1	Halorubrum chaoviator Mancinelli et al. 2009 is a later, heterotypic synonym of
2	Halorubrum ezzemoulense Kharroub et al. 2006. Emended description of
3	Halorubrum ezzemoulense Kharroub et al. 2006
4	
5	Paulina Corral <sup>1</sup> , Rafael R. de la Haba <sup>1</sup> , Carmen Infante-Domínguez <sup>1</sup> , Cristina Sánchez-
6	Porro <sup>1</sup> , Mohammad A. Amoozegar <sup>2</sup> , R. Thane Papke <sup>3</sup> , Antonio Ventosa <sup>1</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of
9	Sevilla, 41012 Sevilla, Spain
10	<sup>2</sup> Extremophiles Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology and
11	Center of Excellence in Phylogeny of Living Organisms, College of Science, University
12	of Tehran, Tehran, Iran
13	<sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT,
14	USA
15	
16	Running title: Halorubrum chaoviator, a later heterotypic synonym of Halorubrum
17	ezzemoulense
18	
19	Subject category: New Taxa - Archaea
20	Keywords: Haloarchaea, Halorubrum, Taxonomy, Halorubrum ezzemoulense,
21	Halorubrum chaoviator
22	
23	*Corresponding author. Tel: +34 954556765; fax: +34 954628162.
24	<i>E-mail address:</i> <u>ventosa@us.es</u> (A. Ventosa).
25	

Abbreviations: ANI, Average Nucleotide Identity; DDH, DNA-DNA hybridization;
GGDC, Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator; GTR, General Time Reversible;
HPTLC, High-Performance Thin Layer Chromatography; JTT, Jones, Taylor, Thornton
model; MLSA, MultiLocus Sequence Analysis; OGRI, Overall Genome Relatedness
Indexes; TIM, Transitional Model.

31

### 32 Abstract

A polyphasic comparative taxonomic study of *Halorubrum ezzemoulense* Kharroub *et al.* 2006, *Halorubrum chaoviator* Mancinelli *et al.* 2009 and eight new *Halorubrum* strains related to these haloarchaeal species was carried out.

The MLSA study using the five concatenated housekeeping genes atpB, EF-2, glnA, 36 ppsA and rpoB', and the phylogenetic analysis based on the 757 core protein sequences 37 obtained from their genomes showed that Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. 38 chaoviator Halo-G\*<sup>T</sup>/DSM 19316<sup>T</sup> and the eight Halorubrum strains formed a robust 39 40 cluster, clearly separated from the rest of species of the genus Halorubrum. The 41 orthoANI and digital DDH, calculated by the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC), showed percentages among Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. chaoviator 42 DSM 19316<sup>T</sup> and the eight *Halorubrum* strains ranging from 99.4 to 97.9 %, and 95.0 to 43 44 74.2 %, respectively, while these values for those strains and the type strains of the most closely related species of Halorubrum were 88.7 to 77.4 %, and 36.1 to 22.3 %, 45 respectively. Although some differences were observed, the phenotypic and polar lipids 46 47 profiles were quite similar for all these strains studied. Overall, these data show that Hrr. ezzemoulense, Hrr. chaoviator and the eight new Halorubrum isolates constitute a 48 49 single species. Thus, Halorubrum chaoviator should be considered as a later, heterotypic synonym of Halorubrum ezzemoulense. We propose an emended 50

- 51 description of *Halorubrum ezzemoulense*, including the features of *Halorubrum*
- *chaoviator* and those of the eight new isolates.

The genus Halorubrum is classified within the family Halorubraceae, order 55 Haloferacales, class Halobacteria [1,2]. Currently this genus includes 37 species with 56 validly published names, isolated from diverse hypersaline habitats, such as saline and 57 soda lakes, salterns or saline soils, as well as from rock salt and salted food [3,4]. 58 59 Divergence patterns leading to speciation of Halorubrum populations have been previously studied based on phylogenetic, genomic and fingerprinting analyses [5,6]. 60 Recently, we carried out a study of 25 isolates obtained from different hypersaline 61 environments, belonging to the genus *Halorubrum* and they were compared with the 62 63 type strains of species of Halorubrum by using several taxonomic approaches: 16S 64 rRNA gene sequence comparative analysis, MLSA based on the comparison of *atpB*, 65 EF-2, glnA, ppsA and rpoB' housekeeping genes, ANI, conventional DNA-DNA hybridization, and polar lipid profiles [7]. This study showed that several Halorubrum 66 67 isolates, designated as phylogroup 1, clustered together and showed common features 68 with the two species Halorubrum ezzemoulense and Halorubrum chaoviator [7]. Hrr. ezzemoulense was described by Kharroub et al. in 2006 [8] on the basis of the features 69 of a single strain (designated as strain  $5.1^{T}$ ), isolated from a water sample of Ezzemoul 70 sabkha in Algeria, while Hrr. chaoviator was described by Mancinelli et al. in 2009 [9], 71 based on the features of strain Halo- $G^{*T}$ , isolated from an evaporitic salt crystal from 72 73 the coast of Baja California, Mexico, and two additional strains isolated from a salt pool in Western Australia and a salt lake on the island of Naxos in Greece, respectively. Our 74 75 recent comparative study on the new isolates and the species of Halorubrum indicated that Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup> and Hrr. chaoviator Halo- $G^{*T}$ /DSM 19316<sup>T</sup> 76 77 constitute a single species together with eight of those new isolates. In this paper we 78 have compared in detail the type strains of both species of *Halorubrum* as well as eight 79 representative strains of our previous study that were closely related to these species, in

order to carry out a comprehensive polyphasic taxonomic study, which supports that *Hrr. chaoviator* should be considered as a later heterotypic synonym of *Hrr. ezzemoulense*, and that the new eight isolates are members of the species *Hrr. ezzemoulense*, for which we propose an emended description.

