



Depósito de Investigación de la Universidad de Sevilla

<https://idus.us.es/>

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2014.15>

1 **Effect of bariatric surgery on microvascular dysfunction**  
2 **associated to metabolic syndrome: a 12-month prospective study**

3

4 Juan Francisco Martín-Rodríguez<sup>1\*</sup>, Antonio Cervera-Barajas<sup>2\*</sup>, Ainara Madrazo-  
5 Atutxa<sup>1</sup>, Pedro Pablo García-Luna<sup>1</sup>, José Luis Pereira<sup>1</sup>, Jovanna Castro-Luque<sup>3</sup>,  
6 Antonio León-Justel<sup>3</sup>, Salvador Morales-Conde<sup>4</sup>, Juan Ramón Castillo<sup>2</sup>, Alfonso  
7 Leal-Cerro<sup>1\*#</sup>, David A. Cano<sup>1\*#</sup>

8

9 <sup>1</sup>Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Instituto de Biomedicina  
10 de Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/Consejo Superior de  
11 Investigaciones Científicas/Universidad de Sevilla, Hospital Universitario Virgen  
12 del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.

13 <sup>2</sup>Unidad de Ensayos Clínicos, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.

14 <sup>3</sup>Unidad de Bioquímica Clínica, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla,  
15 Spain.

16 <sup>4</sup>Unit of Innovation in Minimally Invasive Surgery, Unidad de Gestión Clínica de  
17 Cirugía, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.

18

19 # Authors for correspondence: Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Endocrinología y  
20 Nutrición, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital Universitario Virgen  
21 del Rocío/Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas/Universidad de Sevilla,  
22 Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío. Avda. Manuel Siurot, s/n, 41013 Sevilla,  
23 Spain.

24 Tlf: 34-955923051

25 Fax: 34-955923101

26 E-mails: alealcerro@us.es, dcano-ibis@us.es

27 \* These authors contributed equally to this work

28

29 Running title: bariatric surgery and microvascular dysfunction

30

31 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

32 Funding for this study was provided by the Andalusian Regional Ministry of Health

33 (PI-0269/2008)

34

35 **Abstract**

36

37 **Objective:** To prospectively evaluate the effect of weight loss after bariatric  
38 surgery on microvascular function in morbidly obese patients with and without  
39 metabolic syndrome (MetS).

40 **Methods:** A cohort of morbidly obese patients with and without MetS was studied  
41 before surgery and after 12 months of surgery. Healthy lean controls were also  
42 examined. Microvascular function was assessed by postocclusive reactive  
43 hyperemia (PORH) at forearm skin evaluated by laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF).  
44 Regression analysis was performed to assess the contribution of different clinical,  
45 metabolic and biochemical parameters to microvascular function

46 **Results:** Before surgery, 62 obese patients, 39 with MetS and 23 without MetS, and  
47 30 lean control subjects were analyzed. The absolute area under the hyperaemic  
48 curve ( $AUC_H$ ) of PORH was significantly decreased in obese patients compared to  
49 lean control subjects. One year after surgery,  $AUC_H$  significantly increased in  
50 patients free of MetS, including patients that had MetS before surgery. In contrast,  
51  $AUC_H$  did not significantly change in patients in whom MetS persisted after surgery.  
52 Stepwise multivariate regression analysis showed that only changes in HDL  
53 cholesterol and oxLDL independently predicted improvement of  $AUC_H$  after  
54 surgery. These two variables together accounted for 37.7% of the variability of  
55 change in  $AUC_H$  after surgery.

56 **Conclusions:** Bariatric surgery could significantly improve microvascular  
57 dysfunction in obese patients, but only in patients free of MetS after surgery.  
58 Improvement of microvascular dysfunction is strictly associated to postoperative  
59 increase in HDL-C levels and decrease in OxLDL levels.

60

61 Keywords: bariatric surgery, microvascular dysfunction, metabolic syndrome,  
62 HDL-cholesterol, oxidized LDL, laser Doppler flowmetry

63

64 **Introduction**

65

66 Obesity is characterized by impaired microvascular function that may  
67 contribute to increased risk of cardiovascular disease <sup>1</sup>. Clinical and experimental  
68 evidence suggest that this microvascular dysfunction may also contribute to  
69 obesity-associated hypertension and insulin resistance, which are major  
70 cardiovascular risk factors<sup>2,3</sup>. Several methods are used to assess microvascular  
71 function in clinical research<sup>4</sup>. Postocclusive reactive hyperemia (PORH) at forearm  
72 skin evaluated by laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF) has been widely used to assess  
73 microvascular function due to its non-invasive nature. PORH is the sudden rise in  
74 skin blood flow after release of a brief arterial occlusion and provides an overall  
75 measurement of microvascular function<sup>5</sup>. However, concerns about the  
76 reproducibility of this method have been recently raised<sup>5</sup>. In particular,  
77 reproducibility studies of LDF in obese patients are scarce.

78

79 Bariatric surgery has emerged as an effective treatment for morbid obesity  
80 based on both its efficacy<sup>6</sup> and beneficial effects on obesity-related comorbidities  
81 and total mortality<sup>7-9</sup>. It has been previously shown that bariatric surgery could  
82 reverse microvascular dysfunction in obese patients although the determinants  
83 that mediate this improvement in microvascular function have not been  
84 identified<sup>10-14</sup>. Bariatric surgery-induced weight loss also ameliorates the  
85 metabolic syndrome (MetS), a group of clinical manifestations that includes  
86 obesity, hypertension, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia<sup>15</sup>. Interestingly, the  
87 association between surgically induced improvement in microvascular function  
88 and metabolic syndrome has not been previously investigated.

