

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 *This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is*
2 *subject to Springer Nature's [AM terms of use](#), but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-*
3 *acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at:*
4 *<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10792-020-01381-w>*

2

3

4 **Title**

5 Anterior chamber angle width, central vault and intraocular pressure changes after twelve months of Visian
6 collamer lens implantation.

4

5 **Authors**

- 6 1. Sánchez-González, José-María OD, PhD ^{a b}
- 7 2. Alonso-Aliste, Federico, MD ^b
- 8 3. Perea-Peña, Gracia, OD ^a
- 9 4. Rachwani-Anil, Rahul MD ^d
- 10 5. Márquez-de-Aracena-del-Cid, Rafael, MD PhD ^c
- 11 6. Rocha-de-Lossada, Carlos, MD ^{d e}

12

13 ^a Department of Physics of Condensed Matter, Optics Area. University of Seville, Seville, Spain.

14 ^b Department of Ophthalmology. TecnoLaser Clinic Vision, Seville, Spain.

15 ^c Department of Surgery, Ophthalmology Area. University of Seville, Seville, Spain.

16 ^d Department of Ophthalmology, Regional University Hospital of Malaga, Malaga, Spain.

17 ^e Department of Ophthalmology, Clinic Barcelona Hospital, Barcelona, Spain.

18

19 **Corresponding author**

20 Sánchez-González, José-María

21 Reina Mercedes Street, University of Seville

22 +34 618204110 / jsanchez80@us.es

23

24 Conflict of Interest: Author José-María Sánchez-González declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author
25 Federico Alonso-Aliste declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Gracia-Perea-Peña declares that she
26 has no conflict of interest. Author Rafael Márquez-de-Aracena-del-Cid declares that he has no conflict of interest.
27 Author Rahul Rachwani-Anil declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Carlos Rocha-de-Lossada
28 declares that he has no conflict of interest.

30 Abstract

31 Purpose: To evaluate one-year changes of vault, anterior chamber angle width (ACAW) and intraocular pressure
32 (IOP) after the implantation of Implantable Collamer Lens (ICL) V4c in high myopic patients.

33

34 Methods: Sixty ICL V4c implantation surgeries were included. Patients underwent surgery between January 2014
35 and June 2017 at Ophthalmology Center Tecolaser Clinic Vision®, Seville, Spain. All patients had a twelve-
36 month follow-up. A preoperative spherical equivalent between - 4.00 diopters (D) and -15.00 D was established
37 as inclusion criterion. ACAW and vault were measured with Pentacam single rotation Scheimpflug camera
38 (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). IOP was measured with CORVIS ST (Oculus Optikgeräte
39 GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

40

41 Results: One day vault was 553.17 ± 169.80 [268 – 975] μm , 12 months vault was 515.67 ± 176.46 [169 – 950]
42 μm ($p < 0.01$). Preoperative ACAW was $36.85^\circ \pm 4.60^\circ$ [26.7° – 46.8°], postoperative ACAW was $25.98^\circ \pm 3.85^\circ$
43 [16.4° – 32.6°] ($p < 0.01$). Preoperative IOP was 15.37 ± 1.58 [12.0 – 18.3] mmHg, postoperative IOP was 15.61
44 ± 1.60 [12.0 – 19.0] mm Hg ($p = 0.35$).

45

46 Conclusions: ICL V4c lens experienced a progressive reduction of vault after twelve months follow-up. ACAW
47 decrease was significant between preoperative and postoperative value. We propose to include a minimum
48 preoperative ACAW and an IOP correction factor for lens size.

