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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the homogenization of monotone diffusion equations posed in an $N$-dimensional cylinder which converges to a (one-dimensional) segment line. In other terms, we pass to the limit in diffusion monotone equations posed in a cylinder whose diameter tends to zero, when simultaneously the coefficients of the equations (which are not necessarily periodic) are also varying. We obtain a limit system in both the macroscopic (one-dimensional) variable and the microscopic variable. This system is nonlocal. From this system we obtain by elimination an equation in the macroscopic variable which is local, but in contrast with usual results, the operator depends on the right-hand side of the equations. We also obtain a corrector result, i.e. an approximation of the gradients of the solutions in the strong topology of the space $L^{p}$ in which the monotone operators are defined.
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## Résumé

Dans cet article nous étudions l'homogénéisation d'équations de diffusion monotones posées dans un cylindre de dimension $N$ qui converge vers un segment (qui est donc unidimensionnel). En d'autres termes, nous passons à la limite dans des équations de diffusion monotones posées dans un cylindre dont le diamètre tend vers zéro, quand en même temps les coefficients des équations (qui ne sont pas nécessairement périodiques) varient eux aussi. Nous obtenons un système limite en la variable macroscopique (unidimensionnelle) et en la variable microscopique. Ce système est non local. A partir de ce système nous obtenons par élimination une équation en la variable macroscopique qui est locale, mais dans laquelle, à la difference des résultats usuels, l'opérateur dépend du second membre des équations. Nous obtenons aussi un résultat de correcteur, c'est à dire une approximation des gradients des solutions dans la topologie forte de l'espace $L^{p}$ dans lequel sont définis les opérateurs monotones. © 2012 L'Association Publications de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider in this paper the homogenization, when the coefficients vary, of monotone problems posed in a cylinder of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ with fixed length and small diameter. Specifically, we consider a bounded open interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ and a bounded domain $\omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$. Defining the cylinder $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ by $\Omega_{\varepsilon}=I \times(\varepsilon \omega)$, we are interested in the solutions of the monotone problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div} \tilde{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{x}, \nabla \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\right)=\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-\operatorname{div} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon},  \tag{1.1}\\ \left(\tilde{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{x}, \nabla \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\right)-\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}\right) \tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}=0 & \text { in } I \times(\varepsilon \partial \omega), \\ \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}=0 & \text { in } \partial I \times(\varepsilon \omega),\end{cases}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{\varepsilon}: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$ are Carathéodory functions which are monotone, uniformly $p$-coercive and with uniform ( $p-1$ )-growth, and where, for $\Omega=I \times \omega$, there exist $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}^{\prime}\right)=f\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \frac{\tilde{x}^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}^{\prime}\right)=F\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \frac{\tilde{x}^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \text { a.e. }\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this problem, the Neumann boundary condition in the lateral boundary $I \times(\varepsilon \partial \omega)$ is crucial, while changing the Dirichlet boundary condition on the bases $\partial I \times(\varepsilon \omega)$ (as far as an $H^{1}$ a priori estimate is conserved) does not affect the limit equation.

A problem similar to (1.1), but where the operators are linear, $F_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0, N=3$, and $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ is a cylinder of fixed basis $\omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and small height (which therefore converges to the two-dimensional set $\omega$ ) has been considered in [4] and [10] (see also [9] for the elasticity problem). In this case, the limit problem, which is posed in the two-dimensional limit domain $\omega$, has a structure which is similar to the structure of the problem posed in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$. This will also be the case for problem (1.1), whose limit is posed on the one-dimensional domain $I$, but, in contrast with usual results, the corresponding operator will depend on $F$.

In order to study the homogenization of (1.1), we perform the change of variables $\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}^{\prime} / \varepsilon\right)$, which transforms $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ in $\Omega$ as it is usual in the study of the behavior of solutions of partial differential problems posed in thin domains (see e.g. [2,4,5,9-14,17-19,22,23,25]). Defining $u_{\varepsilon}$ by $u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \varepsilon x^{\prime}\right)$, problem (1.1) is then transformed into a new problem which can be written in the variational form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), \quad u_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial I \times \omega,  \tag{1.3}\\
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{\varepsilon} v d x, \\
\forall v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial I \times \omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D_{\varepsilon}$ is the differential operator $D_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ and where $A_{\varepsilon}: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$ are Carathéodory functions which, similarly to $\tilde{A}_{\varepsilon}$, are uniformly $p$-coercive and with uniform ( $p-1$ )-growth. These conditions on $A_{\varepsilon}$ imply that $D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$. Thus (see e.g. [17]) there exist $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I)$ and $u_{1} \in L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly to $u_{0}$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly to $D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right)$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$.

When $A_{\varepsilon}=A$ is fixed, it has been proved in [17] (see also [18,19] for the elasticity problem) that ( $u_{0}, u_{1}$ ) is the solution of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
\int_{\Omega} A\left(x, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right)$; in this problem both the macroscopic and microscopic variables $x_{1}$ and $x^{\prime}$ appear. One can then wonder whether, when $A_{\varepsilon}$ depends on $\varepsilon$, there exist a subsequence of $\varepsilon$, still denoted by $\varepsilon$, and a Carathéodory function $A: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$ satisfying the same conditions as $A_{\varepsilon}$, such that for every $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and
every $F \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, the limit $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ of the solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ of (1.1) is the solution of (1.4). We show in the present paper that this is not the case. In contrast we prove (Theorem 3.1 below) that the limit of (1.1) is the nonlocal problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right),  \tag{1.5}\\
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right) D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is no more a Carathéodory function $A: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$, but a nonlocal Carathéodory operator $\mathcal{A}: I \times$ $\mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N}$, which is measurable in the first variable and continuous in the two other ones (where $\nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega)$ denotes the space of the derivatives $\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v$ of functions $\left.v \in W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$, but such that for a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, the function

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N}
$$

at the point $x^{\prime} \in \omega$ depends not only on $\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)$ but on all the points of $\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)$ for $z^{\prime} \in \omega$. A similar effect has been obtained in [6] for the homogenization of elliptic periodic equations of the type $-\operatorname{div} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}$.

Eliminating $u_{1}$ in function of $u_{0}$ in the system (1.5), we obtain a local equation for $u_{0}$, namely

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I),  \tag{1.6}\\
\int_{I} a^{F^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right) \frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} d x_{1}=\int_{I}\left(\int_{\omega} f d x^{\prime}\right) v_{0} d x_{1}+\int_{I}\left(\int_{\omega} F_{1} d x^{\prime}\right) \frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} d x^{\prime} \\
\forall v_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where now $a^{F^{\prime}}: I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, but which depends on the $(N-1)$-last entries $F^{\prime}$ of the right-side $F$ of (1.3). Thus, problem (1.6) is not sufficient for studying the effect of the right-hand side $F$ on the solutions of (1.3), and we must remain with (1.5) for this study.

In addition to searching for the limit problem of (1.1), we are also interested in the present paper in obtaining a corrector result for (1.1). Our main result in this direction essentially establishes (see Theorem 3.8 below for the precise formulation) the existence of a (sub-)sequence of nonlocal operators $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}: I \times \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)^{N}$ such that $D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right)$ converges strongly to zero in $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$. Let us emphasize that here again the corrector $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$ is nonlocal.

In Section 4 below we prove that when $A_{\varepsilon}$ does not depend on $x_{1}$, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ and the corrector $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$ are actually local (other assumptions which also provide a local operator $\mathcal{A}$ can be found in [8,13,14]). In contrast, we show in Section 5 by means of two (periodic in $x_{1}$ ) examples that even if $A_{\varepsilon}$ does not depend on $x^{\prime}$, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is nonlocal.

Let us conclude this introduction by pointing now that we consider in the present paper the case of cylinders with fixed length and small diameter with Neumann boundary condition on the lateral boundary. Analogous results can be obtained by the same proofs in the case of cylinders with fixed bases and small height with Neumann boundary conditions on the two bases.

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

We consider an integer number $N \geqslant 2$.
The vectors $x$ of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ will be decomposed as $x=\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)$, with $x_{1} \in \mathbf{R}, x^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$.
The vectors of $\mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ will be considered as elements of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ by identifying $x^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{\dot{N-1}}$ with $\left(0, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$.
We define $M_{N}$ as the space of matrices of order $N$.
We denote by $e_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ the vector $(1,0)$.
The $N$-dimensional measure of a set $B \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ will be denoted by $|B|$, while the ( $N-1$ )-dimensional measure of a set $D \subset \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ will be denoted by $|D|_{N-1}$.

If $X$ is a normed space and $X^{\prime}$ its dual, we denote by $\left\langle x^{\prime}, x\right\rangle$ the duality pairing between $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and $x \in X$.

