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#### Abstract

Artin-Tits groups act on a certain delta-hyperbolic complex, called the "additional length complex". For an element of the group, acting loxodromically on this complex is a property analogous to the property of being pseudo-Anosov for elements of mapping class groups. By analogy with a well-known conjecture about mapping class groups, we conjecture that "most" elements of Artin-Tits groups act loxodromically. More precisely, in the Cayley graph of a subgroup $G$ of an Artin-Tits group, the proportion of loxodromically acting elements in a ball of large radius should tend to one as the radius tends to infinity. In this paper, we give a condition guaranteeing that this proportion stays away from zero. This condition is satisfied e.g. for ArtinTits groups of spherical type, their pure subgroups and some of their commutator subgroups.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be an irreducible Artin-Tits group of spherical type which acts on the additional length graph, denoted $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$, which is a $\delta$-hyperbolic complex introduced in [1, Definition 2]. The Cayley graph of a subgroup $G$ of $A$ with generator system $S$ will be denoted $\Gamma(G, S)$. The ball on $\Gamma(G, S)$ centered in the trivial vertex with radius $R$ will be denoted by $B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)$, and by $\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right)$ we mean the set of all the elements in $G$ that act loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$.

There is a well-known conjecture which claims that "most", or "generic" elements of the mapping classes of a surface are pseudo-Anosov: picking an element of the mapping class group "at random" yields a pseudo-Anosov element with overwhelming probability. The braid group with $n$ strands happens to be both a mapping class group (of the $n$-punctured disk) and the Artin-Tits group $A_{n-1}$, and it was proven in [1, Definition 2] that if a braid acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$, then it is pseudo-Anosov (this is actually believed

[^0]to be an equivalence). By analogy, this justifies the following conjecture: "generic" elements of an Artin-Tits group $A$ (or of a reasonable subgroup $G$ ) act loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$.

In order to make the definition of genericity more precise, let us describe two possible definitions of picking randomly an element in $\Gamma(G, S)$. The first method consists of performing a random walk of a certain length $\ell$ on $\Gamma(G, S)$, starting from the identity vertex; the second method is to pick a random vertex from the ball $B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)$ of radius $R$.

The genericity conjecture claims that, if $G$ contains at least one element which acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$, then picking randomly an element in $\Gamma(G, S)$ yields a loxodromically acting element with a probability that tends to one when the length $\ell$ of the random walk, or when the radius $R$ of the ball tends to infinity. In the "large balls" model, this is claiming that

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)\right|}{\left|B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)\right|}=1 .
$$

The paper [2] contained a partial proof of this conjecture, namely when $G=A$, and

- either genericity was defined according to the random walks model,
- or genericity was defined according to the large balls model, but only with respect to one very particular generating set $S$, namely Garside's set of simple elements (see below).

In the present paper (Lemma 8), we will give a condition for $G$ so that the proportion of loxodromic elements in $B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)$ stays away from zero when $R$ tends to infinity. Notice that this is a weaker conclusion, but it will be proven for every generator system $S$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be an Artin-Tits group of spherical type, a pure subgroup of an Artin-Tits group of spherical type or the commutator subgroup of the Artin-Tits group of type $I_{2(2 m+1)}, A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{n}$ or $H_{n}$. Let $S$ be any finite generator set of $G$. Then the following condition is satisfied:

$$
\liminf _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)\right|}{\left|B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(R)\right|}>0
$$

## 2. Reminders

In this section we will recall the main concepts and results used throughout this paper. First, we define the groups that participate in Theorem 1.

