

1 **Determination of technological maturity of grapes and total phenolic**  
2 **compounds of grape skins in red and white cultivars during ripening**  
3 **by near infrared hyperspectral image: A preliminary approach.**

4  
5  
6  
7 Julio Nogales-Bueno, José Miguel Hernández-Hierro, Francisco José Rodríguez-Pulido  
8 and Francisco José Heredia \*

9  
10  
11 Food Colour & Quality Laboratory, Department of Nutrition & Food Science,  
12 Universidad de Sevilla, Facultad de Farmacia, 41012 Sevilla, Spain.

13  
14 \* **Corresponding author:** Francisco José Heredia

15  
16 **Phone:** +34 9545 56495

17 **Fax:** +34 9545 56110

18  
19 **E-mail:** heredia@us.es

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 Hyperspectral images of intact grapes during ripening were recorded using a near  
23 infrared hyperspectral imaging system (900 - 1700 nm). Spectral data have been  
24 correlated with grape skin total phenolic concentration, sugar concentration, titratable  
25 acidity and pH by modified partial least squares regression (MPLS) using a number of  
26 spectral pre-treatments and different sets of calibration. The obtained results (RSQ and  
27 SEP respectively) for the global model of red and white grape samples were: 0.89 and  
28 1.23 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of grape skin for total phenolic concentration, 0.99 and 1.37 °Brix for sugar  
29 concentration, 0.98 and 3.88 g L<sup>-1</sup> for titratable acidity and for pH 0.94 and 0.12.  
30 Moreover, separate calibration models for red and white grape samples were also  
31 developed. The obtained results present a good potential for a fast and reasonably  
32 inexpensive screening of these parameters in intact grapes and therefore, for a fast  
33 control of technological and phenolic maturity.

34 **KEYWORDS:** Technological maturity, phenolic maturity, grapes, near infrared  
35 hyperspectral imaging; chemometrics.

36

## 37        **1. Introduction**

38    Grape harvest time is one of the most fundamental aspects that have influence on the  
39    future of wine quality. A number of factors have influence on this decision, among them  
40    technological and phenolic maturity of grape, especially grape skins phenolic maturity.  
41    Technological maturity is mainly connected with sugar concentration, titratable acidity  
42    and pH. The sugar concentration determines the potential alcoholic strength. The  
43    titratable acidity and pH help to control the wine quality and colour. Phenolic maturity  
44    shows the ripeness degree for the skins, pulp and seeds taking into account its phenolic  
45    composition (Meléndez, Ortiz, Sarabia, Íñiguez, & Puras, 2013; Ferrer-Gallego,  
46    Hernández-Hierro, Rivas-Gonzalo, & Escribano-Bailón, 2012).

47    It is really important to the winemakers the determination of adequate technological and  
48    phenolic maturities. Nowadays, at wineries, the maturity of grapes is usually controlled  
49    using classic physical and chemical analyses. For determining the sugar concentration is  
50    common to carry out density studies in the grape must since the specific gravity is  
51    directly related to the contents of soluble solids (°Brix) or to the Baume scale. It is also  
52    possible to measure the sugar concentration in the must by means of its refractive index.  
53    The determination of the total acidity and pH is usually carried out by means of  
54    volumetric titrations using NaOH and selective electrodes respectively. The detailed  
55    phenolic profile is performed by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (Xu et al.,  
56    2011) meanwhile spectrophotometric methods such as Folin-Ciocalteu are commonly  
57    selected for total phenolic determinations (Singleton, 1985).

58    All methods above mentioned are time consuming or destructive, or both. It would be  
59    interesting to replace these methods for new ones, not destructives and roughly reliable.  
60    Near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) has been also used as analysis tool to replace  
61    traditional methods (Ferrer-Gallego, Hernández-Hierro, Rivas-Gonzalo, & Escribano-

62 Bailón, 2011). Moreover, hyperspectral techniques have been studied to replace  
63 physicochemical analysis in several matrices (Baiano, Terracone, Peri, & Romaniello,  
64 2012; Fernandes et al., 2011; Barbin, Elmasry, Sun, & Allen, 2013).

