Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 166 (2017) 36-44

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Environmental Radioactivity

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jenvrad

Estimating the impact from Fukushima in Southern Spain by ¹³¹I and Accelerator Mass Spectrometry detection of ¹²⁹I

J.M. Gómez-Guzmán^a, J.M. López-Gutiérrez^{b, c, *}, R. García-Tenorio^{b, d}, L. Agulló^b, J.I. Peruchena^b, G. Manjón^d, M. García-León^{b, e}

^a Technische Universität München, Fakultät für Physik, James-Franck-Strasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

^b Centro Nacional de Aceleradores (Universidad de Sevilla, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Junta de Andalucía), Thomas Alva Edison 7, 41092 Seville Spain

^c Universidad de Sevilla, Departamento de Física Aplicada I, Escuela Universitaria Politécnica, Virgen de África 7, 41011 Seville, Spain

^d Universidad de Sevilla, Departamento de Física Aplicada II, E.T.S. Arquitectura, Av. Reina Mercedes, 2, 41012 Seville, Spain

^e Universidad de Sevilla, Departamento de Física Atómica Molecular y Nuclear, Av. Reina Mercedes s/n, Seville, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 December 2015 Received in revised form 3 March 2016 Accepted 8 March 2016 Available online 20 April 2016

Keywords: Environmental radioactivity Accelerator Mass Spectrometry Iodine-129 Fukushima

ABSTRACT

After the Fukushima accident, large amounts of radionuclides were discharged to the atmosphere. Some of them travelled long distances and were detected in places as far from Japan as Spain a few days after the accident. One of these radionuclides was ¹³¹I. Its isotope ¹²⁹I (T1/2 = 15.7×106 years) was also expected to follow the same pathway. In this work, we present the results for the ¹²⁹I concentration in the same atmospheric samples from Seville (Spain) where ¹³¹I activity was measured in 2011 by Baeza et al. (2012). ¹²⁹I concentrations in aerosol and gaseous samples showed concentrations in the order of 104 and 105 atoms/m³, typically higher in the gaseous form with respect to the aerosol form. Also ¹²⁹I in rainwater was measured, showing concentrations in the order of 10⁸ atoms/L. The results show a very good agreement with the ¹³¹I profile, showing that, if background from other sources is not relevant, it is possible to estimate the impact of similar events years after them thanks to the sensitivity of techniques like Accelerator Mass Spectrometry.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One of the most important radionuclides to consider after any nuclear accident is 131 I ($T_{1/2} = 8.02$ days), due to its high radiological toxicity. After the Fukushima accident (2011), relevant amounts of this and other radionuclides were detected in places as far from Japan as Spain during March and April 2011 (Baeza et al., 2012; Piñero García and Ferro García, 2012). However, after very little time, 131 I decays and no more analysis can be done to evaluate its impact in a certain zone. An alternative can be the study of its long-lived radioisotope 129 I.

 ^{129}I is a long-lived cosmogenic radionuclide ($T_{1/2}=15.7\times10^6$ years) for which the natural abundances have been altered in a significant way by human actions. Natural production processes lead to typical $^{129}I/^{127}I$ isotopic ratios between 10^{-12} (Kilius et al.,

E-mail address: lguti@us.es (J.M. López-Gutiérrez).

1992) and 6×10^{-13} (Roberts et al., 1997). The natural inventory of ¹²⁹I has been estimated to be ~230 kg, most of which resides in the deep oceans (Rao and Fehn, 1999). ¹²⁹I has also been produced anthropogenically during the last 60 years during fission processes associated with civil and military nuclear activities and accidents, such as Chernobyl (1986). An estimated 50–150 kg of ¹²⁹I were released to the atmosphere during the main period (1945–1964) of nuclear weapons testing (Wagner et al., 1996) and a further ~6 kg were released due to the Chernobyl accident (1986) (Gallagher et al., 2005).

However, the most significant contribution to the mobile ¹²⁹I inventory in the environment comes from succeeding activities of nuclear fuel reprocessing plants and, in particular, the liquid and gaseous releases from the two major European reprocessing facilities, namely NDA's plant at Sellafield (UK) and AREVA's plant at Cap de La Hague (France), which now account for >90% of the total global releases (Aldahan et al., 2007). Airborne releases from these facilities, and the fraction of their liquid ¹²⁹I releases volatilised from the ocean surface have been shown to be the main source of ¹²⁹I deposited over Europe (Keogh et al., 2010).







 $[\]ast\,$ Corresponding author. Centro Nacional de Aceleradores, c/Thomas Alva Edison, nº 7, 41008 Sevilla, Spain.

Several works have shown the possibility of estimating the ¹³¹I levels through the measurement of ¹²⁹I. For example, Mironov et al. (2002) already estimated ¹³¹I from ¹²⁹I in soils from Belarus due to the Chernobyl accident with a reasonable accuracy. Also Michel et al. (2015) have recently used ¹²⁹I in soils to carry out a retrospective dosimetry of ¹³¹I in Northern Ukraine.

In this work, we present the results for ¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I in atmospheric samples taken in Seville (Spain) during March and April 2011, when the radioactive plume from Fukushima arrived to Spain. The measured levels and the origin of the detected ¹²⁹I are discussed. The results suggest that, in this case, ¹²⁹I can also be helpful to estimate the ¹³¹I in environmental samples.

2. Sampling and radiochemical methods

¹²⁹I concentrations were measured in three kinds of samples: water (wet and dry deposition), polypropylene filters and activated charcoal filters. The radiochemical methods applied have already been detailed in previous publications, so only a brief description will be given here.

Rainwater samples are usually collected monthly at the roof of the Faculty of Physics at the University of Seville by a rounded 1 m diameter funnel. If no rain is collected for a period, the funnel is washed with 5 L of double-distilled water, so that the deposited particles are collected. However, during the days after the Fukushima accident, samples were taken more often (every two or three days) as a higher concentration of radionuclides was expected. Sample preparation is described in López Gutiérrez et al. (2000) with more detail, being identical for wet and dry deposition. Approximately 500 mL were used for ¹²⁹I sample preparation. In order to obtain ¹²⁹I concentrations, 2 mg of Woodward Iodine carrier were added to the sample. After this, NaOH and NaHSO3 were added so that the final concentrations are 0.05 M and 0.025 respectively. The samples were stored for one day and then acidified with 7 M HNO₃. After NaNO₂ addition, iodine is oxidized and re-extracted into CHCl₃. The sample is then reduced with NaHSO₃ and iodine is back-extracted into aqueous solution. Excess of S is eliminated by precipitation of Ba(SO₃)₂ by the addition of saturated Ba(NO₃)₂. Finally, iodine is precipitated as AgI, mixed with Nb powder and pressed into a Cu cathode for AMS measurement.

