

1 **Description of *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov. isolated from faecal droppings of**
2 **black-faced ibis (*Theristicus melanopis*)**

3 Mónica Lopez-Cantillo¹, Boris Vidal-Veuthey¹, Armin Mella¹, Rafael R. de la Haba² and
4 Luis Collado¹

5

6 **Author affiliations:**¹Institute of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences,
7 Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile. ²Department of Microbiology and
8 Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain.

9

10 **Corresponding author:** Luis Collado; Phone: 56-63-2293848, E-mail: luiscollado@uach.cl

11

12 **Keywords:** *Helicobacter*, faeces, wild bird, Chile.

13

14 **Repositories:**

15 The following gene sequences have been deposited in GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ: 16S rRNA
16 gene sequences of strains A82^T and WB-40 (ON950425 and ON950426); and *hsp60* gene
17 sequences of strains A82^T and WB-40 (OQ116579 and OQ116580). The accession
18 numbers for the draft genome sequence data of strains *H. ibis* A82^T and WB-40 are
19 JAQHXR000000000 and JAQHXS000000000, respectively.

20

21

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 As part of a larger study on *Epsilonproteobacteria* carried by wild birds in the city of
26 Valdivia (southern Chile), two curved rod-shaped Gram-stain-negative strains (A82^T and
27 WB-40) were recovered from faecal samples and subjected to a taxonomic study.
28 Results of a genus-specific PCR showed that these isolates belonged to the genus
29 *Helicobacter*. Further identification by 16S rRNA and *hsp60* (60 kDa heat-shock protein)
30 gene sequence analysis revealed that they formed a separate phylogenetic clade,
31 different from other known *Helicobacter* species with "*H. burdigaliensis*" CNRCH
32 2005/566H^T and *H. valdiviensis* WBE14^T being the most closely related species. This was
33 confirmed by core-genome phylogeny as well as digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH)
34 and average nucleotide identity (ANI) analyses between the genome of strains A82^T and
35 WB-40 and the rest of *Helicobacter* species. The draft genome sequence of A82^T and
36 WB-40, obtained by Illumina NextSeq 2000 sequencing, consisted of ~1.6 Mb with a
37 G+C content of 31.9-32.0 mol%.

38 The results obtained from the phylogenetic and genomic characterisation, together with
39 their different morphological and biochemical features, revealed that these two strains
40 represent a novel species, for which we propose the name *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov. with
41 A82^T (=LMG 32718^T= CCCT 22.04^T) as the type strain.

42

43 **Introduction**

44 The genus *Helicobacter* contains 51 validly published species names
45 (<https://lpsn.dsmz.de/genus/helicobacter>) which can be divided into two main groups:
46 gastric (GH) and enterohepatic *Helicobacter* (EHH) species. The latter includes more
47 than 60 % of the species of the genus, and in recent years the EHH group has been linked

48 to human diseases such as acute gastroenteritis, inflammatory bowel and hepatobiliar
49 diseases, as well as extra-intestinal infections [1].

50

51 The EHH species have been isolated from a wide range of wild and domestic animals [2].

52 However, the pathogenic significance of these bacteria is uncertain as they have been

53 detected both in healthy animals and in those with symptoms of intestinal or liver

54 disease [2]. Wild birds are an important reservoir for EHH, with *H. pametensis* [3], *H.*

55 *anseris*, *H. brantae* [4], *H. canadensis* [5], and *H. valdiviensis* [6] having been isolated

56 from faecal samples of these hosts. Additionally, very recently two new species from

57 birds were described in Turkey, "*H. anatolicus*" and *H. kayseriensis* [7]. Some of these

58 species have also been linked to human infection. Indeed *H. canadensis* was originally

59 isolated from diarrhetic faeces [8], and *H. valdiviensis* has also been detected in human

60 diarrhetic stool specimens, alongside systemic infection [9]. Evidently, wild bird

61 droppings can be a source of spreading EHH and other campylobacteria, as they

62 contaminate parks, lakes, and urban areas, which could pose a risk to human health [10].

