
4 **local churches identified by families as major assets.** Furthermore, based on this study and the
5
6 concerns and needs that arose, one of the research assistants for this project developed a
7
8 directory of local services for families. Given that multiple program participants identified
9
10 mental health services as strongly desired yet inaccessible, she investigated local mental health
11
12 and community services that were affordable, bilingual/bicultural, and had experience serving
13
14 Latinx families with youth with disabilities, and compiled a directory of 27 mental health service
15
16 providers. This directory was then distributed to the program participants and to various
17
18
19
20
21 community agencies.
22

23 **Discussion**

24
25
26 There is limited research on the perspective of Latinx immigrant families with youth with
27
28 disabilities on the community services and settings available to them, the factors that characterize
29
30 assets, and the barriers to services. The Latinx parents of youth with disabilities who participated
31
32 in this study relied more heavily on informal than formal services for supporting their health and
33
34 wellbeing. This aligns with previous literature on Latinxs and their tendencies to seek informal
35
36 or nontraditional health services (Alcala et al., 2016). The results indicated that programming at
37
38 community service agencies and faith-based organizations plays a fundamental role in
39
40
41 responding to the needs of Latinx families with youth with disabilities.
42
43
44

45
46 Participants identified a couple of local churches as the most important informal asset due
47
48 to the variety of services they offered. In their view, the churches offered legal, counseling,
49
50 emotional, tangible, and social support; educational programming; and leadership and advocacy
51
52 opportunities, in addition to offering programming for youth with disabilities. Previous research
53
54 has noted the role of churches in supporting community residents (Adames & Chavez-Dueñas,
55
56
57
58 2017).
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 We also found a positive correlation between services accessed via walking and usage,
5
6 indicating that participating Latinx parents and caregivers of youth with disabilities were more
7
8 likely to utilize a service if it was within walking distance of their home. This may indicate that
9
10 services within walking distance are easier to navigate with children with disabilities, as well as
11
12 that residents are more likely to be familiar with and know about services within their
13
14 neighborhoods. Other factors that contribute to the greater usage of such services may include
15
16 not owning a car, having limited resources to pay for transportation, and/or not feeling
17
18 comfortable navigating neighborhoods other than their own.
19
20
21
22

23
24 Latinx parents and caregivers identified factors that influenced their use of medical and
25
26 dental services including the cultural sensitivity of providers, legal documentation requirements,
27
28 affordability, language, being listened to, and respectful treatment of children with disabilities.
29
30 According to participants, these factors either encouraged or deterred them from using services.
31
32 These aspects, along with service proximity, can explain why Latinx families with youth with
33
34 disabilities utilize the alternative routes of informal health services within their neighborhood,
35
36 such as churches. A few participants who did not know about the supports provided by the local
37
38 churches immediately took note of the address and contact information, and others offered to
39
40 introduce them to the church and go along with them.
41
42
43
44

45
46 Participants also raised some concerns about the assets identified. Many expressed fear of
47
48 violence in public spaces such as local parks due to gang activity. These results are troublesome
49
50 as parks are traditionally considered healthy spaces for community engagement and physical and
51
52 recreational activity (Bedimo-Rung et al., 2005). Participants expressed that violence often
53
54 deterred them from visiting neighborhood parks. Paradoxically, participants still identified parks
55
56 as one of the most used settings. This may be because of the parks' proximity to home and
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 participants not having many other options for open green space. These elements may explain
5
6 why nearby faith-based organizations and community agencies were a safe space for these
7
8 Latinx families. Our results reflect the capacity of community agencies and faith-based
9
10 organizations to become empowering community and safe spaces to learn one's rights and to
11
12 receive spiritual comfort and social capital (Adames & Chavez-Dueñas, 2017; Derose et al.,
13
14 2019; Maton, 2008; Villatoro et al., 2016). The finding that these are entry points for accessing
15
16 services is useful to inform future community collaborations with Latinx immigrant parents.
17
18
19