84

In this study we used the following type strains obtained from culture collections: Hrr. 85 ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup> and Hrr. chaoviator DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>, as well as Hrr. 86 chaoviator Halo-G<sup>\*T</sup> and the Halorubrum sp. strains C191, Ec15, Fb21, G37, Ga2p, 87 Ga36, SD612 and SD683. The former six strains were isolated from the hypersaline 88 lake Aran-Bidgol, Iran, and the latter two were obtained from water samples of a saltern 89 in the Namibia desert as previously described [7]. They were routinely cultured in 90 modified SW20 medium [10] with 20 % (w/v) total salts, prepared using a salt mixture 91 92 designated as SW 30 % (w/v) stock solution [11] which consists of (per litre): 234 g NaCl, 39 g MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 61 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 1 g CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 6 g KCl, 0.2 g NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 93 0.7 g NaBr. This solution was supplemented with 0.5 % (w/v) yeast extract (Difco) and 94

95 0.5 % (w/v) casamino acids. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with 1 M KOH and the 96 cultures were incubated at 37 °C. For solid media 2.0 % (w/v) agar was used when 97 necessary. The strains were maintained on the same medium in slant tubes, and for long 98 term preservation they were prepared as cryotubes for freezing at -80 °C as suspensions 99 with 15 % glycerol [7].

100

101 The 16S rRNA and MLSA phylogenetic analyses were carried out as previously 102 described [7]. The 16S rRNA gene nucleotide sequence of the strains was assembled 103 with ChromasPro software version 1.5 and aligned using ARB 6.0.5 software package 104 [12]. Sequence similarities were analyzed by comparing the 16S rRNA gene sequence

of Hrr. ezzemoulense CECT 7099<sup>T</sup> and Hrr. chaoviator Halo-G\*<sup>T</sup> as well as the eight 105 Halorubrum sp. isolates with the known sequences of the Halorubrum species shown in 106 107 Table S1, ARB 6.0.5 the EzBioCloud using and tool 108 [http://www.ezbiocloud.net/eztaxon; 13]. The analysis based on the almost complete 109 16S rRNA gene sequences showed the percentages of similarity (Table S2). The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the type strains of *Hrr ezzemoulense* CECT 7099<sup>T</sup> and *Hrr*. 110 *chaoviator* Halo-G<sup>\*T</sup> showed a percentage of similarity of 99.7 %; besides, these two 111 112 strains and all the eight new isolates showed percentages of similarity in the range 99.6 to 100 %. Similarities equal or lower than 99.4% were obtained between those strains 113 with the type strains of other species of *Halorubrum* and other haloarchaeal genera. The 114 phylogenetic study based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence comparison was performed 115 by constructions of trees using the algorithms neighbour-joining [14], maximum-116 117 parsimony [15] and maximum-likelihood [16] with the ARB program package version 118 6.0.5 [12]. Maximum-likelihood analysis was performed using the Transitional Model 2 119 of nucleotide substitution with invariable sites, rate variation among sites and unequal 120 base frequencies (TIM2+I+G+F) [17]. Base-frequency filters were applied in the sequence comparison analysis and the effects on the results were evaluated. To evaluate 121 122 the robustness of the tree, a bootstrap analysis (1000 replications) was performed [18]. 123 The inferred tree based on the 16S rRNA gene constructed by maximum-likelihood 124 showed that the eight Halorubrum sp. strains clustered with Hrr. ezzemoulense CECT 7099<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. chaoviator Halo-G<sup>\*T</sup>, as well as with Halorubrum californiense SF3-213<sup>T</sup> 125 (Fig. 1). The bootstrap values were low in all cases. The topologies of the trees 126 reconstructed using the neighbour-joining and maximum-parsimony algorithms were 127 128 highly similar to that of the tree constructed by maximum-likelihood. As previously indicated the comparison of the 16S rRNA gene sequences does not permit to determine 129

in depth the phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Halorubrum* and thus, a MLSA 130 approach based on the comparison of partial sequences of the atpB (ATP synthase 131 subunit B), EF-2 (elongation factor 2), glnA (glutamine synthetase), ppsA 132 133 (phosphoenolpyruvate synthase) and rpoB' (RNA polymerase subunit B') housekeeping genes (Table S1) has been recently recommended for this genus [7]. PCR cycling 134 conditions and amplification and sequencing primers for these genes are described 135 136 elsewhere [6,7]. Lengths of the resulting multiple alignments for each gene were 496, 137 507, 526, 514 and 522 bp for the *atpB*, *EF2*, *glnA*, *ppsA* and *rpoB*' genes, respectively, with the concatenation of the five genes yielding a final alignment of 2565 pb. Fig. 2 138 shows the phylogenetic tree obtained by concatenation of these five housekeeping 139 genes, constructed by the maximum-likelihood algorithm using the GTR+I+G 140 141 substitution model, as implemented in PhyML version 3.1 [19]. This tree shows a better 142 phylogenetic separation of the species of Halorubrum and, on the other hand, here the 143 eight *Halorubrum* isolates constitute a cluster with the type strains of *Hrr. ezzemoulense* 144 and Hrr. chaoviator. The percentage of similarity of the five concatenated gene 145 sequences between Hrr. ezzemoulense and Hrr. chaoviator is 99.7 % and those of these two species and the other eight related strains varied from 98.8 to 99.8 % and 98.9 to 146 99.8 %, respectively. Overall, the percentages of MLSA similarity of the two 147 148 Halorubrum species and the eight isolated strains that constitute a single cluster ranged 149 from 98.8 to 99.8 % (Table S2).

150

To increase the resolution, we carried out a phylogenetic analysis based on the 757 core protein sequences obtained from the available genomes of *Hrr. ezzemoulense* DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, *Hrr. chaoviator* DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>, the eight *Halorubrum* strains and the type strains of other related *Halorubrum* species (Table S1). All predicted protein sequences NCBI-

annotated from each available genome were compared using an all-versus-all BLAST 155 search by using the enveomic tool [20]. This analysis identified reciprocal best matches 156 (defined as > 40 % amino acid identity) in all pairwise genome comparisons of the ten 157 158 Halorubrum strains and the related Halorubrum type species. From all those pairwise reciprocal best match proteins, the 757 shared proteins present in all the analyzed 159 genomes were selected to constitute the core orthologues. These core orthologous 160 proteins were individually aligned using MUSCLE [21]. The resulting protein 161 162 alignments were concatenated to create a core-protein alignment consisting of 250,398 amino acids, and the phylogenomic tree was reconstructed by neighbour-joining method 163 164 with the JTT model of amino acid substitution [22], as implemented in MEGA 5 [23]. As shown in Fig. 3, the overall topology of the phylogenetic tree was in agreement with 165 the MLSA tree. The two Halorubrum species, Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. 166 chaoviator DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>, and the eight Halorubrum strains formed a well-defined 167 168 cluster, separate from the rest of species of Halorubrum.