Definition 2 (Artin-Tits group). Let $I$ be a finite set and $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ a symmetric matrix with $m_{i, i}=1$ and $m_{i, j} \in\{2, \ldots, \infty\}$ for $i \neq j$. Let $S=\left\{\sigma_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$. The Artin-Tits system associated to $M$ is $(A, S)$, where $A$ is a group with the following presentation

$$
A=\langle S \mid \underbrace{\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \ldots}_{m_{i, j} \text { elements }}=\underbrace{\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \ldots}_{m_{i, j} \text { elements }} \forall i, j \in I, i \neq j, m_{i, j} \neq \infty\rangle .
$$

The Coxeter group $W$ associated to $(A, S)$ can be obtained by adding the relations $\sigma_{i}^{2}=1$ :

$$
W_{A}=\langle S \mid \sigma_{i}^{2}=1 \forall i \in I ; \underbrace{\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \ldots}_{m_{i, j} \text { elements }}=\underbrace{\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \ldots}_{m_{i, j} \text { elements }} \forall i, j \in I, i \neq j, m_{i, j} \neq \infty\rangle .
$$



Fig. 1. Classification of Artin-Tits groups of spherical type.

An Artin-Tits system $(A, S)$ can be represented with a Coxeter graph, denoted $\Gamma_{A}$. The set of vertices of $\Gamma_{A}$ is $S$, and there is an edge joining two vertices $s, t \in \Sigma$ if $m_{s, t} \geq 3$. The edge will be labeled with $m_{s, t}$ if $m_{s, t} \geq 4$.

Through this paper, we shall only be interested in Artin-Tits groups of spherical type, meaning that their associated Coxeter groups are finite. It is well known [3] that Artin-Tits group of spherical type are classified into 10 different types. The classification is described in Fig. 1 by using Coxeter graphs.

The best-known example for these groups is the braid group with $n$ strands ( $A_{n-1}$ in Fig. 1). Each braid with $n$ strands can also be seen as a collection of $n$ disjoint paths in a cylinder, defined up to isotopy, joining $n$ points at the top with $n$ points at the bottom, running monotonically in the vertical direction. In this case, each generator $\sigma_{i}$ represents a crossing between the strands in the position $i$ and $i+1$ with a fixed orientation, while $\sigma_{i}^{-1}$ represents the same crossing with the opposite orientation.

Definition 3. Let $(A, S)$ be an Artin-Tits system where $A$ is an Artin-Tits group of spherical type. Its pure subgroup $P(A) \subset A$ is defined as follows

$$
P(A):=\operatorname{ker}\left(p: A \longrightarrow W_{A}\right)
$$

where $p$ is the canonical projection from $A$ to its associated Coxeter group $W_{A}$.

The techniques used in the proofs that we will see involve Garside theory. Let us now recall some important concepts about this theory. A group $G$ is called a Garside group with Garside structure ( $G, \mathcal{P}, \Delta$ ) if it admits a submonoid $\mathcal{P}$ of positive elements such that $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}^{-1}=\{1\}$ and a special element $\Delta \in \mathcal{P}$, called Garside element, satisfying the following:

- There is a partial order in $G, \preccurlyeq$, defined by $a \preccurlyeq b \Leftrightarrow a^{-1} b \in \mathcal{P}$ such that for all $a, b \in G$ there exists a unique gcd $a \wedge b$ and a unique lcm $a \vee b$. This order is called prefix order and it is invariant by left-multiplication.
- The set of simple elements $[1, \Delta]=\{a \in G \mid 1 \preccurlyeq a \preccurlyeq \Delta\}$ generates G.
- $\Delta^{-1} \mathcal{P} \Delta=\mathcal{P}$.
- $\mathcal{P}$ is atomic: If we define the set of atoms as the set of elements $a \in \mathcal{P}$ such that there is no non-trivial elements $b, c \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $a=b c$, then for every $x \in \mathcal{P}$ there is an upper bound on the number of atoms in the decomposition $x=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$, where each $a_{i}$ is an atom.

In a Garside group, the monoid $\mathcal{P}$ also induces a partial order invariant under right-multiplication. This is the suffix order, $\succcurlyeq$, defined by $a \succcurlyeq b \Leftrightarrow a b^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$, such that for all $a, b \in G$ there exists a unique 1 cm $\left(a \wedge^{\dagger} b\right)$ and a unique gcd $\left(a \vee^{\dagger} b\right)$. It is well known that every Artin-Tits group of spherical type admits a Garside structure of finite type [4,5], which means that $[1, \Delta]$ is finite.