For an open set $\Theta \subset \mathbf{R}^{m}$ and a number $q \in[1,+\infty]$, we denote by $W^{1, q}(\Theta)$ the usual Sobolev space. If $\Upsilon$ is a subset of the boundary $\partial \Theta$ of $\Theta$, we denote by $W_{\gamma}^{1, p}(\Theta)$ the space of those functions of $W^{1, p}(\Theta)$ which vanish on $\Upsilon$. When $m=N-1$, the space of the gradients of the functions of $W^{1, p}(\Theta)$ will be denoted by $\nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\Theta)$. When $p=2$, we write $H^{1}(\Theta)=W^{1,2}(\Theta), H_{r}^{1}(\theta)=W_{\gamma}^{1,2}(\Theta), \nabla^{\prime} H^{1}(\Theta)=\nabla^{\prime} W^{1,2}(\Theta)$.

We use the index $\sharp$ to denote periodicity, for example $C_{\sharp}^{\infty}([0,1])$ is the space of the functions of $C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ which are periodic of period 1 .

For a bounded smooth connected open set $\omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ and a bounded interval $\left.I=\right] b, d[\subset \mathbf{R}$, we set $\Omega=I \times \omega$, $\Gamma=(\{b\} \cup\{d\}) \times \omega, \Omega_{\varepsilon}=I \times(\varepsilon \omega), \Gamma_{\varepsilon}=(\{b\} \cup\{d\}) \times(\varepsilon \omega)$.

In what follows, we consider a sequence of Carathéodory functions $A_{\varepsilon}: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$. We define $\hat{E}_{\varepsilon}: \Omega \times$ $\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\check{E}_{\varepsilon}: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (where $E$ refers to energy) by

$$
\hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta)=\left(A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right)(\xi-\zeta), \quad \check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)=A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) \xi
$$

for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$. We will assume that there exist $p \in(1,+\infty), \alpha>0, \beta>0, \sigma \in(0, \min \{1, p-1\})$ and $h_{1}, h_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega), h_{1}, h_{2} \geqslant 0$, such that for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)=0  \tag{2.1}\\
& \alpha|\xi-\zeta|^{p} \leqslant \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta), \quad \text { if } p \in[2,+\infty),  \tag{2.2}\\
& \alpha|\xi-\zeta|^{p} \leqslant \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(h_{1}+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2],  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left|A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right|^{p} \leqslant \beta\left(h_{2}+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right)^{p-1-\sigma} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta)^{\sigma} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.1. Using the fact that (2.1), and (2.2) or (2.3) imply that

$$
\alpha|\xi|^{p} \leqslant \check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi), \quad \text { if } p \in[2,+\infty), \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha|\xi|^{p} \leqslant h_{1}+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi), \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2],
$$

and the fact that (2.1) and (2.4) imply that there exist $\beta^{*}>0$ and $h^{*} \in L^{1}(\Omega), h^{*} \geqslant 0$, such that

$$
\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) \leqslant \beta^{*}|\xi|^{p}+h^{*}
$$

one can prove the following equivalences:
In the case $p \in[2,+\infty)$, if $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4), then there exist $\bar{\beta}>0$ and $\bar{h}_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \bar{h}_{2} \geqslant 0$, such that for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right| \leqslant \bar{\beta}\left(\bar{h}_{2}+|\xi|^{p}+|\zeta|^{p}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}}|\xi-\zeta|^{\frac{\sigma}{p-\sigma}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reciprocally, if $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.1), (2.2), and if there exist $\bar{\beta}>0, \sigma \in(0,1)$ and $\bar{h}_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \bar{h}_{2} \geqslant 0$, such that for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right| \leqslant \bar{\beta}\left(\bar{h}_{2}+|\xi|^{p}+|\zeta|^{p}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p}}|\xi-\zeta|^{\sigma} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist $\beta>0$ and $h_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega), h_{2} \geqslant 0$, such that $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.4).
In the case $p \in(1,2]$, if $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.1), (2.3), and (2.4), then there exist $\bar{\alpha}>0, \bar{\beta}>0$ and $\bar{h}_{1}, \bar{h}_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, $\bar{h}_{1}, \bar{h}_{2} \geqslant 0$, such that $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.5) and is such that for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}|\xi-\zeta|^{2} \leqslant \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta)\left(\bar{h}_{1}+|\xi|^{p}+|\zeta|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reciprocally, if $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.1), (2.6), and (2.7) for some $\bar{\alpha}>0, \bar{\beta}>0, \sigma \in(0, p-1)$, and $\bar{h}_{1}, \bar{h}_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, $\bar{h}_{1}, \bar{h}_{2} \geqslant 0$, then there exist $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime} \in L^{1}(\Omega), h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, such that for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha|\xi-\zeta|^{p} \leqslant \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2],  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left|A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right|^{p} \leqslant \beta\left(h_{2}^{\prime}+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \zeta)\right)^{\frac{2(p-1)-p \sigma}{2}} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \zeta)^{\frac{p \sigma}{2}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.2. As a consequence of Remark 2.1 we get that the class of Carathéodory functions satisfying (2.1), (2.2) or (2.3), and (2.4) is not empty. Indeed the function defined by $A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)=a_{\varepsilon}(x)|\xi|^{p-2} \xi$, with $p \in(1,+\infty)$ and $a_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $0<\check{\alpha} \leqslant a_{\varepsilon}(x) \leqslant \check{\beta}<+\infty$, satisfies (2.1), (2.2) or (2.3), and (2.4) for some $\alpha>0, \beta>0$, $h=0$ and $\sigma=1$ for $p \geqslant 2, \sigma=p(p-1) / 2$ for $1<p<2$.

Classes of Carathéodory functions satisfying assumptions slightly more general than (2.1), (2.2) or (2.3), and (2.4) have been introduced in Section 7 of [7], where observations similar to the ones made in the above Remarks 2.2 and 2.1 can also be found.

As it was done in [7], we prefer here to impose (2.1), (2.2) or (2.3), and (2.4) in place of the more classical assumptions (2.1), (2.2) or (2.7), and (2.6), because the assumptions written in the first form are stable by homogenization (see Theorem 3.1 below).

We denote by $D_{\varepsilon}: W^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$ and $D_{0}: W^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$, the differential operators defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\varepsilon} u=\partial_{1} u e_{1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u, \quad \forall u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega),  \tag{2.10}\\
& D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}, \quad \forall\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in W^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote by $C$ a generic positive constant, which only depends on $p, N, \alpha, \beta, \sigma, h_{1}, h_{2},|\omega|$ and $|I|$ and can change from a line to another one.

Our aim is to study the asymptotic behavior of the solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)  \tag{2.12}\\
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{\varepsilon} v d x \\
\forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), F \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$ (we will see later that the boundary condition $u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is not very important). As we already said in the Introduction, problem (2.12) is equivalent to (1.1) with $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ given by (1.2).

Taking $u_{\varepsilon}$ as test function in (2.12) and using Poincaré's inequality, we deduce that the solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ of (2.12) satisfy

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leqslant C\left(\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega)}}^{p^{\prime}}+\|F\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}}^{p^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

In what follows, we will use the following lemma (see [17]).
Theorem 2.3. If $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a sequence in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leqslant C
$$

then there exist $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(I), u_{1} \in L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$ and a subsequence of $u_{\varepsilon}$ (still denoted by $u_{\varepsilon}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}(\Omega)  \tag{2.13}\\
& D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)^{N} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Homogenization

In this section we perform the homogenization of (2.12). The main result of the present paper is contained in the following theorem which describes the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of (2.12).

Theorem 3.1. There exist an operator $\mathcal{A}: I \times \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N}$ and a subsequence of $\varepsilon$, still denoted by $\varepsilon$, such that for every $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(I), u_{1} \in L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right), f_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), F_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}, f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}(\Omega),  \tag{3.1}\\
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1} \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)^{N-1},  \tag{3.2}\\
& f_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup f \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega),  \tag{3.3}\\
& F_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow F \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N},  \tag{3.4}\\
& \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon} v d x+\int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon} v d x, \quad \forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega), \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the functions $u_{0}, u_{1}, f$ and $F$ are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x, \\
& \forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{A}$ also satisfies the following properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The application } x_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N} \text { is measurable } \forall(s, \psi) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega) \text {. } \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(., 0,0)=0 \quad \text { a.e. in } I . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}: I \times \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \times \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{1}(\omega)^{N}, \check{\mathcal{E}}: I \times \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{1}(\omega)^{N}$, the operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right)\right)\left(\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}}\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right)\right), \\
& \quad \forall\left(s_{1}, \psi_{1}\right),\left(s_{2}, \psi_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega) \text {, a.e. } x_{1} \in I,  \tag{3.10}\\
& \check{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\left(s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right), \quad \forall(s, \psi) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega), \text { a.e. } x_{1} \in I, \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

we have for every $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbf{R}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$ and a.e. $x_{1} \in I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}}\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega} \hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad \text { if } p \in[2,+\infty),  \tag{3.12}\\
& \alpha \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}}\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega} \hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(h_{1}+\check{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right)+\check{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2] \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant \beta\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(h_{2}+\check{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right)+\check{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega} \hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.2. The properties (3.9), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) imply the existence of $h_{0} \in L^{1}(I)$ and $C>0$, such that for every $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbf{R}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$ and a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \leqslant h_{0}+C\left(\left|s_{1}\right|^{p}+\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right|^{p} d x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{1}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s_{2}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left(\left|s_{1}\right|+\left|s_{2}\right|\right)^{p}+\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{1}\right|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{2}\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\frac{p(p-1-\sigma)}{(p-1)(p-\sigma)}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|^{p}+\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}}\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{(p-1)(p-\sigma)}} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.3. Thanks to (3.8), (3.9) and (3.14), we deduce that for every $\phi_{1} \in L^{p}(I)$ and every $\phi^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(I ; W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$, the function $x_{1} \in I \mapsto \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1},.\right)\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(I ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)^{N}$. Thus, the term $\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right)$ which appears in (3.6), (3.7) has a meaning as a function of $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$.