169

170 Currently, it has been recommended the use of Overall Genome Relatedness Indexes (OGRI), such as the ANI and digital DDH, for delineation of prokaryotic species [24-171 29] and minimal standards have been recently reported [29]. The orthoANI percentages, 172 173 determined according to Lee et al. [30] on the basis of the comparison of the genome sequences of Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. chaoviator DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>, and the 174 new eight *Halorubrum* isolates, indicate that the cluster formed by these strains possess 175 a range of 99.4 % to 97.9 %, while the range with respect to the type strains of the 176 related species of Halorubrum was 88.7 % to 77.4 % (Table 1). The threshold of 95-96 177 178 % defined for species delineation [24,25,29] clearly supports the placement of these 179 strains within a single species.

On the other hand, we also calculated the digital DNA–DNA hybridizations, determined 181 online (http://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php) using the Genome-to-Genome Distance 182 183 Calculator (GGDC) version 2.0 as described by Meier-Kolthoff et al. [27]. The estimated digital DDH values were calculated using formula two at the GGDC website, 184 originally described by Auch et al. [26] and updated by Meier-Kolthoff et al. [27]. The 185 GGDC among Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. chaoviator DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>, and the 186 187 new eight Halorubrum strains ranged from 95.0 % to 74.2 %, but the values among these strains and the type strains of the related species of the genus Halorubrum were 188 36.1-22.3 % (Table 1). These percentages are lower than the 70 % cut-off established 189 190 for species delineation [27,29], and thus, showing unequivocally that the strains under study constitute a single species of Halorubrum, clearly separated from the rest of 191 192 species of this genus. These data are in agreement with our recent study [7], showing an experimental DDH percentage of relatedness between Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup> 193 and *Hrr. chaoviator* Halo-G<sup>\*T</sup> of 79 %, in contrast to the previously reported percentage 194 195 of 39 % [9], using in both cases the same DDH competition procedure of the membrane filter method [7,9]. 196

197

The phenotypic characterization was carried out using the standard taxonomic methods following the proposed minimal standards for *Halobacteria* recommended by Oren *et al.* [31]. Cell morphology and motility was examined in liquid medium after 7 days of growth by optical and phase-contrast microscopy (BX41; Olympus). Gram staining was performed using acetic acid-fixed samples, as described by Dussault [32]. The growth and optimum requirements for NaCl, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, pH and temperature were determined in the routine modified SW20 medium, changing the recipe for testing growth at different

concentrations [33]. The range of NaCl (5–30 %, w/v) was tested at intervals of 5 units. 205 Magnesium range was tested using MgCl<sub>2</sub> (0-10 %, w/v) at intervals of 1 % (w/v). 206 Routine cultivation was performed at 37 °C and pH 7.5. The pH range for growth was 207 assayed at pH 5.5-10.0, at intervals of 0.5 pH units in liquid modified SW20 medium 208 209 with various pH buffers: MES (pH 5.5-6.0), PIPES (pH 6.5-7.0), Tricine (pH 7.5-8.5), CHES (pH 9.0-9.5) or CAPS (pH 10.0), at a concentration of 50 mM. The range and 210 optimum temperatures were determined incubating at 4, 10, 20, 30, 37 and 45 °C in 211 modified SW20 medium with optimal NaCl and Mg<sup>2+</sup> concentrations and pH. 212

213

214 All phenotypic tests were carried out using the modified SW20 medium prepared at 20 % (w/v) total salts, pH 7.5 and at 37 °C. The type strain of the type species of 215 Halorubrum, Halorubrum saccharovorum JCM 8865<sup>T</sup> was used as a reference for 216 217 comparative purposes. Anaerobic growth was tested in the presence of nitrate and Larginine by adding to the medium 3 % (w/v) KNO<sub>3</sub> or 4 % L-arginine, respectively, in 218 219 filled stoppered tubes, as well the plates of cultures incubated for 10 days at 37 °C in an 220 anaerobic jar [31]. Catalase activity was determined by adding a 1 % (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution to colonies on solid medium. The oxidase test was performed using a DrySlide 221 assay (Difco). The hydrolysis of starch, gelatin, aesculin, casein, DNA and Tween 80 222 223 were carried out as described by Barrow & Feltham [34]. Test for indole production 224 from tryptophan and urea hydrolysis were performed as described by Gerhardt et al. 225 [35]. The methyl red, Voges-Proskauer and Simmons citrate tests were performed as described by Oren et al. [31]. H<sub>2</sub>S formation was determined by monitoring the 226 production of a black sulfide precipitate in modified SW20 medium containing 0.5 % 227 228 (w/v) sodium thiosulfate, and the reduction of nitrate was detected by using sulfanilic acid and  $\alpha$ -naphthylamine reagents [36]. To determine the utilization of different 229

organic substrates such as carbohydrates, alcohols, amino acids and organic acids as the 230 only source of carbon and energy, a medium containing 0.05 % (w/v) yeast extract and 231 supplemented with 1 % (w/v) of the tested substrate (sterilized separately) was assessed 232 as described by Ventosa et al. [37]. Hrr. ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. chaoviator 233 DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>, and the new eight *Halorubrum* isolated strains were Gram-stain-negative 234 motile rods, producing red pigmented colonies. They were catalase and oxidase 235 236 positive; not able to produce indole, nor hydrolyze gelatin, casein, DNA, aesculin or 237 Tween 80. Voges-Proskauer, methyl red and urease tests were negative. The phenotypic features that showed variable results for the strains studied and their differential 238 characteristics with respect to the type species of the genus Halorubrum, Hrr. 239 saccharovorum are shown in Table 2. Other phenotypic features are included on the 240 emended description of the species. 241