The atoms of an Artin-Tits group of spherical type, A, equipped with the Garside structure mentioned above, are the generators of the presentation given in Definition 2.

Definition 4 (Normal forms). Given two simple elements $a, b$, the product $a \cdot b$ is said to be in left (respectively right) normal form if $a b \wedge \Delta=a$ (respectively $a b \wedge^{\dagger} \Delta=b$ ).

We say that $\Delta^{k} x_{1} \cdots x_{r}$ is the left normal form of an element $x$ if $k \in \mathbb{Z}, x_{i} \notin\{1, \Delta\}$ is a simple element for $i=1, \ldots, r$, and $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ is in left normal form for $0<i<r$. Analogously, $x_{1} \cdots x_{r} \Delta^{k}$ is the right normal form of $x$ if $k \in \mathbb{Z}, x_{i} \notin\{1, \Delta\}$ is a simple element for $i=1, \ldots, r$, and $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ is in right normal form for $0<i<r$.

It is well known that the normal forms of an element are unique [5] and that the numbers $r$ and $k$ are the same for both normal forms. We define the infimum, the canonical length and the supremum of $x$ respectively as $\inf (x)=k, \ell(x)=r$ and $\sup (x)=k+r$.

Remark 5. Notice that, even though we will work with an arbitrary generator system $S$ for a subgroup $G$ of $A$, every time we talk about normal forms we will be always using the above definition, where each letter $x_{i}$ of the normal form is simple and maybe not in $S$.

Definition 6 (Rigidity). Let $x=\Delta^{k} x_{1} \cdots x_{r}$ be in left normal form. We define the initial and the final factor respectively as $\iota(x)=\Delta^{k} x_{1} \Delta^{-k}$ and $\varphi(x)=x_{r}$. We will say that $x$ is rigid if $\varphi(x) \cdot \iota(x)$ is in left normal form.

## 3. Proportion of loxodromic actions

In this section we will give the condition to have the positive proportion of loxodromic actions for an Artin-Tits group of spherical type and we will apply it to some subgroups. From now on, suppose that $A$ is an Artin-Tits group of spherical type.

Lemma 7 (See [2]). For every atom $a \in A$, there is an element $x_{a} \in A$ which acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$ such that the left and the right normal forms of $x_{a}$ are the same and $\iota\left(x_{a}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{a}\right)=a$.

Moreover, if $g \in A$ is rigid and its normal form contains the subword $w_{a}:=x_{a}^{390}$, then $g$ acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$.

The previous lemma is a summary of some results proven by Calvez and Wiest. For every atom $a$, the element $x_{a}$ is constructed in [2, Proposition 3]. The second part of the lemma is proven in [2, Lemma 9].

Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $A$. Suppose that there is a finite set $X$ of elements in $G$ such that for every $g \in G$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $g \cdot x$ is rigid and its normal form contains the subword $w_{a}$. Let $B(R):=B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(1, R)$, where $S$ is a finite generator system of $G$. Then there are constants $\varepsilon, R_{0}>0$ depending on $S$, such that for all $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B(R)\right|}{|B(R)|}>\varepsilon
$$

Proof. Define $\|x\|_{S}=\min \left\{n \mid x=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}, a_{i} \in S \cup S^{-1} \forall i\right\}$ to be the word length of $x$ with respect $S$. Let $R_{0}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{\Sigma} \mid x \in X\right\}$ be the maximum of the canonical lengths, with respect to the generator
system $S$, of all the elements in $X$. By Lemma $7, g \cdot x$ acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{C}_{A L}$, for some $x \in X$. Thus, $d\left(g, \operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right)\right) \leq R_{0}$, for every $g \in G$. In particular for every $g \in B\left(R-R_{0}\right)$, there is a loxodromic element which is at distance at most $R_{0}$ from $g$ and which lies in $B(R)$. Therefore,

$$
\left|B\left(R-R_{0}\right)\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B(R)\right| \cdot\left|B\left(R_{0}\right)\right|,
$$

which implies that

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B(R)\right|}{|B(R)|} \geq \frac{\left|B\left(R-R_{0}\right)\right|}{|B(R)|} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|B\left(R_{0}\right)\right|} .
$$