20 21 **Limitations of This Study and Future Research**

22
23 This study has some limitations, including a small convenience sample of participants,
24
25 the lack of additional demographic data about participants that could have been collected during
26
27 the reflection and open forum sessions, and the limited services and settings included in the
28
29 visual cards. The latter limitation may have provided a narrow view of the community assets and
30
31 strengths. Future research is warranted. The fact that participants were recruited through the
32
33 community agency sponsoring the project may have biased the results in terms of the type of
34
35 participants recruited and the agency being identified as an asset. This particular agency is one of
36
37 the few in the area that provide services for people with disabilities and their families, that
38
39 emphasizes on Latinxs, and that have personnel who are bilingual in Spanish. So the results may
40
41 be biased towards participants who were already engaged with the agency and were satisfied
42
43 with their services. While agency staff were not present during the asset mapping process, they
44
45 were present during the open forum, so it is also possible to assume that participants may have
46
47 seen the need or felt compelled to identify this agency as an asset.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55
56 Future research should focus on a wider sample from diverse community organizations,
57
58 and should explore a more diverse set of community services and settings. Surprisingly,
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 participants did not mention community services or settings related to immigration, aside from
5
6 the services provided at the church. Future research should explore in more depth the quality of
7
8 services and programming at churches, addressing questions of who provides such services and
9
10 what factors make such services culturally sensitive.
11
12

13
14 This study also has implications for policy and for empowering Latinx families of
15
16 children with disabilities. Community stakeholders and policy makers need to advocate for safe
17
18 public places so that youth with disabilities and their families can participate in their
19
20 communities, play, and thrive. They should also advocate for culturally relevant and bilingual
21
22 mental health services. In addition, researchers and practitioners alike should develop
23
24 partnerships with local churches and social agencies to reach out to the Latinx community.
25
26

27
28 Finally, community scholars and practitioners should promote the implementation of asset-based
29
30 methodologies that facilitate empowerment and decision making among participants (e.g.,
31
32 identifying community assets from their own perspective, advocating for desired services,
33
34 organizing walking groups, and connecting one another to local resources).
35
36

37 38 **Conclusions**

39
40 Engaging Latinx immigrant parents and caregivers of youth with disabilities in
41
42 community asset mapping is an innovative method to conceptualize neighborhoods. It increases
43
44 collective efficacy to advocate for needed services and to optimize the use of legitimized settings
45
46 (in this study, churches and community agencies). Engaging in research through sharing
47
48 experiences to co-produce knowledge is in line with social innovation methods to address
49
50 complex problems that are the product of political, economic, and social injustices (Murray et al.,
51
52 2010). The active and meaningful participation of community residents in the research process
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

helps mitigate power imbalances and elevates the voices of people who have been kept on the margins of society (Author, 2020).

Understanding the relationship of Latinx immigrant families of youth with disabilities to their identified context—the community services and settings they value—through asset mapping can provide knowledge to improve local health and wellbeing. However, the social aspect of this methodology can be a potential limitation due to the silencing impact of fear of disclosure related to the risk of immigration enforcement, common among Latinx immigrant families. Thus, it is necessary to ensure the confidentiality of participants' spaces in this type of research. To conclude, in this community-engaged asset mapping study, Latinx immigrant parents of youth with disabilities identified churches and local community agencies as integral to maintaining their health and wellness. Participants reported respectful treatment, bilingual care providers, cleanliness, location within their community, and absence of a documentation requirement as factors that make healthcare services assets to them. Participants also reported a significant need for dental services, mental health services, rehabilitation services, and youth programs.

The participatory community-engaged asset mapping methodology utilized allowed for a safe space for participants' voices to be heard and validated. We contributed new knowledge regarding the sources of support for Latinx immigrant families with youth and young adults with disabilities as well as their concerns. Future research should explore how such methodologies can be utilized to empower families to promote their own wellbeing. Overall, this study makes an important contribution to the literature by demonstrating the utility of an asset mapping methodology, identifying community assets from the perspective of Latinx immigrant families, and elevating the voices of Latinx families with children with disabilities.

References

- Adames, H. Y., & Chavez-Dueñas, N. Y. (2017). *Cultural foundations and interventions in Latino/a mental health: History, theory and within group differences*. New York, NY, US: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315724058>
- Alcalá, H. E., Albert, S. L., Trabanino, S. K., Garcia, R., Glik, D. C., Prelip, M. L., & Ortega, A. N. (2016). Access to and use of health care services among Latinos in East Los Angeles and Boyle Heights. *Family & Community Health, 39*(1), 62-71. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FCH.0000000000000090>
- Balcazar, F., Magana, S., Suarez-Balcazar, Y. (2020). Disability among the Latinx population in the USA: Epidemiology and empowerment interventions. In A. Martinez, S.D. Rhodes (Eds). *New and Emerging Issues in Latinx Health*. (pp 127-144). Switzerland, Springer.
- Bedimo-Rung, A. L., Mowen, A. J., & Cohen, D. A. (2005). The significance of parks to physical activity and public health: A conceptual model. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 28*(2), 159-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2004.10.024>
- Braune & Clarke, 2006. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Chen, J., Vargas-Bustamante, A., Mortensen, K., & Ortega, A. N. (2016). Racial and ethnic disparities in health care access and utilization under the affordable care act. *Medical Care, 54*(2), 140-146. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0000000000000467>
- Chicago Health Atlas. (2019). Access health data for Chicago and your community. Retrieved May 28, 2021 from: <https://www.chicagohealthatlas.org>
- Cohen, S. R. (2013). Advocacy for the "abandonados": Harnessing cultural beliefs for Latino