49

50 Keywords

51 Implantable collamer lens; anterior chamber angle width; central vault; intraocular phakic lens; posterior chamber
52 phakic lens; intraocular pressure

53 **Declaration**

54 **Funding:** No funding obtained

55 **Conflicts of interest/Competing interests:** Authors declare no conflict of interest

56 **Ethics approval:** International Review Board approved this Research

57 **Consent to participate:** All patient read, understand and sign inform consent

58 **Consent for publication:** All authors declare positive consent for publication

59 **Availability of data and material:** Data is available on demand to corresponding

60 **Code availability:** N/A

61

62 **Acknowledgments**

63 The authors acknowledge the support offered by the members of the Faculty of Pharmacy of the University of
64 Seville as well as the staff of the Degree in Optics and Optometry. Data collection and support help: Amián-
65 Cordero, Jonatan; Hernández-Barahona, Mariano; Castellanos-Gómez, Ignacio; Socas-Priego, Luisa and López-
66 Martos, Nino

67

68

69

70 Introduction

71 Posterior chamber Implantable Collamer Lens (ICL), has been described as a safe and effective[1–5] surgery
72 technique in high myopia correction. Conventional ablation techniques confer excellent results in visual acuity,
73 however the risk of corneal ectasia due to excessive removal of corneal tissue remains as a potential severe
74 complication. ICL appears as an alternative in patients who are not suitable for laser ablation of the corneal
75 surface. Despite being an effective treatment and offering the advantage of being a reversible technique, there are
76 several complications that can considerably worsen the results. Increased Intraocular Pressure (IOP) as a result of
77 pupillary block[6] and the development of anterior subcapsular cataracts[7] due to mechanical friction of the ICL
78 lens with crystalline lens are some of the most frequent complications.[8, 9]

79

80 Vault is the distance between the anterior face of the crystalline lens and the posterior face of the iris. An excess
81 vault ($>750\ \mu\text{m}$) contributes to angular narrowing and, subsequently, IOP increase and risk of glaucoma. An
82 insufficient vault ($<250\ \mu\text{m}$) increases friction risk against the anterior face of the crystalline.[9] This parameter
83 may change over time.[5, 10–14] Previous studies had shown an inverse proportional relationship between vault
84 and iridocorneal angle.[15–17] Efficacy of ICL in the refractive correction has been evaluated, with follow-up at
85 one month, 6 months and 18 months after implantation. Recently, new ICL models have been designed with
86 advanced technology that prevent complications such as IOP increase, avoiding the performance of intraoperative
87 iridectomies.[6, 18] V4c collamer lens (ICL V4c) includes a 0.36 mm diameter central hole, called KS-
88 AquaPORT, allowing aqueous humor flow through the ICL anterior face. Currently, intraoperative complications
89 have reduced, however they are still frequent in the post-operative period.[6]

90

91 The purpose of the present study was to describe changes in vault, ACAW and IOP after the implantation of ICL
92 V4c for correcting high myopia after twelve months follow-up.

93

94 **Materials and Methods**

95

96 *Design*

97 Sixty ICL V4c implantation surgeries were included in this retrospective, observational and longitudinal study.
98 Patients underwent surgery between January 2014 and June 2017. All phakic lens surgeries were performed at the
99 facilities of the Ophthalmology Center Tecnolaser Clinic Vision[®], Seville, Spain. All patients had a 12-month
100 follow-up.

101101

102 *Ethical aspects*

103 All patients included in this work were adequately informed verbally and in writing of the benefits, characteristics
104 and risks of surgeries. All patients signed a consent form prior to the surgery and after the interview performed
105 with the ophthalmologist. This study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration and
106 obtained Institutional Review Board exemption.

107107

108 *Patients*

109 Patients voluntarily went to the clinic to perform the tests. Next, an ophthalmologist determined their suitability
110 for surgery, they underwent ICL refractive surgery voluntarily. The inclusion criteria were; (1) age between 20
111 and 45 years, (2) a stable refraction for at least 2 years, (3) presence of myopia in spherical equivalent between –
112 4.00 D and – 15.00 D, (4) presence of astigmatism between 0.00 D and – 5.00 D and (5) non-candidates for laser
113 refractive surgery. The exclusion criteria comprised; (6) anterior chamber depth from endothelium \leq 2.8 mm, (7)
114 endothelial cell density \leq 2000 cells per mm², (8) hyperopia, (9) a family history of glaucoma, (10) inflammatory
115 eye disease (keratitis, herpes zoster, ocular herpes), (11) previous eye surgery or (12) any kind of corneal disease
116 (keratoconus, acute or chronic corneal infection, ocular herpes, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, glaucoma, cataract,
117 uveitis or retinal detachment). ICL power and size were calculated with STAAR[®] Surgical software.