65 Characterizations of food quality, safety and composition have been accomplished using  
66 the aforesaid analytical tool (Elmasry, Kamruzzaman, Sun, & Allen, 2012; Gowen,  
67 O'Donnell, Cullen, Downey, & Frias, 2007; Lorente et al., 2012).

68 In the wine sector, it is really important to know critical parameters and attributes of  
69 grapes, and is necessary to do it quickly and precisely. Near infrared hyperspectral  
70 imaging could be an option to measure these parameters without sample destruction and  
71 reagent consumption. In essence, hyperspectral imaging is a rapid, non-destructive,  
72 rugged, multiparametric and flexible tool that potentially provides a suitable way to  
73 analyse food (Gowen et al., 2007).

74 The aim of this study is to develop a useful and non-destructive hyperspectral method  
75 for the determination of the principal parameters that compose phenolic and  
76 technological maturity (i.e. pH, total acidity, sugar concentration and total phenols) in  
77 white and red grapes. The samples used in this work have been collected in the  
78 Condado de Huelva Designation of Origin D.O. (Andalusia, Spain) which is under the  
79 typical climatic conditions of a warm area (Gordillo et al., 2012). To our knowledge,  
80 this is the first time that near infrared hyperspectral imaging has been applied to grapes  
81 to face the aforementioned goals.

## 82 **2. Material and methods**

### 83 **2.1. Samples**

84 *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Zalema, Tempranillo and Syrah were collected from four vineyards  
85 located in the Condado de Huelva Designation of Origin D.O. (Andalusia, Spain).  
86 Zalema is a white cultivar autochthonous to the South of Spain where it represents over

87 90% of the overall production (Hernanz et al., 2009). Zalema grapes were collected  
88 from two vineyards which present different types of soil, sand and clay. Tempranillo is  
89 the most often grown red grape cultivar in Spain for producing quality red wines and  
90 Syrah is a resistant cultivar to warm climatic conditions (Gordillo et al., 2012).  
91 Both, white and red grapes were collected at different dates from mid-July to early  
92 September in the 2012 vintage. In this way, grapes were collected at different stages of  
93 maturity. There were different numbers of samples for each variety due to the earlier  
94 ripening of the red cultivars. Sixteen dates were taken into account for Tempranillo,  
95 seventeen for Syrah and eighteen for each Zalema type of soil. Three groups of berries  
96 were collected for each date and vineyard. With the aim of achieving representative  
97 samples, these were collected from the top, middle and bottom of the cluster and in the  
98 sunlight and shade side of this. After that, the samples were immediately frozen and  
99 stored at -20 °C until analyses were performed. Two subsamples were taken from each  
100 sample, one to determine the reference parameters and the other one for the  
101 hyperspectral analysis.

## 102 **2.2. Determination of reference parameters**

103 Reference parameters were total phenolic concentration in grape skins, sugar  
104 concentration, titratable acidity and pH. Total phenolic concentration was determined  
105 using the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton, 1985). In order to perform this  
106 determination, grape skins were separated manually from the whole grapes. Afterwards,  
107 one gram of grape skin was macerated in 10 mL of methanol containing 0.1% of 12M  
108 HCl. Methanolic phases were centrifuged (3000 rpm, 10 min) and successively pooled,  
109 approximately 2 mL millilitres of water were added and the extract was concentrated  
110 under vacuum at 30 °C until methanol was removed and finally made up to 10 mL with  
111 ultrapure water. The total phenolic concentration was determined using the Folin-

112 Cicalteu method in this aqueous extract and it was expressed as gallic acid equivalents  
113 per gram of grape skin.