Now notice that, since the number of elements of a ball in a Cayley graph grows at most exponentially with its radius, we have that

$$
\left|B\left(R_{0}\right)\right| \geq 1+2|S|+(2|S|)^{2}+\cdots+(2|S|)^{R_{0}} .
$$

Following the same argument, we can see that the number of elements $x$ such that $d(1, x)=R-R_{0}+k$ is bounded from above by $\left|B\left(R-R_{0}\right)\right| \cdot(2|S|)^{k}$, for $1 \leq k \leq R_{0}$. Hence we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|B(R)|}{\left|B\left(R-R_{0}\right)\right|} & \geq \frac{\left|B\left(R-R_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|B\left(R-R_{0}\right)\right|}+2|S|+(2|S|)^{2}+\cdots+(2|S|)^{R_{0}} \\
& \geq 1+2|S|+(2|S|)^{2}+\cdots+(2|S|)^{R_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the number $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{(2|S|)^{2\left(R_{0}+1\right)}}$ does the job.

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 is a summary of the following three theorems, which will be proven separately.
Theorem 9. Let $(A, S)$ be an Artin-Tits system and let $S^{\prime}$ be a generator system for $A$. Let $B(R):=$ $B_{\Gamma\left(A, S^{\prime}\right)}(1, R)$. Then there are constants $\varepsilon, R_{0}>0$ depending on $S^{\prime}$, such that for all $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(A, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B(R)\right|}{|B(R)|}>\varepsilon
$$

Proof. We want to prove that Lemma 8 can be applied. We claim that for each $g \in G$ we can find an $x \in G$ such that $g \cdot x$ is rigid and its normal form contains the subword $w_{a}$. We also have to prove that the length of $x$ is bounded from above, in order to guarantee the finiteness of the family $X$ described in Lemma 8 . The desired element $x$ will be constructed as a product $x=w_{z} \cdot w_{a} \cdot w_{r}$, where $w_{z}, w_{a}$ and $w_{r}$ are words in normal form with infimum equal to zero. We also need the whole word $w_{g} \cdot w_{z} \cdot w_{a} \cdot w_{r}$ to be in normal form, where $w_{g}$ is the normal form of $g$. Before going into details, we describe the function of each factor of $x$. The first word $w_{z}$ makes sure that the product $g \cdot x$ is in normal form; $w_{a}$ is the element mentioned in Lemma 8; finally, $w_{r}$ provides the rigidity of the element $g x$.

We recall that by Lemma $7, \iota\left(w_{a}\right)=\varphi\left(w_{a}\right)=a$. Let $b$ be an atom such that $\varphi\left(w_{g}\right) \succcurlyeq b$ and let $s$ be an atom such that $s \npreceq \iota(g)$. Now, the aim is to write

$$
w_{z}=\boldsymbol{b} \cdot w_{z}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}, \quad w_{r}=\boldsymbol{a} \cdot w_{r}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{s}^{-1},
$$

where the first and the last factor of the normal forms of $w_{z}$, and $w_{r}$ are distinguished in bold. In order to help visualize the idea of the proof, we say that $x$ should be such that $g \cdot x$ is of the form


Words $w_{z}$ and $w_{r}$ with these characteristics can be constructed with less than 6 simple elements. This is proven in [6, Lemma 3.4] in the case of braids and in [7, Propositions 57-65] for the other Artin-Tits groups of spherical type. Hence, $x$ can be constructed and its length is bounded, as we wanted to show.

We want to prove this also for pure subgroups of Artin-Tits groups. In particular, the following theorem shows that the pure braid group has a positive proportion of pseudo-Anosov elements.