Polypropylene filters are expected to trap aerosols. A high flux pump (AEROSOL SAMPLING STATION ASS-500) passes air through a $40 \times 40 \text{ cm}^2$ polypropylene filter (type G3). As for water samples, weekly periodicity was changed to daily for the days after the Fukushima accident. Approximately 1/16 of each filter was used for ¹²⁹I concentration measurement. Typically, about 15,000 m³ were filtered per day, so the total amount of ¹²⁹I extracted was more than enough for ¹²⁹I measurement by AMS. The portion of polypropylene filter was cut into pieces and introduced into an extraction solution consisting of 0.05 M NAOH and 0.025 NaHSO₃ with continuous shaking, following the process described in Santos et al. (2005). At the same time, 2 mg Woodward Iodine carrier are added to the solution. After two days, the solution is filtered and the process is the same as the previously described for water samples.

The pump used for TEDA (Tri-ethylene diamine activated) charcoal filters has a much lower capacity than the one used for polypropylene filters, so samples were taken at least every four or five days after having filtered typically between 150 and 200 m³. The charcoal is then taken out of the cartridge and introduced in double distilled water together with 2 mL of a 1 mg/mL Woodward lodine carrier solution as explained in López Gutiérrez et al. (1999). The mix is stirred so that carrier is absorbed into the charcoal. Later, iodine is extracted from the charcoal through an extraction solution similar to the used for polypropylene filters. The following steps are exactly the same as for these ones.

3. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry

Details about the ¹²⁹I measurement can be found in Gómez-Guzmán et al. (2012a,b). Briefly, ¹²⁹I is extracted as I⁻, stripped to I³⁺ at 1 MV terminal voltage with 10% of overall transmission, and counted from the 2-anode signal provided by the gas ionization chamber. The minimum ¹²⁹I/¹²⁷I background ratio obtained for the Woodward Iodine (Woodward Iodine Corp., United States) is (1.887 ± 0.017) × 10⁻¹³. Typically, the background ratio is under 5×10^{-13} . For normalisation purposes, it is used a secondary standard named Q1 with a ¹²⁹I/¹²⁷I atom ratio of (4.667 ± 0.020) × 10⁻¹¹. It was prepared by dilution of the primary Standard Reference Material coded SRM-3231 supplied by the *National Institute of Standards and Technology* (NIST, United States), and calibrated at the ETH against other primary standards.

4. Results and discussion

 ^{129}I and ^{131}I concentrations, ^{129}I deposition (wet and dry) and $^{129}I/^{131}I$ atom ratios in polypropylene filters (for particulate iodine adsorption), wet and dry deposition and activated charcoal filters (for gaseous iodine adsorption) collected in Seville between 03/03/ 2011 and 28/04/2011 are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Concentrations 129₁ of in polypropylene filters ranged from $(1.925 \pm 0.099) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ obtained on March 3rd, to $(0.140 \pm 0.011) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³, achieved on April 10th. Activities of ¹³¹I had been previously published (Baeza et al., 2012) ranged from $(1740 + 40) \mu Bq/m^3$ obtained on March 28th and $(2.0 + 0.2) \mu Bq/m^3$ achieved on April 28th.

The concentration of ¹²⁹I in deposition samples ranged between a minimum of $(0.21 \pm 0.01) \times 10^8$ atoms/L and a maximum of $(1.60 \pm 0.04) \times 10^8$ atoms/L. Wet and dry deposition ranged from $(1.20 \pm 0.06) \times 10^8$ atoms/m² on March, 30th and $(0.63 \pm 0.03) \times 10^8$ atoms/m² on April 11th to $(7.98 \pm 0.23) \times 10^8$ atoms/m² and $(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$ atoms/m² on April 3rd, respectively.

Finally, ¹²⁹I concentration in activated charcoal filters ranged from a maximum of $(7.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ obtained on March 24th to a minimum of $(3.23 \pm 0.19) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ achieved five days later, on March 29th. In all cases the errors comprise both the errors introduced during the chemistry of the samples (for example, in weighting the samples) plus the errors due to the AMS measurements (statistical). To compare the atmospheric ¹²⁹I concentrations on a global scale, Table 2 presents a comparison of ¹²⁹I levels in atmospheric samples from other locations worldwide.

For example, Wershofen and Aumann (1989) reported results obtained in polypropylene filters sampled during 1986 in Karlsruhe (Germany) at different distances from the reprocessing plant WAK (14 km and 0.7 km, respectively), showing a clear relation between nearness and ¹²⁹I concentration. Results reported by Doshi et al. (1987) and obtained in filters collected in 1987 at 1.8 km away from the reprocessing plant of Trombay (India), also show very high 129 I concentration of 1021×10^5 atoms/m³. This result is comparable with the reported by Wershofen and Aumann (1989). More recently Englund et al. (2010) reported ¹²⁹I in filters collected between 1983 and 2008 in Sweden, showing concentrations ranging $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ for those collected in the North to $(0.07-8.5) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ for those collected in the South. Their results indicate variability associated with generally higher values at near coastal sites compared to the inland ones (South sampling station is only 26 km away from the North Sea), and so this concentration is influenced by the ¹²⁹I transported from the sea to the atmosphere (Michel et al., 2012). Our group has previously reported ¹²⁹I concentrations in filters collected in Seville between 2001 and 2002 (Santos et al., 2006), ranging those concentrations

Table 1

¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I concentrations (measured), ¹²⁹I deposition (wet and dry, calculated) and ¹²⁹I/I³¹I atom ratios (calculated) in polypropylene filters and activated charcoal filters collected in Seville between 03/03/2011 and 28/04/2011. Atomic ratios ¹²⁹I/I³¹I are decay corrected to 15/03/2011. See the text for explanation.