114 Technological maturity parameters were determined using the analytical methods  
115 recommended by the O.I.V. (1990) using the must obtained after crushing the grapes.

### 116 **2.3. Hyperspectral imaging analysis**

117 **Fig. 1** shows the main components of the hyperspectral imaging device (Infaimon S.L.,  
118 Barcelona, Spain) which were the illumination source, optics (mirror scanner and lens),  
119 spectrograph, camera and computer. The system comprised a Xenics® XEVA-USB  
120 InGaAs camera (320 × 256 pixels; Xenics Infrared Solutions, Inc., Leuven, Belgium), a  
121 spectrograph (Specim ImSpector N17E Enhanced; Spectral Imaging Ltd., Oulu,  
122 Finland) covering the spectral range between 900 and 1700 nm (spectral resolution of  
123 3.25 nm), two 70 W tungsten iodine halogen lamps (Prilux ®, Barcelona, Spain)  
124 mounted as source light, a mirror scanner (Spectral Imaging Ltd., Oulu, Finland) and a  
125 computer system. Hyperspectral images were recorded using a 50 Hz frame rate and an  
126 exposure time of 9 ms using the instrument acquisition software SpectralDAQ v. 3.62  
127 (Spectral Imaging Ltd., Oulu, Finland).

128 A two point reflectance calibration was used. A Spectralon® ceramic tile (Labsphere  
129 Inc., North Sutton, USA) was used as a white reference while dark current was recorded  
130 by taking a measurement after covering the spectrograph lens with a cup and closing the  
131 shutter. Corrected reflectance values (R) were calculated taking into account the  
132 relationship between sample (S), white standard (W) and dark current (D) absolute  
133 signal intensities using the following formula:

$$134 R = [(S - D) / (W - D)] \quad (1)$$

135 Thereafter, the samples were thawed and tempered at room temperature and the  
136 hyperspectral images of the intact grapes on a polyethylene plastic were recorded. The

137 characteristic spectral profile of this surface was useful in segmentation process for  
138 recognising the region of interest. Noisy wavebands at both extremes of the spectra  
139 range were removed and only spectral data in the resulting effective wavelength 950 -  
140 1650 nm regions were used in data analysis due to reduced efficiency outside this range  
141 in the used device.

#### 142 **2.4. Image processing and data analysis**

143 *Image processing.* Image treatment was carried out using Matlab (R2010b; The Math  
144 Works, Inc. USA). Prior to the quantitative analysis, a discriminant method was applied  
145 to the grape images to isolate the grapes from other parts of image. Firstly, three regions  
146 of interest (ROIs) were selected (background, grape and pedicel) to develop a stepwise  
147 lineal discriminant model. The aforementioned discriminant model classified each pixel  
148 into two classes (grape or no grape pixel) using the reflectance values from six  
149 wavelengths (979, 1034, 1073, 1314, 1386 and 1550 nm). After that, the average  
150 spectrum of the grape region was extracted and then transformed into Log (1/R) units.  
151 The procedure was repeated for each sample and the obtained spectra were combined  
152 into the spectral matrix.

153 *Data analysis.* Prior to quantitative analysis, an unsupervised pattern recognition  
154 technique, principal component analysis (PCA), was used in order to provide  
155 information about the latent structure of spectral matrix. The spectral matrix was  
156 constructed from the red grape spectra, white grape spectra or both. This method  
157 provides not only information related to spectral outliers, the distribution of samples in  
158 the newly-created space and their possible separations in different spectral groups but is  
159 also an important source of knowledge with which to create cross-validation groups  
160 used in the calibration process (Shenk & Westerhaus, 1995; Brereton, 2003).