63 In the framework of a study developed in the city of Valdivia (southern Chile), on the

64 prevalence and diversity of *Epsilonproteobacteria* in wild bird dropping samples, two

65 bacterial strains (A82^T and WB-40) were subjected to phylogenetic analysis using the 16S

66 rRNA and *hsp60* (60 kDa heat-shock protein) gene sequences, and were suspected of

67 belonging to a not-yet described *Helicobacter* species. Whole genome-based analyses

68 and phenotypic characterisation were consequently performed to determine their

69 taxonomic positions. Based on our results, we propose and describe these strains as

70 representing a novel species within the genus *Helicobacter*.

71

72 **Isolation and identification**

73 Strains A82^T and WB-40 were isolated in November 2020 and January 2022, respectively.

74 The samples analysed were from faecal droppings excreted by birds in public urban
75 green spaces in Valdivia. Faecal samples were collected using sterile cotton-tipped
76 swabs that were immediately placed in 9 ml of Bolton broth (Oxoid). Incubation was
77 performed at 37 °C for 48 h under micro-aerobic conditions (Anaerocult[®] C, Merck
78 Millipore). After enrichment, 100 µL of incubated broth was inoculated on a modified
79 charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA) (Oxoid) plate, and incubated at 42
80 °C. Meanwhile 400 µL of broth was placed on the surface of a Millipore membrane filter
81 (pore size 0.45 µm) on Columbia Blood Agar Base (Oxoid) supplemented with 5 % sheep
82 blood (CBA) and was allowed to filter passively under room conditions for approx. 30
83 min. The filter was later removed with sterile forceps and discarded, while the plate was
84 incubated at 37 °C for 48 h under the above-mentioned conditions. In cases where no
85 growth was observed, incubation was extended for an additional 5–7 days [6]. Finally,
86 along with several known *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* species, three isolates
87 suspected of belonging to a new *Helicobacter* species were obtained from the 270 wild
88 bird faecal droppings analysed. These isolates were genotyping by Enterobacterial
89 repetitive intergenic consensus PCR [11] resulting in two strains with different
90 genotypes (A82^T isolated on CBA and mCCDA, and WB-40 isolated only on CBA) (data
91 not shown). In an attempt to identify the origin of the samples, faecal DNA was extracted
92 using the Stool DNA Kit (Omega Biotek) and the mitochondrial COI (cytochrome c
93 oxidase subunit 1) gene was amplified and sequenced using the universal primers BirdF1
94 and BirdR1, and alternative reverse primer BirdR2 [12]. Only one of the two bird faecal
95 samples from which the strains under study were isolated generated a good quality

96 sequence (GenBank accession number OP236466), corresponding to strain WB-40. A
97 BLASTN search (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>) identified the bird sequence as
98 belonging to the black-faced ibis (*Theristicus melanopis*) with a 100 % identity score. It
99 is one of the most abundant bird species observed at the sampling site.

100

101 Bacterial DNA was extracted from A82^T and WB-40 colonies using the Wizard[®] Genomic
102 DNA Purification kit (Promega), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
103 Genus-identification was carried out by *Helicobacter* genus-specific PCR [13]. For
104 identification at species level, the 16S rRNA and *hsp60* genes were amplified and
105 sequenced as described by Vandamme *et al.* [14] and Hill *et al.* [15], respectively. DNA
106 sequences were then compared directly with the NCBI non-redundant nucleotide
107 database using BLASTN, and top hit sequences were retrieved for further comparisons.
108 Sequence alignment was performed with ClustalW [16] and phylogenetic trees were
109 reconstructed using the neighbour-joining method [17] as implemented using MEGA X
110 software [18], with Kimura's two-parameter evolutionary distance model [19]. The
111 stability of the groupings was estimated by bootstrap analysis based on 500 re-
112 samplings. The phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences (Fig. 1) clearly
113 showed that strains A82^T and WB-40 can be affiliated to the genus *Helicobacter*, most
114 closely related to "*H. burdigaliensis*" CNRCH 2005/566H^T (97.10 % similarity) and *H.*
115 *valdiviensis* WBE14^T (97.02 % similarity). Given that the two new isolates were closely
116 related, forming a single cluster, it was hypothesized that they may represent a single
117 species. This taxonomic situation of the novel strains was also confirmed by the *hsp60* -
118 based phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2).

119 It is important to highlight the taxonomic similarity of the new taxon (putatively
120 comprised of strains A82^T and WB-40) to *H. valdiviensis*, considering that both species
121 were isolated in the same geographic area and from the same type of reservoir.