89

90 The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of surgically induced  
91 weight loss on microvascular function in morbidly obese patients with and without  
92 MetS. More specifically, we wanted to determine whether changes in  
93 microvascular function after bariatric surgery are associated to resolution of MetS.  
94 To this end, we prospectively evaluated microvascular function by LDF in morbidly  
95 obese patients with and without MetS before and 12 months after bariatric surgery.

96 We also assessed the contribution of different clinical, metabolic and biochemical  
97 parameters to surgically induced improvement in microvascular function.

98

## 99 **Materials and Methods**

100

### 101 *Study design and subjects*

102 Obese subjects were recruited from the waiting list for bariatric surgery of  
103 the Surgery Unit at Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío from November 2009  
104 to March 2011. All obese patients were required to meet NIH guidelines for  
105 eligibility for bariatric surgery: BMI  $\geq 40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> or  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> with comorbidities  
106 (i.e. diabetes, hypertension, dilated cardiomyopathy or sleep apnea) <sup>16</sup>. The  
107 inclusion criteria were male and female patients aged 16–65 years, and agreement  
108 to participate in the study by providing a signed consent form. The patients with  
109 arterial hypertension, diabetes, cardiomyopathy and sleep apnea were under  
110 medical treatment for these obesity complications at the time of the evaluation.  
111 Exclusion criteria included acute or chronic inflammatory disease, malignant  
112 disease, asthma or any history of alcohol or drug abuse. The experimental protocol  
113 was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital Universitario Virgen del  
114 Rocío. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the  
115 study. Additional written informed consent was obtained after the surgical  
116 procedure.

117

118 Obese patients were grouped as patients with MetS or without MetS based  
119 on the definition of MetS proposed by the Third Report of the National Cholesterol  
120 Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High  
121 Blood Cholesterol in Adults Panel III <sup>17</sup>. Patients fulfilling three or more of the  
122 following criteria were considered as having MetS: 1) central obesity (waist  
123 circumference  $>102$  cm in men or  $>88$  cm in women); 2) high blood pressure of  
124 130/85 mm Hg or greater or use of antihypertensive therapy; 3) high fasting  
125 glucose ( $\geq 110$  mg/dL); 4) hypertriglyceridemia ( $\geq 150$  mg/dL), and 5) low high-  
126 density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLc) ( $<40$  mg/dL for males or  $<50$  mg/dL for  
127 females). Healthy lean subjects were also recruited as a control group.

128

129 Each subject made a visit at baseline and 12 months after the bariatric  
130 surgery. For the 12-month follow up study, patients in the group with MetS before  
131 surgery were further subdivided into two subgroups: patients in whom MetS  
132 resolved after surgery and patients in whom MetS persisted after surgery.

133

#### 134 *Clinical and biochemical measurements*

135 Clinical and biochemical measurements were performed before surgery  
136 (two weeks earlier) and 12 months after surgery. Every measurement was  
137 performed after an overnight fast of 10 h. The systolic and diastolic blood pressure  
138 values were the mean of two measurements with subjects in sitting position.  
139 Measures of weight, height, and waist and hip circumferences were also obtained.  
140 Blood samples were drawn from an antecubital vein. Plasma glucose, total serum  
141 cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein and triglycerides were measured using a  
142 Cobas® C chemistry analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The  
143 Friedewald equation was used to calculate LDL cholesterol (LDLc) from total  
144 serum cholesterol, HDL cholesterol (HDLc), and triglycerides<sup>18</sup>. Plasma insulin  
145 was measured by electrical chemiluminescence immunoassay using an  
146 ElecsysE170 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany. Haemoglobin A1c (HbA<sub>1c</sub>)  
147 was measured by high-pressure liquid chromatography using a Variant II®  
148 analyzer (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, USA) The index of insulin resistance  
149 (HOMA) was calculated using the formula: glucose (mmol/L) X insulin  
150 (μU/mL)/22.5. Values greater or equal to 3 were considered indicators of insulin  
151 resistance. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) concentrations were  
152 determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (American Diagnostica Inc,  
153 Stamford, USA). Ultrasensitive C-reactive protein (CRP) was measured with the  
154 CardioPhase® hsCRPkit (Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany), being the intra and  
155 interassay CVs 2.8 and 4.6%, respectively. Oxidized low-density lipoprotein  
156 (oxLDL) was measured with the ELISA kit (Immunodiagnostic Systems, Boldon,  
157 UK.). The intra e inter-assay CVs were 3.9 and 9%, respectively.

158

#### 159 *Post-occlusive forearm skin reactive hyperaemia (PORH) measurements*

160

161 Studies were performed in the morning, in a quiet, temperature-controlled  
162 room (22–24 °C). Subjects were asked to avoid smoking and caffeine- and alcohol-  
163 containing drinks for 24 hours, and from performing vigorous exercises for at least  
164 12 hours before the test. Measurements were taken with subjects in a supine  
165 position. On the preoperative study, LDF test was performed two weeks before the  
166 surgery.