Remark 3.4. Observe that in Theorem 3.1 the sequence $u_{\varepsilon}$ is not supposed to vanish on $\Gamma$. Thus, the boundary condition in $\Gamma$ is not important in the homogenization result, for example, it can be substituted by a Neumann condition.

Eliminating $u_{1}$ from (3.7), Theorem 3.1 gives in particular the problem satisfied by the limit $u$ of the sequence $u_{\varepsilon}$ of solutions of (2.12). This is given by

Corollary 3.5. We consider the subsequence of $\varepsilon$ and the operator $\mathcal{A}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ given by Theorem 3.1. We define the operator $\mathcal{R}: L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N-1} \rightarrow\left(W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{R} G^{\prime}, v_{1}\right\rangle=\int_{\omega} G^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall G^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N-1}, \forall v_{1} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R} .
$$

We also introduce $\mathcal{U}: I \times \mathbf{R} \times\left(W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$ and $a: I \times \mathbf{R} \times\left(W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\omega} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathcal{U}\left(x_{1}, s, \eta\right)\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}=\left\langle\eta, v_{1}\right\rangle, \quad \forall v_{1} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) \text {, a.e. } x_{1} \in I, \\
& a\left(x_{1}, s, \eta\right)=\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathcal{U}\left(x_{1}, s, \eta\right)\right), \quad \forall(s, \eta) \in \mathbf{R} \times\left(W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)^{\prime} \text {, a.e. } x_{1} \in I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, if $u_{\varepsilon}, u_{0}, u_{1}, f_{\varepsilon}, F_{\varepsilon}, f$ and $F$ are as in the statement of Theorem 3.1, the function $u_{0}$ satisfies the equation

$$
-\frac{d}{d x_{1}} a\left(x_{1}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}, \mathcal{R} F^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right)=\int_{\omega}\left(f\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-\partial_{1} F_{1}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)\right) d x^{\prime} \quad \text { in } I .
$$

Remark 3.6. Corollary 3.5 shows that for $\eta \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(I,\left(W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ fixed, and $F^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N-1}$ such that $\mathcal{R} F^{\prime}=\eta$ in $W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$, for a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, the limit problem of (2.12) is local in $x_{1}$. In particular, defining $a_{0}: I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
a_{0}\left(x_{1}, s\right)=a\left(x_{1}, s, 0\right), \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R}, \text { a.e. } x_{1} \in \mathbf{R},
$$

Corollary 3.5 shows that for every $f, F_{1} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, the solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega), \\
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x+\int_{\Omega} F_{1} \partial_{1} v d x, \\
\forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega),
\end{array}\right.
$$

converges weakly in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ to the unique solution $u_{0}$ of

$$
-\frac{d}{d x_{1}} a_{0}\left(x_{1}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right)=\int_{\omega}\left(f\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-\partial_{1} F_{1}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)\right) d x^{\prime} \quad \text { in } I, u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) .
$$

This is similar to the homogenization result given in [10] for the case of a plate.
In addition to Theorem 3.1, we also have a corrector result for the sequence of solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ of (2.12). This is given by Theorem 3.8 below, first we need to give the following definition.

Definition 3.7. We consider the subsequence of $\varepsilon$ and the operator $\mathcal{A}$ given by Theorem 3.1. For every $(s, \psi) \in$ $\mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega)$ and a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, we define $W_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)$ as the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R},  \tag{3.17}\\
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, D_{\varepsilon} W_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x, \\
\forall v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We then define $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}: I \times \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)^{N}$ by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)=D_{\varepsilon} W_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.8. We consider the subsequence of $\varepsilon$ and the operator $\mathcal{A}$ given by Theorem 3.1. Then, there exist a constant $C>0$ and a function $h_{0} \in L^{1}(I)$, such that for $u_{\varepsilon}, u_{0}, u_{1}, f_{\varepsilon}, F_{\varepsilon}, f$ and $F$ as in the statement of Theorem 3.1 and for every step function $\Psi=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(s_{j} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{j}\right) \chi_{\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right) \times \omega}$, with $s_{j} \in \mathbf{R}, \psi_{j} \in W^{1, p}(\omega), 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m, b<i_{0}<\cdots<$ $i_{m}<d$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \Psi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{i_{0}}^{i_{m}}\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+\left|\Psi_{1}\right|\right)^{p}+C \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}\right)^{q}  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-\Psi\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1-q}
\end{align*}
$$

with $q=(p-1-\sigma) /(p-\sigma)$ if $p \in[2,+\infty), q=(p-2 \sigma) /(2(p-\sigma))$ if $p \in(1,2]$.
Moreover, if $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $\Gamma$ or if (3.5) holds for every $v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then we can take $i_{0}=b, i_{m}=d$.

Remark 3.9. The meaning of Theorem 3.8 is that taking $\Psi$ close enough to $D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \Psi\right)$ is a good approximation of $D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}$ in the strong topology of $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$ (corrector result). In fact, if we formally take $\Psi=D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ in (3.18), we will deduce

$$
D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)^{N} .
$$

However, we do not know if $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$ is a Carathéodory function and thus $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right)$ is not well defined.

## Proof of the results of Section 3

The proof of our results is an adaptation of $L$. Tartar's method (see [20,24]). We start with the following result.
Lemma 3.10. We consider $u_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), f_{\varepsilon}, g_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), F_{\varepsilon}, G_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon} v d x+\int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon} v d x, & \forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega), \\
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon} v d x+\int_{\Omega} G_{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon} v d x, & \forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega) . \tag{3.20}
\end{array}
$$

We assume that there exist $u_{0}, w_{0} \in W^{1, p}(I), u_{1}, w_{1} \in L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right), T, S \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}, f, g \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), F, G \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{0}, \quad w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w_{0} \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}(\Omega),  \tag{3.21}\\
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime} u_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}, \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x^{\prime}} w_{1} \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N-1},  \tag{3.22}\\
& A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)-T, \quad A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup S \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N},  \tag{3.23}\\
& f_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup f, \quad g_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup g \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)  \tag{3.24}\\
& F_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow F, \quad G_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N} . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $T, S$ satisfy the following properties

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega} T D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x  \tag{3.26}\\
\int_{\Omega} S D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} g v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} G D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

For a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime} \leqslant \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad \text { if } p \in[2,+\infty),  \tag{3.27}\\
& \alpha \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(h_{1}+T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+S D_{0}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2], \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}|T-S|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \leqslant \beta & \left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(h_{2}+T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+S D_{0}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

For every $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(I)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi d x \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if (3.19), (3.20) hold true for every $v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ then, in (3.26), we can take $v_{0}$ in $W^{1, p}(I)$ and in (3.30) we can take $\varphi \in C^{1}(\bar{I})$. This last assertion also holds if $u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, for every $\varepsilon>0$.

Proof. For $v_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I)$ and $v_{1} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$, we use $v_{0}+\varepsilon v_{1}$ as test function in (3.19). This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\varepsilon \partial_{1} v_{1}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right) d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{0}+\varepsilon v_{1}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\varepsilon \partial_{1} v_{1}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit in this equality, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} T D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x .
$$

By density, this equality holds for every $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$. Reasoning analogously with $w_{\varepsilon}$ we conclude (3.26). If (3.19), (3.20) hold for $v$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then we can take $v_{0}$ in $W^{1, p}(I)$ in the above reasoning; thus in (3.26) we can take $v_{0}$ in $W^{1, p}(I)$.