122

123 **Genome analysis**

124 Genomic DNA of strains A82^T and WB-40 was extracted using an Easy-DNA[™] gDNA
125 Purification Kit (Invitrogen), and further quantified by spectrophotometry (NanoQuant
126 – Infinite M200, Tecan) and fluorometry (Qubit 3.0 fluorometer). Genomic libraries were
127 prepared using the Illumina DNA Prep kit and IDT 10 bp UDI indices, and then sequenced
128 on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 device at the Microbial Genomics Sequencing Center
129 (MIGS, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Reads were quality checked with FastQC v.0.11.9 [20],
130 filtered with Trimmomatic v.0.39 [21], and finally assembled using SPAdes v3.13.1 [22]
131 (option --careful). Assembly quality was assessed using Quast v5.0.2 [23]. Completeness
132 and contamination of the genomes was estimated using CheckM v1.0.6 at default
133 settings [24]. The genome sequences were annotated using Prokka v1.14.6 [25].
134 Sequence reads and assemblies were submitted to the NCBI database as BioProject
135 PRJNA913774 with SRA accession numbers SRX18781885 and SRX18781886, and
136 GenBank accession numbers JAQHXR000000000 and JAQHXS000000000 for strains A82^T
137 and WB-40, respectively. The draft genome sequences of strain A82^T and WB-40 were
138 approx. 1,593,022 bp and 1,638,157 bp long, respectively, with a DNA G+C content of
139 31.9 mol% and 32.0 mol%, respectively, which is in line with values reported for the
140 genus *Helicobacter* [26]. The chromosome of the type strain A82^T is predicted to contain
141 approx. 1,655 coding sequences (CDS).

142

143 Available genome sequences from all type strains of members of the genus *Helicobacter*
144 were recovered from public databases and further used to carry out a phylogenomic
145 analysis. Orthologous genes were determined using an all-versus-all BLASTP comparison
146 among the translated CDS features of the annotated genomes under study, as previously
147 described [27]. Translated single-copy core gene sequences were then individually
148 aligned with Muscle v.3.8.31 [28] (options -maxiters 1 -diags) and concatenated into a
149 super-protein alignment, which was further utilized to infer the phylogenomic tree by
150 means of the approximately maximum-likelihood algorithm, as implemented in
151 FastTreeMP v.2.1.8 [29]. Branch support values were estimated using the Shimodaira-
152 Hasegawa test [30]. The new strains A82^T and WB-40 formed a separate lineage,
153 different from all other *Helicobacter* spp. but closely related to "*H. burdigaliensis*" and
154 *H. valdiviensis* (Fig. 3), confirming the results obtained from the initial single marker-
155 based phylogenetic analyses (Figs. 1 and 2).

156

157 Overall Genome Relatedness Indexes (OGRI) were computed for the genomes of isolates
158 A82^T and WB-40 and those of the type strains of the *Helicobacter* species. Specifically,
159 Orthologous Average Nucleotide Identity (OrthoANI) was determined using the
160 OrthoANLu tool [31] and the digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) was inferred by
161 means of the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC) (formula 2) [32]. The
162 OrthoANI and dDDH values obtained for all the analysed genomes revealed that the two
163 new isolated strains belonged to the same species (OrthoANI: 99.6 %, dDDH: 95.9%),
164 while being different from the other *Helicobacter* species described to date (OrthoANI
165 ≤ 73.6 % and dDDH ≤ 36.5 %) (Fig. S1).