Theorem 10. Let $G \subseteq A$ be the pure subgroup of an Artin-Tits group, equipped with any finite generator system $S$. Define $B(R):=B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(1, R)$. Then there are constants $\varepsilon, R_{0}>0$ depending on $S$, such that for all $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B(R)\right|}{|B(R)|}>\varepsilon
$$

Proof. We want to prove again that Lemma 8 can be applied, claiming that for each $g \in G$ we can find an $x \in G$ such that $g \cdot x$ is rigid and its normal form contains the subword $w_{a}$. The choice of the atom $a$ (atoms are described in Fig. 1) depends on $A$ : we choose specifically $a=\sigma_{2}$ for $B_{n}, H_{3}, H_{4}, F_{4}, I_{2 m}, a=\sigma_{3}$ for $D_{n}$ and $a=\sigma_{4}$ for $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$. For $A_{n}$ we can set $a$ equal to any atom $\sigma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$. We also have to prove that the length of $x$ is bounded from above, in order to guarantee the finiteness of the family $X$ described in Lemma 8. We will follow the same scheme as in Theorem 9.

We recall that by Lemma $7, \iota\left(w_{a}\right)=\varphi\left(w_{a}\right)=a$. Let $b$ be an atom such that $\varphi\left(w_{g}\right) \succcurlyeq b$. Let $p: A \longrightarrow W_{A}$ be the canonical projection of $A$ to its Coxeter group and let $s$ be an atom such that $s \nprec \iota(g)$. Then, $g \cdot x$ should be of the form:

$$
\underbrace{\overbrace{\iota(\boldsymbol{g})}^{s \neq} \cdots \overbrace{\varphi(g)}^{\succcurlyeq b}}_{w_{g}} \cdot \underbrace{\boldsymbol{b} \cdots \boldsymbol{a}}_{w_{z}} \cdot \underbrace{\boldsymbol{a} \cdots \boldsymbol{a}}_{w_{a}} \cdot \underbrace{\boldsymbol{a} \cdots \boldsymbol{a}}_{w_{p}} \cdot \underbrace{\boldsymbol{a} \cdots\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta} s^{-1}\right)}_{w_{r}}
$$

where $w_{p}$ provides the pureness of $g \cdot x$.
We have already seen that $w_{z}$ and $w_{r}$ can be constructed with a bounded number of letters. Then, the only remaining step is to construct, for any given $w \in W_{A}$, a word in normal form $w_{p}$ whose first and last factors are equal to $a$ and which is such that $p\left(w_{p}\right)=w$. To do that, we will choose a generator system $S^{\prime}$ for $W_{A}$ and find for each $s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ an element $\tilde{s} \in p^{-1}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ such that the first and last factor of its normal form is $a$. Hence, with the elements of the form $\tilde{s}$ it is possible to construct $w_{p}$ with the desired properties. In order to construct this element $\tilde{s}$, let

$$
\Sigma_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j} & \text { if } i<j \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i-1} \cdots \sigma_{j} & \text { if } j<i
\end{array}, \quad \Sigma_{i, j}^{(2)}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i+1}^{2} \cdots \sigma_{j}^{2} & \text { if } i<j \\
\sigma_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i-1}^{2} \cdots \sigma_{j}^{2} & \text { if } j<i
\end{array} .\right.\right.
$$

We study in Table 1 each possible class for $A$ (see Fig. 1). Some remarks about this table are given below.
In the case where $A$ is the braid group with $n+1$ strands, $A=A_{n}$, the associated Coxeter group is the symmetric group. Then, to generate an arbitrary permutation we need a $(n+1)$-cycle and a transposition of two adjacent element in that $(n+1)$-cycle. We will choose as transposition (12), which will be represented in $S$ as $\sigma_{1}$. As $(n+1)$-cycle, we will take either $(12 \ldots n+1)$ or $(n+1 n \ldots 1)$, which are represented respectively by $\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n} \cdots \sigma_{1}$. Examples of suitable preimages $\tilde{s}$ associated to the elements in $S$ are provided in Fig. 2.