Now, for $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(I)$, or $\varphi \in C^{1}(\bar{I})$ if (3.19) holds for $v$ in $W^{1, p}(I)$ or if $u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we take $\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi$ as test function in (3.19). This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x= & -\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) e_{1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{d \varphi}{d x_{1}} d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit in this equality thanks to the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem and taking into account (3.26), we conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x= & -\int_{\Omega} T e_{1}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}\right) \frac{d \varphi}{d x_{1}} d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{0}-w_{0}\right) \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(\left(u_{0}-w_{0}\right) \varphi,\left(u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} T D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi d x . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, we can prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} S D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi d x . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.31) and (3.32), we deduce (3.30). Taking in (3.30) $w_{\varepsilon}=0$ and $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ respectively, we also have for every $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(I)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \varphi d x  \tag{3.33}\\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} S D_{0}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right) \varphi d x \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We take $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(I), \varphi \geqslant 0$.
If $p \geqslant 2$, the lower semicontinuity of the norm for the weak convergence, (2.2) and (3.30) prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right)\right|^{p} \varphi d x & \leqslant \alpha \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{p} \varphi d x \\
& \leqslant \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, if $1<p<2$, using (2.3) in place of (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right)\right|^{p} \varphi d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \alpha \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{p} \varphi d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(h+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \varphi d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{\Omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \varphi d x\right)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad=\left(\int_{\Omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h+T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+S D_{0}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right) \varphi d x\right)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Omega=I \times \omega$, these inequalities prove (3.27) and (3.28) respectively.
To prove (3.29), we take $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(I), \varphi \geqslant 0$ a.e. in $I$, then, by (3.33), (3.34) and (3.30), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|T-S|^{p^{\prime}} \varphi d x & \leqslant \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)-A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \varphi d x \\
& \leqslant \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta \int_{\Omega}\left(h+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \varphi d x \\
\leqslant & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\check{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\beta\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h+T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+S D_{0}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right) \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
\cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}(T-S) D_{0}\left(u_{0}-w_{0}, u_{1}-w_{1}\right) \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies (3.29), by $\Omega=I \times \omega$ and the arbitrariness of $\varphi$.
As a consequence of Lemma 3.10 we can now prove Theorem 3.1.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. We use L. Tartar's method (see [20,24]). We set $X=W^{1, p}(I) / \mathbf{R} \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)$ and we consider a countable dense subset $\Lambda$ of $X^{\prime}$. For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we take $G^{\lambda} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$ such that for every $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in X$, we have

$$
\left\langle\lambda,\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} G^{\lambda} D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x
$$

We denote by $u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}$, the solution of

$$
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} G^{\lambda} D_{\varepsilon} v d x, \quad \forall v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}
$$

For every $\lambda \in \Lambda, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$. So, since $\Lambda$ is countable, we can use Theorem 2.3 to deduce the existence of a subsequence of $\varepsilon$ still denoted by $\varepsilon,\left(u_{0}^{\lambda}, u_{1}^{\lambda}\right) \in X$ and $\sigma^{\lambda} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \rightharpoonup u_{0}^{\lambda} \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}, \\
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}^{\lambda} \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)^{N-1}, \\
& A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}\right) \rightharpoonup \sigma^{\lambda} \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.26), for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \sigma^{\lambda} D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\left\langle\lambda,\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle, \quad \forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in X . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for every $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \Lambda$ and a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, inequalities (3.27) and (3.29) prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(\sigma^{\lambda_{1}}-\sigma^{\lambda_{2}}\right) D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad \text { if } p \in[2,+\infty)  \tag{3.36}\\
& \alpha \int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(\sigma^{\lambda_{1}}-\sigma^{\lambda_{2}}\right) D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\omega}\left(h_{1}+\sigma^{\lambda_{1}} D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)+\sigma^{\lambda_{2}} D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2] \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left|\sigma^{\lambda_{1}}-\sigma^{\lambda_{2}}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \leqslant \beta & \left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(h_{2}+\sigma^{\lambda_{1}} D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)+\sigma^{\lambda_{2}} D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \omega}\left(\sigma^{\lambda_{1}}-\sigma^{\lambda_{2}}\right) D_{0}\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.38), (3.35) and Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\sigma^{\lambda_{1}}-\sigma^{\lambda_{2}}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \leqslant \beta\left(\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\langle\lambda_{1},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
\cdot\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \tag{3.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.35) and (3.36) or (3.37), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left\|\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\|_{X}^{p} \leqslant\left\langle\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle, \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $p \in[2,+\infty)$, or

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left\|\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\|_{X}^{p} \leqslant & \left\langle\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \cdot\left(\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\langle\lambda_{1},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

if $p \in(1,2]$.
Since $X$ is reflexive, for $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \Lambda$, there exists $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in X$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right\|_{X}=1, \quad\left\langle\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2},\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\left\|\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} .
$$

Taking $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$ as test function in the difference of the equations satisfied by $\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)$ and ( $u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}$ ), and using (3.39), we easily get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right\|_{X^{\prime}}= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\sigma^{\lambda_{1}}-\sigma^{\lambda_{2}}\right) D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x \\
\leqslant & \beta^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\|h\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\langle\lambda_{1},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \cdot\left\langle\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2},\left(u_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{0}^{\lambda_{2}}, u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}-u_{1}^{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right\rangle^{\frac{\sigma}{p}} \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.40), (3.41), (3.42), (3.36) and the theory of monotone operators (see [15,16]), we deduce the existence of two applications $L: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X, R: X^{\prime} \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$ such that

$$
L \text { is bijective, } \quad L(\lambda)=\left(u_{0}^{\lambda}, u_{1}^{\lambda}\right), \quad R(\lambda)=\sigma^{\lambda}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda .
$$

We are now in a position to define $\mathcal{A}$. For $s \in \mathbf{R}$, we denote by $\phi_{s}: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the function $\phi_{s}\left(x_{1}\right)=s x_{1}$, for every $x_{1} \in I$. Then, for $(s, \psi) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega)$ a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, we take $\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)=R\left(L^{-1}\left(\phi_{s}, \psi\right)\right)\left(x_{1},.\right)$ From (3.36) and (3.38), the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14).

We consider $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, such that there exist $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(I), u_{1} \in L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right), f_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), F_{\varepsilon} \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}, f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), F \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, which satisfy (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5). Since $A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, there exist a subsequence $\varepsilon^{*}$ of $\varepsilon$ and $T \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$ such that $A_{\varepsilon^{*}}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon^{*}}\left(u_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right)\right)$ converges weakly in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$ to $T$. From (3.29), for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\omega}|R(\lambda)-T|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \leqslant & \beta\left(\int_{\omega}\left(h+R(\lambda) D_{0}(L(\lambda))+T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\omega}(R(\lambda)-T) D_{0}\left(L(\lambda)-\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

So, taking for $(s, \psi) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega)$ a sequence $\lambda_{n} \in \Lambda$ which converges to $L^{-1}\left(\phi_{s}, \psi\right)$ in $X^{\prime}$, writing (3.43) for $\lambda_{n}$, and passing to the limit in $n$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\omega}\left|\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)-T\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x^{\prime} \leqslant & \beta\left(\int_{\omega}\left(h+\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) D_{0}\left(\phi_{s}, \psi\right)+T D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\omega}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)-T\right) D_{0}\left(\phi_{s}-u_{0}, \psi-u_{1}\right) d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $x_{1} \in I$. This implies

$$
T\left(x_{1}, .\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right), \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega .
$$

Thus, it is not necessary to extract the subsequence $\varepsilon^{*}$ of $\varepsilon$, and (3.6) holds. Statement (3.7) is deduced from (3.26). To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 it only remains to prove (3.9). This holds using that by (2.1), the functions $u_{\varepsilon}=0$, $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=0, f_{\varepsilon}=f=0$ and $F_{\varepsilon}=F=0$ satisfy (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5). Thus, (3.6) gives (3.9).

Proof of Corollary 3.5. It is enough to observe that (3.7) implies for a.e. $x_{1} \in I$

$$
u_{1}\left(x_{1}, .\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(x_{1}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \mathcal{R} F^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right), \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega .
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Let us only prove the case $p \in[2,+\infty)$. The case $p \in(1,2)$ is analogous.
We consider $s \in \mathbf{R}, \psi \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$ and $l, k \in(b, d)$ with $l<k$. From Theorem 3.1, we deduce that $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)$ converges weakly in $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$ to $s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi$ and $A_{\varepsilon}\left(x, \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right)$ converges weakly in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$ to $\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)$. From (2.2), (3.30) and (3.16), we deduce that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ with $\varphi \geqslant \chi_{(l, k)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha \int_{(l, k) \times \omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} \hat{\mathcal{A}}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) \varphi d x \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{b}^{d}\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+|s|\right)^{p}+\int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right) \varphi d x_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-\left(s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right|^{p} \varphi d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varphi$ decrease to $\chi_{(l, k)}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha \int_{(l, k) \times \omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{l}^{k}\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+|s|\right)^{p}+\int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{(l, k) \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-\left(s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If now $\Psi$ is as in the statement of Theorem 3.7, we write the above inequality for $l=i_{j-1}, k=i_{j}, s=s_{j}, \psi=\psi_{j}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, adding in $j$ and using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha \int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \Psi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\int_{i_{j-1}}^{i_{j}}\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+\left|s_{j}\right|\right)^{p}+C \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{j}\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right) \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-\left(s_{j} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{j}\right)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{i_{0}}^{i_{m}}\left(h_{c}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+\left|\Psi_{1}\right|\right)^{p}+\int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-\Psi\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (3.18).
If $u_{\varepsilon}$ is zero on $\Gamma$ or if (3.5) holds for every $v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then, we do not need to take $\varphi$ with compact support above. So, in this case, we can take $i_{0}=b, i_{m}=d$ in (3.5).