167 Changes in cutaneous blood flow (flux) was measured by a commercial  
168 single-point laser Doppler flowmetry device (Periflux 5000; bandwidth of 15 kHz,  
169 Perimed AB, Järfälla, Sweden) with a thermostatic laser Doppler probe (Probe 481-  
170 1, Perimed AB, Järfälla, Sweden). The probe has a fiber separation of 0.25 mm and  
171 collects perfusion data at a depth of about 0.5-1 mm. Blood flow data were  
172 recorded continuously at a sample rate of 40 recordings per second. Data from the  
173 laser Doppler perfusion monitor were analyzed using PeriSoft for Windows,  
174 version 2.5.5 (Perimed AB, Järfälla, Sweden). Data files were processed for  
175 conversion from mV to PU (perfusion units) by division with the gain factor of the  
176 instrument (10 mV/PU). The laser Doppler probe was placed on the volar surface  
177 of the right forearm, 10 cm proximal to the wrist. This position was marked so that  
178 exactly the same site was used in all measurements. After a baseline measurement  
179 of 3 minutes, the brachial artery was occluded using a pressure cuff placed around  
180 the right upper arm that was inflated to 220 mmHg. Inflating the cuff took less than  
181 5 seconds. This local ischemia was held for 4 minutes, and then deflated. Deflating  
182 the cuff was practically instantaneous (< 40 mmHg within 0.2 seconds). The flux  
183 recording was continued for at least 5 minutes (until the signal reaches the  
184 baseline flux). Five different PORH parameters were analyzed (supplementary  
185 Figure 1). The value of skin flux at baseline is defined as the average value of the 3-  
186 minute baseline period before occlusion. Maximum response (PORHmax) was  
187 defined as the maximum absolute change (PORHpeak) from baseline<sup>19</sup>. The area  
188 under the hyperaemic curve (AUC<sub>H</sub>) was calculated from the time the cuff was  
189 released until the end of the measurement. The area under the occlusion curve  
190 (AUC<sub>O</sub>) was calculated from the time the occlusion started until the end of the  
191 occlusion. To determine the reproducibility of laser-Doppler-derived parameters  
192 in the measurement of PORH in obese patients, a study of reproducibility was  
193 performed before and after bariatric surgery. Specifically, we investigated within-



194 subject reproducibility of the PORH parameters, i.e., reproducibility and variability  
195 between measurements. These measurements were performed at different times:  
196 (0, 15 min and 24 hours after the first measurement). All measurements were  
197 performed by the same investigator.

198

### 199 *Statistical methods*

200

201 Results are shown as the mean  $\pm$ SD or median and interquartile range  
202 unless otherwise noted. Between-group differences in normally distributed data  
203 were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Fisher's  
204 multiple comparison tests to identify differences between groups. For non-  
205 normally distributed data, comparisons between groups were analyzed by the  
206 Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests. To analyze changes  
207 after bariatric surgery, the two-way ANOVA for repeated measures was chosen  
208 with post hoc Tukey's comparisons. The contribution of clinical and biochemical  
209 parameters to variation in microvascular function was assessed by multivariate  
210 regression analysis. Statistically significant predictors were included in the models  
211 with a stepwise procedure, after adjusting for age and gender, and  
212 antihypertensive and diabetic treatment. Two sided  $p$  values were assessed for all  
213 models. Only variables that had a  $p < 0.05$  were included in the final model. To  
214 determine the reproducibility of LDF, the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC)  
215 were calculated. Values of intraclass correlation coefficient more than 0.80 were  
216 considered excellent reproducibility<sup>20,21</sup>. Studies were also compared pairwise to  
217 check the precision of the method, the existence of magnitude-dependent bias and  
218 systematic error by using the Bland & Altman plots. Statistical analyses were  
219 performed with the SPSS statistical package (version 17.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL).  
220 Power calculation indicated that our sample size provided an 80% power to detect  
221 differences in the vascular reactivity with an effect size as low as 0.2 (Cohen's  $f$ ),  
222 and 90% power to detect an effect size as low as 0.23, based on two-sided tests at  
223 the 0.05 significance level. Power calculations were conducted using GPower3<sup>22</sup>.

224

## 225 **Results**

226

227 Before analyzing the impact of the MetS on microvascular function, we  
228 wanted to determine the reproducibility of the different LDF parameters in obese  
229 patients. ICC analysis showed that all LDF measurements were above 0.80  
230 (supplementary Table 1). Bland-Altman plots displayed no apparent trend or  
231 evidence of systematic bias (supplementary Figures 2 and 3). Thus, all LDF  
232 parameters showed a high repeatability with good high within-observer  
233 reproducibility in our study. Between-group comparisons in LDF measurements  
234 are shown in Table 1. AUC<sub>H</sub> was significantly decreased in both groups of obese  
235 patients compared to lean control subjects. There were no significant differences  
236 in this variable between the two groups of obese patients ( $p = 0.958$ ).

237

238 A total of 62 patients (53 women; age range 19–65 years) completed the 12-  
239 month follow-up assessment. Clinical and metabolic characteristics of the obese  
240 patients and 30 lean control subjects are described in Table 2. All groups were  
241 matched for age and gender. As expected, there was a high prevalence of diabetes  
242 and hypertension among obese patients with MetS. Systolic blood pressure, fasting  
243 glucose, HbA<sub>1c</sub>, total cholesterol, LDLc and triglycerides concentrations were  
244 higher in obese patients with MetS compared to both obese subjects without MetS  
245 and lean subjects. Diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, and fasting insulin were  
246 higher in the two obese groups. Furthermore, PAI-1, CRP and oxLDL levels were  
247 higher in obese patients when compared to lean control subjects.