166

167 **Phenotypic characterisation**

168 The new *Helicobacter* strains were biochemically, physiologically, and morphologically
169 characterised according to the recommendations of On *et al.* [33]. All tests were
170 performed in two time-independent assays with freshly prepared media. Growth of
171 both strains was examined at 25 °C, 37 °C and 42 °C under microaerobic conditions
172 (using Anaerocult® C, Merck Millipore), and at 37 °C under aerobic and anaerobic
173 conditions (using AnaeroGen, Oxoid) for 48 to 72 h on CBA. An oxidase test was carried
174 out using Bactident Oxidase strips (Merck) and catalase activity was evaluated by adding
175 a 3 % H₂O₂ solution and observing bubble production within 5 s. Indoxyl acetate
176 hydrolysis was determined as previously described by Mills and Gherna [34]. The
177 following biochemical analyses were performed using the API-Campy identification
178 system (bioMérieux) as per the manufacturers' instructions: urease activity, reduction
179 of nitrate, esterase activity, hydrolysis of hippurate, γ -glutamyl transferase activity,
180 reduction of triphenyl tetrazolium chloride, alkaline phosphatase activity, production of
181 H₂S, assimilation of glucose, and pyrrolidonyl-, L-arginine-, and L-aspartate arylamidase
182 activity. In addition, nitrate reduction was also tested using plate method of Cook [35]
183 and γ -glutamyl transferase activity was confirmed using DIATABS™ Diagnostic Tablets
184 for Bacterial Identification (Rosco). Tolerance to 1 % glycine, 1.5 % NaCl and 2 % NaCl
185 was determined as previously described [36]. The motility and susceptibility to
186 cephalothin (30 μ g, Oxoid) and nalidixic acid (30 μ g, Oxoid) were also determined,
187 according to the Minimal Standards recommended for the families *Campylobacteraceae*
188 and *Helicobacteraceae* [33]. All test were performed using the type and reference
189 strains *Helicobacter valdiviensis* (CECT 8410^T); *H. apodemus* RAT 1 (=CCUG 73261); *H.*
190 *canicola* CAD 722 (=CCUG 73259); *Campylobacter jejuni* (DSM 4688^T); *C. coli* (DSM

191 4689^T); *C. lari* (DSM 11375^T); *C. subantarcticus* (LMG 24377^T); *C. insulaenigrae* (LMG
192 22716^T); *C. volucris* (LMG 24380^T) and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) as controls.
193 Morphological characteristics of the strain A82^T were observed using a transmission
194 electron microscope (LIBRA 120 PLUS, Zeiss) after negative staining with 2 % uranyl
195 acetate for 30 s. This strain showed a spiral shape with a pair of unsheathed bipolar
196 flagella (Fig. 4). Based on the phenotypic characterisation, the new strains can be
197 affiliated to the *Helicobacter* genus, since they comply with the typical characteristics of
198 the genus described both in its original description [37] and in its subsequent
199 emendation [38], such as to be Gram negative curved rods, nonsporeforming, motile by
200 flagella, microaerophilic, with oxidase activity, chemoorganotrophs and asaccharolytic.
201 Table 1 shows the most important phenotypic characteristics differentiating the novel
202 strains from the phylogenetically closest *Helicobacter* species. An extended comparison
203 with all *Helicobacter* taxa can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

204

205 In summary, the phylogenetic, genomic, and phenotypic results obtained demonstrate
206 that the two isolates recovered from wild bird faecal samples represent a novel taxon,
207 distinct from other currently known *Helicobacter* species. The name *Helicobacter ibis* sp.
208 nov. is proposed, with A82^T (=LMG 32718^T= CCCT 22.04^T) as the type strain.

209

210 **Description of *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov.**

211 *Helicobacter ibis* (i'bis. L. gen. n. *ibis*, of an ibis, indicating the source of a new
212 *Helicobacter* strain).

213

214 Cells are Gram-stain negative, non-endospore forming, curved and S-shaped rods,
215 approximately 0.3 μm wide and 1.0–1.8 μm long. They are motile by means of a pair of
216 bipolar unsheathed flagella and do not have periplasmic fibres. After 72 h of incubation
217 on Columbia Blood Agar Base (supplemented with 5 % sheep blood) at 37 °C in a
218 microaerobic atmosphere, colonies are small, 1-2 mm in diameter, non-haemolytic, with
219 a grey pigmented, slightly convex, and round with smooth margins. Coccoid cells were
220 observed in old cultures (>96 h). The bacterium grows under microaerobic conditions at
221 37 °C and at 42 °C, but not at 25 °C. Weak growth was observed at 37 °C under anaerobic
222 conditions, but not aerobically. No growth was obtained on media containing 1 % glycine
223 nor media supplemented with 1.5 % or 2 % NaCl. Isolates are oxidase, catalase, alkaline
224 phosphatase, and γ -glutamyl transpeptidase positive, but urease and esterase negative,
225 and do not hydrolyse indoxyl acetate. They reduce nitrate, while L- triphenyl tetrazolium
226 chloride, arginine arylamidase and L-aspartate arylamidase production is variable. No
227 pyrrolidonyl arylamidase, hippurate hydrolysis or H₂S production was detected. Cells are
228 resistant to cephalothin but susceptible to nalidixic acid. The clinical significance of this
229 species is unknown.