161 Calibrations were performed using modified partial least squares regression (MPLS).  
162 For achieving this task, the corresponding total phenolic concentration, sugar  
163 concentration, titratable acidity and pH values were allocated to the raw spectrum of  
164 each sample, and then different spectral pre-treatments were tested. They were also used  
165 different calibration sets (i.e red grapes, white grapes or both). In this method, the group  
166 of calibration samples is divided into a series of subsets in order to perform cross-  
167 validation to set the number of PLS factors, reduce the possibility of overfitting (Shenk  
168 & Westerhaus, 1995) and remove chemical outliers. Using the  $T \geq 2.5$  criterion, samples  
169 that presented a high residual value when they were predicted were eliminated from the  
170 set. Finally, validation errors are combined into a single figure, the standard error of  
171 cross-validation (SECV).

172 Spectral pre-treatments are usually applied to NIR raw data; scattering effects were  
173 removed using multiplicative scatter correction (MSC), standard normal variate (SNV),  
174 and detrending (Geladi, MacDougall, & Martens, 1985; Dhanoa, Lister, & Barnes,  
175 1995). Moreover, the effect of differentiation and variations in spectral ranges were  
176 tested in the development of the NIRS calibrations.

177 The software used was Win ISI® (v1.50) (Infrasoft International, LLC, Port. Matilda,  
178 PA, USA). This software allowed the data pre-treatment and development of  
179 quantitative and qualitative models. From the three samples of each date, one (33%)  
180 was randomly allocated to the validation set and the other two (66%) to the calibration  
181 set. Consequently, from the 213 spectral samples (99 red and 114 white grape spectral  
182 samples), 142 were allocated in the calibration set and the remaining 71 were allocated  
183 in the validation set (two and one thirds of white and red grape spectral samples  
184 respectively).

### 185 **3. Results and discussion**



186 *3.1. Chemical analysis*

187 Total phenolic concentration ranged from 2.2 to 15.8 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of grape skin with a  
188 standard deviation value of 2.9 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of grape skin.

189 Sugar concentration, titratable acidity and pH ranged from 4.1 to 25.4 °Brix, 2.7 to 52.9  
190 g L<sup>-1</sup> and 2.5 to 3.8 respectively.

191 *3.2 Hyperspectral imaging analysis*

192 It was expected a clear difference between red and white grape spectra, however the  
193 average spectra of red and white grapes are really similar (**Fig. 2**). For this reason it was  
194 initially decided to work with both groups together. This figure shows the average  
195 spectra of red and white grapes over the 950-1650 nm range. Standard deviation spectra  
196 for each group are also represented and for display reasons they have been multiplied by  
197 a factor of 10. Spectral intensities were low and well within the linear response range of  
198 the instrument detector range. A strong feature of the sample spectra was the absorbance  
199 pattern around 1250 and 1450 nm wavelengths.

200 A SNV (2,5,5,1) spectral pre-treatment was applied to the spectra of both red and white  
201 grapes in the 950-1650 nm regions, where the hyperspectral system has revealed greater  
202 efficiency. Mathematical treatment is denoted as a,b,c,d, where the first digit is the  
203 number of the derivative; the second is the gap over which the derivative is calculated;  
204 the third is the number of data points in a running average or smoothing, and the fourth  
205 is the second smoothing (Shenk & Westerhaus, 1995). This spectral pre-treatment was  
206 applied only in the calibration set and after that, principal component analysis was  
207 carried out in order to look for spectral outliers and create cross-validation groups.  
208 Overall, the spectral variability explained was 99% using 15 principal components and  
209 Mahalanobis distances for each sample were calculated. Samples were ranked in order

210 of their H (Mahalanobis) distance from the mean spectrum of the entire sample set and  
211 the  $H > 3$  criterion was applied. Only one H-outlier was found, a Zalema sample which  
212 spectrum did not meet this criterion and it was eliminated from the calibration set. **Fig.**  
213 **3** shows the scores of the grape samples in the space defined by the first and second  
214 principal components which described 57.38% (PC1) and 22.06% (PC2) of the  
215 variability in the data. In this plot (**Fig. 3a**) it is not possible to separate completely red  
216 and white grape samples, however, it is possible to see some differences between both  
217 groups. Furthermore, it is also possible to find some semi-separation between the early  
218 days of ripening and the rest of samples (**Fig. 3b**). It is not shown a cultivar comparison  
219 (i.e. Zalema, Tempranillo and Syrah) because the different varieties were overlapped in  
220 this plane.