248

249 One-year follow-up data for all patients are shown in Table 3. Forty-five and  
250 17 patients underwent laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y gastric  
251 bypass, respectively. No differences in weight loss between the different types of  
252 bariatric surgery were observed ( $p = 0.223$ ). The disparity between male and  
253 female patients in the current study (85.5% women) is in agreement with studies  
254 reporting that women seek bariatric surgery more often than men<sup>23</sup>. However, no  
255 significant differences were found among the 3 study groups in terms of gender  
256 and type of surgery ( $p = 0.798$  and  $p = 0.963$ , respectively). Resolution of MetS was  
257 observed in 27 patients. Bariatric surgery reduced significantly anthropometric  
258 values in all patients. However, patients with MetS after surgery displayed higher  
259 BMI than patients without MetS. Higher waist and hip circumference was observed

260 in patients with MetS after surgery compared to patients in whom MetS was  
261 resolved after surgery. Bariatric surgery resulted in a decrease in DBP and heart  
262 rate in patients in whom MetS was resolved after surgery. All patients reduced  
263 fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, Hb<sub>A1c</sub>, PAI-1, and CRP levels after surgery. A significant  
264 improvement in fasting glucose, total cholesterol, LDLc and HDLc, triglycerides and  
265 oxLDL was observed in patients free of MetS but not in patients with MetS after  
266 surgery. Comparison between groups showed elevated fasting glucose, fasting  
267 insulin, HOMA-IR, Hb<sub>A1c</sub>, triglycerides, CRP and oxLDL levels in the group of  
268 patients in whom MetS persisted after surgery compared to the other groups of  
269 obese patients. Low HDL cholesterol was also observed on these patients  
270 compared to the other groups.

271

272 Bariatric surgery resulted in a significant increase in AUC<sub>H</sub> in patients free  
273 of MetS, including patients that had MetS before surgery ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Figure 1). In  
274 contrast, AUC<sub>H</sub> did not significantly change in patients in whom MetS was not  
275 resolved after surgery ( $p = 0.72$ ). To identify determinants of microvascular  
276 function changes after surgery, correlation analysis were performed between all  
277 clinical and biochemical variables and AUC<sub>H</sub>. Increased AUC<sub>H</sub> after bariatric surgery  
278 was significantly associated with an increase in HDLc (Pearson's  $R = 0.53$ ,  $p <$   
279  $0.001$ ) and a decrease in oxLDL ( $R = -0.54$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), fasting glucose ( $R = -0.27$ ,  $p$   
280  $= 0.04$ ) and Hb<sub>A1c</sub> ( $R = -0.29$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). When these biochemical variables were  
281 entered into a stepwise multivariate regression analysis, only changes in HDLc and  
282 oxLDL concentrations independently predicted improvement of AUC<sub>H</sub> after  
283 surgery (Table 4). These two variables together accounted for 37.7% of the  
284 variability of change in AUC<sub>H</sub> after surgery. Interestingly, HDLc levels correlated  
285 significantly with oxLDL ( $R = -0.30$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ).

286

## 287 **Discussion**

288

289 Our prospective study reveals an association between MetS and surgically  
290 induced improvement of microvascular dysfunction in obese patients. This  
291 improvement in microvascular dysfunction is independently associated with  
292 decreased oxidized LDL and increased HDL cholesterol.

293

294 To assess microvascular function, we utilized PORH at forearm skin  
295 evaluated by LDF, a method widely used in vascular research <sup>5</sup>. PORH has been  
296 shown to be fairly reproducible in lean subjects <sup>24-26</sup>. Our ICC analysis extends  
297 these results demonstrating that all LDF parameters of our study are highly  
298 reproducible both intraday (within-day) and interday (between-day) in obese  
299 patients. Several different parameters can be obtained when performing PORH <sup>5</sup>.  
300  $AUC_H$  is a commonly used parameter that simultaneously measures velocity,  
301 intensity and duration of the hyperemia response <sup>16</sup>. Several studies have  
302 demonstrated that  $AUC_H$  is a reliable indicator of microvascular dysfunction in  
303 patients at risk of cardiovascular disease <sup>27-30</sup>. Our LDF studies performed before  
304 surgery revealed that  $AUC_H$  was significantly decreased in obese patients  
305 compared to lean control subjects. These results add to the growing body of  
306 evidence that microvascular function is impaired in obese patients <sup>1,2</sup>.

307

308 Surgically induced weight loss resulted in a significant improvement in  
309 microvascular function in obese patients without MetS, including patients that had  
310 MetS before surgery. These results are in agreement with previous studies  
311 showing that microvascular dysfunction could be reversed in obese patients after  
312 successful bariatric surgery <sup>10-14</sup>. However, our results reveal that obese patients  
313 with MetS after surgery, despite significant weight loss, still exhibit microvascular  
314 dysfunction. These findings suggest that MetS is a strong determinant of  
315 improvement of microvascular function associated with surgically induced weight  
316 loss. Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis revealed that low HDLc levels is  
317 the component of MetS that best predicts lack of improvement in microvascular  
318 dysfunction in obese patients.

319

320 In addition to HDLc, our regression analysis identified oxLDL as an  
321 independent predictor of improvement of microvascular function in obese patients.  
322 An increase in HDLc levels and a decrease in oxLDL levels were independently  
323 associated with improvement of microvascular function after surgery. The  
324 relationship between HDLc, oxLDL, and  $AUC_H$  can, at least in part, explain the lack  
325 of improvement in microvascular function in patients in whom MetS persisted

326 after surgery given that HDLc and oxLDL levels did not significantly change in this  
327 group after surgery. Although HDLc and oxLDL are known to play an important  
328 role in endothelial function, <sup>31, 32</sup> the contribution of these factors to obesity-  
329 related microvascular dysfunction has been less explored. Our results indicate that  
330 HDLc and oxLDL are good predictors of improvement in microvascular function in  
331 obese patients after surgery.