230 The type strain, A82^T (=LMG 32718^T= CCCT 22.04^T), was isolated from wild bird faecal
231 droppings in the city of Valdivia, Chile. The DNA G+C content of the type strain is 31.9
232 mol%. The 16S rRNA gene sequence and draft genome assembly of the type strain have
233 been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers ON950425 and
234 JAQHXR000000000, respectively. The isolate WB-40 is an additional strain of this
235 species, and its GenBank accession numbers for the 16S rRNA gene and draft genome
236 sequences are ON950426 and JAQHXS000000000, respectively.

237

238 **Funding information**

239 This work was supported by the *Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y*
240 *Tecnológica* (CONICYT–Chile), in the framework of FONDECYT Project Nº 1200125, by
241 MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (grant PID2020-118136GB-I00), and by *Junta de*
242 *Andalucía* (grants P20_01066 and BIO-213).

243

244 **Acknowledgements**

245 We thank Dr. Aharon Oren (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem) for his help with the
246 specific etymology and nomenclature. We also thank Maryoris Jara (*Unidad de*
247 *Microscopía Electrónica-Universidad Austral de Chile*) for her help with TEM.

248

249 **Conflicts of interest**

250 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

251

252 **Reference**

- 253 1. **Ochoa S, Collado L.** Enterohepatic *Helicobacter* species - clinical importance, host
254 range, and zoonotic potential. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2021; 47:728-761.
255 <https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2021.1924117>
- 256 2. **Flahou B, Haesebrouck F, Smet A.** Non-*Helicobacter pylori* *Helicobacter* infections in
257 humans and animals. In: Backert S, Yamaoka Y (editors). *Helicobacter pylori* Research,
258 Japan: Springer; 2016. Pp 233-3369.
- 259 3. **Dewhirst FE, Seymour C, Fraser GJ, Paster BJ, Fox JG.** Phylogeny of *Helicobacter*
260 isolates from bird and swine feces and description of *Helicobacter pametensis* sp.

- 261 nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1994; 44:553-560. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-44-3->
262 [553](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-44-3-553)
- 263 4. **Fox JG, Taylor NS, Howe S, Tidd M, Xu S, Paster BJ, Dewhirst FE.** *Helicobacter anseris*
264 sp. nov. and *Helicobacter brantae* sp. nov., isolated from feces of resident Canada
265 geese in the greater Boston area. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; 72:4633-4637.
266 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02876-05>
- 267 5. **Waldenström J, On SL, Ottvall R, Hasselquist D, Harrington CS, Olsen B.** Avian
268 reservoirs and zoonotic potential of the emerging human pathogen *Helicobacter*
269 *canadensis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003; 69:7523-7526.
270 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.12.7523-7526.2003>
- 271 6. **Collado L, Jara R, González S.** Description of *Helicobacter valdiviensis* sp. nov., an
272 *Epsilonproteobacteria* isolated from wild bird faecal samples. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
273 2014; 64:1913–1919. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.057141-0>
- 274 7. **Aydin F, Saticioglu IB, Ay H, Kayman T, Karakaya E, Abay S.** Description of the two
275 novel species of the genus *Helicobacter*: *Helicobacter anatolicus* sp. nov., and
276 *Helicobacter kayseriensis* sp. nov., isolated from feces of urban wild birds. *Syst Appl*
277 *Microbiol* 2022; 45:126326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2022.126326>
- 278 8. **Fox JG, Chien CC, Dewhirst FE, Paster BJ, Shen Z, Melito PL et al.** *Helicobacter*
279 *canadensis* sp. nov. isolated from humans with diarrhea as an example of an
280 emerging pathogen. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000; 38:2546-2549.
281 <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.38.7.2546-2549.2000>
- 282 9. **Fresia P, Jara R, Sierra R, Ferrés I, Greif G, Iraola G, Collado L.** Genomic and clinical
283 evidence uncovers the enterohepatic species *Helicobacter valdiviensis* as a potential