118118

119119

120 *Preoperative Examinations*

121 Before the implantation of the ICL (Visian ICL [V4c] STAAR® Surgical, Monrovia, CA), patients underwent a
122 thorough preoperative examination performed by licensed and expert optometrists, including: uncorrected
123 distance visual acuity (UDVA) and corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) in Snellen scale, manifest refraction
124 with and without cycloplegia (refraction method of the first and second maximum positive). Astigmatism was
125 assessed by the Jackson cross cylinder method. These data were checked with a Wavefront Supported Custom
126 Ablation (WASCA) and an autorefractor-aberrometer (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Binocular vision
127 and accommodation were assessed in all patients. Corneal topography, white to white (WTW), anterior chamber
128 angle width (ACAW) and anterior chamber depth (ACD) were measured and later analyzed with Pentacam single
129 rotation Scheimpflug camera (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). IOP and corneal biomechanics
130 were measured with CORVIS ST (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). Pachymetry, epithelial
131 thickness, retinal optical coherence tomography and measurement of the distance from the posterior side of the
132 ICL to the anterior face of the crystalline lens (vault) were measured using spectral domain optical coherence
133 tomography (SD-OCT) (Optovue Inc., Fremont, CA). WTW and ACD were confirmed with a conventional optical
134 biometry (PCI Technology, IOL Master 500, Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). In uncertain ACD, a third
135 measurement was carried out with an anterior segment optical coherence tomography (Visante OCT, Carl Zeiss
136 Meditec AG, Germany). Finally, endothelial cell density (ECD) was measured with CEM-530 non-contact
137 specular microscopy (Nidek Co Ltd, Japan).

138138

139 *Surgical technique*

140 All surgeries were performed by two experienced surgeons (F.A.A and A.S.J). Pupils were dilated with
141 cycloplegic and phenylephrine every 15 minutes one hour prior to surgery. Eye contour was disinfected with 5%
142 povidone-iodine (Betadine; Meda Manufacturing, Bordeaux, France) 10 minutes prior to surgery. Peribulbar
143 anesthesia was carried out. After injecting 1% sodium hyaluronate in the anterior chamber (Provisc; Alcon
144 Laboratories Inc, from Fort Worth, TX) ICL was implanted via a 3.0 mm corneal incision in the most curved
145 meridian. Sodium hyaluronate was removed before finalizing the surgery. Postoperative treatment consisted of
146 tobramycin and dexamethasone at 0.1% drops (Tobradex Alcon Laboratories Inc) and diclofenac sodium drops
147 (Voltaren; Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Basel, Switzerland) ever six hours for the first 15 days. Posteriorly, the
148 treatment was tapered. All cases were bilateral implantations. The second eye was conducted a week after the first

149 surgery. All ICL were spherical and, in case of residual astigmatism, femtosecond Laser-assisted in situ
150 Keratomileusis (femto-LASIK) was performed to correct the remaining refraction. Flap was performed using
151 VisuMax Femtosecond Laser System (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Laser pulses were applied with a
152 pulse energy of approximately 130 nJ. The frequency of the laser was 500 KHz. The line and spot distance was
153 4.5 μm . The raster pattern was circular. The estimated flap thickness was 100 μm , and the flap diameter was 8.5
154 mm. Excimer laser was performed with MEL 90 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany), an argon-fluoride
155 solid-state laser. Excimer laser wavelength was 193 nm and fixation laser wavelength was 532 nm. Regarding
156 spot scanning parameters, beam dimensions were 0.7 mm FWHM (full width at half maximum) with a Gaussian
157 beam profile.