| Polypropylene inters | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Sampling date | ¹²⁹ I (10 ⁴ atoms/m ³) | Error (10 ⁴ atoms/m ³) | ¹³¹ I (µBq/m ³) | Error (µBq/m ³) | ¹²⁹ I/ ¹³¹ I | Error | | |
| 03/03/2011 | 19.25 | 0.99 | | | | | | |
| 10/03/2011 | 2.74 | 0.17 | | | | | | |
| 17/03/2011 | | | 2.1 | 0.3 | | | | |
| 24/03/2011 | 4.52 | 0.27 | 620 | 12 | 48.3 | 3.0 | | |
| 28/03/2011 | 8.87 | 0.56 | 1740 | 40 | 22.9 | 1.5 | | |
| 29/03/2011 | 6.21 | 0.57 | 766 | 23 | 33.4 | 3.2 | | |
| 30/03/2011 | 6.13 | 0.79 | 644 | 20 | 36.0 | 4.8 | | |
| 31/03/2011 | 6.39 | 1.11 | 819 | 26 | 27.0 | 4.8 | | |
| 01/04/2011 | 4.13 | 0.31 | 857 | 25 | 15.3 | 1.2 | | |
| 02/04/2011 | 3.36 | 0.21 | 359 | 16 | 27.3 | 2.1 | | |
| 03/04/2011 | 4 01 | 0.43 | 401 | 32 | 267 | 3.6 | | |
| 04/04/2011 | 3 44 | 0.39 | 254 | 19 | 33.2 | 45 | | |
| 05/04/2011 | 6.00 | 0.65 | 446 | 9 | 30.3 | 33 | | |
| 06/04/2011 | 3.69 | 0.33 | 274 | 21 | 27.7 | 33 | | |
| 07/04/2011 | 6.47 | 0.35 | 239 | 16 | 51.2 | 4.4 | | |
| 07/04/2011 | 5.86 | 0.55 | 206 | 10 | J1.2 40.3 | 5.7 | | |
| 10/04/2011 | 1.40 | 0.11 | 40 | 7 | 52.6 | 0.9 | | |
| 12/04/2011 | 7.25 | 0.11 | 40 | 7 | 151 5 | 5.0 10.2 | | |
| 12/04/2011 | 7.23 E E C | 0.38 | 20 | 7 | 151,5 | 12.5 | | |
| 14/04/2011 | 12.40 | 0.29 | 20 25 | 2 | 139.4 | 13.2 | | |
| 10/04/2011 | 15.40 | 0.09 | 55 | 2 | 100.0 | 41.0 | | |
| 21/04/2011 | 1.57 | 0.09 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 196.0 | 41.8 | | |
| 28/04/2011 | 9.47 | 0.54 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 1443.0 | 172.0 | | |
| Wet and dry deposition | | | | | | | | |
| Sampling date | ¹²⁹ I (10 ⁷ atoms/L) | Error (10 ⁷ atoms/L) | Dep wet (10 ⁷ atoms/m ²) | Error (10 ⁷ atoms/m2) | Dep dry $(10^7 \text{ atoms}/\text{m}^2)$ | Error (10 ⁷ atoms/m2) | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 07/03/2011 | 8.56 | 0.46 | 68.50 | 3.65 | | | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 | 0.46 0.06 | 68.50 14.60 | 3.65 0.29 | | | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 | | | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 | | | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 | 16.40 | 3.21 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 | 16.40 | 3.21 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 | 16.40 | 3.21 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 07/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.64 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 08/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.20 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 07/04/2011 11/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 07/04/2011 11/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 07/04/2011 11/04/2011 12/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 06/04/2011 07/04/2011 11/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 07/04/2011 08/04/2011 11/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 Activated charce | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 Dal filters | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 07/04/2011 11/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 Activated charce Sampling date | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 Dal filters | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 Error (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 07/04/2011 11/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 Activated charce Sampling date 17/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 2.79 2.10 10.70 2.79 2.79 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 Error (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 0.20 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 07/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 13/04/2011 Activated charco Sampling date 17/03/2011 24/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 poal filters ¹²⁹ I (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 3.55 7.73 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 Error (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 0.20 1.12 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 30/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 07/04/2011 08/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 13/04/2011 22/03/2011 24/03/2011 29/03/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 bal filters 1 ²⁹ I (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 3.55 7.73 3.23 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 Error (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 0.20 1.12 0.19 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 08/04/2011 12/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 22/03/2011 24/03/2011 29/03/2011 02/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 bal filters 1 ²⁹ I (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 3.55 7.73 3.23 3.80 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 Error (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 0.20 1.12 0.19 0.62 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |
| 07/03/2011 23/03/2011 24/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 26/03/2011 01/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 03/04/2011 06/04/2011 08/04/2011 11/04/2011 12/04/2011 13/04/2011 Activated charco Sampling date 17/03/2011 29/03/2011 02/04/2011 | 8.56 2.92 5.51 7.60 2.74 2.41 2.17 3.49 15.96 3.07 2.45 6.07 2.45 6.07 2.58 2.10 10.70 2.79 bal filters 1 ²⁹ I (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 3.55 7.73 3.23 3.80 4.21 | 0.46 0.06 1.06 1.90 0.54 0.12 0.13 0.59 0.46 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.64 0.20 0.11 2.10 0.17 Error (10 ⁵ atoms/m ³) 0.20 1.12 0.19 0.62 0.69 | 68.50 14.60 27.60 38.00 12.00 79.80 30.40 53.70 | 3.65 0.29 5.30 9.52 0.59 2.28 3.19 10.50 | 16.40 9.76 27.90 10.70 11.00 10.30 6.30 9.76 | 3.21 0.57 4.72 0.51 0.67 0.79 0.34 0.59 | | |

 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³, and so being in very good agreement with the ones reported in this paper, even in absence of extraordinary impacts as the Fukushima accident.

Regarding deposition samples, Reithmeier et al. (2005) measured average ¹²⁹I concentrations in rainwater from Bavaria of (4.8–50) × 10⁸ atoms/L during 2003–2004. Also Persson et al. (2007) measured average ¹²⁹I concentrations in rainwater from Sweden of (10–57) × 10⁸ atoms/L during 2001–2002. Hou et al. (2009) reported average ¹²⁹I concentrations in rainwater from Denmark to be (0.28–5.63) × 10⁹ atoms/L during 2001–2006. With respect to southern locations in Europe, Buraglio et al. (2001) reported ¹²⁹I concentrations in rainwater from Italy of (1.1–9.4) × 10⁸ atoms/L during 1998–1999. López Gutiérrez et al. (2000) measured average ¹²⁹I concentrations in rainwater from Seville of (0.47–50) × 10⁸ atoms/L during 1996–1997. López-Gutiérrez et al. (2004) also measured average ¹²⁹I concentrations

in wet and dry deposition samples from Seville of $(0.22-10.78) \times 10^8$ atoms/L during 1998–1999. Finally, Gómez-Guzmán et al. (2012a,b) measured concentrations of ¹²⁹I ranging $(0.26-8.86) \times 10^8$ atoms/L in wet and dry deposition samples collected in Seville in the period 2005–2008.