284 human intestinal pathogen. *Helicobacter* 2017;22: e12425.
285 <https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12425>

286 10. **Ahmed NA, Gulhan T.** *Campylobacter* in Wild Birds: Is It an Animal and Public Health
287 Concern? *Front Microbiol* 2022; 12:812591. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.812591

288 11. **Aydin F, Karakaya E, Kayman T, Abay S, Saticioglu IB.** *Helicobacter turcicus* sp. nov.,
289 a catalase-negative new member of the *Helicobacter* genus, isolated from Anatolian
290 Ground Squirrel (*Spermophilus xanthopyrnus*) in Turkey. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
291 2022; 72:5338. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.005338>

292 12. **Hebert PD, Stoeckle MY, Zemplak TS, Francis CM.** Identification of birds through DNA
293 barcodes. *PLoS Biol* 2004; 2:e312. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020312>

294 13. **Fox JG, Dewhirst FE, Shen Z, Feng Y, Taylor NS, Paster BJ et al.** Hepatic *Helicobacter*
295 species identified in bile and gallbladder tissue from Chileans with chronic
296 cholecystitis. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 114:755–763. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(98)70589-X)
297 [5085\(98\)70589-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(98)70589-X)

298 14. **Vandamme P, Holmes B, Bercovier H, Coenye T.** Classification of Centers for Disease
299 Control group eugonic fermenter (EF)-4a and EF-4b as *Neisseria animaloris* sp. nov.
300 and *Neisseria zoodegmatis* sp. nov., respectively. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006; 56:
301 1801-1805.

302 15. **Hill JE, Paccagnella A, Law K, Melito PL, Woodward DL, Price L et al.** Identification of
303 *Campylobacter* spp. and discrimination from *Helicobacter* and *Arcobacter* spp. by
304 direct sequencing of PCR-amplified *cpn60* sequences and comparison to cpnDB, a
305 chaperonin reference sequence database. *J Med Microbiol* 2006; 55:393-399.
306 <https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46282-0>

- 307 16. **Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ.** CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of
308 progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-
309 specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994; 22:4673–
310 4680. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.22.4673>
- 311 17. **Saitou N, Nei M.** The Neighbor-Joining Method - a new method for reconstructing
312 phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987; 4:406-425.
313 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454>
- 314 18. **Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K.** MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary
315 Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 2018; 35:1547-1549.
316 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>
- 317 19. **Kimura M.** A Simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions
318 through comparative studies of nucleotide-sequences. *J Mol Evol* 1980; 16:111-120.
- 319 20. **Andrews S.** FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data 2010.
320 Available online at: <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc>
- 321 21. **Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B.** Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
322 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30:2114-2120.
323 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>
- 324 22. **Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich A, Dvorkin M, Kulikov A et al.** SPAdes: a
325 new assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comp Biol*
326 2012; 19: 455-477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
- 327 23. **Mikheenko A, Prjibelski A, Saveliev V, Antipov D, Gurevich A.** Versatile genome
328 assembly evaluation with QUASt-LG. *Bioinformatics* 2018; 34:i142-i150.
329 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty266>

- 330 24. **Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW.** CheckM: Assessing
331 the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
332 metagenomes. *Genome Research* 2015; 25:1043-1055.
333 <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.186072.114>.
- 334 25. **Seemann, T.** Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2014;
335 30:2068-2069. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153>
- 336 26. **On SL, Lee A, O'Rourke JL, Dewhirst FE, Paster BJ, Fox JG, Vandamme P.**
337 *Helicobacter*. In: Whitman WB, Rainey F, Kampfer P, Trujillo M, Chun J, DeVos P,
338 Hedlund B, Dedysh S, editors. *Bergey's manual of systematics of Archaea and*
339 *Bacteria*. New York (NY): Wiley; 2015.
340 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118960608.gbm01073>
- 341 27. **de la Haba RR, López-Hermoso C, Sánchez-Porro C, Konstantinidis KT, Ventosa A.**
342 Comparative genomics and phylogenomic analysis of the genus *Salinivibrio*. *Front*
343 *Microbiol* 2019; 10:2104. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02104>
- 344 28. **Edgar RC.** MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
345 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004; 32:1792-1797.
346 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340>
- 347 29. **Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP.** FastTree 2- approximately maximum-likelihood trees
348 for large alignments. *PLoS One* 2010; 5:e9490.
349 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009490>
- 350 30. **Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M.** Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with
351 applications to phylogenetic inference. *Mol Biol Evol* 1999; 16:1114-1116.
352 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026201>