## 4. A case where the limit problem is local

Assuming that the sequence of functions $A_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)$ does not depend on $x_{1}$, we prove in this section that the limit problem of (2.12) is local. This is given by the following result.

Theorem 4.1. We assume that the functions $A_{\varepsilon}$ do not depend on $x_{1}$. Then, there exists a Carathéodory function $A: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$ such that the operator $\mathcal{A}$ given by Theorem 3.1 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=A\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $(s, \psi) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\omega)$, a.e. $\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}$ does not depend on $x_{1}$ and (3.7) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} A\left(x^{\prime}, D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right) D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{0}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) d x, \\
& \forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, denoting by $\check{E}: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\hat{E}: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the functions defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \check{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)=A\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right) \xi  \tag{4.2}\\
& \hat{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi, \zeta\right)=\left(A\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)-A\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right)(\xi-\zeta) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$, and a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \omega$, the function $A$ is such that for every $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=0  \tag{4.4}\\
& \alpha|\xi-\zeta|^{p} \leqslant \hat{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi, \zeta\right), \quad \text { if } p \in[2,+\infty)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \alpha|\xi-\zeta|^{p} \leqslant \hat{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi, \zeta\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\check{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)+\check{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}, \quad \text { if } p \in(1,2]  \tag{4.6}\\
& \left|A\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)-A\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leqslant \beta\left(h\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\check{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)+\check{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \hat{E}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi, \zeta\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The corrector result given in Theorem 3.8 can also be improved in the following way.

Definition 4.2. We assume that the functions $A_{\varepsilon}$ do not depend on $x_{1}$ and we consider the subsequence of $\varepsilon$ and the function $A$ given by Lemma 4.5 . We define $P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(., \xi) \in \nabla^{\prime} W^{1, p}(\omega),  \tag{4.8}\\
\int_{\omega} A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi_{1}+P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi d x^{\prime}, \\
\forall \psi \in W^{1, p}(\omega),
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$.
Theorem 4.3. Under the assumptions of Definition 4.2, there exist a constant $C>0$ and a function $h_{0} \in L^{1}(I)$ such that for $u_{\varepsilon}, u_{0}, u_{1}, f_{\varepsilon}, F_{\varepsilon}, f$ and $F$ as in the statement of Theorem 3.1 and for every step function $\Phi=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(s_{j} e_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\sum_{l=1}^{n} \eta_{j l} \chi_{K_{l}}\right) \chi_{\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right)}$, with $s_{j} \in \mathbf{R}, \eta_{j l} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}, b<i_{0}<\cdots<i_{m}<d, K_{l} \subset \bar{\omega}$ compact, $\left|K_{l_{1}} \cap K_{l_{2}}\right|_{N-1}=0$ if $l_{1} \neq l_{2}, \bar{\omega}=\bigcup_{l=1}^{n} K_{l}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left|\partial_{1} u_{\varepsilon}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|^{p} d x=0,  \tag{4.9}\\
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left(h_{c}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+|\Phi|\right)^{p}\right) d x\right)^{q} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left(i_{0}, i_{m}\right) \times \omega}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}-\Phi_{1}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}-\Phi^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{1-q}, \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

with $q=(p-1-\sigma) /(p-\sigma)$ if $p \in[2,+\infty), q=(p-2 \sigma) /(2(p-\sigma))$ if $p \in(1,2]$.
Proof of the results of Section 4
We start with the following lemma which can be proved reasoning similarly to Lemma 3.10.
Lemma 4.4. We assume that the functions $A_{\varepsilon}$ (and then $h$ ) do not depend on $x_{1}$. We consider $\psi_{\varepsilon}, \eta_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$, $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbf{R}, F^{\prime}, G^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N-1}$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\omega} A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s_{1} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} F^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}, & \forall v \in W^{1, p}(\omega), \\
\int_{\omega} A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s_{2} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} G^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall v \in W^{1, p}(\omega) . \tag{4.12}
\end{array}
$$

We assume there exist $\psi, \eta \in W^{1, p}(\omega), T=\left(T_{1}, T^{\prime}\right), S=\left(S_{1}, S^{\prime}\right) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi, \quad \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x^{\prime} \eta} \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\omega)^{N-1},  \tag{4.13}\\
& A_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, s_{1} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup T, \quad A_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, s_{2} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup S \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N} . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $T, S$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} T^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} F^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}, \quad \int_{\omega} S^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} G^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall v \in W^{1, p}(\omega) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $T$ and $S$ satisfy the following inequalities a.e. in $\omega$

$$
\begin{align*}
&|T-S|^{p^{\prime}} \leqslant \beta\left(h_{2}+T\left(s_{1} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)+S\left(s_{2} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-1}} \\
& \cdot\left|(T-S)\left(\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}}(\psi-\eta)\right)\right|^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1}}  \tag{4.16}\\
& \alpha\left(\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}}(\psi-\eta)\right|^{p}\right) \leqslant(T-S)\left(\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}}(\psi-\eta)\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

if $p \in[2, \infty)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}}(\psi-\eta)\right|^{p}\right) \leqslant & {\left[(T-S)\left(\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}}(\psi-\eta)\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} } \\
& \cdot\left[h_{1}+T\left(s_{1} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)+S\left(s_{2} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta\right)\right]^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

if $p \in(1,2]$.
Moreover, for every $\vartheta \in W^{1, \infty}(\bar{\omega})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\omega} \hat{E}_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, s_{1} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}, s_{2} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \vartheta d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega}(T-S)\left(\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}}(\psi-\eta)\right) \vartheta d x . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this lemma we can also prove the following result reasoning similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Lemma 4.5. We assume that the functions $A_{\varepsilon}$ do not depend on $x_{1}$. Then, there exist a subsequence of $\varepsilon$, still denoted by $\varepsilon$, and Carathéodory function $A: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$ satisfying (4.4), (4.7) and (4.5) or (4.6) depending if $p \in[2,+\infty$ ) or $p \in(1,2]$, such that for every $\left(s, F^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{R} \times L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N-1}$, the sequence $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$ of solutions of the Neumann problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} F^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall v_{1} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges weakly in $W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$ to the solution $\psi$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} F^{\prime} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall v_{1} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup A\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)^{N} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.6. For every $s \in \mathbf{R}$, the function $A_{s}^{\prime}: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ defined by

$$
A_{s}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \eta\right)=A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\eta\right), \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1} \text {, a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega,
$$

is the $H$-limit (see [20]) of the sequence $\left(A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{s}: \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ defined as

$$
\left(A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{s}\left(x^{\prime}, \eta\right)=A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\eta\right), \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}, \text { a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega .
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We consider the subsequence of $\varepsilon$ given by Lemma 4.5, extracting a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that Theorem 3.1 holds. For $s \in \mathbf{R}$, we take $\phi_{s}\left(x_{1}\right)=s x_{1}$. Then, for $\psi \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$, we define $u_{\varepsilon} \in$ $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ as $u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\phi_{s}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, a.e. in $\omega$, with $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$ the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1} d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall v_{1} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.5, $D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}=s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly in $L^{p}(\omega)^{N}$ to $D_{0}\left(\phi_{s}\left(x_{1}\right), \psi\right)=s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup A\left(x^{\prime}, D_{0}\left(\phi_{s}, \psi\right)\right) \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega) . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} F D_{\varepsilon} v d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega),
$$

with $F_{1}=0$ and $F^{\prime}=A^{\prime}\left(s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)$. Then, from Theorem 3.1, we also deduce

$$
A_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, D_{0}\left(\phi_{s}, \psi\right)\right) .
$$