Air filter samples show ¹²⁹I concentrations of 10⁵ atoms/m³, ranging $(3.23-7.73) \times 10^5$, slightly lower than those obtained by Nedveckaite and Filistowicz (1993) in Lithuania in 1982–1984. As reported by those authors, this ¹²⁹I concentration increased one order of magnitude after the Chernobyl accident. Our group has previously reported ¹²⁹I concentrations in air samples collected in Seville, ranging $(4.3-61) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ for samples collected in 1993–1994 and $(3.5-22) \times 10^5$ atoms/m³ for samples collected in 1998 (López-Gutiérrez et al., 2000, 2004). Those concentrations from the 90's are slightly higher than the ones obtained in this work.



Fig. 1. ¹²⁹ I and ¹³¹ I concentrations and ¹²⁹I/¹³¹ I atom ratio in polypropylene filters (top), ¹²⁹ I concentrations in charcoal activated filters (middle) and ¹²⁹ I concentration and depositions (wet and dry) in rainwater (bottom). Atomic ratio ¹²⁹I/¹³¹ I is decay corrected to 15/03/2011. See text for explanation. Vertical black line represents Fukushima accident.

Table 2

¹²⁹I concentrations reported in various locations worldwide.

| Gas (atoms/m³)Vilnius, Lithuania1982–1984 14×10^5 Nedveckaite and Filiston SevilleSeville1993–1994 $(4.3-61) \times 10^5$ López Gutiérrez et al., 2Seville1998 $(3.5-22) \times 10^5$ López-Gutiérrez et al., 2Seville2011 $(3.23-7.7) \times 10^5$ This workAerosol (atoms/m³)Richland, WA1965–1968 $(1040 \pm 240) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Different USA areas1965–1970 $(27 \pm 12) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Karlsruhe, 14 km from WAK19861513 $\times 10^5$ Wershofen and AumanrKarlsruhe, 0.7 km from WAK19868496 $\times 10^5$ Wershofen and AumanrYavne (Israel)19871021 $\times 10^5$ Doshi et al., 1987Trombay, India198771021 $\times 10^5$ Doshi et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
|---|------------|
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | icz (1993) |
| Seville1998 $(3.5-22) \times 10^5$ López-Gutiérrez et al., 2Seville2011 $(3.23-7.7) \times 10^5$ This workAerosol (atoms/m ³)Richland, WA1965–1968 $(1040 \pm 240) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Different USA areas1965–1970 $(27 \pm 12) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Karlsruhe, 14 km from WAK19861513 $\times 10^5$ Wershofen and AumanrKarlsruhe, 0.7 km from WAK19868496 $\times 10^5$ Wershofen and AumanrYavne (Israel)1986 $(260 \pm 80) \times 10^5$ Paul et al., 1987Trombay, India19871021 $\times 10^5$ Doshi et al., 1987South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | 00 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 04 |
| Aerosol (atoms/m³)Richland, WA1965–1968 $(1040 \pm 240) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Different USA areas1965–1970 $(27 \pm 12) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Karlsruhe, 14 km from WAK1986 1513×10^5 Wershofen and AumanrKarlsruhe, 0.7 km from WAK1986 8496×10^5 Wershofen and AumanrYavne (Israel)1986 $(260 \pm 80) \times 10^5$ Paul et al., 1987Trombay, India1987 1021×10^5 Doshi et al., 1987South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| Different USA areas1965–1970 $(27 \pm 12) \times 10^5$ Brauer et al., 1974Karlsruhe, 14 km from WAK1986 1513×10^5 Wershofen and AumantKarlsruhe, 0.7 km from WAK1986 8496×10^5 Wershofen and AumantYavne (Israel)1986 $(260 \pm 80) \times 10^5$ Paul et al., 1987Trombay, India1987 1021×10^5 Doshi et al., 1987South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| Karlsruhe, 14 km from WAK1986 1513×10^5 Wershofen and Aumani Karlsruhe, 0.7 km from WAK1986 8496×10^5 Wershofen and Aumani Wershofen and Aumani Yavne (Israel)Yavne (Israel)1986 $(260 \pm 80) \times 10^5$ Paul et al., 1987Trombay, India1987 1021×10^5 Doshi et al., 2010South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| Karlsruhe, 0.7 km from WAK1986 8496×10^5 Wershofen and Aumani Yavne (Israel)Yavne (Israel)1986 $(260 \pm 80) \times 10^5$ Paul et al., 1987Trombay, India1987 1021×10^5 Doshi et al., 1987South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | 1989 |
| Yavne (Israel)1986 $(260 \pm 80) \times 10^5$ Paul et al., 1987Trombay, India1987 1021×10^5 Doshi et al., 1987South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | 1989 |
| Trombay, India1987 1021×10^5 Doshi et al., 1987South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| South Sweden1983–2008 $(0.4-40.4) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| North Sweden1983–2008 $(0.07-8.9) \times 10^5$ Englund et al., 2010Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001–2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| Vienna, Austria2001 $(0.4 \pm 9.3) \times 10^5$ Jabbar et al., 2011Seville2001-2002 $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| Seville $2001-2002$ $(0.12-2.46) \times 10^5$ Santos et al., 2006 | |
| | |
| Sevile 2011 $(0.140-1.925) \times 10^{5}$ This work | |
| Rain water (atoms/L)Beit Dagan (Israel)1982 $(0.26 \pm 0.08) \times 10^8$ Paul et al., 1987 | |
| Beit Dagan (Israel) 1986 $(9.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^8$ Paul et al., 1987 | |
| Heraklion (Greece) 1986 $(44 \pm 4) \times 10^8$ Paul et al., 1987 | |
| Munich (Germany) 1986 $(260 \pm 38) \times 10^8$ Paul et al., 1987 | |
| Japan 1983 0.5 × 10 ⁸ Muramatsu and Ohmon | o, 1986 |
| Swiss Alps 1985 $(4.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^8$ Wagner et al., 1996 | |
| Lower Saxony (GE) 1986–1987 $(12.1-62.6) \times 10^8$ Szidat et al., 2000 | |
| Lower Saxony (GE) 1997–1999 $(20-52 \times 10^8 \text{ Szidat et al., 2000})$ | |
| Dübendorf (Switzerland) 1994–1997 $(26.3-33.1) \times 10^8$ Schnabel et al., 2001 | |
| Bavaria, GE 1988–1989 $(37.5-76.6) \times 10^8$ Bachhuber and Bunzl, 1 | 92 |
| Germany 1994 (37–110) × 10 ⁸ Krupp and Aumann, 199 | Ð |
| Germany 1995 (61–150) × 10 ⁸ Krupp and Aumann, 199 | Э |
| Sweden 1998–1999 (4.0–18) × 10 ⁸ Buraglio et al., 2001 | |
| Italy 1998–1999 $(1.1-9.4) \times 10^8$ Buraglio et al., 2001 | |
| Bavaria. GE 2003–2004 (4.8–50) × 10 ⁸ Reithmeier et al. 2005 | |
| Sweden 2001–2002 $(10-57) \times 10^8$ Persson et al. 2007 | |
| Denmark 2001–2006 $(2.8-56.3) \times 10^8$ Hou et al. 2009 | |
| Seville 1996–1997 (0.47–50) × 10 ⁸ López Gutiérrez et al., 2 | 00 |
| Seville 1998–1999 (0.22–10.78) × 10 ⁸ Lopez-Gutiérrez et al., 2 | 04 |
| Seville 2005–2008 (0.26–8.86) × 10 ⁸ Gómez-Guzmán et al. 2 | 12a.b |
| Seville 2011 $(0.21-1.60) \times 10^8$ This work | , |