- families and their children with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 10(1), 71-78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jppi.12021>
- Cutts, T., Langdon, S., Meza, F. R., Hochwalt, B., Pichardo-Geisinger, R., Sowell, B., Chapman, J., Dorton, L. B., Kennett, B., & Jones, M. T. (2016). Community health asset mapping partnership engages Hispanic/Latino health seekers and providers. *North Carolina Medical Journal*, 77(3), 160-167. <https://doi.org/10.18043/ncm.77.3.160>
- Derose, K. P., Williams, M. V., Branch, C. A., Flórez, K. R., Hawes-Dawson, J., Mata, M. A., Oden, C. W., & Wong, E. C. (2019). A community-partnered approach to developing church-based interventions to reduce health disparities among African-Americans and Latinos. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 6(2), 254-264. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-018-0520-z>
- Escobedo, P., Gonzalez, K. D., Kuhlberg, J., Calanche, M. 'Lou,' Baezconde-Garbanati, L., Contreras, R., & Bluthenthal, R. (2019). Community Needs Assessment among Latino Families in an Urban Public Housing Development. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 41(3), 344–362. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739986319845103>
- Foster-Fishman, P. G., Law, K. M., Lichty, L. F., & Aoun, C. (2010). Youth ReACT for social change: A method for youth participatory action research. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 46(1), 67-83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-010-9316-y>
- Gulbas, L. E., & Zayas, L. H. (2017). Exploring the effects of U.S. immigration enforcement on the well-being of citizen children in Mexican immigrant families. *RSF: The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences*, 3(4), 53-69. <https://doi.org/10.7758/rsf.2017.3.4.04>
- Grunwald, D., & Goldfarb, N. M. (2006). Back translation for quality control of informed

Consent forms. *Journal of Clinical Research Best Practices*, 2 (2).

www.translationdirectory.com/article1043.htm.

Kretzmann, J. P. & McKnight, J. L. (2005). *Discovering community power: A guide to mobilizing local assets and your organization's capacity*. Battle Creek, MI: W. K. Kellogg Foundation. <https://resources.depaul.edu/abcd-institute/publications/publications-by-topic/Documents/kelloggabcd.pdf>

Langhout, R., Buckingham, S. L., Oberoi, A. K., Chavez, N. R., Rusch, D., Esposito, F., & Suarez-Balcazar, Y. (2018). Statement on the effects of deportation and forced separation on immigrants, their families, and communities. A policy statement by the Society for Community Research and Action: Division 27 of the American Psychological Association. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 62(1-2), 3-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12256>.

Levitt, S. M., Motulsky, S. L., Wert, F. J., & Morrow, S. L. (2017). Recommendations for designing and reviewing qualitative research in psychology: Promoting methodological integrity. *Qualitative Psychology*, 4, 1, 2-22.

Magaña, S., Parish, S., Morales, M. A., Li, H., & Fujiura, G. (2016). Racial and ethnic health disparities among people with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 54(3), 161-172. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-54.3.161>

Mathie, A., & Cunningham, G. (2003). From clients to citizens: Asset-based community development as a strategy for community-driven development. *Development in Practice*, 13(5), 474-486. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0961452032000125857>

Martinez, A. D., & Rhodes, S. (2020). *New and Emerging Issues in Latinx Health*. Switzerland, Springer.

