Disorder Effects in One-Dimensionally Periodic Extraordinary Transmission Structures
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Abstract: Extraordinary transmission structures show promising capabilities for highly selective filters in both frequency and angle of incidence. However, their realistic response once manufacturing limitations are taken into account remains unexplored. In this manuscript, we explore a novel degree of freedom: disorder. We expand on previously developed highly efficient method of moment (MoM) implementations to study the effects on the transmission properties of large but finite chains of slots due to different families of disorder: lateral and vertical displacements as well as scatterer manipulation (modifying sizes and rotation angles), showing their effects on the transmission spectrum.
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1. Introduction

Periodic structures are a fundamental tool for the design of wave emitting and control devices such as antennas and filters for a wide range of frequency regimes from microwaves to optics. In the microwave research community, frequency-selective surfaces [1] appeared in the last century as a distributed version of passband or bandstop electronic devices and are still an active field of research in the form of much more advanced metasurfaces [2,3]. These structures rely on the interaction between resonating elements (such as patches and/or apertures) to tailor the propagation and radiation of waves leading to integrated lensing/radiating devices and metasurface antennas [4].

With the discovery of extraordinary optical transmission (EOT) in the 1990s [5], which allows for a very narrow transmission band when a periodic arrangement of small apertures was properly tailored, a new wave of optical applications for periodic surfaces was unveiled [6]. It was shown that, additionally to the wide-used natural resonance of apertures when their electrical length corresponds to half of the operating wavelength, a special type of resonance, so-called extraordinary, could be achieved at frequencies well-below such natural resonance when the periodicity of the array was larger than twice the electrical length of the aperture. In other words, for a constant periodicity of the array, as the electrical length of the aperture decreases, the resonance frequency increases (as predicted for $\lambda/2$ resonances) until it reaches the onset of the first set of grating lobes. As the electrical length of the aperture is decreased below half of the periodicity, the transmission peak is squeezed against the transmission zero known as the Wood’s anomaly, giving place to the narrow peak called extraordinary transmission.

Such experimental evidence (corroborated by full-wave simulations) was surprising at first and had no direct equivalence in the literature of the microwave FSS community. Due to the experiments being performed at optical frequencies, the first measurements and knowledge of the ability of periodic structures to couple bound plasma waves known as surface plasmons [7,8], the first explanations were based on the interactions between plasmons propagating on both sides of the aperture array, which were coupled through the fields inside the apertures [9–12]. Such explanations led to good predictions, but the
subsequent finding of EOT in microwave structures in which such plasmons cannot exist (as the metal can be treated as a perfect conductor) [13], also demonstrated inside a waveguide with no equivalent grating coupling to any possible type of surface wave), meant the theory was not complete [14]. It was later shown that a generalized explanation based on an equivalent circuit model explained the narrowband phenomenon for any type of waveguide, whether Bloch/Floquet-type or realistic metallic waveguides [15]. Another more general theory extended the concept of surface plasmons to effective plasmonic materials, which can support surface waves known as spoof surface plasmons. In this framework, hole- and dielectric-backed slit arrays can themselves support bound waves which take the role of true plasmons at microwave and terahertz frequencies [16–19].

Despite the great interest attracted by periodic extraordinary transmitting structures, only limited attention has been paid to aperiodic arrays, i.e., those with arbitrary or even random separations between scatterers (either apertures or metallizations) [20,21] given the difficulty of their modeling [22,23]. For practical applications, local periodicity is assumed, and only smooth variations of geometrical parameters are used to limit aperiodicity effects [24,25]. Among the aperiodic structures studied in the literature, we find metamaterial absorbers [26], resonant slot arrays [27,28], photonic crystals [29–31] and antennas [32–34]. It is worth mentioning that aperiodic structures have recently received a lot of interest from the topological photonics and acoustics communities thanks to their potential for novel edge and bandgap modes [35–37].