221 Finally, quantitative calibrations were developed by modified partial least squares  
222 (MPLS) regression. As described above, to perform this calibration all grape spectra,  
223 red and white, were used as the independent (X) variables. Total phenolic concentration,  
224 sugar concentration, titratable acidity and pH were used as dependent (Y) variables. The  
225 statistical parameters of the final calibration equations are shown in **Table 1** where N is  
226 the number of samples used to obtain the calibration equation after eliminating samples  
227 for chemical reasons (T criterion). The best of the different mathematical treatments, the  
228 range of application, and standard deviations are also shown.

229 The robustness of the selected models was tested using a set of 71 samples, which did  
230 not belong to the calibration set, as external validation. In the case of titratable acidity,  
231 two samples presented reference values outside the applicability of the obtained models  
232 and then should not be used in this procedure. As result of this external validation they  
233 were obtained the standard errors of prediction (SEP) for each reference variable, these  
234 values were also included in **Table 1**. A relevant aspect of this method was observed,

235 the prediction of all the reference parameters was worse for the white grape samples  
236 than for the red ones. This can be observed in **Fig. 4a**, which shows the SEP (expressed  
237 as percentages) when these global models were used to predict the reference parameters  
238 in all, white or red grape samples. Considering these results it was decided to develop  
239 separate calibration models for red and white grape samples. These models were  
240 developed following the same methodology described above. The spectral samples were  
241 randomly allocated to the validation and calibration sets. In the calibration set a SNV  
242 (2,5,5,1) spectral pre-treatment and a principal component analysis were carried out in  
243 order to look for spectral outliers and create cross-validation groups. It was not found  
244 any outlier for red grape model, however, a spectral sample was eliminated from the  
245 white calibration set. The same sample had been eliminated in global model following  
246 the Mahalanobis distance criterion. For each calibration set (i.e. red and white samples),  
247 a quantitative calibration was developed by modified partial least squares (MPLS)  
248 regression. Finally, the robustness of the selected models was tested using the external  
249 validation sets (33 samples for the red grape model and 38 samples for the white one).  
250 In the case of the red grape model all sample presented reference values inside the  
251 applicability of the obtained model, however, one and three samples were not used in  
252 the white grape model for total phenols and titratable acidity validations respectively.  
253 As result of these external validations they were obtained the standard errors of  
254 prediction (SEP) for each reference variable. The statistical parameters of these  
255 calibrations and the standard errors of prediction are described in **Table 1**. Better results  
256 were achieved in the prediction of red grape parameters using these new models,  
257 however, the prediction of white ones was worse for total phenolic concentration, sugar  
258 concentration and pH. **Fig. 4b** shows this behaviour, here it is possible to observe the  
259 SEP (%) when global, red grape and white grape methods are used to predict their

260 respective validation sets. Since there were not differences neither in the applied  
261 reference methods nor in the hyperspectral analysis used for white and red grape  
262 samples it was not possible to indicate the source of the larger error for the white  
263 samples than for the red ones.

264 If differences in determination of analytical and spectral data are discarded, these  
265 findings may be due to a tinier spectral variability in red grape samples than in white  
266 ones. Samples set with tiny spectral variability can generate calibration models that  
267 predict sample parameters with small errors at the expense of less applicability (Shenk  
268 & Westerhaus, 1995). In **Fig. 3a** it is possible to see that the red grape samples are less  
269 dispersed than white ones. This theory is also supported by the fact that global model is  
270 better than white grape model and worse than red one. This might be because spectral  
271 samples used in the global calibration are as dispersed as the samples in white set but  
272 the global calibration set is greater than the white one. However, the results obtained in  
273 the external validation, the SEP values, are comparatively similar to the errors  
274 previously reported for these parameters using classic near infrared spectroscopy taking  
275 into the account the applicability range (Cozzolino, 2009; Cozzolino, Damberg, Janik,  
276 Cynkar, & Gishen, 2006; Ferrer-Gallego et al., 2011; González-Caballero, Sánchez,  
277 López, & Pérez-Marín, 2010; Kemps, Leon, Best, De Baerdemaeker, & De Ketelaere,  
278 2010).