332

333 Our findings that surgically induced weight loss leads to increased HDLc  
334 levels and decreased oxLDL levels are in agreement with previous studies <sup>32,33</sup>. Our  
335 study further extends these findings showing that HDLc levels correlate negatively  
336 with oxLDL levels in patients after bariatric surgery. These results provide a  
337 potential mechanism by which surgically induced weight loss might improve  
338 microvascular dysfunction in obesity. Experimental data obtained in human  
339 subjects <sup>34</sup> and in animal models <sup>35</sup> have demonstrated that HDL can counteract the  
340 inhibitory effect of oxLDL on vascular reactivity. Thus, it is tempting to speculate  
341 that bariatric surgery might induce an increase in HDLc levels leading to a  
342 reduction in oxidation of LDL and, in turn, an improvement of microvascular  
343 function. Why the patients in whom MetS persisted after surgery did not show an  
344 improvement in microvascular function remains to be determined. It is important  
345 to note that this group of patients, despite significant weight loss after surgery, still  
346 remains morbidly obese. Although thi might suggest that the lack of microvascular  
347 improvement in this group of patients is due to insufficient weight loss, no  
348 independent association was found between the degree of surgically induced  
349 weight loss and microvascular function in the whole set of obese patients.  
350 Nevertheless, an indirect effect of weight loss in microvascular function through  
351 changes in HDLc and oxLDL levels cannot be ruled out.

352

353

354 The main limitation of our study is that we cannot conclude whether the  
355 surgically induced improvement in microvascular function in obese patients is  
356 endothelium-dependent. The mediators contributing to PORH include endothelial-  
357 dependent vasodilation, myogenic responses and sensory nerves. Other  
358 microvascular reactivity tests such as iontophoresis of vasodilators (e.g.

359 acetylcholine) are used as specific tests of endothelium-dependent function <sup>4</sup>.  
360 However, the complexity and low reproducibility of these tests poses major  
361 limitations for its wide use in clinical research.

362

363 In summary, the present study shows that bariatric surgery could  
364 significantly improve microvascular dysfunction in obese patients, but only in  
365 those patients free of MetS after surgery. This improvement is strictly associated to  
366 postoperative increase in HDLc levels and decrease in OxLDL levels. Our results  
367 suggest that HDLc and oxLDL are good markers of improvement of microvascular  
368 function associated with surgically induced weight loss.

369

370

### 371 **Acknowledgments**

372 Funding for this study was provided by the Andalusian Regional Ministry of  
373 Health (PI-0269/2008). We thank Rocío Infante-Fontán for technical assistance  
374 with biochemical analyses. We also thank Francisco J. Tinahones for critical review  
375 of the manuscript.

376 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

377 Supplementary information is available at International Journal of  
378 Obesity's website

379 **REFERENCES**

380

- 381 1. Stapleton PA, James ME, Goodwill AG, Frisbee JC. Obesity and vascular  
382 dysfunction. *Pathophysiology* 2008; **15**(2): 79-89.  
383
- 384 2. de Jongh RT, Serne EH, RG IJ, de Vries G, Stehouwer CD. Impaired  
385 microvascular function in obesity: implications for obesity-associated  
386 microangiopathy, hypertension, and insulin resistance. *Circulation* 2004;  
387 **109**(21): 2529-35.  
388
- 389 3. De Boer MP, Meijer RI, Wijnstok NJ, Jonk AM, Houben AJ, Stehouwer CD *et al.*  
390 Microvascular dysfunction: a potential mechanism in the pathogenesis of  
391 obesity-associated insulin resistance and hypertension. *Microcirculation*  
392 2012; **19**(1): 5-18.  
393
- 394 4. Roustit M, Cracowski JL. Assessment of endothelial and neurovascular  
395 function in human skin microcirculation. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2013; **34**(7):  
396 373-84.  
397
- 398 5. Roustit M, Cracowski JL. Non-invasive assessment of skin microvascular  
399 function in humans: an insight into methods. *Microcirculation* 2012; **19**(1):  
400 47-64.  
401
- 402 6. Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, Jensen MD, Pories W, Fahrbach K *et al.*  
403 Bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Jama* 2004;  
404 **292**(14): 1724-37.  
405
- 406 7. Sjostrom L, Lindroos AK, Peltonen M, Torgerson J, Bouchard C, Carlsson B *et al.*  
407 Lifestyle, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk factors 10 years after  
408 bariatric surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **351**(26): 2683-93.  
409
- 410 8. Sjostrom L, Narbro K, Sjostrom CD, Karason K, Larsson B, Wedel H *et al.*  
411 Effects of bariatric surgery on mortality in Swedish obese subjects. *N Engl J*  
412 *Med* 2007; **357**(8): 741-52.  
413
- 414 9. Vest AR, Heneghan HM, Agarwal S, Schauer PR, Young JB. Bariatric surgery  
415 and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review. *Heart* 2012; **98**(24):  
416 1763-77.  
417
- 418 10. Rossi M, Nannipieri M, Anselmino M, Pesce M, Muscelli E, Santoro G *et al.*  
419 Skin vasodilator function and vasomotion in patients with morbid obesity:  
420 effects of gastric bypass surgery. *Obes Surg* 2011; **21**(1): 87-94.  
421
- 422 11. Lind L, Zethelius B, Sundbom M, Eden Engstrom B, Karlsson FA.  
423 Vasoreactivity is rapidly improved in obese subjects after gastric bypass  
424 surgery. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2009; **33**(12): 1390-5.  
425