In general, the comparison of the ¹²⁹I levels measured in our samples with those present in the literature shows that the amount of ¹²⁹I in Seville right after the Fukushima accident was not especially high. They are clearly lower than those measurements carried out in zones directly affected by nuclear accidents or nuclear fuel reprocessing plants. Also, when they are compared to Central and Northern Europe, ¹²⁹I concentrations in Seville are typically similar or lower. Even the ¹²⁹I levels found in aerosol filters after the Fukushima accident are in very good agreement with those measured in the same place in 2001 and 2002. Then, is possible to assert that the impact of the Fukushima accident was not strong in comparison to the background values in Seville.

The first detection of ¹³¹I after the Fukushima accident in Spain was in high-volume filters collected in Seville during the period March 14th–21st (maximum concentration of 1740 atoms/m³ on March 28th–29th), followed by Cáceres (March 15th–22nd, with a maximum concentration of 3080 atoms/m³ on March 28th–29th) and Barcelona (March 23rd–28th, with a maximum concentration of 391 atoms/m³), as reported by Baeza et al. (2012). As is

summarized in Table 3, also other authors reported ¹³¹I concentrations in different parts of Spain following the Fukushima accident. For example Piñero-García and Ferro-García (2012) measured in Granada a maximum concentration of gaseous ¹³¹I of (2630 ± 120) atoms/m³ between March 23rd–30th using activated charcoal filters, a maximum concentration of (820 ± 80) atoms/m³ using polypropylene filters in the same dates, and (110 ± 160) atoms/L in rain water samples collected in the period March 30th to April 6th. On the other hand, Lozano et al. (2011) reported a maximum ¹³¹I concentration of 3690 atoms/m³ in Huelva on March 29th. Finally, the Spanish Nuclear Security Council measured a ¹³¹I concentration of 1850 atoms/m³ in La Laguna (Canary Islands) on March 28th (CSN, 2011).

As it is shown in Fig. 1 (bottom) there is an increase of the ¹²⁹I wet deposition in Seville starting on March 23rd, with a local minimum on March 30th and an absolute maximum on April 3rd. This time evolution is in agreement with Piñero-García and Ferro-García (2012), who detected in Granada (approximately 250 km East of Seville) the highest ¹³¹I concentration in rainwater

Table 3

Maximum ¹³¹I concentrations measured in activated charcoal filters and polypropylene filters in different sampling stations along Spain after the Fukushima accident.

| Area | Sample | First detection date Maximum concentration period Maximum concentration ¹³¹ I (atoms/m ³) Reference | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|-----------------|------|---------------------------------------|
| Cáceres | Polypropylene filter | 26th–27th March | 28th–29th March | 3080 | Baeza et al. (2012) |
| Sevilla | Polypropylene filter | 14th-21st March | 28th–29th March | 1740 | Baeza et al. (2012) |
| Barcelona | Polypropylene filter | 25th March | 28th-30th March | 391 | Baeza et al. (2012) |
| Granada | Polypropylene filter | 23rd March | 23rd–30th March | 2630 | Piñero-García and Ferro-García (2012) |
| Granada | Activated charcoal filter | 23rd March | 23rd–30th March | 820 | Piñero-García and Ferro-García (2012) |
| Granada | Polypropylene filter | 28th March | 28th–29th March | 3690 | Lozano et al. (2011) |
| La Laguna | Polypropylene filter | 21st-28th March | 21st-28th March | 1850 | CSN (2011) |

 $(110 \pm 160 \text{ atoms/L})$ between March 30th and April 6th. It could be that, after being detected in Granada, the atmospheric conditions transported the clouds West to Seville, originating the rainfall observed between April 3rd and 12th.

The concentrations of ¹²⁹I measured in polypropylene filters and in activated charcoal filters during the period of influence of the Fukushima accident reached their maximum on March 28th and 24th, respectively. The sampling dates shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1 are the mean dates over each sampling period, taking into account that the ¹²⁹I deposits in the filters gradually during each sampling period. It is worth to note that usually both kinds of filters are not collected dairy, but with a periodicity of 1–7 days for polypropylene filters and 3–7 days for activated charcoal filters.

In the case of ¹²⁹I measured in polypropylene filters, the maximum concentration was found on March 28th, what is in agreement with results published by Baeza et al. (2012), who reported maximum ¹³¹I concentrations also in Seville around March 28th–29th. In activated charcoal filters the maximum ¹²⁹I concentration was measured on March 24th. This is also in agreement with Baeza et al. (2012) taking into account that this particular filter was collected on March 28th and March 24th is the mean sampling date.

Regarding the ¹³¹I profile, it reaches a maximum of (1740 ± 40) μ Bq/m³ on March 28th followed by some other peaks until it disappears due to its short half-life. Both concentration and date agrees well with other data mentioned above (for example Piñero-García and Ferro-García (2012) in Granada and CSN (2011) in La Laguna). It is worth to note that in the absence of events such as the Fukushima accident the ¹³¹I concentrations measured in the Faculty of Physics are usually below the detection limit of the measuring equipment.