242

243 For polar lipid analyses cell biomass of the strains was obtained after 10 days of aerobic 244 incubation in modified SW20 liquid medium under optimal conditions: 20 % (w/v) 245 NaCl, 37 °C and pH 7.5. Polar lipids were extracted with chloroform/methanol following the method for extraction of membrane polar lipids of halophilic archaea 246 previously described by Corcelli et al. [38]; the extracts were carefully dried using a 247 248 SpeedVac Thermo Savan SPD111V before weighing and then dissolved in chloroform 249 to obtain a concentration of 10 mg/ml of lipid dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The total lipid 250 extracts were analyzed by one dimensional High-Performance Thin Layer 251 Chromatography (HPTLC) on Merck silica gel plates crystal back (Merck 10×20 cm; 252 Art. 5626), the plates were eluted in the solvent system chloroform/methanol 90 253 %/acetic acid (65:4:35, v/v) [39,40]. To detect all polar lipids, the plate was sprayed with sulfuric acid 5 % (v/v) in water and charred by heating at 160 °C [41]. The 254

glycolipids appear as purple spots and the rest of polar lipids as brown spots after 255 prolonged heating; alternatively, the polar lipids were developed by spraying the plate 256 with a solution of primuline and detecting the lipids upon excitation by UV light (336 257 258 nm) [42]. Furthermore, the following stainings were performed in order to identify the chemical nature of the lipids present in the HPTLC bands: (a) molybdenum-blue Sigma 259 spray reagent for phospholipids [41]; (b) azure-A/sulfuric acid for sulfatides and 260 sulfoglycolipids [43]; (c) ninhydrin in acetone/lutidine (9:1) for free amino groups. To 261 262 analyze the whole profiles of the strains studied the universal staining was performed with phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) solution 20% (w/v) in ethanol and charred by 263 heating at 160 °C. The high sensitivity of this staining allows detecting all lipids even in 264 265 smaller amounts.

266

The polar lipids HPTLC (Fig. S1) revealed that *Hrr. ezzemoulense* DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, *Hrr.* 267 *chaoviator* Halo-G<sup>\*T</sup> and the eight *Halorubrum* strains possessed a similar polar lipids 268 269 profile, showing the major lipids: phosphatidylglycerol (PG), phosphatidylglycerol phosphate methyl ester (PGP-Me), phosphatidylglycerol sulfate (PGS) and one 270 glycolipid chromatographically identical to sulfated mannosyl glycosyl diether (S-271 272 DGD-3). Biphosphatidylglycerol (BPG) is also found as minor component and minor 273 phospholipids are also detected. The polar lipid profile of all these strains possesses all 274 major lipids described for neutrophilic species of the genus Halorubrum [44,45], 275 although some minor differences were observed on minority polar lipids for the strains 276 investigated which could be related to their different isolation habitats.

277

Overall, the polyphasic taxonomic study shows that *Hrr. ezzemoulense* and *Hrr. chaoviator* constitute a single species, having the name *Hrr. ezzemoulense* priority

according to the Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes [46] and thus, *Hrr. chaoviator* should be considered a later heterotypic synonym of *Hrr. ezzemoulense*. Besides, the eight new isolated strains are members of this species and thus we propose the emended description of the species *Hrr. ezzemoulense*, including the features of *Hrr. chaoviator* and those of the forementioned eight isolates.

285

## 286 Emended description of *Halorubrum ezzemoulense* Kharroub et al. 2006

*Halorubrum ezzemoulense* (ez.ze.mou.len'se. N.L. neut. adj. *ezzemoulense* pertaining to
Ezzemoul sabkha, where the type strain was isolated).

289

290 The description is that of Kharroub et al. [8] with the following modifications: aerobic growth occurs at 15-30 % (w/v) NaCl, pH 6.5-9.0 and 20-45 °C. Optimum NaCl 291 292 concentration, pH and temperature for growth are 20-25 % (w/v), pH 7.5, and 37-40 °C. 293 Nitrate is generally reduced to nitrite, but nitrite is not reduced. Starch is generally not 294 hydrolysed. Voges-Proskauer and methyl red tests are negative. Casein and DNA are 295 not hydrolysed. D-arabinose, D-fructose, D-galactose, D-mannose, maltose, melezitose, lactose, salicin, glycerol, m-inositol, methanol, acetate, citrate, succinate are not 296 generally utilized as sole carbon and energy source. Sucrose, D-mannitol and fumarate 297 298 are generally utilized as sole carbon and energy source. Xylose, butanol, ethanol, 299 methanol, propanol, sorbitol, benzoate, hippurate, propionate, succinate, valerate, and 300 tartrate are not utilized as sole carbon and energy source. The polar lipids profile 301 includes: phosphatidylglycerol (PG), phosphatidylglycerol phosphate methyl ester 302 (PGP-Me), phosphatidylglycerol sulfate (PGS) and one glycolipid chromatographically 303 identical to sulfated mannosyl glycosyl diether (S-DGD-3), the main glycolipid of the 304 genus Halorubrum. Biphosphatidylglycerol (BPG) is also found as minor component,

305 and minor phospholipids are also detected.

- The G+C content of the genomic DNA is 66.0-70.1 mol% (genome).
- 307
- 308 The type strain  $5.1^{T}$  (= CECT  $7099^{T}$  = DSM  $17463^{T}$ ), was isolated from Ezzemoul
- sabkha in Algeria. The DNA G+C content of this strain is 66.6 mol% (genome).
- 310 The 16S rRNA gene sequence and complete genome sequence of the type strain Halo-
- 311  $G^{*T}$  are AB663412 and NEDJ00000000, respectively.
- 312

313 *Halorubrum chaoviator* strain Halo- $G^{*T}$  (= DSM 19316<sup>T</sup> = NCIMB 14426<sup>T</sup> = ATCC 314 BAA-1602<sup>T</sup>) is an additional strain of *Halorubrum ezzemoulense*, and *Halorubrum* 315 *chaoviator* a later heterotypic synonym of *Halorubrum ezzemoulense*. Strains C191, 316 Ec15, Fb21, G37, Ga2p, Ga36 (isolated from the hypersaline lake Aran-Bidgol in Iran), 317 SD612 and SD683 (isolated from a saltern in Namibia) are additional strains of this 318 species.