- 426 12. Williams IL, Chowienczyk PJ, Wheatcroft SB, Patel AG, Sherwood RA, Momin  
427 A *et al.* Endothelial function and weight loss in obese humans. *Obes Surg*  
428 2005; **15**(7): 1055-60.  
429
- 430 13. Brethauer SA, Heneghan HM, Eldar S, Gatmaitan P, Huang H, Kashyap S *et al.*  
431 Early effects of gastric bypass on endothelial function, inflammation, and  
432 cardiovascular risk in obese patients. *Surg Endosc* 2011; **25**(8): 2650-9.  
433
- 434 14. Gokce N, Vita JA, McDonnell M, Forse AR, Istfan N, Stoeckl M *et al.* Effect of  
435 medical and surgical weight loss on endothelial vasomotor function in  
436 obese patients. *Am J Cardiol* 2005; **95**(2): 266-8.  
437
- 438 15. Grundy SM, Brewer HB, Jr., Cleeman JI, Smith SC, Jr., Lenfant C. Definition of  
439 metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood  
440 Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues  
441 related to definition. *Circulation* 2004; **109**(3): 433-8.  
442
- 443 16. Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity: National Institutes of Health  
444 Consensus Development Conference Statement. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992; **55**(2  
445 Suppl): 615S-619S.  
446
- 447 17. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol  
448 Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And  
449 Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III).  
450 *Jama* 2001; **285**(19): 2486-97.  
451
- 452 18. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of  
453 low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the  
454 preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972; **18**(6): 499-502.  
455
- 456 19. Jimenez-Morales AI, Ruano J, Delgado-Lista J, Fernandez JM, Camargo A,  
457 Lopez-Segura F *et al.* NOS3 Glu298Asp polymorphism interacts with virgin  
458 olive oil phenols to determine the postprandial endothelial function in  
459 patients with the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;  
460 **96**(10): E1694-702.  
461
- 462 20. Faul JL, Demers EA, Burke CM, Poulter LW. The reproducibility of repeat  
463 measures of airway inflammation in stable atopic asthma. *Am J Respir Crit*  
464 *Care Med* 1999; **160**(5 Pt 1): 1457-61.  
465
- 466 21. Lin LI. A concordance correlation coefficient to evaluate reproducibility.  
467 *Biometrics* 1989; **45**(1): 255-68.  
468
- 469 22. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G\*Power 3: a flexible statistical  
470 power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences.  
471 *Behav Res Methods* 2007; **39**(2): 175-91.  
472



- 473 23. Samuel I, Mason EE, Renquist KE, Huang YH, Zimmerman MB, Jamal M.  
474 Bariatric surgery trends: an 18-year report from the International Bariatric  
475 Surgery Registry. *Am J Surg* 2006; **192**(5): 657-62.  
476
- 477 24. Agarwal SC, Allen J, Murray A, Purcell IF. Laser Doppler assessment of  
478 dermal circulatory changes in people with coronary artery disease.  
479 *Microvasc Res* 2012; **84**(1): 55-9.  
480
- 481 25. Tibirica E, Matheus AS, Nunes B, Sperandei S, Gomes MB. Repeatability of  
482 the evaluation of systemic microvascular endothelial function using laser  
483 doppler perfusion monitoring: clinical and statistical implications. *Clinics*  
484 *(Sao Paulo)* 2011; **66**(4): 599-605.  
485
- 486 26. Yvonne-Tee GB, Rasool AH, Halim AS, Rahman AR. Reproducibility of  
487 different laser Doppler fluximetry parameters of postocclusive reactive  
488 hyperemia in human forearm skin. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* 2005;  
489 **52**(2): 286-92.  
490
- 491 27. Stiefel P, Moreno-Luna R, Vallejo-Vaz AJ, Beltran LM, Costa A, Gomez L *et al.*  
492 Which parameter is better to define endothelial dysfunction in a test of  
493 postocclusive hyperemia measured by laser-Doppler flowmetry? *Coron*  
494 *Artery Dis* 2012; **23**(1): 57-61.  
495
- 496 28. Kruger A, Stewart J, Sahityani R, O'Riordan E, Thompson C, Adler S *et al.*  
497 Laser Doppler flowmetry detection of endothelial dysfunction in end-stage  
498 renal disease patients: correlation with cardiovascular risk. *Kidney Int*  
499 2006; **70**(1): 157-64.  
500
- 501 29. Yamamoto-Suganuma R, Aso Y. Relationship between post-occlusive  
502 forearm skin reactive hyperaemia and vascular disease in patients with  
503 Type 2 diabetes--a novel index for detecting micro- and macrovascular  
504 dysfunction using laser Doppler flowmetry. *Diabet Med* 2009; **26**(1): 83-8.  
505
- 506 30. Rossi M, Bradbury A, Magagna A, Pesce M, Taddei S, Stefanovska A.  
507 Investigation of skin vasoreactivity and blood flow oscillations in  
508 hypertensive patients: effect of short-term antihypertensive treatment. *J*  
509 *Hypertens* 2011; **29**(8): 1569-76.  
510
- 511 31. Galle J, Hansen-Hagge T, Wanner C, Seibold S. Impact of oxidized low  
512 density lipoprotein on vascular cells. *Atherosclerosis* 2006; **185**(2): 219-26.  
513
- 514 32. Tran-Dinh A, Diallo D, Delbosc S, Varela-Perez LM, Dang QB, Lapergue B *et*  
515 *al.* HDL and endothelial protection. *Br J Pharmacol* 2013; **169**(3): 493-511.  
516
- 517 33. Garrido-Sanchez L, Garcia-Almeida JM, Garcia-Serrano S, Cardona I, Garcia-  
518 Arnes J, Soriguer F *et al.* Improved carbohydrate metabolism after bariatric  
519 surgery raises antioxidantized LDL antibody levels in morbidly obese patients.  
520 *Diabetes Care* 2008; **31**(12): 2258-64.  
521