The similarity between the temporal evolution of ¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I concentrations shown in Fig. 1 (top) between March 24th and April 10th suggests that both isotopes have a common origin, namely the Fukushima accident. This fact can be corroborated by calculating the atomic ratio ¹²⁹I/¹³¹I in those dates and comparing with data published in the literature calculated near Fukushima just after the accident. This work reveals an average ratio for these dates of 34.4 ± 11.7 , in very good agreement with the value of (31.6 ± 8.9) reported by Miyake et al. (2012) and (34.1 ± 10.2) reported by Inagawa et al. (2013). In our calculation, ¹³¹I activities were decay corrected to March 15th, when the highest rise in the air dose rate was recorded at monitoring posts of the Fukushima Daiichi NPP (TEPCO, 2011). This is supported by the rose wind from March 24th to April 10th (not shown), where a clear SW wind prevailed, probably favouring the arrival to Spain of ¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I released as a consequence of the Fukushima accident.

It is worth to note that in the next three weeks that followed the detection of 131 I in Granada, the levels of gaseous 131 I (2630–390 atoms/m³) were always higher than the levels of 131 I associated to particles (820–150 atoms/m³). This fact leaded the authors to conclude that the gaseous phase prevails over the fraction associated to particles, as happens with stable iodine.

Assuming 100% efficiency, the ¹²⁹I associated to particles in Seville would be between 10.3 and 21.5% (mean value $12.8 \pm 4.9\%$) of total ¹²⁹I measured in both kinds of filters. This result agrees with values reported by Wershofen and Aumann (1989) for stable iodine, who measured percentages of stable iodine associated to particles ranging 12.9%–24.5% in samples collected near a reprocessing plant located in Karlsruhe (Germany) in 1986. In the other hand, Fuge and Johnson (1986) reported that gaseous stable iodine exceeded the particulate one in a factor between 2 and 6 based on previous results published. Our results show (for ¹²⁹I) a ratio gaseous/particulate ranging 7.9–8.7, with the exception of one sample that showed a ratio of 3.7, suggesting this last value certain deviation to the gaseous fraction in the case of ¹²⁹I. A possible explanation for this issue would be the long distance from the source of ¹²⁹I to Seville, that would favour the precipitation of iodine associated to particles.

Concentrations of ¹²⁹I in deposition samples were highly correlated with the mean daily rainfall (correlation factor R = 0.9178). In Fig. 1 bottom, the ¹²⁹I deposition (wet and dry) and the average precipitation in the sampling periods are compared. There seems to be a clear relationship between both results, showing that the ¹²⁹I deposition is normally higher for high precipitation rates. It can also be appreciated that wet deposition is more effective than dry deposition of ¹²⁹I. This is shown in the fact that for all dates, samples collected only due to dry deposition (black triangles in Fig. 1) have lower values than others collected from precipitation events (red squares (in the web version) in Fig. 1). Whether the transport of ¹²⁹I occurs via wet or dry deposition modes is controversial but recent data on aerosols suggest that dry deposition transport can be less dominant mechanism compared to the wet one (Englund et al., 2010). Precipitation (wet deposition) would also be the major media that brings iodine to the Earth's surface, but whether all the iodine is cloud-bound or partly below cloud wash out is uncertain.

lodine is released in its gaseous form, mainly as CH₃I and I₂, but tends to attach to other particles (Brant et al., 2002). Dry deposition is usually assumed to take place through three atmospheric resistances: the aerodynamic resistance, the quasi-laminar layer resistance and the canopy resistance, meanwhile the washout of air pollutants by precipitation is basically dependent on the precipitation rate and type of precipitation. Thus, wet deposition has been reported to be the major removal process and to be a large source for radioactive contamination (Brant et al., 2002).

It is interesting to mention that ¹²⁹I concentrations measured in polypropylene filters before the Fukushima accident and after the period of influence of this accident over Spain are in the same order of magnitude than ¹²⁹I concentrations measured during the period of influence. This would mean that there is little effect of the emissions from the nuclear fuel reprocessing plants of La Hague and Sellafield during that period. If a change in the discharges of these plants is discarded, the effect could have been caused by a wind pattern during this period which did not favour the arrival from Northwestern Europe. This seems to be realistic taking into account the rose wind from March and April 2011 shown in Fig. 2. A clear SW wind prevailed during March 2011, probably favouring the arrival to Spain of ¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I released as a consequence of the Fukushima accident. In April 2011 two wind directions prevailed (SW and NE) favouring the arrival of releases from the Fukushima accident but also releases from Sellafield and La Hague.

This suggests the possibility that an air-mass labelled with enhanced levels of ¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I had travelled from the vicinity of Fukushima Daiichi plant and that both had subsequently been deposited in Seville. To test this hypothesis, an air parcel backtrajectory analysis was performed using the National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration (NOAA) Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) atmospheric transport/dispersion model, together with meteorological data spanning the relevant sampling periods (Draxler and Rolph, 2003). The model has been designed to support a wide range of simulations related to the atmospheric transport and dispersion of pollutants and hazardous materials to the Earth's surface and it is used to track and forecast the release of radioactive material, volcanic ash, wildfire smoke, etc.

Fig. 3 illustrates the evolution of the air masses from Fukushima after the nuclear accident at three different altitudes (500 m, 1000 m and 1500 m). The 315-h (left) kinematic forward-trajectories starting at 12 UTC March 15th and the 250-h (right)



Fig. 2. Wind rose from March and April 2011 obtained in a meteorological station located near the sampling site in Seville.

kinematic forward-trajectories starting at 12 UTC March 22nd were computed with HYSPLIT. This period covers the whole period of maximum influence of the Fukushima accident over Spain, as shown by other authors, like Piñero-García and Ferro-García (2012) and Baeza et al. (2012).