319

320

321

322

## **Funding information**

This study was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) through projects CGL2013-46941-P and CGL2017-83385-P, and Junta de Andalucía (Spain), all including European (FEDER) funds, and by grants from National Science Foundation (Grants DEB-0919290 and 0830024), and NASA Astrobiology:

328	Exobiology	and	Evolutionary	Biology	Program	Element	(Grant	Number
329	NNX12AD7	0G and	INNX15AM09	G).				

330

## 331 Acknowledgements

- R. R. de la Haba and C. Infante-Domínguez were recipients of a short-stay grant "Jose
- Castillejo" (CAS14/00289) and a predoctoral fellowship, respectively, from the Spanish
- 334 Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport.

335

# 336 **Conflict of interest**

337 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

338

# 339 Ethical statement

340 No experimental work with animals or humans has been carried out in this study.

342 **References** 

**1. Gupta RS, Naushad, S, Baker S.** Phylogenomic analyses and molecular signatures
for the class *Halobacteria* and its two major clades: a proposal for division of the class *Halobacteria* into an emended order *Halobacteriales* and two new orders, *Haloferacales* ord. nov. and *Natrialbales* ord. nov., containing the novel families *Haloferacaceae* fam. nov. and *Natrialbaceae* fam. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*2015;65:1050-1069.

2. Gupta RS, Naushad, S, Fabros R., Adeolu M. A phylogenomic reappraisal of
family-level divisions within the class *Halobacteria*: proposal to divide the order *Halobacteriales* into the families *Halobacteriaceae*, *Haloarculaceae* fam. nov., and *Halococcaceae* fam. nov., and the order *Haloferacales* into the families, *Haloferacaceae* and *Halorubraceae* fam nov. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 2016;109:565587.

355 Erratum: Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 2016;109:1521-1523.

**3. Parte AC.** LPSN-List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (bacterio.net), 20 years on. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2018;68:1825-1829.

4. Amoozegar MA, Siroosi M, Atashgahi S, Smidt H, Ventosa A. Systematics of
haloarchaea and biotechnological potential of their hydrolytic enzymes. *Microbiology*2017;163:623-645.

361 5. Ram-Mohan N, Fullmer MS, Makkay AM, Wheeler R, Ventosa A, Naor A,
362 Gogarten JP, Papke RT. Evidence from phylogenetic and genome fingerprinting
363 analyses suggests rapidly changing variation in *Halorubrum* and *Haloarcula*364 populations. *Front Microbiol* 2014;5:143.

365 6. Fullmer MS, Soucy SM, Swithers KS, Makkay AM, Wheeler R, Ventosa A,

**Gogarten JP, Papke RT.** Population and genomic analysis of the genus *Halorubrum*.

367 *Front Microbiol* 2014;5:140.

# 7. de la Haba RR, Corral P, Sánchez-Porro C, Infante-Domínguez C, Makkay AM *et al.* Genotypic and lipid analyses of strains from the archaeal genus *Halorubrum*reveal insights into their taxonomy, divergence, and population structure. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:512.

- 372 8. Kharroub K, Quesada T, Ferrer R, Fuentes S, Aguilera M et al. Halorubrum
- 373 *ezzemoulense* sp. nov., a halophilic archaeon isolated from Ezzemoul sabkha, Algeria.
- 374 *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006;56:1583-1588.
- 375 9. Mancinelli RL, Landheim R, Sánchez-Porro C, Dornmayr-Pfaffenhuemer M,

376 Gruber C et al. Halorubrum chaoviator sp. nov., a haloarchaeon isolated from sea salt

- in Baja California, Mexico, Western Australia and Naxos, Greece. Int J Syst Evol
  Microbiol 2009;59:1908-1913.
- **10. Rodríguez-Valera F, Ruiz-Berraquero F, Ramos-Cormenzana A**. Isolation of
  extremely halophilic bacteria able to grow in defined inorganic media with single
  carbon sources. *J Gen Microbiol* 1980;119:535-538.
- **11. Subov NN.** Oceanographical Tables. Moscow: Commissariat of Agriculture of
  USSR, Hydro-Meteorological Committee of USSR and Oceanographical Institute of
  USSR, 1931.
- 12. Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H *et al.* ARB: A software
  environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:1363-1371.
- 13. Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y *et al.* Introducing EzBioCloud: A
  taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA and whole genome assemblies. *Int J Syst*
- *Evol Microbiol* 2017;67:1614-1617.
- **14. Saitou N, Nei M.** The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing
- 391 phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987;4:406-425.

- **15. Kluge AG, Farris JS.** Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans. *Syst Zool*1969;18:1-32.
- **16. Felsenstein J.** Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood
  approach. *J Mol Evol* 1981;17:368-376.
- 396 17. Posada D, Baxevanis AD, Davison DB, Page RDM, Petsko GA, et al. Using
- 397 Modeltest and PAUP\* to select a model of nucleotide substitution. Curr Protoc
- *Bioinformatics* 2003;Chapter 6:Unit 6.5
- **18. Felsenstein J.** Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap.
- 400 *Evolution* 1985;39,783-791.
- 401 19. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New
- 402 algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the
  403 performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol* 2010;59:307-321.
- 20. Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. The enveomics collection: a toolbox for
  specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. *PeerJ Preprints*2016;4:e1900v1.
- 407 21. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time
  408 and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2004;5:113.
- **22. Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM.** The rapid generation of mutation data
  matrices from protein sequences. *Comput Appl Biosci* 1992;8:275-282.
- 411 23. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5:
- 412 molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary
  413 distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011;8:2731-2739.
- 414 24. Goris J, Konstantinidis KT, Klappenbach JA, Coenye T. Vandamme P et al.
- 415 DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence
- 416 similarities. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2007;57:81-91.
  - 18