- 353 31. **Yoon SH, Ha SM, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J.** A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to
354 calculate average nucleotide identity. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2017; 110:1281-
355 1286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-017-0844-4>
- 356 32. **Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk H-P, Göker M.** Genome sequence-based species
357 delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC*
358 *Bioinformatics* 2013; 14:60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-60>
- 359 33. **On SLW, Miller WG, Houf K, Fox JG, Vandamme P.** Minimal standards for describing
360 new species belonging to the families *Campylobacteraceae* and *Helicobacteraceae*:
361 *Campylobacter*, *Arcobacter*, *Helicobacter* and *Wolinella* spp. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
362 2017; 67:5296-5311. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002255>
- 363 34. **Mills CK, Gherna RL.** Hydrolysis of indoxyl acetate by *Campylobacter* species. *J Clin*
364 *Microbiol* 1987; 25:1560-1561. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.25.8.1560-1561.1987>
- 365 35. **Cook GT.** A Plate Test for Nitrate Reduction. *J Clin Pathol* 1950; 3:359-362.
366 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jcp.3.4.359>
- 367 36. **On SL, Holmes B.** Effect of inoculum size on the phenotypic characterization of
368 *Campylobacter* species. *J Clin Microbiol* 1991; 29:923-926.
369 <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.29.5.923-926.1991>
- 370 37. **Goodwin CS, Armstrong JA, Chilvers T, Peters M, Collins MD, Sly L et al.** Transfer of
371 *Campylobacter pylori* and *Campylobacter mustelae* to *Helicobacter* gen. nov. as
372 *Helicobacter pylori* comb. nov. and *Helicobacter mustelae* comb. nov., respectively.
373 *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1989; 39:397-405. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-39-4-397>
- 374 38. **Vandamme P, Falsen E, Rossau R, Hoste B, Segers P, Tytgat R et al.** Revision of
375 *Campylobacter*, *Helicobacter*, and *Wolinella* taxonomy: emendation of generic

376 descriptions and proposal of *Arcobacter* gen. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1991; 41:88-
377 103. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-41-1-88>

378 39. **Eaton KA, Dewhirst FE, Radin MJ, Fox JG, Paster BJ, Krakowka S et al.** *Helicobacter*
379 *acinonyx* sp. nov., isolated from cheetahs with gastritis. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1993;
380 43:99-106. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-1-99>

381 40. **Stanley J, Linton D, Burnens AP, Dewhirst FE, On SL, Porter A et al.** *Helicobacter*
382 *pullorum* sp. nov. -genotype and phenotype of a new species isolated from poultry
383 and from human patients with gastroenteritis. *Microbiology* 1994; 140: 3441-3449.
384 <https://doi.org/10.1099/13500872-140-12-3441>

385 41. **Shen Z, Fox JG, Dewhirst FE, Paster BJ, Foltz CJ, Yan L, et al.** *Helicobacter rodentium*
386 sp. nov., a urease-negative *Helicobacter* species isolated from laboratory mice. *Int J*
387 *Syst Bacteriol* 1997; 47:627-634. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-3-627>

388 42. **Simmons JH, Riley LK, Besch-Williford CL, Franklin CL.** *Helicobacter mesocricetorum*
389 sp. nov., A novel *Helicobacter* isolated from the feces of Syrian hamsters. *J Clin*
390 *Microbiol* 2000; 38:1811-1817. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.38.5.1811-1817.2000>

391 43. **Robertson BR, O'Rourke JL, Vandamme P, On SL, Lee A.** *Helicobacter ganmani* sp.
392 nov., a urease-negative anaerobe isolated from the intestines of laboratory mice.
393 *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2001; 51:1881-1889. [https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-5-1881)
394 [5-1881](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-5-1881)

395 44. **Shen Z, Mannion A, Lin M, Esmail M, Bakthavatchalu V, Yang S et al.** *Helicobacter*
396 *monodelphidis* sp. nov. and *Helicobacter didelphidarum* sp. nov., isolated from grey
397 short-tailed opossums (*Monodelphis domestica*) with endemic cloacal prolapses. *Int*
398 *J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020; 70:6032-6043. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004424>