Table 1
Every $\tilde{s} \in A$ projects to a generator $s^{\prime}$ of the associated Coxeter group of $A, W_{A}$. Then, with the words of the form $\tilde{s}$ we can construct, for every $p \in W_{A}$, an element of $A$ that projects to $p$ and whose normal form has as first and last factor the atom $a$.

| A | $A_{n}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $B_{n}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $\sigma_{1}$ |  | $\sigma_{i}, i=2, \ldots n-1$ |  | $\sigma_{n}$ |  | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |  |
| $s^{\prime}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{n, 1}$ | $\sigma_{i}$ | $\Sigma_{1, n}$ | $\sigma_{n}$ | $\Sigma_{1, n}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\Sigma_{2, n}$ |
| $\tilde{s}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{1, n}^{(2)} \Sigma_{n, 1} \sigma_{1}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{i}$ | $\Sigma_{i, 1}^{(2)} \Sigma_{1, n} \Sigma_{n, i}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{n}$ | $\Sigma_{n, 1}^{(2)} \Sigma_{n, 1} \sigma_{1}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \Sigma_{2, n} \Sigma_{n, 2}^{(2)}$ |


| A | $D_{n}$ |  |  | $\underline{\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, i=6,7,8}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $\sigma_{3}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{4}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |  |
| $s^{\prime}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\Sigma_{2, n}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\Sigma_{2, n}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ |
| $\tilde{s}$ | $\sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{3} \sigma_{3}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\Sigma_{3,2}^{(2)} \Sigma_{2, n} \Sigma_{n, 3}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{4}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{3} \sigma_{4}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\Sigma_{4,2}^{(2)} \Sigma_{2, n} \Sigma_{n, 4}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{3} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ |


| A | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{F}_{4}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{\boldsymbol{I}_{2 m}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |  |  | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |
| $s^{\prime}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\Sigma_{2,4}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ |
| $\tilde{s}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\Sigma_{2,4}^{(2)} \Sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{3} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{4} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ |


(a) $a=\sigma_{1}$

(b) $a=\sigma_{2}$

(c) $a=\sigma_{3}$

Fig. 2. Examples of elements $\tilde{s}$ of $A_{3}$ that projects to a 4-cycle whose normal form have as first and last factor of the atom $a$. The dashed lines separate the factors of the normal form.

Now notice that in the case $A=B_{n}$ we choose $a=\sigma_{2}$ and take $S^{\prime}=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right\}$ because $s^{\prime}=\sigma_{2}$ and $s^{\prime}=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \cdots \sigma_{n}$ generate a symmetric group with $n$ elements. Then we proceed with these generators as in braid groups. A similar reasoning applies also for $H_{3}, H_{4}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$. The other elements of the form $\tilde{s}$ are computed in an explicit way.

Finally, notice that the length of $w_{p}$ is also bounded because the Coxeter group $W_{A}$ is finite by definition. This completes the proof.

Theorem 11. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the commutator subgroup of $I_{2(2 m+1)}, A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{n}$ or $H_{n}$ equipped with a finite generator system $S$. Define $B(R):=B_{\Gamma(G, S)}(1, R)$. Then there are constants $\varepsilon, R_{0}>0$ depending on $S$, such that for all $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Lox}\left(G, \mathcal{C}_{A L}\right) \cap B(R)\right|}{|B(R)|}>\varepsilon
$$