In this paper, we focus on the presence of extraordinary transmission in disordered slot arrays in perfectly conducting screens. To do so, we will study the original one-dimensionally periodic slot array studied in [38] composed of a single row of slots, to which we apply different types of disorder: some related to the arrangement of the slots and others related to the slot scatterers. Although all of them break the strict periodicity of the structure, we will show that they have large differences in their effect. With these results, we expect that these results will help develop custom finite structures with known edge effects [39,40] not considered by traditional unit cell-based analysis.

2. Numerical Methods

Let us briefly present the Galerkin’s version of the Method of Moments (MoM) exploited in previous works [41] and then emphasize the advancements introduced for the efficient analysis of the scattering by a disordered array of slots in a perfectly conducting screen as shown in Figure 1. The electric field on the plane of a perfectly conducting screen of negligible thickness with a number $M$ of apertures can be shown to obey the following integral equation:

$$
J_{as}(x,y) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{\eta_j} \mathbf{C}_M(x-x',y-y') \cdot \mathbf{E}_{sc}^{i}(x',y',z=0) \, dx' \, dy' = 0 \quad (x,y) \in \eta_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, M),
$$

where $J_{as}(x,y)$ is the surface current in the absence of the slots and $\mathbf{C}_M(x,y)$ is the dyadic Green’s function that relates the tangential electric field vector at the origin with the electric current density vector at a given point and where $\eta_i$ is the surface of the $i$-th slot.
The Method of Moments can be then applied by proposing a set of $N_b$ basis functions for each of the apertures.

$$E^{sc}(x, y, z = 0) \approx \sum_{l=1}^{N_b} e_{jl}(x, y) \quad (x, y) \in \eta_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

which in the Galerkin’s version of the MoM are also used as testing functions to convert (1) into a system of linear equations for the set of unknown weights $e_{jl}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{N_b} \Delta_{kl}^{ij} e_{jl} = p_{ik} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, M; k = 1, \ldots, N_b),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

$$\Delta_{kl}^{ij} = \int \int_{\eta_i} d_{ik}^{*}(x, y) \cdot \int \int_{\eta_j} G^{as}(x-x', y-y') dxdy,$$

$$\cdot d_{jl}(x', y') \ dx'dy' \ dxdy, \hspace{1cm} (i, j = 1, \ldots, M; k, l = 1, \ldots, N_b),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and where

$$p_{ik} = - \left( \int \int_{\eta_i} d_{ik}^{*}(x, y) f^{as}(x, y) \ dxdy \right), \hspace{1cm} (i = 1, \ldots, M; \ k = 1, \ldots, N_b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For the sake of efficiency of the method, it is convenient to reformulate (4) so that the interactions between the different basis functions can be precomputed numerically, which can be shown to be equivalent to

$$\Delta_{kl}^{ij} = - \frac{4j}{k_0 Z_0} \left[ k_0^2 \Delta_{kl}^{i'a} - \Delta_{kl}^{i'b} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\Delta_{kl}^{i'a} = \int \int_{\eta_i} d_{ik}^{*}(x, y) \cdot \int \int_{\eta_j} G^{sea}(x-u, y-v) d_{jl}(u, v) du dv \ dxdy$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
\[
\Delta_{ij}^{k,l} = \iint_{\eta_j} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}_{ik}(x,y))^* \cdot \iint_{\eta_j} G^{\text{sca}}(x - u, y - v) \cdot \\
\left[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}_{jl}(u,v) \right] du dv dxdy. \tag{8}
\]

where \(G^{\text{sca}}\) corresponds to a scalar free space Green’s function given by

\[
G_{\text{sca}}(x,y) = \frac{e^{-jk_0 \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}}{4\pi \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}. \tag{9}
\]