By (4.24), we get

$$
A\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(x_{1}, s, \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right),
$$

for every $(s, \psi) \in \mathbf{R} \times W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, a.e. in $\Omega$. This proves (4.1). Since this equality defines the operator $\mathcal{A}$, we deduce that the sequence given in Theorem 3.1 can be taken as the subsequence given in Lemma 4.5, without extracting any subsequence.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let us only prove the case $p \in[2,+\infty)$, the case $p \in(1,2]$ is analogous.
For $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\psi \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$, we define $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}$ as the solution of (4.23). By Definition 3.7 of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$, it is then easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)-s e_{1}-\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, K \subset \bar{\omega}$ compact and $\vartheta \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$, with $\vartheta \geqslant \chi_{K}$, assertion (4.19) with $s_{1}=s, s_{2}=\xi$, $\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \eta_{\varepsilon}=P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(., \xi), T=A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, s e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right), S=A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)$ and the properties of $A_{\varepsilon}$ and $A$ give the existence of $C>0$ and $h_{0} \in L^{1}(\omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\omega}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)\right|^{p} \vartheta d x^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant \int_{\omega}\left(h_{0}+C\left(|s|+|\xi|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right|\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}}\left(|s-\xi|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi-\xi^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}} \vartheta d x^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\vartheta$ decreases to $\chi_{K}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)\right|^{p} \vartheta d x^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \int_{K}\left(h_{0}+C\left(|s|+|\xi|+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi\right|\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}}\left(|s-\xi|^{p}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi-\xi^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}} d x^{\prime} \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider $\Phi=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(s_{j} e_{1}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \eta_{j l} \chi_{K_{l}}\right) \chi_{\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right)}$ as in the statement of Theorem 4.3 and $\Psi=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(s_{j} e_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{j}\right) \chi_{\left(p_{j-1}, p_{j}\right)}$, with $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{m} \in W^{1, p}(\omega)$. From (4.26) and Holder's inequality, we easily get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I_{c} \times \omega}\left|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \Psi\right)-\Phi_{1} e_{1}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \Phi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right) \times K_{l}}\left|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, s_{j}, \psi_{j}\right)-s_{j} e_{1}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \eta_{j l}\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}\left(h_{0}+C(|E|+|\Psi|)^{p}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p-\sigma}}\left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}|E-\Psi|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.18) we then deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\lim \sup }\left\|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\Phi_{1} e_{1}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \Phi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)^{N}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \Psi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)^{N}}+\underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\limsup }\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \Psi\right)-\Phi_{1} e_{1}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \Phi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)^{N}} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\int_{I_{c}}\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right|+\left|\Psi_{1}\right|\right)^{p}+C \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right|+\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{p} d x^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p(p-\sigma)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-\Psi\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p(p-\sigma)}} \\
& +\left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}\left(h_{0}+C(|\Phi|+|\Psi|)^{p}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p(p-\sigma)}}\left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}|\Phi-\Psi|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p(p-\sigma)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking in this inequality $\Psi$ converging to $D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ in $L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)$ we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\Phi_{1} e_{1}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \Phi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)^{N}} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}\left(h_{0}+C\left(\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right|+|\Phi|\right)\right)^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1-\sigma}{p(p-\sigma)}}\left(\int_{I_{c} \times \omega}\left|D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)-E\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p(p-\sigma)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (4.10). To obtain (4.9), it is enough to use

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|\partial_{1} u_{\varepsilon}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)} \leqslant \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\Phi_{1} e_{1}-P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \Phi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)^{N}}+\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}-\Phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)}
$$

and then to use the previous inequality with $E$ converging to $D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$.

## 5. Some examples with nonlocal limit

In the previous section, we have shown that if the functions $A_{\varepsilon}$ do not depend on $x_{1}$, the limit problem of (2.12) is local. We show here that this assertion is not true when $A_{\varepsilon}$ depends on $x_{1}$ even, if they do not depend on $x^{\prime}$. For this purpose, we consider a function $A \in L_{\sharp}^{\infty}\left(0,1 ; M_{N}\right)$, such that there exists $\alpha>0$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(y_{1}\right) \xi \xi \geqslant \alpha|\xi|^{2}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, \text { a.e. } y_{1} \in \mathbf{R} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we consider the homogenization problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{5.2}\\
\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{\varepsilon} v d x \\
\forall v \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega), F$ belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega)^{N}$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon}>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_{\varepsilon}=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.1. The homogenization of the nonlinear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)  \tag{5.4}\\
\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) D_{\varepsilon} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x+\int_{\Omega} F D_{\varepsilon} v d x \\
\forall v \in W_{\Gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

can be performed using the same arguments which we will use here, but this complicates the exposition and it is not necessary for our purpose.

To perform the homogenization of (5.2), we will use the two-scale convergence method of G. Nguetseng and G. Allaire (see [1,21]). The following is the definition of the two-scale convergence adapted to our problem.

Definition 5.2. Let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, we say that $u_{\varepsilon}$ two-scale converges to $\hat{u} \in L^{2}(I \times(0,1) \times \omega)$, and we write

$$
u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2 e}{\rightarrow} \hat{u},
$$

if for every $\phi \in L_{\sharp}^{\infty}(0,1)$, and every $\varphi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}(x) \phi\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) \varphi(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{u}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(y_{1}\right) \varphi(x) d y_{1} d x
$$

Remark 5.3. If a bounded sequence $u_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ two-scale converges to $\hat{u} \in L^{2}(I \times(0,1) \times \omega)$, then $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the function $u$ given by

$$
u(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \hat{u}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) d y_{1}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
$$

Analogously to the well known two-scale compactness theorem for a sequence which is bounded in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ (see $[1,21]$ ), we can prove in our case the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. We consider a sequence $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for some $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(I)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \quad \text { in } H_{\Gamma}^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{5.5}\\
& \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x \leqslant C \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}=\lambda \in[0,+\infty] \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for a subsequence (still denoted by $u_{\varepsilon}$ ), we have:
i) If $\lambda=0$, there exist $\hat{u}_{0} \in L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1) / \mathbf{R}\right)$ and $\hat{u}_{1} \in L^{2}\left(I \times(0,1), H^{1}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2 e}{=}\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{u}_{1} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) If $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, there exists $\hat{u}_{1} \in L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}((0,1) \times \omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2 e}{\stackrel{e}{e}}\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\lambda \partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{1}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime} \hat{u}_{1}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) If $\lambda=+\infty$, there exist $\hat{u}_{0} \in L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}\left((0,1), L^{2}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)\right)$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}\left(I, H^{1}(\omega)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2 e}{ }\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.5. In view of (5.6) and of Theorem 2.3, for a subsequence, there exists a function $u_{1} \in L^{2}\left(I, H^{1}(\omega)\right)$ such that

$$
D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup D_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)^{N}
$$

This function $u_{1}$ appears in (5.10) in the case iii), while in the cases i) and ii), the functions $u_{1}$ are given in terms of the functions $\hat{u}_{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \hat{u}_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) d y_{1}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Remark 5.3).

From Lemma 5.4 we can now deduce the following result.
Theorem 5.6. We assume (5.7) and we consider the solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ of (5.2). Then, we have:
i) If $\lambda=0$, we get (5.8), with $u_{0}, \hat{u}_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}$ the solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{0}, \hat{u}_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(I) \times L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1) / \mathbf{R}\right) \times L^{2}\left(I \times(0,1), H^{1}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right),  \tag{5.12}\\
\int_{\Omega}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} A\left(y_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{u}_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{v}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{v}_{1}\right) d y_{1} d x \\
\quad=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} F\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{v}_{1}\right) d y_{1} d x, \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, \hat{v}_{0}, \hat{v}_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(I) \times L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1) / \mathbf{R}\right) \times L^{2}\left(I \times(0,1), H^{1}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

ii) If $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, we get (5.9), with $u_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}$ the solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(I) \times L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}((0,1) \times \omega) / \mathbf{R}\right),  \tag{5.13}\\
\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} A\left(y_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\lambda \partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{1}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{u}_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\lambda \partial_{y_{1}} \hat{v}_{1}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{v}_{1}\right) d y_{1} d x \\
\quad=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} F\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{v}_{1}\right) d y_{1} d x, \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, \hat{v}_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(I) \times L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}((0,1) \times \omega) / \mathbf{R}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

iii) If $\lambda=+\infty$, we get (5.10), with $u_{0}, \hat{u}_{0}, u_{1}$ the solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{0}, \hat{u}_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(I) \times L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}\left(0,1, L^{2}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)\right) \times L^{2}\left(I, H^{1}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right),  \tag{5.14}\\
\int_{\Omega}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} A\left(y_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{v}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right) d y_{1} d x \\
\quad=\int_{\Omega} f v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right) d x, \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, \hat{v}_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(I) \times L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}\left((0,1), L^{2}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)\right) \times L^{2}\left(I, H^{1}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. We only prove the case $\lambda=0$, the cases $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$ and $\lambda=+\infty$ are similar.
We consider a subsequence of $\varepsilon, u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(I), \hat{u}_{0} \in L^{2}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1) / \mathbf{R}\right), \hat{u}_{1} \in L^{2}\left(I \times(0,1), H^{1}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)$ such that (5.8) holds. For $\varphi_{0}, \hat{\varphi}_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(I), \hat{U}_{0} \in C_{\sharp}^{\infty}([0,1]), \hat{\varphi}_{1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(I, C^{\infty}(\bar{\omega})\right), \hat{U}_{1} \in C_{\sharp}^{\infty}([0,1])$, we take as test function in (5.2) the sequence $v_{\varepsilon} \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$
v_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+\delta_{\varepsilon} \hat{\varphi}_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \hat{U}_{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)+\varepsilon \hat{\varphi}_{1}(x) \hat{U}_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right), \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
$$

Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+r_{\varepsilon} \\
& D_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}(x)=\left(\frac{d \varphi_{0}}{d x_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\hat{\varphi}_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{d \hat{U}_{0}}{d y_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{1}(x) \hat{U}_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)+R_{\varepsilon}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{\varepsilon}$ and $R_{\varepsilon}$ converge strongly to zero in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $L^{2}(\Omega)^{N}$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(\frac{d \varphi_{0}}{d x_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\hat{\varphi}_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{d \hat{U}_{0}}{d y_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{1}(x) \hat{U}_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} F\left(\left(\frac{d \varphi_{0}}{d x_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\hat{\varphi}_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{d \hat{U}_{0}}{d y_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{1}(x) \hat{U}_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) d x+O_{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $O_{\varepsilon}$ tends to zero. Using (5.8) to pass to the limit in this equality we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} A\left(y_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{u}_{1}\right)\left(\left(\frac{d \varphi_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\hat{\varphi}_{0} \frac{d \hat{U}_{0}}{d y_{1}}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{1} \hat{U}_{1}\right) d x d y_{1} \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} F\left(\left(\frac{d \varphi_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\hat{\varphi}_{0} \frac{d \hat{U}_{0}}{d y_{1}}\right) e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{1} \hat{U}_{1}\right) d x d y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\varphi_{0}, \hat{\varphi}_{0}, \hat{U}_{0}, \hat{\varphi}_{1}$ and $\hat{U}_{1}$, as above. By linearity and density, this implies that $u_{0}, \hat{u}_{0}$ and $\hat{u}_{1}$ are the solutions of (5.12), and then, by uniqueness, that it is not necessary to extract any subsequence.

Remark 5.7. When $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, Theorem 5.6 can be deduced from the results obtained in [22] (in [22] $F=0$, but to assume $F \neq 0$ does not make the problem more difficult). Other homogenization results for thin structures with periodic coefficients can be found in [2,3,5,11].

Remark 5.8. For $\lambda=0$, the above theorem means that the asymptotic behavior of $u_{\varepsilon}$ is as if we consider $\delta_{\varepsilon}=\delta$ fixed, and we take the limit first in $\varepsilon$ and then in $\delta$, i.e. as we make first the reduction of dimension and then the homogenization. It is possible to obtain a general result in this direction assuming that the frequency of the oscillations in $x_{1}$ is smaller than $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Specifically, the following result holds: Assume $A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying the assumptions in Section 2 and such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{0 \leqslant h \leqslant 1}\left\|A_{\varepsilon}\left(.+\varepsilon h e_{1}, \xi\right)-A_{\varepsilon}(., \xi)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(I_{c} \times \omega\right)}=0, \quad \forall I_{c} \Subset I .
$$

For $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{N}$, we define $u_{0, \varepsilon}, u_{1, \varepsilon}$ as the solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{0, \varepsilon}, u_{1, \varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{d u_{0, \varepsilon}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1, \varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right) d x \\
\quad=\int_{\Omega}\left(f v_{0}+F\left(\frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v_{1}\right)\right) d x \\
\forall\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in W^{1, p}(I) \times L^{p}\left(I, W^{1, p}(\omega) / \mathbf{R}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we have

$$
D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-\left(\frac{d u_{0, \varepsilon}}{d x_{1}} e_{1}+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{1, \varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

where $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution of (2.12). This reduces the homogenization of (2.12) to the homogenization of (5.15). We will not prove this result because we will not use it.

When $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, Theorem 5.6 means that the reduction of dimension and the homogenization hold simultaneously.

When $\lambda=\infty$, Theorem 5.6 means that we can perform first the homogenization and then the reduction of dimension. Clearly in this case the problem for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ is local. We will see that the other two cases give nonlocal problems in general. Namely we give two examples, with $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=1$ in which the limit problem of (2.12) is nonlocal.

In the two examples we assume $N=2, \omega=(0,1)$, and we set $x^{\prime}=x_{2}$.

## Example 1

We define $A \in L_{\sharp}^{\infty}\left((0,1), M_{2}\right)$ by

$$
A\left(y_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{5.16}\\
1 & \gamma\left(y_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { a.e. } y_{1} \in(0,1)
$$

with $\gamma \in L_{\sharp}^{\infty}(0,1)$, such that there exists $v>0$, with $\gamma>1+v$ a.e. in $(0,1)$. We consider $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}=0
$$

Theorem 5.9. For the above choice of $A$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon}$, the limit problem of (5.2) is (3.7) where $\mathcal{A}: \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} H^{1}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\omega)^{2}$ is the nonlocal operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(s, \frac{d \psi}{d x_{2}}\right)=\left(s+\frac{d \psi}{d x_{2}}\right) e_{1}+\left(s+\gamma^{*} \frac{d \psi}{d x_{2}}+\left(\hat{\gamma}-\gamma^{*}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \psi}{d x_{2}}(t) d t\right), \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega, \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{*}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y_{1}}{\gamma\left(y_{1}\right)}\right)^{-1}, \quad \hat{\gamma}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y_{1}}{\gamma\left(y_{1}\right)-1}\right)^{-1}+1 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$, we define $\left(u_{0}, \hat{u}_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}\right)$ as the solution of (5.12) and $u_{1}$ by (5.11). We know that if $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution of (2.12) then (2.13), (2.14) hold.

Taking in (5.12), $v_{0}=0, \hat{v}_{0}=0$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}+\gamma\left(y_{1}\right) \partial_{x_{2}} \hat{u}_{1}=F_{2} \quad \text { a.e. in } I \times(0,1) \times \omega . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now $v_{0}=0$ and $\hat{v}_{1}=0$ in (5.12) we deduce that there exists a function $r \in L^{2}(I)$ such that

$$
\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x_{2}} \hat{u}_{1} d x_{2}=r\left(x_{1}\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } I \times(0,1) .
$$

Taking in this expression the value of $\partial_{x_{2}} \hat{u}_{1}$ given by (5.19), we get

$$
\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\bar{F}_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}-\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}\right)=r\left(x_{1}\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } I \times(0,1),
$$

with

$$
\bar{F}_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} F_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{2}, \quad \text { a.e. } x_{1} \in I .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} r-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}-\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \bar{F}_{2} \quad \text { a.e. in } I \times(0,1) . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this equality with respect to $y_{1}$ and using that $\hat{u}_{0}$ is periodic with respect to $y_{1}$, we easily deduce

$$
r\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{\hat{\gamma}-1}{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}} \bar{F}_{2},
$$

which substituted in (5.20) proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}=\left(\frac{\gamma}{\hat{\gamma}}-1\right) \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left(\bar{F}_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right) . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expression (5.21) of $\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{0}$ in (5.19) we have

$$
\partial_{x_{2}} \hat{u}_{1}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(F_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}}-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left(\bar{F}_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right),
$$

a.e. in $I \times(0,1) \times \omega$, which integrated in $(0,1)$ with respect to $y_{1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}=\frac{1}{\gamma^{*}}\left(F_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}}-\frac{1}{\gamma^{*}}\right)\left(\bar{F}_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right), \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating now in $(0,1)$ with respect to $x_{2}$, we get

$$
\bar{F}_{2}-\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}=\hat{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, t\right) d t, \quad \text { a.e. in } I,
$$

which substituted in (5.22) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\gamma^{*} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}+\left(\hat{\gamma}-\gamma^{*}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, t\right) d t=F_{2}, \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, taking in (5.12) $\hat{v}_{0}=0, \hat{v}_{1}=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}}+\partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}\right) \frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} d x_{1}=\int_{\Omega}\left(f v_{0}+F_{1} \frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right) d x_{1} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(I)$. From (5.23) and (5.24) we conclude that $u_{0}, u_{1}$ satisfy (3.7) with $\mathcal{A}$ given by (5.17).

## Example 2

We take $\delta_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon, \omega=(0,1)$, and we define $A \in L_{\sharp}^{\infty}\left((0,1), M_{2}\right)$ by

$$
A\left(y_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{5.25}\\
0 & \gamma\left(y_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { a.e. in }(0,1)
$$

with $\gamma \in L_{\sharp}^{\infty}(0,1)$, such that for some $\alpha>0$, we have $\gamma>\alpha$ a.e. in $\mathbf{R}$.
Theorem 5.10. For the above choice of $A_{\varepsilon}$, the limit problem of (2.12) is (3.7) where $\mathcal{A}: \mathbf{R} \times \nabla^{\prime} H^{1}(\omega) \times L^{2}(0,1)^{2}$ is a nonlocal operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(s, \frac{d \psi}{d x_{2}}\right)(x)=s e_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\frac{d \psi}{d x_{2}}\right)\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega, \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{2}: L^{2}(\omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\omega)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}(H)\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} H(t) \sin (k \pi t) d t}{k^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \psi_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}} \sin \left(k \pi x_{2}\right), \quad \text { a.e. } x_{2} \in(0,1) . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\psi_{k} \in H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}} \frac{d v}{d y_{1}} d y_{1}+k^{2} \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma \psi_{k} v d y_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} v d y_{1}, \quad \forall v \in H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1) . \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$, we define $u_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}$ as the solutions of (5.13) with $\lambda=1$ and $u_{1}$ by (5.11). We know that if $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution of (2.12) then (2.13), (2.14) hold.