399 45. Gruntar I, Kostanjšek R, Pirš T, Papić B. *Helicobacter colisuis* sp. nov., isolated from
400 caecal contents of domestic pigs (*Sus scrofa domesticus*). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
401 2022; 72:5600. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.005600>

402 **Figures**

403 **Fig. 1.** Neighbour-joining tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the
404 phylogenetic position of *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov. within the genus *Helicobacter*.
405 Bootstrap values ($\geq 70\%$) based on 500 replicates are shown at the nodes. Bar, 0.01
406 substitutions per nucleotide position.

407 **Fig. 2.** Neighbour-joining tree based on hsp60 gene sequences showing the phylogenetic
408 position of *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov. within the genus *Helicobacter*. Bootstrap values (≥ 70
409 %) based on 500 replicates are shown at the nodes. Bar, 0.05 substitutions per
410 nucleotide position.

411 **Fig. 3.** Approximately maximum-likelihood phylogenomic tree based on 318
412 concatenated core protein sequences of members of the genus *Helicobacter* showing the
413 position of the new isolated strains. Ultrafast bootstrap values $\geq 70\%$ are indicated above
414 the branches. Bar, 0.1 substitutions per nucleotide position.

415 **Fig. 4.** Transmission electron micrograph of strain A82T; bar, 2 μm .

416 **Table**

417 **Table 1.** Phenotypic characteristics differentiating *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov. from other
418 related species of the genus *Helicobacter*.

Table 1. Phenotypic characteristics differentiating *Helicobacter ibis* sp. nov. from other related species of the genus *Helicobacter*. *Helicobacter* species: **1**, *H. ibis* sp. nov. (Data from this study); **2**, *H. pylori* (Data from Goodwin *et al.* [37]; Eaton *et al.* [39]); **3**, *H. pullorum* (Stanley *et al.* [40]); **4**, *H. rodentium* (Shen *et al.* [41]); **5**, *H. mesocricetorum* (Simmons *et al.* [42]); **6**, *H. ganmani* (Robertson *et al.* [43]); **7**, *H. canadensis* (Fox *et al.* [8]); **8**, *H. valdiviensis* (Collado *et al.* [6]); **9**, *H. monodelphidis* (Shen *et al.* [44]); **10**, *H. turcicus* (Aydin *et al.* [11]); **11**, *H. colisuus* (Gruntar *et al.* [45]). **+**, 100 % of strains positive; **-**, 100 % of strain negative; **(+)**, 70–94 % of strains positive; **(-)**, 7–33 % of strains positive; **±**, 42-66 % of strains positive; **W**, weak growth; **S**, sensitive; **R**, resistant; **V**, variable; **ND**, not determined; **M**, monopolar; **B**, bipolar.

Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Catalase	+	+	(+)	+	+	- [§]	+	+	+	-	+
Nitrate reduction	+	-	+	+	(+)	+	±	-	(-)	-	+
Urease	-	+*	-	-	-	-	-	±	-	-	-
Alkaline phosphatase	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+
γ-Glutamyl transpeptidase	+	+	ND	-	-	ND	-	-	+	-	V
Indoxyl acetate hydrolysis	-	-	-	-	ND	-	+	+	-	+	-
Growth at 42 °C (microaerobic)	+	-	+	(+)	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
Growth at 37 °C (anaerobic)	W	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
Growth on 1 % glycine	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Susceptibility to (30 µg per disc):											
Nalidixic acid	S	R	S	R	S [†]	S [‡]	R	V	R	R	R
Cephalothin	R	S	R	R	R	R [‡]	R	R	R	R	R
No. of flagella	2	4-8	1	2	2	2	1-2	1	7-14	2	2
Sheathed flagella	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flagellar arrangement	B	M	M	B	B	B	B	M	B	B	B

*Goodwin *et al.* [37] reported that some strains lose their urease activity after being repeatedly subcultured.

[§]Weak reaction detected (in two out of seven strains).

[†]One out of six strains was resistant.

[‡]The tests were assessed in plates with 32 mg L⁻¹ of the respective antibiotic.