Thanks to a physically-insightful choice of basis functions, these expressions can be calculated efficiently using tailored quadrature formulas. In particular, we use a set of basis functions with the proper choice of zero or singular behavior at the edge of the aperture based on Chebyshev polynomials which are shown to be a basis for the kernel of the integral equation which present logarithmic singularities near the edges of the slots. Mathematically, they are expressed in the frame of each slot as

\[
\mathbf{d}_{ik}(x,y) = \frac{T_0 \left( \frac{2}{w_g}(x - x_{ci}) \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \left[ \frac{2}{w_g}(x - x_{ci}) \right]^2}} U_{2(k-1)} \left( \frac{2}{r_g}(y - y_{ci}) \right) \sqrt{1 - \left[ \frac{2}{r_g}(y - y_{ci}) \right]^2} \hat{x} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\mathbf{d}_{i,N_{by}^k}(x,y) = \frac{U_1 \left( \frac{2}{w_b}(x - x_{ci}) \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \left[ \frac{2}{w_b}(x - x_{ci}) \right]^2}} \frac{T_{2k-1} \left( \frac{2}{r_i}(y - y_{ci}) \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \left[ \frac{2}{r_i}(y - y_{ci}) \right]^2}} \hat{y} \tag{11}
\]

where \(N_{by}^k + N_{by}^o = N_b, \) and where \(T_k(\cdot)\) \((T_{2k-1}(\cdot))\) and \(U_{2(k-1)}(\cdot)\) \((U_1(\cdot))\) are Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind, respectively.

When these basis functions are introduced into (7) and (8) it can be shown that they can be rewritten in the form of

\[
\Delta_{ij}^{k,l,a} = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} f^a(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2 \tag{12}
\]

\[
\Delta_{ij}^{k,l,b} = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} f^b(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2. \tag{13}
\]

where for the case of \(\Delta_{ij}^{k,l,b}\), the integrand has the form

\[
f^b(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u_1^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_1^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u_2^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_2^2}} g^b(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2). \tag{14}
\]

These singularities arise from the derivatives of the zeros imposed by the edges parallel to the electric field, where \(g^b(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)\) is a nonsingular function in the integration domain. These four integrals can be iteratively calculated using the Gauss–Chebyshev quadrature rule of first order [42]) whose weights and sampling points are given by

\[
w_i = \frac{\pi}{n}; \quad x_i = \cos \left( \frac{(2i - 1)\pi}{2n} \right). \tag{15}
\]
which can be applied in a nested loop. 

In the case of $\Delta_{ij}, (12)$ consists of two summands, $f^a(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = f^{a,1}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) + f^{a,2}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)$. The first summand will contain a factor of the form of 

$$f^{a,1}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = \sqrt{1 - v_1^2} \sqrt{1 - u_2^2} g^{a,1}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2),$$

while the second will be of the form of 

$$f^{a,2}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = \sqrt{1 - u_1^2} \sqrt{1 - v_2^2} g^{a,2}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2),$$

for which $g^{a,1}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)$ and $g^{a,2}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)$ are nonsingular functions. In this case, it is convenient to combine the first- and second-order Gauss–Chebyshev quadrature rules aforementioned. The weights and sampling points of the second order quadrature are given by [42]

$$w_i = \frac{\pi}{n + 1} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi i}{n + 1} \right); \quad x_i = \cos \left( \frac{i\pi}{n + 1} \right).$$

Once these integrals are computed, the system of equations can be solved to retrieve the electric field on the surface of the array, from which the far-field distribution can be calculated via its Fourier transform, provided analytically by the analytical Fourier transforms of the chosen basis functions. Then, the scattering cross section of the array is calculated as [43,44]

$$\sigma = \lim_{r \to \infty} 4\pi r^2 \left| \frac{E^{sc}(r, \theta = 0, \phi)}{|E_i|^2} \right|^2$$

from which the effective receiving area of the screen (i.e., the effective surface that is transparent to the incoming wave) is calculated as

$$A_{ef} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma \lambda_0^2}{4\pi}}$$