- 417 25. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the
  418 prokaryotic species definition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:19126-19131.
- 419 26. Auch AF, von Jan M, Klenk HP, Göker M. Digital DNA-DNA hybridization for
  420 microbial species delineation by means of genome-to-genome sequence comparison.
- 421 *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010;2:117-151.
- 422 27. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk HP, Göker M. Genome sequence-based
  423 species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC*424 *Bioinformatics* 2013;14:60.
- 425 **28.** Chun J, Rainey FA. Integrating genomics into the taxonomy and systematics of the
- 426 Bacteria and Archaea . Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2014; 64:316-324.
- 29. Chun, J, Oren A, Ventosa A, Christensen H, Arahal DR *et al.* Proposed minimal
  standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2018;68:461-466.
- 30. Lee I, Ouk Kim Y, Park SC, Chun J. OrthoANI: An improved algorithm and
  software for calculating average nucleotide identity. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*2016;66:1100-1103.
- 433 **31. Oren A, Ventosa A, Grant WD.** Proposed minimal standards for desciption of new
- taxa in the order *Halobacteriales*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1997;47:233-238.
- **32. Dussault HP.** An improved technique for staining red halophilic bacteria. J *Bacteriol* 1955;70:484-485.
- 437 33. Corral P, de la Haba RR, Sánchez-Porro C, Amoozegar MA, Papke RT et al.
- 438 Halorubrum halodurans sp. nov., an extremely halophilic archaeon isolated from a
- 439 hypersaline lake. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016;66:435-444.
- 440 34. Barrow GI, Feltham RKA. Cowan and Steel's Manual for the Identification of
- 441 *Medical Bacteria*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

- 442 35. Gerhardt P, Murray RGE, Woo WA, Krieg NR. Methods for General and
- 443 *Molecular Bacteriology*. Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1994.
- 444 36. Smibert RM, Krieg NR. General characterization. In Methods for General and
- 445 Molecular Bacteriology, pp. 409-443. Edited by P. Gerhardt, R.G.E. Murray, R.N.
- 446 Costilow, E.W. Nester, W.A. Wood, N.R. Krieg and G.B. Phillips. Washington DC:
- 447 American Society for Microbiology, 1981.
- 448 37. Ventosa A, Quesada E, Rodriguez-Valera F, Ruiz-Berraquero F, Ramos-
- 449 Cormenzana A. Numerical taxonomy of moderately halophilic Gram-negative rods. J
- 450 *Gen Microbiol* 1982;128:1959-1968.
- 451 38. Corcelli A., Lobasso S. Characterization of lipids of halophilic archaea. *Methods*452 *Microbiol* 2006;35:585-613.
- **39. Angelini R, Corral P, Lopalco P, Ventosa A, Corcelli A.** Novel ether lipid
  cardiolipins in archaeal membranes of extreme haloalkaliphiles. *Biochim Biophys Acta*2012;1818:1365-1373.
- 456 40. Corral P, de la Haba RR, Sánchez-Porro C, Amoozegar MA, Papke RT et al.
- *Halorubrum persicum* sp. nov., an extremely halophilic archaeon isolated from
  sediment of a hypersaline lake. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2015;65:1770-830.
- 459 **41. Kates M.** Techniques of Lipidology: Isolation, Analysis and Identification of Lipids
- 460 In Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, pp. 100-110. Edited
- 461 by R.H. Burdon and P.H. van Knippenberg. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1986.
- 462 42. Fuchs B, Schiller J, Süβ R, Schürenberg M, Suckau D. A direct and simple
  463 method of coupling matrix-assisted laser desorption and ionization time-of-flight mass
  464 spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) to thin-layer chromatography (TLC) for the analysis
- of phospholipids from egg yolk. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2007;389:827-834.

466 43. Kean EL. Rapid, sensitive spectrophotometric method for quantitative
467 determination of sulfatides. *J Lipid Res* 1968;9:319-327.

468 44. McGenity TJ, Grant WD. Transfer of Halobacterium saccharovorum,

- 469 *Halobacterium sodomense, Halobacterium trapanicum* NRC 34021 and *Halobacterium*
- 470 lacusprofundi to the genus Halorubrum gen. nov., as Halorubrum saccharovorum
- 471 comb. nov., *Halorubrum sodomense* comb. nov., *Halorubrum trapanicum* comb. nov.
- and *Halorubrum lacusprofundi* comb. nov. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 1995;18:237-243.
- 473 45. Oren A, Arahal DR, Ventosa A. Emended description of genera of the family
- 474 *Halobacteriaceae. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2009;59:637-642.
- 475 46. Parker CT, Tindall BJ, Garrity GM. International Code of Nomenclature of
- 476 Prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. (in press) doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000778.

477

478

#### 480 Legends to figures

481

Fig. 1. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences 482 comparison showing the relationship between Hrr. ezzemoulense CECT 7099<sup>T</sup>, Hrr. 483 *chaoviator* Halo-G<sup>\*T</sup>, the new eight *Halorubrum* strains and other related species of the 484 genus Halorubrum and other haloarchaea. The accession numbers of the sequences used 485 486 are shown in parentheses after the strain designation. Bootstrap values (%) based on 487 1000 replicates are shown for branches with more than 70 % bootstrap support. The species Haloarcula vallismortis, Haloferax volcanii and Halobacterium salinarum were 488 used as outgroups. The scale bar represents 0.05 substitutions per nucleotide position. 489

490

491 Fig. 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the five-housekeeping gene (atpB, EF-2, glnA, ppsA and rpoB') concatenated sequences showing the relationship 492 493 between Hrr. ezzemoulense, Hrr. chaoviator, the new eight Halorubrum strains and other related species of the genus Halorubrum and other haloarchaea. The accession 494 numbers of the sequences used are shown in Table S1. Bootstrap values >70 % are 495 496 indicated. The species Haloarcula vallismortis, Haloferax volcanii and Halobacterium salinarum were used as outgroups. The scale bar represents 0.05 substitutions per 497 498 nucleotide position.