158158

159 *Statistical analysis*

160 Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS statistics 25.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Visual
161 acuity data was converted into Snellen format. Effect size was calculated using Cohen's d. Paired *t* test was used
162 for normally distributed data. We carried out correlation analysis between preoperative and postoperative patients.
163 A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

164164

165165

166 Results

167 Refractive Outcomes

168 This study included 60 eyes belonging to 30 subjects (15 females and 15 males). Mean age was 30.10 ± 6.70 [20
169 – 45] years. Mean preoperative spherical refraction was -9.04 ± 2.09 [-13.25 – -4.00] D. Mean preoperative
170 cylindrical refraction was -1.20 ± 1.36 [-5.00 – -0.50] D. Mean preoperative spherical equivalent was calculated
171 as sum of the sphere and half of the cylinder and was -9.64 ± 2.26 [-15.00 – -4.75] D. Mean CDVA was $0.84 \pm$
172 0.14 [0.50 – 1.00] in decimal scale.

173 Mean postoperative spherical refraction was 0.08 ± 0.47 [-0.75 – + 1.00] D, with a difference of -9.12 ± 2.20 D
174 compared to the preoperative status. The reduction in spherical refraction was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$),
175 with a large effect size of 5.42 according to Cohen's d. Mean postoperative cylindrical refraction was -0.26 ± 0.66
176 [-2.00 – + 1.25] D, with a difference of -0.94 ± 1.60 D compared to the preoperative status. The reduction in
177 astigmatism was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) with a large effect size of 0.87 according to Cohen's d. Residual
178 astigmatism after spherical ICL V4c was treated with femto-LASIK. Mean postoperative spherical equivalent was
179 -0.47 ± 0.50 [-1.00 – +1.38] D with a difference of -9.59 ± 2.45 D compared to the preoperative status. The
180 reduction in spherical equivalent was significantly statistical ($p < 0.01$), with a large effect size of 5.86 according
181 to Cohen's d. Decimal mean postoperative UDVA was 0.97 ± 0.16 [0.60 – 1.50]. The increase in visual acuity
182 was 0.12 ± 0.20 in decimal scale, being statistically significant ($p < 0.01$), with a large effect size of 0.87 according
183 to Cohen's d. The correlation analysis between these samples was not relevant nor statistically significant.

184184

185185

186 Anterior Chamber Changes

187 One day vault was 553.17 ± 169.80 [268 – 975] μm and decreased to 515.67 ± 176.46 [169 – 950] μm twelve
188 months after surgery. This means a reduction of 37.50 ± 29.10 μm ($p < 0.01$), with a small effect size of 0.22
189 according to Cohen's d. Mean preoperative ACAW was $36.85^\circ \pm 4.60^\circ$ [26.7° – 46.8°] and decreased to 25.98°
190 $\pm 3.85^\circ$ [16.4° – 32.6°] twelve months after the surgery, suffering a reduction of $10.86^\circ \pm 3.42^\circ$ ($p < 0.01$), with
191 a large effect size of 2.56 according to Cohen's d. Preoperative IOP was 15.37 ± 1.58 [12.0 – 18.3] mm Hg and
192 increased to 15.61 ± 1.60 [12.0 – 19.0] mm Hg twelve months after surgery. This implies an increase of IOP of
193 0.24 ± 1.99 mm Hg ($p = 0.35$), although not being statistically significant and with an insignificant effect size of

194 0.15 according to Cohen's d. Correlation analysis between these samples was not relevant nor statistically
195 significant.