3. Numerical Validation

Let us validate the numerical method employed for the construction of the entries of the matrix of the Method of Moments using commercially available software. In our case, we employ the well-known Ansys HFSS software for the simulation of two slots of different sizes and orientation which constitute a square unit cell as depicted in Figure 2. To analyze such problem, the Green’s function has to be modified to account for the periodicity as shown elsewhere [41], but this has no impact in the validation of the numerical integration scheme presented here. In Figure 2, we analyze the transmission spectrum of two distinct slot apertures using the numerical approach presented in this manuscript. We have found that five basis functions ($N_{ee} = 4$ and $N_{oo} = 1$ are sufficient to accurately reproduce the results from the commercial software.

We have found a rapid convergence in the calculation of the inter-slot coupling elements of the MoM matrix (i.e., the off-diagonal terms) with errors below five percent with just 7 quadrature points per integral, leading to a total of 2401 evaluations. In terms of CPU time, due to the nested nature of these evaluations, the CPU time will increase as the number of evaluations to the fourth power, so it is important to optimise the number of evaluations required. It has been found that the MoM implementation shown here outperforms the commercial software in CPU time consumption by a factor of 200, supporting the efforts devoted to the development of this method.
**Figure 2.** Copolar transmission coefficient comparison between the method presented here and that obtained from the commercial software HFSS. The unit cell is depicted in the inset, containing two slots with $l_1 = 6 \text{ mm}$, $l_2 = 5 \text{ mm}$, $w_{s1} = w_{s2} = 0.5 \text{ mm}$, $x_{c1} = y_{c1} = 2.5 \text{ mm}$, $x_{c2} = y_{c2} = 7.5 \text{ mm}$, $\alpha_1 = \pi/4$, $\alpha_2 = -\pi/4$.

### 4. Results and Discussion

Once the numerical method has been presented, we can embark on the study of the effects introduced by disorder in extraordinary transmission through finite slots chains. However, extraordinary transmission is a consequence of periodicity, which is broken merely by considering a finite chain. Therefore, we first need to establish whether EOT can be associated with the transmission spectra through these chains. In all cases, we study the transmission when the array is illuminated by an infinite plane wave at normal incidence whose electric field is polarized along the $x$ direction, i.e., perpendicular to the axis of the slots in the absence of rotations. For practical applications, it may be desirable to consider Gaussian-type beam illumination, which can be introduced in the numerical method in a straightforward way [45,46].

In Figure 3, we study the appearance of the EOT peak with the number of slots $M$ when these are all equal $l_i = 0.4 \ d_s$, $w_i = 0.05 \ d_s$, and distanced by a constant value $d_s$. When comparing all curves with those for a single aperture (blue line, $M = 1$), the extraordinary transmission peak is found at a normalized frequency $d_s / \lambda \approx 0.98$ compared to the resonance of the isolated slot, with a maximum effective area around $d_s / \lambda \approx 1.07$. From these results, one can approximate, for practical reasons, the transmission from an array with more than 25 elements so as to behave similarly to an infinite array. In the following, we will keep $M = 50$.

Let us now consider the first type of disorder, in which the resonant elements (i.e., the slots) are kept the same as well as their relative distances ($d_s$), but a random set of rotations is applied to the set of slots. In this manner, each slot $\eta_i$ is rotated by an angle $\alpha_i$, given by a normal distribution with a given standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{angle}}$ and a null mean (i.e., slots should be rotated with respect to their original vertical position). This type of disorder does not affect the natural resonance of the scatterer itself. We then study the effective frequency-dependent receiving area for different values of standard deviation, which are shown in Figure 4.
We see that, given (5), one expects the electric field amplitude excited in the slots to be reduced by a factor $\cos \alpha_i$, which should be lower and lower on average with increasing standard deviation as even if the mean value of $\alpha_i$ is zero, the cosine is an even function, therefore having a mean lower than one. This reasoning is consistent with the findings in Figure 4. Of course, such reasoning neglects the change in coupling between neighboring elements, but as has been found in many works [47,48], small rotations do not introduce big changes in the overall coupling among elements in large arrays, a condition used as a local periodicity which has yielded good results in the literature.