The modelling results show that after the nuclear accident the air masses transported the radioactive cloud from Fukushima toward the Pacific Ocean in the direction of the Arctic Ocean, Canada and northern USA. Subsequently, polar maritime air masses, which had been originated as continental masses over North America, moved the radioactive plume toward the Atlantic Ocean on the way to southeast Spain, where arrived around March 30th, coinciding with the maximum concentration of ¹³¹I measured in poly-propylene filters. As a result of this situation, the ¹³¹I could be estimated from the ¹²⁹I concentration in the aerosol samples. In Fig. 4, the evolution of the ¹³¹I measured in Sevilla by Baeza et al. (2012) (decay corrected to March 15th) and the one estimated from the ¹²⁹I concentrations are shown. The average ¹²⁹I/¹³¹I ratio measured in our samples has been (34.4 \pm 11.7). If the difference between both curves is minimized, a ratio of 30.1 is obtained. Nishihara et al. (2012) calculated 31.4 in Reactor 1 of the Fukushima Daiichi NPP at the time of the accident by modelling using ORIGEN2 code and the ratios measured by Miyake et al. (2012) and Inagawa et al. (2013) are very close to our values, as commented before. If the correction is done with respect to March 11th, the average ratio in our samples is (24.4 \pm 8.3) and the minimum difference ratio would be 21.3. These values agree with the ones



Fig. 3. NOAA HYSPLIT examples of forward trajectories for air parcels starting at Fukushima Daiichi plant at 15/03/2011 (left) and USA at 22/03/2011 for different altitudes (500 m, 1000 m and 1500 m). The lower part of the figures shows the changes in the vertical movement of the air mass trajectories.

calculated by Nishihara et al. (2012) in Reactors 2 (21.9) and 3 (20.8). Also, Muramatsu et al. (2015) found a ratio of 20.8 in soil samples around Fukushima. Then, the isotopic $^{129}\mathrm{I}/^{131}\mathrm{I}$ ratios

would not vary much even far distances from the origin point. Also the variability of this ratio seem no to be higher than the one measured very close to the accident point.



Fig. 4. ¹³¹ Concentration (decay corrected) measured in aerosol samples and ¹³¹ Concentration estimated from ¹²⁹ from the average measured ratio 34.4 ± 11.7 .

5. Conclusions

¹²⁹I emitted in Fukushima during the accident in 2011 has been detected in atmospheric samples taken in Seville (Spain) several vears later by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry. The impact in zones as far from the accident as Seville was low. Actually, the measured levels were not higher than the background ones, detected before and after the accident. However, meteorological conditions seemed to providentially reduce the impact of ¹²⁹I from nuclear fuel reprocessing plants in Seville during those days, letting us to distinguish the signal from Fukushima. In cases like this or in zones with a stronger impact of nuclear accidents, ¹²⁹I could help estimate the ¹³¹I signal many years after them, as the ¹²⁹I/¹³¹I ratio does not seem to change strongly from the original one. Gaseous ¹²⁹I has shown to be more abundant than particulate ¹²⁹I, as usually happens with stable iodine. However, the gaseous fraction seems to be even more elevated, maybe due to the more likely precipitation of particulate ¹²⁹I in the atmosphere during the days after the accident.

Acknowledgements

This work has been financed by the project P10-FQM-5956 by the Junta de Andalucía and the projects FIS2012-31853 and FIS2015-69673-P by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía and Competitividad.

References

- Aldahan, A., Alfimov, V., Possnert, G., 2007. 129I anthropogenic budget: major sources and sinks. Appl. Geochem. 22, 606-618.
- Bachhuber, H., Bunzl, K., 1992. Background levels of atmospheric deposition to ground and temporal variation of ¹²⁹I, ¹²⁷I, ¹³⁷Cs and ⁷Be in a rural area of Germany, J. Environ. Radioact. 16, 77-89.
- Baeza, A., Corbacho, J.A., Rodríguez, A., Galván, J., García-Tenorio, R., Manjón, G., Mantero, J., Vioque, I., Arnold, D., Grossi, C., Serrano, I., Vallés, I., Vargas, A., 2012. Influence of the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident on Spanish environmental radioactivity levels. J. Environ. Radioact. 114, 138-145.
- Brant, J., Christensen, J.H., Frohn, L.M., 2002. Modelling transport and deposition of caesium and iodine from the Chernobyl accident using the DREAM model. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2, 397-417.
- Brauer, F.P., Rieck, H.G., Hooper, R.L., 1974. Particulate and Gaseous Atmospheric Iodine Concentrations. IAEA-SM-181/6, pp. 351-366.
- Buraglio, N., Aldahan, A., Possnert, G., Vintersved, I., 2001. ¹²⁹I from the nuclear reprocessing facilities traced in precipitation and runoff in Northern Europe. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 1579–1586.
- CSN, Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear, 2011. https://www.csn.es/documents/10182/ 136297/01.04.11+-+Tabla+de+resultados. Doshi, G.R., Ioshi, S.N., Pillali, K.C., 1987. Water Air Soil Poll, 73, 121–129.
- Draxler, R.R., Rolph, G.D., 2003. http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php.
- Englund, E., Aldahan, A., Possnert, G., Hou, X.L, Soderstrom, C., 2010. Iodine (¹²⁹I and ¹²⁷I) in aerosols from northern Europe. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 7–8, 1139–1141.
- Fuge, R., Johnson, C.C., 1986. The geochemistry of iodine a review. Environ. Geochem. Health 8, 31-54.
- Gallagher, D., McGee, E., Mitchell, P.I., Alfimov, V., Aldahan, A., Possnert, G., 2005. Retrospective search for evidence of the 1957 Windscale fire in NE Ireland using ¹²⁹I and other long-lived nuclides. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 2927–2935.
- Gómez-Guzmán, J.M., Lopez-Gutierrez, J.M., Pinto Gómez, A.R., Holm, Elis, 2012a. I measurements on the 1MV AMS facility at the Centro Nacional de Aceleradores (CNA, Spain). Appl. Radiat. Isot. 70, 263-268.
- Gómez-Guzmán, J.M., Enamorado-Báez, S.M., Pinto-Gómez, A.R., Abril-Hernández, J.M., López-Gutiérrez, J.M., García-León, M., 2012b. Anthropogenic ¹²⁹I concentration and ¹²⁹I/¹²⁷I ratio in rainwater from Seville (Spain) in the period 2005–2008 as affected by airborne releases from Sellafield and La Hague facilities. Atmos. Environ. 56, 26–32.
- Hou, X.L., Aldahan, A., Nielsen, S.P., Possnert, G., 2009. Time series of ¹²⁹I and ¹²⁷I speciation in precipitation from Denmark. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 6522-6528
- Inagawa, N., Muramatsu, Y., Ohno, T., Toyama, T., Satou, C., Outsuki, M., Matsuzaki, T., 2013. In: 5th Asia-Pacific Symposium on Radiochemistry (APSORC13). Kanazawa, Ishikawa (Japan).
- Jabbar, T., Steier, P., Gabriele, W., Kandler, N., Katzlberger, C., 2011. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 269, 3183-3187.
- Keogh, S.M., Aldahan, A., Possnert, G., Leon Vintro, L., Mitchell, P.I., Smith, K.J.,

McGinnity, P., 2010. I-129 in precipitation and surface waters in Ireland. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 268, 1232–1235.