- 1
2
3
4 Maton, K. I. (2008). Empowering community settings: Agents of individual development,
5
6 community betterment, and positive social change. *American Journal of Community*
7
8 *Psychology*, 41(1-2), 4-21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-007-9148-6>
9
10
11 McKnight, J. L., Kretzmann, J., Northwestern University (Evanston, Ill.). Center for Urban
12
13 Affairs and Policy Research, & Neighborhood Innovations Network. (1990). *Mapping*
14
15 *community capacity*. Evanston, Ill: Center for Urban Affairs and Policy Research,
16
17 Northwestern University. [https://mn.gov/mnddc/parallels2/pdf/90s/90/90-MCC-](https://mn.gov/mnddc/parallels2/pdf/90s/90/90-MCC-McKnight_Kretzmann.pdf)
18
19 [McKnight_Kretzmann.pdf](https://mn.gov/mnddc/parallels2/pdf/90s/90/90-MCC-McKnight_Kretzmann.pdf)
20
21
22
23
24 Miranda, D., Garcia-Ramirez, M., Balcazar, F., & Suarez-Balcazar, Y. (2019). A community-
25
26 based participatory action research for Roma health justice in a deprived district of Spain.
27
28 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 19:3722.
29
30 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193722>
31
32
33
34 Miranda, D., Garcia-Ramirez, M., & Albar-Marin, M. J. (2020). Building meaningful advocacy
35
36 for ethnic-based health equity: The *RoAd4health* experience. *American Journal of*
37
38 *Community Psychology*, 66, p. 347-357.
39
40
41 Murray, R., Caulier-Grice, J., & Mulgan, G. (2010). *The open book of social innovation* (p. 2).
42
43 London: National Endowment for Science, Technology and the Art.
44
45 [https://youngfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/The-Open-Book-of-Social-](https://youngfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/The-Open-Book-of-Social-Innovation.pdf)
46
47 [Innovationg.pdf](https://youngfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/The-Open-Book-of-Social-Innovation.pdf)
48
49
50
51 Ruiz, J. M., Campos, B., & García, J. J. (2016). Special issue on Latino physical health:
52
53 Disparities, paradoxes, and future directions. *Journal of Latina/o Psychology*, 4(2), 61-66.
54
55 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/lat0000065>
56
57
58
59 Rimmer, J. H., Yamaki, K., Davis, B. M., Wang, E., & Vogel L. C. (2011). Obesity and
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 overweight prevalence among adolescents with disabilities. *Preventing Chronic Disease*,
6
7 8(2):A41. http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2011/mar/10_0099.htm.
8
9 Author, (2020).
- 10
11 Authors, (2016).
- 12
13 Authors, (2018).
- 14
15 Authors, (2020)
- 16
17
18 Thomas, D. R. (2006). A general inductive approach for analyzing qualitative evaluation data.
19
20 *American Journal of Evaluation*, 27(2), 237-246.
21
22 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214005283748>
23
24 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2008.08.011>
- 25
26
27
28 Villatoro, A. P., Dixon, E., & Mays, V. M. (2016). Faith-based organizations and the affordable
29
30 care act: Reducing Latino mental health care disparities. *Psychological Services*, 13(1),
31
32 92-104. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038515>
- 33
34
35 Wolff, T., Minkler, M., Wolfe, S., M., Berkowitz, B., Bowen, L., Butterfoss, F D., & Lee, K. S.
36
37 (2015). Collaborating for equity and justice: Moving beyond collective impact. *Nonprofit*
38
39 *Quarterly*. Available from [https://nonprofitquarterly.org/collaborating-equity-moving-](https://nonprofitquarterly.org/collaborating-equity-moving-beyond-collective-impact/)
40
41 [beyond-collective-impact/](https://nonprofitquarterly.org/collaborating-equity-moving-beyond-collective-impact/)
42
43
44
- 45 Zimmerman, M. A. (2000). *Empowerment theory: Psychological, organizational, and*
46
47 *community levels of analysis*. In J. Rappaport & E. Seidman (Eds.), *Handbook of*
48
49 *community psychology* (p. 43–63). Kluwer Academic Publishers.
50
51 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4193-6_2
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1*Summation of Data Collected from Visual Service Mapping Cards*

Asset	Service Usage (# of participants)			Transportation*			Frequency ⁺	Satisfaction
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Desired</u>	<u>Walk</u>	<u>Drive</u>	<u>Transit</u>		
Park	21	0	0	12	3	2	weekly	3.41
Church	18	3	0	12	4	2	weekly	3.63
Doctor	18	1	2	5	6	7	yearly	3.67
School	15	4	2	6	3	6	weekly	3.57
Recreation Center	15	6	0	8	1	1	weekly	3.75
Dentist	14	2	5	3	4	7	yearly	3.64
Community Agency	14	6	1	7	2	0	weekly	3.69
Rehabilitation Facility	7	11	3	1	3	3	weekly	3.57
Mental Health	6	11	4	0	4	2	monthly	3.50
Other Service	1	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	monthly	N/A

* *N* varies due to some participants failing to complete all portions of cards⁺ Represents the majority of reported frequencies for this service (>50% of reports)