Fig. 3. Neighbour-joining core protein phylogenetic tree including the genomes of *Hrr*. *ezzemoulense*, *Hrr. chaoviator*, the new eight *Halorubrum* strains and other related
species of the genus *Halorubrum*. This tree was based on the JTT distance calculated
from the alignment of 757 shared orthologous single-copy genes of these genomes. All

- 504 genomes were retrieved from GenBank (Table S1). Bootstrap values over 70 % (based
- 505 on 1,000 pseudoreplicates) are shown above the branch. The scale bar represents 0.05
- 506 substitutions per nucleotide position.

**Table 1.** OrthoANI (upper triangle in bold) and GGDC (lower triangle) values among the genomes of *Hrr. ezzemoulense* DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, *Hrr. chaoviator* DSM 19316<sup>T</sup> and the new eight *Halorubrum* strains, as well as the type strains of the related species of the genus *Halorubrum*. The main diagonal of the matrix is grey highlighted. Genome accession numbers are shown in Table S1.

Strain	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
1. Hrr. ezzemoulense	100	98. 8	98.8	99.0	98. 7	99.0	98. 5	98.8	98. 2	98.8	81. 5	81. 0	88. 1	88. 4	88.0	80. 8	82.9	82. 7	81. 7	82. 9	82.6	82.6	87. 2	86. 0	77. 8	87.3
2. Hrr. chaoviator	90. 2	100	98. 7	99.0	98. 7	98.9	98. 5	98.9	98. 2	98.8	81. 4	80. 8	87. 8	88. 3	87.9	81. 0	83. 0	82. 7	81.9	82. 7	82.6	82. 7	87. 3	86. 1	78. 0	87.6
3. Halorubrum sp. C191	89. 3	88. 5	100	98. 9	99. 4	98.8	98. 5	99.0	98. 2	98.8	81. 4	81. 0	87.9	88. 5	88. 0	80. 9	83. 0	82.9	81. 9	82.6	82. 2	82. 4	87.1	86. 0	77.8	87.4
4. Halorubrum sp. Ec15	91. 1	91. 1	89. 9	100	98. 7	99. 1	98.8	99. 1	98. 3	98.9	81. 5	80. 7	88. 2	88. 6	87.9	80. 8	82.7	82.8	81. 9	82. 8	82.6	82. 6	87.1	86. 1	77.6	87. 5
5. <i>Halorubrum</i> sp. Fb21	89. 1	89. 0	95.0	89.4	100	98. 7	98.4	98.8	98. 0	98.6	81. 2	80. 6	88. 0	88. 5	87.8	80. 8	82.8	82.6	82. 2	82.6	82. 3	82. 2	86.8	85. 9	77. 8	87. 3
6. <i>Halorubrum</i> sp. G37	91. 1	90. 9	90. 4	92.0	89. 6	100	98. 7	99. 1	98. 3	98. 7	81. 3	80. 7	87.9	88. 5	87.9	80. 9	83. 0	83. 0	82. 1	82. 7	82.6	82. 7	87. 0	85. 8	77. 7	87. 3
7. <i>Halorubrum</i> sp. Ga2p	90. 0	89. 9	89. 1	92.6	88. 8	91. 3	100	98. 8	97. 9	98. 5	81. 1	80. 5	87. 7	88. 2	87.4	80. 5	82. 7	82. 7	81. 9	82. 5	82.1	82. 3	87.0	85.6	77.4	86.9
8. <i>Halorubrum</i> sp. Ga36	90. 6	90. 0	90. 8	91.9	89. 7	92.6	91.6	100	98. 3	98.8	81.6	80. 8	88. 0	88. 5	87.8	80. 7	83. 0	82.8	82. 0	82. 9	82. 5	82.4	87.3	86. 2	77. 7	87.4
9. Halorubrum sp. SD612	75. 2	74. 9	75. 1	. 75. 8	74. 2	75.6	75.8	75.5	100	98. 5	81. 5	80. 4	88. 2	88. 7	88.0	80. 9	83. 0	82. 5	82. 0	82. 8	82.6	82. 7	87. 2	86. 3	77. 7	87.9
10. Halorubrum sp. SD683	89. 4	89. 9	89. 3	90.6	88.6	90.6	89. 5	90. 0	76. 2	100	81. 2	80. 4	87.9	88. 7	87.8	80. 7	82. 7	82. 3	81. 8	82. 7	82.3	82.4	87.1	86. 1	77.4	87.4
11. Hrr. aidingense	25. 1	25. 0	25. 1	25.0	25. 0	25. 2	25.3	25. 0	25. 6	25. 1	100	80. 5	81. 7	81. 4	81. 7	80.6	83. 4	83. 3	83. 2	84. 0	83. 3	83. 2	81.6	81. 7	77. 7	81. 7
12. Hrr. aquaticum	25. 1	24. 8	25. 1	. 24. 8	24. 3	25. 2	25. 1	24.9	24. 6	24. 3	24. 9	100	80. 6	80. 7	80. 9	88. 2	81.4	81. 3	80. 8	81. 2	81. 2	81. 2	80. 4	80. 9	78. 4	80.6
13. Hrr. californiense	34. 9	34. 8	34. 9	34.9	35.0	35. 1	35. 1	34.9	35. 2	34. 8	25. 2	24. 5	100	88. 1	88.8	80. 7	83. 0	82.8	81. 9	82. 8	83. 0	82.4	87. 5	86. 5	78. 0	88.4
14. Hrr. coriense	35.8	35. 9	36. 0	36.1	35. 5	36. 1	36. 1	35.9	36. 0	36. 1	25. 3	24. 6	35.5	100	87. 3	81. 0	82.8	82.8	82. 2	83. 0	82. 7	82.6	86. 9	86. 3	77. 8	87. 3