#### 279 **4. Conclusion**

280 The procedure reported here using near infrared hyperspectral imaging presents a good  
281 potential for a fast and reasonably inexpensive screening of total phenolic concentration,  
282 sugar concentration, titratable acidity and pH in intact grapes, and therefore, for a fast  
283 control of technological and phenolic maturity. Nonetheless, a comprehensive study

284 should be made in order to evaluate factors, such as different production areas and grape  
285 varieties, in the complete development of these models.

## 286 **Abbreviations**

287 H, Mahalanobis distance; MPLS, modified partial least squares; MSC, multiplicative  
288 scatter correction; NIRS, near infrared spectroscopy; PC, principal component; PCA,  
289 principal component analysis; PLS, partial least squares; RSQ, coefficient of  
290 determination; SEC, standard error of calibration; SECV, standard error of cross-  
291 validation; SEP, standard error of prediction; SNV, standard normal variate.

## 292 **Acknowledgments**

293 The Spanish MICINN is thanked for J. Nogales-Bueno, F.J. Rodríguez-Pulido FPI  
294 grants (BES-2012-060192 and BES-2009-025429 respectively), J.M. Hernández-Hierro  
295 Juan de la Cierva contract (JCI-2011-09201) and project AGL2011-30254-C02. Junta  
296 de Andalucía is also thanked for financial support (project P10-AGR6331).

## 297 **References**

298

299 Baiano, A., Terracone, C., Peri, G., & Romaniello, R. (2012). Application of  
300 hyperspectral imaging for prediction of physico-chemical and sensory  
301 characteristics of table grapes. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 87,  
302 142-151.

303 Barbin, D. F., Elmasry, G., Sun, D. W., & Allen, P. (2013). Non-destructive  
304 determination of chemical composition in intact and minced pork using near-  
305 infrared hyperspectral imaging. *Food Chemistry*, 138, 1162-1171.

306 Brereton, R. G. (2003). *Chemometrics : data analysis for the laboratory and chemical*  
307 *plant*. Chichester, West Sussex, England: J. Wiley.

308 Cozzolino, D. (2009). Near Infrared Spectroscopy in Natural Products Analysis. *Planta*  
309 *Med.*, 75.

310 Cozzolino, D., Damberg, R. G., Janik, L., Cynkar, W. U., & Gishen, M. (2006).  
311 Review: Analysis of grapes and wine by near infrared spectroscopy. *Journal of*  
312 *near Infrared Spectroscopy*, 14.

313 Dhanoa, M. S., Lister, S. J., & Barnes, R. J. (1995). On the Scales Associated with  
314 Near-Infrared Reflectance Difference Spectra. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 49.

315 Elmasry, G., Kamruzzaman, M., Sun, D. W., & Allen, P. (2012). Principles and  
316 Applications of Hyperspectral Imaging in Quality Evaluation of Agro-Food  
317 Products: A Review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 52, 999-  
318 1023.

319 Fernandes, A. M., Oliveira, P., Moura, J. P., Oliveira, A. A., Falco, V., Correia, M. J. et  
320 al. (2011). Determination of anthocyanin concentration in whole grape skins  
321 using hyperspectral imaging and adaptive boosting neural networks. *Journal of*  
322 *Food Engineering*, 105, 216-226.