Proof. Let $(A, \Sigma)$ be an Artin-Tits system and denote $A^{\prime}$ the commutator subgroup of $A$. Consider the kernel $K$ (of finite generation) of the homomorphism $e: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=1, \forall \sigma_{i} \in \Sigma$. For all $A$ we have that $A^{\prime} \subseteq K$. However, if we set $A=I_{2(2 m+1)}, A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{n}, H_{n}$, we have that $A / A^{\prime}=A_{\text {ab }}=\mathbb{Z}$ [8, Proposition 1]. Hence, $A^{\prime}=K$, i.e., $A^{\prime}$ is equal to the subgroup of elements with exponent sum equal to zero. To get the explicit generators of $A^{\prime}$, notice that an element of $A^{\prime}$ in normal form is written as $x=\Delta^{-k} x_{1} \cdots x_{r}$, where $k, r \geq 0$. If $e(\Delta)=p$, then $e\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+e\left(x_{r}\right)=p \cdot k$, because $e(x)=0$. Thus, we can write

$$
x=\Delta^{-1} a_{1} \cdot \Delta^{-1} a_{2} \cdots \Delta^{-1} a_{k}, \quad e\left(a_{i}\right)=p, a_{i} \in \mathcal{P}, \forall i=1, \ldots, k
$$

This means that we can choose $S=\left\{\Delta^{-1} a \mid a \in \mathcal{P}, e(a)=p\right\}$, which is finite.
Define $w_{g}$ to be the normal form of an element $g \in A^{\prime}$ and let $a$ be any atom such that $\varphi\left(w_{g}\right) \succcurlyeq a$. We claim that for each $g \in A^{\prime}$ we can find an $x \in A^{\prime}$ such that $g \cdot x$ is rigid and its normal form contains the subword $w_{a}$. We will follow the same scheme as in Theorems 9 and 10 , taking into consideration that $\Delta^{2 h}$ is central for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $g \cdot x$ has to be of the form


Let $d=-e\left(w_{g} \cdot w_{a} \cdot w_{r}\right)$ and recall that $e(\Delta)=p$. If we find a word $w_{c}$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e\left(\Delta^{-2 h} w_{c}\right)=d$, for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such that $\iota\left(w_{c}\right)=\varphi\left(w_{c}\right)=a$, then we can define

$$
x=\Delta^{-2 h} \cdot w_{a} \cdot w_{c} \cdot w_{r}
$$

Hence, the normal form of $g \cdot x$ would be $\Delta^{-2 h} \cdot w_{g} \cdot w_{a} \cdot w_{c} \cdot w_{r}$, which would be rigid and contains $w_{a}$, as we want. If $d \geq 0$, then $h=0$ and $w_{c}=a^{d}$ does the job. Otherwise, we choose $h=d$ and $w_{c}=a^{d(1+2 p)}$. Finally, notice that $h$ and the length of $w_{c}$ depends on $d$, which depends on the length of $w_{a}$ and $w_{r}$. But we already know that $w_{a}$ and $w_{r}$ are bounded as in Theorem 10. Thus, $x$ is bounded and Lemma 8 applies.

Remark 12. In this article, we only look at the commutator subgroups of $I_{2(2 m+1)}, A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{n}$ and $H_{n}$ because these are the only cases where the commutator subgroup is well-understood and finitely generated [9].

Notice that $I_{2(2 m)}^{\prime}$ is infinitely generated. On the other hand, $B_{3}^{\prime}$ and $F_{4}^{\prime}$ are finitely generated, but the question of their finite presentation is still open. $B_{n}(n>3)$ is finitely generated and finitely presented but does not fit in the scheme of the proof above. In fact, for $A=I_{2(2 m)}, B_{n}, F_{4}$ we have $A / A^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{2}[8$, Proposition 1]. However, we conjecture that these groups have also a positive proportion of loxodromically acting elements.

Note. While putting the finishing touches on this paper, we learned about the existence of a work of W.Y. Yang which proves a more general result. Yang proved [10, Proposition 2.21] that every group finitely generated acting properly on a geodesic metric space with at least one contracting element has a positive proportion of contracting elements. As explained in his paper, this implies the positive proportion of loxodromic elements in groups acting in hyperbolic spaces, that have at least one WPD-loxodromic element, which is our case [2, Theorem 2].

The proofs and techniques explained in this paper, which mainly use Garside theory, have been done independently and simultaneously with Yang's article.
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