Let us now consider a different type of disorder, in which we modify the scatterer itself, and with that we modify the natural resonance of each slot. In the literature, it has been shown that the natural resonance of the slot itself plays a secondary role in the
existence of extraordinary transmission, as long as it is located above the first transmission zero (i.e., the Wood’s anomaly). However, the precise location of the EOT peak is dictated by such resonance. As we did for the angle of rotation, let us consider now that each slot has a length given by \( l_i \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, M \) given by a constant \( l_s = 0.4 d_x \) plus a random value given by a normal distribution centered at zero with a standard deviation given by \( \sigma_l \), which is given as a percentage of the value of \( l_s \). The frequency-dependent effective receiving area normalized to the surface of the strip is shown in Figure 5.

As can be seen in Figure 5, as the standard deviation of the distribution increases, the peak maintains its center frequency, but the height of the transmission is reduced. This can be understood from the fact that each slot will present a slightly displaced EOT resonance, leading to a wider peak, but with a lower transmission coefficient. It is interesting to note that only a 5% standard deviation already reduces the height of the peak by almost 40% in power, and a 10% deviation leads to a reduction of 75% of power compared to the non-disordered structure. Finally, for a standard deviation of 25%, the peak becomes much wider, but the strong reduction of transmitted power at the onset of the first diffraction mode is still clearly visible in the transmission spectrum.

Next, we study a different type of disorder independent of the scatterer: the spacing along the \( x \) or \( y \) directions. Let us focus first on the former: instead of maintaining an arithmetic sequence for \( x_{ci} = id_x \), that is, keeping a constant separation of \( d_x \) between each pair of neighboring elements, let us add a random value given again by a normal distribution with different values of the standard deviation. In Figure 6, we see that as the standard deviation increases, the peak becomes less and less defined until the transmission spectrum is very similar to that found for a single slot \( (M = 1) \) in Figure 3. This means that a collection of slots with random distances along a chain actually follows the original hypothesis broken by the discovery of extraordinary transmission, i.e., the coupling between the apertures plays no role. It is worth noting that recently it has been shown that special modes can be created by using deterministic randomness as created by an iterative sequence such as Fibonacci’s [49].
In Figure 7 instead of modifying the position $x$ of each slot, let us now add a random value to their position $y$, so that their centers are not placed on the $x$ axis. Interestingly, as shown in Figure 7, this type of disorder has the least effect on the definition and height of the EOT peak from all of those studied previously. Additionally, we find that a secondary peak starts to follow near the expected natural resonance of the slots when very extreme values are chosen for the standard deviation for $y_{ci}$. This means that a chain of slots positioned randomly will present a well-defined EOT resonance as long as their horizontal separation is kept constant.
5. Conclusions

In this paper, we study the consequences of introducing disorder to extraordinary transmission through a finite chain of slots cut into a perfectly conducting screen. We have found that a finite chain converges to a maximum transmission for as few as 50 slots, but 25 already allow for an almost full transmission. When introducing disorder, random individual rotation of the slots allows for a controllable reduction of the peak transmission level while also reducing the transmission level of the Wood’s anomaly and the low-frequency tail. By modifying the length of the slots in a random fashion, one can maintain the low frequency and Wood’s anomaly levels while reducing the transmission value at the peak, therefore increasing the relative bandwidth. If one is to replicate the transmission properties of a single isolated slot, introducing random displacements along the x direction is the most effective manner. Additionally, introducing random displacements along the direction perpendicular to the array allows for the introduction of a secondary higher-frequency peak whose level increases with the standard deviation of the displacement distribution, while the level of the EOT peak is reduced and the low frequency and Wood’s anomaly levels are maintained. We believe this results could pave the way for EOT filtering devices for optical communications.
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