323 Ferrer-Gallego, R., Hernández-Hierro, J. M., Rivas-Gonzalo, J. C., & Escribano-Bailón,  
324 M. T. (2011). Determination of phenolic compounds of grape skins during  
325 ripening by NIR spectroscopy. *Lwt-Food Science and Technology*, 44, 847-853.

326 Ferrer-Gallego, R., Hernández-Hierro, J. M., Rivas-Gonzalo, J. C., & Escribano-Bailón,  
327 M. T. (2012). Influence of climatic conditions on the phenolic composition of  
328 *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Graciano. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 732, 73-77.

- 329 Geladi, P., MacDougall, D., & Martens, H. (1985). Linearization and Scatter-Correction  
330 for Near-Infrared Reflectance Spectra of Meat. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 39.
- 331 González-Caballero, V., Sánchez, M. T., López, M. I., & Pérez-Marín, D. (2010). First  
332 steps towards the development of a non-destructive technique for the quality  
333 control of wine grapes during on-vine ripening and on arrival at the winery.  
334 *Journal of Food Engineering*, 101, 158-165.
- 335 Gordillo, B., Rodríguez-Pulido, F. J., Mateus, N., Escudero-Gilete, M. L., González-  
336 Miret, M. L., Heredia, F. J. et al. (2012). Application of LC-MS and tristimulus  
337 colorimetry to assess the ageing aptitude of Syrah wine in the Condado de  
338 Huelva D.O. (Spain), a typical warm climate region. *Analytica Chimica Acta*,  
339 732, 162-171.
- 340 Gowen, A. A., O'Donnell, C. P., Cullen, P. J., Downey, G., & Frias, J. M. (2007).  
341 Hyperspectral imaging - an emerging process analytical tool for food quality and  
342 safety control. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18, 590-598.
- 343 Hernanz, D., Gallo, V., Recamales, Á. F., Meléndez-Martínez, A. J., González-Miret,  
344 M. L., & Heredia, F. J. (2009). Effect of storage on the phenolic content, volatile  
345 composition and colour of white wines from the varieties Zalema and  
346 Colombard. *Food Chemistry*, 113, 530-537.
- 347 Kemps, B., Leon, L., Best, S., De Baerdemaeker, J., & De Ketelaere, B. (2010).  
348 Assessment of the quality parameters in grapes using VIS/NIR spectroscopy.  
349 *Biosystems Engineering*, 105.
- 350 Lorente, D., Aleixos, N., Gomez-Sanchis, J., Cubero, S., Garcia-Navarrete, O. L., &  
351 Blasco, J. (2012). Recent Advances and Applications of Hyperspectral Imaging

352 for Fruit and Vegetable Quality Assessment. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*,  
353 5, 1121-1142.

354 Meléndez, E., Ortiz, M. C., Sarabia, L. A., Íñiguez, M., & Puras, P. (2013). Modelling  
355 phenolic and technological maturities of grapes by means of the multivariate  
356 relation between organoleptic and physicochemical properties. *Analytica*  
357 *Chimica Acta*, 761, 53-61.

358 Organisation internationale de la vigne et du vin (O.I.V.) Le recueil des méthodes  
359 internationales d'analyse des vins et des moûts (1990). O.I.V.

360 Shenk, J. S. & Westerhaus, M. O. (1995). *Routine Operation, Calibration, Development*  
361 *and Network System Management Manual, NIRSystems*. MD, USA: Silver  
362 Spring.

363 Singleton, V. L. (1985). Citation Classic - Colorimetry of Total Phenolics with  
364 Phosphomolybdic-Phosphotungstic Acid Reagents. *Current*  
365 *Contents/Agriculture Biology & Environmental Sciences*, 18.

366 Xu, Y. P., Simon, J. E., Welch, C., Wightman, J. D., Ferruzzi, M. G., Ho, L. et al.  
367 (2011). Survey of Polyphenol Constituents in Grapes and Grape-Derived  
368 Products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59, 10586-10593.