- 522 34. Persegol L, Verges B, Foissac M, Gambert P, Duvillard L. Inability of HDL  
523 from type 2 diabetic patients to counteract the inhibitory effect of oxidised  
524 LDL on endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation. *Diabetologia* 2006; **49**(6):  
525 1380-6.  
526
- 527 35. Matsuda Y, Hirata K, Inoue N, Suematsu M, Kawashima S, Akita H *et al.* High  
528 density lipoprotein reverses inhibitory effect of oxidized low density  
529 lipoprotein on endothelium-dependent arterial relaxation. *Circ Res* 1993;  
530 **72**(5): 1103-9.  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535

536

537 FIGURE LEGEND

538

539 **Figure 1.** AUC<sub>H</sub> measurements in obese patients before and 1 year after bariatric  
540 surgery. Patients were classified in three groups: patients without MetS before  
541 surgery (MetS-/MetS-), patients in whom MetS had been resolved after bariatric  
542 surgery (MetS+/MetS-), and patients in whom MetS persisted after bariatric  
543 surgery (MetS+/MetS+). Data are presented as mean and SD. \*  $p < 0.05$  \*\*  $p < 0.001$   
544 (Tukey's test; within-subject comparison).

545

546

547 **Table 1.** Laser-Doppler results related to post-occlusive reactive hyperemia in  
 548 obese patients before surgery and control lean subjects. Data are expressed as  
 549 mean  $\pm$ SD.

	<b>Ob/MetS-</b> (n=23)	<b>Ob/MetS+</b> (n=39)	<b>Control subjects</b> (n=30)	<b>p value</b>
PORHpeak	35.9 $\pm$ 16	36.5 $\pm$ 16	42.5 $\pm$ 13	0.214
PORHmax	29.1 $\pm$ 15	29.1 $\pm$ 15	35.0 $\pm$ 12	0.184
AUC <sub>0</sub>	1002.4 $\pm$ 436	990.2 $\pm$ 510	1124.8 $\pm$ 462	0.486
AUC <sub>H</sub>	734.2 $\pm$ 441*	767.4 $\pm$ 448*	1041.5 $\pm$ 455	<b>0.022</b>

550

551 Ob/MetS-, obese patients without metabolic syndrome; Ob/MetS+, obese patients  
 552 with metabolic syndrome.

553 *Post-hoc* comparison vs control subjects \*  $p < 0.05$

554

555 **Table 2.** Clinical and metabolic characteristics of obese patients before surgery  
 556 and control subjects.

557

	<b>Ob/MetS-</b>	<b>Ob/MetS+</b>	<b>Control subjects</b>	<b>p value</b>
Number	23	39	30	
Gender (F/M)	19/4	34/5	22/8	0.336
Age (yr)	40 ±9	42 ±10	37 ±11	0.109
BMI [mass (kg)/[height (m)] <sup>2</sup> ]	49.4 ±5 <sup>***</sup>	50.7 ±5 <sup>***</sup>	24.8 ±5	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Waist circumference (cm)	134.4 ±14 <sup>***</sup>	133.2 ±13 <sup>***</sup>	80.4 ±11	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Hip circumference (cm)	149.2 ±12 <sup>***</sup>	147.5 ±11 <sup>***</sup>	101.8 ±8	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
SBP (mm Hg)	128 ±15 <sup>**</sup>	137 ±17 <sup>***†</sup>	116 ±8	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
DBP (mm Hg)	76 ±11 <sup>***</sup>	79 ±11 <sup>***</sup>	65 ±6	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Heart rate	82 ±8 <sup>***</sup>	81 ±8 <sup>***</sup>	66 ±6	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Diabetes mellitus (%)	0	33 <sup>††</sup>	0	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Hypoglycemic use (%)	0	23 <sup>††</sup>	0	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Arterial hypertension (%)	32	66 <sup>††</sup>	0	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
ACEI or ARB use (%)	18	38 <sup>††</sup>	0	<b>0.002</b>
Fasting Glucose	87.2 ±8.4	104.2	82.3 ±10.4	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>

(mg/dl)		±20.7****††		
Fasting Insulin (μU/ml)	20.4 ±9.1***	25.4 ±15.2***	7.4 ±4.5	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
HOMA-IR (mg/dl)	4.7 ±2.1	6.8 ±17.1**	1.6 ±1.0	<b>0.011</b>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	5.8 ±0.4*	6.5 ±1.1****†	5.23 ±0.3	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Tchol (mg/dl)	179.4 ±36.7	202.8 ±41.3**†	173.3 ±29.1	<b>0.003</b>
LDLc (mg/dl)	116.8 ±18.5	139.0 ±35.8****†	103.8 ±25.4	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
HDLc (mg/dl)	50.6 ±13.2	44.8 ±10.7***	55.6 ±10.07	<b>&lt;0.0006</b>
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	98.7 ±35.6	134.0 ±60.8****†	68.9 ±23.4	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
PAI-1 (ng/ml)	82.8 (27.0, 132.0)**	61.8 (39.1, 111.0)**	34.6 (19.1, 50.1)	<b>0.009</b>
CRP (ng/l)	9.2 (5.2, 14.1)*	8.9 (5.9, 13.6)*	0.9 (0.3, 2.4)	<b>0.006</b>
oxLDL (ng/ml)	187.0 (87.2, 536.7)*	167.0 (67.1, 437.0)**	114.0 (26.0, 203.0)	<b>0.047</b>