196196

197 Standardized partial regression coefficient (β) was calculated for all possible matches among all variables.
198 Preoperative intraocular pressured (IOP) had a positive correlation with twelve-months postoperative vault ($r =$
199 0.277 , $p = 0.03$). Preoperative spherical equivalent had a positive correlation with preoperative CDVA ($r = 0.26$,
200 $p < 0.05$) and a negative correlation with postoperative spherical equivalent ($r = - 0.29$, $p < 0.05$), one-day and
201 twelve months vault ($r = - 0.31$, $p < 0.05$ and $r = -0.315$, $p = 0.01$) and preoperative IOP ($r = - 0.28$, $p = 0.02$).
202 Postoperative spherical equivalent proved a positive correlation with one-day vault ($r = 0.319$, $p < 0.05$) and 12-
203 months vault ($r = 0.323$, $p < 0.05$), and negative correlation with age ($r = - 0.47$, $p < 0.01$). One-day and 12 months
204 vault obtained negative correlation with preoperative cylinder ($r = - 0.37$ and -0.36 , $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.01$,
205 respectively).

206206

207207

208 Discussion

209209

210 The results of our study demonstrated that vault reduced at twelve months after surgery compared to one-day
211 postoperative vault. We also found a reduction in ACAW between preoperative and postoperative value. In
212 addition, positive correlation was found between preoperative IOP and twelve months postoperative vault. Visual
213 outcomes provide efficacy and safety for the ICL V4c lens.[19]

214214

215 The amount of vault reduction at one year after surgery ($37.50 \pm 29.10 \mu\text{m}$) showed comparable results to those
216 reported by Alfonso et al.[11] Similar results have been reported with the non-hole V4 lens.[10] The washing of
217 residual viscoelastic agent and the final lens position are possible explanations to the vault variations.[10, 11]
218 Moreover, Alfonso et al.[11] stated that greater vault reductions occur in cases with higher postoperative vaults.
219 In addition, Kojima et al.[20] described that low and intermediate postoperative vaults experienced less reduction
220 than higher postoperative vaults. Larger ACD showed greater postoperative vault.[11, 12] Higher spherical
221 equivalent resulted in lower vaults. The correlation found in our study between the pre-operative spherical
222 equivalent and the postoperative vault ($r = -0.315$, $p = 0.14$) supports this statement. ACD reduction has been
223 previously reported[17, 21] and it is stable over time.[22] Confirming this theory, Qi et al.[13] additionally stated
224 that low ACD showed low vault values. This ACD reduction implies a reduction in ACAW (Figure 3).[16] It is
225 necessary to set a minimum preoperative angle value before ICL implantation. Our results revealed an ACAW
226 reduction of 10.86° ($p < 0.01$). Elmohamady et al.[16] reported a reduction of 11.61° and Eissa et al.[17] of 14.65° .
227 According to the Schaffer classification,[23, 24] an ACAW under 20° indicates a risk situation.

228228

229 The ICL hole avoids central anterior crystalline opacity since aqueous humor can flow through the lens.[25] While
230 Eissa et al.[17] reported an increase in IOP with V4c lens, other authors[6, 11, 16, 25] and our work found no
231 significant changes in IOP. According to our results, there is a positive correlation between preoperative IOP and
232 postoperative vault. In cases with high IOP, aqueous humor production in the ciliary processes would exert a
233 pressure on the posterior lens face. Patients with higher preoperative IOP had higher vaults. This finding had not
234 been previously reported in the scientific literature. We propose that STAAR[®] Surgical formula requires an IOP
235 correction factor. [26] Preoperative IOP data would have an effect in the final size lens calculation using the online

236 software. The number of eyes studied in this paper are insufficient to establish a correction factor. Within the
237 limitations, amount of lens rise, sulcus to sulcus dimension and correlation between ICL size with these parameters
238 were not evaluated. Since we did not have access to ultrasound microscopy technology. Future research should
239 be aimed at evaluating sulcus to sulcus (STS) dimension by ultrasound microscopy (UBM).

240240

241 In conclusion, ICL V4c lens experienced a progressive reduction of vault at twelve months after surgery and a
242 reduction in ACAW was detected between preoperative and postoperative value. We propose to include a
243 minimum required preoperative ACAW and an IOP correction factor on lens size calculation.