- Kilius, L.R., Litherland, A.E., Rucklidge, J.C., Baba, N., 1992. Accelerator mass spectrometry measurements of heavy long-lived isotopes. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 43, 279–287.
- Krupp, G., Aumann, D.C., 1999. Iodine-129 in rainfall over Germany. J. Environ. Radioact. 46, 287–299.
- López Gutiérrez, J.M., García-León, M., Schnabel, Ch., Schmidt, A., Michel, R., Synal, H.-A., Suter, M., 1999. Determination of ¹²⁹I in atmospheric samples by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 51, 315–322.
- López Gutiérrez, I.M., Svnal, H.-A., Suter, M., Schnabel, Ch., García-León, M., 2000. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry as a powerful tool for the determination of ¹²⁹I in rainwater samples. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 53, 81–85.
- López-Gutiérrez, J.M., Santos, F.J., García-León, M., Schnabel, Ch., Synal, H.A., Ernest, Th., Szidat, S., 2004. Levels and temporal variability of ¹²⁹I concentra-tions and ¹²⁹I/¹²⁷I isotopic ratios in atmospheric samples from southern Spain. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 223-224, 495-500.
- Lozano, R.L., Hernández-Ceballos, M.A., Adame, J.A., Casas-Ruiz, M., Sorbías, M., San Miguel, E.G., 2011. Radioactive impact of Fukushima accident on the Iberian Peninsula: evolution and plume previous pathway. Environ. Int. 37, 1259-1264.
- Michel, R., Daraoui, A., Gorny, M., Jacob, D., Sachse, R., Tosh, L., Nies, H., Goroncy, I., Herrmann, J., Synal, H.A., Stocker, M., Alfimov, V., 2012. Iodine-129 and iodine-127 in European seawaters and in precipitation from Northern Germany. Sci. Total Environ, 419, 151-169,
- Michel, R., Daraoui, A., Gorny, M., Jakob, D., Sachse, R., Romantschuk, L.D., Alfimov, V., Synal, H.-A., 2015. Retrospective dosimetry of lodine-131 exposures using Iodine-129 and Caesium-137 inventories in soils – a critical evaluation of the consequences of the Chernobyl accident in parts of Northern Ukraine. J. Environ. Radioact. 150, 20-35.
- Mironov, V., Kudijashov, V., Yiou, F., Raisbeck, G.M., 2002. Use of ¹²⁹I and ¹³⁷Cs in soils for the estimation of ¹³¹I deposition in Belarus as a result of the Chernobyl accident. J. Environ. Radioact. 59, 293-307.
- Miyake, Y., Matsuzaki, H., Fujuwara, T., Saito, T., Yamagata, T., Honda, M., Muramatsu, Y., 2012. Isotopic ratio of radioactive iodine (¹²⁹/₁/¹³¹) released from Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident. Geochem. J. 46, 327–333.
- Muramatsu, Y., Ohmomo, Y., 1986. Iodine-129 and iodine-127 in environmental
- samples collected from Tokaimura/Ibaraki, Japan. Sci. Total Environ. 48, 33–43. Muramatsu, Y., Matsuzaki, H., Toyama, C., Ohno, T., 2015. Analysis of ¹²⁹I in the soils of Fukushima Prefecture: preliminary reconstruction of ¹³¹I deposition related to the accident at Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (FDNPP). J. Environ. Radioact. 139, 344-350. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2014.05.007.
- Nedveckaite, T., Filistowicz, W., 1993. Determination of gaseous and particulate I-129 in atmospheric air by neutron-activation analysis. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 174, 43–47.
- Nishihara, K., Iwamoto, H., Suyama, K., 2012. Estimation of Fuel Compositions in Fukushima-Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant. JAEA-Data/Code 012-018 (in Japanese).
- Paul, M., Fink, D., Hollos, G., Kaufman, A., Kutschera, W., Margaritz, M., 1987. Measurement of ¹²⁹I concentrations in the environment after the Chernobyl reactor accident. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 29, 341-345.
- Persson, S., Aldahan, A., Possnert, G., Alfimov, V., Hou, X.L., 2007. ¹²⁹I variability in precipitation over Europe. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 259, 508-512.
- Piñero-García, F., Ferro-García, F., 2012. Traces of fission products in southeast Spain after the Fukushima nuclear accident. J. Environ. Radioact. 114, 146-151.
- Rao, U., Fehn, U., 1999. Sources and reservoirs of anthropogenic iodine-129 in Western New York. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 63, 1927–1938. Reithmeier, H., Lazarev, V., Kubo, F., Rühm, W., Nolte, E., 2005. ¹²⁹I in precipitation
- using a new TOF system for AMS measurements. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 239, 273-280.
- Roberts, M.L., Caffee, M.W., Proctor, I.D., 1997.¹²⁹I interlaboratory comparison. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 123, 367-370.
- Santos, F.J., López Gutiérrez, J.M., García-León, M., Suter, M., Synal, H.-A., 2005. Determination of ¹²⁹I/¹²⁷I in aerosol samples in Seville (Spain). J. Environ. Radioact. 84, 103-109.
- Santos, F.J., López-Gutiérrez, J.M., Chamizo, E., García-León, M., Synal, H.A., 2006. Advances on the determination of ¹²⁹I by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS). Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 249, 772-775.
- Schnabel, Ch., López-Gutiérrez, J.M., Szidat, S., Sprenger, M., Wernli, H., Beer, J., Synal, H.A., 2001. ¹²⁹I in rain water near Zurich and discussion of its origin. Radiochim. Acta 89, 815-822.
- Szidat, S., Schmidt, A., Handl, J., Jakob, D., Botsch, W., Michel, R., Synal, H.A., Schnabel, Ch., Suter, M., López-Gutiérrez, J.M., 2000. Iodine-129: sample preparation, quality control and analyses of pre-nuclear materials and of natural waters from Lower Saxony, Germany. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 172, 699-710.
- TEPCO (Tokio Electric Power Company), 2011. The Air Dose Rate Recorded at Monitoring Posts of the Fukushima Daiichi NPP.
- Wagner, M.J.M., Dittrich-Hannen, B., Synal, H.A., Suter, M., Schotterer, U., 1996. Increase of ¹²⁹l in the environment. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 113, 409–494.
- Wershofen, H., Aumann, D.C.J., 1989. Iodine-129 in the environment of a nuclear fuel reprocessing plant: VII. Concentrations and chemical forms of ¹²⁹I and ¹²⁷I in the atmosphere. Environ. Radioact. 10, 141-156.