558

559 Mean ±SD., number of subjects (*n*), or median (first, third quartiles).

560 Ob/MetS-, obese patients without metabolic syndrome; Ob/MetS+, obese patients  
561 with metabolic syndrome; FFM, free-fat mass; FM, fat mass; SPB, systolic blood  
562 pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme  
563 inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model  
564 assessment-estimated insulin resistance; HbA<sub>1c</sub>, hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub>; Tcho, total  
565 cholesterol; HDLc, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDLc, low-density

566 lipoprotein cholesterol; PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; CRP, C-reactive  
567 protein; oxLDL, oxidized low-density lipoprotein; n.a., not available.  
568 *Post-hoc* comparison vs control subjects \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$   
569 *Post-hoc* comparison vs Ob/MetS- †  $p < 0.05$ , ††  $p < 0.01$ , †††  $p < 0.001$   
570

571

572 **Table 3.** Clinical and metabolic characteristics of obese patients 12 months after  
 573 surgery.

574

	<b>MetS-/MetS-</b> (n = 23)	<b>MetS+/MetS-</b> (n = 27)	<b>MetS+/MetS+</b> (n = 12)
Number	23	27	12
BMI [mass (kg)/[height (m)] <sup>2</sup> ]	33.9 ±5.5***	32.9 ±7.5 ***	40.2 ±8.2 ***†
Waist circumference (cm)	105.4 ±13.0 ***	99.1 ±13.7 ***	115.1 ±12.8 ****§
Hip circumference (cm)	121.2 ±14.7 ***	112.8 ±16.8 ***	128.5 ±16.6 ***§
SBP (mm Hg)	119.0 ±13.2	121.3 ±13.8	133.4 ±16.8§
DBP (mm Hg)	69.5 ±8.1	70.7 ±9.6***	74.8 ±11.4
Heart rate	69.8 ±11.1***	71.3 ±10.2*	75.7 ±13.2
Fasting Glucose (mg/dl)	77.1 ±7.4***	80.3 ±8.24***	90.3 ±25.42†
Fasting Insulin (μU/ml)	5.47 ±3.2***	6.21 ±3.2 ***	8.6 ±4.1**†
HOMA-IR (mg/dl)	1.1 ±0.6***	1.3 ±0.8***	1.8 ±0.7***§
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	5.2 ±0.3***	5.3 ±0.3***	5.8 ±0.7***§
Tchol (mg/dl)	175.6 ±31.0	188.1 ±36.6*	192.5 ±28.6
LDLc (mg/dl)	100.6 ±29.0**	114.9 ±37.6*	125.4 ±35.6
HDLc (mg/dl)	62.4 ±9.2**	60.5 ±11.1***	49.4 ±11.2†§
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	64.6 ±14.9***	81.6 ±27.1***	94.3 ±24.1†§
PAI-1 (ng/ml)	27.3 [18.6,	22.8 [15.3, 45.2]*	22.9 [15.4, 82.8]*



	48.4]**		
CRP (ng/l)	1.5 [0.7, 3.2]**	1.1 [0.5, 2.9]**	5.2 [2.6, 8.9]* ††§§
oxLDL (ng/ml)	62.4 [40.5, 113.5]**	64.9 [42.16, 70.0]**	168.9 [147.2, 235.3]†††§§§

575 MetS-/MetS-: obese patients without metabolic syndrome before and after  
576 surgery; MetS+/ MetS-: obese patients in whom metabolic syndrome was resolved  
577 after surgery; MetS+/ MetS+: obese patients with metabolic syndrome before and  
578 after surgery.

579 Mean ±SD, number of subjects (n), or median [first, third quartiles].

580 \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$  comparing with measures before surgery in the  
581 two-way ANOVA.

582 *Post-hoc* comparison vs MetS-/MetS- †  $p < 0.05$ , ††  $p < 0.01$ , †††  $p < 0.001$

583 *Post-hoc* comparison vs MetS+/MetS- §  $p < 0.05$ , §§  $p < 0.01$ , §§§  $p < 0.001$

584

585

586

587 **Table 4.** Pearson's correlation coefficients (associated *p*-value) and regression  
 588 coefficients of a stepwise multiple linear regression analysis in changes in AUC<sub>H</sub>  
 589 (postoperative minus preoperative) as the dependent variable and after forcing  
 590 age, gender, antihypertensive and diabetic treatment. Independent variables were  
 591 selected using all variables that correlated significantly with changes AUC<sub>H</sub> .  
 592

Independent variable	Step	Regression coefficient (s.e.)	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> (%)
Change in HDLc (mmol/l)	1	18.48 (5.13)	8.15, 28.81	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	27.9
Change in oxLDL (ng/ml)	2	-0.29 (0.08)	-0.12, 0.45	<b>0.001</b>	9.8
Change in fasting glucose (mg/dl)		-	-	n.s.	
Change in HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)		-	-	n.s.	

593

Figure 1.