244244

245 Compliance with Ethical Standards:

246 Funding: No funding

247 Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the
248 ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration
249 and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

250 Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

251 References

- 252 1. Alfonso JF, Baamonde B, Fernández-Vega L, et al (2011) Posterior chamber collagen copolymer phakic
253 intraocular lenses to correct myopia: five-year follow-up. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 37:873–80 . doi:
254 10.1016/j.jcrs.2010.11.040
- 255 2. Alfonso JF, Lisa C, Fernández-Vega Cueto L, et al (2013) Clinical outcomes after implantation of a
256 posterior chamber collagen copolymer phakic intraocular lens with a central hole for myopic correction.
257 *J Cataract Refract Surg* 39:915–21 . doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2013.01.036
- 258 3. Alfonso JF, Lisa C, Alfonso-Bartolozzi B, et al (2014) Collagen copolymer toric phakic intraocular lens
259 for myopic astigmatism: one-year follow-up. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 40:1155–62 . doi:
260 10.1016/j.jcrs.2013.11.034
- 261 4. Lisa C, Alfonso JF, Alfonso-Bartolozzi B, et al (2015) Collagen copolymer posterior chamber phakic
262 intraocular lens supported by the ciliary sulcus to treat myopia: one-year follow-up. *J Cataract Refract*
263 *Surg* 41:98–104 . doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2014.05.036
- 264 5. Lisa C, Naveiras M, Alfonso-Bartolozzi B, et al (2015) Posterior chamber collagen copolymer phakic
265 intraocular lens with a central hole to correct myopia: One-year follow-up. *J Cataract Refract Surg*
266 41:1153–9 . doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2014.10.030
- 267 6. Gonzalez-Lopez F, Bilbao-Calabuig R, Alen R, Mompean B (2017) Pupillary block glaucoma
268 secondary to central port occlusion following insertion of a phakic implantable copolymer lens. *J*
269 *Cataract Refract Surg* 43:1468–1470 . doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2017.10.018
- 270 7. Khalifa YM, Moshirfar M, Mifflin MD, et al (2010) Cataract development associated with collagen
271 copolymer posterior chamber phakic intraocular lenses: clinicopathological correlation. *J Cataract*
272 *Refract Surg* 36:1768–74 . doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2010.04.039
- 273 8. Fernandes P, González-Méijome JM, Madrid-Costa D, et al (2011) Implantable collamer posterior
274 chamber intraocular lenses: a review of potential complications. *J Refract Surg* 27:765–76 . doi:
275 10.3928/1081597X-20110617-01
- 276 9. Córdoba A, Graue-Hernández EO, Gómez-Bastar A, Navas A (2019) Long-term follow-up of persistent
277 low vault after implantable collamer lens exchange. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. doi:

- 278 10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.09.032
- 279 10. Kamiya K, Shimizu K, Kawamorita T (2009) Changes in vaulting and the effect on refraction after
280 phakic posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 35:1582–6 . doi:
281 10.1016/j.jcrs.2009.03.052
- 282 11. Alfonso JF, Fernández-Vega L, Lisa C, et al (2012) Long-term evaluation of the central vault after
283 phakic Collamer® lens (ICL) implantation using OCT. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 250:1807–
284 12 . doi: 10.1007/s00417-012-1957-0
- 285 12. Alfonso JF, Fernández-Vega L, Lisa C, et al (2012) Central vault after phakic intraocular lens
286 implantation: correlation with anterior chamber depth, white-to-white distance, spherical equivalent, and
287 patient age. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 38:46–53 . doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2011.07.035
- 288 13. Qi M-Y, Chen Q, Zeng Q-Y (2017) The Effect of the Crystalline Lens on Central Vault After
289 Implantable Collamer Lens Implantation. *J Refract Surg* 33:519–523 . doi: 10.3928/1081597X-
290 20170602-02
- 291 14. Kato S, Shimizu K, Igarashi A (2019) Vault Changes Caused by Light-Induced Pupil Constriction and
292 Accommodation in Eyes With an Implantable Collamer Lens. *Cornea* 38:217–220 . doi:
293 10.1097/ICO.0000000000001785
- 294 15. Chung T-Y, Park SC, Lee MO, et al (2009) Changes in iridocorneal angle structure and trabecular
295 pigmentation with STAAR implantable collamer lens during 2 years. *J Refract Surg* 25:251–8
- 296 16. Elmohamady MN, Abdelghaffar W (2017) Anterior Chamber Changes After Implantable Collamer
297 Lens Implantation in High Myopia Using Pentacam: A Prospective Study. *Ophthalmol Ther* 6:343–349 .
298 doi: 10.1007/s40123-017-0109-3
- 299 17. Eissa SA, Sadek SH, El-Deeb MWAA (2016) Anterior Chamber Angle Evaluation following Phakic
300 Posterior Chamber Collamer Lens with CentraFLOW and Its Correlation with ICL Vault and Intraocular
301 Pressure. *J Ophthalmol* 2016:1383289 . doi: 10.1155/2016/1383289
- 302 18. Higuera-Esteban A, Ortiz-Gomariz A, Gutiérrez-Ortega R, et al (2013) Intraocular pressure after
303 implantation of the Visian Implantable Collamer Lens With CentraFLOW without iridotomy. *Am J*
304 *Ophthalmol* 156:800–5 . doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2013.05.018

- 305 19. Huseynova T, Ozaki S, Ishizuka T, et al (2014) Comparative study of 2 types of implantable collamer
306 lenses, 1 with and 1 without a central artificial hole. *Am J Ophthalmol* 157:1136–43 . doi:
307 10.1016/j.ajo.2014.01.032
- 308 20. Kojima T, Maeda M, Yoshida Y, et al (2010) Posterior chamber phakic implantable collamer lens:
309 changes in vault during 1 year. *J Refract Surg* 26:327–32 . doi: 10.3928/1081597X-20090617-11
- 310 21. Zhu Y, Zhu H, Jia Y, Zhou J (2018) Changes in anterior chamber volume after implantation of posterior
311 chamber phakic intraocular lens in high myopia. *BMC Ophthalmol* 18:185 . doi: 10.1186/s12886-018-
312 0830-2
- 313 22. Fernández-Vigo JI, Macarro-Merino A, Fernández-Vigo C, et al (2017) Impacts of Implantable
314 Collamer Lens V4c Placement on Angle Measurements Made by Optical Coherence Tomography: Two-
315 Year Follow-up. *Am J Ophthalmol* 181:37–45 . doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2017.06.018
- 316 23. Emre S, Palamar M, Ulusoy MO, Gençođlan G (2012) Ciliary body cysts in neurofibromatosis: A new
317 coexistence? *Graefe’s Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 250:857–861 . doi: 10.1007/s00417-011-1830-6
- 318 24. Boundaoui ON, Woodruff TE (2016) Presumed Furosemide-associated Bilateral Angle-Closure
319 Glaucoma. *J Glaucoma* 25:e748–e750 . doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000000430
- 320 25. Chen X, Miao H, Naidu RK, et al (2016) Comparison of early changes in and factors affecting vault
321 following posterior chamber phakic Implantable Collamer Lens implantation without and with a central
322 hole (ICL V4 and ICL V4c). *BMC Ophthalmol* 16:161 . doi: 10.1186/s12886-016-0336-8
- 323 26. Nakamura T, Isogai N, Kojima T, et al (2018) Implantable Collamer Lens Sizing Method Based on
324 Swept-Source Anterior Segment Optical Coherence Tomography. *Am J Ophthalmol* 187:99–107 . doi:
325 10.1016/j.ajo.2017.12.015

326

327

328 Figure legends

329 Figure 1. Preoperative and postoperative anterior chamber angle width (ACAW) of one case included in the study.

330330