Taking in (5.13) $v_{0}=0$, we deduce that for a.e. $x_{1} \in I$, the function $\hat{u}_{1}\left(x_{1}, .,.\right) \in H^{1}\left((0,1)^{2}\right)$, periodic with respect to $y_{1}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\partial_{y_{1}} \hat{u}_{1} \partial_{y_{1}} \hat{v}_{1}+\gamma \partial_{x_{2}} \hat{u}_{1} \partial_{x_{2}} \hat{v}_{1}\right) d y_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} \hat{v}_{1} d y_{1} d x_{2}, \\
& \forall \hat{v}_{1} \in H^{1}\left((0,1)^{2}\right) \text {, periodic with respect to } y_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that the functions $\cos \left(k \pi x_{2}\right)$, with $k \in \mathbf{N}$, are a basis of $H^{1}(0,1)$ (they are the eigenfunctions corresponding to the operator $\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d x_{2}^{2}}\right)^{-1}$ with Neumann boundary condition), we look for a Fourier expansion for $\hat{u}_{1}$,

$$
\hat{u}_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) \cos \left(k \pi x_{2}\right)
$$

We get

$$
\partial_{x_{2}} \hat{u}_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 \pi^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}\left(x_{1}, t\right) \sin (k \pi t) d t \psi_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) \sin \left(k \pi x_{2}\right)
$$

a.e. in $I \times(0,1)^{2}$. Integrating with respect to $y_{1}$, we conclude that $u_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}\left(x_{1}, .\right)\right)\left(x_{2}\right)=F_{2}(x), \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega, \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ defined by (5.27). On the other hand, taking $\hat{v}_{0}=0$ in (5.13) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{d u_{0}}{d x_{1}} \frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(f v_{0}+F_{2} \frac{d v_{0}}{d x_{1}}\right) d x . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.29) and (5.30) we conclude that $u_{0}, u_{1}$ satisfy (3.7) with $\mathcal{A}$ given by (5.27).
Remark 5.11. We observe that if the operator $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is local, i.e. if there exists $c: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{2}(H)=c\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) H$, for every $H \in L^{2}(0,1)$, then, by $(5.27) c$ is a positive constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \psi_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}=\frac{1}{c k^{2} \pi^{2}}, \quad \forall k \geqslant 1 \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result proves that this only holds if $\gamma$ is constant.
Proposition 5.12. The solution $\psi_{k}$ of (5.28) satisfies (5.31) if and only if $\gamma=c$ a.e. in $(0,1)$.
Proof. It is clear that if $\gamma$ is constant then (5.31) holds.
For the reciprocate, we assume that (5.31) hold. Taking $\psi_{k}$ as test function in (5.28) and using (5.31) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}}\right|^{2} d y_{1}+k^{4} \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma\left|\psi_{k}\right|^{2} d y_{1}=\frac{1}{c \pi^{2}} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, up to a subsequence, there exists $\psi \in L^{2}(0,1)$ such that $k^{2} \psi_{k}$ converges weakly to $\psi$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$. Then, taking $\varphi \in H_{\sharp}^{1}(I)$ as test function in (5.28) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}} \frac{d \varphi}{d y_{1}} d y_{1}+\pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma\left(k^{2} \psi_{k}\right) \varphi d y_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \varphi d y_{1} . \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that by (5.32)

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}} \frac{d \varphi}{d y_{1}} d y_{1}\right| \leqslant\left(k^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}}\right|^{2} d y_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d \varphi}{d y_{1}}\right|^{2} d y_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

we can pass to the limit in (5.32) to deduce

$$
\pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma \psi \varphi d y_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \varphi d y_{1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{\sharp}^{1}(0,1) .
$$

This shows $\psi=1 /\left(\pi^{2} \gamma\right)$, which by (5.31) proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\gamma} d y_{1}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} k^{2} \psi_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}=\frac{1}{c} \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (5.31), (5.32) and (5.34) we deduce

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \gamma\left|\psi_{k}-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right|^{2} d x=-\frac{1}{k^{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}}\right|^{2} d x, \quad \forall k \geqslant 1
$$

This equality proves

$$
\psi_{k}=\frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad \frac{d \psi_{k}}{d y_{1}}=0, \quad \forall k \geqslant 1, \text { a.e. in }(0,1),
$$

and therefore $\gamma$ is a constant function which by (5.34) agrees with $c$.

## Acknowledgement

The two first authors of the present paper have been partially supported by the project MTM2011-24457 of the Spanish "Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad".

## References

[1] G. Allaire, Homogenization and two-scale convergence, SIAM J. Anal. Math. 23 (1992) 1482-1518.
[2] J.F. Babadjian, M. Baía, 3D-2D analysis of a thin film with periodic microstructure, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 136 (2006) $223-243$.
[3] J.F. Babadjian, M. Baía, Multiscale nonconvex relaxation and application to thin films, Asymptot. Anal. 48 (2006) $173-218$.
[4] D. Caillerie, Homogénéisation des équations de la diffusion stationnaire dans les domaines cylindriques aplatis, RAIRO Analyse Numérique 15 (1981) 295-319.
[5] D. Caillerie, Thin elastic and periodic plates, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 6 (1984) 159-191.
[6] C. Calvo-Jurado, J. Casado-Díaz, Nonlocal limits in the study of linear elliptic systems arising in periodic homogenization, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 204 (2007) 3-9.
[7] V. Chiado-Piat, G. Dal Maso, A. Defranceschi, $G$-convergence of monotone operators, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineare 7 (1990) 123-160.
[8] P. Courilleau, J. Mossino, Compensated compactness for nonlinear homogenization and reduction of dimension, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2004) 65-91.
[9] A. Damlamian, M. Vogelius, Homogenization limits of the equations of elasticity in thin domains, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18 (1987) 435-451.
[10] A. Damlamian, M. Vogelius, Homogenization limits of diffusion equations in thin domains, Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 22 (1988) 53-74.
[11] G. Francfort, I. Fonseca, 3D-2D asymptotic analysis for inhomogeneous thin films, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 49 (2000) $1367-1404$.
[12] G. Geymonat, F. Krasucki, J.J. Marigo, Stress distribution in anisotropic elastic composite beams, in: P.G. Ciarlet, E. Sánchez-Palencia (Eds.), Applications of Multiple Scalings in Mechanics, Masson, Paris, 1987, pp. 118-133.
[13] R. Gustafsson, J. Mossino, Explicit limits for nonperiodic homogenization and reduction of dimension, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I 334 (2002) 977-982.
[14] R. Gustafsson, J. Mossino, Nonperiodic explicit homogenization and reduction of dimension. The linear case, IMA J. Appl. Math. 68 (2003) 269-298.
[15] J. Leray, J.-L. Lions, Quelques résultats de Visik sur les problèmes elliptiques non linéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965) 97-107.
[16] J.-L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites hon linéaires, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
[17] F. Murat, A. Sili, Problèmes monotones dans des cylindres de faible diamètre formés de matériaux hétérogènes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I 320 (1995) 1199-1204.
[18] F. Murat, A. Sili, Comportement asymptotique des solutions du système de l'élasticité linéarisée anisotrope hétérogène dans des cylindres minces, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I 328 (1999) 179-184.
[19] F. Murat, A. Sili, Effects non locaux dans le passage 3d-1d en élasticité linéarisée anisotrope hétérogène, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I 330 (2000) 745-750.
[20] F. Murat, H-convergence, in: Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle et Numérique, Université d'Alger, 1977-1978, multicopied, 34 pp.; English translation: F. Murat, L. Tartar, H-convergence, in: L. Cherkaev, R.V. Kohn (Eds.), Topics in the Mathematical Modelling of Composite Materials, in: Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 31, Birkaüser, Boston, 1998, pp. 21-43.
[21] G. Nguetseng, A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization, SIAM J. Anal. Math. 21 (1990) 608-623.
[22] A. Sili, Homogenization of the heat equation in thin cylinders, Commun. Appl. Anal. 7 (2003) 577-592.
[23] A. Sili, Homogenization of the linearized system of elasticity in anisotropic heterogeneous thin cylinders, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 25 (2002) 263-288.
[24] L. Tartar, The General Theory of Homogenization. A Personalized Introduction, Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital., vol. 7, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
[25] L. Trabucho, J.M. Viaño, Mathematical Modelling of Rods, Handb. Numer. Anal., vol. IV, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author.

    E-mail addresses: jcasadod@us.es (J. Casado-Díaz), murat@ann.jussieu.fr (F. Murat), sili@univ-tln.fr (A. Sili).