15. Hrr. distributum	34. 6	34. 5	35. 0	34. 4	34. 3	34. 7	34. 6	34. 6	35. 5	34. 3	25. 4	25. 0	36. 6	33. 6	100	81. 4	83.6	83. 2	82. 7	83.6	82. 8	83. 2	88. 5	86. 7	78. 0	89. 3
16. Hrr. halodurans	24. 9	24. 8	25. 0	24. 6	24. 6	24. 8	24. 9	24. 6	24. 8	24. 6	24. 9	34. 9	24. 6	24. 6	25. 1	100	81. 4	81. 1	80. 6	81. 5	81. 2	81. 5	80. 8	81. 0	78. 4	80. 9
17. Hrr. halophilum	27. 0	26. 9	27. 3	26. 6	26. 6	27. 2	26. 9	26. 7	26. 9	26. 4	27. 5	25.4	26. 7	26. 3	27. 5	25. 5	100	87.9	87.6	88.6	88. 0	88. 8	82. 7	83. 1	77. 8	83. 1
18. Hrr. kocurii	26. 2	26. 3	26. 9	26. 4	26. 3	26. 7	26. 6	26. 6	26. 4	26. 0	27.7	25. 3	26. 6	26. 7	26. 7	24. 9	34. 7	100	87. 3	89. 4	87.4	87.6	82.6	82. 6	77. 9	83. 2
19. Hrr. lacusprofundi	25. 3	25. 4	25. 5	25. 3	25. 5	25.4	25.6	25. 5	25. 6	25. 3	27. 2	24. 4	25.6	25. 3	26. 0	24. 3	34. 0	33. 4	100	88. 3	86.9	87.6	82. 2	82. 0	77. 8	82. 3
20. Hrr. lipolyticum	26. 3	26. 2	26. 5	26. 4	26. 2	26. 5	26. 7	26. 4	26. 8	26. 2	28. 2	25. 3	26. 6	26. 5	27. 2	25. 1	36. 5	38. 5	34. 9	100	87.8	88.6	83. 1	82. 9	78. 1	83. 0
21. Hrr. persicum	26. 3	26. 1	26. 3	26. 1	26. 1	26. 2	26. 5	26. 1	26. 6	26. 3	27. 3	25. 1	26. 6	26. 1	26. 6	25. 1	34. 7	33. 8	33. 1	34. 7	100	87. 8	82.6	82. 7	78. 1	82. 8
22. Hrr. saccharovorum	26. 2	26. 3	26. 2	26. 3	26. 1	26. 2	26. 5	26. 1	26. 7	26. 3	27.4	25. 0	26. 2	26. 1	26. 8	25. 7	36. 9	34. 7	34. 2	36. 2	34. 5	100	82. 7	82. 9	77. 8	82. 8
23. Hrr. sodomense	32. 7	32. 8	32. 7	32.6	32. 4	32.8	32. 7	32.8	33. 5	32.6	25. 1	24.4	33. 8	32. 5	35.6	24. 7	26. 3	26. 3	25. 4	26. 6	26. 1	26. 2	100	86. 5	77. 5	87.8
24. Hrr. tebenquichense	30. 9	30. 8	30. 8	30. 7	30. 5	30. 8	30. 8	30. 6	31.6	30. 9	25. 3	24. 9	31. 3	31. 3	32. 0	24. 8	26. 6	26. 2	25. 5	26. 4	26. 1	26. 2	31.4	100	77. 5	86. 5
25. Hrr. vacuolatum	22. 7	22. 8	22. 7	22. 5	22. 5	22.6	22. 9	22.6	22. 6	22. 3	22. 6	23. 3	22. 8	22. 6	22. 8	23. 2	22. 8	23. 1	23. 3	23. 0	23. 1	23. 0	22. 3	22. 7	100	77.8
26. Hrr. xinjiangensis	33. 4	33. 4	33. 6	33. 5	33. 3	33. 8	33. 7	33. 7	34. 6	33. 5	25. 5	24. 6	35. 7	33. 4	37. 2	24. 9	26. 7	26. 7	25. 5	26. 8	26. 4	26. 4	34. 2	31. 5	22.9	100

510 **Table 2.** Differential features among *Halorubrum ezzemoulense* DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>, *Halorubrum chaoviator* DSM 19316<sup>T</sup> and the eight new strains, as well as the

- 511 type species of the genus *Halorubrum*, *Hrr. saccharovorum* JCM 8865<sup>T</sup>.
- 512 Taxa: 1; Halorubrum ezzemoulense DSM 17463<sup>T</sup>; 2, Halorubrum chaoviator DSM 19316<sup>T</sup>; 3, strain C191; 4, strain Ec15; 5, strain Fb21; 6, strain G37; 7,
- 513 strain Ga2p; 8, strain Ga36; 9, strain SD612; 10, strain SD683; 11, *Halorubrum saccharovorum* JCM 8865<sup>T</sup>.

514	All data are fro	m this study.	+, Positive; -	, negative; ND,	not determined.
-----	------------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------

Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
NaCl (% w/v) range	15-25	20-30	15-30	15-30	20-30	15-30	20-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	10–30
Optimum NaCl (% w/v)	20	20	25	25	25	25	25	25	20	20	25
Range of pH	6.5-9.0	7.0-8.0	7.0-8.0	7.0-8.0	7.0-8.0	7.0-8.0	7.0-8.0	7.0-8.0	6.5-8.0	6.5-8.0	6.5-8.0
Optimum pH	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.0
Range of temperature (°C)	25-45	25-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	30-45
Optimum temperature (°C)	40	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	40
Mg <sup>2+</sup> requirement	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
Nitrate reduction	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Starch hydrolysis	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indole production	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Utilization as sole carbon											
and energy source of:											
D- Arabinose	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
D-Fructose	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D-Galactose	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
D-Mannose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
Maltose	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
Melezitose	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	ND
Lactose	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+

Salicin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Sucrose	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Glycerol	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>m</i> -Inositol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	ND
D-Mannitol	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Methanol	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acetate	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Citrate	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fumarate	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Succinate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
DNA G+C content (mol%,	66.6	66.5	66.0	67.7	69.3	67.0	67.8	67.7	70.1	69.0	69.9*
genome)											

<sup>\*</sup>Value obtained from the genome of *Halorubrum saccharovorum* DSM 1137<sup>T</sup>.